WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:01:29.0480000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.933485746383667

 $00:00:00.180 \rightarrow 00:00:30.370$ It is my pleasure to introduce our speaker. Today, who's doctor George Strigoi and George is someone who did his MD and trained in both Medison as well as doing some clinical work in psychiatry in his early days in Romania and then he decided that he really wanted to go much more deeply into neuroscience and into what was happening in the brains of his patients and in general of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920121133327484

00:00:30.370 --> 00:01:01.100 Of mammals and so he came to the United States and he trained with Boo Jackie at Rutgers and started to do really beautiful. Both Physiology and then also computational work to make sense of the recordings that he was doing. He went on, then to do a PhD postdoctoral fellowship with Suzumoto Nagawa at MIT and there, he had the rare distinction of having a 2 author paper where he was first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933014452457428

00:01:01.100 --> 00:01:32.590 And his mentor was 2nd and we all know that mentors don't do that much, so really a beautiful paper showing an absolutely fundamental phenomenon in the hippocampus that had not been discovered before and that was that there were ensembles of neurons within the hippocampus. I'm not going to tease him too much 'cause you will tell you more, but they were already ready to encode trajectory's in space so that then when an animal moved through space. These pre played ensembles of activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804869651794

00:01:32.590 --> 00:02:07.600 Predicted the later sequences of neuronal activity when an animal learned a new environment. Now his work here in dependently has extended that hippocampal work in that ensemble work. But what's really exciting about the work is although it's very fundamental about how all of us actually use our hippocampus? How we encode our movement through space. It's also important for understanding how the hippocampus participates in internal representations and when we think about patients with schizophrenia for example, those internal representation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925187885761261

00:02:07.600 --> 00:02:32.070 It's become independent of the external world and so some of his work now in the Department in particularly in collaborate collaboration with folks who are doing human work is extending this fundamental neurobiology too? How do we understand processes that may go wrong? In

psychiatric illness so without any further ado, I'd like to introduce George and welcome him to the podium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913028180599213

 $00:02:41.080 \rightarrow 00:02:48.700$ Thank you very much for the kind introduction and thank you. All for coming to the to my presentation. I'm really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880356669425964

 $00:02:49.210 \rightarrow 00:02:52.680$ Happy to be in the Department of psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828952312469482

 $00:02:53.210 \rightarrow 00:03:12.880$ I have a journey that started a while ago night are not the volume so a journey psychiatry who started quite awhile ago in medical school when I attended all five of My 6 Summers into the partner psychiatry was particularly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890004575252533

 $00{:}03{:}13.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.590$ Female side causes unit and I've learned a lot from from that experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924722492694855

 $00{:}03{:}18{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22{.}060$ Later on, I came to the US to do a PhD in neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943455696105957

00:03:22.900 --> 00:03:26.080 And much, much later about 5 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899601995944977

 $00:03:26.590 \rightarrow 00:03:50.710$ I give another set of presentations to the problem psychiatry, and made a few promises there in a in a 5 year plan that I hope today. Those people who are there also will appreciate some progress so I hope you're not going to be intimidated by the title or the contents of the work. I'll try to connect as much as possible and feel free to ask questions during the Clarifier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795916736125946

 $00:03:51.340 \rightarrow 00:03:58.100$ It's just so the title is neuron ensemble in underlying internal generated representations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89942991733551

 $00:03:58.710 \rightarrow 00:04:17.940$ And what I mean by internally generated representations of what everybody else should mean his mental representation of physical objects or events that not currently present so there. Several forms 4 of which are listed below. Some have to do with our path such as the case of memory. We can retrieve memories of events and physical objects that mattress currently present.

00:04:18.450 --> 00:04:48.180 Some have to do with our future such as the case of imagining and planning. Probably the most genuine form of these internal gender. Representation occurs during sleep when the brain is fairly disconnected from the external world and we have vivid representations about the world and finally not because we're in Department psychiatry, but this is always been there. Some of these internal generator representation. Take out normal form such as the case of hallucinations and delusions where subjects perceive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884024620056152

 $00:04:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.570$ Objects that no one else can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890520691871643

 $00:04:53.100 \rightarrow 00:05:06.300$ So where in the brain should we look for neuronal ensemble in neural patterns that may underlie and make lead us to understand better how the brain generates internal internal representation so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893171608448029

 $00:05:07.170 \rightarrow 00:05:20.640$ Sorry it turns out that the brain area called hippocampus that I had been working on mostly to understand the encoding of spatial information has been intimately related to all those 4 aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854295074939728

 $00:05:21.170 \rightarrow 00:05:35.260$ Primarily starting with the 1957 case of very famous patient now HM who lost the ability for a new memories after bilateral removal of is with people campus school was the surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871202528476715

 $00:05:35.760 \rightarrow 00:05:44.650$ And Brenda Miller or investigated his ability to form new memories for many years after and this was done to alleviate an intractable epilepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897507548332214

 $00:05:45.500 \rightarrow 00:05:51.620$ Took about 50 more years to realize that the same brain area and actually in the same patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062340259552

 $00:05:52.270 \rightarrow 00:05:59.890$ Does play a critical role in imagining and planning particularly in those representations have something to do with whoever spatial content?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906799852848053

 $00{:}06{:}01{.}960$ --> $00{:}06{:}10{.}540$ As I mentioned we dream about things and we have representation during dreaming and this is now moving to the rodent type of studies.

 $00:06:11.080 \rightarrow 00:06:20.370$ Back in 2001, Matt Wilson was next door. They might take while I was in some alternate was lab and we discuss interactive quite deeply.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885666489601135

00:06:20.950 --> 00:06:30.340 His lab has shown that temporal structure replay overweight people complain sample activity can happen during rapid eye movement sleep, which is primarily associated with dreaming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813534677028656

00:06:31.160 --> 00:06:32.800 And finally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86605441570282

 $00:06:34.130 \rightarrow 00:06:59.940$ And finally there's a number of studies and as an increasing number of studies their associate's psychosis with Aper campus and it turns out that Scovel knew very well how to do that surgery because he was already operating on people with suffering from schizophrenia and by bipolar disorder and bilateral dissection of a program was actually attenuated quite significantly there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867870509624481

 $00:07:00.450 \rightarrow 00:07:10.420$ Generation of a delusion alusa nation, so we know we know this brain area is involved in it, and there's something that links all these all these factors with the Hippocampus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916691899299622

 $00:07:11.230 \rightarrow 00:07:16.880$ So a few words about the hippocampus in the human is this C shaped structure located in the middle, temporal lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819089114665985

00:07:17.470 --> 00:07:37.570 In a rodent it's also assists abstract shaped structure, an if we perform a cut around this level, we can reveal the intrinsic circuitry, which functionally goes by the try synaptic excitatory Circuitry International Cortext Adenta Gyros since information about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80847555398941

 $00:07:38.070 \rightarrow 00:07:46.780$ Pretty much all the sounds they walk into dental gyrus, then then projects heavy excited very projections, to see a 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411694526672

 $00:07:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.660$ Where there's a lot of other sensitive fibers that sort of connect neurons and most likely form sequential activity that we see later in a CA one which is the final stage.

