WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:16:06" NOTE recognizability:0.784

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.746227261666667

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.080$ And and they were both OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6382003

00:00:03.360 --> 00:00:05.158 All right. Hello everyone. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00:00:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.685$ you for coming to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00:00:06.685 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.120$ psychedelic seminar for December.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00:00:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.352$ We have our own Jerry Sanacora and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00{:}00{:}11.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.510$ Ben Kilmendi here today who ran our

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00:00:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.090$ sites Yale sites contribution to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00:00:17.090 \dashrightarrow 00:00:20.970$ the bona funded study of multi site

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00{:}00{:}20.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.520$ study of psilocybin in the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00{:}00{:}23.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.680$ of depression which was published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00{:}00{:}25.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.480$ JAMA Psychiatry earlier this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00{:}00{:}28.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.940$ And so I I thought it'd be great to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

00:00:31.001 --> 00:00:33.393 Jerry and Ben tell us about that study,

 $00:00:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.140$ the design, the results and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

00:00:35.140 --> 00:00:37.154 of the the issues that come up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00:00:37.154 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.666$ next steps from that program of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

00:00:38.666 --> 00:00:40.724 research which is one of the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00:00:40.724 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.416$ one of the leading programs that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00:00:42.416 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.320$ moving towards the potential for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

 $00:00:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.358$ approval of psychedelic treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

00:00:46.358 --> 00:00:48.204 So very current, very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96179443

00:00:48.204 --> 00:00:49.314 Thank you for being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466014546

00:00:49.520 --> 00:00:52.160 All right, thank you. Thanks Chris. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944610498461538

 $00:00:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.368$ thank you all. Let me just make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.944610498461538

 $00:00:54.368 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.485$ we can share our screen. Jessica,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944610498461538

00:00:56.485 --> 00:00:59.160 I don't know if there's a there we

NOTE Confidence: 0.750009934

 $00:00:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.880$ go should be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6428473275

 $00:01:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.920$ looks good now and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59666913625

 $00:01:10.240 \dashrightarrow 00:01:12.080$ OK, all right. That should be a Ben.

 $00:01:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.397$ OK yeah. I'm going to start up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.629248604285714

 $00:01:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.764$ So we're going to do something

NOTE Confidence: 0.629248604285714

 $00:01:16.764 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.980$ differently in a sense that Jerry

NOTE Confidence: 0.629248604285714

 $00:01:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.427$ and I are going to split the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.629248604285714

00:01:21.427 --> 00:01:23.138 I'm going to go over the first half

NOTE Confidence: 0.629248604285714

00:01:23.138 --> 00:01:24.640 of the talk, which is going to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.629248604285714

 $00:01:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.020$ it's going to cover more or

NOTE Confidence: 0.629248604285714

 $00:01:26.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.516$ less the same things that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.939710803333333

 $00{:}01{:}29.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.316$ no longer unfamiliar to this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939710803333333

 $00:01:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.511$ And so I'm going to cruise through

NOTE Confidence: 0.939710803333333

 $00:01:34.511 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.239$ so we can get to sort of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939710803333333

 $00:01:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.840$ And the more interesting part of this, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.613679365

 $00{:}01{:}41.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41.960$ have time for discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.830800422

 $00:01:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.728$ and then Oh yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830800422

 $00:01:42.728 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.225$ we want to leave as much time

 $00:01:44.225 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.397$ as possible for discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830800422

 $00:01:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.955$ Let me just see how this works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830800422

00:01:47.960 --> 00:01:50.640 OK, so disclosures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830800422

 $00:01:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.196$ all of these above are Jerry's

NOTE Confidence: 0.830800422

 $00:01:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.238$ and I have this measly one liner

NOTE Confidence: 0.582320838571428

 $00:01:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.880$ skip. So psilocybin as as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.582320838571428

00:02:01.880 --> 00:02:04.640 I'm not going to go into detail about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.582320838571428

 $00:02:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.600$ psilocybin is and where it comes from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.582320838571428

 $00{:}02{:}06.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.112$ It's a natural occurring compound found

NOTE Confidence: 0.582320838571428

00:02:11.112 --> 00:02:14.800 in psilocybin mushrooms. It's found in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

 $00{:}02{:}17.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.380$ The particular extract comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

00:02:19.380 --> 00:02:21.075 from psilocybin kubensis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

 $00:02:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.000$ that the number of psychoactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

00:02:25.000 --> 00:02:25.744 species of mushrooms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

 $00:02:25.744 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.232$ at least in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

 $00:02:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.000$ is in the range of 60.

 $00:02:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.447$ Briefly here I thought would

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

 $00:02:32.447 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.781$ be really useful to review the

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

 $00:02:34.781 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.199$ metabolism of psilocybin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

 $00:02:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.035$ As you can see psilocybin in its

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

00:02:39.040 --> 00:02:43.259 natural form it's not it's not active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69137197857142900:02:43.259 --> 00:02:44.798 it actually is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691371978571429

 $00:02:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.918$ Once it's ingested that it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.29092836

 $00{:}02{:}51.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.840$ mute and turn off your your speaker

NOTE Confidence: 0.59558175

 $00:02:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.359$ it's so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00{:}02{:}57.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00.730$ GI once it actually hits the stomach

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

00:03:00.730 --> 00:03:03.315 it's dephospholarated as you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00{:}03{:}03.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.399$ here and it becomes silos silosin or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00{:}03{:}06.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.069$ silosin is the active ingredient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00:03:09.069 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.536$ the capsules that we use in our study

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00:03:12.536 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.226$ actually contain silosin rather than silos.

 $00:03:16.226 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.496$ Cyben the much of the metabolism is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00{:}03{:}21.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.812$ in the IT undergoes he patic metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00:03:25.812 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.917$ So it's glucurini,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00{:}03{:}27.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30.702$ Glucurini needed that it's broken

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00:03:30.702 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.992$ down into four hydroxy indole acetyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.83852712125

 $00:03:33.992 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.240$ hydrate and then the four HDP and four

NOTE Confidence: 0.3454221

 $00{:}03{:}39.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.939$ HAIAAR excreted urinary and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.3454221

00:03:44.939 --> 00:03:48.120 the half life Typically this is out

NOTE Confidence: 0.3454221

 $00{:}03{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.074$ of your system within 24 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3454221

 $00:03:50.074 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.238$ The half life is 4 to 6 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3454221

 $00:03:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.733$ So just a little, I guess this is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.3454221

 $00:03:56.733 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.108$ what I said earlier that sell us you

NOTE Confidence: 0.3454221

 $00:03:59.108 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.158$ know the use of psilocybin in various

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.735$ cultures throughout the world goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:06.735 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.440$ back to a couple of 1000 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.666$ But it's most prominent use that at

 $00:04:13.666 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.805$ least in the United States that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:15.805 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.235$ had an influence really comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:04:18.235 --> 00:04:21.944 the indigenous use in Central

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:04:21.944 --> 00:04:24.932 America and Sierra Mastic region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:24.932 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.708$ particularly the Sierra Mastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:04:26.708 --> 00:04:29.240 region in Mexico. It came.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:04:29.240 --> 00:04:33.584 It was first introduced to the States

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:33.584 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.935$ in 1950s and shortly thereafter

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:04:36.935 --> 00:04:40.360 studies with psilocybin were underway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.765$ particularly late 1950s and 1960s

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:43.765 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.025$ Psilocybin became a scheduled

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:46.025 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.440$ one compound in 1974.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:04:50.440 --> 00:04:56.160 Here is just a quick Since recently with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.280$ you know, what's been dubbed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:04:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.465$ the Renaissance of psychedelics,

00:05:01.465 --> 00:05:04.746 there's been an interest in doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00{:}05{:}04.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.276$ some more work with psilocybin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.520$ And this table summarizes the recent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:05:10.520 --> 00:05:12.752 most recent studies looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:12.752 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.000$ effect of psilocybin and depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.995$ So there's been guite a few studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00{:}05{:}17.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.542$ This is actually not a comprehensive table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:19.542 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.252$ There's some studies that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00{:}05{:}21.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.160$ go back to 2016 that were done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.240$ patients with anxiety and depressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:05:28.240 --> 00:05:31.320 symptoms in palliative care setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.140$ but those patients did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.960$ necessarily meet criteria for MD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.794$ These studies are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:35.794 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.740$ this one does not actually include the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:05:37.793 --> 00:05:39.599 study that we're going to talk about,

 $00{:}05{:}39.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.155$ but these are the studies that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00{:}05{:}42.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.280$ looked at psilocybin and MDD or and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.560$ as well as PRD though this table

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.160$ doesn't differentiate between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:05:53.160 --> 00:05:56.040 And here in this I think we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.680$ to spend most of the talk looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:05:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.830$ the talking about the study at hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:06:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.932$ which is a single dose of 25 milligram

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00{:}06{:}05.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.000$ of psilocybin in major depressive disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00{:}06{:}09.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.100$ And as Chris mentioned earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:06:11.100 --> 00:06:13.460 that we were Doctor Senecora and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:06:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.580$ we were one of the sites here

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:06:15.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.800$ at Yale and ran the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

00:06:17.800 --> 00:06:19.360 And with that any further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:06:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.142$ I'm going to hand this over

00:06:21.142 --> 00:06:22.033 to Doctor Senecora,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849401545

 $00:06:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.240$ He will review the details of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.950$ Great. Thanks Ben.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.940$ So just to go through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:30.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.640$ the purpose of the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.041$ as as Ben said there have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00{:}06{:}35.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.866$ several studies prior to when

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:36.866 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.318$ this study was initiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.350$ But many of the problems with

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.750$ those studies surrounded the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00{:}06{:}42.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.800$ small sample sizes that we use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.584$ the relatively short term

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:46.584 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.760$ follow up that was used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.500$ the the comparative that was used

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.990$ and and the frequent unblinding

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:06:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.680$ of the assessors or the raters.

 $00:06:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.061$ So this study aimed to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:07:03.061 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.543$ address many of those concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:07:05.543 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.458$ with the original studies when

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:07:07.458 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.680$ when it was originally initiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00{:}07{:}09.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.952$ So we'll take quite a bit of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:07:12.952 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.086$ just going through I'm there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

00:07:15.086 --> 00:07:16.501 I'm getting a message saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:07:16.501 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.680$ it's hard to hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

00:07:17.680 --> 00:07:19.217 So I don't know if anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:07:19.217 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.479$ we can do about the volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:07:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.024$ but so I wanted to just take

NOTE Confidence: 0.819302645

 $00:07:24.024 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.000$ some time going through this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799821575238095

 $00{:}07{:}25.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.888$ sorry. We can turn off the room

NOTE Confidence: 0.799821575238095

 $00{:}07{:}26.888 \to 00{:}07{:}28.616$ mics and you can turn on your

NOTE Confidence: 0.799821575238095

 $00:07:28.616 \dashrightarrow 00:07:30.320$ computer mic and then it'll make it.

 $00:07:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.639$ OK. I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.6061673075

 $00:08:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.280$ if that's that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.580640235

00:08:12.450 --> 00:08:14.810 that you were getting. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.894$ We didn't get any complaints from others, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:17.894 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.486$ OK. So I I'm assuming people can hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.290$ So I'm going to move forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.050$ It sounds good. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:24.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.283$ Thanks, Jess. Thank you. Good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00{:}08{:}25.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.770$ So we're going to spend a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.985$ bit of time going through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:27.985 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.860$ This was actually the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00{:}08{:}30.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.960$ design and this was a randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.293$ 2 group which was psilocybin 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:38.293 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.558$ milligrams or niacin 100 milligrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.880$ Phase two clinical trial designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00{:}08{:}42.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.760$ to evaluate both the efficacy of

00:08:45.760 --> 00:08:48.332 psilocybin as compared to niacin

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00{:}08{:}48.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.424$ what it's administered with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:50.424 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.605$ psychological support in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:52.605 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.717$ with major depressive disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00{:}08{:}54.720 --> 00{:}08{:}58.920$ It was run at 11 US sites between

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:08:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.106$ December 2019 and June 2022 and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:02.106 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.118$ get a chance to talk a little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.495$ That's kind of a interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:07.495 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.395$ period of history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.668$ So we'll get a chance to talk about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:11.668 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.440$ that could have had impact on the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

00:09:15.440 --> 00:09:18.800 The inclusion criteria for the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00{:}09{:}18.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21.166$ the main the key inclusion criteria was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

00:09:21.166 --> 00:09:23.839 patients had to be medically healthy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:23.839 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.233$ participants had to be medically healthy.

 $00:09:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.112$ It was for adults between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:28.112 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.000$ ages of 21 and 65 with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.215$ as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:31.215 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.322$ a diagnosis of MDD with the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:34.322 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.298$ major depressive episode lasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:36.298 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.958$ of two months or or 60 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.000$ They had to have a rating of over

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.212$ 28 but equal to or above 28 on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00{:}09{:}47.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.700$ Madras by the Central Rater and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.280$ they couldn't have more than a 30%

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.680$ drop from the screening to baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.080$ So that's the inclusion criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00{:}09{:}56.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.400$ Key exclusion criteria which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073075

 $00:09:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.280$ going to be important to remember is

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.340$ the patients had to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.720$ able to be medication free.

 $00:10:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.640$ So either not on a medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.720$ at the time of screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.921$ or being able to tolerate a taper

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

00:10:11.921 --> 00:10:14.567 and a washout during the screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:14.567 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.697$ period and remain off antidepressant

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:16.697 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.679$ medications during that period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.456$ They also needed to be able to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:22.456 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.958$ without seeing an outpatient therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.857$ So if they have not had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:26.857 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.946$ outpatient therapist, that was OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:27.946 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.038$ but if they were seeing one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.238$ they would have to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

00:10:31.240 --> 00:10:32.535 at least take a hiatus or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:32.535 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.884$ pause in their treatment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.899353404

 $00:10:33.884 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.997$ their outpatient therapist.

