WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:40:05" NOTE recognizability:0.816

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.188$ A generous introduction I I thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

00:00:04.188 --> 00:00:06.520 for the plug about my family as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.480$ I couple years ago I was asked to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.432$ a a talk to new faculty or something

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:14.432 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.280$ about how to be successful in research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00{:}00{:}16.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.715$ And I I remember telling my family

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:17.715 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.980$ over dinner the night before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:18.980 \dashrightarrow 00:00:20.387$ I was asked to give this talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

00:00:20.433 --> 00:00:21.809 about my son looked at me and said

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:21.809 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.880$ why are you asked to give this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00{:}00{:}22.880 --> 00{:}00{:}23.705$ You're not successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:23.705 \dashrightarrow 00:00:26.193$ So I I think it'd be good for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:26.193 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.957$ and him to get together and maybe

00:00:27.957 --> 00:00:29.874 he can convince you that your

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00{:}00{:}29.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.266$ introduction was way too over the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:32.266 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.838$ But that's all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333 00:00:33.840 --> 00:00:34.872 So can you. NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

00:00:34.872 --> 00:00:37.120 Let's see, are we doing this right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.629987163333333

 $00:00:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.038$ Can you see?

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:00:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.354$ Is this the right one? All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:00:42.354 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.839$ Hopefully people can hear me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}00{:}44.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.873$ So as Jerry mentioned, I after I gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:00:48.873 --> 00:00:52.600 my the title of my talk to Trisha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}00{:}52.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.128$ I I shifted a little bit and said

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:00:54.128 --> 00:00:55.838 I wanted to focus on really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:00:55.838 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.113$ suicide prevention aspects of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:00:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.880$ So the title might be a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:00:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.000$ not as accurate as it could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}01{:}01.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.792$ I I apologize, but we'll talk a lot

 $00{:}01{:}03.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.240$ about interventional psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.492$ Here are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:06.492 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.080$ I get funding from a variety of sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.089$ I'll note that Yale has an institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:11.089 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.758$ conflict of interest with S ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.720$ I'll be speaking about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.080$ That is FDA approved for for two conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.004$ What that means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:19.004 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.544$ the institutional conflict of interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:20.544 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.268$ basically is that Yale owns part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}01{:}22.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.359$ the patent for this and I'm employed

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:24.359 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.509$ by Yale that really above that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}01{:}26.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.327$ All of us have that institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:28.327 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.279$ conflict of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.045$ But I probably talked about esketamine more

 $00:01:32.045 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.556$ than than most people in the department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.064$ So here's my outline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:35.064 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.673$ I'm going to be talking about making

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:01:37.673 --> 00:01:39.438 progress in suicide prevention research

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:39.438 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.719$ and there's two ways that our program

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:01:41.719 --> 00:01:43.602 has tried to approach this and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:43.658 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.590$ is through large data analytics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}01{:}45.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.340$ the other should clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}01{:}47.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.560$ And so just to expand this outline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.360$ I'll be talking about mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}01{:}52.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.759$ 4 projects that we have either

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:01:54.759 --> 00:01:57.184 recently completed or are ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:01:57.184 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.600$ and why are we focusing on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.640$ Many may know but but some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.448$ This may be somewhat of a of new

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:02:06.448 --> 00:02:09.200 information but this is a little

00:02:09.200 --> 00:02:11.524 bit dated but since 2000 really

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:11.524 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.880$ there has been a surge in in suicide

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.195$ deaths in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:02:17.200 --> 00:02:19.512 This this stops in 2016 but if it

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:02:19.512 --> 00:02:22.307 if it extends you would see that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:22.307 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.175$ continues to go up and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:25.175 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.165$ overall number of deaths at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}02{:}27.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.160$ point it's around it's close to

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.560$ 50,000 deaths per year by suicide

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.088$ which is just a terrible terrible

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}02{:}34.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.944$ thing and the rates are going up

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:36.944 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.131$ as well population adjusted rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:39.131 \dashrightarrow 00:02:43.304$ Males die by suicide at A at a greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:43.304 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.012$ rate than than females and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:46.012 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.638$ you know this some of the major risk factors.

00:02:48.640 --> 00:02:51.383 This is not an exhaustive list but

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:02:51.383 --> 00:02:54.110 one of the one of the key risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:54.191 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.938$ that in the field we often allude

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:02:55.938 --> 00:02:58.000 to is that prior non fatal attempts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:02:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.190$ So if someone has attempted suicide

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.629$ before that is one of the key enduring

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:03.629 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.072$ risk factors for for death by suicide

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:06.072 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.560$ in the future for social support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}03{:}08.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.920$ older age, substance use and mental illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.256$ One of the things that we that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:03:14.256 --> 00:03:17.176 think we may be under value or under

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:17.176 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.760$ appreciate and that could help us as

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.520$ we design studies is this risk factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00{:}03{:}22.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.280$ of recent psychiatric hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

00:03:24.280 --> 00:03:26.932 And I'll talk a little bit about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:26.932 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.354$ but just to put this into context,

 $00:03:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.274$ you know there's this is again

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:31.274 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.920$ also not an exhaustive list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.290$ Efforts at suicide prevention can

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.660$ focus on many different areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.798$ identifying risk at risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.464$ individuals treatment means restrictions

NOTE Confidence: 0.74938273

 $00:03:38.464 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.960$ which is mostly getting rid of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:03:41.026 --> 00:03:42.816 guns, but not entirely that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:03:42.816 --> 00:03:45.575 I'm going to be focusing on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:03:45.575 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.755$ second point here in treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:03:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.544$ development and and implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:03:50.544 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.380$ So again these are the the major

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}03{:}54.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.267$ methods we're using to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}03{:}56.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.857$ approach this problem and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}03{:}57.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.800$ and make progress in this area.

 $00{:}03{:}59.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01.752$ So the first thing I want to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}04{:}01.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04.640$ about is related to ECT and suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.120$ A lot of people know what ECT is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.064$ Just as a brief overview for

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:08.064 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.440$ those who may be less familiar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:04:10.440 --> 00:04:12.876 it's a therapy for a fractory illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.083$ most commonly depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:04:14.083 --> 00:04:16.489 It involves passing electrical current to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}04{:}16.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.717$ stimulate a seizure in a controlled setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.175$ and the seizure usually lasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:04:20.175 --> 00:04:21.920 less than a minute or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.735$ And these days anesthesia medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:23.735 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.550$ prevents the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:04:25.611 --> 00:04:27.063 convulsion intensity such that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:27.063 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.878$ the risk of orthopaedic injury

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}04{:}28.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.056$ is essentially 0 and a lot has

 $00:04:32.056 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.000$ changed since 1938 but it still

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.669$ does carry a kind of a a troubled

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:04:36.669 --> 00:04:38.594 history and and public perception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.920$ So why ECT for suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:04:40.920 --> 00:04:43.648 When I was a resident I remember being

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:43.648 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.944$ taught that there are two treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:45.944 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.116$ that have consistently been shown at

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:48.116 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.861$ least two pharmacologic treatments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:49.861 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.010$ have consistently been shown to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}04{:}52.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.755$ suicide that's lithium and clozapine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:04:53.760 --> 00:04:56.920 And so I I remember doing some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:04:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.120$ research with this we Michael Block's

