WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:47:44" NOTE recognizability:0.470

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.514 We've got, let's see, we are recording,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

 $00{:}03.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06.736$  I see Catherine on here Dungen, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

 $00:00:06.736 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.992$  And so again we tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

 $00:00:08.992 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.040$  kind of filter in slowly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

 $00:00:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.592$  So wanted to introduce everybody to

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

 $00{:}00{:}14.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.015$  one of the members of our education

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

00:00:20.015 --> 00:00:26.012 leadership in the school. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

 $00:00:26.012 \dashrightarrow 00:00:32.160$  Felon and I I practice this very often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

 $00:00:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.380$  Wish a second wish a Sacre, am I?

NOTE Confidence: 0.42032254

 $00:00:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.400$  Hopefully there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

00:00:38.400 --> 00:00:39.520 Benita, you're putting so

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

00:00:39.520 --> 00:00:40.640 much pressure on yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

 $00:00:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.188$  I'm happy to finish my introduction

 $00:00:42.188 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.680$  as well and get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

 $00:00:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.080$  I'm excited to be here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

 $00:00:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.720$  but I'm actually going to give a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

 $00:00:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.554$  bit more than introductions here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

 $00:00:49.554 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.100$  And so Dylan got his MD from the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

00:00:53.182 --> 00:00:56.638 University of Rochester School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

 $00:00:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.154$  He did residency training at our at

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

00:01:00.154 --> 00:01:03.400 Yale's primary care residency program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

 $00{:}01{:}03.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.326$  And then Phelan did a general internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

00:01:06.326 --> 00:01:08.013 medicine fellowship in medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.27417928

 $00{:}01{:}08.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.877$  education at Yale and then also got his

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00:01:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.200$  MEHSMED in our institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00:01:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.800$  2018, he joined the academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

00:01:21.800 --> 00:01:25.128 hospitalist program in Yale's

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00:01:25.128 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.417$  General Internal Medicine program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00{:}01{:}28.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.159$  He's very active in medical education.

00:01:31.160 --> 00:01:33.260 He's the director of Clinical Reasoning

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00:01:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.680$  at the Teaching and Learning Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00:01:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.205$  He's an associate for Clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

00:01:38.205 --> 00:01:39.720 Reasoning Educator Development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00{:}01{:}39.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.920$  And so he provides consultations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00{:}01{:}42.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.608$  workshops, and scholarship related

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

00:01:45.608 --> 00:01:48.140 to teaching clinical reasoning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00:01:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.620$  And his research interests

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

00:01:50.620 --> 00:01:52.480 include clinical reasoning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00{:}01{:}52.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.152$  diagnostic error with publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

00:01:55.152 --> 00:01:57.156 and academic medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00:01:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.066$  medical Teacher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00:01:58.066 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.237$  and the Journal of General Internal Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24921735

 $00{:}02{:}01.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.320$  And so with that introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7261014

 $00:02:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.400$  all yours. Awesome.

 $00:02:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.200$  Thank you so much Pernina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00:02:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.680$  and it's great having all of y'all here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00:02:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.837$  I know that you are in between

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00:02:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.600$  things often times with lunch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00:02:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.315$  so feel free to eat and chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

00:02:19.320 --> 00:02:21.642 But I will say part of my one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00{:}02{:}21.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.127$  my favorite parts of these sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00:02:24.127 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.160$  is just the conversations we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00{:}02{:}26.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.160$  have and learning from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

00:02:28.160 --> 00:02:30.100 And especially in your experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00{:}02{:}30.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.040$  'cause I give these workshops

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00:02:32.111 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.839$  to different departments where

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

00:02:33.839 --> 00:02:35.999 obviously it's not my expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

00:02:36.000 --> 00:02:38.676 but yeah, we're going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00:02:38.676 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.460$  about strategies for evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00:02:40.538 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.878$  and teaching clinical reasoning.

 $00:02:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.720$  I. So for the CME credit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5141208

 $00:02:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.720$  make sure to text

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:02:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.910$  42047 to the Yale CME number and that'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:02:55.910 --> 00:02:57.520 be put in the chat again. Again, that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:02:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.920$  42047. Just a quick disclosure for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.488$  So I am a consultant for the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:03:06.488 --> 00:03:09.441 Board of Medical Examiners around like

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:03:09.441 --> 00:03:10.990 developing assessments for management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}03{:}10.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.300$  reasoning, an area of my research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}03{:}13.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.276$  something we'll touch on a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:03:15.280 --> 00:03:18.964 But just for your note, So what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:18.964 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.840$  we going to be talking about today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}03{:}20.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.772$ 3 broad aims for our workshops

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:03:22.772 --> 00:03:25.090 that I usually talk about, One,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.940$  why clinical reasoning matters and

 $00:03:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.492$  some broad information terms around it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:30.492 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.610$  2 how do we assess clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:32.681 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.636$  reason in the clinical setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:03:34.640 --> 00:03:35.644 You know, it's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:35.644 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.865$  I've been giving a lot of workshops with

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:37.865 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.640$  colleagues across the country for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}03{:}39.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.340$  I always like to pair assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:03:42.340 --> 00:03:44.492 before teaching just because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}03{:}44.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.996$  like diagnosing the learner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.753$  no pun intended,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:47.753 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.999$  can be so helpful for deciding what to teach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:03:50.000 --> 00:03:51.952 Because I know a lot of people don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:51.952 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.681$  necessarily have a lot of time when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}03{:}53.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.230$  are in your clinical settings with all

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.764$  the patients that you have to see as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:03:57.764 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.300$  And we'll practice with a couple role plays

 $00:04:01.389 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.991$  for both assessing and teaching strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:03.991 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.490$  And I'd love to hear and encourage

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:04:06.556 --> 00:04:08.924 you to share what you're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:08.924 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.429$  about for these hypothetical learners

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:11.429 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.280$  in these situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.905$  So let's start talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:04:14.905 --> 00:04:16.140 clinical reasoning, epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:04:16.140 --> 00:04:17.880 terminology, and theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:04:17.880 --> 00:04:21.360 So why does clinical reasoning matter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:04:21.360 --> 00:04:22.935 So we've been talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}04{:}22.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.358$  clinical reasoning for decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:24.358 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.748$  The literature becomes like pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}04{:}26.748 --> 00{:}04{:}29.758$  robust as of like 40 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.288$  But what really started to pick up steam

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:32.288 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.700$  and interest around the topic is the

00:04:34.700 --> 00:04:36.480 National Academy of Medicine report

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}04{:}36.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.080$  Improving Diagnosis in healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.195$  which came out in 2015 and 16.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.760$  Then in this report it summarized

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.280$  the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.920$  The diagnostic errors happen a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.725$  anywhere from 10 to 15% of the counters

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}04{:}48.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.200$  in the more cognitive specialties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:04:50.200 --> 00:04:52.792 So medicine, internal medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:04:52.792 --> 00:04:54.228 Pediatrics, emergency medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}04{:}54.228 --> 00{:}04{:}56.986$  may be less so in some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:04:56.986 --> 00:04:58.320 perceptual specialities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:04:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.438$  something like a radiology and pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.800$  And these have been consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.160$  shown across various forms of study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.888$  Chart review being the most common

 $00{:}05{:}07.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.048$  types of study and they can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:10.048 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.999$  a significant impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:05:11.000 --> 00:05:14.220 Various back in the envelope mass have

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.718$  used numbers estimating that it could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}05{:}16.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.004$  The diagnostic errors happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:19.004 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.717$  to literally everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.188$  The mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:21.188 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.592$  all the numbers depending on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:05:22.592 --> 00:05:23.828 you want to define diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:23.828 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.274$  errors and the harm they cause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}05{:}25.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.200$  can go up and down from that 40 to  $80{,}000$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.200$  number yearly in the US that I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}05{:}32.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.396$  And what makes clinical reasoning so

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:05:34.396 --> 00:05:36.539 important in this process is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:36.539 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.381$  when we have looked at diagnostic

00:05:38.381 --> 00:05:40.582 errors and I was actually a part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}05{:}40.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.120$  one of these chart review studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.618$  affiliated with UCSF here and about

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:46.618 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.460$  70% of them have been affiliated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.560$  be cognitive in some form or another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.940$  which is why we like to teach

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.960$  about clinical reasoning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.320$  Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.760$  clinical reasoning can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:05:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.560$  defined in many different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00{:}05{:}59.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.180$  ranging from broadly problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:06:02.180 --> 00:06:04.800 solving to critical thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:06:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.756$  sometimes more gestalt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:06:06.756 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.364$  like this learner's judgement

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

00:06:09.364 --> 00:06:12.320 that I'm observing right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40580356

 $00:06:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.520$  but the way I like to define it,

