WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:50:06" NOTE recognizability:0.906

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.120 Heather doesn't need an introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:00:02.120 --> 00:00:05.700 but I always love and and plus I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.352$  the opportunity to look over your CD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:08.352 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.208$  your CD Part 2 a couple times lately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:00:12.208 --> 00:00:15.836 So. So I always love learning about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.885$  you know some of the really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:18.885 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.275$  really wonderful educators in our community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.234$  And so all of you know Heather,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.430$  Heather Collette, AKA Dahlquist and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.490$  Heather did her residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}00{:}32.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.550$  at University of Minnesota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.828$  I wish she was chief resident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}00{:}35.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.413$  which I should have known based on

 $00:00:38.413 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.821$  how comfortable you are with kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:00:40.821 --> 00:00:43.150 like a B stuff and technical stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.628$  as well as your leadership skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.303$  And then came here in about 2016 and really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:00:49.303 --> 00:00:52.067 really distinguished herself by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:52.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.350$  you know, by her first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.040$  her teaching skills and her

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:00:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.654$  commitment to education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}00{:}58.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02.572$  She very quickly became the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:01:02.572 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.452$  Director of the Pediatric resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}01{:}05.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.180$  Community Hospital rotation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:01:07.180 --> 00:01:12.136 followed by the pediatric sub internship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:01:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.346$  became one of our seals at Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:01:15.346 --> 00:01:18.418 Medicine and then Associate Fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:01:18.418 --> 00:01:21.973 Program Director in our newly

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:01:21.973 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.577$  established Hospital Medicine program.

 $00:01:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.226$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:01:25.226 --> 00:01:27.810 On top of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:01:27.810 --> 00:01:31.174 she did complete her MHSMED and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:01:31.174 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.248$  one of her areas that she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:01:35.248 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.542$  focused on was on initiating EPA

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}01{:}38.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.174$  assessment in the Subintern and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:01:42.174 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.682$  that has actually become kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}01{:}46.682 \to 00{:}01{:}50.726$  paradigm in UME and so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}01{:}50.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.558$  crossing potentially other departments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}01{:}55.560 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}01{:}58.940$  Heather has also emerged as

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:01:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.320$  an educator of our educators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.880$  She has certainly focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}02{:}05.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.165$  increasing active teaching skills and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:09.165 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.315$  then very quickly became our go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:13.315 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.840$  person leader in virtual teaching,

 $00:02:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.260$  which certainly coincided with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}02{:}18.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.186$  COVID pandemic when we all have to do it but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.554$  Heather walked many of us through

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:24.554 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.686$  it as well as really became an

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:27.686 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.510$  expert in the institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.750$  And then over the last,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461 00:02:31.750 --> 00:02:33.130 let's see, NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:33.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.791$  probably about two years or so really

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:02:37.791 --> 00:02:40.396 focused on faculty development and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:02:40.396 --> 00:02:43.630 became the director of the teaching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}02{:}43.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.336$  Optimization and Pediatrics are the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:02:46.336 --> 00:02:49.630 program, which was really her brainchild.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461 00:02:49.630 --> 00:02:50.644 And then. NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:50.644 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.700$  This last fall also started the J Fame

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:02:54.806 --> 00:02:58.820 course for our junior faculty members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:02:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.312$  which is based on the fellows

 $00{:}03{:}02.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.058$  as medical educators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:03:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.100$  And certainly as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:03:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.796$  Heather has really become

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:03:09.796 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.728$  our teachers teacher and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:03:12.728 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.090$  Take it away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90339905153846100:03:14.090 --> 00:03:14.402 Heather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:03:14.402 --> 00:03:16.898 I couldn't be more proud of what you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00{:}03{:}16.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.809$  achieved in the last couple of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:03:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.986$  And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:03:19.986 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.433$  I love reading it because it just reminds

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

00:03:22.433 --> 00:03:25.050 me of the really impressive impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.903399051538461

 $00:03:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.050$  that you've had in our department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910496025833333

00:03:29.090 --> 00:03:30.170 Thank you, Panina. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910496025833333

 $00{:}03{:}30.170 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.250$  you were there every step of the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910496025833333

 $00{:}03{:}32.250 \rightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.930$  and I couldn't be here without you. So

 $00:03:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.090$  I really appreciate you too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897367746666667

 $00:03:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.925$  Okay. Let me go ahead and share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:03:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.180$  Okay. I'm going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:03:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.300$  using men to meter today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:03:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.637$  So if any of you have ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:03:46.637 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.219$  been to one of my talks before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}03{:}48.220 \to 00{:}03{:}52.192$  I love using mentee.com in order to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:03:52.192 --> 00:03:53.980 you know, be really engage

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:03:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.420$  learners and hybrid teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:03:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.492$  So if you could go ahead and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}03{:}57.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.339$  your phone to scan this QR code,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:03:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.950$  I'll be having some question prompts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:04:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.545$  throughout the presentation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}04{:}03.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.255$  you can answer on your phone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:04:05.260 --> 00:04:07.258 And once you're able to connect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:04:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.575$  if you could designate one

 $00:04:09.575 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.427$  of the little emojis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:04:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.390$  You can choose a thumbs up or

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:04:13.390 --> 00:04:16.548 a heart or a cat if you want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:04:16.550 --> 00:04:17.943 Just to let me know that you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}04{:}17.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.588$  been able to join the presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:04:19.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.309$  So I'll give you a second to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:24.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.730$  right. And I'm also really

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.139$  excited to see that a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

00:04:29.139 --> 00:04:30.469 people in the group I know well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}04{:}30.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.958$  so it's fun to teach with friends

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}04{:}34.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37.310$  and colleagues who I respect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.910$  Okay, Great. So my title today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}04{:}39.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.485$  Lessons Learned from a faculty Peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}04{:}42.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.225$  Observation of Teaching Program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

00:04:44.230 --> 00:04:46.428 And I just before I jump in,

00:04:46.430 --> 00:04:47.789 I know a lot of you guys know me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.184$  but Panina gave some of my background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.297$  But this happens to be me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:52.297 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.228$  my husband and our dog Henry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:54.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.120$  And we're getting a new puppy

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.390$  in a few weeks named Cooper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.950$  So this you'll probably be

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:04:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.968$  seeing him on a lot more of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}05{:}02.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.357$  presentation swimming forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

00:05:04.360 --> 00:05:06.738 Okay objectives today I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}05{:}06.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.583$  to be identifying what existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}05{:}08.583 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}05{:}11.313$  resources we have at Yale to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:11.313 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.873$  faculty and effective teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.920$  I'll be talking a lot about

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.600$  teaching selfefficacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.478$  It's been a particular new interest

 $00{:}05{:}18.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.070$  of mine and so I've been doing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}05{:}20.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.419$  lot of reading about it recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

00:05:21.419 --> 00:05:23.039 and I think it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}05{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.212$  in medical education to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}05{:}25.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.383$  our educators sense of teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}05{:}27.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.080$  selfefficacy and I'll have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.017$  opportunity at the end if you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}05{:}31.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.907$  to perform your own self analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.597$  And then my main point is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:34.597 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.411$  to be reviewing the outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:36.411 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.131$  implications from this faculty peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:38.131 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.750$  observation of teaching program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00{:}05{:}39.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.890$  the top program that Panina

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.146$  mentioned earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.470$  So before we start,

 $00:05:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.479$  I would like to know what learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:47.479 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.567$  audience do you typically teach and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

00:05:49.567 --> 00:05:52.030 can go ahead and answer on your phone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61631423

 $00:05:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.470$  you can answer more than one as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:06:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.960$  right? Looks like a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:06:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.360$  bit all across the board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925877314285714

 $00:06:05.360 \dashrightarrow 00:06:07.024$  I know a lot of you guys, so I know you

NOTE Confidence: 0.925877314285714

 $00:06:07.024 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.320$  teach a lot of different populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925877314285714

00:06:08.320 --> 00:06:11.184 but it's just helpful for me to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.925877314285714

 $00:06:11.184 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.742$  where you guys are at. OK, good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925877314285714

 $00:06:13.742 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.797$  And then one other question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925877314285714

00:06:15.800 --> 00:06:18.120 Have you ever had a peer provide formal

NOTE Confidence: 0.925877314285714

00:06:18.120 --> 00:06:20.118 feedback on your teaching? Yes or no?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81338282625

00:06:24.280 --> 00:06:26.620 OK. Looks like a couple people

NOTE Confidence: 0.81338282625

 $00:06:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.720$  said yes, one said no. OK, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

 $00:06:35.450 \dashrightarrow 00:06:36.683$  All right. So I'm going to talk a little

 $00:06:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.898$  bit about the background of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:06:38.898 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.191$  faculty teaching and how I got

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:06:41.191 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.086$  interested in this particular program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:06:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.490$  So with the current status

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:06:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.890$  is really that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:06:45.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.458$  we as clinical faculty faculty are

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

00:06:48.458 --> 00:06:50.500 expected to teach frequently with

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}06{:}50.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.480$  minimal education and medical school and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}06{:}52.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.649$  residency on how to teach effectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

00:06:54.650 --> 00:06:56.618 Now I want to give Penina a shout

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}06{:}56.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.394$  out because I think she's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}06{:}58.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.230$  tackled this problem so well within

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}07{:}00.293 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}07{:}02.327$  our pediatric department and now we

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:02.327 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.749$  have so many opportunities to work on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:04.749 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.514$  Our teaching skills and advance

 $00:07:06.514 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.090$  them and makes us feel more like

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}07{:}09.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.350$  better teachers where we have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.702$  opportunities to get skills on all

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:13.702 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.038$  these things and and so but one thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:16.038 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.764$  that we do is that we get feedback from

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:18.764 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.765$  learners but not a lot from peers or

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}07{:}21.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.930$  trained experts in medical education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.597$  So we're really relying primarily on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}07{:}26.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.612$  Medhub evaluations of our teaching to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

00:07:28.612 --> 00:07:31.119 let us know if we're doing a good job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}07{:}31.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.530$  So some of the existing resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.235$  that I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

00:07:33.240 --> 00:07:34.888 obviously these pelk sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}07{:}34.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.124$  pediatric learning community

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:36.124 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.242$  sessions are great to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:38.242 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.920$  different educational topics on.