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}04{:}59.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.160$ group and and our group and and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:02.241 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.780$ others published a meta analysis looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.100$ at a side from antidepressants what's

 $00:05:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.000$ the relationship between pharmacologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.592$ and and somatic approaches on suicide

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:05:11.592 --> 00:05:14.774 and and ECT was kind of on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:14.774 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.970$ bubble the arbitrary P value point

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}05{:}16.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.156$ O five was not quite achieved but

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:20.156 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.608$ you see here the composite the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:24.608 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.714$ diamond showing potentially signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:26.714 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.633$ that the EC TS associated with lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.878$ lower suicide risk in in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.960$ But a lot of these studies are older

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.341$ they have some limitations in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}05{:}37.341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.000$ the methodologies they're smaller and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}05{:}42.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.646$ So I I was interested in trying to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:44.646 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.193$ a a more methodologically sophisticated

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:05:47.193 --> 00:05:50.932 modern study looking at ECT and suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:05:50.932 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.956$ So this was a,

 $00:05:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.066$ this was actually like first federal

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}05{:}55.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.978$ award is an R21 and it it allowed us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}05{:}59.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.159$ to acquire data from Medicare claims.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.360$ We we focused on older Medicare patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.488$ There's two ways you can get Medicare in

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:06:09.488 --> 00:06:11.958 in the US One is if you're 65 and older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.120$ the other is if you have a disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.928$ and any any way a patient touches

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:18.928 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.560$ the healthcare system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}06{:}20.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.320$ this we would be able to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.158$ in this Medicare claims database.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.913$ And we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}06{:}24.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.670$ we're fortunate to be able to link

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:26.727 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.827$ this at the patient level to what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:06:28.827 --> 00:06:30.439 called the National Death Index,

 $00:06:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.760$ which is the most authoritative

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}06{:}32.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.399$ database of death in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.382$ So we were designing an observational

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:38.382 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.956$ study and this is basically the P,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.315$ the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:41.315 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.670$ the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:06:41.670 --> 00:06:43.800 the cohort that we're focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.706$ 65 and older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:44.706 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.820$ we wanted them to have at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:06:46.890 --> 00:06:48.338 a history of hospitalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00{:}06{:}48.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.920$ in the last year at least once.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:06:50.920 --> 00:06:53.314 And of course, if they receive ECT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

 $00:06:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.520$ they they would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907256574545455

00:06:54.520 --> 00:06:56.320 if they're in the ECT group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:06:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.560$ they they need to receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:06:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.800$ at least one ECT treatment.

 $00:07:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.125$ Let me talk just briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:02.125 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.920$ about observational studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

00:07:02.920 --> 00:07:05.594 So there's this concept of index date,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.376$ which is the period at AT AT which

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:07.376 \dashrightarrow 00:07:09.040$ you start to measure the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.416$ And that's a really critical concept to

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:12.416 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.160$ reduce bias in observational studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}07{:}16.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.276$ And if you're comparing two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

00:07:17.280 --> 00:07:19.683 as you often are an observational analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:19.683 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.944$ you want the index state to represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:21.944 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.399$ a comparable point in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.725$ So something significant is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}07{:}24.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.920$ on in Group one at the index state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.172$ You want something comparably

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:28.172 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.200$ significant to be happening in Group 2.

 $00:07:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.744$ So for this reason we chose the psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}07{:}34.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.520$ hospitalization as the as the index state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.780$ More specifically the discharge from

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.136$ psychiatric hospitalization because

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:40.136 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.000$ when someone gets hospitalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.408$ obviously something things are

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:43.408 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.520$ not going well in their life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

00:07:45.520 --> 00:07:48.040 they're having a a crisis period

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}07{:}48.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.720$ and they need intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:07:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.880$ So this made sense as as the Enoch

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}07{:}52.880 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}07{:}56.115$ state and what we did here is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

00:07:56.115 --> 00:07:57.798 the again we have these two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}07{:}57.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.376$ the ECT group and the the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}08{:}00.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.800$ ECT group and we exact matched on

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:08:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.806$ basically as many variables as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}08{:}04.806 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.626$ could that had as much relevance

 $00:08:06.626 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.160$ as as we judged as possible on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}08{:}10.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.173$ suicide outcomes and we adjusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

00:08:12.173 --> 00:08:14.039 for for the variables we couldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:08:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.036$ We made adjustments through other methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:08:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.840$ So you see here we get we have large groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

00:08:20.840 --> 00:08:22.100 10,000 in ECT Group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

00:08:22.100 --> 00:08:24.440 A little over 30,000 non ECT group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}08{:}24.440 --> 00{:}08{:}25.980$ And we're looking again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00:08:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.310$ our main outcomes are suicide and

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

 $00{:}08{:}28.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.680$ all 'cause mortality and we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.908328528

00:08:30.680 --> 00:08:32.316 throughout up to a 12 month period

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}08{:}34.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.480$ and our data suggested at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:08:37.480 --> 00:08:39.560 for all 'cause mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:08:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.120$ ECG was associated with a substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:08:41.120 --> 00:08:42.640 reduction in all 'cause mortality.

00:08:42.640 --> 00:08:45.120 This is a hazard ratio of about .6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}08{:}45.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.120$ which indicates a reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:08:47.120 --> 00:08:50.144 about 40% risk in all 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:08:50.144 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.160$ mortality among older individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:08:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.009$ And one of the things that you you

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:08:56.009 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.854$ are concerned about with observational

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:08:57.854 --> 00:08:59.976 data is of course confounding

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}08{:}59.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.920$ because these this is not randomized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.300$ So individual doctors make decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.122$ based on information much of which

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}09{:}08.122 --> 00{:}09{:}10.318$ might not be in the database.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.384$ So one of the ways we're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:12.384 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.597$ get at this is by looking at those

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:14.597 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.073$ who had what we defined as a sub

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:17.073 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.006$ the rapeutic course of ECT and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:19.006 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.127$ you see which we define as less

00:09:21.127 --> 00:09:22.920 than five ECT treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}09{:}22.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.664$ And as you see here those who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:09:25.664 --> 00:09:27.152 a sub the apeutic course at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:27.152 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.146$ for the first six months or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}09{:}29.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.274$ their mortality outcomes track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:09:30.280 --> 00:09:33.674 Those who didn't get any ECT suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:33.674 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.872$ that this is not all confounding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:09:35.872 --> 00:09:38.237 I think there is some confounding here

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:09:38.240 --> 00:09:41.040 but it it doesn't explain explain away

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:41.040 \dashrightarrow 00:09:43.326$ that the whole potential treatment effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}09{:}43.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.432$ So this is a a pretty big effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:46.432 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.947$ especially with with mortality which

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:48.947 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.257$ was encouraging ECT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:50.257 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.851$ Excuse me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:50.851 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.039$ Suicide is an outcome.

