WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:55:33" NOTE recognizability:0.927

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.94125994

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.424$ Hi, everyone. Thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.94125994

 $00:00:02.424 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.606$ for joining us today. I'm Tiffany,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94125994

 $00{:}00{:}04.606 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06.587$ I'm a fourth year PhD student and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94125994

 $00:00:06.587 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.351$ the Pathology Grand Round Student

NOTE Confidence: 0.94125994

 $00:00:08.351 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.720$ Committee is very excited to have Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94125994

 $00:00:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.116$ Jennifer Jones here with us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94125994

 $00:00:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.877$ It's not. Maybe I'll just use the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:00:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.640$ Hello.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:00:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.144$ Hello. Great. Okay, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:00:27.144 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.783$ Thank you again for joining us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:00:29.790 --> 00:00:31.944 The Pathology Grand Rand Student Committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:00:31.944 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.469$ is really excited to host Doctor Jones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:00:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.518$ Doctor Jones received her

00:00:36.518 --> 00:00:38.566 Bachelor's at Princeton University

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:00:38.566 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.562$ and her MD&PHD at Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:00:40.562 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.234$ She also spends a few years at Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:00:43.234 --> 00:00:46.202 and is currently at the National Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:00:46.202 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.074$ the NCI and the CCR at NIH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:00:50.074 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.510$ And she is also the head of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}00{:}53.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.849$ Translational Nanobiology section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:00:56.850 --> 00:00:59.135 Currently, her lab does wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}00{:}59.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.415$ work on extracellular vesicles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:01:01.415 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.195$ identifying the different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}01{:}03.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.420$ of vesicles secreted by distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}01{:}05.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.202$ tumor types and analyzing how they

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}01{:}08.202 --> 00{:}01{:}10.010$ affect downstream immune pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}01{:}10.010 --> 00{:}01{:}12.056$ She is also working on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:01:12.056 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.420$ development of the characterization

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:01:13.483 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.133$ and analysis of these extracellular

 $00{:}01{:}15.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.475$ vesicles and we are very excited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:01:17.475 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.050$ hear more about her research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:01:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.570$ So please join me in welcoming Doctor Jones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.950$ So thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.636$ In one of the chats that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

00:01:31.636 --> 00:01:33.088 had with one of your faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

00:01:33.088 --> 00:01:34.430 members earlier this morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.974$ the comment was made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:35.974 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.909$ This all sounds kind of like a mess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.214$ and actually it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:40.214 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.871$ And what I'm going to show you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:42.871 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.055$ what we're going to try to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:45.055 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.632$ sense of the mess that is all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:47.632 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.316$ the extracellular structures and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:49.316 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.869$ complexes and what they can tell us.

 $00:01:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.870$ So there is a book called The Commotion

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:01:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.106$ in the Blood that is a bit of a back

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

00:02:02.106 --> 00:02:05.069 story of of how I got started with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:02:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.990$ First, these are the folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:02:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.046$ who have done the the legwork,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:02:09.046 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.108$ the hard work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:02:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.735$ the experiments behind these things

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:02:11.735 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.971$ that I'm going to show you and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00{:}02{:}13.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.257$ So they really deserve all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:02:16.257 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.859$ credit for for getting this done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:02:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.528$ And I hope I'll show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.914721334

 $00:02:20.528 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.860$ collectively where this ends up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137168777778

00:02:24.100 --> 00:02:25.876 I'm a radiation oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371687777778

 $00:02:25.876 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.060$ so I just wanted to loop you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371687777778

 $00:02:29.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.980$ on my perspective and interest on

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00{:}02{:}33.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.564$ these problems.

 $00:02:34.564 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.756$ There's a a phenomenon called abscopal

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00:02:37.756 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.500$ immune responses where you radiate one

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00:02:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.100$ side of a tumor and the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00:02:44.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.500$ Causes other sites to regress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00{:}02{:}46.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.804$ We have had some clinical trials at at

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

00:02:49.804 --> 00:02:52.900 NIH looking at this and as you know with

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00:02:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.500$ immunotherapy it doesn't always work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00:02:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.958$ In fact, it often doesn't work

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

00:02:55.958 --> 00:02:57.857 as well as you might want it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00{:}02{:}57.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.580$ and the abscope will response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00:02:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.340$ The combination of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

 $00{:}03{:}01.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.832$ and immunotherapy works even

NOTE Confidence: 0.91493164

00:03:02.832 --> 00:03:04.056 less often than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.728$ So I want to find tools that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:08.728 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.179$ help me unpack and understand.