 $00:07:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.560$  The medical education discussion group in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.998$  the School of Medicine is wonderful too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.238$  We have our medical education fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.400$  the MHS program that I did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

00:07:49.400 --> 00:07:51.542 the top program now which is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:51.542 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.040$  peer observation of teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:07:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.371$  We have a new junior faculty as

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00{:}07{:}55.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.124$  medical educators course and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

00:07:57.124 --> 00:07:59.194 the Poor View teaching center which

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

00:07:59.194 --> 00:08:00.960 Janet Haffler heads up is also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:08:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.135$  Has lots of resources online

NOTE Confidence: 0.950908964705882

 $00:08:02.135 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.840$  when it comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.926835733333333

 $00{:}08{:}03.000 --> 00{:}08{:}04.716$  not the poor vu oh oh

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

00:08:06.880 --> 00:08:07.680 it's different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

 $00:08:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.120$  it's different, it's different.

00:08:09.120 --> 00:08:10.320 The teaching and learning said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

 $00{:}08{:}10.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.960$  sorry, thanks Janet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

00:08:12.960 --> 00:08:14.640 By the way, I was just writing

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

 $00:08:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.163$  in the chat not to interrupt

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

 $00:08:16.163 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.120$  is you gave a shout out to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

00:08:18.120 --> 00:08:20.244 I have to give a shout out to Janet

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

00:08:20.244 --> 00:08:22.477 who oversees many of these programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

 $00:08:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.898$  which was the inspiration for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.582343253333333

 $00:08:24.898 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.800$  of our programs and being so. Thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.389$  Panina. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}08{:}30.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.420$  So lots of different resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.030$  when it comes to teaching skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.292$  So I you know, when doing a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:08:36.292 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.627$  bit of a literature research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:08:37.627 --> 00:08:40.412 it's been documented that faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}08{:}40.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.640$  teachers believe that student

 $00:08:42.717 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.820$  is feedback is useful in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.770$  clinical development but they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:46.834 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.324$  be uncertain about the honesty or

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:49.324 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.984$  accuracy of student assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.158$  And so I wanted to see if how

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:08:53.158 --> 00:08:55.344 much you guys actually agree

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:55.344 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.428$  with this particular statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:08:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.614$  The one that was published was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:59.614 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.852$  in internal medicine group of faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:09:01.852 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.588$  in these semistructured interviews.

 $00:09:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.675$  So I'm curious to understand how how

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:09:06.675 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.145$  much you agree with this statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00{:}09{:}17.780 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.540$  I know that sometimes as a a faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

00:09:20.540 --> 00:09:22.176 teacher and medical education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:22.176 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.812$  it's difficult for learners

00:09:23.812 --> 00:09:25.858 to give you, you know, true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00{:}09{:}25.858 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}09{:}27.388$  honest or accurate feedback with

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:27.388 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.242$  because of the power dynamic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:29.242 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.018$  comes between you and the learner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.710$  So sometimes it can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

00:09:33.710 --> 00:09:35.030 Difficult to get true, honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.280$  or accurate assessments if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.734$  any type of implication for

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00{:}09{:}39.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.390$  that particular relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

00:09:41.390 --> 00:09:43.966 All right, so it seems like the majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00{:}09{:}43.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.549$  of people strongly agree with this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.650$  And then also in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.806$  there they say that faculty teachers

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

00:09:50.806 --> 00:09:52.990 prefer to receive feedback from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:53.061 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.466$  competent clinical teacher who's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00:09:55.466 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.390$  expert in educational performance.

 $00:09:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.854$  So I'm curious to see if you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00{:}09{:}58.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.486$  have the same rating of agreement

NOTE Confidence: 0.905086625

 $00{:}10{:}00.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.706$  with this particular statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:10:09.900 --> 00:10:14.260 Okay, great. So about the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:10:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.192$  maybe even a little bit stronger than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:10:16.192 --> 00:10:17.300 the previous statement. Okay, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:10:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.260$  So it's been all documented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00{:}10{:}20.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.549$  I mean, there's a the published article

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:10:22.549 --> 00:10:24.580 that I'm referencing here was from 1983,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00{:}10{:}24.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.614$  but there's been a lot of a lot of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:10:27.614 --> 00:10:29.404 showing that written teaching feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:10:29.410 --> 00:10:31.274 It increases clinical faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00{:}10{:}31.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.604$  teaching evaluation scores over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:10:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.730$  their awareness of teaching behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:10:35.730 --> 00:10:38.290 their willingness to be evaluated,

 $00:10:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.216$  it improves their objective teaching skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:10:40.216 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.569$  and it increases their passion for teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:10:42.570 --> 00:10:45.054 So getting feedback on your teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:10:45.054 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.879$  is is really important for us as

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:10:47.879 --> 00:10:49.866 clinical faculty and right now we

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:10:49.866 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.436$  have this feedback spectrum where

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:10:51.436 --> 00:10:53.514 we have what the learners want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:10:53.514 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.717$  know and how they want to learn it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:10:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.009$  And then we have what the teacher

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:10:58.009 --> 00:10:59.858 thinks the learners need to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00{:}10{:}59.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.720$  and how they want to teach it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.952$  And so we have these two ends of

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:03.952 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.894$  the spectrum and what what's missing

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:05.894 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.868$  in the middle is something to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:07.932 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.918$  kind of join those two together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.352$  And so really it highlights the need for

 $00:11:12.352 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.161$  a multifaceted approach to assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:14.161 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.447$  of our clinical teaching with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:11:16.447 --> 00:11:18.436 understanding of how student and feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:11:18.440 --> 00:11:19.796 faculty feedback interrelate

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:19.796 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.604$  to create the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.792$  Teaching encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:22.792 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.929$  So the the thing that or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:11:26.930 --> 00:11:28.490 concept that I'm proposing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.683$  know with this top program is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:11:30.683 --> 00:11:32.128 have this trained peer faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.004$  observer to to who's just disconnected

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:35.004 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.418$  from the teaching encounter and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00{:}11{:}37.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.523$  is observing the learners and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:39.523 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.978$  is observing the teacher and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:11:41.978 --> 00:11:44.666 working to you know bring both of

 $00:11:44.666 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.710$  these pieces of feedback together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:11:47.710 --> 00:11:50.384 So with a trained peer faculty observer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:50.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.208$  the the benefits is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:11:52.208 --> 00:11:53.779 they're really not involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:53.779 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.269$  the teaching encounter at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:11:55.270 --> 00:11:57.420 There's no stake in learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.710$  or teacher success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:11:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.238$  They can provide some relatively unbiased

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00{:}12{:}02.238 \to 00{:}12{:}05.077$  feedback without any consequences or

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:05.077 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.519$  implications to the success of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00{:}12{:}07.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.630$  learner or the success of the faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.198$  And so this is where the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:12.198 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.070$  top program comes in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.950$  So I'm going to go ahead and describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.648$  the program as a whole and go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:18.648 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.950$  some of the outcomes that we have.

 $00:12:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.694$  So the top program is a pure observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:23.694 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.910$  of teaching for pediatric faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.428$  At the beginning of the program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.400$  we recruited 6 faculty observers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.480$  And we were able to get access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.798$  student resident and fellow lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.760$  at and at this time they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.233$  primarily happening via zoom and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:12:39.233 --> 00:12:40.683 we're mostly hybrid lectures where

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:40.683 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.643$  some of the learners were in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:42.643 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.620$  and some of them were via Zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.720$  But all of the observations

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:12:46.720 --> 00:12:48.400 were occurring via Zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:12:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.596$  And this started back in July 2021 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:12:51.596 --> 00:12:55.689 ramped up over the past year and a half.

