WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:56:14" NOTE recognizability:0.800

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.839396781666667

 $00:00:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.358$ And just swap your screen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839396781666667

 $00:00:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.640$ then we'll be done. Exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839396781666667

 $00:00:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.876$ We have this all nicely prepared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839396781666667

 $00:00:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.880$ of course. That's OK. Perfect. Super.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

00:00:25.440 --> 00:00:26.518 OK, Well, thank you very much indeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00{:}00{:}26.520 \to 00{:}00{:}28.158$ Sorry about that. That that hiccup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00:00:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.320$ No, nothing is quite as smooth as you hope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00:00:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.370$ Thanks so much for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00{:}00{:}31.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.000$ really generous introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00:00:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.918$ You know, it's a really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00{:}00{:}32.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.800$ pleasure and honour to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00{:}00{:}33.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.000$ I really followed Phil's work

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00:00:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.200$ over many years as well,

 $00:00:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.397$ really learned an awful lot from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00{:}00{:}37.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.206$ So. So it's really great to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00:00:39.206 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.878$ here and thanks for the thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429 00:00:40.880 --> 00:00:41.326 That's it. NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

00:00:41.326 --> 00:00:43.110 So the work I'm going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00:00:43.159 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.755$ is joint with a number of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

00:00:44.760 --> 00:00:45.522 So Chris Gagney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00:00:45.522 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.300$ who was a post doc in tubing

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

00:00:47.357 --> 00:00:49.293 and is now a now works for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

00:00:49.293 --> 00:00:50.800 company called Hume in New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00{:}00{:}50.800 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.684$ two research assistants in in tubing

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00:00:52.684 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.920$ and Kevin Shen and Yannick Striker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00{:}00{:}54.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.372$ And then I might also talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

 $00:00:56.372 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.200$ about some work with two of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

00:00:58.200 --> 00:00:59.540 other colleagues in Tubing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.800566788571429

00:00:59.540 --> 00:01:00.798 Kevin Lloyd and Shin Sui.

 $00:01:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.628$ So to introduce this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:04.628 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.457$ imagine the following game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:06.457 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.342$ You're controlling this rather crude

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

00:01:09.342 --> 00:01:12.160 refrigerator like a robot here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.792$ and your job is to get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:14.792 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.241$ this treasure chest here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:16.241 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.488$ And there's a word for getting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:18.488 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.200$ the treasure chest worth worth

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.800$ five points to our subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.200$ There's a cost for falling into

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.640$ these these things which Chris

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.200$ loves to call these lava pits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.692$ There's this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

00:01:28.692 --> 00:01:31.174 this this is the Iceland version of

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:31.174 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.660$ this with the with the volcanoes you

00:01:33.660 --> 00:01:35.480 have when you try to move north-south,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.368$ east and West,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:36.368 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.552$ there are some blockages

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:37.552 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.159$ shown by these brick walls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.972$ And there's also an error chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:40.972 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.970$ of an error of a of a of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.960$ eighth when you try to move.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

00:01:43.960 --> 00:01:45.556 So if you try to go north,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}01{:}45.560 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}01{:}46.590$ there's an eighth chance you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.152$ move in one of the other directions

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}01{:}48.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.853$ instead and then we have a discount

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:49.853 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.029$ factor to try and encourage you

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

00:01:51.029 --> 00:01:52.228 to get to the goal quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:52.228 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.384$ So the question then we pose our

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:54.384 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.014$ subjects is which route would

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:56.014 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.278$ you take given this?

 $00:01:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.840$ So there's a three obvious routes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:01:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.358$ I think there's this route that

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:00.358 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.636$ goes down here through all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:01.636 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.840$ lava hits to get to the reward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.996$ the most direct route.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

00:02:03.996 --> 00:02:06.111 There's a route which goes as sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:06.111 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.013$ of the intermediate route which goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}02{:}08.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.762$ around here and then goes close

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:09.762 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.703$ to this lava but not not the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:11.703 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.040$ main bulk of lava to get to here like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.624$ And then there's this long route

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:15.624 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.346$ that goes around here all the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}02{:}17.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.034$ and then gets to the novel pit that

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:19.034 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.834$ gets to the to the goal in that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.920$ So we administered this to

 $00:02:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.438$ to our subjects in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}02{:}24.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.099$ I promised I wouldn't tell tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:26.099 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.116$ who they are because he's kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:28.116 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.676$ revealing about about your colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:29.676 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.495$ when you do this and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:31.495 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.320$ that there are subjects divided about 1/3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143 00:02:33.320 --> 00:02:33.920 a third, NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.756$ a third maybe a few fewer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}02{:}35.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.254$ So some people took this very

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:37.254 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.920$ direct route to get to the goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}02{:}38.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.500$ Another group took this intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.193$ one and you can see here the where

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:43.193 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.491$ they're being deviated off this route

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}02{:}45.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.993$ by these by these random spots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.380$ And then some other subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.760$ took all the way around.

 $00:02:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.360$ And so the question for this talk is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.943$ what is it that goes on in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:54.943 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.236$ evaluating the risk associated with these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.864$ with these parts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:02:57.864 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.112$ And how do you make these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

00:02:59.120 --> 00:03:00.716 How do you make these choices?

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:03:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.434$ In this instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}03{:}01.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.624$ we're very interested in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:03:02.624 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.080$ case that you're making choices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:03:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.800$ not just a single choice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

00:03:05.800 --> 00:03:07.718 but by committing to this path here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:03:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.671$ you're successively adjusted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}03{:}10.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.848$ You have to adjust yourself so these

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:03:12.848 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.677$ many steps of risk that you get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

00:03:14.680 --> 00:03:15.320 And I think that in,

 $00:03:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.776$ you know a lot of the work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}03{:}16.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.473$ that that we and other people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

00:03:18.473 --> 00:03:19.758 done in reinforcement learning is

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:03:19.812 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.036$ thinking about sequential decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:03:21.036 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.872$ problems where you don't only make

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00{:}03{:}22.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.800$ one choice, you make many choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:03:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.265$ And when those choices are

NOTE Confidence: 0.771251232857143

 $00:03:26.265 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.437$ are infected by risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}03{:}27.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.215$ risk can accumulate on paths

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:29.215 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.635$ in rather interesting ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.136$ And that really is the context of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:32.136 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.849$ talk of my talk to think about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:33.849 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.544$ the consequences are of that and how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:35.544 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.400$ should think about that as the whole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.351$ So the original,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:39.351 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.253$ some of the original thinking about

00:03:41.253 --> 00:03:43.076 risk was actually came from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}03{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.272$ Bernoulli's thinking about what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:44.272 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.661$ what then became known as or what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:46.661 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.317$ known as the Saint Petersburg problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}03{:}48.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.312$ The way that you pose this is you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}03{:}50.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.054$ tossing a fair coin and then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:52.054 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.792$ look at the number of heads that

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:03:53.792 --> 00:03:55.514 you get before you get a tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}03{:}55.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.113$ So if you get one head before a tail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:03:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.120$ you get to €2 or two monetary units.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:04:00.120 --> 00:04:01.712 If you get 2 heads, you get 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:01.712 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.196$ three heads, 8 and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}04{:}03.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04.922$ And the question is how much would

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:04.922 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.754$ you be willing to pay me to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:06.754 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.397$ you an instance of this game.

 $00:04:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.672$ And the the reason why it's a problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:10.672 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.675$ or a paradox is that the expected value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.927$ so the mean value of these of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:04:15.927 --> 00:04:17.800 this sequence of of outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.739$ this mean value of of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:19.739 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.658$ playing this game like this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:21.658 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.363$ actually infinite because with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.520$ the probably of 1/4 you get 4.00

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.996$ probably an 8 you get €8 and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}04{:}30.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.880$ And so the sum value each of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.530$ each of these possibilities is

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:04:33.530 --> 00:04:35.499 worth €1.00 and that would then

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:35.499 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.555$ just go off to the off to Infinity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.136$ And so the expected value is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333 00:04:40.136 --> 00:04:40.872 is Infinity,

 $00:04:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.399$ but the amount that most people think

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:04:42.399 --> 00:04:44.080 how much you'd be willing to pay most

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:04:44.080 --> 00:04:45.329 people will pay you know somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:45.329 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.855$ between 4:00 and 8:00 EUR or four

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:46.855 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.557$ and \$8 to play a game like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.480$ And so that's the paradox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.520$ is to try and understand why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}04{:}51.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.044$ But I think the paradox becomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:53.044 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.831$ sharper or at least the task becomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:54.831 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.862$ sharper when you think of it in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:56.862 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.382$ sequential manner that it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:04:58.382 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.258$ is originally could also be posed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}05{:}00.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.232$ So here you're tossing the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:02.232 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.118$ coin and at stake is €2.00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:05:04.120 --> 00:05:06.565 If you get a, if you get a a tail,

 $00:05:06.565 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.855$ that's what you're going to walk

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:07.855 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.078$ away with is just two EUR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:05:09.080 --> 00:05:11.075 On the other hand, if we're lucky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.932$ we get a head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:05:11.932 --> 00:05:13.480 This is the world's smallest gold coin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:05:13.480 --> 00:05:16.440 which is that Einstein, It's a Swiss coin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.240$ Then you get a head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.715$ That means that now you get stake is $\in 4.00$.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:05:20.720 --> 00:05:22.005 And again you're tossing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:05:22.005 --> 00:05:23.033 coin and you're thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00{:}05{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.036$ you know what's going to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.594$ I get a head or a tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333 00:05:25.600 --> 00:05:26.144 I'm lucky. NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:26.144 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.320$ I'll get a head and then now the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:28.384 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.712$ stake becomes $\in 8$ and so forth and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:30.712 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.128$ you get a tail and then and then in

 $00:05:33.128 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.400$ this instance you'd walk away with the $\in 8$.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.528$ And so you can imagine that as you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:05:37.528 --> 00:05:39.270 getting you know essentially more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.724$ more money is at stake as you do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

00:05:41.724 --> 00:05:43.697 I'm sure many of you are familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.892384243333333

 $00:05:43.697 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.115$ with the balloon adaptive risk task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:05:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.376$ the balloon adaptive risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:05:47.376 --> 00:05:48.947 the bot task, which has something

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:05:48.947 --> 00:05:50.201 very similar where you're pumping up

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:05:50.201 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.714$ a balloon and you know at some point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:05:51.720 --> 00:05:52.808 you know one pump is going to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:05:52.808 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.878$ it burst and you lose everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}05{:}53.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.238$ And the question is when do you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:05:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.020$ when do you quit?

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:05:56.020 --> 00:05:56.995 And the Saint Petersburg problem,

 $00:05:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.834$ it's you have to pay before you ever start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}06{:}00.840 \to 00{:}06{:}02.595$ OK. So the plan for the talk is talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:06:02.595 --> 00:06:04.637 a bit about risk aversion in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.000$ how it comes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.040$ talk about the measure of risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.070$ which I think is a particularly useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.554$ measure for the sort of work that that we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}06{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.079$ And I think also that it applies

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:14.079 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.240$ also in animal cases too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:06:15.240 --> 00:06:16.710 And I'll give you a little example

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:16.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.276$ of that at the end of my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:06:18.280 --> 00:06:19.876 I hope if I have time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.190$ so talk about tail risk in

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:06:22.190 --> 00:06:22.960 sequential problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.585$ then talk about risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:06:24.585 --> 00:06:25.560 those online behaviour.