 $00:03:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.688$ What's happening when those

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:03:12.688 --> 00:03:14.573 immune responses are going right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.155$ what we need to do to drive

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:16.155 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.820$ them in the right direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.374$ This is the structure of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.300$ I'm going to talk about not just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.500$ motivations that they just went through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.810$ but also the basics about extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}03{:}24.810 \to 00{:}03{:}26.780$ vesicles and other extracellular things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:03:26.780 --> 00:03:28.092 The technology development we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:28.092 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.060$ been doing to crack the nut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.560$ Some basics discoveries that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:03:31.560 --> 00:03:33.407 made as we're beginning to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:03:33.407 --> 00:03:35.177 start to leverage these tools now

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.650$ and some conclusions that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.660$ are not really what we expected,

 $00:03:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.756$ but where we're going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:03:39.756 --> 00:03:42.419 So for those of you who haven't seen it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.004$ you may or may not have a book

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:03:44.004 --> 00:03:46.041 or two in your past that sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:46.041 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.686$ stoked your interest in the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:47.686 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.294$ that you went into in science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.340$ This was a book in the 90s about

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}03{:}52.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.608$ tumor immunology in the early days and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:03:54.608 --> 00:03:56.817 Cole's Toxin and all of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.804$ And that really led me to want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:03:59.804 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.416$ follow a path of studying these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667 00:04:01.420 --> 00:04:03.660 Overall, NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.585$ it's all about cells in the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:05.585 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.845$ system of the cells in the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:07.845 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.138$ and the way that that book frames it.

 $00:04:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.736$ So there's more in our blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:04:11.740 --> 00:04:13.340 than just the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.740$ There is an assortment of vesicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.400$ things with lipid bilayers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.060$ various cargo released in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:19.112 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.420$ ways from different cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.400$ They're also obviously lipoproteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.025$ They're also ribonuclear proteins complexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}04{:}25.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.250$ They're also classes of extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.830$ things called exomeres and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}04{:}30.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.461$ supermeres isolated in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:33.461 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.300$ ways from these broadly speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.200$ I'm going to focus on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.082$ The vesicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:04:40.082 --> 00:04:44.171 with a caveat that I can't guarantee to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:04:44.171 --> 00:04:47.517 with the technology that anybody is using,

00:04:47.520 --> 00:04:49.746 that every single vesicle is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}04{:}49.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52.344$ vesicle and not just a particle that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:52.344 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.432$ happens to have the same density,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.480$ size, or other properties, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.880$ This is this is where we are with the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:04:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.971$ So Ev's are heterogeneous exosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:05:02.971 --> 00:05:06.696 Perche tends to be less than 150 nanometers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}05{:}06.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.236$ They have protein surface markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:05:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.400$ They have nucleic acid cargo

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:05:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.280$ inside and sometimes outside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:05:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.160$ stuck to the surface,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:05:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.434$ and there's widespread interest in them

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}05{:}17.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.950$ from tumors and other types of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}05{:}19.950 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}05{:}22.236$ People are interested in them because

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:05:22.236 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.510$ everybody who's interested in some tissue,

 $00:05:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.198$ some disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}05{:}25.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.606$ some something wants to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:05:27.606 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.348$ vesicles coming from that tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93857636666666700:05:29.348 --> 00:05:30.026 that disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:05:30.026 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.622$ And so it's really a great framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00{:}05{:}32.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.550$ for potentially doing systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

00:05:34.550 --> 00:05:37.190 systems biology if we could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576366666667

 $00:05:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.309$ organized and structured about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742663636364

 $00{:}05{:}41.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.767$ So I want you to remember this

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742663636364

00:05:43.767 --> 00:05:45.390 figure because it's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742663636364

 $00{:}05{:}45.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.642$ Strictly speaking exosomes come

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742663636364

 $00:05:47.642 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.894$ from multi vesicular bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742663636364

 $00{:}05{:}49.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.320$ In the cell and released into

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742663636364

 $00:05:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.060$ the extracellular space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742663636364

 $00:05:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.698$ other vessels are set from the surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742663636364

 $00:05:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.325$ Those might be called micro

 $00:05:58.325 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.300$ vessels or microparticles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742663636364

 $00{:}05{:}59.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.856$ But exosomes imply a certain Biogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.95232978

 $00:06:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.580$ in the liquid biopsy community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95232978

00:06:06.580 --> 00:06:07.900 So most of my clinical colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95232978

 $00:06:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.396$ when they do exosome studies or

NOTE Confidence: 0.95232978

00:06:10.396 --> 00:06:12.060 liquid biopsies for biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95232978

 $00:06:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.532$ they'll take a biofluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95232978

 $00:06:13.532 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.740$ they'll isolate the exosomes often with

NOTE Confidence: 0.95232978

 $00{:}06{:}15.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.186$ some kit and then they'll do some other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95232978

00:06:18.190 --> 00:06:20.230 Cargo assay RNA or DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95232978

 $00{:}06{:}20.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.426$ some sequencing to identify some biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:06:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.264$ The reason there's so much huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:06:28.264 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.870$ excitement about this is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:06:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.790$ robust consistency in the protocols

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:06:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.886$ and a useful payload in the readouts

 $00:06:39.886 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.426$ has had some big successes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00{:}06{:}42.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.094$ So Exosome diagnostics has

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:06:45.094 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.194$ prostate cancer assays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:06:47.194 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.844$ Which can help predict the the aggressiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:06:52.844 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.229$ and discriminate between high grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00{:}06{:}55.229 \rightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.907$ and low grade prostate cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:06:57.907 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.010$ indicate to a patient based on their PS:.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00{:}07{:}01.010 --> 00{:}07{:}03.523$ A and this test whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:03.523 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.770$ they need to get a biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.994$ This was the result of one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:08.994 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.127$ randomized control trials where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:11.127 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.610$ looked at this and showed the benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.809$ Of combining this test with standard of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.150$ There have been since 3 randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

00:07:21.150 --> 00:07:23.415 control trials and so I'm not saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00{:}07{:}23.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.890$ that this kind of assay is not useful.