 $00:12:55.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.742$  So with the program we trained

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00{:}12{:}57.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.024$  the six observers and using this

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:13:00.024 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.287$  top observation tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:13:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.194$  And here is where I will definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:13:03.194 --> 00:13:05.236 give a shout out to Janet because

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:13:05.236 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.412$  she is the original creator of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:13:07.412 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.546$  particular tool and with her permission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:13:10.546 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.730$  Uma Fatak and one other colleague and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

00:13:13.730 --> 00:13:17.393 we made a few changes to this to include

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:13:17.393 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.595$  another domain such as the use of media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:13:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.554$  And changing some of the wording around

NOTE Confidence: 0.937807338461539

 $00:13:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.080$  just to highlight our particular population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:13:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.586$  So as you can see here, there are 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:13:28.586 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.398$  domains of the top observation tool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:13:30.400 --> 00:13:32.888 including the learning environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:13:32.888 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.754$  promoting learner engagement,

00:13:34.760 --> 00:13:37.320 managing the session content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:13:37.320 --> 00:13:39.880 verbal and nonverbal behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}13{:}39.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.520$  and then the use of media with several

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:13:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.718$  different types of behaviors under each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:13:44.720 --> 00:13:46.196 And then in the middle column,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:13:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.568$  the observer can mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:13:48.568 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.936$  whether the behavior was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:13:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.014$  Exhibited, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}13{:}52.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.773$  It's somewhat or no or not applicable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:13:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.446$  They can fill in the comments on

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}13{:}57.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.165$  the right and then at the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:13:59.165 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.593$  they can enter one to three

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}14{:}00.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02.624$  effective teaching skills and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:02.624 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.334$  to three areas for improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.608$  And we created this in Microsoft Word

 $00:14:06.608 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.609$  as a form so that they're able to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}14{:}09.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.860$  type in the responses and click on

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.536$  the boxes and it marks it with an X.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.836$  So this is the tool that we used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:14:17.840 --> 00:14:19.420 It's not officially validated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:14:19.420 --> 00:14:21.395 although with this top program

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:21.395 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.322$  we're actually working on collecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:23.322 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.008$  some validity data for it and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:14:26.008 --> 00:14:29.800 working toward that, hopefully soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.089$  The next thing that we did were

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:32.089 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.987$  was to observe the OR, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:33.987 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.028$  train the observers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}14{:}35.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.478$  In the use of the ADAPT model for feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.168$  So I'm not sure if any of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:40.168 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.083$  have gone to any of Uma Fatak's

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}14{:}42.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.518$  lectures on giving feedback at,

 $00:14:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.680$  but I know she really likes

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.760$  this format and I do too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:14:47.760 --> 00:14:48.166 Subsequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:48.166 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.414$  I think it works really well as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:51.414 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.478$  quick way to train faculty and how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:54.478 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.956$  give feedback by asking for feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:14:56.956 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.046$  from the learner discussing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92851296666666700:14:59.050 --> 00:14:59.618 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:14:59.618 --> 00:15:00.754 clarifying points and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:15:00.754 --> 00:15:01.890 planning next steps together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}15{:}01.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.245$  So the this type of training was given via a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:07.245 \dashrightarrow 00:15:11.365$  a a short video that the observers watched.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}15{:}11.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.680$  So since the beginning of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:15:13.680 --> 00:15:14.813 program in 2021,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:14.813 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.991$  we have 70 lectures observed in

00:15:16.991 --> 00:15:19.650 total with 50 faculty participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:15:19.650 --> 00:15:22.440 with 20 faculty kind of completing

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666666700:15:22.440 --> 00:15:23.370 the program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.775$  which means that they completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:26.775 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.137$  2 observations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.832$  So the faculty themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:29.832 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.370$  They receive written and verbal feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.780$  on two different teaching encounters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}15{:}34.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.922$  with the hope that they make changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:36.922 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.340$  on their second encounter based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}15{:}39.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.494$  feedback they received from the first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.733$  We also had them fill out a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:43.733 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.236$  qualtric survey of a pre and post

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}15{:}46.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.190$  teaching self efficacy survey score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.740$  which I'll share with you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.233$  So this is an example of the PTSQ.

 $00:15:54.233 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.564$  We focused on the 1st 11 components

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:15:56.564 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.401$  of it because the last components

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

00:15:59.401 --> 00:16:01.493 involved working with patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.620$  So we didn't feel like it was applicable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.415$  but the PTSQ is a validated instrument

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:07.415 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.190$  to assess physician's clinical teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.378$  self efficacy and so it has 11 items.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}16{:}14.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.640$  Where they list certain behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}16{:}16.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.710$  and the faculty can indicate to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.900$  degree the item applies to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.212$  So you can get a score for each

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:24.212 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.778$  item from zero to four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.999$  zero where the item does not apply

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:27.999 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.869$  at all to them to four where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:30.869 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.979$  item fully applies and some of

 $00:16:32.979 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.977$  the items here you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00{:}16{:}34.980 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}16{:}36.282$  I'll just list a couple examples because

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:36.282 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.779$  I know it might be a little small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.576$  but if it even if students

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:16:40.576 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.974$  ask difficult questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

 $00:16:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.380$  I'm able to answer them correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

00:16:44.380 --> 00:16:46.138 Even if I'm under time strain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

00:16:46.140 --> 00:16:49.940 I'm able to concentrate and I'm able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

 $00:16:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.100$  integrate even the weakest of students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

00:16:52.100 --> 00:16:53.756 You know, even when I'm faced

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

 $00:16:53.756 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.860$  with big student groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

00:16:54.860 --> 00:16:56.300 I can reach every student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

 $00:16:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.737$  things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

 $00:16:57.737 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.611$  So these are different items that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

00:17:00.611 --> 00:17:03.640 would create a challenge or for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

 $00{:}17{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.440$  the teacher and so it reflects at to

 $00:17:06.523 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.309$  like to what degree they feel like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

 $00:17:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.815$  They can handle that particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

00:17:10.815 --> 00:17:12.320 challenge whether it's coming from

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

00:17:12.371 --> 00:17:13.901 within themselves or it's coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

 $00:17:13.901 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.431$  from the relationship between them

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

00:17:15.477 --> 00:17:17.010 and the student and we'll talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962476666667

 $00:17:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.468$  little bit more about this shortly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:17:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.860$  So teaching self efficacy I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}17{:}22.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.840$  was an important item to include

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:17:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.508$  in this particular study because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:17:26.508 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.982$  not only do we want to increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:17:28.982 --> 00:17:30.990 objective teaching behaviors of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:17:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.050$  Of of our faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:17:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.279$  But we want them to feel like they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:17:34.279 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.591$  doing a good job and that they can

00:17:36.659 --> 00:17:39.074 do a good job when they're faced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}17{:}39.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.465$  with different teaching tasks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:17:40.465 --> 00:17:42.240 So teaching self efficacy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:17:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.977$  the Med Ed realm is described as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:17:44.977 --> 00:17:47.057 physician's belief in their capacity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}17{:}47.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.750$  to carry out the actions necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:17:49.750 --> 00:17:51.985 to provide high quality teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:17:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.328$  And it has been well documented that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:17:54.328 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.670$  when teachers have a high self efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:17:56.670 --> 00:17:59.028 it does influence their teaching performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}17{:}59.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.428$  and it has an effect on learner success,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.586$  which is ultimately why we all teach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}18{:}04.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.830$  So our learners can succeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.760$  And self efficacy has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.304$  shown to affect motivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.956$  so it can affect the our ability

 $00:18:12.956 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.360$  to set goals for ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.229$  It affects how much effort we expend it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:18:18.229 --> 00:18:20.672 It affects how long we can persevere

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:20.672 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.383$  in the face of difficulties and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:23.383 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.315$  our resilience to failures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:18:25.320 --> 00:18:27.840 So you know, a lot of us are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.774$  we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:28.774 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.708$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:29.708 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.043$  stressful jobs working as clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:32.043 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.819$  and research and teaching and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:34.819 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.520$  when we're faced with time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.240$  time constraints or difficulties

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:18:40.240 --> 00:18:42.123 if we don't have a high sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:42.123 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.520$  of teaching self efficacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.048$  We may not set the goals or expend that

 $00:18:47.048 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.096$  effort to teach the way that we are as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}18{:}50.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.320$  much as we have a potential to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:18:53.320 --> 00:18:54.640 And like I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.620$  teaching self efficacy has a positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:18:56.680 --> 00:18:58.920 impact on students academic achievement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.502$  which again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:18:59.502 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.957$  is why we're all here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:19:03.200 --> 00:19:05.216 All right. So when we're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:19:05.216 --> 00:19:06.760 about teaching self efficacy, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:19:06.760 --> 00:19:08.160 sorry, I'm just going to go here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:08.160 \dashrightarrow 00:19:11.120$  We, we looked the main 2 outcomes really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.316$  of the program we're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.062$  The change in their objective teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:16.062 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.392$  scores as well as the change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:19:18.392 --> 00:19:20.120 their teaching self efficacy scores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.850$  again with the hopes that both would

 $00{:}19{:}22.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.671$  increase with the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:19:24.671 --> 00:19:27.056 that we're providing of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:27.056 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.319$  written and verbal feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.170$  So with the top score sheet here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.720$  there are 27 total points

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.920$  that are applicable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.840$  However, when we did score this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.600$  some of the items weren't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.595$  they were in the not applicable column.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.060$  So what we ended up doing was scoring it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00{:}19{:}47.141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.438$  a percent of the total achievable points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00{:}19{:}50.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.812$  So I will share here that we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:19:54.812 --> 00:19:57.338 have a significant increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:19:57.338 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.201$  average change in the top score from

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:00.201 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.960$  88 to 95% out of the achievable

 $00:20:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.040$  points on the top score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00{:}20{:}05.040 --> 00{:}20{:}07.580$  So an average change in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.402$  Seven points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:08.402 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.457$  So as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.532$  a lot of our faculty are already

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00{:}20{:}12.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.019$  pretty good teachers, which is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:20:15.019 --> 00:20:16.618 A few outliers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:20:16.620 --> 00:20:18.097 which we can talk more about later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.956$  But one of the one of the themes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:21.956 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.633$  that we really noticed with the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00{:}20{:}24.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.057$  program was that people who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:20:27.060 --> 00:20:28.888 potentially already teaching at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:28.888 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.860$  a high level were more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.136$  accept the invitation to be observed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.580$  Or potentially seek out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.260$  the opportunity to be observed.