 $00:10:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.451$ The other part that was important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:10:39.451 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.760$ think about is the family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:10:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.884$ So there was no personal history

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

00:10:43.884 --> 00:10:45.760 of either psychosis or mania,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

00:10:45.760 --> 00:10:48.436 but also no first degree relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:10:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.384$ with psychosis or mania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:10:51.384 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.750$ And then the other major important two

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:10:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.900$ exclusion criterias was having psychedelic

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:10:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.640$ drug use in the past five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.125$ or more than 10 lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:04.125 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.113$ uses of a psychedelic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

00:11:06.120 --> 00:11:07.320 And then finally the last one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.590$ was anybody with active suicidal

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.695$ ideation with intent or a plan within

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

00:11:12.695 --> 00:11:15.313 the past six months or or self,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00{:}11{:}15.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.228$ I'm sorry, or suicidal behavior in

 $00:11:17.228 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.400$ the past 12 months were excluded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00{:}11{:}19.400 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.352$ So just to give you a general sense that

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

00:11:22.352 --> 00:11:25.317 this was a generally healthy population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

00:11:25.320 --> 00:11:26.680 really screened pretty carefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

00:11:26.680 --> 00:11:28.040 not to have psychosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.060$ not even a family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.676$ of psychosis or mania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.360$ So well this is the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.000$ that it was geared towards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.000$ As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00{:}11{:}36.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.598$ the original plan was to run 80

NOTE Confidence: 0.952799308461538

 $00:11:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.680$ participants that was actually updated

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}11{:}44.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.924$ see if I can. So it was updated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:11:47.924 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.438$ 100 in the beginning of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:11:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.824$ The the there was a reanalysis and the and

00:11:52.824 --> 00:11:56.637 the idea to run 100 patients was was changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}11{:}56.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.830$ So patients were screened and

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:11:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.426$ then after a screening period of

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:01.426 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.400$ lasting either 7 to 35 days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.954$ largely depending on if they needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:12:04.954 --> 00:12:06.760 to do a medication washout or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.724$ they would then go into a baseline period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:10.724 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.544$ And during that baseline period

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:12.544 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.000$ they would be reassessed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:12:14.000 --> 00:12:14.880 And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:12:14.880 --> 00:12:17.079 if they had more than a 30 point drop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.880$ a 30% drop in their ratings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.160$ that would be an exclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:12:20.160 --> 00:12:22.599 but otherwise they can move on and that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:22.599 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.076$ be their baseline ratings moving forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.240$ And then before actual treatment

 $00:12:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.400$ or randomization was a preparatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}12{:}29.466 \to 00{:}12{:}31.466$ session and these preparatory sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:31.466 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.238$ were designed to last 6 to 8 hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.438$ usually two sessions prior to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}12{:}37.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.040$ Originally in this design it was meant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.997$ be the person with the two facilitators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.472$ The two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:43.472 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.360$ the two people in the room in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:12:45.423 --> 00:12:47.199 studies were called facilitators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}12{:}47.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.927$ But again if we go back and look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:12:49.927 --> 00:12:52.877 the timing starting in December 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.840$ the pandemic hit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}12{:}53.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.760$ So the prep sessions were altered

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:12:55.760 --> 00:12:57.719 where many of these prep sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:12:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.400$ at least one of them was done virtually.

 $00:13:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.160$ So that little bit different

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.920$ than the original study design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.915$ And then there was day one

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:07.915 --> 00:13:10.102 and that was actually the dosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:10.102 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.207$ day and that's where patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:12.207 --> 00:13:14.093 or participants were randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:14.093 --> 00:13:16.526 either receive the psilocybin 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}13{:}16.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.956$ milligrams or niacin 100 milligrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.284$ And I'll just say briefly that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:21.284 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.597$ idea of using niacin was to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:23.600 --> 00:13:26.639 an attempt of at an active placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:26.639 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.992$ meaning that there may be some sensation

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:29.992 --> 00:13:32.998 from taking 100 milligrams of niacin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.238$ there's some flushing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.100$ It also offered us the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.024$ to provide some equipoise by saying

 $00:13:39.024 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.914$ 'cause there were there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:40.914 --> 00:13:42.306 studies suggesting niacin may

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:42.306 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.718$ have an antidepressant effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:43.720 --> 00:13:45.472 So you could legitimately tell patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:45.472 --> 00:13:47.755 that there is some evidence that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:47.755 --> 00:13:49.520 both may have antidepressant effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:49.520 --> 00:13:53.872 but it was still was listed as a placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:13:53.872 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.960$ what would be called an active placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:13:57.960 --> 00:14:00.991 So dosing was provided on that day

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}14{:}00.991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.559$ and dosing typically lasted 6 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.906$ And then patients were seen again

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:05.906 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.260$ the day after, which was day two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}14{:}08.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.440$ And on day two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.038$ they had their first integration session

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:14:12.040 --> 00:14:13.462 along with the ratings that were

 $00:14:13.462 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.359$ performed And in the integration session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.640$ typically lasting about an hour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:14:16.640 --> 00:14:21.320 then I think an hour to two hours depending,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.784$ they were seen again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:22.784 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.208$ And then day five was a telephone interview.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:14:26.208 --> 00:14:28.656 The day eight was one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:28.656 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.880$ the secondary measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.160$ an outcome at day eight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:14:31.160 --> 00:14:32.786 And again they had their rating

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:32.786 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.944$ scales and you can see all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:34.944 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.639$ individual rating skills listed there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

00:14:36.640 --> 00:14:37.440 Day nine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.440$ they came back for another

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.240$ integration session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.928$ So this is again a meeting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}14{:}42.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.504$ the two facilitators lasting

 $00:14:44.504 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.954$ about an hour to to two hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00{:}14{:}46.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.676$ And then day 15 were more assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.039$ And then on day 16 was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.530287529

 $00:14:52.039 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.050$ 3rd integration session

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:14:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.480$ meeting with the facilitators again

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:14:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.926$ and then there was another exploratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:14:56.926 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.478$ endpoint at the end of the month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

00:14:58.480 --> 00:15:00.120 And then the primary endpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:15:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.584$ the, the main goal of the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00{:}15{:}02.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.650$ was the look at the response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:15:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.101$ There were the change in the Madras score on

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:15:08.101 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.160$ day 43 that was really look at six weeks out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

00:15:11.160 --> 00:15:13.876 That's the primary endpoint for the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00{:}15{:}13.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.757$ So let's just look a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:15:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.158$ The idea of using this, as I said,

 $00:15:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.580$ along with psychological support goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:15:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.000$ back to the set and setting principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

00:15:24.000 --> 00:15:25.176 And then I don't know if you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:15:25.176 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.476$ to talk a little bit about this or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956447876

 $00:15:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.440$ Sure, yeah, yeah. The idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00:15:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.477$ behind set and setting is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00:15:31.477 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.680$ was taken very seriously in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00{:}15{:}33.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.720$ And set is defined as mindset sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

00:15:39.720 --> 00:15:44.006 your sort of baseline thinking process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

00:15:44.006 --> 00:15:46.805 your mood at the time of dosing and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00{:}15{:}46.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.811$ setting typically referred to the environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00:15:48.811 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.477$ where the dosing occurred in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00:15:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.635$ What's a typical though this

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00:15:54.635 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.790$ becoming familiar to this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00:15:56.865 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.138$ is that these dosing sessions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00:15:59.138 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.610$ administered in a slightly unusual

 $00:16:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.435$ environmental setting where you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00:16:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.160$ as you can see in the picture here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853937831428571

 $00:16:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.600$ there is actually a couch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00:16:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.197$ The room is set up to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00:16:12.197 \dashrightarrow 00:16:16.680$ looks to look like a ordinary room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

00:16:16.680 --> 00:16:18.390 It's typically a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00:16:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.633$ more aesthetically appealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00:16:19.633 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.398$ than a typical Med room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00{:}16{:}22.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.208$ The other idea behind the setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

00:16:25.208 --> 00:16:27.751 that it would facilitate A

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00:16:27.751 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.103$ therapeutic alliance and between

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00:16:30.103 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.132$ the facilitators and participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00{:}16{:}32.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.114$ So you know this is what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00:16:35.114 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.254$ taken into account during both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00:16:38.254 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.879$ preparation as well as integration.

 $00:16:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.273$ This idea of using Satis setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

00:16:44.273 --> 00:16:46.870 as a foundation for where a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

 $00:16:46.944 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.440$ of the conversations took place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815448939230769

00:16:49.440 --> 00:16:51.000 So treatment sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:16:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.360$ so, so as Ben described this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

00:16:55.360 --> 00:16:59.568 the idea of using the the integration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:16:59.568 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.816$ I'm sorry, the preparatory sessions to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

00:17:02.816 --> 00:17:05.857 work on the set and the actual physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00{:}17{:}05.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.720$ setting was was very tightly controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.685$ During dosing sessions participants were

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:10.685 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.689$ encouraged to wear eye shades and to listen

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:13.689 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.639$ to a curated playlist with headphones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.796$ So this is again very tightly controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.611$ The setting the leaf facilitators

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:20.611 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.959$ were all doctoral level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.760$ either psychologists or physicians with

 $00:17:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.612$ treatment with depression treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

00:17:28.612 --> 00:17:30.927 experience and the Co facilitators

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:30.927 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.980$ held a minimum of a bachelor's degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.960$ in a mental health related field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

00:17:34.960 --> 00:17:36.175 All facilitators completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:36.175 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.795$ a study specific training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.222$ So this is clearly a case where

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00:17:40.222 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.464$ there was great effort taken to

NOTE Confidence: 0.754274631666667

 $00{:}17{:}42.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.394$ make sure that the facilitating

NOTE Confidence: 0.797341222

 $00:17:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.560$ study members were were providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.797341222

 $00:17:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.413$ as much of the uniformed experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.797341222

 $00:17:52.413 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.478$ as possible in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797341222

 $00{:}17{:}55.480 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}17{:}57.088$ Here if we go through looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.797341222

 $00{:}17{:}57.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.160$ at the consort diagram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797341222

 $00:17:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.768$ So this is one of the first interesting

 $00:18:00.768 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.958$ things to see is that there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00{:}18{:}05.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.680$ 15129 people assessed by phone

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00:18:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.714$ screen with 1425 excluded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00:18:12.714 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.513$ So this is one of these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00{:}18{:}14.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.002$ where there was a lot of interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00:18:16.002 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.754$ and a lot of people that wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00:18:17.754 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.516$ take part in a study and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00{:}18{:}19.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.902$ talk about the pros and cons of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00:18:20.902 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.302$ that that that that could either

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00:18:22.302 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.979$ work in your favour or that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00{:}18{:}23.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.395$ work against you in some ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

00:18:25.400 --> 00:18:26.412 But for this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

00:18:26.412 --> 00:18:28.305 you can see that's a pretty large

NOTE Confidence: 0.97244084

 $00:18:28.305 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.875$ number that was whittled down

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:18:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.880$ to to a number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:18:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.610$ As you said, 11182 were excluded

 $00:18:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.360$ just on the telephone screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:18:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.600$ So just on those initial telephone screens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

00:18:41.600 --> 00:18:44.140 it was either the patient didn't meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:18:44.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.310$ the depression or some of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

00:18:46.310 --> 00:18:48.835 would call and didn't have depression at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:18:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.000$ They just wanted to try study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:18:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.752$ but others had other clear reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00{:}18{:}53.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.552$ where they may have had psychosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

00:18:55.552 --> 00:18:57.274 or a family member had psychosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:18:57.274 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.039$ or for a variety of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:18:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.155$ But then it got down to the point where

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.996$ 240 were actually screened in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00{:}19{:}03.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.875$ And then there were additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:04.875 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.784$ exclusions down to 104

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:06.784 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.920$ participants that were randomized,

 $00:19:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.118$ 51 randomized to receive the psilocybin

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00{:}19{:}13.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.680$ and 53 randomized to receive niacin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714 00:19:16.680 --> 00:19:17.828 In fact, if we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:17.828 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.263$ if you read the paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

00:19:19.263 --> 00:19:20.920 real quick real closely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

00:19:20.920 --> 00:19:23.237 it actually ended up that 50 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.252$ actually received the psilocybin and 54

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:26.252 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.840$ received niacin because one patient was

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.518$ by mistake given the wrong treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.714$ So, so really ended up 50 to to 54

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00{:}19{:}37.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.736$ which is actually not that uncommon

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:39.736 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.794$ in in these studies that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00{:}19{:}41.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.360$ one mistake in there somewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.754$ And then you can see the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:47.754 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.832$ that completed at the day 8

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:19:49.832 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.760$ assessment you had 51 of the of the

 $00:19:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.080$ 51 patients completing the day at

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00{:}19{:}55.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}57.525$ and actually 50 of the psilocybin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

00:19:57.525 --> 00:20:00.255 Of the 51 psilocybin patients completing

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:00.255 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.180$ the six week evaluation where for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.292$ niacin you had 48 of the 53 make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

00:20:06.292 --> 00:20:09.360 to day eight and only 42 of the 53

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.118$ make it to the six week assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.896$ So you had nine people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:13.896 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.360$ did not make it on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.240$ And then on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.180$ you can actually see by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.270$ individual sites how many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00{:}20{:}21.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.120$ were recruited at what percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

00:20:23.120 --> 00:20:25.064 This is the number of participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:25.064 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.303$ that each site had and the percent

 $00:20:27.303 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.137$ of the total that they shared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:29.137 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.153$ You can see although there was 11 sites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.384$ really the top five or six accounted for

NOTE Confidence: 0.860973454285714

 $00:20:34.384 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.556$ the large majority of the patients run

NOTE Confidence: 0.767647362

 $00:20:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.360$ Kathleen. At the patient characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.767647362

 $00:20:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.467$ we can see that there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.767647362

00:20:44.467 --> 00:20:46.283 pretty much an equal distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.767647362

 $00:20:46.283 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.935$ of men and women in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767647362

 $00{:}20{:}48.935 \to 00{:}20{:}52.360$ The average age was about 41.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767647362

 $00:20:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.640$ Ethnicity was something

NOTE Confidence: 0.767647362

 $00:20:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.680$ we'll talk about later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767647362

 $00:20:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.400$ There was about 94%

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.800$ white patients participants in the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.910$ and only less than 3% or about 3% black or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

00:21:14.910 --> 00:21:18.240 African American participating in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.022$ The other things of real interest is only

 $00:21:23.022 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.234$ about 12% had treatment resistant depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00{:}21{:}27.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.656$ So this was primarily and the large majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:29.656 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.543$ of these patients were not meeting criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

00:21:32.543 --> 00:21:34.319 for treatment resistant depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.427$ although there was no cap on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

00:21:36.427 --> 00:21:37.920 number of treatment failures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.656$ So, so there were people that could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:40.656 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.520$ had any number of treatment failures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.280$ It was really about 12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.499 1/2\%$ that would have met the criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:46.499 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.080$ for treatment resistant depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.026$ And you can see the number that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00{:}21{:}50.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.920$ actually went through a medication taper

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

00:21:51.920 --> 00:21:53.703 both for psilocybin and for niacin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

00:21:53.703 --> 00:21:56.240 But in general it was about one out of

00:21:56.240 --> 00:21:58.196 five people required a medication taper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:21:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.000$ meaning that about 80% of the patients or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:03.000 \dashrightarrow 00:22:05.160$ 75% of the patients didn't require a taper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.578$ They were not on an antidepressant

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:07.578 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.839$ at the time of entering the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