00:07:59.180 --> 00:08:12.680 And it's mainly the output of the foreground was towards the rest of them primarily to internal cortex and then we also be Cologne like really, really broadcasting for the rest of the brain so all of the experiments that I'm going to talk about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799577236175537

 $00:08:13.270 \rightarrow 00:08:23.180$ Revolve around recordings in freely moving through behaving rodents rats and mice that from which the activity was recorded from dorsal say, 1 area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813054382801056

 $00:08:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.630$ So this is the anatomy, but they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828127264976501

00:08:28.250 --> 00:08:35.900 Neuronal ensemble, but the age or LFP type there. There's a very prominent 2 stage model memory formation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873251020908356

00:08:36.440 --> 00:08:54.790 First argued by my PhD advisor project in 1989 in which he associated the two stages. The well known stages are memory formation. Encoding and consolidation with two very distinct electrophysiological patterns that occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852692902088165

 $00:08:55.560 \rightarrow 00:09:05.020$ Primarily Napa campus at the time it was thought to be exclusively for campus an that is during encoding when animals explore and most likely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843038499355316

 $00:09:05.580 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.610$ Encounter novel information there is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870260775089264

 $00:09:09.270 \rightarrow 00:09:39.340$ Stater 12 Hertz oscillation called data in River campus with when the animals go into non rapid eye movement sleep and this by the way will be this little Camera for my talk from now on. I'm not going to mention that on rampart or even resting very different pattern seems to dominate the activity of the G level and that is the ripple oscillation about two hundred 250 to 200. Hertz and then at the same time is the so-called sharp wave which represents really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832980453968048

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}340$ --> $00{:}09{:}42{.}470$ Very strong synchronous input from the seat rear end to the C1.

00:09:43.200 --> 00:09:48.690 This brings together a lot of neurons an looking into the content of that a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635283768177032

 $00:09:49.640 \rightarrow 00:09:51.720$ For not going to describe later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889231145381927

 $00:09:52.890 \rightarrow 00:10:03.340$ So this is up the ensemble level, but not at the individual level. But the individual neurone level. Similar discovery by the AC even those graphically work in 1971.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838963329792023

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}530$ --> $00{:}10{:}19{.}820$ Cold or named the individual place of individual neurons principle neurons in the in the CL. One area placers and that the same is true for CA 3 and allowed to logics, then today gyros so all 3 subregions of vapor campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896399796009064

 $00:10:20.460 \rightarrow 00:10:29.810$ Are able to at the individual level be active in a certain area and the environment? Despite the animal traveling throughout this would be a square box in from above.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889897286891937

 $00:10:30.410 \rightarrow 00:10:48.070$ And you can see the spatial reference that individual cell if we would record for multiple cell at the same time, it will see other sales mapping neighboring areas, sometimes overlapping area in in a way that the ensemble tessellates in Maps. The entire environment of the that that the animal travel through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910593748092651

 $00:10:48.600 \dashrightarrow 00:10:56.730$ So this is also time to say that individual cells are very interesting and exciting to study but it is the ensemble that really gives us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88069099187851

 $00{:}10{:}57{.}400$ --> $00{:}11{:}02{.}830$ The full picture of how the brain encodes a map or even experience in space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87784743309021

 $00{:}11{:}03{.}440$ --> $00{:}11{:}09{.}960$ The same phenomenon of glacial activity seems to be occurring in humans here is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848263740539551

 $00:11:10.460 \rightarrow 00:11:13.010$ Epileptic patients with intracranial.

 $00:11:13.540 \rightarrow 00:11:21.330$ Electrodes and recordings being performed through that as the human performs virtual reality navigation task and here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880142390727997

 $00:11:21.890 \rightarrow 00:11:30.380$ This should be firing rate this will be the activity of of a neuron. There is maximal in this area just like it happens in the road and but not so much around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889003932476044

00:11:31.080 --> 00:11:45.870 And for all this work and more Nobel Prize in medicine, or Physiology has been given in 2014 to John O'Keefe and to maybe it mother and Edward Moser, who did Seminole discoveries on other aspects of a spatial and memory encoding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895926177501678

00:11:47.700 --> 00:12:02.640 So I already alluded to the fact that the ensemble seems to bring additional information into the picture compared to single cells. And here I'm I'm showing a cartoon and animated cartoon of an animal moving on what you can imagine being a linear track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866293787956238

00:12:03.650 --> 00:12:30.490 And then place cells will be activated at sequential locations along the trajectory of the animal. I already mentioned they they like to fire with the spatial tuning and certain parts of the environment. So here I hate sales that form a sequence. This activity was believed and still is believed to be experienced driven because the animal is moving is awake and then a lot of sensor Inputs. This doing local activate the neurons as the animal moves when the animal goes to sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894191682338715

 $00:12:31.260 \rightarrow 00:12:39.810$ In a significant number of cases, the same neurons can fire in a much compressed manner. There's no time scale here, but about 20 times compressed in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856492042541504

 $00:12:40.350 \rightarrow 00:12:56.650$ In the same order they had fired during the run, so because this occurred during slow wave sleep at this compressed manner. It was believed there internally driven and they were called replay because they followed the activity that just occured on the linear track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874072551727295

00:12:57.520 --> 00:13:17.320 It was believed also that there is some critical processing that happens during sleep by which the sequences are being compressed, and then likely rehearsed autonomously during the sleep such that the network now learns about the experience damages had the other function of this would be to connect hippocampus with other brain areas and then.

 $00{:}13{:}18{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21{.}690$ But he becomes will teach other braid hairs in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892324864864349

 $00{:}13{:}22{.}470$ --> $00{:}13{:}27{.}260$ Understanding this type of information for long term storage is super canvas is known not to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797688126564026

 $00{:}13{:}27{.}960$ --> $00{:}13{:}32{.}400$ Diligently becomes I've known not to affect the very late the very early memories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842813491821289

 $00{:}13{:}33{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37{.}130$ Find a little bit of a technical aspect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933121979236603

 $00:13:38.050 \dashrightarrow 00:13:40.060$ We are greatly helped by the fact that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868707478046417

00:13:40.830 --> 00:14:02.480 Neural ensemble neuron assembles in Depot campus like to fight in synchrony and then like to rest in synchrony too. So we have this up and down states within the campus during slow wave sleep, which allow us to establish boundaries to synchronous activity where the there. We can look for content and we call this frames of activity in this term will kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767343044281006

 $00:14:03.250 \rightarrow 00:14:06.080$ Recur problem the meaning of my talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813857138156891

 $00:14:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.840$ Later on, just about 4 years later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900030851364136

00:14:12.230 --> 00:14:24.810 We realized that in fact, these type of compressed, temporal sequence activity is not specific to sleep. But in fact, is occurring as the animal is exploring in our case, there was a familiar linear track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854864358901978

 $00{:}14{:}25{.}430$ --> $00{:}14{:}31{.}080$ So here there's a cartoon description of potato isolation and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675478458405

00:14:31.650 --> 00:15:02.770 Squared linear mazes more onto that little solution and this will be around 125 millisecond and you can see here depicted in color. The the same cells that fires in a compressed manner in asleep after they had fired already during the run, so with that. I'd like to propose and we propose at the time that an animal model of internal representation of the external spaces.

This compressed, temporal sequence of neuronal firing laser activity in the road in program was that can occur during awake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8558748960495

00:15:02.770 --> 00:15:04.900 Explorer 30 States and also during sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883544027805328

 $00:15:05.900 \rightarrow 00:15:10.040$ So how did they emerge? Where does it start?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894518852233887

00:15:10.750 --> 00:15:19.130 Is it all created during the run for the very first time and then as people have argued replay during internal generated states in the campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88800722360611

 $00:15:19.780 \rightarrow 00:15:33.950$ It turned out that if we take naive animals and let them run for the very first time and Marina loaded already did this finding on a linear track. We express' we analyze the data and then can order the place cells based on the location of the big firing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859548389911652

 $00:15:34.510 \rightarrow 00:15:55.150$ And can we express relatively long sequences about Harry cells here and then we if we look. This is a naive animal. If you look in the slowest it before the animal has ever run on this, or any linear track and then we use more sophisticated procedure rather than displaying the spikes. We decode the activity from the ensemble of neurons. We call it by isn't decoding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883993923664093

 $00:15:55.890 \rightarrow 00:16:12.040$ We observed trajectory like sweeps during the sleep through something that looks like the environment that will the animal run next so the position decoded position will be on the Y axis and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81677383184433