00:20:39.260 --> 00:20:42.700 So we realized that with the top program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.404$  at least in the initial initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:44.404 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.540$  part of the program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:45.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.555$  we were probably reaching the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:47.555 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.570$  higher level teachers already who

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:49.639 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.919$  were teaching a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:20:50.920 --> 00:20:53.160 They were giving a lot of clerkship lectures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00{:}20{:}53.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.280$  residency lectures because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:20:54.280 --> 00:20:55.960 known to be high quality teachers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.198$  so they're just teaching more frequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:20:58.200 --> 00:20:59.544 We because of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:20:59.544 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.096$  we did pivot a little bit to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00{:}21{:}02.096 \to 00{:}21{:}04.396$  include more fellow lectures where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:21:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.326$  more faculty are just assigned to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:21:06.326 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.319$  teach as part of their job.

 $00:21:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.960$  They're not being asked specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:21:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.760$  So we're hopefully see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:21:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.000$  changes in that overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:21:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.493$  So we were happy to see that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

 $00:21:17.493 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.339$  a significant change in the top score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7998985

00:21:20.340 --> 00:21:21.378 After our intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:21:21.660 --> 00:21:22.440 quick question, Heather,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:21:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.788$  for that one. It's the 20 faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:21:24.788 --> 00:21:27.460 who had the pre and post, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914139725

00:21:27.820 --> 00:21:28.900 Yes, that's right, Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

00:21:30.100 --> 00:21:32.508 And did you go back just cuz I

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

00:21:32.508 --> 00:21:34.793 haven't seen the data and kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

00:21:34.793 --> 00:21:36.899 look at the baseline for everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

 $00:21:36.899 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.303$  now that you've had a chance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

 $00:21:39.303 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.820$  include some of the fellow lectures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

 $00:21:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.819$  I'm just curious.

00:21:42.819 --> 00:21:44.817 Like your hypothesis is that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

00:21:44.817 --> 00:21:46.851 now you're reaching some that

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

00:21:46.851 --> 00:21:48.487 weren't as better performing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

 $00:21:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.456$  And so like any sense of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

 $00:21:52.456 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.886$  the average is these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880025808333333

00:21:54.890 --> 00:21:56.250 yeah, it's probably more

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667

 $00:21:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.570$  toward on the 80% side like 75 to 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667

 $00:22:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.946$  Also it's you know although we

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667

 $00{:}22{:}02.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.370$  had initially the six observers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667

 $00:22:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.290$  we're having more observers now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667

00:22:07.290 --> 00:22:09.010 So we're actually we're having

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667

 $00:22:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.530$  a little more of a range and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667

 $00{:}22{:}11.530 --> 00{:}22{:}12.570$  On in scores as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667

00:22:12.570 --> 00:22:14.103 So hopefully it's a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667

 $00:22:14.103 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.627$  going to be more accurate instead

00:22:15.627 --> 00:22:17.370 of just doing all the observations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608176666667 00:22:17.370 --> 00:22:17.650 So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77051693

00:22:18.170 --> 00:22:19.650 OK, right. OK, thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:22:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.872$  OK. And then we did do some sub analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

00:22:25.872 --> 00:22:28.586 initially looking at each domain to

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:22:28.586 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.378$  see if there were any particular one

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:22:30.378 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.513$  of these domains that had a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:22:32.513 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.083$  significant change than the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:22:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.730$  And so we were able to find that three of

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:22:37.823 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.285$  the domains had a significant increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00{:}22{:}41.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.126$  And that would be in establishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00{:}22{:}43.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.570$  the learning environment, promoting

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

00:22:44.570 --> 00:22:48.210 learner engagement and the use of media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:22:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.336$  which in my experience certainly reflects

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00{:}22{:}51.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.009$  the areas where I I as an observer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:22:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.690$  I made a lot of comments,

 $00:22:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.402$  especially on using cases and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

00:22:59.402 --> 00:23:01.490 types of polling software to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:23:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.134$  you know, engage learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

00:23:03.134 --> 00:23:05.224 And then also, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

00:23:05.224 --> 00:23:07.786 I think one thing I emphasized

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:23:07.786 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.776$  a lot as an observer was to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:23:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.929$  Spend more time and pay more attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00{:}23{:}12.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.060$  to establishing a learning environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:23:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.372$  especially when you're teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:23:16.372 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.340$  in a virtual or hybrid session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00{:}23{:}18.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.446$  And so I think a lot of the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

00:23:20.446 --> 00:23:22.540 took that to heart and spent more time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857 00:23:22.540 --> 00:23:23.060 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:23:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.998$  establishing some type of a learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

00:23:24.998 --> 00:23:26.661 environment and getting to know

 $00:23:26.661 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.251$  their learners and their learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

00:23:28.251 --> 00:23:30.140 level before they jumped into their,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:23:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.339$  their teaching content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934310917142857

 $00:23:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.060$  So that was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:23:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.150$  So with the PTSQ score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:23:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.790$  Or the teaching self efficacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:23:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.806$  There's eleven items with

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:23:43.806 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.830$  a Max total points of 44.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00{:}23{:}46.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.030$  Again each item is ranked zero to four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:23:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.336$  So when we looked at this again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00{:}23{:}53.336 \to 00{:}23{:}54.666$  we have a small number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:23:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.025$  So we're hoping to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:23:56.025 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.109$  more significance later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

00:23:57.110 --> 00:23:59.228 But we did see a change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:23:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.560$  average change in their self

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.150$  efficacy score from 27 to 30

 $00:24:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.110$  with a P value of point O 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.134$  So we're approaching significance

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:08.134 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.664$  but not quite there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.590$  11 item that was really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00{:}24{:}12.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.654$ I think Pina you might remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:14.654 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.893$  initially when I was analyzing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:16.893 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.672$  data we were finding that their self

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

00:24:19.672 --> 00:24:21.778 efficacy scores were actually going

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:21.778 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.476$  down and so I did a little bit of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:24.476 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.006$  research into that and it's fairly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:27.006 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.446$  it's fairly well established that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.160$  You're especially when you started

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00{:}24{:}32.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.850$ a high level of of self efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.895$  you're more likely to go down after

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:37.895 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.122$  feedback because you're you kind of

 $00:24:40.122 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.150$  realized that maybe you weren't kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:42.216 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.368$  of as good as you thought you were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.645$  but that when after the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

00:24:46.645 --> 00:24:48.010 implementation of feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

00:24:48.010 --> 00:24:50.040 your self advocacy actually goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.650$  even higher than it was before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.714$  So really the goal of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:54.714 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.170$  program is to have that feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00{:}24{:}57.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.390$  implemented with the goal of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:24:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.752$  Them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

00:24:59.752 --> 00:25:00.476 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:25:00.476 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.286$  faculty teachers feeling even more

NOTE Confidence: 0.850587574

 $00:25:02.286 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.148$  efficacious than they did previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.550$  So what's interesting about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:25:09.550 --> 00:25:11.842 PTSQ score is that it's broken

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:11.842 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.110$  up into two different sections.