00:22:10.840 --> 00:22:11.524 Baseline mattress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:11.524 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.809$ as I said the cut off was was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:14.809 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.080$ pretty high for the study of 28.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.866$ So the the baseline mattress of 35

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

00:22:19.866 --> 00:22:25.300 is is a pretty high average level

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.200$ of severity for a study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.936$ The SDS scores of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:28.936 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.061$ you know somewhere around seven was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:32.061 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.968$ a little bit lower then you would expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:34.968 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.720$ So a lower level that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

00:22:36.779 --> 00:22:38.240 Sheehan disability scale,

 $00:22:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.832$ a little bit lower level of disability than

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00{:}22{:}40.832 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.639$ you see in most other depression studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

00:22:43.640 --> 00:22:46.336 And then you can see the average length of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80088173125

 $00:22:46.336 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.706$ depression and number of previous episodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008817312500:22:49.706 --> 00:22:50.559 Jerry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84663695

 $00:22:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.296$ what do you make of that observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84663695

 $00:22:52.296 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.137$ that the Madras is on the high

NOTE Confidence: 0.84663695

00:22:54.137 --> 00:22:56.040 side but the SDS is on the low side?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84663695

 $00{:}22{:}56.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}57.951$ Is that because so many other possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.84663695

 $00:22:57.951 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.598$ sources of disability are screened out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

 $00{:}23{:}00.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.408$ I I think that's a a large possibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

 $00:23:02.408 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.840$ The way the way the Sheen is collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

 $00{:}23{:}04.900 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.285$ may give you some artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

 $00:23:06.285 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.320$ ratings with that too a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

 $00:23:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.792$ But I also think and we'll talk about

00:23:09.792 --> 00:23:11.519 when we talk about the generalizability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

 $00{:}23{:}11.520 \to 00{:}23{:}13.116$ this is kind of a unique population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

00:23:13.120 --> 00:23:14.203 very highly educated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

 $00:23:14.203 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.176$ more so than you would see in a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

 $00:23:18.176 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.000$ other typical antidepressant trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

00:23:20.000 --> 00:23:21.300 And that I think probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.688186763333333

 $00:23:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.800$ attributes to some of that. There

NOTE Confidence: 0.698293681111111

 $00:23:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.110$ is buffer. There are various

NOTE Confidence: 0.6982936811111111

 $00:23:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.496$ characteristics that buffer

NOTE Confidence: 0.698293681111111

 $00:23:26.496 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.680$ disability in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:23:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.866$ Yeah, they have a lot of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:23:30.866 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.982$ buffers to to prevent it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:23:32.982 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.274$ All right. So here's the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:23:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.086$ I mean, there's the data is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:23:37.086 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.799$ real easy thing to present here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:23:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.560$ This is pretty, pretty clear.

 $00:23:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.124$ So that, yeah, that there was no doubt in

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:23:45.124 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.673$ the significance there with AP of 001.001.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:23:48.673 --> 00:23:52.066 The mean difference was the 12.3 points

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:23:52.066 --> 00:23:54.957 on a mattress which is quite large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:23:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.360$ you know very large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:23:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.369$ So this is really standing out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:00.369 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.358$ in many ways as a very strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}24{:}02.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03.920$ Remember the the primary end

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.480$ point here is day 43,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.436$ so it's this last time point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.360$ If you look look at it other ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.448$ this is actually my new favorite

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}24{:}11.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.840$ way to present data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.472$ It's called the waterfall

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:14.472 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.026$ plot where you actually,

 $00:24:16.026 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.250$ you can see the the mattress

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:24:18.250 --> 00:24:19.975 score that the patients start

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:24:19.975 --> 00:24:23.745 with or the participants and

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:23.745 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.880$ then how far they drop or rise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.120$ For the few people that actually got worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.880$ you can see here that there's an increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.800$ So you can see the group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.764$ the orange represents the psilocybin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:35.764 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.640$ the blue representing the the niacin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:24:38.640 --> 00:24:40.474 You can see that the large majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}24{:}40.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.072$ of people getting psilocybin

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:24:42.072 --> 00:24:43.680 had significant drops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.384$ very few that did a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:24:45.384 --> 00:24:47.119 bit worse and in fact no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.920$ no more doing worse than

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:24:48.920 --> 00:24:50.457 the people getting niacin.

00:24:50.457 --> 00:24:53.656 So it's really nice way of looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}24{:}53.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56.118$ at individual patient data here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.164$ And then you can see the change

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:24:58.164 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.319$ in Madras from baseline to day 43,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.635$ which was the primary outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:25:02.635 --> 00:25:04.487 measure very clearly hitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:04.487 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.880$ statistical significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.323$ So this data for the primary outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}25{:}08.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.119$ I don't think could be more promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:25:11.120 --> 00:25:13.352 I don't think you could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:13.352 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.840$ asked for much more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.544$ Also for secondary measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:25:16.544 --> 00:25:18.674 the data were very strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}25{:}18.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.498$ So here's looking at the Madras

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:20.498 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.000$ scores throughout the different days.

00:25:22.000 --> 00:25:23.540 You can see pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.080$ significance all the way through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.052$ Even the Sheehan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:26.052 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.861$ even though I said the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:25:27.861 --> 00:25:29.037 baseline levels of disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:25:29.037 --> 00:25:31.098 weren't maybe as high as you may

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:31.098 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.438$ expect in some other studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.824$ they still showed high levels of change

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:35.824 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.960$ significance compared to niacin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.656$ And if you looked at the sustained

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:42.656 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.240$ depressive response at the six week point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:25:45.240 --> 00:25:47.480 highly statistically significant remission

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:25:47.480 --> 00:25:50.280 didn't quite hit statistical significance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.528$ but pretty darn close.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:25:51.528 --> 00:25:53.827 And you can actually see here sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:25:53.827 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.396$ visually it's just a little bit better.

 $00:25:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.234$ This is looking at the response rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:25:58.240 --> 00:26:00.814 So we had 20 of 48 in psilocybin 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}26{:}00.814 \to 00{:}26{:}03.439$ and 44 in Niacin meeting response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.660$ which was a 50% improvement on

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.240$ the Madras and an odds ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.502$ of response of about 5.6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

00:26:10.502 --> 00:26:13.034 If you look at sustained remission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.504$ there was 12 of 48 meaning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:15.504 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.990$ they had a Madras less than 10 for

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}26{:}18.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.346$ psilocybin and only about I think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:22.346 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.675$ was about 11 percent or so for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}26{:}25.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.155$ Niacin with an odd ratio of over three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00{:}26{:}29.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.561$ So again very strong data and remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:31.561 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.834$ this is different than some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:33.834 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.074$ other studies that you may have seen

 $00:26:36.074 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.119$ where there's been repeated dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.070$ This is just that one single

NOTE Confidence: 0.709693466363636

 $00:26:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.370$ dose and then these

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:26:41.446 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.538$ measures are made day 43 out other

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:26:45.538 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.210$ secondary measures pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

00:26:47.210 --> 00:26:50.156 across the board you you can look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:26:50.156 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.920$ and see how they were carried out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:26:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.557$ I did forget to say early on that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:26:54.557 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.918$ ratings are all done by central Raiders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:26:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.680$ So in order to address the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:26:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.240$ the unblinding of the Raider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.298$ all these ratings were done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:05.298 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.920$ somebody off site calling in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.591$ And it was very rigorous that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:10.591 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.697$ the person doing the raid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

00:27:12.697 --> 00:27:15.198 did not even know at what point

 $00:27:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.078$ the person was in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.408$ So they didn't know if this was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:19.408 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.325$ baseline rating this is the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:21.325 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.080$ study rating if this was a week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.320$ Week 2 rating, a week 1 rating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.760$ So they really didn't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.200$ everybody was treated the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.096$ So these these data are pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

 $00:27:30.096 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.360$ strong from that perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907544118461538

00:27:31.360 --> 00:27:31.440 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.737113966666667

 $00:27:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.560$ it wasn't the same rater consistent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737113966666667

 $00:27:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.650$ it was not the same rater

NOTE Confidence: 0.737113966666667

 $00{:}27{:}35.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.800$ consistently which is you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.903351388571428

 $00{:}27{:}36.800 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.655$ when you design studies that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.903351388571428

00:27:38.655 --> 00:27:41.960 something you usually do want to have.

 $00:27:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.200$ But in this case to try to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.903351388571428

 $00:27:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.200$ the functional on blinding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903351388571428

 $00:27:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.432$ the of the rater that was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.903351388571428

 $00:27:50.432 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.280$ precaution that was put in place was

NOTE Confidence: 0.850431003076923

 $00:27:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.750$ there any formal assessment of blind

NOTE Confidence: 0.850431003076923

 $00{:}27{:}53.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.319$ for either the subject or the rater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438697

 $00:27:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.830$ So that we'll we'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438697

 $00:27:56.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.641$ about that's one of the major

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438697

00:27:58.641 --> 00:28:00.077 limitations of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438697

 $00:28:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.480$ So there there was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.953$ the other I I I think the the flip

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

00:28:05.953 --> 00:28:08.000 side the real important data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.120$ the study of the safety data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.274$ So here you can see that 88% or

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:14.274 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.896$ 44 or 50 other participants in

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

00:28:16.896 --> 00:28:19.848 psilocybin and 33 or out of 54 or

 $00:28:19.848 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.088$ 61% receiving niacin reported at

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00{:}28{:}22.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.878$ least one AE through throughout the

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:24.878 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.840$ end of the the 43 day follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.072$ But I think a little bit more important

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:32.072 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.598$ is what would be considered drug related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00{:}28{:}34.600 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.749$ So the investigator at least said it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:36.749 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.591$ possible that that these side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

00:28:38.591 --> 00:28:40.889 were related to the drug and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:40.889 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.902$ say here that it was 41 of the 50 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:43.902 \dashrightarrow 00:28:47.918$ 82% of the patients in the psilocybin group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.196$ And you can see it was 24 of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

00:28:51.196 --> 00:28:54.800 54 or 44% in the niacin group

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00{:}28{:}54.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.299$ experienced at least an AE that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

00:28:57.299 --> 00:28:59.399 thought to be drug related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:28:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.633$ And then even bumping it up a

 $00:29:01.633 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.806$ little bit more in importance is

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:03.806 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.080$ more of the severe related AES.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.120$ And this is through the first week really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.312$ I reported four out of the 50

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:12.312 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.120$ participants receiving psilocybin or 8%

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

00:29:14.120 --> 00:29:16.796 of those that consisted of migraines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

00:29:16.800 --> 00:29:21.280 headaches, illusions, panic attacks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428 00:29:21.280 --> 00:29:22.400 paranoia. NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00{:}29{:}22.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.557$ There were none in the Niacin group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

00:29:25.560 --> 00:29:27.800 but I I'm bumping it up one more

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00{:}29{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.820$ in level of importance and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.070$ is obviously the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00{:}29{:}31.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.193$ all of these there were no serious

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:33.193 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.513$ treatment emergent adverse events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.221$ So for those of you that aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00{:}29{:}36.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37.320$ familiar with clinical trials,

 $00:29:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.145$ a serious adverse event basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:40.145 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.275$ means hospitalization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.088$ risk of death or some other long

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:47.088 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.640$ term impairment that results from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768518528571428

 $00:29:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.358$ So nothing along those lines occurred,

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

 $00:29:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.908$ Jerry. Yeah, that difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

 $00:29:54.908 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.393$ between in in severe aids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

00:29:57.400 --> 00:29:58.672 Well, in both categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

00:29:58.672 --> 00:29:59.944 I guess you're lumping

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

 $00:29:59.944 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.360$ together days one through 9,

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

 $00:30:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.544$ but we expect a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

 $00:30:03.544 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.000$ psychological effects during dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

 $00:30:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.708$ So I'd be interested in the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

 $00{:}30{:}06.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08.548$ on days two through 9 because like

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

00:30:08.548 --> 00:30:10.200 how much of that difference was,

00:30:10.200 --> 00:30:12.216 you know, panic attack on day one during

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

 $00:30:12.216 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.958$ dosing means something very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578699218888889

00:30:13.960 --> 00:30:15.640 So many attacks four days later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8739576575

 $00:30:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.920$ so many were here we can go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8739576575

 $00:30:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.360$ We'll talk a little bit about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8739576575

00:30:19.360 --> 00:30:20.746 the more persistent ones or outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.8739576575

 $00:30:20.746 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.222$ ones because those are obviously the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8739576575

 $00:30:22.269 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.679$ ones that everybody's worried about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8739576575

00:30:23.680 --> 00:30:26.640 Yeah, good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8739576575

 $00:30:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.159$ Yeah, they'll come up a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772907803076923

 $00:30:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.513$ I'm also, I'm a little surprised it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772907803076923

 $00:30:30.513 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.022$ reported as day one through 9, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772907803076923

 $00:30:32.022 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.314$ Yeah, As opposed to day one, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772907803076923

00:30:34.314 --> 00:30:35.920 And then day two through nine. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

00:30:35.920 --> 00:30:38.320 I think that people were worried about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:30:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.400$ that total time frame,

00:30:40.400 --> 00:30:45.800 people meeting the regulatory agencies, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:30:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.194$ when it came to solicited adverse events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00{:}30{:}48.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.384$ So ones that were adverse events of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:30:50.384 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.327$ interest that was specifically asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:30:52.327 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.880$ about the most common were headache,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

00:30:54.880 --> 00:30:59.920 nausea and visual perceptual effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

00:30:59.920 --> 00:31:02.928 which was not so surprising I think and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00{:}31{:}02.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.316$ you can see the relative ratios of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:31:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.948$ The one that may be a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:31:08.948 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.823$ concerning with the visual and perceptual

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:31:10.823 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.177$ effects is 3 of the 50 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

00:31:13.177 --> 00:31:15.530 receiving psilocybin or 6% actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00{:}31{:}15.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19.580$ reported some visual or perceptual

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:31:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.272$ effects occurring after that first day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:31:22.272 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.092$ So this is getting a little bit more of what

00:31:25.092 --> 00:31:27.340 you're talking about S 6% smaller number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:31:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.296$ but still a number that I I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:31:30.296 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.714$ it's important to pay attention to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:31:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.830$ And and they all did resolve

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625

 $00:31:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.840$ by the end of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90480678625 00:31:34.840 --> 00:31:34.960 Well

NOTE Confidence: 0.829558628666667

 $00:31:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.850$ what was the severity of those Because

NOTE Confidence: 0.829558628666667

00:31:36.850 --> 00:31:38.839 if that's a little flickering on day

NOTE Confidence: 0.829558628666667

 $00:31:38.839 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.608$ 2 versus well formed hallucinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.829558628666667

 $00:31:40.608 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.840$ of pink elephants on day 20,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829558628666667

 $00:31:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.880$ those are very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829558628666667

 $00:31:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.160$ You know, so remember there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.838822982352941

00:31:45.160 --> 00:31:46.861 no SAES, so nothing reaching the level

NOTE Confidence: 0.838822982352941

00:31:46.861 --> 00:31:49.160 of an SAE and I don't think any of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838822982352941

00:31:49.160 --> 00:31:50.875 I I I can't say for sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838822982352941

 $00:31:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.410$ but I don't think any of them even met

 $00:31:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.839$ the level of severe adverse event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

00:31:54.640 --> 00:31:56.856 I I I would have to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

 $00:31:56.856 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.839$ the details but I don't recall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

 $00:31:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.560$ I would have to agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

 $00:32:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.172$ And these out of those three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

00:32:05.172 --> 00:32:07.491 I think it it's possible we worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

00:32:07.491 --> 00:32:09.504 with one of them and you're right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

 $00:32:09.504 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.180$ it was more flickering and it was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

 $00:32:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.400$ but it was not sustained or

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

 $00:32:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.546$ there was no overt hallucinate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787474428571428

 $00{:}32{:}16.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17.638 \ {\rm frank\ hallucinations},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.64446776

 $00:32:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.480$ no more kind of illusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}32{:}21.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26.037$ And I think other good news is in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:26.037 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.424$ of any suicidal ideation or behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:29.424 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.040$ they're really the main

 $00:32:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.240$ thing is right on the bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.150$ there was no real clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.919$ increases in suicidal ideation or behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.798$ associated with the study, if any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.194$ If you look at any patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:42.194 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.124$ even had some level of increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

00:32:44.124 --> 00:32:46.400 throughout the main part of the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.300$ there were numerically more

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.200$ in the niacin group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.318$ about 10% compared to about 2%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.870$ There are just five people versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:32:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.188$ one person that showed some increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}32{:}58.188 \rightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.273$ in suicidality or suicidal ideation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.271$ This I I think is becoming more

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:04.271 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.897$ important as we realize how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:05.897 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.480$ move forward with these studies.