 $00{:}16{:}12.670$ --> $00{:}16{:}17.820$ The decoded time or the time it happens in a compressed manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594647645950317

 $00:16:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.180$ In the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89856618642807

00:16:19.720 --> 00:16:49.920 Just about 102 Seven 800 millisecond long on the X axis so these are the heat map descript. The decoded position virtual position of the animal on the linear track and you see they look like projectors, so this was done in a 2011 now in my lab. We've reproduced these effects it. In fact, on a

new data set and this is actually a data set recorded in the particular completely independently and published as partly replication of the phenomenon in 2016.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889402329921722

00:16:49.920 --> 00:17:05.280 We re analyze their data and found the same thing to happen in a different data set different set of animals an quite interesting. Lee across to environment so the sleep was in one environment and then the run was in a different environment, so this speaks to the network reconfiguration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913158059120178

 $00:17:05.890 \rightarrow 00:17:12.880$ And sort of argues that the cognitive mapping is driven by external environment is probably secondary to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881710708141327

 $00:17:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.880$ To the network reconfiguration, so we propose granite through the development of ideas in this field, we propose that the hippocampal network generates preconfigured patterns or configuration of patterns that are later being selected, and used to encode Noble information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.495715588331223

 $00{:}17{:}32.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.360$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884500324726105

 $00{:}17{:}33{.}900$ --> $00{:}17{:}54{.}780$ This is a cartoonish description of what I just said. This is the dominant it was the dogma in the field prior to 2011 by which externally driven inputs, extended driven activity occurs in Naper campus is the animal runs on a linear track and if one looks in the sleep following that experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882152140140533

 $00:17:55.380 \rightarrow 00:18:05.800$ Sees that the sequence of play. Selectavision is now replayed as the major remaining the only thing that happens in that network without much any other sequence occurring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883438646793365

00:18:06.300 --> 00:18:18.590 If one would look as people did being asleep before when will not find such patterns of activity so this was dubbed a blank slate or a double as a type of network in which everything is created.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861999213695526

 $00:18:19.160 \rightarrow 00:18:28.490$ The novel during an experience and then replay it for a few hours and then the board is or erase again ready for a new type of information to being called the next day or next experience.

 $00{:}18{:}29{.}120$ --> $00{:}18{:}36{.}280$ So that was a pretty powerful model in quite intuitive for a lot of people and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883958876132965

 $00{:}18{:}37{.}910$ --> $00{:}18{:}42{.}650$ We have to somehow change that that vision be given our data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906351864337921

00:18:43.240 --> 00:19:02.270 So what we're proposing and we propose back in 2011 and a few years later is that indeed the place cells? Do fire in a sequence is the animal runs and we do see this replay of activity, matching the way the neurons head fire during the experience. But there are other sequences, there occur in the network that are not significantly correlated with this sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884938776493073

00:19:03.180 --> 00:19:18.160 And even more importantly, the same neuron had fired in the same order in asleep before the experience so the network is pretty confident ever has those patterns on going all the time and it's it's providing this patterns and then associating that type of neural activity with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920804083347321

 $00{:}19{:}18{.}710$ --> $00{:}19{:}22{.}770$ With the external environment stimuli from the external environment and then becomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900390446186066

 $00:19:23.350 \rightarrow 00:19:30.910$ An index for retrieving that type of information later on is like if you like a cell Phone number that here may not have a lot of meaning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899731874465942

 $00:19:31.530 \rightarrow 00:19:38.490$ But once it becomes yours, then it means a lot of different things. But the Phone number was there before you was not created necessarily for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900778532028198

 $00:19:40.640 \rightarrow 00:19:55.450$ A few remaining questions were still on the table at the time the argument here was that everything here is 100% replay of that activity and it's simply our inability to detect the significant correlation of all the other patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105062484741

 $00:19:56.000 \rightarrow 00:20:12.570$ But they they they are all 100% replay so we couldn't really address that here because we had the animals exposed a single linear track. So we did a different experiment in which we let the animals run on 3 different tracks and they lead to 3 different types of activation of of neurons.

 $00:20:13.190 \rightarrow 00:20:18.900$ And then we found a replay here in red for track one in yellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809597551822662

 $00{:}20{:}21{.}290$ --> $00{:}20{:}27{.}190$ For Track 2 and then in blue for tractor E and then we found pre playful for that, like so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.884319722652435

 $00{:}20{:}28{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33{.}500$ Proposed in the study that in fact, the sequences exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859467387199402

00:20:34.010 --> 00:20:42.740 Prior to the very first experience on a linear track and they're selected online and associated with stimuli from this very environment to encode that information and they're later replayed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88189971446991

 $00{:}20{:}43{.}380$ --> $00{:}20{:}46{.}820$ A few questions actually a lot of questions have been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852159321308136

 $00:20:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.360$ Raised by this type of a model some of which I've brought into the lab here at Yale and in the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.900838971138

 $00:20:54.960 \rightarrow 00:20:57.590$ Next part of the presentation I will show 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887915551662445

00:20:58.130 --> 00:21:24.730 Completed studies that that will address and explain and hopefully solve those issues, so one is what is the capacity of the hippocampal network to pre play or preconfigured future patterns of activity? Is there a limit given that we can detect those significant events. Is there a limit to the capacity after which may be confusion occurs in the may be repeated experience needs to this to be performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884203553199768

00:21:26.200 --> 00:21:45.170 So network reconfiguration network capacity is one aspect and the other one is given. This a pipe patterns occurring before can, we move from the core relative domain. Can we move to the predict if a man can, we look at those patterns that recur during sleep an?

NOTE Confidence: 0.910329818725586

 $00:21:45.940 \rightarrow 00:21:51.630$ For the time being, ignoring anything else that happened in the environment predict some part of the of the way.

 $00{:}21{:}52{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55{.}830$ How the neurons will fire in pretty much any next environment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814713597297668

 $00:21:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.560$ So this is a?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887939035892487

00:21:59.420 --> 00:22:21.970 I have one slide in between, but then I will I will go to that description. So here is what we found in the in the 3 tracks in the previous slide was a cartoon we find around 7% of the frames there occur during the same sleep to be correlated with each of the 3 tracks and a lot of capacity of the network was left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883347690105438

 $00:22:22.700 \rightarrow 00:22:32.450$ In Gray area, possibly too quickly code new information. So we thought they the network is pretty efficient given at least the way we run the our experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917393863201141

 $00{:}22{:}33{.}410$ --> $00{:}22{:}37{.}640$ There is not a lot of overlap between these the content of these frames so there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879897356033325

00:22:38.860 --> 00:22:43.960 Probably activating different tractors and it was sequential activity across time during the sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881281912326813

00:22:45.380 --> 00:22:53.480 Is the cartoon of how we envision the network sequential activity? This is during the sleep individual cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858424186706543

 $00:22:53.990 \rightarrow 00:23:05.780$ I often use this analogy with with this subway map and we're not too far from New York or Boston so which we probably witness in reality, what it what it's it's a special map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90398234128952

 $00:23:06.460 \rightarrow 00:23:18.620$ In the station so each cell is noted by capital letter and in each cell could be envisioned as a station and then a sequence through those stations would essentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899077713489532

 $00:23:19.190 \rightarrow 00:23:37.990$ Denote the line so here is the red line running through several stations here is the blue line. This will be tracked to you. Notice

that sells BNC can participate in Encoding multiple information. This is another way of efficiently. I guess storing and Encoding and storing information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882199347019196

00:23:38.540 --> 00:23:52.060 Finally, another example of the Green Line and just one Gray line that we find occuring during the sleep activity and then it's not yet allocated in this in this design of 3 only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910745084285736

 $00:23:52.830 \rightarrow 00:24:19.550$ So that the fact that we can compute the percentages of individual frames allocated to future experiences allowed us to generate in principle. I an estimate the capacity of the network. So here are the 3 points shown here. They each take around 7% of total about 20% of of network capacity and we simply do the linear extrapolation of the activity and found it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838977515697479

 $00:24:20.400 \rightarrow 00:24:31.290$ It is unlikely that the network a pastoral exhausted before the animal has been on 15 tracks in each others to directions, which are this. The sales are directness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867499470710754

 $00{:}24{:}32{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38{.}160$ Specific they have specific directions productivity, so this will be 30 templates so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847751021385193

 $00:24:38.750 \rightarrow 00:24:44.070$ There's a static view this does not assume any plus this day going on and does not assume multiple rooms and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898080110549927

00:24:44.770 --> 00:24:56.930 We thought, This is a good approximation of what might be happening certainly bigger than 0 as people. Speaking out because people have strong before, but he left the left some questions about the size of this capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87994658946991

 $00{:}24{:}57.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.260$ So we decided in one of the study to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757094204425812

 $00:25:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.790$ The.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882227778434753

00:25:04.920 --> 00:25:12.730 Trade off we think between speed of encoding information, which people it could be useful for an then capacitively network, which may appear to be limited at somebody.