 $00:09:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.440$ It's a little more complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.968$ These these are the the panels on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:56.968 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.963$ suicide deaths and for the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:09:58.963 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.441$ six months or so you may see a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:01.441 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.240$ bit of a separation but that seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.160$ to go away after after six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.771$ This is a a different way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:10:10.771 --> 00:10:12.679 showing essentially the same data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.536$ So the the top half of the panels

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:10:14.536 --> 00:10:16.644 look at all 'cause mortality and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}10{:}16.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.120$ bottom half look at suicide, death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.262$ And you see the the all 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:22.262 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.059$ mortality for all times points

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}10{:}24.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.675$ is associated with lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.640$ lower risk of death in the ECT group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:10:28.640 --> 00:10:29.453 And the suicide,

 $00:10:29.453 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.719$ at least for the first three months or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.880$ there is an association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.960$ significant association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.795$ but that tends to wane over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:10:37.800 --> 00:10:40.616 And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:40.616 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.320$ interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.832$ we were not the first group to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.946$ There were actually three or four

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:44.946 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.666$ other groups that pick this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:46.666 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.330$ pick this issue up at the same time

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:49.407 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.797$ and published in other databases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}10{:}51.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.328$ essentially a very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00{:}10{:}54.328 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.132$ analysis such that we're revising

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:56.132 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.597$ and updating our meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:10:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.847$ This is this is not not yet

00:10:59.847 --> 00:11:01.154 published we're preparing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:01.154 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.320$ still but you see here a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:11:05.320 --> 00:11:08.163 studies more recently in 2021-2022,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:11:08.163 --> 00:11:09.492 2020 of much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:11:09.492 --> 00:11:12.150 much larger sample sizes and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:12.233 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.035$ are converging at least with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:11:15.035 --> 00:11:17.292 mortality outcome that that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:11:17.292 --> 00:11:21.464 is a a fairly large association of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:21.464 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.160$ reduction in mortality associated with ECT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:11:25.160 --> 00:11:28.079 So I I think that's pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.810$ The suicide again it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.194$ a more complicated picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.778$ Not all the studies report outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:32.778 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.570$ at the at the same time points

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.650$ but as much as they do or we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.197$ get a little bit of a sense.

 $00:11:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.464$ There seems to be at least in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

00:11:40.464 --> 00:11:42.154 first few months potentially in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897516524

 $00:11:42.154 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.513$ association with a reduction in suicide risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892150197333333

 $00:11:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.059$ suicide, death risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892150197333333

 $00:11:46.059 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.137$ But unfortunately that tends to fade

NOTE Confidence: 0.892150197333333

00:11:49.137 --> 00:11:51.982 the further out you get from from

NOTE Confidence: 0.892150197333333

 $00:11:51.982 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.760$ the beginning of of the study. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

 $00{:}11{:}55.880 \rightarrow 00{:}11{:}57.616$ you know the the key take away I

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

 $00{:}11{:}57.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.681$ would say I would I would have I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

 $00{:}11{:}59.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.328$ pretty convinced that that ECT can

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

00:12:01.328 --> 00:12:02.936 reduce all 'cause mortality and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

 $00{:}12{:}02.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.700$ is seen across several different

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

 $00{:}12{:}05.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.720$ studies including those higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

 $00:12:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.657$ quality more sophisticated more

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

 $00:12:11.657 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.285$ sophisticated methodologies and and

00:12:14.285 --> 00:12:16.948 modern studies ECT mainly to reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

00:12:16.948 --> 00:12:19.418 in suicide in the first six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

 $00:12:19.418 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.078$ but effects seem to diminish over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761384544

 $00:12:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.838$ The unfortunately the data is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.656$ not grainy enough to from for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:27.656 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.860$ at least for us at least at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.524$ point to determine whether that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:31.524 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.351$ to do with the fact that a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}12{:}33.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.045$ people don't get maintenance ECT

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:36.045 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.315$ and and relapses after ECT without

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}12{:}39.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.570$ maintenance can be can be high in

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}12{:}42.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.890$ terms of trying to figure out how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.476$ can make this therapy more available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.280$ Because ECT is only available in

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:12:49.280 --> 00:12:50.996 about 10\% of psychiatric hospitals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:50.996 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.558$ we we did try to do a a naturally

 $00:12:54.558 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.174$ national survey and we we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:57.174 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.408$ fortunate to get about about 1/4

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:12:59.408 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.680$ to 1/3 of all those who do ECT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.984$ There's about 800 docs in the US who

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:03.984 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.436$ do ECT to tell us what they think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:13:06.440 --> 00:13:09.062 They're the most prominent barriers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:09.062 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.204$ either expanding an existing service

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}13{:}11.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.812$ or starting a new service And here you

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:13.812 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.182$ see the three most at least reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:13:16.182 --> 00:13:18.306 problems and and that's lack of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:13:18.306 --> 00:13:20.677 physical space stigma and transportation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:13:20.680 --> 00:13:22.944 When you ask what is a barrier to

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:13:22.944 --> 00:13:24.824 expanding an existing service you

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}13{:}24.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.936$ get lack of space is #3 and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:26.936 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.104$ lack of well trained colleagues or

00:13:29.104 --> 00:13:30.986 a champion And if I were to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:30.986 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.248$ know if I had a lot of power and

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:33.248 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.683$ decision making authority what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:34.744 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.515$ would say are the two things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}13{:}36.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.220$ can most be done to make ECT more

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:13:39.220 --> 00:13:41.160 readily available because it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:13:41.229 --> 00:13:43.881 it's not readily available it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:13:43.881 --> 00:13:46.200 uniformly available it's very patchy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.420$ One would be to adjust the A/C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:13:49.420 --> 00:13:53.120 GME requirement for psychiatric residencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.515$ Right now the the requirement is essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:56.515 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.476$ to to just have exposure to ECT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:13:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.080$ It can be even be just in a lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}14{:}02.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.800$ and you know if you think well in

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.341$ cardiology if all they had to do was

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:07.341 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.972$ to learn about cardiac catheterization

 $00:14:08.972 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.534$ which is one of the most effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:11.534 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.812$ procedures that probably wouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:12.812 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.237$ make a lot of sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:14:14.240 --> 00:14:16.526 But this is this is how it is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:16.526 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.480$ psychiatry And if you know this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.400$ bolstered by the the finding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.493$ about a third of our ECT respondents

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}14{:}22.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.918$ in in that survey had graduated

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}14{:}24.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.564$ from just one of 12 residency programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}14{:}27.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.238$ who were who had robust ECT services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}14{:}30.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.336$ And just to give you a sense there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:32.336 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.730$ about 350 today there's about 350

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}14{:}34.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.724$ psych residency programs and twelve

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:36.724 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.996$ of those led to at least of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:38.996 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.119$ people who responded to our survey,

00:14:41.120 --> 00:14:43.360 about a third of our survey respondents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.697$ The others to allow ECT to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:44.697 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.160$ done in non hospital settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:46.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.600$ This is a federal government issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:14:47.600 --> 00:14:50.117 This is with CMS that typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}14{:}50.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.499$ does not reimburse ECT if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:52.499 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.160$ not in a hospital setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:14:55.160 --> 00:14:58.548 And this you know we're competing against

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:14:58.548 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.433$ other procedures like gastroenterology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:15:00.433 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.598$ cardiology that sort of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

00:15:02.600 --> 00:15:04.320 And unfortunately because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:15:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.040$ the way reimbursement works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:15:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.326$ we're often you know the 1st to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:15:08.326 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.738$ be pushed out or or not have the space