00:33:07.480 --> 00:33:08.915 At least for me running these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.426$ I worry less about the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:10.426 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.961$ getting the active drug than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:11.961 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.160$ people being randomized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.924$ especially if there's functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:14.924 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.868$ on blinding for the patient that

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:16.868 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.753$ you really have to worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:18.753 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.463$ how they're going to react to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}33{:}20.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.239$ feeling they didn't get treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.912$ So now if we just talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:23.912 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.398$ limitations of the study quickly and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:25.398 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.015$ we'll be able to go into discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}33{:}27.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.919$ because I think that's the main thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}33{:}28.920 \to 00{:}33{:}30.976$ So one of the biggest limitations and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:30.976 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.760$ mentioned it one or two times already,

 $00:33:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.388$ was this study was conducted between

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:35.388 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.165$ December of 2019 and June of 2022.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:38.165 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.595$ Here is just the death rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.358$ due to COVID during that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.826$ I mean this was smack, we started it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:44.826 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.220$ I think we were ready to run

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

00:33:47.301 --> 00:33:49.953 our first patient in like March

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:49.953 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.920$ just as everything was hidden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}33{:}52.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.280$ So this study was thrown on its head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:33:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.360$ That being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}33{:}57.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59.160$ it's a limitation because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

00:33:59.160 --> 00:34:01.038 study was run during COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.420$ Almost most studies run during

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.600$ this time has had major problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.205$ because the placebo response rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:08.205 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.140$ have been astronomical and most of

 $00:34:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.520$ these studies run during COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.400$ And we're not quite sure why that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.500$ But many of the studies run in

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.108$ depression during the summer has

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

00:34:17.108 --> 00:34:18.810 had very abnormal elevated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.635$ it may be the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

00:34:20.640 --> 00:34:22.754 Some of the hypothesis that's been put

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:22.754 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.400$ out is that people are really more

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}34{:}25.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.440$ starved for socialization and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

00:34:27.513 --> 00:34:29.816 the fact of getting out was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

00:34:29.816 --> 00:34:32.360 working a lot you know in their favor

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.640$ but against the study drugs favor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.440$ And in this case if anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.702$ this would be something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:37.702 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.688$ The fact that we did see

 $00:34:39.688 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.488$ such a big effect is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:41.488 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.456$ is probably not a major limitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:43.456 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.892$ that we would use that we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:45.892 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.280$ worry about going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.576$ But there are some other limitations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

00:34:49.576 --> 00:34:53.080 and I think maybe the biggest one

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.600$ moving forward is the generalizability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.000$ So as I mentioned this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:34:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.701$ you know ways that this differs than

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

00:34:58.701 --> 00:35:00.340 many of the other depression trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}35{:}00.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.328$ is that the male to female ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:35:02.383 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.159$ is different than we typically see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:35:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.400$ We typically see a one to two

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}35{:}06.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.880$ male to female ratio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:35:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.800$ This was pretty much a one to one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00:35:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.088$ The age was a little bit younger in

 $00:35:12.088 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.192$ this group and typically in trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.925418549090909

 $00{:}35{:}14.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.394$ of antidepressants the age is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

 $00:35:16.458 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.194$ 45 and here it was about 41.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

00:35:18.200 --> 00:35:20.120 I don't know if that's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

 $00:35:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.240$ to make any major difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

 $00:35:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.386$ but there really was a failure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

 $00:35:26.386 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.864$ have adequate representation of the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

 $00:35:28.864 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.197$ population in general in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

 $00:35:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.375$ mainly in terms of race

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

 $00:35:33.375 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.115$ and even in ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

 $00:35:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.578$ 89% were white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859233582962963

 $00:35:36.578 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.080$ with only 3% black in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00{:}35{:}41.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.776$ And even if you broke it down during

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:35:43.776 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.932$ other things like other demographic

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:35:45.932 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.980$ and social demographic factors in

 $00:35:48.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.080$ terms of income, education level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

00:35:52.080 --> 00:35:53.990 this probably wasn't as representative

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:35:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.680$ of the population as we'd like to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:35:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.588$ And and I think ultimately regulators

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:35:58.588 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.916$ would like to say that this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:36:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.062$ this is a treatment if approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

00:36:03.062 --> 00:36:05.402 would work in the large majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:36:05.402 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.190$ or would the data they would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:36:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.557$ would be representative of the large

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:36:10.557 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.916$ majority of of people in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00{:}36{:}12.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.672$ The other point was that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:36:14.672 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.588$ majority of these people were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:36:16.588 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.318$ taking antidepressants at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

00:36:18.320 --> 00:36:21.016 So you're really looking at a group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:36:21.016 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.770$ either antidepressant naive patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:36:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.824$ or at least patients that weren't at

 $00:36:25.824 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.288$ the level of severity that they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00{:}36{:}28.288 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}36{:}30.240$ seeking treatment in some of the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922497596363636

 $00:36:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.360$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:36:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.595$ then there were also patients are able

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

00:36:34.595 --> 00:36:36.760 to remain free of both medication and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:36:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.632$ free of contact with the therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:36:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.624$ So these are all issues that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:36:40.624 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.290$ future we're going to have to either

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

00:36:42.290 --> 00:36:44.320 determine if we're going to limit it

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:36:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.840$ to that or we're going to broaden the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:36:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.125$ categories and and figure out other ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:36:49.125 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.393$ of managing the risk associated with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00{:}36{:}51.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53.080$ As I said, the baseline SES was

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:36:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.550$ slightly lower and that that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:36:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.954$ be for a variety of reasons.

 $00:36:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.717$ I wouldn't worry too much about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00{:}36{:}57.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.184$ And the other main limitation is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:00.184 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.342$ was probably over represented for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:02.342 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.331$ age group and the number of people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:05.331 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.836$ have had previous psychedelic experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.840$ And as we know this can have a major impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.040$ I've been a major impact on the validity

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:13.040 \dashrightarrow 00:37:16.039$ of some of these measures that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

00:37:16.039 --> 00:37:17.992 first things I said were more related

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:17.992 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.039$ to the ecological validity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:20.039 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.277$ study which we can fix by study design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.443$ And some of these future ones I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00{:}37{:}25.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.822$ talk about is related to really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:27.822 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.677$ the interpretive validity of study

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:29.677 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.345$ is you know is this due to the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:32.345 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.319$ or some of the other effects.

 $00:37:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.336$ And one of the major ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811201895

 $00:37:36.336 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.200$ continues to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:37:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.848$ be dealt with or or the to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

00:37:41.848 --> 00:37:44.892 difficult to deal with is the struggle

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:37:44.892 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.712$ around blinding or or unmasking

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:37:47.712 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.480$ of allocation, group allocation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:37:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.447$ You know it's really hard when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00{:}37{:}53.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.832$ have a drug that has very prominent

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00{:}37{:}56.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.096$ and a cute psychoactive effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:37:59.096 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.036$ in comparing it to something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:38:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.077$ So Niacin was used as an attempt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:38:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.040$ But as Chris mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00{:}38{:}04.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.960$ you know if you really ask somebody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

00:38:05.960 --> 00:38:07.920 I don't know I I can say now

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:38:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.517$ the study's over at our site.

 $00:38:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.024$ I think we may have had one person

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00{:}38{:}12.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.540$ in each group that I think it's fair

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:38:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.108$ to say that we had one person that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

00:38:17.108 --> 00:38:18.568 think the staff would have guessed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:38:18.568 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.840$ got it and didn't get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

 $00:38:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.136$ And I think there was one person who

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667

00:38:21.136 --> 00:38:22.599 got it and staff would have guessed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843312586666667 00:38:22.600 --> 00:38:23.080 didn't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.831229068

00:38:23.080 --> 00:38:25.920 it. It was only one exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47046827

 $00:38:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.146$ So probably many one on

NOTE Confidence: 0.47046827

 $00:38:27.146 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.876$ each time. What, I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.549498885

 $00:38:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.120$ know how many time I need to deal with 15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.717$ Yeah, I think 1350, I can't remember.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00{:}38{:}32.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35.078$ Yeah. So I mean there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.040$ there are cases of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.960$ but I think the large majority of people,

00:38:38.960 --> 00:38:43.240 both participants and site members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.610$ staff members would would guess

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:44.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.280$ for the majority of the point

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:38:46.280 --> 00:38:48.170 And just an idea of how important

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.040$ some of this unmasking can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.612$ There was a recent paper that

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:38:51.612 --> 00:38:53.689 some of you may have seen that

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:53.689 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.615$ came out of Stanford Boris Boris

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:38:55.615 --> 00:38:57.696 Heifetz paper where he was getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:57.696 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.924$ ketamine under adequate blinding

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:38:59.924 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.436$ because they're under anaesthesia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.384$ So he actually these are people

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00{:}39{:}04.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}05.680$ going for surgical procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:39:05.738 --> 00:39:07.703 where they were getting general

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00{:}39{:}07.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09.275$ anaes
thesia during their anaesthesia

 $00:39:09.275 \dashrightarrow 00:39:11.068$ given .5 milligrams per kilogram

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:39:11.068 --> 00:39:12.743 ketamine over 40 minutes during

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:12.743 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.688$ that time with the idea of seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:14.688 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.926$ one of the questions is how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:16.926 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.398$ does that psychological experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.822$ how necessary is that for ketamine but

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:20.822 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.758$ also if it's blinded will it still work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.385$ And I think that the results were

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00{:}39{:}26.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.526$ actually shocking to to some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:28.526 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.360$ was and this is like a rush of

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00{:}39{:}31.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33.358$ test on itself what people see

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:33.358 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.194$ here and the date is small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.380$ so you may not see anything here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.930$ But I can tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.760$ I can tell you what is here is you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.648$ there was over 50% response at day

 $00:39:45.648 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.880$ one to the group that got ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.886$ but there was nearly 50% to

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:49.886 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.316$ the group that got placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.155$ So there was just a huge placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.176$ response rate in this in in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:56.176 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.320$ terms of their depression ratings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:39:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.133$ We we published sort of the commentary

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:00.133 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.359$ on this and there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:02.359 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.475$ problems with the interpretation

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:40:03.475 --> 00:40:05.481 of this study and we can go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:05.481 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.696$ that from the mechanistic side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:06.696 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.680$ but that's not the main point of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00{:}40{:}08.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.245$ I think the main point of it is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:11.245 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.893$ realize how important expectations

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:40:12.893 --> 00:40:15.371 and especially if you have unmasking

 $00:40:15.371 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.880$ going on can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.080$ So if you look at the HEIFET study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.680$ if you look at the 19 patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:40:21.680 --> 00:40:24.367 got ketamine and 19 patients that got

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:24.367 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.080$ the placebo during their surgical procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.640$ Really no difference in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00{:}40{:}28.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.600$ their Madras score at two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:40:30.600 --> 00:40:31.452 But if you add,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:31.452 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.324$ but when they went back and asked the

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:40:33.324 --> 00:40:34.956 people what they thought they got,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00{:}40{:}34.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36.360$ then you can see dramatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.886$ So the people that thought they got

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:37.886 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.818$ it on average had a remission and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

00:40:39.818 --> 00:40:41.292 people that thought they didn't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:41.292 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.876$ it or didn't know didn't do so well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.176$ So this idea of you know what you

00:40:45.176 --> 00:40:47.176 think you got an expectancy really

NOTE Confidence: 0.645553602857143

 $00:40:47.176 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.480$ plays a big role although that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81315615

 $00:40:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.400$ it could work either way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81315615

00:40:51.400 --> 00:40:52.708 It could be that they thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.81315615

 $00{:}40{:}52.708 \to 00{:}40{:}53.884$ they didn't get ketamine because

NOTE Confidence: 0.81315615

 $00:40:53.884 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.920$ they didn't stay better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00:40:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.320$ So one, one of the big problems with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00:40:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.741$ this study and several of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

00:40:59.741 --> 00:41:01.818 studies including the next one I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00:41:01.818 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.860$ show you is the asking post hoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00{:}41{:}03.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06.240$ asking you really have to ask these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00:41:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.538$ And that's some of the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00:41:08.538 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.493$ moving forward that we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

00:41:10.493 --> 00:41:12.985 to really do is to assess both

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00:41:12.985 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.759$ expectations and and preference.