 $00:06:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.998$  and it's not particularly the new definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.480$  This is from Kevin Eva,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.280$  the editor of Medical Education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.360$  defined it as the ability to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.104$  through a cluster of features presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}06{:}26.104 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}06{:}29.176$  by a patient and the sign a diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:29.176 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.450$  label with the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.200$  appropriate treatment as our end goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.910$  Now when it comes to clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.480$  reasoning theories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}06{:}37.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.600$  there are so many frameworks

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.720$  that inform what we teach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}06{:}39.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.480$  often drawn from other sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.000$  particularly the cognitive science

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.520$  realm and cognitive psychology,

00:06:48.520 --> 00:06:50.368 to maybe even a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:50.368 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.600$  from business as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:06:51.600 --> 00:06:52.974 I don't know if any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:52.974 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.160$  these are familiar to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:06:54.160 --> 00:06:56.568 but it's just so I can give

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:06:56.568 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.320$  a broad strokes on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:06:58.320 --> 00:06:59.715 The deliberate practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:06:59.715 --> 00:07:02.720 just the importance of doing effortful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.440$  meaningful practice with getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.572$  reps around your around clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}07{:}08.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.756$  reasoning in different settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.824$  knowledge organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:11.824 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.952$  both frameworks and approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:13.952 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.580$  to your cases and also how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.480$  store that information yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}07{:}19.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.892$  The term illness script has become

 $00:07:21.892 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.120$  really popular and we've recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.980$  developed a framework called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.349$  management script of how you organize

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:07:28.349 --> 00:07:30.129 information about diagnosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:30.129 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.064$  management options in that given patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:33.064 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.880$  In addition to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.965$  sorry about quick Trigger on

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:07:37.965 --> 00:07:39.943 my mouse dual process theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:39.943 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.610$  the idea of thinking fast and slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:42.679 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.944$  might be familiar to y'all so thinking fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:45.944 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.920$  so system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:46.920 \dashrightarrow 00:07:48.915$  So this is popularized by Daniel Kahneman

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}07{:}48.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51.197$  who won actually a Nobel Prize for this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:07:51.200 --> 00:07:52.424 He was actually getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:52.424 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.954$  economics I want to say,

00:07:53.960 --> 00:07:57.038 but system one being pattern recognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}07{:}57.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.600$  which is what our more seasoned experts use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:07:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.784$  versus system 2 which is our

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:01.784 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.876$  more analytical thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.729$  But it's much more time consuming and

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:05.729 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.753$  eventually over time learners move

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:08:07.753 --> 00:08:10.315 more from System 2 to system one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:08:10.320 --> 00:08:11.526 And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:08:11.526 --> 00:08:13.536 probably the biggest theory that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:13.536 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.688$  gaining traction in the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:15.688 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.833$  reasoning literature is around situativity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.094$  So what's going on in the environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}08{:}20.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.482$  knowing that clinical reasoning

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}08{:}21.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.636$  isn't just practice inside the head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.100$  that it's practice in an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.920$  that changes,

00:08:26.920 --> 00:08:29.280 that involves many different partners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}08{:}29.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.992$  including the patients involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:30.992 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.276$  in their care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:08:32.280 --> 00:08:33.368 And they're even subcategories

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:33.368 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.000$  of this that I won't believe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.017$  But just the idea of context is

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:08:38.017 --> 00:08:39.960 important in clinical reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.354$  So if I had to break down a cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:42.354 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.471$  model and it's really started to

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:44.471 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.640$  become more solidified over the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}08{:}46.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.312$  I want to say like 7 to 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.511$  There are 4 main steps in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}08{:}52.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.114$  clinical reasoning process that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:08:54.114 --> 00:08:55.920 be like ordered in different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:08:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.598$  but those steps are data collection.

00:08:59.600 --> 00:09:01.520 So how your learners get information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.914$  all of these will derive from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.545$  the definition that I gave a clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:06.545 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.040$  reasoning problem representation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.400$  So how you synthesize that information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.452$  how do you determine signal from

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:09:13.452 --> 00:09:15.240 noise sick or not sick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.720$  We usually see that in the one liner

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:17.720 \dashrightarrow 00:09:19.597$  that assessment that we think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.644$  you know the part where we as

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

00:09:21.644 --> 00:09:23.149 attending is actually like perk

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:23.149 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.116$  up and listen a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:25.116 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.319$  closely to our learner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}09{:}26.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.200$  Next prioritizing A differential diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00:09:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.685$  obviously what we think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.50102544

 $00{:}09{:}30.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31.873$  most with clinical reasoning.

00:09:31.880 --> 00:09:34.000 If I could break it down just into two steps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

00:09:34.000 --> 00:09:36.480 a little bit more, I would say one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:09:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.360$  just coming up with a list of diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:09:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.376$  This is what we usually see our more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:09:42.376 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.535$  junior learner struggle with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

00:09:44.535 --> 00:09:47.193 right like your medical PA students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:09:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.720$  Whereas when you get to more senior learners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:09:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.897$  it's more about how do they decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:09:51.897 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.920$  which of those diagnosis files,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:09:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.038$  those illness scripts, should they choose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:09:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.799$  which one matches more to this diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:09:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.577$  And that's where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:10:00.577 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.390$  you know they can decide on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:10:02.442 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.837$  eventually they need to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:10:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.199$  which is the next step of management

 $00:10:06.199 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.356$  reasoning and break this down into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00{:}10{:}08.356 \to 00{:}10{:}10.040$  two components, management scripts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

00:10:10.040 --> 00:10:13.880 how do you decide what your options are?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

00:10:13.880 --> 00:10:14.924 And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:10:14.924 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.316$  testing and treatment thresholds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:10:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.520$  whether or not to do something at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:10:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.238$  So with those 4 broad steps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:10:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.182$  I want to think about how we assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00{:}10{:}23.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.474$  our learners and teach our learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

00:10:25.480 --> 00:10:27.032 But before I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00{:}10{:}27.032 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}28.972$  did anybody have any questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400829

 $00:10:28.972 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.319$  about this so far?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:10:33.440 --> 00:10:35.696 All right. Last thing I'll just

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:10:35.696 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.040$  say about this is that this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:10:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.150$  so to speak, of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00{:}10{:}40.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.838$  reasoning is constantly happening.

 $00:10:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.552$  The process of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:10:43.552 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.836$  reasoning is iterative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:10:44.840 --> 00:10:46.900 And that's actually the best process, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:10:46.900 --> 00:10:48.720 Because we know there's so much uncertainty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:10:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.120$  We know that there's so much new information

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:10:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.436$  that even as you're taking a history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:10:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.175$  you know that your differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:10:55.175 --> 00:10:57.273 is changing literally word to word

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:10:57.273 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.079$  by what our learners are saying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:10:59.080 --> 00:11:00.080 So with that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:11:00.080 --> 00:11:02.678 how can we assess clinical reasoning?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:11:02.680 --> 00:11:05.155 All right, so warm y'all up a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00{:}11{:}05.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.318$  every body's gotten a chance to eat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:11:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.408$  This is where I asked for some audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:11:09.408 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.000$  participation, even if cameras are off.

 $00:11:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.226$  That's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:11:12.226 --> 00:11:15.760 And I was wondering for y'all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:11:15.760 --> 00:11:17.920 how do you identify?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

 $00:11:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.895$  If your learner is struggling

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:11:19.895 --> 00:11:21.080 with clinical reasoning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:11:21.080 --> 00:11:22.992 feel free to put it in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.7698591

00:11:22.992 --> 00:11:24.638 or unmute yourself and share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

00:11:41.890 --> 00:11:43.054 know your learner struggling

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

 $00:11:43.054 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.927$  with clinical reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

00:11:46.530 --> 00:11:48.850 for you guys who might not have microphones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

 $00:11:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.810$  Feel free to put it in the chat too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

 $00{:}11{:}53.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.630$ right? Melissa said. Doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

 $00:11:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.170$  formulate an appropriate differential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

00:11:56.170 --> 00:11:59.928 Yeah, that's like kind of like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

 $00:11:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.324$  the the stop as far as like oh wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

 $00:12:02.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.933$  like now you need to say what

 $00:12:03.933 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.104$  you think is going on and you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

 $00:12:06.104 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.524$  not just presenting information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

 $00:12:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.244$  Definitely. For sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

 $00:12:08.244 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.434$  The differential is a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.7630874

00:12:09.434 --> 00:12:10.330 place to diagnose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3103357

 $00:12:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.358$  telling you you can't even summarize that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3103357

00:12:18.360 --> 00:12:21.237 You know, Kind of like the assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.3103357

00:12:21.240 --> 00:12:23.744 where it's just like a whole bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.3103357

 $00:12:23.744 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.800$  facts kind of put together, all hodgepodge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.404$  Yes, for sure, right when they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:30.404 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.024$  really struggling to like collect

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:32.024 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.929$  or all that information to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}12{:}33.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35.656$  what matters most, right Penina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:35.656 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.000$  And really determining

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.792$  that can be challenging.