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:15:10.738 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.959$ that we need to to do these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00{:}15{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.264$ And if you could make it so that ECT

 $00:15:15.264 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.720$ be could be done in in ambulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.814239425666667

 $00:15:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.140$ surgical centers and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:15:19.206 --> 00:15:22.096 forth, this would make it a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:15:22.096 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.550$ more a little easier to to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:15:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.100$ space that that this service needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:15:28.187 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.519$ to to to be able to to operate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:15:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.056$ So that's that's all I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:15:33.056 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.080$ to say about ECT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}15{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.795$ You know hopefully these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:15:35.795 --> 00:15:38.230 findings can be of interest in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:15:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.875$ help this become more available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}15{:}39.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.424$ I want to shift a little bit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}15{:}41.424 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}42.543$ talk about intensive outpatient

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}15{:}42.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.919$ programs and and suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:15:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.106$ This is a A the project that we are

 $00:15:47.106 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.215$ just getting underway now and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:15:50.215 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.425$ this idea in part was compelled

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:15:53.425 --> 00:15:56.324 by this finding and this was put

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:15:56.324 --> 00:15:59.948 well by some rabble rousing second

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:15:59.948 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.939$ year residents from this is almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:02.939 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.312$ 30 years ago but they they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:05.312 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.280$ rotating in the child service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.345$ This was during a time when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:09.345 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.502$ average length of stay in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:11.502 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.118$ hospitals was reducing drastically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.312$ And a lot of the staff at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:14.312 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.880$ time were upset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.320$ And the residents asked, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.136$ you know, what is the evidence that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:16:18.136 --> 00:16:19.837 longer length of stay is more beneficial?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:16:19.840 --> 00:16:21.450 And they were told, well, it's actually,

 $00:16:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.040$ there's not a lot of evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.880$ but we think it's helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:16:24.880 --> 00:16:27.401 And you know this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:16:27.401 --> 00:16:29.243 this was actually Jerry was among

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:29.243 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.260$ these these residents who who voiced

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:16:31.260 --> 00:16:33.480 this problem and concern of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.530$ kind of lack of evidence of a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:35.586 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.634$ things we do in psychiatry and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}16{:}37.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.966$ intensive outpatient programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:38.966 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.618$ It really started to proliferate around

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:41.618 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.109$ this time in the mid 90s when managed

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}16{:}44.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.189$ care started to become more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}16{:}46.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.134$ and the length of hospitalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:48.134 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.303$ dropped quite significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:16:49.303 --> 00:16:50.452 And it's these,

 $00:16:50.452 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.750$ these were instituted as a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:16:52.813 --> 00:16:54.691 to manage high risk patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:54.691 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.463$ no longer met the kind of the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:57.463 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.273$ criteria for hospitalization and IO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:16:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.502$ PS were of course much less

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:02.502 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.113$ expensive than hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:17:04.120 --> 00:17:05.432 But again this wasn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:17:05.432 --> 00:17:07.400 this wasn't something where people said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}17{:}07.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.880$ OK, we've designed this treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.357$ it works really well. We've tested it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:17:10.360 --> 00:17:11.708 So let's implement it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}17{:}11.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.232$ It was more you know the financial

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:14.232 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.960$ expedience of of the way healthcare works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.977$ What is IOP IT it generally consists

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:19.977 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.478$ of somewhere between 12 and 20 hours

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:17:22.480 --> 00:17:25.276 per week of of patient interaction,

 $00:17:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.709$ most in the form of group therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:27.709 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.688$ generally time limited to maybe two

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:29.688 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.716$ to three months and it is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:17:31.716 --> 00:17:33.450 used for high risk patients leaving

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:33.512 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.768$ the hospital or as an alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:35.768 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.520$ to hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:17:36.520 --> 00:17:38.662 One thing I should note about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:38.662 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.450$ however is that it's not uniformly

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381 00:17:40.450 --> 00:17:40.740 distributed. NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.320$ I don't think it's quite as bad as ECT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:17:43.320 --> 00:17:45.960 We're only 10\% of psych hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.840$ provide ECT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.152$ But I would say roughly half of the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}17{:}50.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.690$ you know in some states these

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:17:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.040$ these things really don't exist.

00:17:53.040 --> 00:17:57.248 Whereas in in New Haven as a rough estimate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00{:}17{:}57.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.440$ I would say 30 to 50% of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:18:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.560$ leave YPH leave the inpatient units

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:18:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.730$ on Y pH intensive outpatient programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

 $00:18:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.840$ are a key part of their discharge plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:18:08.840 --> 00:18:11.480 So again there's this uneven distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:18:11.480 --> 00:18:14.261 of these these programs and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.819804530952381

00:18:14.261 --> 00:18:16.157 services throughout the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:18:16.160 --> 00:18:20.824 So I we our program, we wanted to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:18:20.824 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.545$ in a sophisticated way what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:18:23.545 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.759$ evidence for them in terms of suicide

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:18:25.760 --> 00:18:28.744 risk reduction and we we want to focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:18:28.744 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.319$ on the period following hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00{:}18{:}32.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.693$ One of the reasons is because as

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:18:34.693 --> 00:18:36.560 I mentioned earlier in the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:18:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.218$ the period following discharge is one

00:18:39.218 --> 00:18:41.960 of immensely high risk for suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:18:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.240$ It's most acute in the first three months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:18:44.240 --> 00:18:47.796 It does come down somewhat after that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:18:47.800 --> 00:18:52.117 but you know it's it's just an extraordinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:18:52.117 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.679$ high risk just to give you some numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:18:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.179$ So the usually suicide rates are measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:18:57.179 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.102$ in per 100,000 person years and the base

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:00.102 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.720$ rate for general population is around this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.612$ This paper indicates 11.4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:03.612 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.231$ It's a little bit higher these days

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:19:05.231 --> 00:19:06.876 unfortunately because the rate has gone up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.536$ but it's it's around 12 to 13 if

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00{:}19{:}09.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.553$ you look at it someone who comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:11.553 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.877$ into the hospital suicidal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.729$ their rate of suicide risk in the

 $00:19:15.729 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.360$ falling hospitalization is 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:19:17.360 --> 00:19:20.538 So 2000 compared to you know 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:20.538 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.940$ or 12 or 13 events per 100,000 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.560$ it's just a huge increase in risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.335$ So in a similar way to the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:19:30.335 --> 00:19:32.358 we conducted the the ECT survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:19:32.360 --> 00:19:35.790 excuse me project looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.080$ at risk reduction of suicide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.480$ we are looking at intensive outpatient

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:19:40.547 --> 00:19:43.317 programs or partial hospitalization programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.370$ There's a difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00{:}19{:}44.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.648$ but it's it's it's subtle compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:46.648 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.288$ to non intensive outpatient care

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00{:}19{:}49.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.872$ following psychiatric hospitalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.920$ and we are going to do this in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:19:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.920$ in the Medicaid population.