 $00:25:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.124$  The first six items are related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00{:}25{:}18.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.326$  self regulation and the last five

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:20.326 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.107$  items are related to dyadic regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:25:23.110 --> 00:25:24.886 And I'm going to talk about that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:24.886 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.968$  I did not exactly know what that meant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.570$  So with the selfregulation components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.918$  these behaviors basically reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:25:31.918 --> 00:25:34.266 cognitive or emotional threats

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00{:}25{:}34.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.929$  to the teacher that require some

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:37.929 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.329$  type of internal selfregulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.410$  So you know, it's about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:25:43.410 --> 00:25:45.090 you know, time management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00{:}25{:}45.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.470$  It's about, you know, staying calm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.010$  managing your emotions even

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.450$  when they're triggered,

 $00:25:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.464$  things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:25:51.464 --> 00:25:53.830 So that's what those first six items

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:53.899 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.216$  reflect and then the last five items.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.916$  Or the dyadic regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:57.916 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.612$  where these reflect challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:25:59.612 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.107$  that arise between you as a teacher

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00{:}26{:}02.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04.017$  and the learner that requires some

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:26:04.017 --> 00:26:05.612 type of intervention that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:26:05.612 --> 00:26:07.298 aimed more towards the learner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:26:07.300 --> 00:26:10.036 So it's about trying to reach

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00{:}26{:}10.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.980$  every student in in your group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.646$  or adapting to different knowledge levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:26:16.646 --> 00:26:21.418 or potentially you know if you're given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.740$  An assignment to teach on short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.148$  short notice that these are kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:26:25.148 --> 00:26:27.420 external things that require regulation,

00:26:27.420 --> 00:26:30.540 kind of outside outside of yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.500$  So we haven't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.420$  we don't have enough numbers to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

00:26:33.420 --> 00:26:34.268 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:34.268 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.388$  figure out whether there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:36.388 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.558$  significant change more in one area

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:38.558 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.980$  or the other based on the program yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.112$  But I think this is going to be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:44.112 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.283$  important when it comes to targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:46.283 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.198$  interventions to support our teachers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00{:}26{:}49.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.482$  You know whether it comes to teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:51.482 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.625$  them more self regulation teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00{:}26{:}53.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.375$  techniques versus more learn learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:56.375 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.440$  targeted interventions to help improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.952329794

 $00:26:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.360$  their sense of teaching self efficacy.

 $00:27:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.480$  So more to come there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880297

 $00:27:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.396$  So really have some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:04.400 --> 00:27:07.599 lessons that we learned from the faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.304$  teachers themselves where that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:09.304 --> 00:27:11.860 you know faculty really benefit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:11.925 --> 00:27:13.957 appreciate a teaching framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.534$  I know a lot of us value certain teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:16.534 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.804$  behaviors more than others, whether it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:19.804 --> 00:27:23.590 Organization or just trying to think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:23.590 --> 00:27:25.490 you know, doing, making sure we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}27{:}25.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.830$  objectives and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.770$  But when we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.286$  when faculty see a list of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:31.286 --> 00:27:32.744 you know, expected behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:32.744 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.383$  I think that really helps them touch

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}27{:}35.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.549$  on everything that's expected.

00:27:37.550 --> 00:27:39.470 Effective teachers, like I mentioned are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.430$  are more likely to seek and accept feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.755$  So certainly finding ways to

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:44.755 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.834$  reach all teachers is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:46.834 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.228$  that we still have to work on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:27:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.170$  Another thing that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:50.170 --> 00:27:51.345 come up and Panina knows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}27{:}51.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.358$  I've been talking to the folks that meant

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}27{:}53.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.606$  to meter to try to get a a license for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:55.606 --> 00:27:57.385 But more support in the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:57.385 --> 00:27:58.990 technology and teaching is needed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:27:58.990 --> 00:28:02.632 especially in our virtual age now

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}28{:}02.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.156$  where we're teaching not only it via

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}28{:}06.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.420$  zoom but hybrid is really I think the

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:08.487 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.183$  number one mode of teaching at this point.

00:28:11.190 --> 00:28:14.226 And so trying to engage both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}28{:}14.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.282$  you know, in person and virtual

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:16.282 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.650$  learners is really difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:17.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.522$  And so technology is a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:19.522 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.490$  to bridge some of that gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:28:21.490 --> 00:28:23.514 Formal feedback is appreciated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:28:23.514 --> 00:28:24.526 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:28:24.530 --> 00:28:26.210 I think a lot of the faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:28:26.210 --> 00:28:27.608 teachers, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:28:27.608 --> 00:28:30.404 aren't have never gotten feedback from,

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.948879371428571 \\ & 00:28:30.410 --> 00:28:31.040 \text{ you know,} \end{aligned}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.245$  a trained peer faculty and medical education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.808$  So I think that's really nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:28:36.810 --> 00:28:38.930 And then I think like I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:28:38.930 --> 00:28:41.410 that the teaching self advocacy can go down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.498$  but it's important to remember that it can

 $00:28:44.498 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.127$  go back up once the feedback is implemented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.992$  So some of the lessons that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}28{:}49.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.370$  learned from faculty observers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571 00:28:51.370 --> 00:28:51.946 so again, NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:51.946 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.025$  these were the trained faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:54.025 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.534$  who were doing the observations was

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:56.534 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.470$  that observers again appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:28:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.406$  that rubric to follow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:29:00.410 --> 00:29:03.160 They benefit from that training

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.810$  and feedback delivery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:29:04.810 --> 00:29:06.642 especially when it's peer-to-peer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:06.642 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.050$  Certainly there's no sense of power dynamics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}29{:}10.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13.290$  So you want to give feedback in a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.150$  that maintains that relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.070$  I I found that observers are

00:29:18.070 --> 00:29:19.725 really pleasantly surprised by what

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:19.725 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.305$  they learned from one another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.860$  not only from a medical education

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.006$  technique perspective, but also from content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:29:26.006 --> 00:29:29.510 I know a lot of faculty, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.184$  you know an endocrine like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:29:31.184 --> 00:29:33.109 go to a lecture on Kawasaki,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:29:33.110 --> 00:29:35.630 and you know it reminds them of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.570$  of things that they haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.510$  learned about in a while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.310$  So that's always kind of fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:29:39.310 --> 00:29:42.592 And I think that once observers

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:42.592 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.233$  see teaching techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.632$  That are used successfully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:45.632 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.024$  they're more motivated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:47.024 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.400$  try them themselves.

 $00:29:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.840$  So whether it is using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571 00:29:50.840 --> 00:29:51.756 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

00:29:51.756 --> 00:29:54.046 technology and teaching like pole

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:54.046 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.525$  everywhere or meant to meter or

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}29{:}56.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.919$  using cases or or doing chalk talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:29:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.076$  or are doing more hands on teaching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:30:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.820$  when they're seeing that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:30:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.212$  successfully then they'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}30{:}04.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06.079$  more motivated to try that too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}30{:}06.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.672$  And then I think that I think has

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00:30:07.672 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.481$  been the most difficult is to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879371428571

 $00{:}30{:}09.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.836$  sure that these verbal feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00{:}30{:}10.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.978$  sessions are happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00{:}30{:}11.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}14.356$  I think it's certainly easy to fill out

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00:30:14.356 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.445$  your teaching rubric and pass it along

 $00:30:16.445 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.500$  to the faculty and solicit questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00{:}30{:}18.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.360$  But I've found that these verbal

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00:30:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.011$  sessions are really helpful just

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00:30:22.011 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.419$  to review different comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00:30:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.598$  And it allows the faculty teacher

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00:30:25.598 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.100$  to reflect on some of those items

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00:30:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.718$  and come up with a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

00:30:29.718 --> 00:30:31.601 a plan that they might try differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.944191566521739

 $00{:}30{:}31.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33.380$  for the next teaching encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:30:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.174$  And then this is a little off topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}30{:}38.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40.510$  but it was another kind of side project

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:30:40.572 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.484$  I have here is looking at some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:30:42.484 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.499$  the the feedback from the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9368991333333333

 $00:30:44.499 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.707$  versus some of some student feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:30:46.710 --> 00:30:49.458 So I've been working with UMA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:30:49.458 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.026$  Michael comparing some of the Medhub

00:30:52.026 --> 00:30:53.805 feedback on clerkship lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:30:53.805 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.349$  based on the faculty feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:30:56.350 --> 00:30:59.275 And so I think I wanted to just highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:30:59.275 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.161$  that the number one item that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:02.161 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.143$  overlapped between the two populations was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.258$  Learner engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:31:06.258 --> 00:31:09.527 So really making sure that whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}31{:}09.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13.118$  type of lecture you're giving to include

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}31{:}13.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.550$  not just interactive components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:15.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.550$  but activities that promote critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9368991333333333

00:31:18.550 --> 00:31:20.910 thinking within within your within

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.790$  your lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.051$  So it doesn't mean that people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}31{:}24.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.590$  to notice necessarily speak up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.810$  but just promoting that critical

 $00:31:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.586$  thinking is really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.005$  another important item to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:32.005 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.454$  in this particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:33.460 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.566$  Side study was that students focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:35.566 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.660$  a lot more on content of the lecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.879$  so making sure that it was relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:40.879 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.660$  to their level of learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:31:42.660 --> 00:31:44.820 how much content was presented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.445$  whether it was presented in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:47.445 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.020$  an organized fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:31:49.020 --> 00:31:51.295 And they really liked things that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:31:51.295 --> 00:31:53.600 interactive specifically with cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}31{:}53.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.420$  whereas faculty feedback focused a

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:31:56.420 --> 00:31:58.940 little bit more on learning environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:31:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.495$  how facile the use of media was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:32:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.228$  and PowerPoint.