 $00:25:13.270 \rightarrow 00:25:36.930$ So another way to display just what I showed about 2 slides ago with the with the hexagons in the cartoon is this way, so each circle is a cell and then the black cells are cells that are active in a certain environment. This will be during sleep. This will be during the run an the internal model that we proposed of extended sequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902301907539368

00:25:37.460 --> 00:25:54.840 Will be described just like that? You have a long sequence of activity during the sleep and then very similar not identical, but very highly correlated sequence during the run, so this will have a very high efficiency of encoding information because there is not a lot of pluses to a new one shot learning can occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870775163173676

 $00{:}25{:}55{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}000$ But you have as I mentioned somehow low capacity of about 15 tracks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694714248180389

 $00:26:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.480$ The.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881435930728912

 $00{:}26{:}02{.}880$ --> $00{:}26{:}15{.}840$ Dogma in the field before 2011 with externally driven activity will basically have a blank slate in the sleep. So this will lead to very high capacity of encoding information you just encode on the run anything that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869495272636414

 $00{:}26{:}16{.}560$ --> $00{:}26{:}24{.}610$ Drives the selectivity and their multiple of those but whoever low efficiency because you most likely need to establish trust is to be repeated activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917577147483826

 $00:26:25.850 \rightarrow 00:26:27.590$ So we were wondering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86294686794281

 $00:26:28.440 \rightarrow 00:26:37.510$ Weather amid model would be able to achieve high capacity in high efficiency and a good analogy could be with language here that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859490275382996

 $00:26:38.090 \rightarrow 00:26:49.940$ You have long sentences that exist in the vocabulary and just use those and just make it up. Today right give it a few times and then in the you, you deliver it with some editing every time.

 $00:26:50.490 \rightarrow 00:27:16.540$ Were you created the novel right? That is not going to be super efficient or you? Can have slides or you can have words in other vocabulary that exist. You don't need to create them letter by letter on the spot, but then you can combine them in multiple possible ways eventually and create new centers in right now. Those with pretty much the same vocabulary. So we were inspired by linguistics and we we tried to see whether this model is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.367705374956131

 $00:27:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.220$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882142961025238

 $00:27:20.040 \rightarrow 00:27:32.110$ Back to the set of hypothesis and questions that I launched within the last three slides or so. the Super Campus expressed productive codes? Is this strong correlation between a sleep in future run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839059293270111

 $00{:}27{:}33{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}810$ Possibly leading to some predictive code, or is just a quality of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860815584659576

00:27:38.500 --> 00:27:57.750 The second what is the underlying Arolla Syntax and then here I mentioned this chunking of information in a shorter neural sequences and finally I have to get to that by the end. When and how does temporary compressed sequence coding emerged during the animal development right? How does this activity emerges even?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852865159511566

 $00{:}27{:}58{.}590$ --> $00{:}28{:}06{.}290$ So the first 2 questions were answered in a study led by Cafe Lu Associate in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876359403133392

00:28:06.800 --> 00:28:30.260 And here is the classic design that we use a lot of people use in starting place of sequences that is to put to let animals sleep in a naive state and this will be the pre run sleep. Everything is related to this run, then the animals run on a linear track is 1.5 meters long. So it allows expression of a a good long sequence in a good number of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858982384204865

 $00{:}28{:}31{.}980$ --> $00{:}28{:}41{.}390$ On the track and then we let the animals sleep and we look at replay replay, and we can study the predicted patterns from pre to run.

00:28:42.120 --> 00:28:53.680 We let the animals run again and sleep again and then we also let the animals around on the next 2 tracks. As I shown and then they slip again? So this time, then income income passes that all that activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592334747314

00:28:55.110 --> 00:29:22.720 But for the time being, a lot of activities related to the program slip. I don't know activity. So what did we find here is something that we've reported but we look at the patterns slightly different so this is a collection of about 76 else. Not all of them shown and the focus is or not two cells that like to fire in this order so in that describe your dinner at the Top cell likes to fire before the bottom cell in this frame and this frame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.431066513061523

00:29:23.380 --> 00:29:24.180 Ann.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88716983795166

 $00:29:25.630 \rightarrow 00:29:36.390$ Fires in the opposite order in the middle frame and then again, resume its preferred order. What looks like before order and then their frames in which one so far is the element does not so this is a good?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942467570304871

 $00{:}29{:}37{.}120$ --> $00{:}29{:}40{.}340$ Representative sample of what happens during the sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894553184509277

 $00:29:40.940 \rightarrow 00:29:53.870$ So we were wondering how many, the activity that given cell that we decided to look on. It depends on how many cells being active before in that particular order that we were looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.497327864170074

 $00:29:54.530 \rightarrow 00:29:55.380$ And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896810054779053

 $00:29:56.210 \rightarrow 00:30:07.260$ Given our original description of the extended replace sequences. We were thinking. The number is is very large what we found instead is that the dependency order meaning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880707442760468

 $00:30:07.860 \rightarrow 00:30:21.040$ The group of the number of cells that proceed. This cell in activity during sleep. There is repeated over chance is actually 1.5. So it's between one into cells it like to file.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855167925357819

00:30:21.660 --> 00:30:25.500 Like the fire before a particular cells, so you would have at most a triplet.

00:30:26.050 --> 00:30:29.960 They would they would like to recur higher than my channel so that was the starting point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843545436859131

 $00:30:30.500 \dashrightarrow> 00:30:37.170$ Of indicating that maybe the network does operate in this chunk mode word versus long sentence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.503894448280334

 $00:30:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.170$ Model so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910985827445984

00:30:41.330 --> 00:30:46.710 We build a Markov chain model in a transition matrix and all you need to know from this is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872536778450012

 $00:30:48.830 \rightarrow 00:31:00.370$ When we look at order preference in sell order firing we find that we find that preference so some values are highest levels are low for instance, this 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819859862327576

 $00:31:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.060$ 2 likes to fire a lot after sale 3132.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893544852733612

 $00:31:06.560 \dashrightarrow 00:31:12.150$ And this is not always the case so we get a lot of combinatorials here and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817763328552246

 $00:31:12.730 \rightarrow 00:31:20.630$ Can get a probability of sale be filing update and so on for for all the 7080 sales every cord from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913733065128326

 $00:31:21.360 \rightarrow 00:31:25.030$ So we try to use this transition matrix and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895353376865387

00:31:25.990 --> 00:31:30.570 Basically build a long sequence by multiplying this probabilities, so if they proceeds be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861782431602478

 $00:31:31.250 \rightarrow 00:31:38.230$ But the .7 probability and be proceeds, see with say .5 probability that we multiply this probabilities to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876911818981171

 $00:31:39.380 \dashrightarrow 00:31:46.580$ Get at the probability of ABC happening. So so that in that can be allocated to the 30 still long sequence or so.