00:06:25.560 --> 00:06:27.385 So thinking about our subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}06{:}27.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.581$ making their choices in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:29.581 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.335$ that little maze that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:31.335 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.330$ with the with the robot and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:33.395 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.355$ and the lava pits and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:06:35.360 --> 00:06:36.440 say a word about risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.385$ averse offline planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:37.385 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.980$ So the idea is if you're in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.595$ environment in which risk is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.080$ which is replete with risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.669$ then maybe there are things that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:44.669 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.836$ can do ahead of time to try and mitigate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}06{:}46.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.322$ Maybe that's going to change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:06:48.322 --> 00:06:49.975 way you go about thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:49.975 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.158$ the about the aspects of the world,

 $00:06:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.975$ doing some offline planning to

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:06:53.975 --> 00:06:55.427 prepare yourself correctly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:06:55.427 --> 00:06:57.220 then think about what that looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.754$ like in the context of of risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:06:59.754 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.239$ risk diversion and risk sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.311$ And then also as I say if I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:07:03.311 --> 00:07:04.319 a chance I'll talk a word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:07:04.320 --> 00:07:06.686 say a word about a some modelling

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}07{:}06.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.910$ we've done of a some lovely data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:07:09.994 --> 00:07:13.090 how mice do apparently risk sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}07{:}13.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.560$ exploration with some data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.278$ whatabi Yoshida Mitsuko's work in in Harvard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:07:20.280 --> 00:07:20.640 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.440$ so decision making and risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.600$ So as you all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.368$ risk is a very critical aspect of decision

00:07:26.368 --> 00:07:29.055 making and it comes up anytime that

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:29.055 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.640$ we have uncertain or probabilistic outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:07:31.640 --> 00:07:33.285 So here you know you're here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:07:33.285 --> 00:07:33.755 Saint Petersburg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.839$ we're spinning a coin in other contexts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.358$ we have other sorts of ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:37.358 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.117$ of generating these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}07{:}38.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.640$ these these probabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:07:40.640 --> 00:07:41.810 Obviously whole industries

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}07{:}41.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.760$ have been designed around it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:07:43.760 --> 00:07:45.240 So things like insurance markets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.360$ So this is the famous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.240$ this is Lloyds of London,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}07{:}48.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.158$ a little picture of Lloyds of London.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.528$ And I think that it's likely

00:07:51.528 --> 00:07:53.115 plays a very crucial role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}07{:}53.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.359$ many aspects of psychopathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.816$ And this is a study that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:55.816 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.360$ been done by very many groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:07:57.360 --> 00:07:59.040 including obviously working

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:07:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.472$ in in in Yale too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:08:01.472 --> 00:08:03.440 So things like anxiety and mania

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}08{:}03.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.656$ are obviously issues about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:08:05.656 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.352$ might happen could could be there

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:08:07.352 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.942$ in OCD you'd see that as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00{:}08{:}08.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.106$ something again something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:08:10.106 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.800$ Phil has actually worked on too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

00:08:11.800 --> 00:08:13.976 And you also you have this notion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.579155921428571

 $00:08:13.976 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.917$ these sort of ruminative what ifs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.336$ So in the context of the complex world

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:18.336 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.776$ that we occupy there are many ways in

 $00:08:20.776 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.492$ which we can be many risks that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:23.492 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.790$ with very low probability events there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00{:}08{:}25.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.122$ will cast swerves on the ice in a in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:28.122 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.555$ a in Tubian this morning very icy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.240$ So you can imagine when you're you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.862$ walking on the pavement there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:33.862 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.360$ chance that something nasty can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:08:35.360 --> 00:08:37.232 If you pay a lot of attention to these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:08:37.232 --> 00:08:39.277 very low probability probability outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.000$ then then of course that's going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.576$ problematical for your expectations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:43.576 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.400$ about what might about what might happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.160$ And when you do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:08:47.160 --> 00:08:49.384 when you know you commit to a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:49.384 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.558$ series of choices, then as I as I said,

00:08:51.560 --> 00:08:53.940 you have to worry about how risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.118$ accumulates along these along these paths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.200$ So it's been beautifully studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:08:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.280$ using single shot gambling paradigms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00{:}09{:}00.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.116$ So here's a classic example where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:02.116 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.360$ you have a choice of either a Shaw

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.100$ \$5 or a 5050 chance of \$10 or a 5050

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:07.183 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.479$ chance of \$16.00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00{:}09{:}08.480 \to 00{:}09{:}10.165$ I'm sorry in this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:10.165 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.513$ here so many paradigms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:09:11.520 --> 00:09:12.660 Obviously Canavan diversity done a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.440$ lot of work on that in in Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00{:}09{:}14.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.771$ IFAT has done a lot of beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:16.771 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.520$ work along these lines too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.744$ But what we want to look at is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:20.744 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.378$ the sequential problems and not

 $00:09:22.378 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.400$ only not only single shot games.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.920$ And so we'll see how that comes out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.236$ So in order to make progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.208$ we have to define what sort of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:09:31.208 --> 00:09:33.040 measure of risk we're going to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.537$ So there are a number of measures that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:35.537 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.599$ have been studied in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.920$ So prospect theory, for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.608$ very famously gives us a ways of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00{:}09{:}40.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.491$ about how to combine your utilities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:43.491 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.631$ probabilities and these risk cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:09:45.640 --> 00:09:47.523 But there's also a lot of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:09:47.523 --> 00:09:48.880 from the insurance industry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.760$ which of course has been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:09:49.760 --> 00:09:50.364 you know,

 $00:09:50.364 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.874$ which was worried about many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00{:}09{:}51.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.896$ aspects of risk for a long time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:53.896 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.474$ and in a very quantitative way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.950$ And one of the and they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.640$ sort of come up with ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:09:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.992$ or the mathematical aspect of that has come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:00.992 \dashrightarrow 00:10:03.718$ up with ideas about how to systematize risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:03.720 --> 00:10:06.640 And one of the systematic ways that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.555$ think about is to think about tail events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.730$ So here we think of the distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00{:}10{:}11.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.293$ of possible returns as just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:13.293 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.437$ some sort of histogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.648$ And then we the the risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:16.648 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.120$ that we worry about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:18.120 --> 00:10:19.848 the risks we care about are risks which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:19.848 \dashrightarrow 00:10:21.560$ are found typically in the lower tail.

 $00:10:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.436$ They're the nastiest things that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:23.440 --> 00:10:24.247 So for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:24.247 --> 00:10:26.556 many of you will know that you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:26.556 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.361$ think about there these Markovits

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:28.361 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.471$ utilities where you add to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:30.471 --> 00:10:32.277 mean some fraction of the variance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.846$ but the variance of the distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:33.846 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.637$ includes not only the lower tail but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:35.637 --> 00:10:37.275 also the upper tail that thinks about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.120$ the whole structure of the distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

 $00:10:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.245$ Whereas the things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:40.245 --> 00:10:41.680 worry about are the tail risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:41.680 --> 00:10:42.480 They're the nastiest things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:42.480 --> 00:10:43.280 that could possibly happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303524305

00:10:43.280 --> 00:10:45.597 So things like and that's naturally medicine,

00:10:45.600 --> 00:10:48.150 finance, engineering and maybe also

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00{:}10{:}48.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.080$ things like predation in animals too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:10:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.000$ So how does that work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:10:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.244$ So just illustrate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:10:53.244 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.799$ with our very simple case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:10:54.800 --> 00:10:57.168 the Saint Petersburg problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:10:57.168 --> 00:10:59.195 So yeah, So what I'm now doing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:10:59.195 --> 00:11:00.986 showing you all the outcomes and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:00.986 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.672$ weighted by the and their probabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:02.680 --> 00:11:04.714 So this is 5050 for two EUR up to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:04.720 --> 00:11:07.037 you know, gets vanishingly small with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.684$ this average value outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:08.684 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.917$ being worth Infinity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:09.920 --> 00:11:11.719 And if you think about the tail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.078$ what we might do is to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:13.078 --> 00:11:14.360 let's choose in this instance,

 $00:11:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.440$ let's say the lower 7/8 of the distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.240$ So that's just these three dark blue bars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:20.240 --> 00:11:22.208 And that cuts off the upper

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:22.208 --> 00:11:23.520 1/8 of this distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.440$ which is all the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:24.440 --> 00:11:26.660 the much nicer outcomes you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.644$ possibly have and and this and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:30.644 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.011$ this the the value of the outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:33.011 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.524$ at the which is which is defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:35.524 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.039$ by this by this lower 7/8 tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:38.040 --> 00:11:38.931 That's a quantile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:38.931 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.416$ That's just a 7/8 quantile

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00{:}11{:}40.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.800$ of this distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:11:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.920$ That's a risk measure itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:43.920 --> 00:11:46.680 called the Value at Risk or VAR,

 $00:11:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.262$ shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:47.262 --> 00:11:49.299 It turns out that the value at

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:49.299 --> 00:11:51.489 risk doesn't satisfy some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:51.489 --> 00:11:53.736 nice qualities that we expect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:53.736 --> 00:11:55.501 the from the insurance industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:11:55.501 --> 00:11:57.284 nicely worked out by Artzner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00{:}11{:}57.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.196$ Rockefeller and EUR 7 many others as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00{:}12{:}00.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02.288$ But a measure which also thinks

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:02.288 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.567$ about the lower tail and does

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:12:04.567 --> 00:12:06.607 satisfy these axioms is called

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:12:06.607 --> 00:12:08.440 the conditional Value at Risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.420$ which is simply the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.400$ value in that lower tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.779$ So the idea is you say I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:12:13.779 --> 00:12:14.640 worried about the tail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:12:14.640 --> 00:12:15.846 we have an alpha value saying

00:12:15.846 --> 00:12:17.319 which tail am I worried about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455 00:12:17.320 --> 00:12:18.184 The 7/8 tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:18.184 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.497$ If it's the if it's the 100% tail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455 00:12:20.497 --> 00:12:21.388 the one tail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00{:}12{:}21.388 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.873$ it's just the whole distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.918$ Here it's the seven eighths tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.294$ I've cut off all the really

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00{:}12{:}25.294 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.843$ nice outcomes and I'm left only

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:26.843 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.999$ with the nastiest outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.596$ And as that gets more extreme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.154$ I think about more and more or

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:31.154 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.879$ less and less of the distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00{:}12{:}32.880 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}34.280$ just more and more of the nastiest

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.880$ things that can happen are going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.280$ the things that I imagine happening.

 $00:12:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.765$ And that then defines the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:12:38.765 --> 00:12:39.953 average value in those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.675$ defines this conditional value at

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:12:41.675 --> 00:12:44.319 risk or this C bar value itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.560$ So how does that look?