00:07:25.890 --> 00:07:28.578 But if you talk to Johan Skog who

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:28.578 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.280$ developed these assays you'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.100$ the first to tell you yes 3 Two of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.440$ the three Rna's that they isolated

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.170$ in the first versions of this test

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.606$ were not actually in vesicles at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948487223636364

 $00:07:40.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.976$ They were Co isolated with those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94848722363636400:07:43.980 --> 00:07:44.460 And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00{:}07{:}47.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.440$ remember I showed you the figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:07:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.985$ where it really means something very

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:07:49.985 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.420$ specific in terms of Biogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:07:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.076$ We have another part of the field that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00{:}07{:}54.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.564$ really approaching this strictly as

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

00:07:55.564 --> 00:07:57.380 a I don't know about the Biogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:07:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.996$ I don't really care.

 $00:07:58.996 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.944$ I'm taking a blood sample and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00{:}08{:}01.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.254$ doing a procedural based thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.708$ So there is an ontology initiative

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:06.708 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.003$ that we've started because if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

00:08:09.003 --> 00:08:10.767 want to create at lases you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00{:}08{:}10.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.239$ to be speaking the same language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

00:08:13.240 --> 00:08:14.768 And I'll get to that at the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00{:}08{:}14.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.253$ end because I know ontology talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:16.253 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.313$ make everybody fall asleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

00:08:17.320 --> 00:08:19.800 So I just got a couple of slides to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:19.865 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.240$ share with you and thank those of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.040$ who feel about the the survey for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.480$ But back to the original idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.679$ So how do we do this systematically

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:30.679 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.593$ and correctly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.648$ What we want to do is to sort

 $00:08:35.648 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.465$ subsets of the vesicles and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

00:08:37.465 --> 00:08:39.494 look at the the cargo and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.949725035294118

 $00:08:39.494 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.154$ messages that those sets contain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371867777778

 $00:08:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.982$ So the state of the field is taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371867777778

 $00{:}08{:}45.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.650$ the whole mess of vesicles from all

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137186777778

 $00:08:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.226$ the different cells and we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371867777778

 $00:08:51.226 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.320$ parse them out into vesicles from

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137186777778

 $00{:}08{:}53.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.790$ particular sources and and study those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137186777778

 $00:08:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.489$ So we need to know how do we reliably

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137186777778

 $00:09:01.489 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.870$ identify the specific subsets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371867777778

 $00:09:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.030$ So with immune cell substate sets

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371867777778

 $00:09:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.470$ you might use CD3 for a T cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.818$ CD14 for a type of monocyte,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.332$ which are the right markers to use for

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:16.332 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.210$ different types of vesicles and then

 $00:09:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.135$ how to reliably assay them Once you

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00{:}09{:}20.135 \to 00{:}09{:}22.096$ know which ones you want to assay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

00:09:22.100 --> 00:09:25.220 how do you do the assay in a reliable way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.775$ So we're in an in between space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.558$ There's a lot of cellular biology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

00:09:29.558 --> 00:09:31.616 this is pretty mature at this point and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00{:}09{:}31.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.500$ there's a lot of molecular diagnostics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.178$ It's individual molecule assessed in mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.760$ these are packets and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

00:09:39.760 --> 00:09:41.248 We're looking at packets of informations

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:41.248 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.200$ and sets of packets of those informations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

00:09:43.200 --> 00:09:45.900 So it's a fundamentally different

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.520$ type of bioinformatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.260$ And Joshua Welch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

00:09:49.260 --> 00:09:52.160 in my group Staff Scientist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00{:}09{:}52.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.704$ has led the development of three software

 $00:09:55.704 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.912$ tools which help improve the rigor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:09:58.912 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.464$ reproducibility of three important

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:10:00.464 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.807$ tools that we use for characterizing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:10:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.820$ Individual E v's and repertoires of E

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

00:10:05.820 --> 00:10:08.084 V's and I'm going to walk through these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:10:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.700$ One that's targeted at EV flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

00:10:10.700 --> 00:10:12.850 cytometry for single E V's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:10:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.490$ resisted pulse sensing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

00:10:14.490 --> 00:10:16.130 measures size and concentration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:10:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.050$ and MPA pass and Multiplex analysis system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9436080666666667

 $00:10:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.275$ which is useful for assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:10:22.275 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.165$ repertoires broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:10:26.490 --> 00:10:30.286 So these are the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:10:30.286 \dashrightarrow 00:10:33.640$ tonologies I'm going to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:10:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.650$ So for single EV studies we've produced

00:10:39.650 --> 00:10:43.475 these advanced protocols for labeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:10:43.480 --> 00:10:45.568 sorting, a framework which I'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:10:45.568 --> 00:10:48.881 you or how to do and organize and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:10:48.881 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.784$ report the studies and then also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:10:51.784 --> 00:10:53.800 do your assays in a calibrated way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:10:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.240$ So rather than an arbitrary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}10{:}55.240 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}10{:}56.392$ unit sharing calibrated units,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:10:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.655$ which if in everybody probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:10:58.655 --> 00:10:59.557 does philositometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:10:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.456$ you know your skills are actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:11:01.460 --> 00:11:02.591 Arbitrary, they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:11:02.591 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.099$ calibrated for essence units.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