 $00:12:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.400$  So at least for each of these steps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:12:40.400 --> 00:12:42.140 here are some broad ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.880$  that I understand a patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.325$  when you're listening to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:45.325 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.991$  presentations that you can kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:46.991 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.390$  like tune into where you might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.038$  able to teach your learners the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.436$  So first is for data collection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}12{:}53.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.760$  The quality of the information is very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.578$  It's not clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:12:57.578 --> 00:12:59.396 It's missing information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:12:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.200$  And that's the gestalt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:00.200 --> 00:13:01.000 That's when you're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:01.000 --> 00:13:01.648 you wasn't attending,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:01.648 --> 00:13:02.780 you're like, wait, wait,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.880$  what's going on with this patient?

 $00:13:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.757$  That's usually a sign that your learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:07.757 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.880$  hasn't gotten enough information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:09.880 --> 00:13:11.140 Next up, problem representation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:11.140 --> 00:13:12.400 like Panino was mentioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.880$  most seen in the assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.024$  also in sign outs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:15.024 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.341$  That's a great time to figure out or

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:17.341 --> 00:13:18.956 in consults hearing like a learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:18.956 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.301$  summarize that information and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:20.301 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.159$  just can't put that information together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.200$  They're not including the right stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.196$  It's disorganized and all over the place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.120$  It doesn't really give a tempo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.730$  a timing of how the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.035$  might be evolving,

 $00:13:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.064$  prioritizing A differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}13{:}35.064 \to 00{:}13{:}37.073$  So obvious, like we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:37.073 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.059$  either there's not enough diagnosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:39.059 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.236$  they're not really in a reasonable order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.354$  And I will say this about honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.600$  this goes for clinical reasoning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.640$  but specifically for

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:46.640 --> 00:13:48.000 prioritizing differential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:48.000 --> 00:13:50.150 we're not looking for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:50.150 --> 00:13:51.440 single correct answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}13{:}51.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52.556$  There's so many analogies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:13:52.556 --> 00:13:54.880 I'll I'll use some related to like sports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.280$  for example, a strike zone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.820$  especially because we're like

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:13:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.360$  baseball season right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.644$  but we're not expecting it to

 $00:14:01.644 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.840$  be in a single place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.142$  right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:03.142 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.256$  It could be around a general area

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}14{:}05.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.718$  for a differential for a learner's

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:07.718 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.400$  clinical reasoning to be fairly strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.001$  but we're looking at to be for it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:13.001 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.493$  be somewhere around there management

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:15.493 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.451$  even more so actually in management

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:18.451 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.610$  there often isn't a right answer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.480$  the the answer changes right over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.256$  So some signs of this can be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:26.256 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.090$  little bit more it can be either

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}14{:}28.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.800$  like very blunt and obvious right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}14{:}29.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.848$  The plan is incomplete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:31.848 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.408$  There's very clear evidence based

 $00:14:34.408 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.644$  guideline interventions that are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:36.644 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.799$  being like suggested recommended ordered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}14{:}38.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41.584$  But then there are like more like faint

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:14:41.584 --> 00:14:43.767 signs that I'll notice particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:14:43.767 --> 00:14:45.840 in higher stakes such situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.840$  I attended our step down

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:14:47.840 --> 00:14:49.439 unit not infrequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.190$  so I'll notice here some things

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}14{:}53.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54.976$  like indecisiveness which might

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:54.976 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.316$  suggest that a learner has

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}14{:}56.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.843$  doesn't have really well honed

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:57.843 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.195$  testing and treatment thresholds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:14:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.285$  It's great to like have

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}15{:}01.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.953$  uncertainty and acknowledge that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:15:02.960 --> 00:15:04.552 but you got to know what you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:15:04.552 --> 00:15:06.380 to do with that uncertainty over

00:15:06.380 --> 00:15:07.880 testing under treatment that my

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:15:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.406$  high value care colleagues will

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}15{:}09.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.074$  certainly want me to recommend that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:15:11.080 --> 00:15:13.900 I include that in in management

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:15:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.840$  reasoning too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:15:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.320$  And there's a ton of overlap as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:15:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.776$  There's our patient care

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:15:17.776 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.596$  communication aspects around the plan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00:15:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.910$  but those are some general impressions

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

00:15:21.910 --> 00:15:24.782 of what you're looking at for when a

NOTE Confidence: 0.27672905

 $00{:}15{:}24.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26.678$  learner might be struggling in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

 $00:15:26.741 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.816$  areas. All right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

 $00{:}15{:}27.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.556$  I'm gonna think about some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

 $00:15:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.640$  I'm gonna share some strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

00:15:31.640 --> 00:15:34.016 both generally about teaching

 $00:15:34.016 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.192$  clinical reasoning and specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

00:15:36.192 --> 00:15:37.760 with the niche strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

00:15:37.760 --> 00:15:40.200 But I'm gonna pause again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

 $00:15:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.946$  I'm putting myself out there to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

 $00:15:41.946 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.393$  everybody to ask what are some ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

00:15:44.393 --> 00:15:46.235 you like to teach clinical reasoning?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

00:15:46.240 --> 00:15:48.024 It could be anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

 $00{:}15{:}48.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.700$ a very broad open-ended when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

 $00:15:50.785 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.755$  like teaching how you like teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93921006

 $00:15:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.040$  Whatever comes to mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00{:}16{:}11.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.360$  Richard, that you're unmuted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:16:12.360 --> 00:16:14.280 Did you want to say something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:16:14.280 --> 00:16:16.457 Yeah, I was. I mean it's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00{:}16{:}16.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.640$  I guess relevant in cardiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.884$  but I like to usually point them

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:21.884 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.824$  towards thinking the Physiology of

 $00:16:23.824 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.475$  what we are talking about and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00{:}16{:}26.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.180$  have that drive towards, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.556$  what you're going to do for the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:16:30.400 --> 00:16:32.040 That's great. And it's Rushka,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.398$  right, that I, I, I love that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:16:35.400 --> 00:16:38.280 And that's really good for learners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.948$  especially when they're newish

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:39.948 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.451$  to a field as well, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:42.451 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.684$  Especially so your,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:16:43.684 --> 00:16:44.917 your clerkship learners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.474$  they have that basic and science knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00{:}16{:}46.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.510$  So that's actually kind of fun and

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.437$  reinforcing for them to see like oh wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.325$  like I know something or

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:16:52.325 --> 00:16:53.833 you're like early fellows.

 $00:16:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.640$  I'd imagine they're still like really wrote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:16:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.480$  you know, close to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:16:58.480 --> 00:16:59.680 like that Physiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:16:59.680 --> 00:17:01.680 although I imagine this specialty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:17:01.680 --> 00:17:03.720 you're always close to your Physiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:17:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.760$  but tying that back that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:17:05.760 --> 00:17:07.120 connect it ground alertness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:17:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.840$  clinical reasoning and and almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00{:}17{:}08.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.488$  act as a framework too for different

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:17:11.488 --> 00:17:12.802 options of possibilities, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00{:}17{:}12.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.566$  Like a patient might have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:17:14.566 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.000$  AKI and you can say like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:17:16.000 --> 00:17:17.758 OK, what are like the parts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:17:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.230$  Like let's follow like the urine

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:17:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.159$  all the way to like the ureters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:17:21.160 --> 00:17:21.460 right?

00:17:21.460 --> 00:17:21.760 Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

 $00:17:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.160$  So what are the areas where a lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.31081134

00:17:24.222 --> 00:17:26.118 could occur to 'cause this AKI?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

00:17:40.900 --> 00:17:41.710 So using Physiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:17:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.330$  that's a that's a great way

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:17:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.978$  to teach clinical reasoning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

00:17:44.980 --> 00:17:45.832 Anything else?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

00:17:45.832 --> 00:17:47.536 Maybe one more strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:17:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.260$  I'll wait for

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

00:17:50.340 --> 00:17:52.500 quiet one day group, everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:17:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.700$  or a clinic without a audio

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:18:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.900$  Penina. What's that? What's

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:18:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.220$  the strategy for you to teach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

00:18:02.260 --> 00:18:04.668 Yeah. I would just say I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:18:04.668 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.930$  feel like a four year old or a

00:18:07.930 --> 00:18:10.520 three-year old or always say why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

00:18:10.520 --> 00:18:14.390 Yeah, you know, it's like not

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

00:18:14.390 --> 00:18:16.560 just regurgitation, but OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

00:18:16.560 --> 00:18:19.200 Why, why did you say that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:18:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.500$  What were your thoughts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:18:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.632$  Or else asking like the learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:18:24.632 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.712$  just didn't explain their reasoning

NOTE Confidence: 0.5655742

 $00:18:26.712 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.600$  versus just giving an answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:18:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.304$  that is amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:18:30.304 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.440$  And that's, are you going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:18:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.920$  the first one that I say for Nina?