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.000$ As we reduce alpha so alpha equals one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.200$ we have the whole distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.000$ That's Infinity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:12:51.000 --> 00:12:53.000 If alpha is 15 sixteenths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.836$ we just get these four bars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.320$ 7/8 the three bars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.872 3/4$ these two bars, and alpha is 1/2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:12:58.872 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.800$ We just have this one bar left

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:13:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.180$ and so as alpha gets smaller we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:13:03.180 --> 00:13:05.398 getting more and more risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:13:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.640$ We're thinking about this lower

 $00:13:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.320$ tail of the outcomes that we could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:13:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.320$ that we could possibly have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:13:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.912$ So formally you can write that down as

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:13:12.912 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.997$ being the expected value in this lower tails.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455 00:13:16.000 --> 00:13:16.195 That's. NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:13:16.195 --> 00:13:17.560 Then you could just write down these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455 00:13:17.560 --> 00:13:17.915 these, NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:13:17.915 --> 00:13:19.690 this expected value underneath this

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:13:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.160$ quantile of the of the distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

 $00:13:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.140$ But there's another way

NOTE Confidence: 0.826717684545455

00:13:23.140 --> 00:13:24.120 of thinking about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.612$ exactly the same calculation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:13:25.612 --> 00:13:27.477 almost like a dual view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.442$ which also relates to the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:29.442 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.750$ that prospect theory controls

00:13:30.813 --> 00:13:32.477 or thinks about probabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.128$ which is to have a what they call

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:35.128 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.280$ a probability distortion function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:13:37.280 --> 00:13:40.296 So here I've also now written down explicitly

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:40.296 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.158$ these probabilities of these outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.038$ so half, 1/4 and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:13:44.040 --> 00:13:46.362 And what you do with probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:46.362 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.847$ distortion is to say I'm allowed

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}13{:}48.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.403$ to multiply the values or change

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:51.403 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.199$ the values of the nastier outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}13{:}54.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.792$ I boost those probabilities and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:56.792 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.520$ suppress the higher probabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:13:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.002$ and the idea inside this conditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:03.002 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.389$ value at risk is that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:05.389 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.557$ maximum value of possible distortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.038$ So if my alpha value is 7/8,

 $00:14:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.396$ which means I'm interested in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:11.396 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.920$ bottom 7/8 of the distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.590$ it means I'm allowed to multiply

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:15.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.370$ all my nastiest probabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:17.449 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.640$ by 8 / 7 by 1 over alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.956$ And then I just keep on doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:14:20.956 --> 00:14:22.240 that until I run out of Rd.,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:14:22.240 --> 00:14:24.151 until I run out of probability mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:24.151 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.239$ because in the end it still has

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:26.239 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.754$ to be a probability distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859505666666667

00:14:27.760 --> 00:14:28.972 So in this instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:28.972 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.163$ I multiply all these outcomes by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}14{:}31.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.319$ weighting factor which is 8 sevenths here

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.880$ until I then run out of run out of road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.888$ And so then that just leaves the

 $00:14:37.888 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.934$ only these three bars as being something

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:39.934 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.158$ which is contributing to my to my values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:14:42.160 --> 00:14:44.248 And you can see that that's an exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:44.248 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.933$ equivalent to the three bars that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:45.933 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.998$ have here in terms of the value at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:14:48.000 --> 00:14:50.800 So these are equivalent ways of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:14:50.800 --> 00:14:52.944 about, about thinking about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:52.944 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.120$ about the effect of of these tales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859505666666667

00:14:56.120 --> 00:14:58.280 And they're both very, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:14:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.300$ very useful constructs to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.553$ about the about these, these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:02.553 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.718$ these these nasty possible outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:15:05.720 --> 00:15:07.040 OK, so just to summarise on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667 00:15:07.040 --> 00:15:07.848 on Sevar, NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:07.848 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.676$ it's what's called a coherent risk measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}15{:}10.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.192$ And that's these axioms I was

00:15:12.192 --> 00:15:13.992 referring to that that we want from

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:13.992 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.474$ insurance which have to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}15{:}15.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.226$ things like you want the risk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:15:17.226 --> 00:15:18.940 decrease if we diversify your assets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.790$ something that's what the value

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.560$ at risk does not have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.880$ It emphasises the lower tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.326$ So we're always interested in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}15{:}26.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.640$ nasty things that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:15:27.640 --> 00:15:28.684 If alpha's one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}15{:}28.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.076$ it's the regular mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.800$ We just think about the overall mean of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}15{:}31.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.117$ the distribution that was the Infinity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.436$ Here, as alpha tends to zero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.080$ we only care about the worst possible case,

 $00:15:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.800$ which is the the minimum that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}15{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.236$ And we have this nice equivalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.340$ to these distorted these probability

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.020$ distortion measures in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.799$ we favour that outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:15:48.800 --> 00:15:49.040 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.960$ so that's when we can see the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}15{:}50.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52.196$ distribution in front of us like

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:15:52.196 --> 00:15:53.839 you have in a regular gambling case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:15:53.840 --> 00:15:55.856 You know if you're just specify

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

00:15:55.856 --> 00:15:58.044 that what happens if we the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:15:58.044 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.976$ we started thinking about this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00{:}15{:}59.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01.807$ to think about the sequential case

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:16:01.807 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.968$ where we spin the coin and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:16:03.968 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.736$ either get it either get a head or

NOTE Confidence: 0.885950566666667

 $00:16:05.736 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.914$ tail and then we can spin the coin again.

00:16:07.920 --> 00:16:10.040 So how does that work in this in this domain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}16{:}10.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.208$ And you'll see a sort of surprise comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:12.208 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.272$ up that we then have to cope with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:16:14.272 --> 00:16:16.640 So here we started off with the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:16:16.708 --> 00:16:18.985 flip of the coin and so these you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:18.985 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.279$ if we get the tail we get to $\{0:16:18.985 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.279\}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.216$ we get the head, we get a chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:23.216 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.411$ to carry on to know and then we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}16{:}25.411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27.359$ to chances to spin the coin again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}16{:}27.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.296$ So and then if you spin the coin

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:16:29.296 --> 00:16:30.950 again you get to know again if

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:16:30.950 --> 00:16:32.595 you get a tail you get €4.00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.160$ If you get the head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.216$ you get, excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:34.216 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.200$ the chance to spin the coin again,

 $00:16:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.880$ You spin the coin again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:16:36.880 --> 00:16:39.535 you get €8 and then and so forth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:39.535 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.358$ just carries on down and down and down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.502$ So as I mentioned now what we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:44.502 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.665$ do when we're thinking about the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:46.665 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.040$ risk is we distort our probabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.798$ So we start at the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}16{:}50.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.344$ We say OK well now I said that

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:53.344 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.388$ if alpha is 7 / 7 / 8,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:55.388 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.044$ we get to distort the properties

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:57.044 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.488$ by 8 by by 8 / 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:16:58.488 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.328$ Then we can distort those

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:17:00.328 --> 00:17:01.800 properties some maximum value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.264$ which means that we make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:03.264 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.026$ more likely to get the tail and

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:05.026 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.478$ less likely to get the head.

 $00:17:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.096$ So we make this bar the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:08.096 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.487$ left bar slightly higher and

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:09.487 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.037$ the right bar slightly lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.345$ That's our distortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:17:12.345 --> 00:17:14.520 Our risk sensitivity has said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.230$ OK, we think that even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.720$ it should really be 5050,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.000$ the the real answer is 5050.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}17{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.316$ In our subjective evaluation of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.301$ we boost the nasty one and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:24.301 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.993$ slightly suppress the the nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}17{:}25.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.820$ one and the amount that we suppress

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}17{:}27.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.032$ it by then though is is is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:17:30.032 --> 00:17:31.960 reflected by the to to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.000$ that the property is also up to 1.

 $00:17:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.928$ So you might think it'd be

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:17:35.928 --> 00:17:36.552 very natural thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71359682285714300:17:36.552 --> 00:17:36.760 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.044$ now we have another choice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:38.044 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.760$ we do the same distortion again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.200$ and then we do the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.520$ distortion again and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.072$ But that does actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:17:46.072 --> 00:17:48.440 generate a a version of sebar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.675$ but it doesn't generate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:49.675 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.263$ version of sebar that we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:51.263 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.479$ off with thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:17:52.480 --> 00:17:54.405 So here I say what you want to do is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}17{:}54.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.078$ look only at the lower possible tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:17:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.767$ You can see that if we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:17:57.767 --> 00:17:59.119 keep on distorting by the same

00:17:59.120 --> 00:18:00.496 fraction every single time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:00.496 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.309$ then we're going to actually get instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:18:03.309 --> 00:18:05.717 getting distorting the tails like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.394$ we're actually going to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:07.394 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.479$ contribution from all the possible outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.049$ But now each of the outcomes instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:18:12.049 --> 00:18:14.478 of instead of being boosted by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}18{:}14.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.550$ instead of being going down like

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.758$ one like a half 1/4 and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.880$ it tends to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.280$ it actually goes down like

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.320$ 3737 squared and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00{:}18{:}22.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.320$ There's a sort of technical reason for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.748$ You can see that that doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:25.748 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.165$ have the property that I talked

 $00:18:27.165 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.401$ about in which we just sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

00:18:28.401 --> 00:18:29.600 of slice off this bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713596822857143

 $00:18:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.598$ this bottom aspect of the distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:18:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.193$ It is a, it is a risk measure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:18:33.193 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.757$ we some that we could also use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:18:34.760 --> 00:18:38.396 And in fact in many cases it's a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:18:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.758$ it's a very severe risk measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:18:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.278$ It's a more severe risk measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:18:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.359$ But the measure we wanted to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:18:44.359 --> 00:18:45.936 about instead actually requires us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00{:}18{:}45.936 \to 00{:}18{:}47.400$ do a different sort of calculation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:18:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.563$ which I think is really important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:18:49.563 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.244$ thinking about how risk processing

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00{:}18{:}51.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.314$ works in this this sequential way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:18:53.320 --> 00:18:56.834 So instead what happens is after we've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00{:}18{:}56.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58.196$ after we've boosted the, after we,

 $00:18:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.356$ we're lucky and we we got ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00{:}19{:}00.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.240$ At this point, if you think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.675$ we're trying to accumulate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:03.675 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.733$ amount of luck that we can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:05.733 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.437$ over a whole sequence of choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.120$ This is the sequential aspect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:19:09.120 --> 00:19:11.595 And if we start off and we're already lucky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00{:}19{:}11.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.940$ it means we've already consumed

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.280$ some of our good luck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:14.280 \dashrightarrow 00:19:16.305$ Which means that now we have to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:16.305 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.092$ little bit more risk averse in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:18.092 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.970$ future in order that the total amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00{:}19{:}19.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22.171$ of luck that we're expecting to get or

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:19:22.171 --> 00:19:24.208 that good or bad luck we're expecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:24.208 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.077$ to get is pegged to right at the beginning.

 $00:19:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.520$ So that means that now

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.960$ having been this much risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:19:29.960 --> 00:19:31.514 having been this lucky in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.680$ we got our first tail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.236$ we got Einstein first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:35.236 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.240$ we now have to be a more risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.922$ So alpha started out at 7/8 and now it

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:41.922 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.318$ turns out that it has to be boosted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.172$ It has to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:45.172 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.237$ The amount of risk aversion

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:46.237 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.160$ has to be boosted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.374$ which means that the alpha value

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:49.374 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.758$ decreases from being 7/8 to being 3/4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:19:51.760 --> 00:19:54.800 So now when we do our probability distortion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:19:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.104$ we're now we distort the we now make

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:19:58.104 --> 00:20:00.248 it even more likely now with Four

 $00:20:00.248 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.036$ Thirds more likely rather than rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00{:}20{:}02.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.052$ than 8 sevenths more likely that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:20:04.052 --> 00:20:05.996 going to get the unfortunate outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.920$ which is the the the tail in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.460$ and we make it less likely that

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.800$ we're going to get the head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:20:12.800 --> 00:20:14.320 And now if we do get the head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:20:14.320 --> 00:20:15.600 we've been lucky again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.160$ We've consumed even more of our good luck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:288$ And so now the we become even

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:20:20.288 --> 00:20:21.200 more risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.520$ The alpha value goes down further to 1/2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00{:}20{:}24.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.400$ And so now when we do the distortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.439$ it turns out we do maximal distortion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.380$ So now the tail instead of being

00:20:31.380 --> 00:20:34.161 probably 5050 in our minds it's gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:34.161 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.357$ up to the probably has gone up to 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.200$ The probably getting the head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.395$ the sorry the probably getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:38.395 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.880$ the head has gone to zero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.280$ And that is then means that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.240$ therefore can never get the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.273$ we never get any more further down the tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.094$ And so in order to compute the

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:48.094 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.760$ Sivar in this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.874$ when we think about a sequential problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.000$ we have to keep on revaluing our alphas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:20:55.000 --> 00:20:55.948 If we're lucky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

00:20:55.948 --> 00:20:58.160 it means we become more risk averse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.640$ which means alpha gets lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:20:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.357$ If we're unlucky,

 $00:21:00.357 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.030$ it means in fact we can become

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00{:}21{:}02.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03.671$ more risk seeking in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.738826960625

 $00:21:03.671 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.727$ because we're sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:21:04.783 --> 00:21:06.615 trying to peg the total amount of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:06.615 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.080$ that we suffer along the whole path

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.718$ along the way towards towards the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:11.718 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.102$ So there's this notion

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:13.102 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.960$ here of pre commitment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00{:}21{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.480$ When we start the problem we think how

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.565$ much risk are we willing to endure

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:21:19.565 --> 00:21:22.303 or and then as we then are lucky or

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:22.303 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.825$ unlucky we don't have to adjust the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00{:}21{:}24.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.466$ way that we we endure this the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:21:29.466 --> 00:21:32.196 that we evaluate future outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.992$ So in pre committed C bar we're

00:21:33.992 --> 00:21:35.398 privileging a start saying we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00{:}21{:}35.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.144$ saying this is where we're defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:37.144 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.765$ risk from because then because

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:21:38.765 --> 00:21:40.400 we're then revaluing our alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.580$ our risk aversion in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.760$ to peg where we're going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.153$ So you might think of that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:44.153 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.855$ being like a home or a or a nest

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:45.855 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.040$ for an animal for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.839$ And then we have to change alpha

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00{:}21{:}48.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.211$ and the way we change it is like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:51.211 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.960$ justified form of the gambler's fallacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:21:52.960 --> 00:21:54.040 If you're unlucky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00{:}21{:}54.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.558$ you've been unlucky for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.198$ then you then in some sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:21:57.198 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.680$ you can be more risk.