00:11:06.940 --> 00:11:08.708 And then I'm going to show you how

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:08.708 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.865$ we're stepping towards integrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:09.865 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.540$ this into a comprehensive Atlas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.930$ So our labeling protocols and

00:11:15.930 --> 00:11:19.860 sorting we're done on the Astrios,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00{:}11{:}19.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.100$ basically a next generation Moflow XDP

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00{:}11{:}23.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.760$ den air system with this we showed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

00:11:28.760 --> 00:11:29.444 Remember this picture?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:29.444 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.040$ I'm going to come back to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.615$ These are vesicles that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:32.615 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.590$ can see from DC 2.4 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.720$ And this bottom thing is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.320$ a population of vesicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00{:}11{:}38.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.678$ it's the noise of the instrument.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.759$ So you can see how we're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.078$ hugging the bottom limits of detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00{:}11{:}46.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.120$ We can't separate the vesicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.480$ from the noise any better because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.462$ of the limits of detection and.

 $00:11:52.462 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.754$ Polystyrene beads can't be used as

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:54.754 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.440$ calibrators because they refract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

00:11:56.440 --> 00:11:58.120 They have a different refractive index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:11:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.445$ so they they fundamentally can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:12:01.445 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.440$ be actual calibrators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:12:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.855$ This is what happens when your particles

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:12:05.855 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.560$ are lower than the limited fraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294 00:12:08.560 --> 00:12:08.987 Fortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00{:}12{:}08.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.549$ HIV and most of our extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:12:11.549 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.840$ vesicles is about the same size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00{:}12{:}13.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.884$ so we took advantage of that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

00:12:15.884 --> 00:12:20.220 took two different HIV variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:12:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.280$ one that was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

00:12:23.280 --> 00:12:25.622 CCR5 trophic and one that's CX

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

00:12:25.622 --> 00:12:28.394 CR4 trophic and labeled 1 red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00:12:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.306$ labeled 1 green,

 $00:12:29.306 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.118$ which showed that we could sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

 $00{:}12{:}31.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.193$ them and that they remain retain

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765108235294

00:12:33.193 --> 00:12:35.080 their trophism for their specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00:12:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.880$ for their specific type and

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00:12:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.640$ the recipient cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00:12:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.720$ So this shows fidelity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

00:12:43.720 --> 00:12:45.632 It's not something you're ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00:12:45.632 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.796$ going to do if you're producing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

00:12:47.796 --> 00:12:48.912 Therapeutic vessels and

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00:12:48.912 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.809$ you want to produce a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00:12:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.250$ It's feasible to do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00:12:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.402$ if you're studying viruses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

00:12:53.410 --> 00:12:55.170 but I think our group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00{:}12{:}55.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.060$ Vanderbilt's group and a group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

00:12:57.060 --> 00:12:58.985 the Netherlands may be the only

00:12:58.985 --> 00:13:00.809 groups who've ever really done this

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00{:}13{:}00.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03.806$ and it takes like 48 hours nonstop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00:13:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.070$ We set up COTS in the lab and all of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $00:13:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.650$ So it's it's not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805073

 $00:13:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.650$ scalable approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.032$ So when we did this we we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:13:19.032 --> 00:13:21.548 so many people look at our data

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:21.548 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.040$ and say how did you do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00{:}13{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.920$ I just, I just don't believe those results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.202$ And so we we took that challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:29.202 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.039$ on and we said okay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.908$ we're going to prove it and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:13:33.908 --> 00:13:36.494 need to help each other be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:36.494 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.736$ to look at each other's data

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:37.736 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.119$ and know what we can believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.912$ what we what we can trust in terms

 $00:13:40.912 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.627$ of the integrity of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.066$ So this led to this formation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:45.066 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.874$ a Tri society ISAF ISAC ISTH flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:13:47.874 --> 00:13:50.520 cytometry group where we worked on

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:13:50.602 --> 00:13:53.206 how can we improve the rigor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:13:53.206 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.408$ the field so that we can speak the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:13:55.408 --> 00:13:57.190 same language for EV flow cytometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00{:}13{:}57.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.910$ and improve our data approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:14:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.534$ So this was the product of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00{:}14{:}05.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08.378$ surprisingly long time working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:14:08.378 --> 00:14:11.348 groups who do things differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:14:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.890$ And we basically set out these

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00{:}14{:}14.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.108$ guidelines to help basically tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:14:20.110 --> 00:14:21.510 when you're designing your experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:14:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.354$ you're setting things up.