00:41:14.760 --> 00:41:17.216 And also what you think you got much

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00:41:17.216 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.511$ closer to the time because this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00:41:19.511 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.319$ this is very flawed doing it this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909678

 $00:41:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.320$ Was there any

NOTE Confidence: 0.809475735

 $00:41:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.715$ Cyril asked this question in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.809475735

 $00:41:25.715 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.848$ earlier, was there any assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.809475735

 $00:41:27.848 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.680$ of expectation in the Usona study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.730384885

00:41:30.960 --> 00:41:33.000 No, no, no, no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:41:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.211$ So and this is another, this is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:41:37.211 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.993$ a study that we recently completed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:41:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.486$ This was the study funded by Pecorie

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:41:42.486 --> 00:41:45.560 which was ECT versus IV Ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:41:45.560 --> 00:41:47.320 a 400 person study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:41:47.320 --> 00:41:49.080 actually a little bit more than 400 people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:41:49.080 --> 00:41:52.440 200 approximately 200 randomized C CHARM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:41:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.548$ You can see where there's really not

 $00:41:55.548 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.912$ the dramatic difference between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:41:57.912 --> 00:41:59.654 Actually. Numerically ketamine looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:41:59.654 --> 00:42:03.639 like it did a little bit better than ECT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.754$ but this was a non inferiority study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00{:}42{:}05.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07.937$ So all we can say with confidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:07.937 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.872$ is that ketamine was non inferior

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:09.872 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.512$ to ECT in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.428$ But the main point here was when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:14.428 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.612$ went back to look at how preference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:42:16.612 --> 00:42:18.754 So the idea of what they wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:18.754 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.440$ and impacted how they did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.448$ And again, this is limited by the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00{:}42{:}22.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.478$ that we asked the preference after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.560$ not before. But if you look here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:42:28.560 --> 00:42:32.976 you know the percent, I'm sorry,

 $00:42:32.976 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.420$ the percent of responders who preferred

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:36.514 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.154$ ECT but got ketamine was only about 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.972$ But the ones that preferred ketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:41.972 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.875$ and got ketamine was nearly 60%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:43.875 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.820$ So the how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:42:44.820 --> 00:42:47.150 how the impact of preference may play

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.358$ on how well somebody actually does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.160$ So these are all things when

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00{:}42{:}51.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.631$ we're designing these studies we

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:52.631 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.915$ just can't be blind to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.652$ And again these are very flawed analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:42:56.652 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.560$ because they were done post doc as it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:42:58.560 --> 00:43:01.272 So the first thing is to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:43:01.272 --> 00:43:03.360 you're doing it earlier on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.760$ it's not just how it complicates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.935$ well maybe they wanted it

 $00:43:06.935 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.875$ and they got better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:43:07.880 --> 00:43:09.524 It's really important if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:09.524 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.177$ differential drop out and in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00{:}43{:}11.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12.934$ case I did mention there was some

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:12.934 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.196$ differential drop out the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:14.196 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.092$ that really year they these people

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:16.092 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.315$ came in my guess is the majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:18.315 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.812$ really one and we didn't ask but I

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:20.812 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.008$ think the probably very likely they

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:23.008 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.594$ were very much looking forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00{:}43{:}25.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27.344$ getting psilocybin when they didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:27.344 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.679$ There was some evidence of a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00{:}43{:}29.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}31.279$ bit of a differential dropout

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:31.279 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.478$ because when you do look at day 48,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.258$ there was only one of the psilocybin

00:43:35.258 --> 00:43:36.743 patients at day 43 that didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:36.743 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.635$ make it that far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:43:37.640 --> 00:43:39.464 but nine of the niacin and that remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:39.464 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.277$ that was the primary outcome measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

00:43:41.280 --> 00:43:43.666 So now you have to impute their data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:43.666 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.904$ So it really makes these trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:45.904 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.398$ complicated that when when you don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:48.398 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.640$ data on everybody starting at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.166$ So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:51.166 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.481$ to manage those preferences is

NOTE Confidence: 0.567767144

 $00:43:52.481 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.199$ going to be really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84524183

 $00:43:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.540$ There was no opportunity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84524183

 $00:43:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.760$ open label follow up and

NOTE Confidence: 0.762519378

 $00:43:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.680$ there was no open label. Yeah, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.801699842

 $00:43:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.680$ know the answer to that. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:43:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.760$ there was, there was no.

 $00:44:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.629$ So that's why these things are so

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00{:}44{:}02.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.227$ important in the clinical trial design

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:04.227 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.000$ is to do what you can to make sure you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.992$ not getting this differential drop out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.492$ And then lastly there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00{:}44{:}12.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.696$ some questions or concerns about

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:14.696 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.716$ the onset of antidepressant action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:44:16.720 --> 00:44:18.904 So I don't know if you, I can flip back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:44:18.904 --> 00:44:22.072 but take my word for it on day one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:22.072 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.077$ day two, one day after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:24.077 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.872$ there really wasn't a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:25.872 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.274$ antidepressant response to either

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:44:27.274 --> 00:44:28.834 the niac in or the psilocybin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.048$ And people were questioning how come

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:44:31.048 --> 00:44:33.335 most of these other studies showed

 $00:44:33.335 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.555$ a more rapid onset of benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:44:35.560 --> 00:44:36.484 And it's difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:36.484 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.640$ We don't know 100% why that happened here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.734$ But I think it's quite likely that

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:41.734 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.839$ one of the main contributors to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:43.839 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.680$ finding was the way that study was designed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:44:46.680 --> 00:44:48.448 I I mentioned in in order to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:48.448 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.017$ to preserve the blinding of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00{:}44{:}50.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51.357$ Raiders as much as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.754$ These were remote Raiders done off site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:44:53.760 --> 00:44:55.794 They didn't know at what point

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:55.794 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.520$ in their assessments they were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.417$ They were at one point in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:44:59.417 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.079$ treatment they were assessing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:45:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.800$ So they asked very carefully and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:45:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.386$ rigorous about saying tell me how

 $00:45:08.386 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.760$ you've been doing for the last seven days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:45:11.760 --> 00:45:13.224 But so if they only received

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:45:13.224 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.640$ the drug one day before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:45:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.096$ you know six of those seven days

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00{:}45{:}16.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.319$ were before they got the drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:45:17.320 --> 00:45:19.920 So that may account for part of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:45:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.495$ So it's so when but when was

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:45:21.495 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.720$ the integration session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:45:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.160$ the integration session was right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

00:45:25.160 --> 00:45:27.320 was after this right after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:45:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.840$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67909231

 $00:45:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.118$ Question the 1st

NOTE Confidence: 0.816027681333333

 $00{:}45{:}29.680 \to 00{:}45{:}31.732$ in your, I mean in my experience when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.816027681333333

 $00{:}45{:}31.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.790$ ask patients about seven days they use

NOTE Confidence: 0.816027681333333

 $00:45:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.722$ pecan heuristic but you know they talk

 $00:45:35.722 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.678$ about how they are now and yeah so I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.4780106025

 $00:45:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.240$ don't get incredibly powerful

NOTE Confidence: 0.602498116666667

 $00:45:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.316$ effect made accurately across the week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:45:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.885$ Yeah no that I mean that's why

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:45:44.885 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.950$ these type of measures like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:45:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.120$ mattress says serious limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00{:}45{:}50.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.160$ So I think this contributes part to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00{:}45{:}53.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.136$ I I'm not sure what some some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

00:45:55.136 --> 00:45:57.159 the other reason could just be that

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:45:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.870$ by really blinding the Raiders

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00{:}45{:}58.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02.200$ you do have some effect that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.244$ We don't know that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:03.244 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.143$ but it's one of the things moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00{:}46{:}05.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.075$ forward that we have to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.312$ And then the last thing that I I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:09.312 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.592$ put in here is to put it in perspective

00:46:11.592 --> 00:46:16.280 a way or or to give some context to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00{:}46{:}16.280 \to 00{:}46{:}18.638$ 'cause these results are pretty amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

00:46:18.640 --> 00:46:21.040 I mean there's there's you would be hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.360$ pressed to say these aren't impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.004$ These are they're they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:25.004 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.237$ pretty big findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.671$ But I I did want to put them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:27.671 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.677$ perspective to some of the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.767$ So this is to one of the ASPIRE studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:31.767 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.179$ done with S ketamine looking at treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:34.179 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.817$ the green line here I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:35.817 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.277$ it faded out so you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00{:}46{:}37.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.520$ I can superimpose them over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00{:}46{:}39.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.250$ But you can see that the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.388$ to ketamine over S ketamine

00:46:44.388 --> 00:46:46.690 sporvato over that time and going

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.280$ out over the same time period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090336625

 $00:46:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.440$ that's on the graph here

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:46:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.933$ with with the extra going on for

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:46:54.933 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.118$ for the psilocybin in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:46:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.236$ But you can see, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:46:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.438$ really on par with what we're seeing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.470$ And remember this is just a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00{:}47{:}02.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.040$ dose of psilocybin witnesses

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.840$ 8 doses of esketamine here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00{:}47{:}08.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.549$ But you know, one of the caveats is look

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:11.549 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.720$ at where the standard of care treatment is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.452$ Yeah, which was another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:16.452 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.048$ which was anti standard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

00:47:19.048 --> 00:47:20.766 antidepressant treatments, big gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:20.766 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.332$ The biggest,

 $00:47:21.332 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.030$ the thing that stands alone here

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:23.083 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.757$ is the psilocybin is the niacin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.212$ not the psilocybin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:26.212 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.667$ So it's it's a lesson that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:29.667 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.855$ many trials in antidepressants

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:31.855 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.110$ what determines if a drug works

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.235$ or not is the placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00:47:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.580$ If you if if you get a large place bo

NOTE Confidence: 0.857619112307692

 $00{:}47{:}39.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.240$ response it's very difficult what

NOTE Confidence: 0.855400474666667

 $00:47:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.570$ is the there are two lines there

NOTE Confidence: 0.855400474666667

 $00:47:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.276$ is one of those placebo and one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.880318715833333

 $00:47:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.275$ those. So one of these is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.880318715833333

 $00{:}47{:}46.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.733$ actual esketamine is the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.880318715833333

 $00:47:47.733 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.644$ line and the blue line is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.880318715833333

 $00:47:49.644 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.517$ the standard of care treatment.

 $00:47:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.196$ We what it mean well in

NOTE Confidence: 0.786984796

 $00:47:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.740$ in those esketamine studies they

NOTE Confidence: 0.786984796

 $00:47:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.280$ were allowed to have regulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786984796

 $00:47:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.080$ So a new antidepressant was

NOTE Confidence: 0.786984796

 $00:47:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.000$ started, OK, so that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.70193819

 $00:48:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.920$ and they had intensive interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.79561184

 $00:48:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.998$ and they had very intensive interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

00:48:08.400 --> 00:48:12.216 And this is just comparing it to one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:12.216 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.424$ TRANSFORM studies with S ketamine seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

00:48:14.424 --> 00:48:16.944 here you don't see that rapid effects These

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00{:}48{:}16.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.954$ those other patients were hospitalized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.840$ these patients weren't hospitalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

00:48:21.840 --> 00:48:23.946 But again, you can see looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:23.946 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.200$ at that endpoint of four weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.830$ you you can see where where it is overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.720$ compared to the effect with S ketamine.

 $00:48:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.860$ And again these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.000$ comparing apples and oranges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.866$ You have to be really careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:35.866 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.799$ comparing across studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

00:48:36.800 --> 00:48:39.635 This is just to give some level of context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.784$ but again really to show where the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:41.784 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.319$ difference lies is with the Niacin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.736$ So we really have to be careful you

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00{:}48{:}45.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.413$ know and moving forward not to get so

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:48.413 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.434$ confident that these effects sizes are

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00{:}48{:}50.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.088$ going to hold up as you move forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00{:}48{:}53.088 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}48{:}55.669$ There's there's a real trend in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00{:}48{:}55.669 \rightarrow 00{:}48{:}58.027$ clinical trials work that going from

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:48:58.027 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.800$ phase two studies to phase three studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:49:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.428$ that delta between the active and and

 $00:49:03.428 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.516$ sham or placebo treatment narrows down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00{:}49{:}05.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07.606$ So you really want to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

 $00:49:07.606 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.600$ that's managed as much as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910782351428571

00:49:09.600 --> 00:49:10.440 And then finally, Curtis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828912814285714

 $00:49:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.106$ how would you interpret that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828912814285714

 $00:49:12.106 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.879$ fact that there's such a such a low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828912814285714

 $00:49:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.959$ is that because of a nocebo effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828912814285714

00:49:15.960 --> 00:49:17.920 because of functional unblinding? Well

NOTE Confidence: 0.83094684

00:49:18.000 --> 00:49:20.184 I I mean I don't it's hard for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83094684

 $00:49:20.184 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.480$ me to know for sure. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.775509430909091

 $00{:}49{:}24.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.210$ I I think functional unblinding

NOTE Confidence: 0.775509430909091

 $00:49:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.720$ probably plays a a large role in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908663905882353

 $00:49:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.252$ So we don't really know but I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.908663905882353

 $00:49:35.252 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.634$ have to be careful as we move forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908663905882353

00:49:37.640 --> 00:49:40.200 I think expectations, functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.908663905882353

 $00{:}49{:}40.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}42.400$ unblinding play a large role and

 $00:49:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.492$ lack of open labels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.701243884285714

 $00:49:43.492 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.130$ So patients had really fun to

NOTE Confidence: 0.701243884285714

00:49:45.193 --> 00:49:46.997 because of functional unblinding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720745625

 $00:49:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.135$ that's going to increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720745625

 $00:49:48.135 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.043$ nocebo effect because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720745625

 $00:49:49.043 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.160$ going to be a sense of despair

NOTE Confidence: 0.511063413333333

00:49:50.200 --> 00:49:53.838 and betrayal. Yeah. So the questions yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727109286666667

00:49:54.480 --> 00:49:55.116 I was going to ask you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727109286666667

00:49:55.120 --> 00:49:57.060 how do you feel as well about the psycho,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727109286666667

00:49:57.060 --> 00:49:58.960 like the control psychotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.727109286666667

 $00:49:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.940$ Because there's recently being an editorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727109286666667

 $00:50:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.520$ Right. Unless psychiatry on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727109286666667

 $00:50:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.200$ And I think it's really valuable because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9191937875

 $00:50:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.230$ what the control condition is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9191937875

 $00:50:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.466$ given the patients is this form

 $00:50:09.466 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.096$ of psychotherapy that has never

NOTE Confidence: 0.9191937875

 $00:50:11.096 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.960$ been tested for anything. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443720125

 $00:50:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.880$ it's it is truly nocebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.904478775

00:50:15.880 --> 00:50:17.504 in no way because it's not like

NOTE Confidence: 0.904478775

 $00:50:17.504 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.679$ we're giving them let's say

NOTE Confidence: 0.658188848333333

00:50:18.880 --> 00:50:22.480 CBT active behavioral therapy you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.658188848333333

 $00:50:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.398$ behavioral activation story and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.586092604

 $00:50:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.420$ giving them you know you

NOTE Confidence: 0.586092604

 $00:50:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.440$ know silos happened or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586092604