 $00:21:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.024$ You can be more a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:00.024 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.920$ bit more risk seeking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.480$ you mean less risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00{:}22{:}02.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.195$ If you've been lucky then you're expecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:04.195 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.997$ to be more unlucky in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.191$ so therefore your alpha decreases in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:22:08.191 --> 00:22:10.530 way in order to peg the total amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.678$ of risk you have along a whole path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.277$ Alpha equals zero and one are special,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.920$ so alpha equals one is means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.312$ It's just the mean and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:19.312 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.240$ you never revalue that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:22:20.240 --> 00:22:21.500 You just keep on without

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.760$ value of alpha equals one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.424$ alpha equals 0 is the minimum and you

 $00:22:24.424 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.923$ stick with that too because you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00{:}22{:}25.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.439$ never you can never get more risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:22:27.440 --> 00:22:28.590 You know you you basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.080$ if you you've run out of Rd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:22:30.080 --> 00:22:31.805 you're always thinking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:31.805 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.530$ worst possible outcome that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:33.594 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.253$ ever happen and so you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:22:35.253 --> 00:22:37.166 then in order to do this you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:37.166 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.488$ have to have this either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:22:38.488 --> 00:22:40.936 So monitor how much luck you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:40.936 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.681$ had along a path or we just think

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:43.681 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.127$ about changing the value of alpha

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:22:45.127 --> 00:22:47.053 as we go along and then we make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:47.053 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.058$ in the way I showed you for Saint

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:49.058 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.543$ Petersburg problem where we make

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:50.543 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.935$ alpha where there we made alpha

 $00:22:51.935 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.268$ smaller and smaller because we kept

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00{:}22{:}53.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54.633$ on being lucky and lucky and lucky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.117$ Every time we got the head until

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:22:56.117 --> 00:22:57.928 the end we ran out of road and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:22:57.928 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.756$ we ran out of the at the after the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:22:59.760 --> 00:23:01.160 you know, evaluation of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.318$ we ran out of at the third outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.368$ So how does that look in a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:07.368 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.718$ conventional sort of random walk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00{:}23{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11.051$ So here's a simple random walk where

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

00:23:11.051 --> 00:23:14.514 we have a agent which can go left or right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.277$ or try to stay where it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.464$ There are two rewards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:17.464 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.240$ one on the right hand side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.480$ a small reward worth +11,

 $00:23:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.517$ on the left hand side worth +2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.320$ And then here's one of Chris's Lava pits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.216$ which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:26.216 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.560$ which is threatening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.504$ And you have again a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.866114429

 $00:23:29.504 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.800$ probability of an error

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.306$ in the choices. So here if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:23:33.306 --> 00:23:34.920 have completely uniform choice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:23:34.920 --> 00:23:36.453 you go left, right or try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:36.453 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.078$ stay where you are equally often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}23{:}38.080 \to 00{:}23{:}39.914$ Then if this is our start state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.678$ this is the distribution of outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:41.678 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.386$ you would actually get with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}23{:}43.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.838$ with a discount factor of .9.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.128$ So then because in the end you

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:46.128 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.437$ get trapped by the lava pit and

 $00:23:47.437 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.511$ then that's the end of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.678$ that's the end of the game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.556$ And so here from the stored state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.584$ this is the distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:23:52.584 --> 00:23:54.120 So we're thinking about C bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:23:54.120 --> 00:23:55.192 We're obviously thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:23:55.192 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.800$ the tails of this PC bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}23{:}56.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.680$ We're thinking about the tails of

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}23{:}59.680 \rightarrow 00{:}24{:}01.588$ this distribution to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:01.588 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.484$ So how can we evaluate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:03.484 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.197$ locations in this in this world?

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.881$ Well, if you have the this uniform

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}24{:}07.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.720$ policy and here our alpha value is 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.544$ So we're just a regular reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:12.544 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.053$ learner thinking about the average

 $00:24:14.053 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.517$ value of each of the states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.096$ So you can see that here I've shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:17.096 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.914$ them in colour from -10 up to plus 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.008$ So the ones on the right are relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:24:21.008 --> 00:24:22.645 good because you have this reward of

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:24:22.645 --> 00:24:24.344 one it you tend to a while before

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:24:24.344 --> 00:24:26.396 you you end up in the in the lavapia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:26.396 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.086$ which means that that value

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:28.086 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.520$ is discounted by a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:24:29.520 --> 00:24:32.400 If alpha is 0 you always think the worst

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}24{:}32.400 \to 00{:}24{:}34.676$ possible thing can happen will happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.353$ So the way I'm showing you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:36.353 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.019$ is there are these grey arrows

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:38.019 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.795$ here and so though inside this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.060$ inside these, inside these the choices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:24:43.060 --> 00:24:45.960 it says how frequently you try to go left,

 $00:24:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.634$ right or or stay where you are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.520$ The re weighting system says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:24:50.520 --> 00:24:52.360 well I'm going to think about the outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:24:52.360 --> 00:24:53.896 which is the worst possible outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:53.896 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.989$ because my alpha is 0 and that puts all

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:55.989 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.595$ the weight on going left because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:24:57.595 --> 00:24:59.715 nastiest thing that can happen is going left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:24:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.712$ And so here you can see that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:01.712 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.716$ the values are then much much worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}25{:}03.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.435$ and indeed you then just go left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:25:05.440 --> 00:25:07.800 Every time you just end up in the lava pit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.398$ And then in for intermediate values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}25{:}10.400 \to 00{:}25{:}12.675$ You can see intermediate values of alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}25{:}12.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}25{:}14.878$ you can see how states get evaluated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.917$ And again you can see this effect.

00:25:16.920 --> 00:25:19.680 When I said that if you are lucky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}25{:}19.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.168$ that means in this instance that

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:25:21.168 --> 00:25:22.160 means you're going white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:25:22.160 --> 00:25:23.880 Because right states are better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.530$ then you tend to decrease your

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.355$ value of alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.580$ So these these arrows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.800$ these little grey arrows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00{:}25{:}28.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.720$ outside the choices that you make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.680$ they tend to point downwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:25:32.680 --> 00:25:33.769 If you're unlucky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:33.769 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.221$ which in this instance

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:35.221 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.680$ means going going left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.320$ then you tend to become a bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:25:38.320 --> 00:25:40.434 you become a bit less risk averse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.815$ which means that the arrows

 $00:25:41.815 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.640$ then point upwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.602$ And so you can see that as we become

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:44.602 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.406$ more and more risk averse so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:46.406 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.250$ alpha value we have this very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

 $00:25:48.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.271$ way of looking at the the changes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:25:50.271 --> 00:25:52.119 how states go from being on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364

00:25:52.120 --> 00:25:54.190 For instance go from being good

NOTE Confidence: 0.952537403636364 00:25:54.190 --> 00:25:54.880 to being

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00{:}25{:}54.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.680$ go to from being good to being bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:25:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.840$ So you don't only have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:25:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.508$ think about evaluation here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:01.508 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.010$ you can also optimise your policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00{:}26{:}04.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.435$ based on the on your risk aversion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.960$ You try to optimise say what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.356$ the policy which maximises my my

 $00{:}26{:}11.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.258$ this pre committed C var value

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:13.258 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.518$ with a given value of alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.160$ So if your alpha is 1, then then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.880$ then, then the risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:26:23.880 --> 00:26:24.840 You're not risk averse at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.160$ you're just thinking about the mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.762$ We designed it such that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:27.762 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.160$ from the start state here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:26:29.160 --> 00:26:30.268 if alpha equals one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:30.268 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.456$ the best thing you can do is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:32.456 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.570$ to go left and you can try and stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.680$ at the at the reward is worth 2 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.973$ as long as you can and that's then

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00{:}26{:}38.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40.953$ a way of maximizing your reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:26:40.960 --> 00:26:43.798 If alpha equals zero, you try.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00{:}26{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.185$ Well, the IT actually doesn't

 $00:26:45.185 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.158$ matter at all what you try to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00{:}26{:}47.160 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.000$ because there's a chance that if you try,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:26:49.000 --> 00:26:51.120 if you try to stay where you are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:26:51.120 --> 00:26:52.398 you'll know less will go left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.954$ If you think about the worst outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.360$ it's always to go left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.648$ And so you can see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:56.648 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.320$ alpha value equals 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875 00:26:57.320 --> 00:26:57.566 Here, NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:57.566 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.042$ the optimum policy is just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:26:59.042 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.659$ same as the uniform policy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:00.659 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.034$ any other policy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.988$ You'll always go left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:02.988 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.379$ So in fact this is sort of a form

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:27:05.379 --> 00:27:06.595 of learned helplessness where

 $00:27:06.595 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.092$ although you really have control

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:08.092 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.933$ in this world and some control in

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00{:}27{:}09.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.845$ this world because you think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:27:11.845 --> 00:27:13.960 the worst thing that could happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.760$ you sort of don't trust your own control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:27:16.760 --> 00:27:18.272 And therefore you think the the worst

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:18.272 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.719$ thing that could happen will happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:27:19.720 --> 00:27:21.205 And thereby therefore it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:27:21.205 --> 00:27:22.930 matter what you do, you can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.520$ There's nothing you can do to

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.889$ mitigate that that chance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:25.889 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.881$ then in the middle so here we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00{:}27{:}27.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.799$ this the pre commitment remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.558$ is relative to a start state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.808$ So here our start state is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:27:33.808 --> 00:27:36.036 this at alpha equals .3 and you

 $00:27:36.036 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.420$ can see again that now we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:38.503 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.965$ policy where you know in this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:40.965 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.530$ this particular domain the optimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:42.597 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.550$ policy at that start state is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.514$ go right rather than to go left

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:46.514 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.420$ because of the problems of the risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.820$ And then as you as then this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.478$ what you you try to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.964$ And then and then you try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:53.964 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.480$ stay here as long as you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.080$ And so you can see that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:27:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.720$ as you might expect for everywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00{:}27{:}58.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01.552$ else in the in this random walk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:28:01.552 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.240$ apart from the value alpha equals zero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:28:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.360$ you have a better outcome.

 $00:28:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.960$ You have all these values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:28:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.442$ All the values of the optimum

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:28:10.442 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.987$ policy are much better than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:28:11.987 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.517$ values of the uniform policy here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:28:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.175$ except for this long nastiest

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:28:15.175 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.837$ possible outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00:28:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.395$ nastiest possible degree of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:28:17.395 --> 00:28:18.950 aversion where you're where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

 $00{:}28{:}19.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.264$ just think whatever terrible

NOTE Confidence: 0.66603186875

00:28:20.264 --> 00:28:22.160 happened will happen no matter what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:28:25.160 --> 00:28:26.160 I should just say so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.840$ There's also this this NC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}28{:}27.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.575$ this other mechanism which doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:29.575 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.047$ pre commit to a value but instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:32.047 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.722$ just sticks at a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:33.722 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.719$ value of alpha the whole time.