00:19:56.920 --> 00:19:59.445 And again we're just getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:19:59.445 --> 00:20:00.960 this project underway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.795$ but hopefully in the next two years or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:03.795 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.399$ we'll have some interesting results and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:20:06.400 --> 00:20:07.758 you know the strength of this project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.637$ what we'll have very large sample sizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.920$ estimated about 100,000 per group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:12.920 \dashrightarrow 00:20:15.960$ Another strength is that this in my opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.710$ this could be leveraged and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:20:17.710 --> 00:20:18.760 implemented relatively easily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:20:18.760 --> 00:20:21.920 If we find that IO PS actually reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:20:21.920 --> 00:20:25.840 suicide in post hospital discharge period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00{:}20{:}25.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.772$ these exist in about half the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:27.772 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.763$ and could we therefore make them exist

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:29.763 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.920$ in the other half of the country?

00:20:31.920 --> 00:20:33.138 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:33.138 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.574$ re make real progress in reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:35.574 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.064$ the suicide rate as is one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:38.064 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.119$ the key goals of the NIH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.493$ Another strength is that it's focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:20:42.493 --> 00:20:45.240 in a context of extraordinary high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.089$ as as always the you know observational

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:48.089 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.959$ studies they they do have this weakness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.916$ There's confounding we have to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.690$ think about critically and then be

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.814$ based on the way claims data works

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:20:56.814 --> 00:20:58.879 which is the data we'll be using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:20:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.838$ The details of programs are limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:21:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.575$ We will be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:21:02.575 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.623$ we do have a a perspective survey that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00{:}21{:}04.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.839$ will conduct as part of this project that

 $00:21:06.839 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.320$ will help us mitigate this weakness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:21:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.512$ So this is a a federally funded project

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:21:13.512 --> 00:21:15.931 that we're excited to get get off the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:21:15.931 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.954$ ground that we're getting off the ground.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:21:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.440$ Gregory is a Co Pi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:21:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.915$ He's a really extraordinary biostatistician

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

00:21:22.915 --> 00:21:25.960 who I've worked with over many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:21:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.128$ primarily based at UConn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00{:}21{:}27.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.880$ He has an adjunct appointment here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:21:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.230$ He's worked with many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.788822896

 $00:21:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.040$ in our department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}21{:}31.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.250$ Katie Cleaning Smith who's a a Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}21{:}33.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.690$ eye on this project and is the head

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}21{:}35.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.678$ of yells out P Services is also a

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:21:38.680 --> 00:21:41.053 key collaborate in this study and

00:21:41.053 --> 00:21:43.384 we we have a group of stakeholders

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:21:43.384 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.328$ who from various organizations who

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:21:45.328 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.204$ will hopefully be able to help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:21:48.204 --> 00:21:49.830 implement any actionable results

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:21:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.880$ that that this study finds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:21:51.880 --> 00:21:55.375 So that concludes my the portion

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:21:55.375 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.663$ I'm going to talk about large data

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:21:57.663 --> 00:22:00.102 analytics and and trying to move the

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}22{:}00.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.157$ needle and suicide prevention research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.960$ I am going to shift now a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}22{:}03.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.377$ and talk about some of the work that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:06.377 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.433$ our program is doing in in clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.800$ And I'm going to start with just some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.880$ the the background of this and limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.715$ in in this space that have existed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.360$ And the fact is that most clinical trials,

00:22:20.360 --> 00:22:22.365 mental illness I've systematically excluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}22{:}22.365 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.880$ those at substantial risk of suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.165$ There's various reasons for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:22:26.165 --> 00:22:28.180 that I won't get into now but this

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}22{:}28.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.340$ of course is a big weakness in it

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:30.404 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.612$ and there's a huge limit of of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:32.612 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.745$ and how are treatments that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:34.745 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.040$ using on a day-to-day basis affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.040$ people at risk of suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.212$ Fortunately at there is there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:41.212 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.863$ efforts that this may be there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:43.863 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.753$ indications this may be changing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}22{:}45.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.756$ There's a number of modern studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:48.756 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.620$ are either ongoing or recently completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.432$ where trials are are specifically

00:22:55.432 --> 00:22:58.600 focusing on on those at risk of suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:22:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.777$ One of those studies that we're a

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:00.777 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.037$ part of right now is sponsored by

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.040$ we Therapeutics as a company that

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.480$ has a strong tie to the department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:23:08.480 --> 00:23:09.539 So Steve Bunny,

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:09.539 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.328$ Seth Fierstein and And Patricia

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:11.328 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.240$ Simon are are part of we and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:14.323 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.163$ are one one side of a multi site

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:23:17.163 --> 00:23:20.255 study that that they are running to

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}23{:}20.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.256$ evaluate a digital the rapeutic in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:23.256 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.996$ reducing risk of suicide behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.600$ So this is a a traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:23:29.600 --> 00:23:31.142 design AA1TO1 ratio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:31.142 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.497$ two group randomized trial where

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:33.497 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.560$ patients are randomized to an active

 $00:23:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.850$ group that has the experimental app

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}23{:}40.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.964$ plus psychoeducation plus treatment as

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:42.964 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.019$ usual versus just the psychoeducation

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:45.019 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.797$ app plus treatment as usual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.150$ And the the primary outcome measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:23:50.150 --> 00:23:52.282 here is group difference in suicide

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:52.282 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.694$ behaviors as measured by the Columbia

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:23:54.694 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.564$ scale following discharge from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}23{:}56.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.678$ psychiatric facility for up to two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}23{:}58.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01.704$ The app is based on David Rudd's work

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:24:01.704 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.888$ using CBT to reduce suicide risk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:24:04.888 --> 00:24:07.796 veterans and it's a you know very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:24:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.870$ very respectable sample size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00{:}24{:}09.870 --> 00{:}24{:}11.940$ almost 400 participants that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

 $00:24:12.008 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.518$ the goal to to recruit.

 $00:24:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.250$ It's one thing that's interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.540221882

00:24:15.250 --> 00:24:16.634 is this is transdiagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.712$ really the the key inclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:20.712 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.403$ criteria is that that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:24:22.403 --> 00:24:24.283 admitted to a psychiatric facility

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:24.283 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.280$ because of suicidal ideation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.630$ We're going to exclude people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.206$ aren't going to be able to meaningfully

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}24{:}30.206 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}24{:}31.945$ participate in a in a app

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:24:31.945 --> 00:24:33.355 program or or something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.040$ But otherwise it's it's a very broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.040$ trial and it and it's transdiagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.998$ So this is a specific trial that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:39.998 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.224$ we're we're one of of several sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:42.224 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.946$ that are participating in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}24{:}43.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.639$ and I'm just going to use this to

00:24:46.639 --> 00:24:48.786 to do a slight tangent on why I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:48.786 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.958$ excited about digital therapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.471$ I know that the speaker next week probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:52.471 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.279$ has a lot more to say about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}24{:}55.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.340$ Digital The rapeutics I think have

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.944$ a the potential to really rebalance

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:24:59.944 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.300$ the funding portfolio for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}25{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.380$ clinical trials and I'll share a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.199$ little bit what I mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.196$ So Para Therapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:05.196 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.520$ I don't have any ties with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:07.588 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.604$ company by the way they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:09.604 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.026$ the first to really be able to push

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:12.026 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.878$ through the FDA a an app that it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.184$ designed to help people with substance

00:25:18.184 --> 00:25:20.893 use disorder engage in in CBT type

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:20.893 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.113$ principles and adopt CBT type principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201 00:25:23.120 --> 00:25:23.353 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:23.353 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.518$ so why am I excited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.054$ why do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:25.054 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.190$ why do I think this makes this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:25:27.261 --> 00:25:29.098 a lot of potential to solve some

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:29.098 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.841$ of the problems our field has and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}25{:}30.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.691$ one of the reasons is because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:25:32.691 --> 00:25:34.197 know the vast majority of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}25{:}34.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.400$ funding comes from industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.430$ This is the just a picture of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:25:38.430 --> 00:25:39.510 the imbalance between industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.175$ funding versus NIH funding and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:25:41.175 --> 00:25:42.525 vast majority of industry funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.558$ is in clinical trials.