 $00:50:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.000$ I I wonder how you think you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445947

 $00{:}50{:}28.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.816$ we can address that in the future if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445947

 $00:50:29.816 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.648$ there is room for that even because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445947

 $00{:}50{:}31.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33.400$ I assume that user institute is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445947

 $00:50:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.220$ pushing forward that we test silo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8445947

 $00:50:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.240$ cyber under that specific model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

 $00:50:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.720$ So I've been maybe you want to answer

 $00:50:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.006$ too I mean I'll stop by saying this

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

 $00:50:42.006 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.962$ is a very complicated issue because

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

00:50:43.962 --> 00:50:45.989 it actually comes to what's in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

 $00:50:45.989 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.040$ label when when you do get approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

 $00{:}50{:}48.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.524$ So it it gets very complicated and has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

 $00:50:51.524 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.044$ lot of legal and regulatory meanings to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

 $00:50:55.044 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.984$ This study was really with

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

 $00{:}50{:}56.984 \to 00{:}50{:}57.760$ psychological assistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

 $00:50:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.560$ It it was not and very clearly not

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667

00:51:01.560 --> 00:51:04.960 done to augment a psychotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674281812666667 00:51:04.960 --> 00:51:05.440 And Ben I

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00:51:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.181$ don't know if they used a model

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00:51:07.181 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.463$ that's called non directive

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00:51:08.463 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.320$ supportive therapy which really does

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00:51:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.120$ not necessarily meet criteria of

 $00:51:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.600$ structured targeted psychotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00:51:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.360$ that we would see conventionally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00:51:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.580$ It still is a form of supportive

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00:51:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.448$ therapy which really includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

00:51:20.448 --> 00:51:22.680 checking in during preparation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00{:}51{:}22.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}51{:}27.880$ building report and getting to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00:51:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.760$ familiar with the facilitators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702470845

 $00:51:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.036$ So it that's what non directive supportive

NOTE Confidence: 0.883295029444444

 $00:51:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.784$ therapy is as opposed to in some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.883295029444444

 $00:51:38.784 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.630$ studies where it's psilocybin assisted

NOTE Confidence: 0.883295029444444

 $00{:}51{:}40.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42.635$ psychotherapy which is much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:51:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.764$ with kind of a conventional therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:51:47.764 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.678$ heavy as opposed to what was it here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:51:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.780$ So they try to actually use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:51:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.808$ the reason they use this non

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:51:53.808 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.204$ directive approach is to minimize

 $00:51:56.204 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.600$ the psychotherapy as a variable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00{:}51{:}59.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01.960$ whatever as much as it's feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:52:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.517$ But it remains to be seen what

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

00:52:06.520 --> 00:52:08.776 eventually how this will be packaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:52:08.776 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.423$ in the label and how this what

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:52:11.423 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.553$ sort of training will be required

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:52:13.553 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.984$ to say that you are certified to

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:52:15.984 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.144$ actually deliver this treatment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:52:18.144 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.320$ a non directive supported therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65621504

 $00:52:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.478$ I that that remains to be seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}52{:}24.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}27.616$ there there. I mean the first thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:27.616 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.120$ let's just demonstrate that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}52{:}30.120 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}52{:}32.160$ efficacy in general safety and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.880$ I think decomposing the treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.193$ But as you see right down at the bottom

00:52:37.200 --> 00:52:38.838 just very quickly things moving forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.905$ I think the field needs to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:40.905 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.344$ this to address the generalizability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:42.344 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.358$ And I can tell you future studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:52:44.358 --> 00:52:46.052 are working hard to make sure it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00.52.46.052 \longrightarrow 00.52.48.400$ a more representative sample of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.640$ participants address the safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.399$ And longer term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}52{:}51.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53.170$ you know if these are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.680$ move forward to approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}52{:}56.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58.318$ the clinicians and the regulators are

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:52:58.318 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.704$ going to want to have some more information

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:53:00.704 --> 00:53:02.558 about what if the person relapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}53{:}02.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.220$ can you do this again, how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.320$ how do you manage this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.545$ So that's more data that's

 $00:53:07.545 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.360$ going to need to be collected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}53{:}09.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.685$ a better understanding of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:10.685 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.480$ dose response relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.600$ that's only just one dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.656$ We're going to have to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:14.656 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.360$ a better understanding is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.640$ is that dose necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.066$ And then as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:53:21.066 --> 00:53:22.340 we're going to have to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:22.385 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.743$ how to manage some of the trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}53{:}23.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25.118$ design issues a little bit better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.100$ asking about expectations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:53:27.100 --> 00:53:29.080 asking about preference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.540$ that guess of a study assignment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.446$ those will all be studies.

00:53:34.446 --> 00:53:36.276 And then really moving forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}53{:}36.280 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}53{:}38.180$ getting more to your question

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.520$ is you know how are we going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.480$ address the cost effectiveness?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:53:41.480 --> 00:53:42.149 You know how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:42.149 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.125$ how are we going to do this in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:44.125 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.998$ way that would increase access

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}53{:}45.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.034$ and and reduce the overall cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.510$ And then a better understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.774$ the mechanism, mechanistic actions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:51.774 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.096$ And then a quick review of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:54.096 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.240$ everything just here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.120$ This is the main point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:53:56.120 --> 00:53:59.444 It's a huge, that's a huge effect size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:53:59.444 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.680$ I mean it the this is encouraging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.520$ Those of you that know me,

00:54:05.520 --> 00:54:07.975 I'm quite sceptical coming into

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}54{:}07.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10.680$ this and my scepticism share is

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.960$ across the board for everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:54:12.960 --> 00:54:14.647 I think that's the way you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:54:14.647 --> 00:54:16.200 be running these clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.416$ But I have to say this is quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:54:18.416 --> 00:54:20.479 encouraging that you know it's it's there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}54{:}20.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}22.262$ I think there's very little doubt

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:54:22.262 --> 00:54:24.199 that in this study design this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.432$ it's a very large effect and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:54:27.432 --> 00:54:29.364 really the support of so many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:29.364 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.064$ that just at this yell site just

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}54{:}31.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33.327$ to give you a sense of how many

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}54{:}33.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34.952$ people contribute to these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.860$ So this is 11 sites doing this

 $00:54:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.240$ and this is just an oversight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.012$ So and really the patients participating

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:42.012 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.722$ in this especially during the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:44.722 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.600$ were were really troopers and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:54:46.600 --> 00:54:48.394 they all needed support systems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:48.394 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.960$ would bring them back and forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.544$ So really required a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:51.544 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.005$ work both from the participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:54:53.005 --> 00:54:55.300 and all the staff and faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:54:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.400$ So I'll stop with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00{:}54{:}57.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.079$ All right, thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:55:00.080 --> 00:55:00.810 Any question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

 $00:55:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.175 \text{ Oh},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.847678335833333

00:55:01.175 --> 00:55:02.635 I should probably go

NOTE Confidence: 0.610796926666667

 $00:55:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.846$ kind of are there any questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.610796926666667

 $00:55:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.588$ Terry, why don't you stop

 $00:55:13.588 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.698$ the share so we can see it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.610796926666667

 $00:55:14.698 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.930$ Yeah, let me stop share

NOTE Confidence: 0.815341936111111

 $00:55:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.278$ the questions about the blinding and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815341936111111

 $00:55:33.278 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.425$ expectancy that I think we've addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.815341936111111

 $00:55:35.425 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.675$ a question about modifying the Madras,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815341936111111

 $00:55:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.299$ the time frame of the Madras in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.815341936111111

00:55:40.299 --> 00:55:43.920 try to avoid that day two point but that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00{:}55{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48.723$ So I I think honestly if for these clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00:55:48.723 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.560$ trials that are going through FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

00:55:51.560 --> 00:55:54.640 the FDA doesn't care about day two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00{:}55{:}54.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56.060$ right. It's just not it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

00:55:56.060 --> 00:55:57.960 You know they're much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00{:}55{:}57.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.480$ interested in this endpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

00:55:59.480 --> 00:56:01.000 So you can modify it if you want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00:56:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.072$ but it's always going to be a

 $00:56:03.072 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.220$ secondary or an exploratory aim here

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00{:}56{:}06.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}09.175$ because the I don't think the FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00:56:09.175 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.670$ is interested in giving approval

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00:56:10.727 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.343$ for rapid acting specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00:56:12.343 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.363$ that's they're they're much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.897001289285714

 $00:56:14.363 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.879$ interested in the longer term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

00:56:17.000 --> 00:56:18.456 It was an early question I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

 $00{:}56{:}18.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}20.078$ think we talked about about the music.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

 $00:56:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.872$ I think you everyone listens to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

00:56:21.872 --> 00:56:24.160 same playlist in the same order. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

00:56:24.160 --> 00:56:26.360 And sequence which is an interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

00:56:26.360 --> 00:56:28.060 I mean that's going to be more that's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

 $00:56:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.722$ to be a perfect fit for some people and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

 $00{:}56{:}29.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.360$ kind of weird for other people, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

 $00:56:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.250$ So that's some participants and that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.875681539285714

 $00:56:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.800$ interact with ethnicity or cultural.

 $00:56:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.240$ Absolutely. Yep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847593806

 $00:56:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.480$ And I think this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847593806

 $00:56:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.960$ this is the general idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847593806

00:56:41.960 --> 00:56:43.640 the whole idea of generalizability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76980329

 $00:56:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.840$ And and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.902346942857143

 $00:56:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.115$ one of the comments in the bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902346942857143

00:56:47.120 --> 00:56:48.560 Chris, if you don't mind scrolling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902346942857143

 $00{:}56{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}51.670$ Steve made a really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.902346942857143

 $00:56:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.954$ point which ties into, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902346942857143

 $00:56:55.954 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.078$ that's the one, ties into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

00:57:00.920 --> 00:57:02.975 functional unblinding potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00:57:02.975 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.355$ NASEBO effect is that because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00{:}57{:}07.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}10.080$ uniqueness of the study designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00{:}57{:}10.080 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}57{:}12.313$ patients who knew that they did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00:57:12.313 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.225$ receive psilocybin and they had to stay

 $00:57:14.225 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.238$ in the study for a total of 6 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00:57:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.360$ That was very challenging also on the staff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00:57:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.332$ both on the facilitators as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00:57:22.332 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.896$ as research assistants who work

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00:57:24.896 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.885$ more closely with participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00:57:26.885 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.275$ It was just a shared heartfelt

NOTE Confidence: 0.664185198571429

 $00:57:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.200$ experience. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450657375

 $00:57:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.008$ when it's easy to imagine there being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450657375

 $00{:}57{:}33.008 \to 00{:}57{:}34.240$ a feedback process that's right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450657375

 $00:57:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.008$ the distress and the staff and the distress

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450657375

 $00{:}57{:}36.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37.798$ and the patient over that time period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450657375

 $00:57:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.150$ well that's the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450657375

 $00:57:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.960$ the of the raters being blinded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8450657375

 $00:57:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.396$ But that's that sthat could have been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89831017375

 $00:57:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.640$ So thank you Steve for bringing that up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762250812

00:57:48.680 --> 00:57:51.080 Any other questions or hi,

 $00:57:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.352$ I have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762250812

 $00:57:53.352 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.568$ So in the paper there's mention of three

NOTE Confidence: 0.762250812

00:57:57.568 --> 00:58:00.200 people in both groups that started

NOTE Confidence: 0.762250812

 $00:58:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.520$ an antidepressant during the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762250812

 $00:58:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.158$ To clarify, for any of the participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.762250812

 $00:58:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.720$ that were on a medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762250812

 $00:58:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.540$ did they restart or was it only patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.762250812

 $00:58:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.600$ that had not been on a medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.951262945

 $00.58:14.600 \longrightarrow 00.58:15.600$ prior to the study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00{:}58{:}17.640 --> 00{:}58{:}19.890$ So I I I can only I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00{:}58{:}19.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.628$ had one at our site and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:22.628 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.520$ that patient was a restart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00{:}58{:}24.520 --> 00{:}58{:}26.256$ I the other ones, I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:26.256 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.140$ for sure, I can't answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.088$ But these are people that you know

 $00:58:31.088 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.356$ the the way the protocol was written

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:33.356 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.780$ was patients were supposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.280$ remain off all oral antidepressant

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.040$ medications or all types of medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.958$ antidepressant treatments from

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.678$ baseline through or at least be

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00{:}58{:}47.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50.857$ at least five half lives prior to

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:50.857 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.200$ dosing until the end of day 4243.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.528$ So that meant they were supposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

00:58:57.528 --> 00:58:59.982 to not have any contact with

NOTE Confidence: 0.807810771818182

 $00:58:59.982 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.237$ their therapist or to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.838044001666667

 $00:59:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.678$ any antidepressant used during that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838044001666667

 $00:59:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.060$ And some people just said

NOTE Confidence: 0.838044001666667

 $00{:}59{:}08.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}09.440$ they couldn't stay that long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838044001666667

 $00:59:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.960$ It's going six weeks without any treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838044001666667

00:59:11.960 --> 00:59:14.608 So I I don't know to answer your

00:59:14.608 --> 00:59:16.027 question specifically if these

NOTE Confidence: 0.838044001666667

 $00:59:16.027 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.747$ are people that were restarting

NOTE Confidence: 0.838044001666667

00:59:17.747 --> 00:59:19.639 or starting new ones offhand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838044001666667

00:59:19.640 --> 00:59:22.280 And do you, did

NOTE Confidence: 0.970164023333333

 $00:59:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.480$ you account for

NOTE Confidence: 0.814345113333333

 $00:59:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.226$ how starting or restarting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.814345113333333

 $00:59:26.226 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.520$ medication affected their outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00:59:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.376$ in terms of how the Mitras was affected

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00:59:33.376 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.440$ with those medications? We we do not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00:59:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.360$ I I'm sure we can get that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

00:59:38.360 --> 00:59:39.638 We don't have that data offhand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00:59:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.200$ but it's it's probably going to be difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00{:}59{:}42.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}43.892$ I mean it's a small number of people and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00:59:43.892 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.664$ they, I think all of these people started the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00:59:46.664 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.839$ medication 'cause they weren't feeling well.

 $00:59:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.864$ So you're going to have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00{:}59{:}50.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}52.745$ regression to the mean going on things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00:59:52.745 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.692$ that I don't think such a small number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

00:59:54.692 --> 00:59:55.584 It's probably not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00:59:55.584 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.840$ to be that informative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

00:59:56.840 --> 00:59:59.960 All right, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

 $00:59:59.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.760$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388164

01:00:00.760 --> 01:00:02.200 Hey, Jerry, thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89939721

 $01{:}00{:}02.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}04.140$ Thanks for the talk question

NOTE Confidence: 0.89939721

 $01:00:04.140 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.638$ about the 22% who had previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.89939721

01:00:07.638 --> 01:00:09.276 experience with psychedelics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89939721

 $01:00:09.280 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.464$ Was it sensitivity analysis conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.89939721

 $01:00:11.464 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.840$ where if you took out those 22%, was there

NOTE Confidence: 0.969305176666667

 $01:00:14.840 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.040$ any change in the responses?