00:28:35.720 --> 00:28:37.592 That's what I showed you in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}28{:}37.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.040$ the Saint Petersburg paradox where

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.529$ you just waited the the heads and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:28:41.529 --> 00:28:43.433 tails the same way every single time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.192$ So in this domain that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:45.192 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.232$ turns out to be for alpha equals one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:47.232 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.308$ it's the same as PC bar for alpha which

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:49.308 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.120$ is just the mean for alpha equals 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}28{:}51.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.996$ Again it just focuses on the minimum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.023$ the worst thing that can happen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:55.023 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.667$ so it also looks the same but in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:28:57.667 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.346$ between in for intermediate values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}28{:}59.346 \to 00{:}29{:}01.794$ Then you can see you can see you

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:01.794 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.820$ can again get evaluations of states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:29:03.820 --> 00:29:06.200 And in this instance it turns out

00:29:06.261 --> 00:29:08.451 that this NC bar mechanism here

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}29{:}08.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.117$ is a generally more risk averse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.920$ so the values are worse than

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.640$ the values for the PC bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.684$ So that's not true in the Saint

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}29{:}17.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.845$ Petersburg paradox because in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:29:18.845 --> 00:29:20.434 that problem the only way you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:29:20.434 --> 00:29:22.000 to carry on is by being lucky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}29{:}22.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.918$ whereas in this problem you can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:29:23.918 --> 00:29:26.037 lucky or unlucky as you as you carry on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.343$ And then in PC bar if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:28.343 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.279$ unlucky then you become less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.280$ you become less risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:29:32.280 --> 00:29:34.325 Whereas in the Saint Petersburg

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:34.325 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.840$ paradox or in the bot task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}29{:}36.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38.808$ every time you continue you must

 $00:29:38.808 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.963$ have been lucky and therefore you

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}29{:}40.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.452$ become more risk averse and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:43.452 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.600$ therefore relatively you the there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:29:45.600 --> 00:29:47.280 a greater degree of risk aversion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:29:47.280 --> 00:29:48.072 It's Peterborough paradox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:48.072 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.920$ Whereas in these sorts of other problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:29:49.920 --> 00:29:53.360 NC bar is is generally more risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.439$ In these sorts of cases you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:55.439 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.698$ that by these values all being more

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:29:57.698 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.040$ red than the than the other ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}30{:}00.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}02.112$ So and then you can work out

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:02.112 \dashrightarrow 00:30:04.465$ the optimal policy has the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}30{:}04.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.397$ similar characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794 00:30:05.400 --> 00:30:05.790 OK, NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.300$ so let's come back to our lava pits where

 $00:30:09.396 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.160$ we had these these cases where we had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:30:12.160 --> 00:30:12.760 excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.315$ where we where we gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:30:14.315 --> 00:30:15.559 our subjects this chance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.060$ we we showed them this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.719$ asked them how they would move.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:18.720 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.365$ And so we designed this domain so

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:20.365 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.133$ that it would start to distinguish

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}30{:}22.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.477$ different values of alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.279$ So different values of risk aversion as

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:30:25.279 --> 00:30:27.053 a way of interrogating what subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:27.053 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.640$ would be like in these in these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.376$ So it turns out that the this most direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:33.376 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.400$ path is associated with alpha equals one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:30:36.400 --> 00:30:38.911 So if you are risk neutral then you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:38.911 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.120$ take this what this this rather risky path.

 $00:30:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.853$ If your value of alpha is about 0.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}30{:}44.853 \to 00{:}30{:}46.904$ which means you just think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:30:46.904 --> 00:30:48.368 bottom 50% of that distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:48.368 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.120$ then you take this intermediate path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:30:50.120 --> 00:30:51.956 You tend to take this intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:30:51.956 --> 00:30:53.780 path like this and then if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

00:30:53.780 --> 00:30:54.680 much more risk averse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.396$ you care about the bottom 15%

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.920$ of the of the outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.032$ then you take this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:30:59.032 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.000$ this much more extreme risk aversion here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00:31:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.335$ And I think it's interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.854460794

 $00{:}31{:}02.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03.670$ as one of these cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:03.732 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.135$ where it's very hard when you see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:31:06.135 --> 00:31:08.490 somebody in your lab you know performs this.

00:31:08.490 --> 00:31:10.784 If you're a sort of 0.4 a person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:31:10.784 --> 00:31:12.272 it's very hard to imagine somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:12.272 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.935$ who would be so risk of so risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:13.935 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.719$ seeking as to take the very short one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:31:15.720 --> 00:31:17.277 Or if you're the person who takes this very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:31:17.280 --> 00:31:18.848 very long path, you think it's you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:31:18.848 --> 00:31:20.440 you know how could anybody take these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.360$ these these short paths themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.400$ So I think there's some interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.320$ phenomena that come up with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}31{:}26.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.184$ So we administered 30 of these mazes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}31{:}30.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.140$ mazes like this to a a group of subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.880$ and we designed them in order to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}31{:}34.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.378$ you know, in order to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:31:36.378 --> 00:31:37.893 things like how consistent was an

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:37.893 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.525$ individual subject in the way that

00:31:39.525 --> 00:31:41.275 they would be risk averse in these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.840$ in these, in these domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.640$ And we saw a very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.679$ degree of of consistency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:31:47.680 --> 00:31:48.552 So if it's here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:48.552 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.177$ you can see one another of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:50.177 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.039$ mazes where the start stage is here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:31:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.100$ the goal is here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}31{:}53.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.013$ And so again we have a very sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}31{:}56.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.114$ path which is for the people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:31:59.114 --> 00:32:00.782 pretty risk neutral would take which

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:00.782 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.557$ gets close to these two lava pits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}32{:}02.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04.210$ You have this intermediate path

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.200$ which is longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.960$ which is why it would be less favoured,

 $00:32:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.160$ but only goes close to one

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.960$ of these lava pits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.800$ And then we have an an even

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.840$ longer path which looks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.569$ which goes all the way around here

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:13.569 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.604$ to get to the goal which really

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:15.604 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.223$ avoids these lava pits dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:17.223 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.521$ And so these are three individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:19.521 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.598$ subjects and so these choices

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:21.598 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.326$ were themselves associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}32{:}23.326 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}32{:}25.480$ three different values of alpha,

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.677287811818182\\ & 00:32:25.480 --> 00:32:25.795 \text{ point,} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:25.795 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.819$ you know like point 2.5 and point 2.9 or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:28.819 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.457$ And then in another maze the

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:30.457 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.517$ the the behaviour of the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:32:32.517 --> 00:32:34.232 subject in a different maze.

 $00:32:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.554$ So here this is a bit like a Cliff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:32:35.560 --> 00:32:37.996 There's just two other pits here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.500$ The question is how far around

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.039$ you know around them do you go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.008$ So one option is just to go directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:43.008 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.446$ to the goal from the start say here to

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:45.446 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.520$ the goal that's most no risk neutral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.780$ Here's one which is a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.040$ a bit more risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:50.040 \dashrightarrow 00:32:51.872$ You can think well how far away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:32:51.872 --> 00:32:53.627 the the Cliff you would you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:53.627 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.400$ you choose to be there yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182 00:32:55.400 --> 00:32:55.816 And again, NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:32:55.816 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.272$ it's very hard if you're a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:32:57.272 --> 00:32:58.879 of risk neutral person to think,

 $00:32:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.137$ well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}32{:}59.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.193$ how is it crazy to go so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:01.193 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.036$ away from the from the goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.760$ We took these 30 mazes that we administered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.105$ We looked at the first half and

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

00:33:08.105 --> 00:33:09.478 the second-half inferred the

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:09.478 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.308$ values of alpha that our subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:11.308 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.579$ had for those for those mazes by

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}33{:}13.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.517$ fitting the choices that they made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.581$ And you can see that we had a reasonable

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00{:}33{:}17.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.855$ degree of consistency between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:18.855 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.839$ 1st 15 mazes and the 2nd 15 mazes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.120$ So this shows the the alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182 00:33:23.120 --> 00:33:23.656 the peak, NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:23.656 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.996$ the map out of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677287811818182

 $00:33:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.700$ the the maximum likelihood

00:33:26.700 --> 00:33:28.400 alpha value for the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.773659392272727

 $00:33:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.532$ and second-half of mazes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773659392272727

 $00:33:29.532 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.626$ So we see that they are reasonably

NOTE Confidence: 0.773659392272727

 $00:33:31.626 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.971$ well pinned and indeed the means

NOTE Confidence: 0.773659392272727

 $00:33:33.971 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.320$ are fairly similar to and then if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.773659392272727

 $00:33:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.520$ look at the across all our subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773659392272727

 $00:33:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.920$ So now this axis shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.773659392272727

 $00:33:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.320$ you the value of alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773659392272727

 $00:33:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.080$ This is now the this is the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:33:45.320 --> 00:33:46.360 posterior value of alpha

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:33:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.920$ across all the toss we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:33:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.880$ So you know, you know hierarchical fit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00{:}33{:}49.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.469$ And then we just ordered the subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:33:51.469 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.145$ by from alpha the people with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:33:53.145 --> 00:33:54.561 smallest value of alpha to people

00:33:54.612 --> 00:33:56.040 with the largest value of alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00{:}33{:}56.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.741$ And you can see that we nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:33:57.741 --> 00:33:59.318 cover the range of possible alphas

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:33:59.318 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.166$ in this in this domain and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:01.223 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.106$ people we can't infer alpha so well

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00{:}34{:}03.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04.739$ just from these these plots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:04.739 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.436$ And so you can see that then we also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:34:07.436 --> 00:34:09.249 order to fit them, fit their behaviour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:09.249 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.680$ We have a couple of other statistics as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.000$ We have they have a temperature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.172$ so an inverse temperature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:34:14.172 --> 00:34:16.180 or temperature, which is how noisy

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.600$ is their behaviour generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.312$ and then a lapse rate which says that

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:19.312 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.520$ sometimes they try to, they know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.280$ We imagine they might try to go north,

 $00:34:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.184$ but perhaps they just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:34:23.184 --> 00:34:24.070 you know, by mistake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.360$ go in a different direction too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.100$ So these are very standard things

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.298$ you'd have in a model of their behaviour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:29.298 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.792$ But the thing we're focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:30.792 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.280$ indeed is this risk sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.224$ which is then just a histogram of the values

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:34.224 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.158$ that we can infer from there and ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:34:36.160 --> 00:34:37.864 It's a nicely aligned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:37.864 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.994$ nicely arrayed across the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:39.994 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.600$ possible values of alpha as you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00{:}34{:}43.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46.690$ So we then try to interrogate our

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.240$ mechanism for changing values of alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.480$ And here we had what to us was a bit of

 $00:34:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.480$ a surprise in terms of what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00{:}34{:}54.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57.176$ So here what we're looking at is how

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:57.176 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.839$ did alpha change on if on one trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:34:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.578$ one maze,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:00.578 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.530$ you've got a you've got a win or

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:03.618 \dashrightarrow 00:35:06.000$ the OR the OR or you've got a loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.196$ So mostly So what this shows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.168$ as we said, if we then infer the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00{:}35{:}10.168 --> 00{:}35{:}11.800$ value of alpha on one maze,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.116$ if you then one on that maze,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.840$ what happens to the next value of alpha?

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:35:14.840 --> 00:35:16.670 Are you more risk averse or

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.599$ more risk seeking on that case?