 $00:25:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.245$ But up to this point the industry has

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:46.245 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.590$ had no really reason or incentive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:48.654 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.119$ get involved in psychotherapy research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.920$ And you know digital therapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.360$ are not necessarily psychotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:25:54.360 --> 00:25:56.492 but they're psychotherapy like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:25:56.492 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.960$ And so this has the potential to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:25:59.960 --> 00:26:03.462 draw in interest from industry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:03.462 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.914$ and funding from industry to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.950$ fund large clinical trials and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:26:11.950 --> 00:26:13.990 of psychotherapy like approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}26{:}13.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.520$ through digital the rapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:26:15.520 --> 00:26:18.680 Another big problem is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.516$ these things can help us improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:20.516 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.434$ implementation of the rapies.

00:26:21.440 --> 00:26:22.240 We've known for a long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.662$ long time that cognitive behavioral therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:23.662 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.997$ is a is a very evidence based treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.359$ but it's really hard to get in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.262$ It's really hard to find a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}26{:}29.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.917$ the rapist who who sticks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:30.917 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.880$ the model and and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.590$ assigns homework,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}26{:}33.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35.720$ sets an agenda and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.580$ And and digital therapies can help

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.160$ solve that problem as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.820$ So I'm excited about the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.990$ this could help transform psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}26{:}43.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.595$ to drawing a lot more funding from

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:26:47.595 --> 00:26:49.926 industry to develop psych therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:49.926 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.080$ like approaches as well as to help

 $00:26:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.400$ implement those that are shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.792$ to be effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:26:56.800 --> 00:26:58.340 So that's all I'm going to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:58.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.000$ about digital therapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:26:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.780$ Let me shift a little bit to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:27:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.882$ esketamine which many of you may know

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:27:05.882 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.519$ was approved almost five years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:27:08.520 --> 00:27:10.440 It's crazy how time flies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00:27:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.728$ but it was approved initially for

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

 $00{:}27{:}13.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.920$ TRD treatment resistant depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.77429201

00:27:15.920 --> 00:27:18.920 following some FDA registered studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.232$ some key trials for patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}27{:}22.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.440$ depression and suicidal ideation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}27{:}24.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.170$ It also had a supplemental

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.220$ indication that it's approved for

 $00:27:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.720$ depression with suicidal indication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}27{:}30.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.636$ This was the phase two study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}27{:}33.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.770$ The the Yale Depression Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.474$ Program participated in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.888$ The primary outcome here

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:38.888 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.000$ was a 24 hours post dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}27{:}41.000 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.142$ So it wasn't you know necessarily at

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:43.142 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.493$ every time point there was a there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:45.493 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.732$ a difference but but it was enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:47.732 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.600$ to to lead to phase three studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.270$ These were called the ASPIRE studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:27:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.870$ of about 220 or 30 patients each

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}27{:}56.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59.226$ randomized to every patient got

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:27:59.226 --> 00:28:01.336 good standard of care including

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:01.336 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.950$ hospitalization and then half of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.798$ got it as ketamine plus standard of

 $00:28:04.798 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.604$ care and haven't got placebo plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:06.604 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.792$ standard of care plus a new antidepressant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:08.792 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.760$ Every patient got a new antidepressant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:28:10.760 --> 00:28:14.584 So this was enough data for the FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:14.584 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.356$ to say OK, we are going to prove this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.876$ this treatment for depression with suicidal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:28:20.880 --> 00:28:22.842 I do want to just note here some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}28{:}22.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.576$ the adverse effects of esketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}28{:}24.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.760$ either straight from the FDA label.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.440$ The vast majority of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:28:28.440 --> 00:28:30.480 maybe with the exception of headache,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}28{:}30.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.408$ are limited to the one or one hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}28{:}32.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.735$ or so where patients are in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:34.735 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.240$ experiencing the acute effects of escetamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.045$ Headaches often happen later that

00:28:39.045 --> 00:28:41.976 day or maybe the next day kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:28:41.976 --> 00:28:42.832 At most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:28:42.832 --> 00:28:44.972 Escetamine does have a boxed

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:44.972 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.174$ warning of sedation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:28:46.174 --> 00:28:46.561 dissociation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:46.561 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.883$ abuse and misuse and suicidal thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:48.883 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.840$ and behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.200$ I will note that the the risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.389$ suicidal thoughts and behavior that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:54.389 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.314$ simply a carryover from antidepressant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}28{:}56.320 {\: --> \:} 00{:}28{:}59.072$ The antidepressant box warning

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:28:59.072 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.552$ for were suicidal thoughts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:29:01.552 --> 00:29:03.476 behavior because that's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}29{:}03.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.234$ be was designed to be started with

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:06.234 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.559$ initiation of a new antidepressant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.280$ So as I mentioned this led to the

00:29:11.280 --> 00:29:14.130 FDA approval of this medicine for

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}29{:}14.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.030$ depression or suicidal ideation

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:16.107 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.696$ what some folks call MDSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:17.696 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.640$ One of the weaknesses we thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.860$ existed in this protocol was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:29:21.860 --> 00:29:23.672 treatment stops after four weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:23.672 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.168$ that was has the how the studies were

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:29:26.168 --> 00:29:29.680 designed and based on the data we

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}29{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.200$ know that after you're hospitalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:31.254 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.719$ is really high risk period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.610$ One of the key concerns in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.062$ ketamine world at least initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}29{:}36.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38.106$ and and still some to some degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:29:38.106 --> 00:29:40.154 is that you know if it if something

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:29:40.154 --> 00:29:41.550 is a rapid acting antidepressant,

 $00:29:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.895$ if you stop it can people rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}29{:}43.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45.958$ relapse and that is definitely the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.680$ And so are we setting people up for

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.916$ you know a really hard relapse and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:51.916 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.560$ potential you know high risk of of suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:29:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.828$ So based on our work where

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}29{:}57.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.120$ we've tried to combine ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.637$ racimia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}30{:}00.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.222$ ketamine in prior studies with

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:03.222 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.773$ cognitive behavioral therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:04.773 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.178$ suggesting that there may be some way

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

00:30:07.178 --> 00:30:09.446 to kind of combine these treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:09.446 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.118$ in a in a synergistic way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.848$ We have designed a study to to combine

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:17.848 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.700$ S ketamine with CBT and in people people

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.480$ with depression and suicidalization.