NOTE Confidence: 0.969305176666667

 $01:00:18.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.284$ Great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969305176666667

 $01:00:19.284 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.394$ I don't remember seeing that,

01:00:22.840 --> 01:00:24.251 Ben. I don't think so, no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.483684598

 $01:00:24.251 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.106$ But that's a really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.483684598

 $01:00:26.106 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.355$ question to what because that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.483684598

01:00:28.355 --> 01:00:29.480 disproportionately high number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.483684598

 $01:00:29.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.280$ It's something it's above

NOTE Confidence: 0.483684598

 $01:00:31.280 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.360$ general population as well. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800592818333333

 $01:00:36.240 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.118$ and one would imagine that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.800592818333333

 $01:00:38.120 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.960$ those 22% would have the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.85714668666667

 $01:00:40.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.608$ expectancies and that's why they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.85714668666667

01:00:43.608 --> 01:00:45.750 taken part in the study and it would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85714668666667

01:00:45.750 --> 01:00:48.413 interesting to look at that. So go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85714668666667

01:00:48.413 --> 01:00:50.480 No, I'll let you go first. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01{:}00{:}50.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54.764$ No, I think there's actually a bit of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:00:54.764 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.864$ paradox when it comes to think how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:00:56.864 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.890$ think about the participant participants

 $01:00:59.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.853$ who had prior exposure to psilocybin

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:02.853 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.518$ and psychedelics for that matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

01:01:04.520 --> 01:01:08.194 Specifically what I'm getting at is those

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:08.194 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.224$ who actually had prior exposure and ended

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

01:01:13.224 --> 01:01:17.360 up getting either psilocybin or niacin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

01:01:17.360 --> 01:01:19.864 they had less of AI should say it

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:19.864 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.212$ called a disappointment or a let

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:22.212 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.672$ down and they were less likely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:24.680 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.340$ Again, this is just based on my

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:27.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.035$ general impression in the from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:30.035 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.296$ participants that we worked with to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:33.296 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.612$ an exaggerated response because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:35.612 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.000$ knew sort of they were already familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01{:}01{:}39.085 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41.900$ with the the effect of psilocybin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

01:01:41.900 --> 01:01:44.995 So they actually based in this conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:44.995 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.130$ they were much more realistic about what

01:01:47.184 --> 01:01:49.032 to expect that they did not come into

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01{:}01{:}49.032 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}50.854$ the study thinking that this was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:50.854 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.582$ to be a Tennessee unlike participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

01:01:52.582 --> 01:01:55.114 with no prior exposure to psilocybin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:55.120 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.538$ their sense of expectancy was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:01:57.538 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.041$ through the roof and we struggled

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

01:02:00.041 --> 01:02:02.911 to really bring down that sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

01:02:02.911 --> 01:02:04.834 expectancy which was really being

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:02:04.834 \dashrightarrow 01:02:07.673$ enforced by you know as it's become

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:02:07.673 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.038$ dubbed as the pollen effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:02:11.040 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.536$ Michael Pollan that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01{:}02{:}13.536 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}18.336$ And so it's I think prior exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

01:02:18.336 --> 01:02:22.443 to psilocybin actually is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01{:}02{:}22.443 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}24.448$ give participants a more realistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:02:24.448 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.527$ expectation rather than the ones who

01:02:26.527 --> 01:02:29.108 have not had any prior exposure who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01{:}02{:}29.108 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}31.558$ coming in really expecting a silver bullet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:02:31.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.931$ Like there really are just it's spelled

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:02:33.931 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.157$ silver bullet when they're coming in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

 $01:02:36.160 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.998$ Yeah perhaps it contributes

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413523

01:02:39.000 --> 01:02:42.439 to lower side effects profile

NOTE Confidence: 0.877725054

 $01:02:43.040 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.280$ because they've had some experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.868966675

 $01:02:44.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.000$ with it before it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.784048702857143

01:02:46.200 --> 01:02:49.035 that's very likely. Yes I I absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71114726

01:02:49.720 --> 01:02:52.576 Yeah. So I I actually we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.71114726

 $01{:}02{:}52.576 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}53.824$ I hadn't really talked about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.71114726

 $01:02:53.824 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.076$ before but I I I agree with Ben.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71114726

 $01:02:55.080 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.752$ I think in general people came in with

NOTE Confidence: 0.71114726

 $01:02:57.752 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.110$ such a high level of expectation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.71114726

 $01:03:00.110 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.617$ I'm not sure there was a big difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.71114726

 $01:03:02.617 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.473$ between the previous exposed and the audit.

01:03:05.480 --> 01:03:07.083 And if anything, they may have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.71114726

01:03:07.083 --> 01:03:08.680 a more realistic expectation. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

01:03:12.360 --> 01:03:14.972 hey, Jerry, I have a question for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

01:03:14.972 --> 01:03:16.860 You or Ben, You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

 $01:03:16.860 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.910$ talking about the issues of

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

 $01:03:18.910 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.504$ generalizability and the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

01:03:20.504 --> 01:03:24.000 most of your population was Caucasian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

01:03:24.000 --> 01:03:27.531 what strategies you think may need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

 $01:03:27.531 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.016$ be done to actually improve on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

 $01{:}03{:}30.016 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}32.990$ I mean is, are these like echoes of Tuskegee

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

01:03:32.990 --> 01:03:37.038 or what are your thoughts about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.441312625

 $01:03:37.040 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.040$ Hey Gerard, first of all great great hearing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.666594638

01:03:41.160 --> 01:03:44.480 I I I think it's you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.666594638

 $01:03:44.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.448$ it's a multi fold issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.666594638

 $01:03:46.448 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.616$ I mean it's always difficult getting

 $01:03:49.616 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.676$ adequate representation from all

NOTE Confidence: 0.666594638

 $01:03:51.676 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.434$ aspects of the you know the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.666594638

 $01:03:54.440 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.780$ I think this study was

NOTE Confidence: 0.666594638

 $01:03:55.780 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.120$ unique in some ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:03:59.280 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.705$ among certain groups this psychedelics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:04:02.705 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.960$ is much more talked about and and much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:04:06.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.170$ more seen in a favourable light than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:04:09.170 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.439$ than in other groups in the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:04:11.440 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.515$ And I think that contributes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:04:13.515 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.760$ specifically to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

01:04:14.760 --> 01:04:15.640 But I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:04:15.640 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.832$ obviously having adequate representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:04:17.832 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.239$ is a struggle in in all of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:04:22.240 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.392$ How to how to improve that I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844757575

 $01:04:24.392 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.318$ think is really to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.867791065666667

 $01{:}04{:}28.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}30.842$ the sites are chosen well that that

 $01:04:30.842 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.188$ there's really adequate representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867791065666667

 $01:04:32.188 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.924$ at each site and I don't mean that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.867791065666667

 $01:04:34.987 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.979$ going in and just going into an area

NOTE Confidence: 0.867791065666667

 $01:04:36.979 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.185$ that has an over represented group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867791065666667

01:04:39.185 --> 01:04:41.893 anywhere but trying to go into groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.867791065666667

 $01:04:41.893 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.238$ that have adequate broad representation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

01:04:46.880 --> 01:04:49.399 And I and I think you know getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

 $01:04:49.399 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.064$ realistic information out and getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

01:04:51.064 --> 01:04:52.925 real patience I mean that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

 $01:04:52.925 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.658$ is going to be that's the thing I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

01:04:54.658 --> 01:04:56.572 said over and over is you know we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

01:04:56.572 --> 01:04:58.434 we're going to really need to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

 $01{:}04{:}58.440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}00.248$ active treatment seeking patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

 $01:05:00.248 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.508$ So people that are responding

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

 $01:05:02.508 \longrightarrow 01:05:04.211$ specifically for a research study

 $01:05:04.211 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.233$ already are quite a unique group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

 $01{:}05{:}06.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}07.452$ So you're going to really want

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

 $01:05:07.452 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.014$ to get people that are much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

 $01:05:09.014 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.199$ coming out of clinical settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689564852

 $01:05:10.200 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.320$ So Ben, I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

01:05:11.480 --> 01:05:15.297 Yeah, no I I think Jerry you that covers it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

01:05:15.297 --> 01:05:17.353 I don't think I have anything to add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01:05:17.360 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.920$ There is actually in our group and I do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01:05:19.920 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.040$ want to single out that tan single amount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01:05:24.040 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.885$ I'm going to single him up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01{:}05{:}25.885 \to 01{:}05{:}28.810$ But who has done a lot of work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01:05:28.898 \longrightarrow 01:05:31.138$ this area and to explore different

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01:05:31.138 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.237$ strategies and how is it that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

01:05:34.237 --> 01:05:37.660 actually improve our recruitment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

01:05:37.660 --> 01:05:40.431 diversify our recruitment and what sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01{:}05{:}40.431 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}42.813$ of outreach programs that have been

 $01:05:42.813 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.748$ more successful in achieving this goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01:05:45.748 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.124$ versus some that have been tried and

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01:05:48.124 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.560$ have proven not to be as successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

01:05:50.560 --> 01:05:54.452 And but you can most definitely look

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

 $01:05:54.452 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.957$ up some of his work on Pub Med and

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

01:05:58.960 --> 01:06:01.080 and I think I'll leave it at that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645885562857143

01:06:01.080 --> 01:06:03.600 but I do not have anything else to add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.56885285

 $01:06:04.280 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.318$ What Jerry said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833750174615385

 $01{:}06{:}06{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}08{.}360$ Jared, do you want to speak to

NOTE Confidence: 0.833750174615385

 $01:06:08.360 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.080$ that at all briefly or not today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471053

 $01:06:12.160 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.400$ Thank you for singling me out just really

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

 $01:06:16.400 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.115$ briefly. I think it is really it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

 $01{:}06{:}20.115 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}23.160$ already so hard to run a clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

01:06:23.160 --> 01:06:26.000 trial navigating all of the regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

01:06:26.000 --> 01:06:28.200 demands and everything on top of what

 $01:06:28.200 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.260$ needs to be done in the study itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

 $01{:}06{:}30.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}32.620$ that it requires tremendous effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

 $01:06:32.620 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.740$ On top of all of that to conduct

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

 $01:06:35.740 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.280$ really intensive outreach

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

01:06:37.280 --> 01:06:40.542 efforts to communities of color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

01:06:40.542 --> 01:06:42.186 particularly the black and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911767558333333

01:06:42.186 --> 01:06:44.320 brown population in New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

01:06:46.720 --> 01:06:48.190 You know, just really pointing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

 $01{:}06{:}48.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}50.000$ the cultural ambass adors program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

01:06:50.000 --> 01:06:54.000 We've been in touch with them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

01:06:54.000 --> 01:06:55.916 Jordan, Jordan Slowshower is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

 $01:06:55.916 \longrightarrow 01:06:57.862$ on this call and we've had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

 $01:06:57.862 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.959$ couple of conversations with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

01:06:59.960 --> 01:07:01.120 They've never done anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

 $01:07:01.120 \longrightarrow 01:07:02.560$ psychedelic related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

 $01:07:02.560 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.056$ So it's all just going to

 $01:07:04.056 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.996$ be new frontiers for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

 $01:07:06.000 \longrightarrow 01:07:08.198$ It's worth the effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

 $01:07:08.200 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.373$ but have haven't worked on an

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

01:07:11.373 --> 01:07:14.438 MDMA trial looking to exclusively

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

01:07:14.440 --> 01:07:16.546 recruit by POC participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781737085

 $01:07:16.546 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.678 I can say that$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8552270525

 $01:07:19.440 \longrightarrow 01:07:21.840$ it it really is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8552270525

 $01:07:21.840 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.078$ tremendous effort that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.60940523

 $01:07:23.080 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.559$ required to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.952292257142857

 $01:07:24.560 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.710$ even bring people into the consent

NOTE Confidence: 0.952292257142857

01:07:27.710 --> 01:07:29.360 call to run through screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.952292257142857

 $01:07:29.360 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.690$ procedures with them because

NOTE Confidence: 0.952292257142857

 $01{:}07{:}30.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}32.440$ there's just so many considerations

NOTE Confidence: 0.929026266666667

 $01:07:32.440 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.358$ that people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.959145885

 $01:07:33.880 \longrightarrow 01:07:36.640$ about completing the trial.