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.328$ And so from the PC bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:20.328 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.480$ mechanism I talked about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.505$ what we would have expected is if you are

00:35:23.505 --> 00:35:25.560 lucky on that case you didn't get the maze,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.880$ you'd become more risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.956$ Next what we actually saw was

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:28.956 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.340$ the opposite interestingly which

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:30.402 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.800$ is that after a lava pit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:35:31.800 --> 00:35:34.894 so after you saw a after you got trapped

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:34.894 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.688$ in one maze then in fact you became a

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:37.688 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.232$ bit more risk averse in the next maze.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.782$ And so we're we're sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:41.782 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.000$ contemplating why that might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.480$ We did see A and and we are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:45.551 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.867$ looking inside the choices you make

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00{:}35{:}47.867 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}35{:}50.678$ inside a single maze because if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:50.678 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.072$ remember we have noisy actions so

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:35:53.072 --> 00:35:54.528 sometimes you're lucky or unlucky

 $00:35:54.528 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.575$ inside a single maze and they do see

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00{:}35{:}56.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58.271$ APC bar like effect which is that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:35:58.327 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.056$ you've been lucky then in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:36:00.056 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.768$ you're more a little bit more risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

00:36:01.768 --> 00:36:03.220 averse and if you've been unlucky

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:36:03.272 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.160$ you've been a little bit less risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00:36:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.885$ So there's a conflict between

NOTE Confidence: 0.713342685

 $00{:}36{:}06.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.610$ different time scales of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:08.672 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.157$ of how this is operating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.512$ And that conflict also comes up a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}36{:}12.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.999$ bit when we look across the the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:36:14.999 --> 00:36:16.997 first and second-half of these mazes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:36:17.000 --> 00:36:19.637 the 1st 15 mazes versus the 2nd 15 mazes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}36{:}19.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.678$ Whereby if you had the more losses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:36:22.680 --> 00:36:25.119 if you had more losses in the first half,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.884$ we can ask are you more risk averse and

 $00:36:26.884 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.560$ more risk seeking in the second-half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}36{:}28.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.036$ And there's some small evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}36{:}30.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32.054$ in on average or a bit more risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:32.054 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.530$ seeking in the second-half and you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}36{:}33.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.155$ had more losses in the first half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.014$ So that suggests that this phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:37.014 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.796$ which is a trial like a maze to maze

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:39.796 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.683$ effect may itself not completely generalise

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:41.683 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.035$ over the whole context of the mazes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}36{:}44.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.788$ So really some interesting things to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:46.788 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.639$ investigate in this in this domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:36:49.640 --> 00:36:51.120 OK it's an interim summary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.092$ So what we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:36:52.092 --> 00:36:54.302 what I'll try to show you is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}36{:}54.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.037$ sort of parametric risk avoidant

 $00:36:56.037 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.410$ behaviour which can come from this pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:36:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.318$ committed PC bar and pre commitment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.320$ Is that you think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:01.320 \dashrightarrow 00:37:03.360$ well how much risk am I willing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:03.360 --> 00:37:04.240 How much you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:04.240 --> 00:37:05.340 Which part of this distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:05.340 --> 00:37:06.983 am I willing to think about right

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:06.983 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.673$ from the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}37{:}07.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.020$ And that requires you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.186$ have this gambler's fallacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}37{:}10.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12.690$ So change the value of alpha as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:12.757 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.504$ as as you are unlucky or unlucky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:14.504 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.340$ So obviously the inference is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:16.401 --> 00:37:18.236 little bit more complicated here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:18.240 --> 00:37:19.976 but in fact many ways almost every

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:19.976 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.920$ way that we have of thinking about

 $00:37:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.636$ risk in the sequential case is

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}37{:}23.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.503$ going to rely on a more complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:25.503 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.635$ way of doing evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:26.635 --> 00:37:29.120 Because you know for instance if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:29.120 --> 00:37:31.840 have a non linear a utility function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.004$ then if you think about my

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:33.004 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.040$ total utility on a path,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.860$ you're going to have to monitor what

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}37{:}35.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.483$ that total utility you know which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:37.483 \dashrightarrow 00:37:39.200$ how you which is the non linearity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.320$ Then you're going to have to monitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:40.320 --> 00:37:41.517 you're going to have to modify your,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}37{:}41.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.739$ you're going to have to monitor the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:43.739 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.979$ total utility so that you can then

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:45.979 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.120$ manipulate it in this non linear way.

 $00:37:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.064$ You also see in prospect theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:50.064 --> 00:37:51.360 for instance as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.413$ if we have this nested what

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:53.413 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.878$ we sometimes call NC bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.511$ that's the one where we just fix

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:56.511 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.111$ the value alpha and just apply the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:37:58.111 --> 00:37:59.879 same value as you go down and down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:37:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.560$ then in some cases you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}38{:}01.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02.680$ get excessive risk aversion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:02.680 \dashrightarrow 00:38:05.183$ So in the random walk that we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00{:}38{:}05.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.290$ there and then again we we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:38:07.366 --> 00:38:09.904 still think about that at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:09.904 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.596$ values of alpha itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.912$ We think that there's we're now

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:38:14.912 --> 00:38:16.568 worrying about indeterminacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:16.568 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.079$ between your prior expectation,

 $00:38:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.400$ for instance getting caught in

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.377$ the maze by a lava pit versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:22.377 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.877$ the degree of risk aversion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:38:23.880 --> 00:38:26.368 And those two work opposite to each other

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:26.368 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.676$ in terms of the in terms of PC bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.852$ So you get caught.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:29.852 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.610$ That increases your prior to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

00:38:31.673 --> 00:38:33.397 possibility of getting caught,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.880$ but it also increases the value of alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857840121333333

 $00:38:35.880 \dashrightarrow 00:38:37.920$ makes you a little bit less risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:38:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.492$ And so those two things are

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:38:39.492 --> 00:38:41.083 fighting with each other we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00{:}38{:}41.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.553$ in the context of these mazes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00{:}38{:}42.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43.792$ And of course it would be interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:38:43.792 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.318$ to look at ambiguity as well as risk.

 $00:38:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.032$ So here all I did talked about is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:38:47.032 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.746$ cases where you know the probabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:38:48.746 --> 00:38:50.291 are frankly expressed as subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:38:50.291 --> 00:38:51.717 know exactly what the probability

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:38:51.717 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.622$ is of getting caught by the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:38:53.622 --> 00:38:55.434 the sorry, they know exactly probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:38:55.434 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.634$ of having a lapse in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:38:57.634 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.599$ the way that they move in the maze.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:38:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.160$ They know the values of everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.520$ We didn't make it ambiguous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124216533333333

 $00{:}39{:}02.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04.389$ But of course ambiguity as a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:04.389 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.206$ of 2nd order probability also makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:39:06.206 --> 00:39:08.439 you gives you an extra aspect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:39:08.502 --> 00:39:10.357 probability that you don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.556$ And so then if you think about the law,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.751$ so a tale of those properties you

00:39:14.751 --> 00:39:17.223 don't know that's a way of inducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:39:17.223 --> 00:39:18.968 ambiguity aversion because of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:39:18.968 --> 00:39:20.480 the extra uncertainty that you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:39:20.480 --> 00:39:22.320 the 2nd order uncertainty you

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.160$ have in those cases too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:39:24.160 --> 00:39:26.800 From a psychiatric point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:39:26.800 --> 00:39:29.165 you what you can see is a sort of an aspect

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:29.165 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.636$ of sort of pathological avoidance right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00{:}39{:}31.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33.030$ The way you're evaluating what

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00{:}39{:}33.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34.735$ could be a relatively benign world

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:34.735 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.319$ is you're thinking about all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:36.319 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.679$ nasty things that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124216533333333

 $00{:}39{:}37.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39.920$ That's what that's what what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:39.920 \dashrightarrow 00:39:41.072$ becomes really critically important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:41.072 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.800$ And then if you're living in

00:39:42.850 --> 00:39:43.960 a stochastic environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.836$ which of course we we all do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:39:45.840 --> 00:39:48.234 then if you're really extremely risk averse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.997$ so alpha is really near to zero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.220$ then that's a route to indifference

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.960$ or helplessness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:39:52.960 --> 00:39:55.237 Because it doesn't matter what you try to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.420$ you're always worried about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.600$ nastiest thing that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:39:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.076$ So that makes life super complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.080$ OK, so that's online behaviour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.278$ So, so here we think about planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.205$ We won't imagine what are our subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124216533333333

 $00:40:08.205 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.289$ doing as they're thinking about how to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:10.289 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.399$ in that maze with the with the choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.104$ So there we can do what as Phil

 $00:40:14.104 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.538$ mentioned at the beginning as sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:40:15.538 --> 00:40:17.586 of forms of something a bit like say

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:40:17.586 --> 00:40:18.730 model based reinforcement learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.664$ where we have a model of the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:40:20.664 --> 00:40:21.840 and we're planning in that model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:40:21.840 --> 00:40:23.934 We're thinking about the risk that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:23.934 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.661$ accumulates along these paths and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:25.661 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.797$ changing these values of alpha as we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.744$ But there's a lot of interest at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:29.744 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.751$ moment in also thinking about offline

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:40:31.751 --> 00:40:34.160 processing that can happen during periods of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.890$ for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00{:}40{:}34.890 \to 00{:}40{:}37.080$ quiet wakefulness or sleep in animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00{:}40{:}37.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39.856$ Also into in into trial intervals in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:39.856 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.397$ humans that we've been looking at too.

 $00:40:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.584$ And so the idea has been that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:44.584 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.520$ there's a coordinate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.600$ that there's hippocampal and cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:40:47.600 --> 00:40:49.680 replay which themselves are coordinated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.224$ which can be used to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

00:40:52.224 --> 00:40:53.920 aspects of offline planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.377$ Which is to say that we normally

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:56.377 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.385$ think about a model of the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124216533333333

 $00:40:58.385 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.690$ that's like a generative model

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:40:59.747 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.077$ of the of the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:41:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.600$ The inverse of that model is a policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:41:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.096$ It's like what should I do in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912421653333333

 $00:41:06.096 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.712$ environment in order to optimise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9124216533333333

00:41:07.712 --> 00:41:09.854 my my return or optimise my C

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:09.923 --> 00:41:11.480 bar return? And so in that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.293$ the inverse of the model is something

00:41:13.293 --> 00:41:14.827 you can calculate offline when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:14.827 --> 00:41:16.885 not having to use the model to make your

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:16.885 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.054$ choices as it as it as it as it goes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:19.054 --> 00:41:21.256 And there's evidence in both rodents

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:21.256 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.652$ and also in humans in the last few

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:24.652 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.545$ years using typically using Meg that

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:27.545 --> 00:41:29.670 subjects are actually engaging in

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:29.670 --> 00:41:31.370 offline processing which actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:31.436 --> 00:41:33.242 has an impact on their behaviour

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:33.242 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.160$ when it happens in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:35.160 --> 00:41:37.236 So in the reinforcement learning world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.034$ this has been closely associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00{:}41{:}39.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41.412$ an idea from Rich Sutton in the 90s

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:41.412 --> 00:41:43.104 called Dyna where he thought about

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:43.166 --> 00:41:45.121 offline processing this replay like

 $00:41:45.121 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.076$ processing to enable exploration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.645$ then got embedded in in the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:49.645 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.471$ forms advanced forms of reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:51.471 --> 00:41:54.114 learning for for in AI in replay

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:54.114 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.280$ buffers for things like the DQN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:41:56.280 --> 00:41:59.560 So deep Q learning the networks that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:41:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.753$ instance DeepMind used very successfully

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:42:01.753 --> 00:42:04.850 for things like Alphago to win it go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:42:04.850 --> 00:42:06.400 And then slightly more recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.914$ there's a lovely paper from Marcelo

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00{:}42{:}08.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11.519$ Mata and Nathaniel Door which was

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:11.519 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.673$ was speculating that that the replay

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:42:13.673 --> 00:42:15.710 that we see in rodents might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:15.773 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.849$ optimised to improve the the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:17.849 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.994$ that that these rodents are planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:19.994 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.719$ in the in the environment.