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:18:34.920 --> 00:18:37.730 So First off is just clarification, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:18:37.730 --> 00:18:40.600 Like, what were you thinking behind that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:18:40.600 --> 00:18:42.760 right, Like because we know from

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:18:42.760 --> 00:18:44.163 multiple choice questions, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:18:44.163 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.778$  that like an answer doesn't

 $00:18:45.778 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.280$  necessarily show what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}18{:}47.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.876$  So just asking them to clarify

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:18:48.876 --> 00:18:50.031 in different ways, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:18:50.031 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.137$  That could be like saying why

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:18:52.137 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.545$  watching them do an exam or watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:18:54.545 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.304$  them ask like those questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:18:56.304 --> 00:18:58.160 So getting primary information

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:18:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.480$  arguably is the most important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.720$  though the most time consuming as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:19:02.720 --> 00:19:03.872 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:03.872 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.792$  important to note in certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:05.792 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.560$  situations scaffold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}19{:}06.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.408$  So this goes to what Carol was mentioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:19:09.408 --> 00:19:12.536 and also Urgeka to a degree as well, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:19:12.536 --> 00:19:14.200 So for frameworks, frameworks,

00:19:14.200 --> 00:19:15.838 frameworks is the name of the game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:19:15.840 --> 00:19:17.124 In teaching clinical reasoning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:17.124 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.280$  we simply to go back to deliberate practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.080$  We don't get like our 10,000 hours anymore

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.852$  in clinical practice to really have like

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}19{:}26.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.239$  exemplars for every single situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.032$  So giving your learners ways to identify and

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:19:32.032 --> 00:19:34.640 figure out what's going on in a patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.160$  even if they haven't seen it before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.312$  can be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:19:38.312 --> 00:19:40.616 And so common ones are just

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:40.616 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.838$  by organ system anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:19:42.840 --> 00:19:47.496 Some could be like processed like vascular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}19{:}47.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.520$  neoplastic, infectious, inflammatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.915$  or even something such as simple as

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:19:53.920 --> 00:19:55.915 what's a must not misdiagnosis for this,

00:19:55.920 --> 00:19:57.396 what's common even like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:19:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.960$  remove like some like data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:19:58.960 --> 00:20:00.960 Just thinking about this cheap

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.080$  concern and don't narrow too soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:20:03.080 --> 00:20:05.320 Frameworks is a way to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.920$  teach clinical reasoning modeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.945$  So this is one way I wanted to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}20{:}08.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.880$  you're allowed to do this as faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:20:10.880 --> 00:20:12.524 This is literally the main feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:12.524 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.936$  that I got for my first two to three

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:14.936 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.800$  years as an attending on my emails.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:20:16.800 --> 00:20:17.560 Doctor W,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:20:17.560 --> 00:20:19.840 It's nice that you say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.120$  that was a reasonable plan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.680$  but we'd really want to

 $00:20:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.240$  hear what your thinking is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.820$  And so clarifying why like

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:20:25.820 --> 00:20:28.080 you wanted to make a decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.060$  what was it about this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}20{:}30.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.683$  or this intervention that made

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:31.683 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.360$  you want to do it or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.490$  And that can actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.394$  really quick as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.864$  because Panino has mentioned that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:20:36.864 --> 00:20:39.248 I know a lot of y'all are in clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}20{:}39.248 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.598$  and in the outpatient setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:20:40.600 --> 00:20:42.364 you're like moving fast and might not

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:42.364 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.440$  have as much flexibility for teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.160$  So even some quick modelling can be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.464$  And then this is one that I after

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:50.464 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.051$  doing a lot of these workshops with

00:20:53.051 --> 00:20:55.758 students that they asked me to put in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}20{:}55.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.875$  is helping them do some independent learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:20:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.100$  Because you can imagine when

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:21:00.100 --> 00:21:01.320 it comes to clinical reasoning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}21{:}01.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03.574$  there are so many different points of

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:21:03.574 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.120$  a case that learners can grow from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:21:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.717$  but that can be really overwhelming too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:21:08.720 --> 00:21:11.760 So specifically saying, like, hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:21:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.475$  we saw a lot of patients today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}21{:}13.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.552$  but could you look up cystic fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:21:15.552 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.588$  and make an illness script and

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

00:21:17.588 --> 00:21:19.374 talk about it tomorrow, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00{:}21{:}19.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.712$  Or could you look into the trilogy

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:21:21.712 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.463$  of flow and we can talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.28574646

 $00:21:24.463 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.473$  different management options as well,

 $00:21:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.119$  right. And and make it really more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00{:}21{:}29.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.101$  And sometimes what I'll even do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00{:}21{:}31.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.396$  like you have no more than 10 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00:21:33.396 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.392$  to look this up because that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

00:21:35.392 --> 00:21:37.533 often give just a sense of relief with

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00:21:37.533 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.237$  all the things that they need to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00:21:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.480$  So anyway, those are some broad categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00:21:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.437$  as you can imagine for each of those steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

00:21:44.440 --> 00:21:46.320 There are examples for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00:21:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.875$  If I had to give data collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00{:}21{:}48.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.764$  the biggest things that I do when

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00{:}21{:}51.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.957$  I'm teaching clinical reasoning in

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

00:21:53.957 --> 00:21:56.597 the clinical setting on the words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42213848

 $00:21:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.200$  for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:21:59.560 --> 00:22:01.800 it's tough. I don't get a chance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.998$  like observe as much as I would want to.

 $00{:}22{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.620$  I think that's particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00{:}22{:}05.620 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}22{:}07.240$  important for more junilers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:22:07.240 --> 00:22:10.156 But when I go back bedside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:22:10.160 --> 00:22:12.071 I'll try my best to like model

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:22:12.071 --> 00:22:13.400 some specific maneuvers for them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00{:}22{:}13.400 \to 00{:}22{:}16.252$  spelled NEUVERS, missing any there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:22:16.252 --> 00:22:18.190 But also I might provide some

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:18.247 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.720$  like additional resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.800$  There are great ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00{:}22{:}20.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.680$  The Stanford 25 is an excellent one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.430$  Rational Clinical Examiner is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.262$  one which also I think has a few really

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:27.262 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.679$  nice PEDs files as well one on appendices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.440$  This particularly comes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.598$  mind for teaching strategies.

 $00:22:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.680$  I was around problem representation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.278$  So broad categories of like when you're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:22:41.280 --> 00:22:43.394 when you're trying to help your learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:22:43.394 --> 00:22:45.357 distill what's going on with the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:22:45.360 --> 00:22:48.430 just asking them who is the patient, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:22:48.430 --> 00:22:50.880 what matters most about who they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.882$  their comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:51.882 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.886$  their risk factors epidemiologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:22:53.886 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.160$  and what is going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:22:56.160 --> 00:22:58.160 Focusing on that chief concern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00{:}22{:}58.160 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.764$  the time course and the two to

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:00.764 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.586$  three symptoms that really help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:03.586 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.754$  narrow your differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:23:05.760 --> 00:23:08.668 One thing I will say is it can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:23:08.668 --> 00:23:09.853 helpful to provide your learners

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:09.853 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.525$  a template particularly for the

00:23:11.525 --> 00:23:13.245 assessment just from being around

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:23:13.245 --> 00:23:14.933 many different reports and having

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:14.933 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.871$  so many learners having to present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:23:16.880 --> 00:23:17.384 To me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00{:}23{:}17.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.148$  I know it can be really overwhelming

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:19.148 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.557$  to give that one liner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.520$  And so this is just a template that we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.800$  It's very mediciney, internal mediciney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00{:}23{:}24.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.858$  But whatever your framework is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:26.858 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.558$  you want to help your learners with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.168$  just tell them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:31.168 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.582$  For example, I remember Jeremy Mueller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:23:34.582 --> 00:23:36.208 who's like one of my favorite

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:23:36.208 --> 00:23:37.160 educators here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.760$  He he's really big on.