 $00{:}31{:}47{.}110$ --> $00{:}31{:}50{.}830$ And then we try based on this predicted pattern too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855377376079559

00:31:52.140 --> 00:32:10.230 Investigate whether we can at least reproduce the sleep sequences. So it's Li predicting its own activities. So we testing. This model and we find the numbers that expressed the percentile. It's over a random distribution of a million possible dummy possibilities or sort of possibilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849712312221527

00:32:10.780-->00:32:27.310 So we find that the slip sequences, literally rested 100% file. I mean, it slipped predicting sleep, whereas randomly generated shuffled type of sleep activity. It's much. It's much worse at predicting its own sleep so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909750759601593

00:32:27.810 --> 00:32:31.560 The metal works, but it's not much scientific advancement here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919525861740112

 $00:32:32.260 \rightarrow 00:32:37.490$ So we decided to use this model in trying to predict a sequence during the run based on the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840205550193787

 $00:32:38.380 \dashrightarrow 00:32:41.520$ Pairwise activity of multiple sales during the sleep before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88988983631134

00:32:42.310 --> 00:33:03.020 So here is the sequence of place cells and these are just for example, the two cells that like to fire and sleep in this order and we generated a million possible sequences outside 1,000,000, - 2 possible sequences outside these 2 run sequences direction. One and then direction do not shown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908437967300415

 $00:33:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.430$ So we generated distribution of probabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702119946479797

00:33:08.660 --> 00:33:12.170 Of Placer survey place on sequences from sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705966114997864

 $00:33:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.000$ And we plot it as a log.

 $00:33:16.570 \rightarrow 00:33:23.290$ Value and then it's not log normal lognormal distributed so if you take the log. It's appears normal distributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912585079669952

 $00:33:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.170$ And then we added the probabilities of the exact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891546189785004

 $00:33:27.770 \rightarrow 00:33:47.480$ The probability of predicting the exact 2 sequences from sleep and we assess where do they land in this distribution and it turns out? They they have a pretty high probability. Compared to the shuffle ones there, not the highest predictable probabilities. But they are among the very highest that the network and do so that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888165175914764

 $00:33:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.630$ OK, that was evaluated here for 6 animals in 2 directions of movement soul ended. We ended up with 12 templates to be tested just like that, and here we were plotting is the percentile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84980046749115

 $00:34:00.390 \rightarrow 00:34:12.750$ Where this real sequence lands on this distribution of a million possible cases of play some sequence of equal length and we find initially surprisingly but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882968604564667

00:34:13.490 --> 00:34:27.230 Now, not that surprisingly that sleep is pretty good at predicting the order or constraining the order in which the place. I'll fire in the future run at above 95% are in every single animal at least for One Direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881892561912537

00:34:28.950 --> 00:34:47.870 So this is for very first run, which is adjacent to the sleep that the animal has just they will just recorded from when we went back to this time and kind of run activity, but all refer to the verifier sleep. We find a very similar pattern. This will be the chance level this will be the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931673049926758

00:34:48.490 --> 00:34:50.930 Distribution if there would be no predictability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860608637332916

00:34:51.540 --> 00:35:02.000 Future run sequence from sleep proceeding sleep. But here we find a very skewed very close to 100%. I'll distribution for all run activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866369247436523

 $00:35:02.790 \rightarrow 00:35:20.530$ That followed the slip session there is adjacency. Temple adjacency effect in which the first sequence is better predicted, and the

following ones. But they both they will significant and finally we validated is finding on the other data set that we've been working on from the Jackie Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851962208747864

 $00:35:21.050 \rightarrow 00:35:36.490$ They recorded from 4 animals times 2 directions of movement. There was 8 templates 8 sequences and we find 6 of them to be at 190 above 9595% that so the metal works across multiple datasets an it's now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783552646636963

 $00:35:37.320 \dashrightarrow 00:35:40.800$ Hear from a lab in Oxford, it works for them, too, so that it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873613655567169

 $00:35:41.650 \rightarrow 00:35:48.420$ We hope to be able to use it and more people to use it to decode activity on multiple aspects of brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716029524803162

 $00:35:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.490$ Research so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908616781234741

 $00:35:52.210 \rightarrow 00:36:07.640$ This indicates the sleep has says the ability to generate patterns. That constrain how the network will fire when the animal goes out in the world to run regardless of the external environment, so there's something that the external I cannot bypass entirely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862513601779938

 $00{:}36{:}08{.}380$ --> $00{:}36{:}19{.}550$ So we decided to look at the prediction of the predictive coding from its complimentary part which is the prediction error. So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.872816503047943

00:36:20.130 --> 00:36:29.360 We decided that external environment when I decided that we realized that external does influence. This this sequential activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917205035686493

 $00:36:29.940 \dashrightarrow 00:36:35.320$ And we try to understand what happens with that change in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850228548049927

 $00:36:35.980 \rightarrow 00:36:50.780$ And predicted activity so here we're starting from the run sequence and this is a cartoon, but really look looks just like that. There they look like that. We have a sequence of place cell firing abcd and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876732468605042

 $00:36:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.570$ That occured during the run.

00:36:55.640 --> 00:37:08.220 But was not predicted that 100 percentile from the activity during sleep. In fact, the sleep predicted. This sequence to occur. Maybe the at its best right so we know something must have happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883649110794067

 $00:37:08.800 \rightarrow 00:37:16.340$ Between sleep and run such that this cells, called see that was predicted to fire in this location between G and age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842829525470734

00:37:17.100 --> 00:37:39.920 Now fires between BND and we essentially got to this by exhaustively moving the place. One place sell at the time and recomputing. The predictability from sleep and we found this. This factor right so we decided to use this editing of network activity to test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848978042602539

 $00:37:40.660 \rightarrow 00:37:50.440$ The prediction error signal so in fact, we divided the pairs functional pairs between adjacent neurons in 3 classes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841627717018127

00:37:51.000 - 00:37:53.270 One we call intrinsic unlikely so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87431925535202

 $00:37:53.900 \rightarrow 00:38:07.140$ This was not predicted by the intrinsic patterns of activity, so was unlikely by those by those patterns. There's a bit of a phenomenal description is not have any method would do it, but it's a blank intrinsic unlikely type of functional connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87988269329071

00:38:07.750 --> 00:38:10.480 Or you can call it also new functional connection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900640487670898

 $00:38:11.230 \rightarrow 00:38:23.150$ There's a class of connections that remain unedited. They didn't seem to contribute to this prediction error and finally there. Some connections there were lost the connections that were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896761178970337

 $00:38:24.020 \rightarrow 00:38:32.180$ Sort of indicated to be strong enough during sleep that did not last till the next run session, so we call this intrinsic likely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885157823562622

 $00:38:32.880 \rightarrow 00:38:47.080$ Right so we have these connections here between BND which was lost because she is now in between. And these 2 connections were lost because see moved and then 3 new connections were were created and then a lot of them remain unedited.

00:38:47.830 --> 00:39:14.530 So 1st question was where does this editing happened preferentially an does it happen preferentially and we find that particular at the end of the tracks. This is the middle to end either 2 and then they kind of flipped so we compare middle and ends and we find that most of the editing either in as we call it location extractor location, insert happen at the ends compared to the middle of Jackson at the ends this, where this is where the reward is which has been previously associated with protection error signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767135679721832

 $00:39{:}15.160$ --> $00{:}39{:}20.010$ And also there more cues there supposed to running on a track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83592814207077

 $00:39:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.810$ So we decided to look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901898622512817

 $00:39:24.460 \rightarrow 00:39:29.550$ At the predictability of these functional connection from the slip before compared with asleep after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920898795127869

 $00:39:30.190 \rightarrow 00:39:39.380$ If experience change something in the network is there any type of preferential consolidation or increase of those connections over the others?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878876566886902

 $00:39:40.250 \rightarrow 00:39:49.200$ And again surprisingly, but probably not so surprisingly the intrinsic unlikely connections were stronger in the post run slip compared to the previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883669674396515

 $00:39:49.940 \rightarrow 00:39:53.600$ But not the unedited which didn't seem to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883305549621582

 $00:39:54.460 \rightarrow 00:40:02.850$ Value and then not intrinsic likely, although in the book, Jackie data set. They actually went down so there's a penalization on the fact that they have not been used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868772208690643

 $00{:}40{:}03{.}530$ --> $00{:}40{:}07{.}840$ In the run, so here is a summary of the data showing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860137939453125

 $00:40:08.400 \rightarrow 00:40:10.930$ This is the difference between post and pre run.