00:42:21.720 --> 00:42:23.388 So given that they discover something

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:23.388 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.073$ about the world they discover like

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00{:}42{:}25.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.886$ a reward they didn't know about or

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:26.886 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.349$ maybe they've forgotten then then

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:28.349 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.077$ they have to do some relearning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.887$ Then what Matter and Dole suggested is

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:32.887 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.824$ that the sequence of which the animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:35.824 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.314$ engages in replay well is informative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00{:}42{:}38.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40.352$ is chosen in order to optimize the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:40.352 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.239$ that the animals will then subsequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:42:42.239 --> 00:42:44.219 move through the world using a

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:42:44.276 --> 00:42:46.280 simpler way of making doing planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00{:}42{:}46.280 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}48.432$ And they pointed out that that you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:48.432 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.338$ choose to make updates to your model

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:50.338 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.400$ based on the product of 2 quantities,

 $00:42:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.210$ gain and need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.163$ So gain is if you were to do a replay

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:42:56.163 --> 00:42:58.480 at a particular location in the main,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.705$ maybe somewhere where you're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:42:59.705 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.253$ you have this motion of distal

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:01.253 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.638$ replay near the Campbell world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.504$ Then the game is how much you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:43:05.504 --> 00:43:08.240 change your policy if you made an update.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:43:08.240 --> 00:43:10.000 So there's no point in making an update.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.855$ It is not going to change your

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:11.855 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.524$ actions because it will have no

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:13.524 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.186$ impact on your final return and

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:43:15.186 --> 00:43:17.022 the need is how frequently you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:17.022 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.331$ going to visit that state in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:43:19.331 --> 00:43:20.996 future given your current policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.115$ So it turns out the product of those two

00:43:23.115 --> 00:43:24.796 governs the sequencing that you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:24.796 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.879$ apply to looking at states in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.756$ And so if you think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:43:27.760 --> 00:43:29.240 you know you discover something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:43:29.240 --> 00:43:30.984 how should you go about planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

00:43:30.984 --> 00:43:32.360 using during this offline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.420$ during these offline cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.845$ So we thought about, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00{:}43{:}36.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.305$ what does optimal planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:38.305 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.662$ look like for Seva?

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00{:}43{:}39.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.839$ You have if you're risk risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636 00:43:41.840 --> 00:43:43.680 So here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80039579136363600:43:43.680 --> 00:43:44.236 excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:44.236 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.626$ we're showing again another simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00:43:45.626 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.359$ domain where you have a start state.

 $00:43:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.810$ There's just a single word

NOTE Confidence: 0.800395791363636

 $00{:}43{:}48.810 --> 00{:}43{:}50.260$ at this location here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:43:50.323 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.315$ there's one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:43:51.315 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.844$ lava pits at the at here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:43:52.844 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.116$ But what these numbers show is if all

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:43:55.116 --> 00:43:57.277 you know about is where you start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:43:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.918$ you have a model of the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:43:58.920 --> 00:44:00.272 but you don't and you know about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:00.272 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.797$ law of a pit and the and the reward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:44:01.800 --> 00:44:02.717 but you don't know how to plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.475$ You haven't got a plan of what to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:44:04.480 --> 00:44:05.720 We're thinking of the replay

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.960$ in matter and door world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}44{:}06.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09.702$ The replay is constructing that plan

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:44:09.702 --> 00:44:12.332 for you by by essentially focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:12.332 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.997$ on a state in the world and then

 $00:44:14.997 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.157$ doing a little little Bellman update.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.098$ Just one step of reinforcement learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:19.098 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.568$ and the steps the the order of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:21.568 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.236$ steps is shown by these numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.312$ So it turns out that if you prioritise

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:44:26.312 --> 00:44:28.860 based on on being risk neutral and

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.448$ what I mean by prioritisation here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:31.448 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.159$ you're thinking about what planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}44{:}33.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}35.310$ should I do that has the most effect on

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:35.361 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.209$ the value of the start state because

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:37.209 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.095$ that's the value where you're you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:39.095 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.835$ where you're where you're beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}44{:}40.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.585$ So it turns out that in the if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:43.585 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.695$ prioritise based on this neutrality you

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:45.695 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.946$ for some reason you do one step at the

00:44:48.946 --> 00:44:52.117 this location away from the lava pit

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.240$ and then all the subsequent steps you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.544$ in this case the subsequent 7 steps or

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:56.544 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.698$ seven six steps essentially plan in

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:44:58.698 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.966$ this instance backwards from the goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:01.035 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.317$ from the reward back to the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.978$ And this notion about backward sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:05.978 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.720$ like reverse replay in in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}45{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.121$ in the hippocampal world is also seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:45:11.121 --> 00:45:13.479 in something called Prioritised sweeping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}45{:}13.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.105$ which is an old idea in Reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:45:16.105 --> 00:45:18.042 Learning from Andrew Moore where

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:18.042 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.625$ you'd optimise the sequence of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}45{:}20.625 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}45{:}22.812$ updates you would do if you prioritise

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:22.812 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.080$ instead based on a value of alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.340$ which is much lower,

 $00:45:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.915$ so much more risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.901$ Now you can see that you spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:45:29.901 --> 00:45:31.693 all your planning time instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:45:31.693 --> 00:45:33.751 planning how to get to the reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}45{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36.160$ You spend all your planning time

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:45:36.160 --> 00:45:38.264 thinking about the about the lava pit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:38.264 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.394$ thinking about where you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.352$ You know how to avoid the lava

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:40.352 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.159$ pit if you were there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.560$ so the first is the same one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}45{:}42.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.168$ but then all the subsequent ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:45:44.168 --> 00:45:46.013 are all avoiding the lava pit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}45{:}46.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.679$ have nothing to do with getting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}45{:}47.734 \longrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.558$ the reward So you can see how you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.534$ even the structure of of thinking

 $00:45:51.534 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.929$ offline is going to be really could

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:45:53.929 --> 00:45:55.957 could get really dominated by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.717$ by these nasty things that could by

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:45:57.717 --> 00:45:59.398 the nasty things that could happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:45:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.840$ And if alpha equals 0,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:46:00.840 --> 00:46:02.208 there's no point in doing planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:46:02.208 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.611$ at all because you can't mitigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:46:03.611 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.151$ the child the the risk of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:46:05.151 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.277$ to the log pit as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:46:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.359$ So you just sit there and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00{:}46{:}08.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.240$ you just can't help yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:46:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.216$ So as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:46:12.216 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.680$ this is not only for humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:46:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.306$ So there's a lovely study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:46:15.306 --> 00:46:17.698 comes from the from Mitsuko Wataba,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

00:46:17.698 --> 00:46:19.276 Yushida's Yushida's lab,

 $00:46:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.944$ where she's a very simple task

NOTE Confidence: 0.743035424736842

 $00:46:21.944 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.960$ for for for mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.040$ So here she had a simple arena,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:46:27.040 --> 00:46:29.032 just an open like an open

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:29.032 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.360$ field arena shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.782$ And then the mice were put

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:31.782 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.240$ in for a couple of days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.086$ There's nothing there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:34.086 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.778$ They had 25 minutes for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:46:35.778 --> 00:46:37.080 session just to run around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:46:37.080 --> 00:46:38.560 And here's some here's a path of a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.594$ of a, of a one of the mice just

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00{:}46{:}40.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.159$ running around this this maze.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.440$ Then on the third day after this habituation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:46:45.440 --> 00:46:47.558 Mitsuko put in a novel object,

 $00:46:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.492$ just basically a bunch of Lego

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:49.492 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.567$ blogs near to one corner of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:46:51.567 --> 00:46:53.640 the of the environment and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.920$ monitored how the animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.408$ then what what the animals then

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:56.408 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.680$ did over the subsequent days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.260$ so subsequent 4 days with

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:46:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.210$ this same novel object in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.755$ same location of the maze.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.210$ And you can see even just eyeballing

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00{:}47{:}05.210 --> 00{:}47{:}07.480$ the the trajectories that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.576$ animal have this really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:09.576 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.596$ mix of essentially neophobia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:47:11.596 --> 00:47:13.346 neophilia and neophobia is much

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:13.346 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.956$ more much more apparent here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00{:}47{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.448$ So it changes really the structure

 $00:47:16.448 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.525$ of the of the movement

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:18.525 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.557$ through the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.232$ So for various reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:47:21.232 --> 00:47:22.904 Mitsuko characterized being within

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:22.904 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.256$ 7 centimetres of the object as being

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:25.256 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.352$ sort of a critical distance as where

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:27.352 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.672$ the animal is is sort of inspecting this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.016$ is inspecting this object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:47:31.016 --> 00:47:33.020 And then what what she's showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:33.081 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.943$ here is how much per minute of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:34.943 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.046$ these 25 minutes in each of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00{:}47{:}37.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38.601$ sessions does the animals spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00{:}47{:}38.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.680$ within 7 centimetres of the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.437$ So in the habituation days is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:42.437 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.919$ within 7 centimetres of that circle.

 $00:47:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.240$ That's this circle shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.640$ And you see that that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.080$ the animals spent some time there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:47:48.080 --> 00:47:49.160 But there's nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.320$ there's nothing failing those locations here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.560$ When she puts in the novel object,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.084$ you can see that then that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:55.084 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.100$ really dramatically changes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.158$ structure of behaviour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:47:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.505$ And here she's ordered the animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00{:}47{:}59.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.006$ like 26 animals by the amount of total

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:02.006 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.479$ time they spend near the near the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.419$ So these animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:05.419 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.610$ these early animals spend a sit barely

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:07.667 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.395$ anytime near the object at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.638$ These animals which are late here,

00:48:11.640 --> 00:48:13.672 they spend much more time near to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00{:}48{:}13.672 \longrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.635$ object than the than the first ones do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.348$ And so there's a sense in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:17.348 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.199$ these are very risk averse animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:48:19.200 --> 00:48:20.516 They had what we would think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:20.516 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.917$ as being this low value of alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.756$ whereas these animals are much more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.864$ much less risk averse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:48:24.864 --> 00:48:26.520 They're much more willing to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.760$ get close to the to the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00{:}48{:}28.760 \longrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.668$ And so you can see that the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:30.668 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.795$ they approach the object is also changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.280$ So here you can see that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.557$ first day of the object they

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:35.557 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.632$ what she's done is used.

00:48:36.640 --> 00:48:39.904 They use deep lab cut from the mathesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:39.904 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.590$ to classify whether the animal has

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.130$ his nose pointing to the object

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.677$ or the tail point of the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.200$ You see in the early days the animal only

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:48:47.200 --> 00:48:49.160 has what they call cautious approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

00:48:49.160 --> 00:48:51.020 so only approaches the object with

NOTE Confidence: 0.918624877142857

 $00:48:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.517$ its nose in front and its tail behind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:48:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.962$ Then over time the animals are then

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:48:54.962 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.450$ more willing or some of the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}48{:}56.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57.962$ are more willing to just engage the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:48:57.962 --> 00:48:59.112 object that they're not protecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:48:59.112 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.710$ their tail in this particular way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:49:00.710 --> 00:49:02.050 Very appropriate for tail

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.920$ risk as you can imagine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.755$ So if we look at the frequency of approach,

00:49:06.760 --> 00:49:08.920 so frequency per minute of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.080$ approach with the tail behind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.536$ you can see that the that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:13.536 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.076$ all the animals are here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:49:15.080 --> 00:49:17.078 Again this is set up segmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:17.078 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.077$ into these sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.200$ So all the animals start

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.320$ off with their tail behind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}49{:}20.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.872$ So this is this cautious approach and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:22.872 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.760$ again using the same sort of the animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.120$ so the same sorting between one and 26.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.560$ You can see that the animals who are timid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}49{:}28.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.805$ who don't approach the object

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:49:29.805 --> 00:49:31.302 they are or barely approach to

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:31.302 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.716$ spend any time near to the object.