 $00:14:22.354 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.921$ What do you need to do to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:14:23.921 --> 00:14:24.909 help people reproduce it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:14:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.506$ How do you prove that what

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:14:26.506 --> 00:14:27.910 you're looking at is Ev's?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

 $00:14:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.482$ How do you validate it across

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:14:29.482 --> 00:14:30.268 instruments and settings?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:14:30.270 --> 00:14:34.142 And how do you make your data shareable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931374129411765

00:14:34.142 --> 00:14:36.830 transparent, and ideally interoperable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00:14:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.390$ So this is where Josh's coding

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

00:14:42.390 --> 00:14:43.670 and technology development skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00:14:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.270$ have really come into play.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00:14:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.712$ He tackled both the single EV

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00:14:47.712 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.917$ analysis problem and the EV

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00{:}14{:}49.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.427$ repertoire problem in flow cytometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

00:14:52.430 --> 00:14:55.412 Single EV analysis Low is highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00{:}14{:}55.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.470$ quantitative, but it has terrible

 $00:14:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.815$ sensitivity for single EV's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00{:}14{:}59.815 --> 00{:}15{:}01.832$ If you do it on a bead based

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00:15:01.832 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.387$ way like a Multiplex way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00:15:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.350$ it's high throughput.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00{:}15{:}04.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.235$ It's multi, multi, parametric but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

00:15:06.235 --> 00:15:08.310 It's only semi quantitative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00:15:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.801$ you can't really assess the full

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

00:15:10.801 --> 00:15:11.995 range of complexity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

00:15:12.000 --> 00:15:15.650 So for single EV flow cytometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

00:15:15.650 --> 00:15:17.066 he developed FCM passive

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824092

 $00:15:17.066 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.280$ software that basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00{:}15{:}20.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.684$ derives the collection angle of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00{:}15{:}22.684 \rightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.626$ actual optics of the actual machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00:15:24.626 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.516$ at the time that you're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00:15:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.557$ So if the engineer came in and

 $00:15:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.928$ fiddled with the alignment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

00:15:29.928 --> 00:15:31.638 it would you'd have to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00:15:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.440$ it wouldn't be the same collection angle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

00:15:34.440 --> 00:15:35.875 But once you've derived the collection angle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00:15:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.160$ if you collect the proper calibrators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00:15:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.392$ then you can convert your data from those

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00:15:41.392 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.736$ flow cytometry arbitrary units using ME

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

00:15:44.736 --> 00:15:48.696 theory to calibrated SI standard units

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00:15:48.696 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.320$ of nanometers and for your fluorescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00:15:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.360$ You can also calibrate with molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00{:}15{:}53.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.873$ equivalence of soluble fluorescents and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928587020555555

 $00:15:55.873 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.838$ generate calibrated fluorescence as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933065435714286

 $00:16:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.355$ This led to. A bunch of papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933065435714286

 $00:16:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.280$ a bunch of our reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933065435714286

00:16:06.280 --> 00:16:08.909 This is still something that we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.933065435714286

 $00:16:08.909 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.381$ to get out and use more commonly so

 $00:16:11.381 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.410$ that we can more actively engage with

NOTE Confidence: 0.933065435714286

 $00{:}16{:}13.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.000$ and sort of share data with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933065435714286

 $00:16:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.552$ For the EV repertoire analysis this involves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933065435714286

 $00:16:20.552 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.904$ We prototyped a lot of this using

NOTE Confidence: 0.933065435714286

00:16:22.904 --> 00:16:25.320 the Miltonie Multiplex Exosome Kit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933065435714286

 $00{:}16{:}25.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.038$ which is a bead set of almost 40 beads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:16:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.560$ Several micronic piece which capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:16:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.260$ based on one antibody type 1 epitope type

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00{:}16{:}36.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.972$ captures the vesicles and then you go in

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00{:}16{:}38.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.056$ and you detect with a different antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

00:16:42.060 --> 00:16:45.312 And so with this I'm going to walk you

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:16:45.312 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.939$ through some of the results that we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00{:}16{:}46.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.600$ But he's written the software to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00{:}16{:}49.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.503$ facilitate the complexity of this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:16:51.503 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.820$ all of that data that has to get analyzed

00:16:53.820 --> 00:16:56.300 all at once in a calibrated way compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

00:16:56.300 --> 00:16:59.740 between experiments etcetera, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

00:16:59.740 --> 00:17:03.390 This is a heat map showing several

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.564$ experiments we did with different

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:05.564 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.060$ antibody capture B combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.458$ different biofluid types and it's all

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.564$ calibrated again and with fluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:16.564 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.007$ and and the appropriate controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00{:}17{:}19.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.739$ So what you can see is CSF is unique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

00:17:22.740 --> 00:17:24.576 it's it's sort of standing off on its own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00{:}17{:}24.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.807$ it's very different from plasm and serum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00{:}17{:}27.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.676$ Plasma and Serum are relatively similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:29.676 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.070$ to each other and in the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00{:}17{:}32.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34.529$ PCA and RT Sneeze are parsing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.050$ So that's what we've done for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.170$ and now we have worked,

00:17:39.170 --> 00:17:41.666 we're working on stitching those together

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:41.666 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.052$ into a more comprehensive Atlas type

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:44.052 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.579$ approach where we can integrate single EV

NOTE Confidence: 0.938934430769231

 $00:17:46.579 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.167$ data with Multiplex EV repertoire data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:17:51.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.330$ There's also. Resistive pulse sensing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:17:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.786$ So if any of you are doing small