 $00:40:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.240$ Activation of this of this functional connections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901274025440216

00:40:15.860 --> 00:40:26.000 So a simple cartoon model will show the network has a lot of potential connectivity between neurons when the animal runs this will be during pre run sleep?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891682088375092

 $00{:}40{:}26.750$ --> $00{:}40{:}34.950$ When the animal runs this is the sequence. There is being activated a lot of it comes from constraints living sleep, some of it comes from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.697151362895966

00:40:36.770 --> 00:40:38.030 Factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891581892967224

 $00:40:38.730 \rightarrow 00:40:48.390$ Postley probably from the external environment and then these connections are stronger. The thickness of the line will be showing that these are strengthen compared to before but not the rest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530235290527344

 $00:40:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.860$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928761959075928

00:40:54.000 --> 00:41:06.040 We decided to go further with this type of analysis in the predictive coding and prediction error and understand the nature of the chunking of activity and 1st of all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879519701004028

 $00:41:06.710 \rightarrow 00:41:16.900$ Demonstrated that exists So what we did. We took the long sequence of places and we chopped it into and kept the order of place cell firing within each half, but then we swap the haves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87556117773056

 $00:41:17.440 \rightarrow 00:41:23.840$ Such that there's a new connection here, but all the other connections are the original ones. They look are doing the run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909015715122223

 $00:41:24.410 \rightarrow 00:41:37.830$ And we did that for smaller and smaller chunks of Placer activity and we did that multiple ways of one of them was by number of cells, including in each chunk essentially looking for? What is the size of the essential chunk that when?

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133249282837

 $00:41:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.310$ Reduced affects the predictability from sleep an?

 $00:41:43.250 \rightarrow 00:41:52.260$ To our satisfaction we find that initially, dropping the cells. The place of sequences in half and simply swapping the order of the two house.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872503101825714

 $00:41:52.930 \rightarrow 00:42:02.830$ Or 3 or anyway, bided by cell number will be about the same thing did not affect the predictability so that that's a sin away robust effect but when we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837153077125549

 $00:42:03.640 \rightarrow 00:42:15.790$ Essentially affected the organization of place cells as it occured to 2. Three and 4 cell type of size right so we chopped? Which of the network too?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896350383758545

00:42:16.450 --> 00:42:24.930 2 details we probably affected something that was a building block. There was required for this type of predictability to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874152719974518

 $00:42:25.560 \rightarrow 00:42:29.690$ The same thing as shown here is a difference too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774468302726746

 $00{:}42{:}30{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31{.}190$ 100% tile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909067451953888

 $00:42:32.090 \rightarrow 00:42:33.040$ Essentially, the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.518630146980286

 $00:42:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.600$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892426013946533

00:42:35.410 --> 00:43:04.310 This would indicate that there is something about a triplet plus minus one cells that that could be a fundamental unit of organization in the hippocampus and then we went on to look for them are are groups of neurons firing in exact same or the repeating higher than by channels and what is the size of that junk and we find again this is a this plot against percentile among shuffles everything signific will be above the dotted line and we find that triplets are indeed the most frequent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875170767307281

 $00{:}43{:}06{.}300$ --> $00{:}43{:}13{.}110$ Sizes would chunk chunk of sequential activity that occurs higher than by chance, but also doublets and then.

 $00:43:13.840 \rightarrow 00:43:17.030$ Groups of 4 cells so to group these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787276566028595

 $00{:}43{:}17{.}840$ --> $00{:}43{:}21{.}030$ Short sequences into one word we use the word tablet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870810687541962

00:43:21.570 --> 00:43:29.240 That is not a triple is not a quadruplet it. It's something that that hopefully will will define what is happening here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.920039653778076

00:43:31.680 --> 00:43:33.900 How does it look how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88528311252594

 $00{:}43{:}34{.}990$ --> $00{:}43{:}45{.}040$ How the templates are tablets look in a sleep and in particular with regards to how these neurons this tablets will be played during the run's place else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871242702007294

 $00:43:45.560 \rightarrow 00:43:55.240$ We marked all the places we found in this particular animal by the location of the two cells there occur during the sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905418157577515

 $00:43:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.120$ In this order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905011057853699

 $00:43:57.770 \rightarrow 00:44:07.800$ And with a certain time lag how they ended up being places. It's it's too much here to mark all of that. I think it would be a lot of animation required. But we are very interested in those that ended up being adjacent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878948867321014

00:44:08.580 --> 00:44:18.200 So the sales that, like to fire 1 after the other and they were playing. Also, 1 after the other's place cells and there's a number of those, though not all of them have that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891075909137726

00:44:18.760 --> 00:44:37.100 So then we computed the correlation between try to estimate if there is any correlation between the distance in time. During the sleep and the distance in space. They are actually being decompressed right. This time they're not being compressed that they've been decompressed from uh.

 $00:44:37.930 \rightarrow 00:44:47.420$ Compressed way of firing during the sleep into a place cell sequence during the run and we find that correlation exists for both 2, three and 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883852601051331

 $00:44:48.430 \rightarrow 00:44:49.510$ Long sequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873344838619232

 $00:44:51.910 \rightarrow 00:45:03.670$ Alright so this looks like a very interesting and never been shown to be honest never been probably conceived that the brain network in the C1 area functions. Not quite in long extended sequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894923388957977

 $00:45:04.180 \rightarrow 00:45:14.430$ Which they caught the whole network but in fact in this small chunks and we were wondering whether the size of what the group of limited group of known as they were recording from could be a factor in determining the size of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869576513767242

 $00{:}45{:}14{.}960$ --> $00{:}45{:}21{.}420$ So again we went to the our data set also to the our friends data set which had more cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.595425367355347

 $00{:}45{:}21{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22{.}410$ And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717216551303864

 $00{:}45{:}22{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25{.}310$ Plotted the correlation or plotted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894809305667877

 $00{:}45{:}26{.}150$ --> $00{:}45{:}34{.}620$ A scatterplot essentially of average tuplet length as a function of number of sales recorded and found that that number stayed around 3:00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86091947555542

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}290$ --> $00{:}45{:}45{.}000$ Weather we had 30 sales recorded over 100 by cells being recorded, so we've done this phenomena's newer codons because there seems to be some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846995115280151

 $00:45:45.970 \rightarrow 00:45:55.940$ Relative rigidity to this, the size of the minimal group of neurons that do fire in more more than by channels in the exact same order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879664838314056

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57{.}460$ In the network.