 $00:49:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.000$ They also never risk their tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.816$ So their tail is always but is always

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:49:36.816 --> 00:49:38.485 they they're spending no time

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:38.485 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.225$ with their tail exposed whereas the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:40.281 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.038$ brave animals these ones down at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:49:42.038 --> 00:49:43.828 bottom they not only spend more time

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:43.828 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.958$ near the object they also do it with

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:45.958 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.799$ their their tail exposed in this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:49:47.800 --> 00:49:50.160 But we were very struck by this huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.445$ individual differences in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}49{:}51.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}52.950$ the in the way that these animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.560$ approach the object and so we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:49:54.560 --> 00:49:56.388 interested in in in modelling that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:49:56.388 --> 00:49:59.146 so at Kitty Egal they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:49:59.146 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.079$ characterize various aspects of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:01.079 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.697$ of the behaviour so the fraction of

 $00:50:03.697 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.755$ time they're close to the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:50:05.760 --> 00:50:07.482 I showed you that already here showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:07.482 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.039$ with confident and cautious approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.518$ So cautious in green, confident in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:50:11.520 --> 00:50:12.766 And again you can see with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:12.766 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.018$ sort of the animals that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:50:14.018 --> 00:50:15.579 only green at the top when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:50:15.625 --> 00:50:16.600 some blue at the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}50{:}16.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.280$ And this is only showing the days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}50{:}18.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}20.260$ Since the only showing the days

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.639$ off the object has been evaluated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.408$ You can look at the how long they

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}50{:}24.408 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}50{:}26.168$ spend near the object and again you

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}50{:}26.168 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}27.751$ can see that that's shown again

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:27.751 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.839$ shown by this colour.

 $00:50:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.280$ So the brave ones spend a lot of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}50{:}30.280 \to 00{:}50{:}31.960$ the the timid ones spend very little

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.710$ time and how frequently they visit

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.732$ the object, they they go there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:35.732 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.253$ And again the brave ones visit frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:38.253 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.718$ the the timid ones are barely visited at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.239$ So it goes a model of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:50:43.240 --> 00:50:43.880 but I'm not going to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:50:43.880 --> 00:50:44.958 I haven't got time to go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:44.958 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.878$ all the details of the model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.200$ but just to just to give you the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.195$ the, the hint of what's inside it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.676$ So why do they visit the object at all?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.640$ Well, that's Neophilia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.280$ They're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.056$ There's an exploration bonus we imagine

 $00:50:54.056 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.145$ which is associated with that and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:50:56.145 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.575$ imagine that this exploration bonus

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}50{:}57.575 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59.077$ replenishes as if they don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

00:50:59.080 --> 00:51:00.760 they don't know that the object is not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333 00:51:00.760 --> 00:51:01.402 is not, NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:51:01.402 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.328$ is not never actually gives them

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:51:03.328 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.676$ a real return, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:51:04.676 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.080$ The object is just a bunch of Lego blocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00:51:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.745$ There's no food or anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.804344923333333

 $00{:}51{:}07.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.077$ positive associated with it

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.530$ and we imagine that when the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:51:11.530 --> 00:51:13.340 have due confidence approach they

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00{:}51{:}13.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15.474$ they can stay enjoy more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:15.474 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.359$ they consume the reward faster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:51:17.360 --> 00:51:18.878 Then we have a hazard function.

 $00:51:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.160$ Why are they neo phobic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.043$ Well they're why that maybe at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:22.043 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.685$ point a predator or something is

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:51:23.685 --> 00:51:25.498 going to jump out from this object

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:25.553 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.053$ or something naughty might happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:27.053 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.897$ and we imagine that that increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:51:28.897 --> 00:51:30.919 over time spent near the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.680$ So the longer they spend near the object,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.650$ the more that they're worried

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.438$ about predation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.384$ And then that we imagine that that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:37.384 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.397$ resets when they move away from the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.906$ And we imagine that it's less

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:40.906 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.264$ dangerous when they do cautious

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:51:42.264 --> 00:51:43.480 approach than confident approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.554$ of why they want to approach in

 $00:51:45.554 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.318$ this cautious way in the 1st place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.784$ And we critical to this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:49.784 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.240$ the uncertainty about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.455$ about their about whether there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:52.455 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.219$ a predator or not only will reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:54.219 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.719$ if they actually visit the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:51:55.720 --> 00:51:56.920 If they don't visit the object

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.000$ or don't spend time there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:51:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.498$ they're not going to find out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:51:59.498 --> 00:52:01.048 in fact the object is completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:01.048 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.473$ benign and never hurts them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.880$ And so we have this nice parcel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.885$ this important path dependence whereby

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:52:05.885 --> 00:52:08.479 the timid animals don't visit for long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.013$ they don't find out the object is

00:52:10.013 --> 00:52:11.383 safe and therefore they they carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:11.383 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.721$ on not visiting for long because

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:52:12.721 --> 00:52:13.958 they haven't found out this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.929$ this safety itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:14.929 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.190$ And then we have this risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:17.264 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.759$ aversion 2 and then when we then

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:52:19.759 --> 00:52:21.726 build a model of their behaviour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:52:21.726 --> 00:52:23.178 So here I just characterised that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00{:}52{:}23.178 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24.531$ sort of abstracted away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00.52:24.531 \longrightarrow 00.52:25.595$ the animal data themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.776$ You can see we sort of capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:26.776 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.746$ the sort of the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:27.746 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.874$ the general trends in the animal in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.812$ in the, in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:30.812 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.977$ With this abstraction you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:31.977 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.398$ see we do a really good job.

 $00:52:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.160$ We have quite a lot of parameters I must say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:52:35.160 --> 00:52:36.976 We can do a really good job of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:52:36.976 --> 00:52:38.444 fitting their data by essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:38.444 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.044$ synergising the amount by which

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:40.044 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.077$ they're to which they're risk averse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.390$ this PC bar mechanism and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.991$ the amount by which to which they

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:46.991 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.765$ are with their prior over what

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00{:}52{:}48.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}50.950$ the object is like and that prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.635$ is not not influenced enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:52:52.640 --> 00:52:53.756 If they don't visit the object,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.880$ they don't disturb the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:52:54.880 \dashrightarrow 00:52:58.435$ It's it's safe in the way that I described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875 00:52:58.440 --> 00:52:58.640 OK. NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:52:58.640 --> 00:53:00.040 So because I'm running out of time,

00:53:00.040 --> 00:53:02.640 let me just go to the general discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:53:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.000$ that's really discussion about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:53:05.000 --> 00:53:08.193 So just to sum up then on this risk aversion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:53:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.884$ I think we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:53:08.884 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.546$ it's nice to think from a sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:53:10.546 --> 00:53:11.845 computational psychiatric point of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:53:11.845 --> 00:53:14.078 view about the things that the thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:53:14.078 --> 00:53:15.752 the way that evaluation happens in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:53:15.752 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.438$ the context of this risk aversion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:53:17.440 --> 00:53:19.844 So you think of sort of people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00{:}53{:}19.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21.398$ are highly risk averse in some sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:53:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.150$ Maybe they're solving a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:53:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.200$ problem from others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:53:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.742$ And so here we've shown that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

00:53:25.742 --> 00:53:26.513 you that optimally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:53:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.179$ if you have a really low value

 $00:53:28.179 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.778$ of alpha or in some context

NOTE Confidence: 0.75158916875

 $00:53:29.778 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.153$ this this nested C bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.590$ NC bar, then you'll see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.400$ dysfunctional avoidance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.570$ And also this rumination process

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.216$ in the sense that you'll keep on

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:53:37.216 --> 00:53:38.500 worrying about all the nasty things

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.038$ that can happen if alpha is near 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.099$ You have action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:41.099 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.158$ in difference and helplessness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.560$ and that's the correct answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.318$ That's the right thing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.272$ If your value of alpha is so low

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:47.272 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.478$ and you live in a stochastic world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:53:49.480 --> 00:53:51.358 how much rumination you should do?

 $00:53:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.360$ There's some sort of threshold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:53:52.360 --> 00:53:54.439 How much planning you want to to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.352$ how much improvement you need to have is

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:56.352 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.076$ something which again is under your control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.706$ Maybe you want to really squeeze

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:53:59.706 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.519$ out all possibilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.144$ Then you're going to have to do an

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:54:02.144 --> 00:54:03.685 awful lot of rumination to worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:03.685 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.317$ about all the really low probability

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:05.366 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.518$ outcomes that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.072$ And then for humans we have this problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:08.072 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.836$ that we live in a very complicated world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.680$ We can always imagine another

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.152$ catastrophe around the corner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.847$ If you pay a lot of attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:14.847 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.080$ to low probability outcomes,

 $00:54:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.509$ then we can always invent nasty low

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00{:}54{:}18.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20.113$ probability outcomes that will cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:20.113 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.113$ you to to to to have problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.248$ And then as then in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:23.248 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.440$ case of the the rodents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.568$ we can see there's an effect on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:26.568 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.588$ exploration exploitation trade off

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:27.588 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.114$ in the sense that the animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00{:}54{:}29.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.648$ don't explore can't find out about

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:30.648 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.275$ safety and therefore they can never,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:32.275 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.115$ they will never be able to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.040$ essentially treat the object in its

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.010$ natural way in terms of to another

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.991$ source of problems and risk in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:40.991 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.779$ of evaluation is that maybe when

00:54:42.779 --> 00:54:44.599 we're thinking about this rumination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.538$ we think maybe there's some subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:54:46.538 --> 00:54:49.519 who try to do this ruminative planning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.554$ they try to think, well, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:50.554 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.958$ if I'm at the native object,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00.54.51.960 --> 00.54.53.800 here's what I would do to go away from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.880$ But it's so aggressive to think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.758$ They will never consummate that planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:54:57.760 --> 00:54:59.225 They never stop doing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:54:59.225 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.397$ planning in this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.996$ And so that's an idea that Quentin

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:01.996 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.558$ Hughes and I worked on a long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.000$ long time ago was that this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.024$ this is a sort of internal behavioural

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:08.024 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.560$ inhibition associated with a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.058$ with a thought,

00:55:10.058 --> 00:55:10.556 if you like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.120$ about a piece of planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.440$ So maybe that leads you never

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.320$ to consummate the planning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.454$ which means you have to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:55:15.454 --> 00:55:16.679 it again and again and again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.438$ So again leading to a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:18.438 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.317$ of rumination itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:55:19.320 --> 00:55:21.072 you can imagine that you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:55:21.072 --> 00:55:22.240 adjust for luck appropriately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:55:22.240 --> 00:55:23.752 So if you're unlucky you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:55:23.752 --> 00:55:24.760 think that I'm now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00{:}55{:}24.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.596$ I can now afford to be a bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00{:}55{:}26.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28.032$ risk avert risk neutral again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:28.032 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.928$ So again you'll then have more

 $00:55:29.928 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.560$ negative evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.440$ you should have itself and then in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.840$ of the maybe the environment you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.562$ the way that you're evaluating risk is

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:37.562 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.239$ not appropriate the environment you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.563$ I think one nice way to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:40.563 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.524$ about that is in terms of over

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00:55:42.524 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.198$ generalizing representations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00{:}55{:}43.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}44.894$ So with something again you see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

00:55:44.894 --> 00:55:46.345 depression which is I've shown you

NOTE Confidence: 0.75973713

 $00{:}55{:}46.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47.913$ that this sort of infects states so

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

00:55:47.967 --> 00:55:49.449 if you think that something nasty

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

00:55:49.449 --> 00:55:51.214 might happen then the value of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:55:51.214 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.762$ state gets associated with the nastiest

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:55:52.762 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.158$ thing that can possibly happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00{:}55{:}54.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55.815$ So if you over generalize

 $00:55:55.815 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.477$ your representations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00{:}55{:}56.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58.090$ you're putting nice States and

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:55:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.700$ nasty states together and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:55:59.748 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.554$ the value of the nasty states over

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:56:01.554 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.168$ in fects the values of the nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:56:03.168 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.478$ states you could possibly have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:56:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.027$ So lots of things to investigate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:56:06.027 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.459$ in in in risk in the in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:56:08.459 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.603$ using hopefully these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00:56:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.320$ aspects of sequential evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707357337333333

 $00{:}56{:}11.320 --> 00{:}56{:}14.000$ So thank you very much.