 $00:32:02.228 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.048$  And the overall management of

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:32:04.048 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.613$  the session in terms of pace and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:32:06.613 --> 00:32:08.368 organization and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:32:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.876$  So those are just some initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:32:09.876 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.880$  reflections that I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:32:10.933 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.169$  were interesting to include.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.610$  So really when we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.210$  implications from the program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.570$  we can think about how how our findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:21.570 \dashrightarrow 00:32:24.528$  and and how this particular program

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:24.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.820$  and the tool itself could set teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.009$  expectations potentially for new hires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

00:32:30.010 --> 00:32:31.390 Maybe some teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.770$  expectations for promotion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.310$  especially with the new academic

 $00:32:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.250$  clinician track that's coming up soon

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00{:}32{:}38.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.973$  and helping faculty who have areas for

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:40.973 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.047$  improvement and then also appreciation

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:43.047 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.567$  for faculty who are teaching well

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.349$  again to get that positive feedback to

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:48.349 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.130$  continue to have them teach over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

00:32:51.130 --> 00:32:52.418 So hopefully I've highlighted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:52.418 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.868$  you know the need for this multifaceted

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00{:}32{:}54.868 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}32{:}57.628$  approach to assessment of clinical teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:32:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.773$  Between students and faculty and I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00{:}33{:}00.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.745$  to give you an opportunity to do your own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

00:33:04.750 --> 00:33:07.470 I'm teaching self efficacy analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:33:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.502$  It's a link to a qual trick survey

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00{:}33{:}09.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11.769$  where you can fill out eleven of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

00:33:11.769 --> 00:33:13.930 the components and you can put in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00{:}33{:}13.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.749$ e-mail and I'll send you a little of your

 $00:33:16.749 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.467$  own analysis if you feel comfortable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:33:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.372$  and then we can reflect on

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:33:21.372 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.360$  that a little bit together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

00:33:23.360 --> 00:33:25.880 So I'm oh, I know I ended quite early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:33:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.764$  but I'm available for any questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

00:33:27.764 --> 00:33:29.370 or comments about the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.921603094

 $00:33:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.840$  or the study in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:33:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.996$  I'm torn between my question or doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913783286

00:33:42.440 --> 00:33:46.120 but I I was intrigued because I remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.913783286

 $00{:}33{:}46.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.666$  the earlier data that you had shown in

NOTE Confidence: 0.913783286

 $00{:}33{:}48.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.760$  terms of the PTSQ going down and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913783286

00:33:52.760 --> 00:33:54.720 How did you figure out if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.913783286

 $00{:}33{:}54.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.120$  had incorporated the feedback?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913783286

 $00:33:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.555$  Does it relate to what you said?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913783286

 $00:33:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.880$  Like if you wait longer for them to redo

 $00:34:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.760$  the survey, that's when it goes up?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913783286

 $00:34:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.315$  So how did you tease that out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913783286

 $00:34:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.160$  Yeah, honestly, I was looking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.520$  I looked at the PTSQ scores and like who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.795$  had the most change versus who didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:34:13.800 --> 00:34:16.238 And then I I I looked at their,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:16.238 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.622$  their teaching observations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:34:17.622 --> 00:34:19.603 I was looking at the feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}34{:}19.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21.373$  that they received from the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:21.373 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.238$  one compared to the second one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}34{:}23.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.992$  And I I was just noticing that the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.800$  Even even the faculty who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.720$  more than six months in between,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.036$  like the longer almost the better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.232$  I don't know what it was maybe more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:36.232 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.483$  time to reflect on the feedback

 $00:34:38.483 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.560$  or more time to prepare like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}34{:}40.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.320$  different type of presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:34:42.320 --> 00:34:43.760 I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.720$  a lot of us have these canned

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.643$  lectures that we give all the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:47.643 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.466$  and it takes energy to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:49.466 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.602$  things whether it's the format or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:51.602 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.424$  the interactivity that we choose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:34:53.424 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.632$  And so the the observations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}34{:}55.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.160$  were within a month or two I could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}34{:}58.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.904$  Not a lot of changes had been made

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:35:00.904 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.880$  probably from time constraints or motivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:35:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.113$  but so yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:35:05.113 --> 00:35:06.757 the longer the better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:35:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.880$  which was really interesting.

 $00:35:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.804$  That's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

 $00:35:08.804 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.604$  And Heather, cuz I was, I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

 $00:35:10.604 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.998$  I was just so intrigued by that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

 $00:35:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.394$  And so in terms of the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

00:35:15.400 --> 00:35:17.200 cuz you kind of had talked about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

 $00{:}35{:}17.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.014$  is there literature to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

 $00:35:20.014 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.295$  once you've instituted changes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

 $00:35:22.295 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.556$  the duration of time in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

 $00{:}35{:}24.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.760$  the PTSQ you know or perceives?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

00:35:27.760 --> 00:35:29.680 I always say perceived self advocacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908637628571429

 $00{:}35{:}29.680 \to 00{:}35{:}31.880$  but it's really self advocacy is the term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932882214482759

 $00:35:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.504$  You know, is there data to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.932882214482759

 $00:35:36.504 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.336$  the longer you wait or the more things

NOTE Confidence: 0.932882214482759

 $00:35:39.336 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.546$  that you institute that it actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.932882214482759

00:35:41.546 --> 00:35:44.080 goes up or is that your hypothesis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932882214482759

 $00{:}35{:}44.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.320$  I think that's my hypothesis.

 $00:35:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.874$  I don't have any data on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903330541111111

00:35:48.874 --> 00:35:50.819 time frame, but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903330541111111

 $00:35:50.819 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.010$  one thing that was interesting too was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903330541111111

 $00:35:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.929$  That the folks who had a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.903330541111111

 $00:35:55.929 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.763$  self efficacy, sense of self

NOTE Confidence: 0.903330541111111

 $00:35:57.763 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.182$  efficacy to begin with often had

NOTE Confidence: 0.903330541111111

 $00:36:00.182 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.004$  less of a change than those prior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90860045555556

00:36:05.770 --> 00:36:07.826 Yeah, you can call on people to unmute

NOTE Confidence: 0.90860045555556

 $00:36:07.826 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.488$  them. I don't have to. But Janet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.250$  sure I have just a bit to add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00{:}36{:}12.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.367$  Thanks a lot. We've really taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00{:}36{:}14.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.426$  the program forward and what I did

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00{:}36{:}17.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.088$  want everyone to know Zandi Garino,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.274$  who's the associate Dean for PA education

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

00:36:22.274 --> 00:36:24.606 now her thesis when she was at Florida,

 $00:36:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.486$  I I had mentored her through that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.812$  but her whole thesis was on

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00{:}36{:}28.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.410$  feedback and how you incorporate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.045$  Certain characteristics of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

00:36:33.045 --> 00:36:35.770 feedback are incorporated or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.290$  and it's a wonderful thesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.938$  She then published it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:39.938 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.998$  Three years ago in Advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

00:36:41.998 --> 00:36:44.438 in Health Science Education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.404$  it's worth looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00{:}36{:}45.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47.879$  But it really it was a qualitative study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.676$  very well done and she really

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00{:}36{:}50.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.540$  talks about learner readiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:52.622 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.438$  to accept the feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.320$  So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92767435

 $00:36:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.480$  it's it's pretty good,

 $00:36:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.200$  it's great work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00{:}36{:}58.080 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}37{:}00.614$  Thanks Janet. I'm just curious from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:00.614 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.381$  group because I would know I was one

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:03.381 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.448$  of the first who volunteered to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.810$  Is there anybody here? Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00{:}37{:}08.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10.403$  I don't want to put any body on the spot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.722$  but reasons for not engaging in this and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:13.722 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.684$  reason why I ask is you wrote as a future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.166$  you know, as a future thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.606$  which I think is a great one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.582$  which is new faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:22.582 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.398$  you know, to get them early

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00{:}37{:}25.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.683$  and give them feedback early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.510$  But what would be the barriers

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.794$  to doing that and why don't some

00:37:33.794 --> 00:37:36.415 of our path like I I think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

00:37:36.415 --> 00:37:38.820 Most of the people that you reach out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.340$  if they answer you, they agree to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.320$  But ideas about those who are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.94176311

 $00:37:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.060$  getting the feedback at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:37:50.180 \dashrightarrow 00:37:51.692$  and Heather, you can answer, I'm just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:37:51.692 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.260$  I was actually going to start with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:37:53.307 --> 00:37:54.735 group and say who hasn't done this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:37:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.258$  why would you not do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:37:56.260 --> 00:37:57.718 But I decided I wasn't going

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00{:}37{:}57.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.220$  to put any body on the spot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:37:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.168$  So let's go more general as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:38:03.168 --> 00:38:07.848 reasons why faculty don't do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:38:07.850 --> 00:38:10.090 Or don't engage in it, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:38:10.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.690$  it's interesting when it's structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.348$  Panina. And if it were just

00:38:14.348 --> 00:38:16.569 required that everyone would do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.381$  because if it's very safe and you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

00:38:19.381 --> 00:38:22.089 not even want to use the word evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.250$  I often call it that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

00:38:23.250 --> 00:38:25.170 I'll have a dialogue about

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:25.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.480$  what I just observed. So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.790$  thinking of it being totally safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00{:}38{:}29.790 \longrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.982$  knowing that it's not going to go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:31.982 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.024$  your portfolio or it won't get back to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00{:}38{:}34.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36.070$  Panino or any other leader.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00{:}38{:}36.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38.416$  So the safety issue I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:38.416 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.589$  is really critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00{:}38{:}39.590 --> 00{:}38{:}41.910$  And also you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00{:}38{:}41.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.834$  being very careful saying if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:44.834 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.948$  wanted for it to be more formal