00:45:58.330 --> 00:46:17.170 And then since triplet now seems to be the unit then we simply play the 2nd order. Markov chain model in which we use, not just the previous sale. But the previous 2 cells to predict activity book to constrain activity during the run at the sequential level from sleep and we find that that is also the case. These are the percentiles operate ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886616051197052

 $00:46:18.150 \rightarrow 00:46:33.310$ So coming back to our model, we can now reveal what were sitting before that we believe there is an internal organization there is interplate motives that can ensure the network to have high capacity high efficiency as opposed to the other two models, which wich gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849366545677185

 $00:46:35.720 \rightarrow 00:46:41.110$ In words supercouple network generates prediction and productive in prediction error codes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.0984161272644997

 $00:46:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.030$ If.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847221672534943

 $00:46:43.190 \rightarrow 00:46:51.750$ If you navigate very well in the sensory type of literature. Predictive coding is much more use. Their I think with the first uses in the pro campus, but is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851498246192932

 $00:46:52.580 \rightarrow 00:47:03.880$ It was a pretty attractive type of term to use an second neuron 2 plates are hypothesize to represent the building blocks of people compliment organization and temporal sequences in the middle model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879063904285431

00:47:04.630 --> 00:47:19.800 An A question there is always interesting to address preconfigured sequential patterns are used to encode normal space information. Not just there to show the network is configured in some way but it's actually being used to encode novel information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.564085602760315

 $00:47:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.280$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885239124298096

 $00{:}47{:}27{.}400$ --> $00{:}47{:}37{.}970$ If if a lot of structure is present during the sleep before an experience but also in a sleep after the experience I already alluded to this selective plasticity.

00:47:38.570 --> 00:47:48.820 What is the role of experience so one is that but if we still want to look the way most people do but they extended sequences? Do we find any signs of plasticity so here would be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885267376899719

 $00{:}47{:}49{.}440$ --> $00{:}47{:}59{.}780$ The decoded projector of the animal from the sleep before run and here is the decoder activity. These are examples from the slip after the experience and you see, there are fairly similar, but not quite identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883507430553436

00:48:02.340 --> 00:48:12.910 In a sense that the replay. This would be occurs significantly more more frequently than the pre playing so the network represents better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900112926959991

 $00:48:13.680 \rightarrow 00:48:18.700$ The spontaneous activity during sleep represents better the recent experience, then it was representing it before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966024518013

00:48:19.200 --> 00:48:24.130 But Interestingly and these are different methods to look at it. Interestingly, the difference that we would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866782009601593

 $00:48:24.830 \rightarrow 00:48:32.080$ Tentatively called plasticity as it must smaller than the way that the amount of the configuration that ever had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906408905982971

 $00{:}48{:}32{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35{.}850$ It's pretty much like your cognitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816267311573029

 $00{:}48{:}36{.}590$ --> $00{:}48{:}42{.}170$ World before and after listening to my talk is is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871269047260284

00:48:43.500 --> 00:48:50.670 Is pretty much the same plus plus the little plasticity that my talk induced into your but I would not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868415594100952

00:48:51.460 --> 00:49:10.760 I will not be fooled into thinking that you're a completely you know cut ours. Yeah, completely different person or your your cognition is fundamentally different so keeping the scale. We think and experience on a linear track and this is the very first time, naive annual runs on the leaning back. I think it it gets as normal as one can.

 $00{:}49{:}11.520$ --> $00{:}49{:}16.300$ That does induce some pluses, but there is minimal compared to the network reconfiguration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899111032485962

00:49:18.330 --> 00:49:30.510 So quick very quick summary of what I've shown so far in terms of compressed, temporal sequence of firing. This is the phenom. This is how play cell sequence looks like when we decode the activity during the run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846537172794342

00:49:32.740 --> 00:49:58.700 We can estimate the the error or the precision of that method by the decoding by plotting the actual trajectory of the animal. This is the position in this is time as the animal moves from one end of the tractor another and here with the heat map unplugging the decoded position of them based on ensemble are active neural activity, taking all the Spikes is this is not a place in people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877799451351166

 $00{:}49{:}59{.}420$ --> $00{:}50{:}06{.}000$ Anything like that, so we see that the metal is pretty good, and then the phenomenal preplay that depicted already trajectory like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874599575996399

 $00:50:06.740 \rightarrow 00:50:29.710$ Processes before the actual experience I'll introduce briefly the data sequences, which is related to the cartoon that I showed you this is what we believe is happening during state of a solution in which past current and future locations. This is distance in time are being bound within a data oscillatoria event to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856254041194916

 $00{:}50{:}30{.}370$ --> $00{:}50{:}34{.}520$ Potentially induce plasticity the one that we've described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844500124454498

 $00{:}50{:}35{.}110$ --> $00{:}50{:}41{.}780$ And then as the animal goes to sleep. There's the phenomenal replay by which the network depicts projectors the animals has taken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836257040500641

 $00:50:42.630 \rightarrow 00:50:49.140$ And finally the plasticity would just described by which replay represents better the experience then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882597684860229

 $00:50:49.710 \rightarrow 00:51:06.960$ So we think that these phenomena here, particularly and data sequences play a role in encoding of novel information. At least the special one and then the plasticity begins the process of consolidation,

which is a sign that some process of consolidation of normally newly encoded information occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878304183483124

 $00:51:07.920 \rightarrow 00:51:20.010$ So for the remaining of the talk I'd like to investigate. What is the development on timeline of all this phenomenon and link that with the ability of 4 new memory as as we and our audience develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882365465164185

 $00:51:21.640 \rightarrow 00:51:37.250$ So this is what roughly what was known before we started experiment. Uh this is these are audience around post Natal Day 14, their eyes are opening so visual information can start impinging on the network and around P 2324.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825219333171844

 $00:51:37.970 \rightarrow 00:51:53.340$ Another log of what we call in humans, infantile easier the end of that period has been described so the around this age experiences are able to create long lasting map episodic type memories on in in rats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866515934467316

00:51:54.010 --> 00:52:13.290 And on the electrophysiological level around post, Natal Day 17 place sells the Scion place cells appear to mature their place filled meaning they fire in a particular location, but not throughout the environment and finally the grid cells, which send a strong input to play sales actually developed later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896390438079834

 $00:52:13.930 \rightarrow 00:52:22.910$ So these are important timelines, P1517 and P2122 and then be 2324 they were going to use in our experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80021858215332

 $00{:}52{:}23{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}28{.}680$ So these are experiments were led by Guzman for Oak and IMP student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871595740318298

 $00{:}52{:}29{.}260$ --> $00{:}52{:}39{.}930$ And there's quite a bit of methodology here, I thought. Maybe it's worth using one slide to describe it. We've used Silicon probes mobile Silicon probes one implanted on each side of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870406031608582

 $00:52:40.460 \rightarrow 00:52:52.300$ Each of 32 recording size total of 64, the configuration is like that, about 20 Micron. In between the sites and this is how they target CA. One area in one of the boxes for that exactly the Age.

 $00:52:54.770 \rightarrow 00:53:12.690$ So we implant them about 3 days before the recordings. We plan to start recording and then we scan. Those ages is a total of 19 successful developing animals that were recorded from each being naive to to the experience right. We're not when I considering second day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886399269104004

 $00{:}53{:}13.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}15.400$ As part of the experiment for this part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518373966217

 $00{:}53{:}16.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.460$ So we started but well, we ended up with twenty one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878468751907349

 $00{:}53{:}19{.}170$ --> $00{:}53{:}22{.}780$ Recorded rats from P-15 all the way to be 24 hours, their first day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859079957008362

 $00:53:23.500 \rightarrow 00:53:37.160$ Probes are lower to the sea owner of the campus and this is a good case, but not the only one in which we record summer. Currently, 67 neurons distributed across all the recording sites. This is a good hit of 8 out of 8 sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.697846233844757

00:53:38.150 -> 00:53:40.580 Drinks being recording cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827861964702606

00:53:41.090 --> 00:53:50.240 And one stable recordings are obtained and we should experiment, which is the typical pre run sleep. Dinovo first time ever running on a linear track and then post run sleep?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901788890361786

 $00:53:52.150 \rightarrow 00:54:07.780$ One important question was whether the rats at this age are even performing this behavior. And here I'm showing location of their location on the track versus time passed, you can see they initially rest a little bit. At one end, where we place them and then they start running they rest again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874097585678101

 $00:54:08.290 \rightarrow 00:54:13.240$ And then they keep running and they do it pretty much like an adult would do better sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899249851703644

 $00{:}54{:}13{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17{.}870$ So there was never an issue starting from Peanut MP 15.