 $00:30:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.370$ Originally this was intended to recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.080$ only from the inpatient setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00{:}30{:}29.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31.444$ We've since expanded it to enroll

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:31.444 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.600$ people from the outpatient setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.902743661

 $00:30:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.800$ who also have significant SI

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:30:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.520$ and we are we are running the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:30:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.236$ it's it's this is funded by a an RFA

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}30{:}41.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.039$ a large RFA that's funding a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:30:43.039 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.740$ of studies around the country but

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:30:44.740 \dashrightarrow 00:30:47.553$ this this funds this study Yale is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:30:47.553 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.891$ primary of three sites and patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:30:50.891 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.733$ all patients get esketamine patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}30{:}53.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.598$ with depression and suicidal ideation

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:30:55.598 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.576$ half of them will receive CBT that

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:30:58.576 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.624$ starts about two weeks after they

00:31:01.624 --> 00:31:04.630 they begin esketamine and and patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}31{:}04.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.555$ are followed for up to six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.996$ So our target enrollment is is 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.813$ We should be wrapping up the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:10.813 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.398$ at the end of this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.400$ We are about 2/3 the way through with

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.761$ with recruitment and again Yale is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:31:17.761 --> 00:31:20.120 primary site of of a a three site group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:31:20.120 --> 00:31:24.518 Emery and Alabama are also participating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.848$ So that is the what we're calling the

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:31:27.848 --> 00:31:32.080 CBT endure study to try and again make

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.600$ progress in in in suicide prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:31:34.600 --> 00:31:39.065 So I want to come back again to

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:39.065 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.640$ what what at least I have learned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.480$ I think we've learned in in the depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}31{:}43.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.902$ research program about how to conduct

 $00:31:45.902 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.554$ suicide prevention clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}31{:}47.560 \to 00{:}31{:}49.863$ and again with the context that most

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}31{:}49.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51.718$ trials have excluded those at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:31:51.720 --> 00:31:54.486 There again have been I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:54.486 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.320$ indications that this is changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:31:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.360$ the ASPIRE studies that led to

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:31:59.360 --> 00:32:01.320 Jansen's FDA approval of Escanamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}32{:}01.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03.280$ for depression with suicidal iation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:03.280 \dashrightarrow 00:32:06.151$ Those are some you know well funded studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:32:06.151 --> 00:32:08.993 The ENDURE study is is part of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:08.993 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.177$ number of RF as from the NIH that

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:11.177 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.312$ are explicitly calling for trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}32{:}13.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16.840$ to to focus on suicide prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.592$ There's a lithium trial that was based in

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:32:19.592 --> 00:32:21.412 the VA unfortunately that was negative.

 $00:32:21.412 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.880$ But again we need to do these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.860$ The WE trial I mentioned the SPOT trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:26.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.240$ from Greg Simon that was based in Kaiser.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.768$ The Kaiser Healthcare system was one

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:32.768 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.288$ that focused on suicide prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:34.288 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.200$ and clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.598$ So just a couple of the key takeaways

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:38.598 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.554$ for those of you who do clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:40.554 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.659$ trials or in in other ways and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:42.659 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.731$ know one of the key issues is how

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}32{:}44.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.510$ to identify patients and and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.615$ is actually a a key problem for any

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}32{:}50.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.040$ clinical trial and mental illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:32:52.040 --> 00:32:55.136 It it's it's so interesting whenever I

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:55.136 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.960$ talk about what I do with friends and

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:32:57.014 \dashrightarrow 00:32:58.950$ family who are not in medicine and I

 $00:32:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.459$ mentioned that we do clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:00.459 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.834$ for mental illness and one of the key

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:02.834 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.119$ problems is, is finding patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.104$ You know that that doesn't seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:06.104 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.596$ to make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.904$ There are there's an abundance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:33:08.904 --> 00:33:10.853 people who are desperately seeking

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}33{:}10.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.923$ care but our systems haven't figured

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

00:33:12.923 --> 00:33:15.438 out a way to in a in a good way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.648$ an efficient way align trial recruitment

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:17.648 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.678$ with those who are are trying to seek help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}33{:}20.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.000$ But in in this case if we can recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}33{:}25.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.800$ from Ed settings or hospitals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.320$ then that helps us I think with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.223$ enriched sample and it helps us

 $00:33:31.223 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.035$ because there's a steady flow of

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00{:}33{:}33.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.119$ patients through these settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.842$ And this relates to what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.769782840588235

 $00:33:36.842 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.580$ is just critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:33:37.632 --> 00:33:39.704 if we're going to make progress in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:33:39.704 --> 00:33:40.937 treatment development in mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:33:40.937 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.974$ illness generally is that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:33:42.974 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.640$ bring together clinical and research

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:33:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.080$ missions and academic centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:33:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.060$ This is not an easy thing. There's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:33:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.560$ lot of structural impediment to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:33:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.988$ But it I'm convinced that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:33:50.988 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.440$ we're going to make progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:33:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.272$ this needs to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:33:53.272 --> 00:33:54.757 If we're going to run good

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:33:54.757 --> 00:33:56.068 quality clinical trials,

00:33:56.068 --> 00:33:58.690 this needs to happen not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:33:58.766 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.602$ for suicide prevention trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:00.602 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.356$ but for all all clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:34:03.360 --> 00:34:05.845 Another tricky thing about clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:05.845 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.924$ trials with in suicide prevention is

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:34:07.924 --> 00:34:09.279 you can't tightly control treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:09.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.640$ So we think about RCT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.180$ it's randomized controlled trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00{:}34{:}12.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14.105$ You control the treatments except

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:34:14.105 --> 00:34:16.170 for one that is randomized and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.795$ thereby you can elicit causality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.606$ But in it's not ethical to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00{:}34{:}19.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.920$ that with suicidal patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.876$ And so the analysis generally needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:22.876 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.532$ to be a time to event and an event

00:34:25.532 --> 00:34:26.996 could be well the patient crumped

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:26.996 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.560$ and they need a new treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.068$ they need ECT or whatever could

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:31.068 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.140$ be hospitalization could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.480$ a composite of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.678$ The definition of the event is critical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.345$ but these things generally need

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:37.345 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.600$ to be time to event studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.812$ And one thing I like about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:41.812 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.144$ of these studies, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00{:}34{:}43.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43.912$ they're focusing on outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:43.912 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.000$ that are meaningful to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:34:45.000 --> 00:34:46.900 So suicide behaviors, hospitalization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:34:46.900 --> 00:34:49.275 not just a rating scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:34:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.220$ which are important but more

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00{:}34{:}51.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53.160$ meaningful to patients are do

 $00:34:53.231 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.236$ they have to get hospitalized?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00{:}34{:}55.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57.074$ Do they act as their family member,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00{:}34{:}57.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.158$ actually attempt suicide?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.120$ This is the direction we need to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

00:35:02.120 --> 00:35:03.280 That's a high bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.020$ but I think that's this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:05.084 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.758$ a direction we need to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.425$ Another critical thing is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:08.425 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.757$ somewhat of a nuance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00{:}35{:}09.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.704$ but standard of care needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:11.704 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.000$ be the comparator arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.920$ It can't just be place be for ethical reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.550$ And there's a critical difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.180$ between standard of care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871583221333334

 $00:35:19.237 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.077$ community versus research settings.