 $00:38:46.948 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.097$  as an observation that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00{:}38{:}49.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.180$  actually go into their portfolio

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.350$  when they're coming up for a MP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:38:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.970$  So you could have sort of two arms of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

00:38:55.970 --> 00:38:56.832 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

00:38:56.832 --> 00:38:59.418 really probably if you were saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00{:}38{:}59.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01.194$  University of British Columbia

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00:39:01.194 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.113$  was one of the first places to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

 $00{:}39{:}04.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06.290$  implement the structure of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.928969875

00:39:06.290 --> 00:39:08.930 having a formal peer review process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

 $00:39:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.530$  Yeah, I actually. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

00:39:11.530 --> 00:39:15.698 And so I would, I would just see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

 $00:39:15.698 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.770$  the benefit in having all comers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

00:39:18.770 --> 00:39:21.322 And since you see the other side, Janet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

 $00{:}39{:}21.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}24.066$  I know Heather and I talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

00:39:24.070 --> 00:39:26.247 You know, kind of how this could

 $00{:}39{:}26.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.828$  be incorporated into CV Part 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

 $00{:}39{:}27.830 \to 00{:}39{:}30.055$  especially when there's an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

 $00:39:30.055 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.749$  and kind of your thoughts about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

 $00:39:32.749 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.092$  sitting at that table, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

 $00{:}39{:}35.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.438$  in terms of promotions and having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

 $00:39:37.438 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.890$  this as a piece of somebody's success

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364797175

 $00:39:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.350$  as a teacher and self improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:39:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.026$  So there's a question for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:39:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.550$  Janet, about kind of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:39:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.908$  the potential utility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:39:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.110$  I think ideally. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

00:39:53.110 --> 00:39:56.435 should be relying on peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

00:39:56.435 --> 00:39:58.430 observation and learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:39:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.428$  So if you triangulate the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

00:40:00.430 --> 00:40:01.678 because it's fabulous data,

 $00:40:01.678 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.390$  but we'd also have to be very careful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00{:}40{:}04.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.788$  So when I do my observations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:40:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.640$  I'm very careful if someone's

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:40:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.145$  asking me to also write a letter

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:40:10.145 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.945$  to go into their folio versus the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:40:12.945 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.670$  observation as a learning piece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:40:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.005$  And I think also what Franz Gellerno did

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:40:23.005 --> 00:40:26.768 in OBGYN, she observes all the faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}40{:}26.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.690$  We did a couple of years of training

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:40:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.336$  with her, and then she observes all her

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:40:31.336 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.007$  faculty and then writes a letter to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:40:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.446$  And they were so grateful about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:40:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.834$  So again, there are ways that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:40:38.834 --> 00:40:40.927 really buff this up and peed so it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:40:40.930 --> 00:40:42.650 you know, because it's just such a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:40:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.130$  program that you're doing. Heather.

 $00:40:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.914$  We do went for the the 20 faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00:40:47.914 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.050$  that have completed the observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00:40:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.602$  We do send them a completion letter that

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

00:40:52.602 --> 00:40:55.507 it says you know thank you for completing

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00:40:55.507 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.169$  this top program with two observations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

00:40:58.170 --> 00:41:00.418 You know in the state in this state

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

00:41:00.418 --> 00:41:02.546 your you know your teaching score

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00{:}41{:}02.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.662$  increased this to this your your

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

00:41:04.662 --> 00:41:06.614 sense of selfefficacy change this

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00:41:06.614 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.639$  way and then we highlight some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00:41:09.639 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.567$  their their teaching strengths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00:41:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.514$  And so it is signed by Panina and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00:41:14.514 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.842$  myself for them to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00:41:16.842 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.506$  include in their promotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.945672353846154

 $00:41:18.506 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.170$  materials if they desire.

 $00:41:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.846$  I've done the top program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:24.846 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.250$  so I'm not a person who didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:41:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.010$  But I will tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:28.010 --> 00:41:30.250 I think it's all social, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:41:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.930$  So it's just this weird social

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:31.930 --> 00:41:33.678 barrier of signing up for something

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:33.678 --> 00:41:36.002 that you're like, what even is this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:41:36.002 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.842$  And is this for me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:37.850 --> 00:41:39.747 And by signing up, am I admitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:41:39.747 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.687$  that I think I'm a bad teacher?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:41.690 --> 00:41:42.362 And so I suspect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:42.362 --> 00:41:43.730 and I don't know if this is true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:43.730 --> 00:41:45.431 but I suspect you probably got a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00{:}41{:}45.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46.930$  people in the first pass that are like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:46.930 --> 00:41:48.070 I feel okay about my teaching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:48.070 --> 00:41:50.372 but come on in, teach me more, right?

 $00:41:50.372 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.346$  Because you have to have a certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:52.346 --> 00:41:53.723 degree of self confidence to

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:41:53.723 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.967$  volunteer for this type of feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:55.970 --> 00:41:57.390 So if you're meeting people

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:41:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.526$  being a little timid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:41:58.530 --> 00:42:01.114 that's why I suspect it's a little like

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:01.114 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.558$  they don't want to be the the nerdy kid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:42:03.558 --> 00:42:05.840 Who signed up for the program too soon?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.842$  But they also were just feeling nervous

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:07.842 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.618$  about what they might hear and whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:09.618 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.970$  or not they're going to find out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:11.007 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.316$  they thought they were pretty good at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00{:}42{:}12.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.516$  Maybe they aren't so good at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.000$  That's just my impression of how residents

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.080$  interact with opportunities like this.

00:42:19.080 --> 00:42:19.502 That,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:19.502 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.878$  I suspect is also true for junior faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.752$  Also, Heather, I think you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:25.752 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.580$  one of the every time I'm asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:42:28.580 --> 00:42:29.920 to participate in something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:42:29.920 --> 00:42:31.438 I'm always like balancing out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:31.438 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.495$  much time is it going to take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:42:33.495 --> 00:42:34.302 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:42:34.302 --> 00:42:35.694 how is it going to interfere

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:35.694 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.870$  with my clinic schedule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:42:36.870 --> 00:42:38.877 all the other things I have going on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:42:38.877 --> 00:42:40.853 So I I feel like I don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:40.853 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.029$  to commit to something and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:43.030 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.907$  have the time to really kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.349$  you know, foresee the end for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

00:42:48.350 --> 00:42:51.515 So I think my hesitation is always like,

 $00:42:51.515 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.300$  do I have the time and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190144

 $00:42:53.366 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.070$  day to really get this done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93354462

 $00:42:55.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.870$  Kind of, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89107778875

00:42:57.310 --> 00:43:01.940 Ruchika. Oh, I was just going to say I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

 $00:43:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.416$  The the other side of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:43:03.420 --> 00:43:05.391 I had signed up and then I did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

 $00:43:05.391 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.638$  have an observer join the sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:43:07.638 --> 00:43:09.183 I'm sure they're more like

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:43:09.183 --> 00:43:10.711 happening at your end, Heather,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

 $00:43:10.711 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.697$  and how you are kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

 $00:43:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.814$  It's more like a comment and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:43:14.814 --> 00:43:16.778 question because I I did volunteer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:43:16.780 --> 00:43:18.820 but I did have my observer

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

 $00:43:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.500$  come in for that session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:43:21.500 --> 00:43:22.180 Yeah, I think Heather,

 $00:43:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.300$  did you a pilot arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:43:23.300 --> 00:43:26.460 So I know I had some feedback. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:43:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.940$  your lecture we're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:43:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.540$  using to validate the tool. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160743

00:43:29.540 --> 00:43:32.780 we'll watch your thing over and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160743

 $00:43:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.620$  over again. Got it. Don't worry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:43:36.500 --> 00:43:38.444 Yeah. Well, I haven't seen your

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:43:38.444 --> 00:43:40.260 name on any upcoming lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:43:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.500$  that we'll get you in. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:43:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.856$  yeah. Yeah. No. So I just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:43:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.980$  I feel like there's also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:43:43.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.824$  I guess time constraints on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:43:46.824 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.532$  observers that are also offering

NOTE Confidence: 0.928512966666667

 $00:43:48.532 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.740$  to sign up for the program, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87149842

00:43:51.230 --> 00:43:52.966 Yeah, it's true because that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87149842

 $00:43:52.966 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.790$  I do receive some protected time for this,

 $00:43:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.750$  but I'm asking other to faculty to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87149842

00:43:56.750 --> 00:43:58.434 help me observed, which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87149842

 $00:43:58.434 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.017$  a time constraint on them that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.87149842

00:44:00.017 --> 00:44:01.387 not getting protected time for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87149842

 $00:44:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.510$  So yeah, it's true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.450$  Yeah. And another thing, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.284$  I think time is a major thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:09.290 --> 00:44:10.710 You know, when faculty know