 $00:23:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.566$  Tell me exactly what the like

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:40.566 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.600$  presentation is first and then go after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.051$  Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:23:43.051 --> 00:23:43.953 So like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:43.953 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.560$  this is like a cute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.248$  this is acute weakness in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:50.248 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.040$  setting of like whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.197$  like symptoms and features are coming right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.608$  And that can be or this is like

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:56.608 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.520$  a mono neuropathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.920$  polyneuropathy And having that be

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:23:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.920$  the lead and then going from there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.930$  just tell your learner so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.985$  they Canmore plug and chug their

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:04.985 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.295$  information as opposed to having that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:07.295 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.719$  like germane load of figuring it out,

00:24:09.720 --> 00:24:10.352 prioritizing differential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:10.352 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.880$  y'all are all pros at all of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.480$  frankly, but definitely for differential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:24:14.480 --> 00:24:15.800 But just so I can tell you this

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:24:15.800 --> 00:24:17.277 is what I do with these workshops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.105$  to be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:18.105 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.030$  I just like tell the like teachers

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:20.089 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.183$  what they're doing and they're like

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:22.183 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.936$  what's what tools they're already

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:23.936 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.987$  using in their toolbox so they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:24:25.987 --> 00:24:28.680 use them in the future more confidently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.159$  But the scaffolds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:24:30.159 --> 00:24:33.117 like we mentioned from Carol earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:24:33.120 --> 00:24:34.890 pneumonic schemas can be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.164$  good for increasing just the number

 $00:24:37.164 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.119$  of diagnosis that are considering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00{:}24{:}39.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.521$  The biggest one I use when I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:41.521 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.879$  on the boards is pivot points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.528$  So pivot points means what a part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:46.528 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.916$  the case really LED you one way or the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.080$  That's what can be really best

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

 $00:24:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.027$  modelled and that can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:24:54.027 --> 00:24:56.607 help you discern how fleshed out

NOTE Confidence: 0.2584031

00:24:56.607 --> 00:24:57.897 your learner's illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:24:57.968 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.380$  scripts are. And for independent learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.678$  There are a bunch of resources here as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.591$  For example, one that I feel like

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}25{:}05.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.415$  there's a Pediatrics podcast I heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:07.415 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.995$  about recently that's really good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:25:09.000 --> 00:25:11.624 I think it's called the Crib Ciders as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:11.624 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.477$  but a lot of fun resources to help your

00:25:14.477 --> 00:25:17.866 learners learn really easily. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}25{:}17.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.598$  Teaching strategies for management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.568$  Again, this is my area where

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:21.568 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.160$  I get really jazzed up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.254$  But the teaching strategies that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:26.254 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.339$  of most when it comes to management

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:25:29.339 --> 00:25:31.532 is providing a management script,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:31.532 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.747$  even if it's suggesting what

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:33.747 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.120$  general categories you can think of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.600$  So for example, like today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}25{:}38.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.008$  this morning I was rounding with my res

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:40.008 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.596$  and we were really stuck on a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:25:41.600 --> 00:25:42.236 So I was like, all right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.118$  like what else can we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:25:44.120 --> 00:25:46.479 Can you think of any labs imagings,

 $00:25:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.468$  do we need help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}25{:}47.468 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}25{:}49.800$  do we need to call somebody or monitoring?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.568$  Is there any way we can follow up

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:52.568 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.145$  information And just that helped us

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:54.145 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.971$  figure out what a few other interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:55.971 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.480$  that we might want it to do as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:25:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.476$  I found that's particularly helpful with more

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.904$  with learners on the more like student range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}26{:}05.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.520$  But even early fellows can certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:26:08.598 --> 00:26:11.387 find help with that specific tier field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:11.387 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.668$  the threshold factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:12.668 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.667$  This is when things get really nuanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:26:15.667 --> 00:26:18.515 about why or why not to do something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.850$  I'll really work through my

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.914$  learners to say like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.636$  what is it about this case,

 $00:26:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.900$  especially when it's tough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.475$  When there is that indecisiveness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.478$  what is it that matters most?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.957$  Is it how sick this patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:28.957 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.256$  that's going to determine whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:30.256 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.796$  or not we choose antibiotics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.432$  Is it just this patient's goals

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:33.432 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.548$  of care when we decide whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:26:35.548 --> 00:26:37.480 not we want to use chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:26:37.480 --> 00:26:40.294 really figuring out what is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:40.294 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.123$  that makes us decide one way

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:43.123 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.679$  or the other about a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}26{:}45.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}26{:}46.765$  Another thing I'll say because

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}26{:}46.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.390$  I see a lot of specialists on

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.095$  the call that can be helpful,

 $00:26:50.095 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.075$  particularly especially with learners

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}26{:}52.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55.062$  who might not necessarily be on

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:55.062 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.653$  that rotation initially, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:26:56.653 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.677$  Like you have a general resident on like

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:26:58.677 --> 00:27:00.834 palm consults like Penina or like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}27{:}00.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03.675$  a student on cards consults with Ruchika.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:27:03.680 --> 00:27:06.520 I think just saying, hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.560$  before you start this rotation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}27{:}08.560 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}27{:}11.020$  you really need to get down

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.120$  at like like acute cough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:27:14.120 --> 00:27:14.880 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.280$  Or you know narrow complex tachycardia

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.350$  come up with different things to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.746$  consider at least in a plan before

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:23.746 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.276$  you even start the rotation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.736$  I still do that to some degrees

00:27:26.736 --> 00:27:28.164 before I'm on my rapid response

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:27:28.164 --> 00:27:29.914 senior before I enter the step down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.973$  I'm like alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

00:27:30.973 --> 00:27:34.505 like what do I do in acute like hypoxemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:34.505 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.880$  respiratory failure or in hypernatremia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.024$  When the Ed calls me I'll like give

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:39.024 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.238$  them like some suggestions initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.160$  so giving an initial like framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.000$  So again it makes it more multiple choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.560$  All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.960$  And I have a few more cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.860$  I've talked a bunch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00{:}27{:}49.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.656$  I'm starting to get winded so I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.3937301

 $00:27:51.656 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.240$  to need your help as we go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:27:53.289 --> 00:27:54.904 these as well. I've tried two times,

00:27:54.904 --> 00:27:57.009 but I I think we're warmed up now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}27{:}57.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.439$  to have some more participation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:27:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.344$  All right, case one, so remember we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:00.344 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.439$  have our four steps data collection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.080$  problem representation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.360$  differential diagnosis and management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}28{:}07.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.848$  I'm actually end up with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:08.848 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.840$  couple abdominal pain cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.344$  These are from clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:11.344 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.879$  But I want you all to read over this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.700$  I'll read it as well because I know some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:17.766 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.516$  you all are on your phone and in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}28{:}20.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.290$  I want you to tell me which one of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:28:24.386 --> 00:28:27.016 four steps Data problem representation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:28:27.016 --> 00:28:28.840 Differential and management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.017$  Where you think this learner is struggling

 $00:28:31.017 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.043$  most or where you would consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}28{:}33.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.113$  doing some teaching for this learner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:28:35.120 --> 00:28:36.320 All right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:28:36.320 --> 00:28:38.720 deep breath getting my pretending

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.520$  I'm a resident or student right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:28:41.520 --> 00:28:42.002 All right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:42.002 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.930$  we have a 17 year old girl who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:28:43.991 --> 00:28:46.378 reports A few days ago she started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:46.378 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.825$  experiencing sharp pain localized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:28:47.825 --> 00:28:49.635 to her left lower quadrant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}28{:}49.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.360$  The pain rates as intermittent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.080$  lasts for a few minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}28{:}53.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.544$  She's had associated nausea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:54.544 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.240$  but decide denies any fever or chills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:28:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.210$  She doesn't have any past

 $00:28:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.766$  medical history of note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}29{:}00.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.447$  She's a junior in high school and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:29:03.447 --> 00:29:05.997 she denies any substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:29:06.000 --> 00:29:08.000 Her vital signs were notable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:29:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.199$  for blood pressure 116 / 82,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:29:10.199 --> 00:29:13.480 heart rate 80, respiratory rate 18,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:29:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.340$  oxygen saturation 99% on room air.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:29:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.015$  When I saw her, she was uncomfortable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}29{:}19.015 \to 00{:}29{:}22.111$  Heart rate was regular, lungs were clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:29:22.111 --> 00:29:23.859 There is moderate tenderness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:29:23.859 --> 00:29:26.360 in that left lower quadrant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:29:26.360 --> 00:29:29.284 but no guarding rigidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}29{:}29{:}29{:}32{:}492$  no CVA tenderness and otherwise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:29:32.492 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.516$  Her exam was unremarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:29:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.529$  So I'd say this is a previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:29:36.529 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.972$  healthy 17 year old girl who presents

 $00:29:38.972 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.867$  with acute left lower quadrant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}29{:}40.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42.801$  abdominal pain associated with nausea

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}29{:}42.801 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45.039$  found to be in moderate distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:29:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.704$  Most likely this is caused by a UT I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:29:47.704 --> 00:29:50.828 I looked at urinalysis, urine culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:29:50.828 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.598$  CBC, and maybe start keflex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:29:53.600 --> 00:29:56.036 We could consider an ultrasound or CT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:29:56.040 --> 00:29:59.638 abdomen and pelvis if the symptoms persist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:29:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.996$  so bear with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:30:00.996 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.030$  I'm just a humble internist trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}30{:}03.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.158$  to design cases for Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:30:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.986$  but if you got this presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00{:}30{:}07.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.800$  in clinic regardless of specialty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:30:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.356$  where do you think this learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

 $00:30:13.356 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.634$  is struggling most?

00:30:14.640 --> 00:30:16.674 Again, feel free to throw it in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8106238

00:30:16.680 --> 00:30:17.920 Or I mean yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00{:}30{:}23.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.913$  Also, So poor Panina doesn't have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:24.913 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.778$  keep answering all of the questions right

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.020$  now. Although fantastic educator

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.420$  and we would love to hear from her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.338$  Well, I'm bound to be really wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.618$  so I don't want to embarrass

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00{:}30{:}33.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.660$  myself. Too bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.790$  Please. That's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00{:}30{:}36.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.015$  Participation will be can help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.319$  Panina. That's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00{:}30{:}39.319 --> 00{:}30{:}42.300$  And me too. I beat it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.180$  Where is this learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.059$  struggling the most?