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

00:17:56.786 --> 00:17:58.862 particle work you may use a Nano

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:17:58.862 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.166$ site nanoparticle tracking analyzer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00{:}18{:}00.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01.386$ Resistive pulse sensing like

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:01.386 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.210$ a Spectradine or an eyes on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00{:}18{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.880$ SO this is specifically resistive pulse

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.390$ sensing that works with the output and

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00{:}18{:}08.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.010$ interface of the spectra dine instruments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.404$ Those use little chips and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:12.404 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.980$ found was if we took the same sample

 $00:18:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.770$ and reran it on a set of chips

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.996$ we get a different result every

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:19.996 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.230$ time just with the standard beads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.310$ And that's not good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:24.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.007$ So we developed a way to use this

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:27.007 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.784$ bike in and then reanalyze the

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

 $00:18:30.784 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.669$ data to normalize the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964405694

00:18:32.670 --> 00:18:36.510 So essentially it appropriately scales

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:18:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.517$ so that it is calibrated and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

00:18:40.517 --> 00:18:42.148 makes a difference in your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:18:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.560$ So the plot on your left is of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

00:18:47.560 --> 00:18:49.870 that was not processed with RPS pass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:18:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.788$ and you can see there's a huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:18:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.549$ Variation in those when we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

00:18:55.549 --> 00:18:58.760 that with where the spike has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:18:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.806$ used to appropriately scale the data,

 $00{:}19{:}00.806 \longrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.336$ you can see that we can more

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:19:03.336 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.830$ clearly discriminate the the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:19:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.071$ the qualities of the size of and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

00:19:10.071 --> 00:19:12.509 the concentration of those Ev's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:19:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.720$ So all three of these we're working with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:19:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.380$ Baylor and other collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00:19:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.870$ to to work on integrating these

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00{:}19{:}20.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.160$ into tools that people can access

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931276923077

 $00{:}19{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.440$ comprehensively and shared data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

 $00:19:29.920 \dashrightarrow 00:19:33.076$ So this has been relatively quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

00:19:33.080 --> 00:19:34.475 You know, this is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

00:19:34.475 --> 00:19:35.870 we've really been working hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

 $00:19:35.918 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.120$ on for the last 5-6 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

 $00:19:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.728$ but that has made the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

 $00:19:40.728 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.587$ as the field has gone from being

 $00:19:42.587 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.230$ able to go from Western lots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

 $00{:}19{:}44.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.240$ To flow cytometry where we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

00:19:46.240 --> 00:19:48.200 know our limits of detection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

00:19:48.200 --> 00:19:50.160 now we know our limits of detection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

 $00:19:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.212$ we can articulate them and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272475

 $00:19:52.212 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.319$ reproducibly state what the results are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:19:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.476$ So then okay, we've done it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:19:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.318$ Can the whole field do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.552$ In November we had a an EV

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

00:20:06.552 --> 00:20:08.400 reference material study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.325$ This was really spearheaded by

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:10.325 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.170$ Joshua Walsh who who recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.585$ that as much as we try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

00:20:14.590 --> 00:20:18.664 Teach everybody what they need to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.024$ And we want the data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:21.024 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.446$ actually be consistent,

 $00:20:22.446 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.710$ to be calibrated, to be well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.830$ to 1st be capable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.790$ but then to be calibrated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.868$ to have the whole data set

NOTE Confidence: 0.911931973333333

 $00:20:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.030$ reproducible, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:20:35.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.750$ It's too complex when it's a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:20:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.138$ complex sample, so we use this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}20{:}41.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.536$ Fluorescent recombinant EV reference

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:20:43.536 --> 00:20:44.880 material from Anne Hendricks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}20{:}44.880 \to 00{:}20{:}49.960$ which is now available from Sigma Millipore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:20:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.120$ It's called recombinant exosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:20:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.975$ From them, they rebranded it just because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}20{:}54.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.240$ they thought that would sell better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}20{:}57.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.140$ Sigma did not, not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.048$ And and to really get at the heart of

00:21:03.048 --> 00:21:05.794 where we need to make inroads in the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.330$ we went to the manufacturers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}21{:}08.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.706$ So Josh basically offered all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:21:10.706 --> 00:21:12.290 the instrument manufacturers the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}21{:}12.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.129$ opportunity to take a sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:21:14.130 --> 00:21:18.082 We shipped it off to them and send us back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:18.082 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.288$ the results with a a set of sort of criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:21:21.290 --> 00:21:22.648 We want you to report back this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.610$ this, this and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.602$ So everything was fair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:21:25.602 --> 00:21:26.842 It was transparent up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.818$ This is what we wanted because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:29.818 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.970$ when you buy instruments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.245$ you need the manufacturer to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:33.245 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.846$ to tell you how to properly use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.320$ In this study,

 $00:21:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.770$ this is only the beginnings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}21{:}39.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.443$ but this shows I won't go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:41.443 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.690$ all of the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.266$ But basically in that wheel of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:45.266 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.970$ the criteria we'd like to have met,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.118$ some are very good and others