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:10.710 --> 00:44:11.846 that they're being observed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.850$  they really want to take the time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00{:}44{:}13.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16.046$  make this the best luxury that they can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:16.050 --> 00:44:18.010 which again, you know, is time consuming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00{:}44{:}18.010 --> 00{:}44{:}19.738$  you know, to revamp a lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:19.738 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.890$  that you've already given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:20.890 --> 00:44:22.798 So I think, yeah,

00:44:22.798 --> 00:44:26.010 that being a little feeling vulnerable is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.890$  is one major issue and then the other is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.730$  is just time to prepare and time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:31.730 --> 00:44:33.908 participate in the program. I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:33.908 --> 00:44:36.060 I certainly try to make it clear that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:36.060 --> 00:44:37.776 You know, we're just there observing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:37.780 --> 00:44:39.376 We're going to send you this sheet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:39.380 --> 00:44:41.036 If you're open to 15 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:41.036 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.140$  of feedback via Zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.388$  then we do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:43.388 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.948$  And and that's pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:44.948 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.818$  it in terms of your time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:46.820 --> 00:44:49.264 except for filling out those 2P TSQ

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:49.264 --> 00:44:51.658 surveys at the beginning and the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:44:51.660 --> 00:44:53.136 which takes just a couple minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:44:53.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.130$  But I certainly recognize that this is

00:44:57.130 --> 00:44:59.218 a voluntary program that they don't need

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00{:}44{:}59.218 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}45{:}01.098$  to participate in if they don't have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:45:01.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.890$  So it's when you're busy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:45:03.890 --> 00:45:05.210 And it's not a priority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:45:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.247$  but probably won't be taken advantage of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

 $00:45:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.370$  So I have two followup questions for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

00:45:10.370 --> 00:45:12.610 And that'll let everybody go because Janny,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

 $00:45:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.190$  you actually raised something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

00:45:14.190 --> 00:45:16.124 I think is really interest, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

00:45:16.124 --> 00:45:18.248 raised many things that are interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

 $00:45:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.450$  but that I was struck by and I'm not sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

 $00:45:23.585 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.234$  we deliberately address, which is 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

 $00:45:27.234 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.850$  The idea that it's formative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

 $00:45:29.932 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.397$  not summative that it doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

00:45:32.400 --> 00:45:35.160 That it doesn't necessarily go anywhere.

00:45:35.160 --> 00:45:39.560 And so Heather, do we or do you address that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

 $00:45:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.746$  I mean specifically to say that you know it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

 $00:45:43.746 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.954$  it won't go anywhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

00:45:44.960 --> 00:45:48.518 it's just for your own personal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074025875

 $00:45:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.920$  you know, kind of self efficacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:45:52.280 --> 00:45:55.514 Yeah, I mean I don't think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:45:55.520 --> 00:45:57.080 I I thought it was implied,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:45:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.316$  but now that you mentioned it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:45:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.360$  yeah, now that it was a specific statement

NOTE Confidence: 0.935940714285714

 $00:46:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.740$  that. This is for your eyes only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

 $00{:}46{:}03.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.658$  Yeah. So that's a really good point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

 $00:46:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.539$  And then secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

00:46:06.539 --> 00:46:09.020 I'm just curious about the group. I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

 $00{:}46{:}09.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.984$  just in terms of your thoughts or feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

 $00:46:11.984 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.924$  if this actually just became

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

00:46:13.924 --> 00:46:15.938 standard for all junior faculty,

00:46:15.940 --> 00:46:19.651 Like if it was clear that this is formative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

00:46:19.651 --> 00:46:22.957 not summative, but it was expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

00:46:22.957 --> 00:46:26.540 of all junior faculty when they come,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

00:46:26.540 --> 00:46:27.796 What do you think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

 $00:46:27.796 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.680$  The what would be your sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

 $00:46:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.688$  if you were a junior faculty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

00:46:31.690 --> 00:46:33.730 How would you perceive this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

00:46:33.730 --> 00:46:35.434 Nicole, if you're still on when

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

 $00:46:35.434 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.570$  you're a junior faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891519614285714

 $00:46:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.090$  how you would perceive this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:46:40.970 --> 00:46:42.050 Any any thoughts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:46:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.925$  I just have one quick thought and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}46{:}46.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.970$  I'm I'm giving the fame presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:46:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.250$  at once, so I'm going to sign off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:46:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.195$  I I think it's actually really

 $00:46:53.195 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.170$  appreciated if they know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

00:46:55.170 --> 00:46:56.880 it's formative and very safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:46:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.946$  And I think you really have to be so

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:46:59.946 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.680$  careful with training your feedback givers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:47:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.880$  It takes such and to really have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00{:}47{:}06.880 \to 00{:}47{:}09.680$ dialogue versus I'm giving you feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

00:47:09.680 --> 00:47:11.344 So I would even say, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

00:47:11.344 --> 00:47:13.680 make sure that you're checking in on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:47:13.747 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.172$  people who are trained to do this because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:47:16.172 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.616$  if it doesn't feel safe or helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

00:47:19.620 --> 00:47:21.876 So you know it's interesting where

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:47:21.876 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.562$  the three positive and and the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:47:24.562 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.417$  areas of improvement came from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00{:}47{:}26.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.926$  Sometimes you just feel that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

00:47:28.926 --> 00:47:33.140 know you are giving too many sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00:47:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.052$  critical pieces again thinking about

00:47:36.052 --> 00:47:39.190 improvement and focusing on just maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.85113671

 $00{:}47{:}39.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42.100$  even one area that is correctable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862186428

 $00:47:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.528$  So I think it'd be great we

NOTE Confidence: 0.862186428

 $00:47:45.528 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.270$  could try it. Yeah, thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933963975

 $00{:}47{:}47.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.150$  I'm here and I I can add something and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00:47:50.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.430$  sorry Nicole and I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:47:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.190$  to run just because

NOTE Confidence: 0.935940714285714

 $00{:}47{:}54.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.230$  I'll see you at one again Janet, I'll be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

00:48:00.430 --> 00:48:01.865 So I was just going to add,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00{:}48{:}01.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.027$  I think it's something that's is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

00:48:04.027 --> 00:48:06.409 very valuable and I actually had

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00{:}48{:}06.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09.057$  Heather give me feedback from for

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00{:}48{:}09.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11.811$  one of my presentation with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00{:}48{:}11.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.412$  residents and it was very useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00:48:14.412 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.472$  especially for my thesis like.

 $00:48:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.820$  I developed a curriculum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00{:}48{:}17.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.160$  I implemented the curriculum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00:48:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.440$  and as part of that evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00:48:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.520$  I need to know how well I'm doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00:48:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.277$  So it was useful and I really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00:48:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.240$  really appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

00:48:27.240 --> 00:48:29.840 So, and I didn't even know about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

00:48:29.840 --> 00:48:34.496 I forgot the four letters of the 11 question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00{:}48{:}34.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37.370$  Oh, PTSQ. Yeah. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

00:48:37.370 --> 00:48:39.478 The PTSQI think it's also a great idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252742857143

 $00{:}48{:}39.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.680$  So I I'm going to do it, Heather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544596666667

 $00:48:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.799$  OK. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910080751111111

00:48:45.830 --> 00:48:47.306 I was, I was gonna say if we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:48:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.556$  implemented it as a standard for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:48:49.556 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.510$  every new faculty to come in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:48:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.923$  I think it should go well.

 $00:48:52.923 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.907$  I I don't see a reason why not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}48{:}55.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58.500$  I do think it's a great program

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:48:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.650$  and at least for those who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:49:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.170$  really motivated to remain on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:49:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.318$  educational kind of track or are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:49:05.318 --> 00:49:07.430 interested in medical education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:49:07.430 --> 00:49:09.761 I don't see why it they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:49:09.761 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.820$  not be willing to participate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:49:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.700$  Other than the other constraints of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:49:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.190$  And yeah, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}49{:}16.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.259$  Right. Talk about. That's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:49:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.660$  great. Any other thoughts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

00:49:23.100 --> 00:49:23.980 I think it'd be interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:49:23.980 --> 00:49:27.535 to talk to not just about the junior faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:49:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.982$  but some of the faculty who have

 $00:49:30.982 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.698$  been teaching for a long time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:49:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.980$  maybe could use some updates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:49:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.100$  And in terms of incorporating more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:49:37.100 --> 00:49:39.500 interactive components of their lectures too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:49:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.380$  but that could be a different discussion too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807724762

00:49:41.700 --> 00:49:44.070 yeah. Don't totally agree, Heather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920294051428572

00:49:46.310 --> 00:49:48.025 Great. OK. Well, thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920294051428572

00:49:48.030 --> 00:49:49.890 Heather. Thank you for all you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.920294051428572

 $00:49:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.841$  done for our department and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.920294051428572

 $00:49:51.841 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.145$  terms of faculty development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920294051428572

00:49:53.150 --> 00:49:54.835 And thanks for updating us

NOTE Confidence: 0.920294051428572

 $00:49:54.835 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.183$  about your terrific work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920294051428572

00:49:56.190 --> 00:49:58.150 We really appreciate it. Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:49:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.788$  I appreciate everyone's feedback. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:50:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.066$  Great. See everybody in a month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:50:03.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.000$  All right, take care. Bye, Bye.