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.340$  Can you go back? One slide?

 $00:30:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.180$  Just just a reminder of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.300$  four categories. Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.51862043

 $00:30:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.100$  Of course. There you go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:30:53.400 --> 00:30:55.960 Thank you. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:30:55.960 \dashrightarrow 00:30:58.720$  Carol puts in differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:30:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.120$  Catherine puts in data collection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:31:02.120 --> 00:31:04.000 And for what it's worth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.848$  all of you know there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:05.848 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.080$  so many different options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}31{:}07.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08.522$  You could pick any four of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}31{:}08.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.160$  steps and your learner would be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.520$  wow, that's an amazing teacher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:31:12.520 --> 00:31:14.655 But if you want to get your

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:31:14.655 --> 00:31:16.400 most bang for your buck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.836$  I would agree with the chat,

00:31:18.840 --> 00:31:21.300 Catherine, Carol and Rushka about and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}31{:}21.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.448$  Ada about both data collection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}31{:}24.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.358$  differential diagnosis for this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.358$  So going back to this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.662$  so data collection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:30.662 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.398$  incomplete history and physical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}31{:}32.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.128$  and there's some strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:33.128 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.360$  that we could do to teach it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}31{:}34.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.256$  But again, so this patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:31:36.256 --> 00:31:37.880 coming in with abdominal pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.066$  why differential?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:40.066 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.460$  But you know they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:31:42.460 --> 00:31:43.760 don't mention much about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}31{:}43.760 --> 00{:}31{:}44.532 \ {\rm For \ example},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:44.532 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.343$  if this is a UTI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:31:46.343 --> 00:31:48.184 you didn't tell us you you told

 $00:31:48.184 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.335$  us that there are any fevers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:50.335 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.372$  chills when she's on history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:31:52.372 --> 00:31:54.817 But any dysuria hematuria, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:31:54.817 --> 00:31:56.873 That'd be nice to know in the physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:56.873 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.840$  exam missing just like a temperature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:31:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.796$  that might be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:31:59.796 --> 00:32:01.230 I'm glad you did a you

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:01.285 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.717$  checked for CPA tenderness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.395$  But just information that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}32{:}04.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06.070$  be associated with that specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:06.122 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.638$  diagnosis and abdominal pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.288$  There's like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}32{:}09.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12.077$  plenty of must not miss diagnosis as

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:12.077 --> 00:32:14.573 well to be including in there too, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:14.573 --> 00:32:17.296 Some that are more like GI pathology,

00:32:17.296 --> 00:32:19.436 some that are even gynecological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.039$  Speaking of history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:21.039 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.732$  getting more about what is her like

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:23.732 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.000$  sexual history as well would be important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.960$  So for those different steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:27.960 --> 00:32:29.319 So First off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:29.319 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.678$  for data collection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:30.680 --> 00:32:32.004 some teaching strategies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:32.004 --> 00:32:32.997 might be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:33.000 --> 00:32:35.835 Kind of reinforcing what we talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}32{:}35.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.020$  before observing their physical exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.040$  Probably not as important in this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.080$  but maybe doing like a hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.760$  driven interview.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:43.760 --> 00:32:46.916 Sometimes I'll literally stop my my,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:46.920 --> 00:32:48.088 my modeling of interview.

00:32:48.088 --> 00:32:50.879 Be like now I'm gonna ask my UTI questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}32{:}50.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.008$ now I'm gonna ask my ovarian torsion

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:53.008 --> 00:32:55.274 questions and it doesn't have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:55.274 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.884$  that quite that prescriptive but

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:32:56.884 --> 00:32:58.929 even showing them how you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:32:58.929 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.594$  ask specific questions as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.880$  As far as the differential diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.160$  this is obviously very limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:33:04.160 --> 00:33:05.966 This is an interesting one because

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:33:05.966 --> 00:33:07.883 we don't know right what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:07.883 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.503$  differential diagnosis is right Like

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:09.503 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.693$  we just know what number one was

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}33{:}11.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.433$  but may be this learner had other

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.072$  diagnosis they were considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:33:15.072 --> 00:33:17.112 that's when that more open-ended

 $00:33:17.112 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.871$  questioning can be really helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:18.871 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.803$  like Penina was mentioning just saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:20.865 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.636$  like what else could be going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:33:22.640 --> 00:33:23.750 No, like UTI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.970$  that's should definitely be on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:33:25.970 --> 00:33:27.574 differential but or even numbering

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:27.574 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.814$  like what are two or three more

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:29.814 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.620$  diagnosis that could be going on

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}33{:}31.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33.369$  for with this patient even if

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:33.369 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.919$  you think they're less likely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00{:}33{:}34.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.461$  I'm just so you again you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:37.461 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.240$  what their strike zone is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.960$  Again for frameworks they're different

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.680$  frameworks that you could provide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.528$  This is a way to make it like

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

00:33:44.528 --> 00:33:45.900 more multiple choice like I've

 $00:33:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.036$  been saying as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.110$  So maybe they're just struggling

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.760$  to come up with the differential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.902$  right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3744299

 $00:33:49.902 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.038$  You ask them what else is going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

00:33:51.040 --> 00:33:52.702 They just stare at you blankly. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

00:33:52.702 --> 00:33:56.718 Can you think of any other infectious causes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:33:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.076$  Malignancy causes, vascular causes as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.522$  And there might not be them for

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:02.522 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.827$  every category and you can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00{:}34{:}03.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.117$  judicious about which ones you pick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00{:}34{:}05.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07.458$  But that way the learner can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00{:}34{:}07.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.501$  feel more ownership about their

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:09.501 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.704$  clinical reasoning, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:10.704 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.112$  They leave the encounter, feel like,

00:34:12.112 --> 00:34:14.160 hey, like I did like get some stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00{:}34{:}14.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.392$  right even though I need to work on it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.020$  which can be motivating for

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.316$  them in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.924$  So great job team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:20.924 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.849$  You are right on track as far as data

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:24.849 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.988$  collection and differential concerns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33648703

 $00:34:26.988 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.958$  So for case number 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:34:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.000$  All right, so this is a patient on the wart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:34:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.835$  So this is a 17 year old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}34{:}38.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41.264$  A female with extra sickle cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:34:41.264 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.880$  disease and exchange transfusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:34:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.020$  Twice in the past two years I had one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:34:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.278$  the Pediatrics fellows give me this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:34:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.720$  It makes it way too complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:34:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.080$  I don't know what's going on in this.

00:34:50.080 --> 00:34:52.400 Anyway, 3 days of fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:34:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.892$  Lightheadedness and feeling dehydrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:34:53.892 --> 00:34:56.719 Now with a 2 day history of cough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:34:56.720 --> 00:34:58.825 nausea, vomiting and upper abdominal

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:34:58.825 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.600$  pain radiating to the left shoulder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.108$  She was admitted yesterday to Bridgeport

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:04.108 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.120$  with worsening abdominal shoulder pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}35{:}06.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.880$  Refractory to Dilaudid PCA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.640$  So past medical history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:35:11.640 --> 00:35:12.570 Sickle cell disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}35{:}12.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14.120$  The disease with multiple crises.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:14.120 \dashrightarrow 00:35:16.300$  Baseline hemoglobin of nine Has

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}35{:}16.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.480$ a history of insulin resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.232$  had a cholecystectomy previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:20.232 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.984$  Takes ibuprofen and oxycodone

 $00:35:21.984 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.079$  for pain but has not filled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:24.080 \dashrightarrow 00:35:27.680$  Hydroxyurea and metformin smokes marijuana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.260$  Daily exam notable for a temperature

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.799$  of 39 four heart rate 107,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:35:32.800 --> 00:35:35.200 blood pressure 98 / 65,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.480$  respiratory over 26,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.582$  oxygen saturation of 97%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:40.582 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.514$  General to Kipnik with mild distress

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.604$  and scleral conjunctural Ichteros

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}35{:}48.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.040$  diminished breath sounds a sinus

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.280$  tachycardia On cardiac exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.738$  The abdomen was with no organomegaly

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:35:58.738 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.076$  but diffusely tender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.264$  I mean skin was notable for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:02.264 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.356$  pallor and jaundice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.952$  So on labs white blood cell count was 9,

 $00:36:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.452$  hemoglobin 5.7,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}36{:}07.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.436$  platelet 77 and neutrophil

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.080$  77% with retic count of 4%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:36:13.080 --> 00:36:14.480 Mild spot was positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.880$  total ability was 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.782$  but the CMP was otherwise normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:17.782 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.040$  and there was a normal lipase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:36:20.040 --> 00:36:23.811 So again in assessment we have a 17

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:23.811 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.568$  year old girl with a past medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}36{:}25.568 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}36{:}27.156$  history of sickle cell anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}36{:}27.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.866$  who presents with abdominal pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:36:28.866 --> 00:36:30.680 history also notable for fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.360$  light headedness and shoulder pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.760$  Most likely secondary to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.960$  splenic sequestration.