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:51.118 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.529$ are in the process of learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.848$ And so if we redid this today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.398$ some of those on the bottom row.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:21:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.560$ Would be either nearly completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.720$ filled in or filled in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.298$ So this was really a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:05.298 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.711$ opportunity to work with industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:06.711 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.559$ to start trying to pioneer this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.355$ So OK, so if we can do it,

 $00:22:13.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.880$ how do we share this with the field?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.393$ So this needs to be centralized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:17.393 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.906$ accessible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:17.906 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.497$ So we've been working with Baylor as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:21.497 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.296$ part of the ERCC common fund effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}22{:}25.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.716$ to develop the Nanoflow repository.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.466$ And so that's the beginnings of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:34.466 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.040$ shared way to deposit the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}22{:}38.040 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.713$ This Baylor Group is also as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:41.713 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.078$ in parallel the XRNA Alice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}22{:}44.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.672$ So you can see what I was talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:45.672 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.075$ about before where we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:22:47.075 --> 00:22:48.275 tie the surface phenotyping data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:22:48.280 --> 00:22:50.480 the individual EV phenotyping data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:22:50.480 --> 00:22:52.336 the repertoire phenotyping data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:52.336 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.120$ then along with the RNA cargo.

 $00:22:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.600$ Data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:22:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.410$ They do have the skeleton and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.360$ the background and the infrastructure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.199$ of the xrna Atlas there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.817$ So this is moving us closer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:10.817 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.879$ doing what we want to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.716$ which is to be able to look at subsets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:23:13.720 --> 00:23:15.760 identify markers to pull out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.200$ subsets to look at the RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}23{:}18.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.600$ Then we hit a roadblock which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.908$ was one I expected, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:23:23.910 --> 00:23:25.642 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00{:}23{:}25.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.818$ most RNA seq methods require

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:23:28.818 --> 00:23:32.310 nanogram levels of RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.266$ When you get to the subsets,

 $00:23:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.364$ you're probably in less than 100

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:36.364 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.514$ picogram kind of range of RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:38.514 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.488$ So we tried to we decided to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:40.488 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.707$ test whether or not we could use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:23:42.710 --> 00:23:44.498 single cell sequencing methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:44.498 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.789$ not in the single cell mode but in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

 $00:23:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.115$ Bulk using that as the library preparation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315017

00:23:51.115 --> 00:23:54.110 method for looking at EVRN A's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402535311111111

 $00:23:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.812$ And remember I showed you the picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00{:}23{:}58.812 --> 00{:}24{:}00.831$ of the DC 2.4 E V's on the flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402535311111111

 $00{:}24{:}00.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.668$ cytometer and I said remember these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402535311111111

 $00:24:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.030$ That's the cell line we chose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.314$ It grows like weeds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402535311111111

 $00:24:07.314 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.240$ It's a little mouse dendritic cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:09.304 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.408$ line that Ken Rock made back in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

00:24:11.408 --> 00:24:13.141 1990s and it feeds itself GMCSF.

 $00:24:13.141 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.496$ So these are the happiest

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:14.496 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.580$ cells you could ever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

00:24:15.580 --> 00:24:19.820 Want they grow like weeds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.857$ and they've also had a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:21.857 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.420$ manipulation in their background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.820$ So I outlined here all of the background

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

00:24:26.820 --> 00:24:30.002 that I kind of ignored until one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00{:}24{:}30.002 \longrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.199$ our reviewers pushed us to instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00{:}24{:}34.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38.168$ TEM get cryoem to really hammer out

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:38.168 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.883$ the exact size of these and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402535311111111

 $00:24:40.883 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.760$ couldn't see in the TEM on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402535311111111

 $00:24:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.615$ You can see really clearly on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00{:}24{:}45.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.524$ right we have retroviral capsules or

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:47.524 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.320$ something that looks awfully a lot like them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.608$ And I got a call from the lab

 $00:24:52.608 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.877$ who was helping us with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111 00:24:54.880 --> 00:24:56.065 Not a call, NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:56.065 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.040$ it was worse than that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:24:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.155$ an e-mail that was carbon copied to

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00:25:01.155 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.036$ the then scientific director of all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

00:25:04.036 --> 00:25:06.691 NIH saying what do you not understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531111111

 $00{:}25{:}06.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.120$ about B SL1 samples for a B SL1 lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.018$ This cell line is sold by Sigma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}25{:}17.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.768$ mercury pour has a B SL1 cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:20.770 --> 00:25:23.927 And I say look, I'm really sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:23.930 --> 00:25:25.088 I don't know what that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.890$ It could be a mishmash of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:26.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.930$ rearrangements of any of those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.784$ In this background it's also a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:30.784 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.865$ mouse cell line and they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}25{:}32.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.810$ lots of endogenous rector viruses,

 $00:25:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.351$ so I have no idea what that is, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}25{:}38.351 --> 00{:}25{:}40.759$ I'll I'll get to the bottom of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.256$ And this is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:42.256 --> 00:25:45.519 I've lost count of how many years later

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.186$ we decided we wanted to apply this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:47.186 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.925$ RN A/C approach to those because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:48.925 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.720$ wanted to figure out what's what is it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:50.720 --> 00:25:53.030 I don't want to just do a PCR for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:53.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.880$ that and the other thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.680$ I want to know what's in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:56.680 --> 00:25:58.810 So we've done Proteomics and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}25{:}58.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.272$ done RNAC and it turns out we find

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}26{:}01.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03.151$ a dominant species and it turns

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:03.151 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.089$ out it's Mouse Maloney virus which

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:05.089 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.920$ was part of its background.