00:35:21.080 --> 00:35:22.040 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00{:}35{:}24.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.440$ in terms of regulatory issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.057$ there needs to be a change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:27.057 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.760$ culture where we can't stop a study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.640$ If one, one event happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.798$ these things are going to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.847$ It's it's terrible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:35:33.847 --> 00:35:35.941 but we can't stop oncology studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:35.941 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.717$ when someone dies of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:35:37.720 --> 00:35:39.635 Likewise, if we're recruiting specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:35:39.635 --> 00:35:42.239 patients who are at risk of suicide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.496$ we need to somehow change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:44.496 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.665$ culture that we can't stop a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:46.665 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.960$ study just because of one event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:35:47.960 --> 00:35:50.473 Now if there's gross negligence or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:35:50.473 --> 00:35:52.712 things are not being done properly,

 $00:35:52.712 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.280$ obviously that's an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00{:}35{:}54.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55.905$ But these things unfortunately are

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:35:55.905 --> 00:35:58.343 going to happen and and if we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:35:58.343 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.484$ to make progress we need to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:00.484 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.079$ to to meaningfully conduct studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:02.079 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.400$ in these types of of populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:36:05.400 --> 00:36:08.469 So, so that's what I'm going to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00{:}36{:}08.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.200$ about our our work in suicide prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:36:10.200 --> 00:36:12.784 I will mention just one other study and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:12.784 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.330$ and notably the many of these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.360$ are conducted within the context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.376$ of interventional psychiatry service

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:19.376 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.400$ which is a collaboration between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:22.473 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.957$ university and the and the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.770$ In in our interventional service there

 $00:36:27.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.750$ are three key treatments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00{:}36{:}29.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32.624$ are offered to patients ECT Ketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:32.624 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.740$ S ketamine TMS especially in the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:36:35.740 --> 00:36:37.390 five years with the FDA approval

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:36:37.440 --> 00:36:39.040 our ketamine S ketamine service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.920$ the volume has grown tremendously

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.953$ and you know there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:36:43.953 --> 00:36:46.216 interesting issues you know one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:36:46.216 --> 00:36:48.220 which you know with with the recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.280$ death and and really autopsy reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:36:50.280 --> 00:36:52.134 Matthew Perry you know just really

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:52.134 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.185$ brings to the forefront one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:54.185 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.942$ questions that that we get all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:55.996 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.300$ time in the in the ketamine world with

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:36:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.260$ whether there's a meaningful difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:00.260 \dashrightarrow 00:37:02.560$ between ketamine and S ketamine.

00:37:02.560 --> 00:37:04.984 You know I I was shocked to read

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:04.984 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.106$ from the New York Times that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:08.106 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.589$ coroner the medical examiner judge

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:10.589 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.734$ that ketamine played a contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00{:}37{:}12.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}14.920$ role to Matthew Perry's death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.768$ This brings to the the forefront of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:16.768 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.766$ some of the challenges that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:37:18.766 --> 00:37:20.751 been wrestling with again of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:20.751 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.136$ is there a meaningful difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:22.136 \dashrightarrow 00:37:23.994$ between S ketamine and ketamine from

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:23.994 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.554$ a clinical perspective there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:25.554 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.452$ lot of differences from a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00{:}37{:}27.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.436$ a healthcare system perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00{:}37{:}28.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.040$ S ketamine has FDA approval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.440$ IV ketamine does not have the FDA approval.

 $00:37:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.078$ S ketamine is subject to a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:35.080 \dashrightarrow 00:37:36.760$ very strict drug safety program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.680$ The REMS ketamine is kind of the wild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.886$ Wild West and there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:42.886 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.692$ things going on that that probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:45.692 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.556$ shouldn't be going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.032$ Last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:48.032 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.920$ this is the first I heard of it

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:49.983 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.902$ where the federal government stepped

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:51.902 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.925$ in and shut down a clinic of a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:55.925 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.640$ of a of a Doctor Who was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.410$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:37:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.720$ sending ketamine for at home consumption,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:38:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.950$ at home use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00{:}38{:}01.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.410$ He had obtained licenses in most

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:38:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.037$ states across the US and you know,

 $00:38:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.780$ was treating something like thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00{:}38{:}08.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11.068$ of patients and the DEA came in

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:38:11.068 --> 00:38:12.755 and and and shut him down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:38:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.588$ So there's a lot of healthcare differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

00:38:15.588 --> 00:38:17.358 between S ketamine and ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870468946

 $00:38:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.117$ the way it's regulated and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

00:38:19.120 --> 00:38:21.080 And there's confusion among patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

00:38:21.080 --> 00:38:22.010 third party payers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}38{:}22.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.560$ providers in the general public.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

00:38:23.560 --> 00:38:25.986 This is a quote from Glenn Brooks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:25.986 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.177$ who is probably one of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}38{:}28.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.355$ to start a ketamine clinic in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}38{:}31.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.560$ Manhattan who says, you know, Ivy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.760$ ketamine is the gold standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.356$ So we don't offer S ketamine.

 $00:38:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.120$ And there's a there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.880$ more opinions in the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.320$ in the ketamine world about this than data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.575$ Fortunately we we recently received

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:44.575 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.259$ funding to try to settle this

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}38{:}47.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.730$ question and run a head to head

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.957$ study with you know respectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}38{:}51.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.835$ sample size where patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:53.835 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.360$ randomized ketamine or S ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.148$ We're likely going to call this

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:57.148 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.667$ the equivalence trial because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:38:58.667 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.550$ acronym as it's one of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}39{:}00.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01.950$ center outcomes research and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.068$ excited to get get started with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:04.068 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.720$ We hope to start enrollment in September.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.392$ So I know this is kind of a

 $00:39:08.392 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.958$ whirly of of some of the stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}39{:}09.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11.796$ Hopefully there was a an underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:11.796 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.240$ theme through most of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

00:39:13.240 --> 00:39:15.481 I just want to thank so many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:15.481 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.756$ people who make this research possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:17.756 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.097$ in the depression research program as

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:20.097 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.982$ well as the interventional psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

00:39:21.982 --> 00:39:24.040 service at Yale New Haven Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.994$ some of my Biostats and EPI collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}39{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.065$ or other institutions and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}39{:}30.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32.390$ increasingly you know in the in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}39{:}32.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34.116$ these studies focused on suicide

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}39{:}34.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36.140$ prevention the the emergency services,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.640$ the psych emergency services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:37.640 \dashrightarrow 00:39:40.200$ We're in there all the time and appreciate

 $00{:}39{:}40.200 \longrightarrow 00{:}39{:}42.346$ you helping us to facilitate the conduct

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}39{:}42.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44.638$ of of these important studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.640$ So again thank you to the for your interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.013$ Thanks so many of our collaborators in

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:51.013 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.787$ the in the depression research program

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00{:}39{:}53.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.597$ and and interventional psychiatry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:39:56.600 \dashrightarrow 00:39:58.800$ that is all I have for prepared remarks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

00:39:58.800 --> 00:40:01.355 So I think I'll stop sharing and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

00:40:01.360 --> 00:40:03.020 I think it's time for Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920482672

 $00:40:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.000$ Is that right?