 $00:36:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.040$  As her variant hemoglobinopathy continues

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}36{:}39.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.876$  leads to continued risk of events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:36:40.880 --> 00:36:42.296 Pneumonia is also possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:42.296 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.480$  giving the fever to get me in tachycardia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.917$  less likely pancreatitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}36{:}46.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.312$  I'd probably give pain medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:49.312 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.160$  and start antibiotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.609$  So one thing I think is interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}36{:}52.609 \to 00{:}36{:}54.472$  as I buy time for you all to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:54.472 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.273$  this into the chat or feel free to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:36:56.273 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.473$  like unmute yourself about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:36:57.473 --> 00:37:00.084 you think is going on with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}37{:}00.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.516$  learner is that often time I do

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:03.516 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.540$  direct observations of faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:37:05.640 --> 00:37:08.848 and specialties outside of my my

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:08.848 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.800$  area of internal medicine.

 $00:37:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.312$  And I will say while you do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:13.312 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.283$  to have a some level of knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:16.283 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.639$  in these conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

00:37:17.640 --> 00:37:19.998 for the most part I feel like I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00{:}37{:}19.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22.792$  get a pretty decent sense of where

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:22.792 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.640$  a learner's clinical reasoning is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.118$  simply by how they present the information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.828$  That's why it can be helpful to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:28.828 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.199$  have frameworks to some degree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.680$  So hopefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6194185

 $00:37:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.400$  even though this

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:37:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.530$  a little bit more specific of a case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00{:}37{:}39.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41.442$ y'all might be able to share where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

00:37:41.442 --> 00:37:43.489 think this learner is struggling the most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:37:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.807$  even if you might not necessarily know

 $00:37:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.970$  how you would teach it given the feel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00{:}37{:}48.970 --> 00{:}37{:}50.368$  So what do you think is

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:37:50.368 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.810$  going on with this learner?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:37:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.850$  Where are they struggling?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:37:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.903$  Yeah, all four. I agree there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:01.903 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.640$  a lot to unpack in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

00:38:04.640 --> 00:38:07.232 One thing I'll I'll say with that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.800$  and everybody's different and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.140$  sometimes you just absolutely need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:11.201 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.236$  clarify information from a learner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00{:}38{:}13.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.431$  But I will say usually I'll wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:15.431 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.564$  until the end of a presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:17.564 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.434$  before I make any comment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.785$  just because there could be so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:21.785 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.389$  different things along the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:23.389 \dashrightarrow 00:38:25.272$  that you might want to talk about.

00:38:25.280 --> 00:38:27.518 And if you have limited time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

00:38:27.520 --> 00:38:29.205 sometimes you can only pick

NOTE Confidence: 0.3283914

 $00:38:29.205 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.553$  like certain specific things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096 00:38:37.950 --> 00:38:38.030 All NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:38:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.416$  right, so we have votes for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}38{:}44.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.308$  categories, anything in specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:38:46.310 --> 00:38:48.487 If you saw this learner what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:38:48.487 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.030$  would consider working with for them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:38:52.190 --> 00:38:54.406 So a couple of things that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}38{:}54.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.229$  I I feel like would I'd want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:38:57.229 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.146$  work with this learner on one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:00.146 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.000$  their problem representation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:02.000 \dashrightarrow 00:39:04.576$  So this is a very common phenotype of

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:04.576 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.217$  problem representation that we'll get

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:39:06.217 --> 00:39:08.089 in our learners where they essentially

00:39:08.089 --> 00:39:09.799 just repeat the history right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.676$  How do they distinguish signal from noise?

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.598$  We don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:39:12.598 --> 00:39:14.384 And it's like it's really frustrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:14.384 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.824$  because it makes the presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:15.824 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.760$  a lot longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:39:16.760 --> 00:39:18.377 But it is important that they need

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:39:18.377 --> 00:39:20.288 to find ways to synthesize it, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}39{:}20.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22.713$  And so, you know, sometimes in notes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:22.713 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.197$  I like limit learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}39{:}24.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.760$  I mean, obviously we have like

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.800$  really complex patients sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:39:26.800 --> 00:39:27.472 But all right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:27.472 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.729$  you tell me what are like the two or

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:29.729 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.637$  three most important comorbidities we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.168$  All right.

00:39:32.168 --> 00:39:34.280 Like if you have to pick two symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.015$  what would the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:39:35.015 --> 00:39:35.995 what would you include,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.449$  what are the two labs you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:37.449 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.445$  want to or how would you categorize

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:39.445 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.750$  this patient's timing? Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:39:40.750 --> 00:39:42.920 It's abdominal pain, like is it acute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:39:42.920 --> 00:39:44.576 is it sub acute, chronic, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:39:44.576 --> 00:39:46.080 Those are whole different

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.360$  differentials for us as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.342$  So that would be one thing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}39{:}51.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}53.274$  problem representation as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:53.274 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.313$  The other one is management, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:56.313 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.078$  And now to be fair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:39:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.026$  it's like I don't know how many

 $00:40:00.026 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.561$  learners you would have who

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:01.561 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.493$  would actually just say give pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:03.493 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.199$  medications and start antibiotics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.600$  maybe an early clerk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.700$  But still y'all know that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:08.775 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.994$  are plenty of times that we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:10.994 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.879$  our learners to specify more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.560$  And so that's when it can be helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}40{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.390$  to give them a prompt like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:40:16.390 --> 00:40:18.280 management script template that I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}40{:}18.280 \to 00{:}40{:}18.810$  Like, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:40:18.810 --> 00:40:20.400 you don't have to say everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.437$  but any tests you want to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.652$  any diagnostic tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:40:23.652 --> 00:40:26.076 Any medications we want to give,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:40:26.080 --> 00:40:27.880 when should we follow up with this patient,

 $00:40:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.160$  right or how long do we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:40:30.160 --> 00:40:32.156 wait until we get the next BMP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.760$  So asking for a little bit more clarity

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:40:34.760 --> 00:40:37.439 around the management can lead to really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}40{:}37.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39.120$  really helpful discussions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:40:39.120 --> 00:40:40.240 And honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:40:40.240 --> 00:40:42.837 that's one of the biggest parts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.036$  our our clinical reasoning on rounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.320$  right is really helping our learners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.280$  especially the more senior learners

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.236$  hone their testing and treatment thresholds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.564$  For those early learners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}40{:}52.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.930$  it's just figuring out what you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:40:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.844$  do and for more senior learners

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:40:56.844 --> 00:40:58.735 it's figuring out why you should

 $00:40:58.735 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.475$  do one thing versus the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:41:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.720$  knowing that there isn't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:41:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.920$  a single right answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:41:05.920 --> 00:41:07.484 So with that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:41:07.484 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.800$  that's a that's a wrap for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}41{:}08.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.960$  I wanted to give you all some break.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:41:10.960 --> 00:41:13.700 I know again that this is a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:41:13.700 --> 00:41:14.960 busy time for Y'all in between,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}41{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.920$  but I'm around for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:41:16.920 --> 00:41:18.950 But again to summarize today we talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}41{:}18.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.558$  about a few things in clinical reasoning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5210709600:41:21.560 --> 00:41:21.780 One,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:41:21.780 --> 00:41:23.320 we talked about why we do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:41:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.720$  which is diagnostic error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:41:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.120$  We gave some language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:41:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.760$  we talked about some theories as

 $00:41:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.279$  well and really mentioned about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00{:}41{:}31.280 \to 00{:}41{:}32.560$  situativity theory, the context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

00:41:32.560 --> 00:41:34.480 I I probably didn't talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:41:34.534 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.278$  that as much as we should but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.52107096

 $00:41:36.278 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.714$  know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:41:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.044$  clinical reasoning is different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

00:41:38.044 --> 00:41:39.368 in different environments, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00{:}41{:}39.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41.336$ a busy clinic versus having like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:41:41.336 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.687$  all the time in the world if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

00:41:43.687 --> 00:41:45.680 on like a slow day of rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00{:}41{:}45.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47.570$  We talked about strategies to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:41:47.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.140$  and teach clinical reasoning really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

00:41:49.140 --> 00:41:50.676 based off of those four steps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:41:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.700$  data collection, problem representation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

00:41:52.700 --> 00:41:54.720 differential diagnosis and management,

 $00:41:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.280$  and broad categories of teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

00:41:57.280 --> 00:41:59.110 open-ended frameworks, modeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00{:}41{:}59.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01.550$  and providing some directed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:42:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.360$  learning to do afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:42:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.520$  And then we had a few fun examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00{:}42{:}06.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08.792$  a lot of which I'm not completely sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00{:}42{:}08.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.758$  I knew as a general adult internist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:42:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.420$  So yeah, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:42:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.520$  I have some questions here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:42:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.356$  Feel free to throw them in the chat if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:42:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.800$  or if you are ready to head out as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

00:42:20.800 --> 00:42:22.144 I just want to remind everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

 $00:42:22.144 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.560$  what the code is for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8244934

00:42:23.560 --> 00:42:27.080 It's 42047 for CME credit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

00:42:29.200 --> 00:42:32.440 but for Carol, as Carol asks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.392$  brings a great point.