 $01:07:36.640 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.760$ So all of these are worthwhile issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759234

 $01:07:38.760 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.160$ to think about that I think you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.841209316666667

 $01:07:42.200 \longrightarrow 01:07:44.396$ it. If the team is aligned

NOTE Confidence: 0.886591456666667

 $01:07:44.960 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.758$ in making this

NOTE Confidence: 0.971988522

 $01:07:45.760 \longrightarrow 01:07:48.728$ work, I think we can move the needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.971988522

 $01{:}07{:}48.728 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}50.156$ on making sure that we have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.971988522

 $01:07:50.160 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.640$ diverse samples moving forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6524430525

01:07:54.120 --> 01:07:56.739 Jerry, I had a Jerry and Ben I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.6524430525

 $01:07:56.739 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.880$ a question about generalizability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87500643

 $01:07:58.880 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.712$ So all these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.87500643

 $01:07:59.712 \longrightarrow 01:08:01.160$ were off antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937361836

 $01:08:01.600 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.660$ How compelling is the data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.937361836

 $01{:}08{:}04.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06.430$ antidepressants would interfere

NOTE Confidence: 0.937361836

 $01:08:06.430 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.320$ with the effects of these drugs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937361836

 $01:08:08.320 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.296$ And in future trials is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937361836

01:08:11.296 --> 01:08:13.000 FDA going to, you know,

01:08:13.400 --> 01:08:17.640 allow patients who are taking antidepressants

NOTE Confidence: 0.949613468

 $01{:}08{:}17.640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}20.226$ participate in studies with with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.949613468

01:08:20.226 --> 01:08:21.680 serotoninergic psych psychedelics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.647898276666667 01:08:23.320 --> 01:08:23.719 It's a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01{:}08{:}23.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}27.363$ good question and I think there is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01:08:27.363 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.750$ work being done in this area to explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01:08:30.750 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.320$ the extent to which the presence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01:08:35.320 \longrightarrow 01:08:38.300$ antidepressants at the time of dosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01:08:38.300 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.834$ versus a six week follow up versus 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01:08:42.834 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.224$ half life paper is impacting outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01{:}08{:}46.224 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}49.560$ I think in this particular study

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01:08:49.658 \longrightarrow 01:08:53.852$ Particip I many participants did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01{:}08{:}53.852 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}55.236$ We're not an antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01:08:55.240 \longrightarrow 01:08:57.354$ And the ones that were on antidepressants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

01:08:57.360 --> 01:09:00.741 they were actually tapered and they had

 $01:09:00.741 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.560$ to have been off of antidepressants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367392

 $01:09:03.560 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.600$ fully tapered for six weeks prior to

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:10.880 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.032$ baseline rating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:12.032 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.510$ I believe it's five half lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:14.510 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.480$ Five half lives, five half lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

01:09:16.480 --> 01:09:19.626 So, yeah, so there's basic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:19.626 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.356$ recently there's been a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

01:09:22.356 --> 01:09:25.186 that has and more specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01{:}09{:}25.186 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}28.724$ there is a a company that's received,

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

01:09:28.724 --> 01:09:30.276 I believe mind Mendapatent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:30.280 \longrightarrow 01:09:32.160$ where they actually are used

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:32.160 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.850$ using psilocybin with Lexapro to

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:36.850 \longrightarrow 01:09:39.100$ enhance the effect of psilocybin

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:39.100 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.600$ by minimizing its side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:41.600 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.520$ And so there is some preliminary

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01{:}09{:}43.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}45.193$ data showing that the presence

01:09:45.193 --> 01:09:48.505 of SSRI in fact might actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01{:}09{:}48.505 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}52.089$ enhance the effect of psilocybin by

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

01:09:52.089 --> 01:09:54.760 mitigating some of the side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:54.760 \longrightarrow 01:09:56.800$ But that is just very clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

01:09:56.800 --> 01:09:59.400 But it does go back to your question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:09:59.400 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.324$ Doctor Sousa and that is we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

01:10:02.324 --> 01:10:04.256 not to say that actually patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:10:04.256 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.200$ should be off of SSR is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01{:}10{:}06.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}07.320$ participate in these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:10:07.320 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.072$ I think that is not any more supported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:10:13.072 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.358$ And it's also the COMPASS

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

01:10:15.360 --> 01:10:17.000 and the COMPASS open label.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

01:10:17.000 --> 01:10:17.630 That's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670358304

 $01:10:17.630 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.960$ So there's been a couple of studies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:20.440 \longrightarrow 01:10:21.260$ there are two different.

 $01:10:21.260 \longrightarrow 01:10:22.982$ I mean you said that that having Lexapro

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01{:}10{:}22.982 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}24.758$ on board at the time may not interfere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

01:10:24.760 --> 01:10:26.794 may actually enhance, but that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:26.794 \longrightarrow 01:10:28.640$ very different question from chronic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:28.640 \longrightarrow 01:10:29.835$ There's evidence from animal studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:29.835 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.926$ and I think this is where some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

01:10:31.926 --> 01:10:33.465 thinking came from that you need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:33.465 \longrightarrow 01:10:34.956$ be off is edited from animal studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:34.960 \longrightarrow 01:10:37.104$ The chronic SSRI use leads to a down

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:37.104 \longrightarrow 01:10:38.719$ regulation of the two a receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01{:}10{:}38.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}41.520$ That's right. And so it may,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:41.520 \longrightarrow 01:10:43.440$ which is a different question from

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:43.440 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.868$ what's the effect of having an SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:44.868 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.360$ on board at the time of dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:46.360 \longrightarrow 01:10:48.208$ Yeah. So the five half lives is

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:48.208 \longrightarrow 01:10:50.034$ calculated to make sure it's out of

 $01:10:50.034 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.780$ the system so that there's no SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:51.780 \longrightarrow 01:10:53.040$ on board at the time of dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:53.040 \longrightarrow 01:10:54.714$ But that may not be enough time to reverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:54.714 \longrightarrow 01:10:56.517$ the down regulation of the two A receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:56.520 \longrightarrow 01:10:57.360$ So two different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:10:57.360 \longrightarrow 01:10:59.040$ And then the third mechanistic concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

01:10:59.040 --> 01:11:00.455 I've heard is serotonin syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:11:00.455 \longrightarrow 01:11:02.081$ as a risk of serotonin syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:11:02.129 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.802$ that I think is overblown and I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:11:03.802 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.660$ seen that's right to suggest that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:11:05.660 \longrightarrow 01:11:07.160$ that's a realistic concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758118894

 $01:11:07.160 \longrightarrow 01:11:08.680$ But those are kind of the three different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.611833374

01:11:08.720 --> 01:11:10.600 Yeah, I I mean, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

 $01:11:10.600 \longrightarrow 01:11:13.040$ think this is a major,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

 $01:11:13.040 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.760$ I mean this is going to be a big issue

 $01:11:14.806 \longrightarrow 01:11:16.112$ moving forward in implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

01:11:16.112 --> 01:11:19.440 This is going to be a big deal exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

 $01:11:19.440 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.720$ in generalizability too. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

01:11:21.720 --> 01:11:24.052 Yeah, yeah, You know, I think this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

01:11:24.052 --> 01:11:27.790 I mean my take on it is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

 $01:11:27.790 \longrightarrow 01:11:29.995$ it's really the lore in the fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

 $01:11:30.000 \longrightarrow 01:11:31.152$ I I think the studies will

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

 $01:11:31.152 \longrightarrow 01:11:32.479$ have to be done to test it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

 $01:11:32.480 \longrightarrow 01:11:35.312$ There is the preclinical data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

01:11:35.312 --> 01:11:37.959 suggests that maybe but and now there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

01:11:37.960 --> 01:11:39.164 exactly companies are in an open label

NOTE Confidence: 0.844377282

 $01:11:39.164 \longrightarrow 01:11:40.477$ city where it didn't seem to make and

NOTE Confidence: 0.64826884625

01:11:40.560 --> 01:11:42.320 if it is a 2A down regulation issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.64826884625

 $01{:}11{:}42.320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}44.110$ it may just be an issue of dose, maybe you

NOTE Confidence: 0.64826884625

01:11:44.110 --> 01:11:46.040 need a slightly higher dose and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

 $01:11:47.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:50.688$ so the pre the preclinical date on down

01:11:50.688 --> 01:11:53.480 regulation of 2A receptors preclinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

 $01{:}11{:}53.480 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>01{:}11{:}55.480$ is actually really quite spotty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

 $01:11:55.480 \longrightarrow 01:11:58.740$ There's really and is down regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

01:11:58.740 --> 01:12:01.290 really meaningful or are there alter

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

 $01:12:01.369 \longrightarrow 01:12:04.399$ other alterations in 2A receptor function?

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

 $01:12:04.400 \longrightarrow 01:12:06.650$ I looked at, I looked at it once in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

 $01:12:06.650 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.692$ very small population of that's and

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

01:12:08.692 --> 01:12:10.990 I saw absolutely nothing with them

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

 $01:12:11.060 \longrightarrow 01:12:14.320$ getting reasonable exposures of an SSRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521396071

 $01:12:14.320 \longrightarrow 01:12:16.360$ So it's, it's questionable I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77581198

 $01:12:16.560 \longrightarrow 01:12:17.436$ Yeah. Thank you, Jerry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77581198

01:12:17.436 --> 01:12:18.750 It's like it's like 2 papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.77581198

01:12:18.799 --> 01:12:20.080 from 20 years ago. Yeah, that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

 $01:12:21.320 \longrightarrow 01:12:23.480$ right. I mean this is something I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

01:12:23.480 --> 01:12:25.626 that feels it's like all of all of,

01:12:25.626 --> 01:12:26.718 you know, biomedical science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

 $01:12:26.720 \longrightarrow 01:12:28.560$ but especially in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

 $01:12:28.560 \longrightarrow 01:12:30.954$ there's a lot of war that's hard to overcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

01:12:30.960 --> 01:12:33.148 I mean this is things, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

 $01:12:33.148 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.512$ people have been doing this since

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

 $01:12:35.512 \longrightarrow 01:12:38.712$ the 1950s and to try to to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

 $01:12:38.712 \longrightarrow 01:12:40.936$ change that with data requires data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

01:12:40.936 --> 01:12:44.200 So, and I I do respect that companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

01:12:44.284 --> 01:12:47.374 being nervous about jumping right into

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

01:12:47.374 --> 01:12:50.480 those studies first, first prove,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

01:12:50.480 --> 01:12:52.280 you know, proof of concept under those

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

 $01{:}12{:}52.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}53.880$ conditions and then going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

 $01:12:53.880 \longrightarrow 01:12:55.750$ But I agree with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73265141375

01:12:55.750 --> 01:12:57.160 Yeah, I think and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:12:58.960 \longrightarrow 01:13:03.400$ not too dissimilar from the REMS that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:03.400 \longrightarrow 01:13:06.396$ was eventually was attached to the label.

01:13:06.400 --> 01:13:08.308 As for Avada, where if patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01{:}13{:}08.308 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}11.443$ did not at the time of dosing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:11.443 \longrightarrow 01:13:13.673$ Astravada were not on antidepressants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:13.680 \longrightarrow 01:13:17.238$ they had to start an antidepressant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01{:}13{:}17.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}20.920$ And I would not be surprised to that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:20.920 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.404$ the data begins to show that the Pres

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

01:13:24.404 --> 01:13:26.400 adding an antidepressant before dosing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:26.400 \longrightarrow 01:13:29.871$ whether it is for treatment issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:29.871 \longrightarrow 01:13:34.008$ or to have something on board when

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

01:13:34.008 --> 01:13:36.785 psilocybin wears off or to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01{:}13{:}36.785 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}39.155$ just minimize some of the adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:39.155 \longrightarrow 01:13:41.640$ events associated with psilocybin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01{:}13{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}44.144$ I would not be surprised that we will

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:44.144 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.479$ be seeing more of those studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:46.479 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.922$ the next couple of years to really

 $01:13:48.922 \longrightarrow 01:13:50.772$ either optimize the treatment or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

01:13:50.772 --> 01:13:54.354 sort of provide an antidepressant

NOTE Confidence: 0.80547798

 $01:13:54.354 \longrightarrow 01:13:57.198$ on board as a safety mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:00.680 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.010$ I do want to put in a plug to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:02.053 \longrightarrow 01:14:03.183$ issue of the regulatory framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:03.183 \longrightarrow 01:14:04.880$ and what this is going to look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:04.880 \longrightarrow 01:14:06.672$ Will there be a Rams and so forth

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:06.672 --> 01:14:08.373 has come up several times at

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:08.373 \longrightarrow 01:14:10.038$ this seminar time in February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:10.040 \longrightarrow 01:14:12.400$ We're going to have a panel of folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:12.400 --> 01:14:13.969 discussing precisely that issue including

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:13.969 \longrightarrow 01:14:16.111$ two folks whose names I don't remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:16.166 \longrightarrow 01:14:18.122$ off the top of my head, Sean Bulan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:18.122 \longrightarrow 01:14:20.027$ But leaders from the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:20.027 --> 01:14:22.239 of Health and Human Services,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:22.240 \longrightarrow 01:14:24.103$ who are the people who are going to be

01:14:24.103 --> 01:14:25.756 running the process of developing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:25.760 --> 01:14:28.256 as well as Jerry and a couple other

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:28.256 --> 01:14:30.146 discussions from from a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:30.146 \longrightarrow 01:14:32.640$ universities across the country who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:32.640 \longrightarrow 01:14:34.640$ thinking deeply about these issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:34.640 \longrightarrow 01:14:36.524$ There's a call for commentary open

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:36.524 --> 01:14:38.908 right now from the Department of Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01{:}14{:}38.908 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}41.038$ and Human Services asking for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:41.038 --> 01:14:43.358 in the field to put in information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:43.360 \longrightarrow 01:14:45.760$ questions and concerns on these issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:45.760 --> 01:14:46.980 And we've timed that round

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:46.980 \longrightarrow 01:14:47.956$ table discussion in February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:47.960 \longrightarrow 01:14:49.093$ So it'll be shortly before

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:49.093 \longrightarrow 01:14:50.788$ the close of that call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:50.788 --> 01:14:53.000 So there will be discussion of the,

 $01:14:53.000 \longrightarrow 01:14:54.519$ you know the the in the early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:14:54.520 --> 01:14:56.506 I think it's the first public

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:56.506 \longrightarrow 01:14:57.904$ synthesis of the information that

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:14:57.904 \longrightarrow 01:15:00.159$ has come in over the next two months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:15:00.160 \longrightarrow 01:15:02.848$ And then after that there will be still

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:15:02.848 --> 01:15:05.648 another week I think to where one can can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:15:05.648 \longrightarrow 01:15:07.184$ where where folks can after hearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:15:07.184 --> 01:15:08.680 that discussion continue to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:15:08.680 \longrightarrow 01:15:10.160$ in information on that call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:15:10.160 --> 01:15:11.600 So I think it'll be and we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01{:}15{:}11.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}12.599$ to advertise this nationally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:15:12.600 \longrightarrow 01:15:14.822$ I think it's going to be an important event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01:15:14.822 \longrightarrow 01:15:16.920$ So I just want to put in the plug for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:15:16.920 --> 01:15:18.355 It'll be at the usual time the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:15:18.360 --> 01:15:21.797 you know, the the third Friday in

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

 $01{:}15{:}21.797 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}24.120$ February publicity going out soon.

 $01:15:24.120 \longrightarrow 01:15:24.600$ OK, That's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882593879130435

01:15:25.120 --> 01:15:26.640 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728572491666667

01:15:26.640 --> 01:15:29.464 OK, just all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728572491666667

 $01:15:29.464 \longrightarrow 01:15:32.839$ Any other any other questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893077625714286

 $01:15:34.560 \longrightarrow 01:15:36.648$ What's on the horizon for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893077625714286

01:15:36.648 --> 01:15:42.480 Yasona program? So. So I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.839974988947368

 $01:15:44.880 \longrightarrow 01:15:46.856$ clearly it is a plan for a phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.839974988947368

 $01:15:46.856 \longrightarrow 01:15:48.365$ three study that hopefully will

NOTE Confidence: 0.839974988947368

 $01{:}15{:}48.365 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}50.273$ be underway in the near future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338018225

 $01:15:53.520 \longrightarrow 01:15:56.480$ So the the goal is to move forward. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366484

01:15:59.000 --> 01:16:01.626 OK. Chris, anything else?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6366484

 $01:16:01.626 \longrightarrow 01:16:02.520$ Anything else? Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677138975

 $01:16:02.800 \longrightarrow 01:16:03.360$ Thank you both. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.677138975

 $01:16:03.360 \longrightarrow 01:16:06.000$ you all. Thank you. Bye.