 $00:54:18.880 \rightarrow 00:54:27.320$ And then we plotted the place cell sequence is just as I showed for the adult but across development again. The novel experience all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914014160633087

 $00{:}54{:}28.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31.640$ As you can see the places are a little bit detuned, they mature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867961943149567

 $00:54:32.270 \rightarrow 00:54:40.930$ As shown before around P17. Even then, there's still room to improve their tuning so we group the animals into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867499768733978

 $00:54:43.230 \rightarrow 00:54:52.580$ They groups so P 1516 and this will increase the cystic power and reduce the number of animals for phenomena that we don't think happen at the single day level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874584496021271

 $00:54:55.220 \rightarrow 00:55:03.920$ So the 1st question was to test where the during the run. Our bodies in decoding algorithm can detect can can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8579181432724

 $00{:}55{:}04{.}450$ --> $00{:}55{:}07{.}750$ Depict the trajectory that the animal has taken so run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.440968453884125

00:55:08.270 --> 00:55:10.020 Decoding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884285271167755

00:55:10.610 --> 00:55:41.800 So this in yellow is the position of the animal you can see that NNN heat map is our decoder. The results of the query can see a bit of errors, particularly the in the middle of the track at 3:15 and then then that decoding improves with age and we show that here. It goes from 11 centimeter error. When I want me to track this time to about 4 but all of these errors are much, much smaller than when we scrambled a identity of cells and run again this so this is a this is proof that the network even as Earl SB15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873082101345062

 $00{:}55{:}41.800$ --> $00{:}55{:}47.600$ Despite not individual cells, not being very well tuned to individual locations in on the track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841588377952576

 $00:55:48.130 \rightarrow 00:55:49.670$ Is able to perform special coding?

 $00{:}55{:}51{.}720$ --> $00{:}55{:}55{.}560$ So the first thing we wanted to know is whether during the run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860446631908417

 $00:55:56.230 \rightarrow 00:56:08.440$ So when during the run the network, the ability of the network to relation rebind past current and future location. Within a data cycle, which we and others think is important for plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859644174575806

 $00:56:09.120 \rightarrow 00:56:24.030$ Emerges so this is an example from the adult this is position. This is time phase and we can see this look ahead and look back type of phenomena. The network does by which past current and future looking are bound within a data cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858523845672607

 $00:56:25.110 \rightarrow 00:56:39.180$ An interesting quite very interesting, Lee only around P 2324, which is the same age where infantile amnesia ends and ability of of rodents to form what looks like episodic memories lost again to the output.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878796100616455

00:56:41.750 --> 00:56:49.100 This emerges at 2324 but we do not see experiment. We do not see any clear sign of data sequences during.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837977349758148

 $00:56:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.480$ Before that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885549604892731

 $00:56:51.240 \rightarrow 00:57:12.500$ This is quantified here we have an algorithm to add this quadrant with this squadron. One and 3 and then subtract 2 and 4 and then divided by the sum so it is an index of how look ahead and look back. This is binding occurs and we find that at P 2324, there is much higher than 95% of chance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862608075141907

 $00{:}57{:}13.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17.910$ And about like the values in the adult but not at 2122.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89564847946167

 $00:57:19.570 \rightarrow 00:57:38.730$ So we decided to compare and test whether the experience repeated experience within the day so in half of the animals that showed up before, but in half of the animals. We let them run again after the second sleep. So there are 2 running sessions and we were wondering that at the same age if that recent experience was sufficient to.

 $00:57:39.560 \rightarrow 00:57:56.150$ Speed up this type of phenomenon and so we, we primarily looked at P 2122 second round versus first run and we find that there is not different whereas B 2324 versus B 2122, so the age difference, dage effect was much longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800365746021271

 $00{:}57{:}57{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59{.}400$ So age was experience has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906586110591888

 $00:57:59.960 \rightarrow 00:58:02.160$ At least attempted to clarify here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884320795536041

 $00{:}58{:}04{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09{.}100$ So this happens during the run and we wanted to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839051187038422

 $00:58:09.730 \rightarrow 00:58:13.820$ When does briefly emergent when does experience there occurs during the round.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310546875

 $00:58:14.470 \rightarrow 00:58:30.210$ Induce plasticity such that we can see replayed representing better the experiment and then pray so this role will be devoted to replay replay across ages. This is in the adult this is just one example of of each particular replaying we find it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878834784030914

 $00:58:30.870 \dashrightarrow 00:58:49.870$ At 3:15 sixteen the network is able to decode and these are at least 6 neurons recorded simelton not single is able to record individual locations. This is position in this is time across time, but no sequence as we saw, here and this is not only the ends we could be somewhere in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87680596113205

 $00:58:50.580 \rightarrow 00:58:53.740$ So the network does a instead of abcd?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808022022247314

00:58:54.260 --> 00:58:58.090 Right later on, and gradually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906734883785248

 $00{:}58{:}58{.}590$ --> $00{:}59{:}09{.}060$ Longer trajectory depicting frames are being detected so it be 1718. We see some signs of sequential activity, both in the sleep before and after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283945083618

 $00{:}59{:}10{.}090$ --> $00{:}59{:}14{.}210$ And up until 2122 replay is not stronger than playing.

 $00:59:15.050 \rightarrow 00:59:29.520$ And finally in what we called Stage 3. We see adult like phenomenon in which both reply reply are present and replace stronger than so experience induced changes that over lasted experience into sleep?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844034671783447

 $00{:}59{:}30{.}610$ --> $00{:}59{:}37{.}740$ This is summarised here in stage one both replaying replayer below chance, then they both above chance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859527349472046

 $00{:}59{:}38{.}340$ --> $00{:}59{:}42{.}600$ In Stage 2, but they're not different and then finally replaced on that replay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891906321048737

 $00{:}59{:}43{.}390$ --> $00{:}59{:}53{.}650$ These individual location depictions are not that fewer that random, they occur in about 20% of cases at this age and then there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816685259342194

 $00{:}59{:}54{.}420{\:-}{>}00{:}59{:}58{.}060$ The Lord Chancellor in the adult so they've never been reported for saying about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860250473022461

 $00:59:59.760 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.610$ Alright so final slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892682313919067

 $01:00:03.600 \rightarrow 01:00:11.250$ So with summarize the age dependent stages in the development of compressed, temporal sequences particular those 3 stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91720575094223

 $01:00:12.310 \rightarrow 01:00:20.940$ In the first one. We think the network performs representation of individual locations. Wichita logically could be quite meaningful that they're not actually been moving much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922194957733154

01:00:24.100 --> 01:00:30.630 Later, the animals start exploring more and more and then representations of increasingly longer trajectory's occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863928198814392

01:00:31.560 --> 01:00:42.250 Network reconfiguration emerges and develops into more longer sequence is probably more complex too. And then we don't detect any experience dependent temporal sequence plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910339891910553

 $01{:}00{:}43.020$ --> $01{:}00{:}49.300$ And finally in Stage 3, which coincides with the emergence of episodic like memory's.

 $01{:}00{:}49.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}51.340$ Describer Adalah brothers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874688565731049

 $01:00:52.010 \rightarrow 01:01:01.620$ We see coordinated emergence of data sequences that are binding past current and future locations. And then experience dependent plasticity in temporal sequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86210972070694

 $01:01:03.260 \rightarrow 01:01:22.250$ So with that I'd like to thank you for the attention and then the lab woodsman in the development cafe in the predictive coding and tablets and the address of the lab and this is the funding starting with seed funding. From here and then growing up a little bit into NIH and hopefully more to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729686260223389

 $01:01:22.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.550$ Thank you.