00:42:33.392 --> 00:42:35.148 I don't know if that's a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:35.148 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.493$  or just like something we

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

00:42:36.493 --> 00:42:38.200 could work on for management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

00:42:38.200 --> 00:42:40.360 but I think this triage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.920$  this disposition is huge actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

00:42:42.920 --> 00:42:43.694 So particularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:43.694 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.016$  we know that diagnostic errors happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

00:42:46.016 --> 00:42:48.480 most in these transitions of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.080$  So really making the decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.400$  can this patient go home?

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.120$  Do they need to go to the Ed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.118$  Or if they're in the Ed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.480$  should they like, be admitted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.856$  Should they go home or should we like wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:42:59.856 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.400$  a little bit longer while they're here?

 $00:43:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.440$  That can be really helpful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:43:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.924$  particularly to model with our learners

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:43:05.924 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.360$  to unpacking why that might be so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:43:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.680$  OK, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

 $00:43:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.538$  Thanks so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49400863

00:43:12.538 --> 00:43:13.396 Sorry, can I

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.864$  ask a great question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:14.864 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.880$  So for the four different categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00{:}43{:}16.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.798$  of reasoning that you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

00:43:18.800 --> 00:43:20.720 do you feel I almost feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.000$  like they are interrelated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.260$  For example, if the problem is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

00:43:23.260 --> 00:43:24.798 not and you might have said this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00{:}43{:}24.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.240$  I might have missed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.700$  beginning of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:27.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.388$  But is that is that true?

00:43:29.388 --> 00:43:30.760 Like how I would think about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00{:}43{:}30.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.875$  Like I would think like if the if

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:32.875 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.850$  the learner is struggling with

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.234$  the problem representation not

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:36.234 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.313$  he won't he or she won't come

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:38.313 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.027$  up with the right differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:40.027 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.055$  and hence that will affect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5308898

 $00:43:42.119 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.519$  next steps of management

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00{:}43{:}43.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45.978$  exactly exactly. All of this is intertwined

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:43:45.978 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.436$  and as we've like developed like the side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:43:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.880$  So I will admit I'm a splitter and not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:43:50.942 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.329$  lumper and my colleagues and Co researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00{:}43{:}53.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56.120$  in the field feel like differently about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:43:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.968$  But I think part of why I do try and split

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:43:58.968 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.728$  sometimes is because then at least you have

00:44:01.728 --> 00:44:04.760 a better idea of what you can teach, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.360$  Because then if you're teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.036$  like generally it can just be hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.040$  there can just be so much to cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

00:44:10.040 --> 00:44:11.400 But you are absolutely right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.080$  like combine the steps right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

00:44:13.080 --> 00:44:15.224 Hypothesis driven physical examination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

00:44:15.224 --> 00:44:17.200 right? You need a differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.360$  to know what like to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.484$  what questions to ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

00:44:21.484 --> 00:44:23.077 Or for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00{:}44{:}23.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.522$  people say a problem representation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00{:}44{:}25.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.800$  leads towards a differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

00:44:27.800 --> 00:44:29.600 They pick specific information, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

00:44:29.600 --> 00:44:32.408 Because they want you to think of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

00:44:32.408 --> 00:44:34.880 diagnosis and I will say in like that model,

 $00:44:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.848$  like a lot of a lot of researchers or

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00{:}44{:}39.848 \to 00{:}44{:}43.639$  like educators will will post it that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:43.639 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.634$  hypothesis generation actually is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:45.634 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.278$  first step that that's where you start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.555$  And from there you have data collection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.720$  So obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.040$  they definitely blend together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00{:}44{:}54.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}56.200$  But if it can be helpful just to pick

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:44:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.285$  like 1 area specifically where you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00{:}44{:}58.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00.800$  to focus at least for a given encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57738435

 $00:45:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.400$  Does that make sense?

NOTE Confidence: 0.66050357

 $00:45:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.064$  Yes. Got it. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66050357

 $00{:}45{:}03.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.360$  that's what I was trying to like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66050357

 $00:45:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.638$  In my mind, trying to differentiate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66050357

 $00:45:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.327$  It makes sense to pick one to

 $00:45:07.327 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.844$  do an intervention in a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.66050357

 $00:45:08.844 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.320$  session with this with a learner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66050357

00:45:10.320 --> 00:45:11.800 but OK, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.580$  And I will say a lot of you teach medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:15.629 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.546$  students or I think they even do this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:17.546 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.196$  graduate medical education with the Epas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.354$  Like all of these qualities because

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:21.354 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.799$  map out to the Epas as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.726$  Like if you go from like a level 1 to a level

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

00:45:26.726 --> 00:45:29.078 5 from like pre entrustible to entrustible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00{:}45{:}29.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.837$  if you like read the fine print,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.880$  they essentially include all of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.535$  They might not say problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:34.535 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.859$  representation because that's probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:35.859 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.764$  too dense of lingo when they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:37.764 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.360$  developing these at the double AMC,

 $00:45:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.800$  but all of these map out to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00{:}45{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.352$  But at least it's a little bit easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:42.352 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.876$  than saying like I think this learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

00:45:43.876 --> 00:45:45.296 has a good clinical reasoning or bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

00:45:45.296 --> 00:45:46.636 or I trust them or I don't at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:46.636 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.008$  you can like teach them a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.4498813

 $00:45:48.008 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.360$  bit more specifically that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00{:}45{:}51.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.408$  And in terms of promoting hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00{:}45{:}54.408 \to 00{:}45{:}56.760$  driven history taking and physical exams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00{:}45{:}56.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.838$  sometimes I think if they're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

00:45:59.838 --> 00:46:02.042 into a room like an outpatient to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00:46:02.042 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.016$  a patient with a certain chief concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00{:}46{:}04.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.292$  like right off the bat, I'll say to them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00:46:06.292 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.160$  what are you thinking right now just

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00:46:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.197$  hearing that age and that chief concern,

 $00:46:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.636$  what are some things you're thinking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

00:46:12.640 --> 00:46:15.234 And then that helps them say, oh, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

00:46:15.234 --> 00:46:17.880 well I'm going to go in and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

00:46:17.880 --> 00:46:19.220 just this, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00:46:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.794$  ask them questions about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00:46:20.794 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.198$  that or the other because I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00:46:22.198 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.160$  already thinking of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00:46:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.315$  So that's the other thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.46520224

 $00{:}46{:}25.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.120$  that's sometimes is helpful to

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

00:46:27.280 --> 00:46:28.256 them. That's great, Carol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00:46:28.256 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.720$  and I do that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00:46:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.730 \text{ I can't say enough that clinical}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00{:}46{:}31.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.836$  reasoning is iterative and I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00{:}46{:}33.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.240$  think it necessarily promotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00:46:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.652$  premature closure and anchoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

00:46:36.652 --> 00:46:39.040 In fact, I think if you keep learners

 $00:46:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.496$  broad earlier, it can be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00{:}46{:}40.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}41.840$  But asking them to start thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

00:46:41.840 --> 00:46:43.484 OK, like what diagnosis he's heading

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00:46:43.484 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.886$  into this patient with shortness

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00:46:44.886 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.434$  of breath do you think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

00:46:46.440 --> 00:46:47.893 because then they'll know like, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00:46:47.893 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.237$  I want to ask questions about PE or

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00:46:50.237 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.800$  want to ask questions about pneumonia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00:46:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.142$  That's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74022394

 $00:46:53.142 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.880$  I love that you do that with your learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

 $00{:}47{:}07.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.734$  Any other tips and tricks from

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

 $00:47:09.734 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.998$  the group that you want to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

 $00{:}47{:}12.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.040$  stuff that Y'all are doing already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

 $00:47:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.440$  which I'm sure is terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

00:47:17.680 --> 00:47:19.437 All right, well, I'll take my leave.

 $00:47:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.500$  Thank you so much for having me. Panina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

 $00{:}47{:}21.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.000$  Thank you every body for joining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

 $00:47:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.816$  It was a blast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

 $00:47:24.816 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.900$  And feel free to reach out if you ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

 $00:47:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.520$  want to talk about clinical reasoning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30947968

 $00:47:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.996$  It's my favorite thing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47928426

 $00:47:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.706$  Thank you so much for joining

NOTE Confidence: 0.47928426

 $00:47:32.706 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.942$  us in Pediatrics, especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.47928426

00:47:33.942 --> 00:47:35.754 since I know you're on service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47928426

 $00:47:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.128$  So thanks so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47928426

 $00:47:37.128 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.838$  We all really appreciated it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47928426

 $00:47:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.559$  Bye all. Bye.