 $00:26:10.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.510$ So that is a xenotropic virus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90623035

 $00{:}26{:}13.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.030$ meaning it doesn't go from mouse cells to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90623035

 $00:26:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.022$ it just stays between mouse cells and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.90623035

 $00:26:18.022 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.038$ doesn't go from mouse cell to mouse cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.90623035

00:26:20.038 --> 00:26:21.949 unless the cells are actively dividing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90623035

 $00:26:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.550$ which, well, those do. And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:26:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.766$ another reason I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:26:30.766 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.910$ to go down this crazy Rd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:26:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.902$ is because of the hers where

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:26:34.902 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.940$ we know that those modulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00{:}26{:}36.940 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}26{:}38.540$ Responses to immunotherapy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:26:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.540$ their indications that they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:26:40.540 --> 00:26:43.020 And so we wanted to have a pipeline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:26:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.276$ a method that would allow us to elucidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:26:46.276 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.887$ the presence or absence or the types

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00{:}26{:}48.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.850$ of herbs in our human EV samples.

 $00:26:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.666$ So we're collaborating with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:26:53.666 --> 00:26:55.936 Kendall Jensen at Tijan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:26:55.936 --> 00:26:58.192 Yasmine Belkade's group at NIAID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:26:58.192 --> 00:27:00.302 She's just accepted the position

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:00.302 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.942$ to run the Pasteur Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:27:01.942 --> 00:27:03.154 So unfortunately we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:03.154 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.250$ to lose her soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.112$ But we're working very hard to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:06.112 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.434$ this all tied together before she

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:09.434 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.130$ goes to have a comprehensive pipeline

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:27:12.130 --> 00:27:14.370 that would include conventional RN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.450$ A's and the Hearse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00{:}27{:}15.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.846$ So I want to show you some results

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:17.846 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.310$ of all these tools that we've been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.947$ Working on and here a couple of the examples.

00:27:22.950 --> 00:27:27.030 I'll show you a little bit of kidney cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.990$ prostate cancer, colon cancer, CNS diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:27:31.990 --> 00:27:33.990 But first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:27:33.990 --> 00:27:36.906 if you could live a day in my shoes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:27:36.910 --> 00:27:41.710 you get a question just about every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.047$ I want to start a study and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:43.047 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.548$ I want to look at exosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:27:44.550 --> 00:27:47.741 That's what people say to me and I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:47.741 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.210$ to know what kind of blood tube I need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.355$ And that's a really hard what

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:27:52.355 --> 00:27:53.370 do you want to do with it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:27:53.370 --> 00:27:56.230 What do you, what do you want to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:27:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.913$ So to help us figure out what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:27:58.913 --> 00:28:00.610 our right blood collection tube,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.450$ we decided to compare for the SST tubes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.170$ EDTA tubes and the strect

00:28:08.170 --> 00:28:09.265 DNA&RNA complete tubes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00{:}28{:}09.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11.455$ This is the comparisons that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:11.455 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.345$ did to suss out the impacts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:14.345 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.680$ platelets and not platelets and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.198$ Ways that you do the spins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.629$ we counted the particles that were remaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:20.629 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.210$ after we did the depletions etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.004$ And what you see is that we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:28.004 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.240$ a surprise which is that CD62 P,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.765$ CD242A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00{:}28{:}33.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.390$ some platelet markers were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.052$ only elevated in samples where

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:38.052 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.750$ you froze the sample and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:28:39.808 --> 00:28:41.198 you spin out the platelets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.160$ which is a terrible idea,

 $00:28:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.939$ but a lot of people do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00{:}28{:}44.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.775$ It was also elevated in the struck DNA tubes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:28:47.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.095$ So maybe something with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:28:49.095 --> 00:28:50.758 fixation of the struck DNA tube

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:28:50.758 --> 00:28:52.258 that's causing shedding of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00:28:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.388$ these vesicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00:28:55.388 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.980$ And so we've we've looked at this further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

00:28:57.980 --> 00:29:00.402 But you know it's this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00{:}29{:}00.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.081$ quantitative analysis that helps us

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00:29:02.081 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.016$ assess the not only the integrity

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00{:}29{:}04.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.050$ but also the repertoire and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00:29:06.121 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.292$ relative abundance of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00:29:08.292 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.124$ types of vesicles in the solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

00:29:11.130 --> 00:29:12.168 So for us, for our lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00:29:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.490$ for our protocols,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00{:}29{:}13.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.650$ we're doing SST tubes and complete RNA tubes.

 $00:29:17.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.870$ I I actually think that plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.94729798

 $00:29:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.489$ DTA tubes are also great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469275375

00:29:23.730 --> 00:29:25.530 I spoke to somebody earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469275375

 $00:29:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.610$ today about oncosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469275375

00:29:26.610 --> 00:29:28.615 These are large vesicles shed

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469275375

 $00:29:28.615 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.096$ by tumor cells which are like

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469275375

 $00:29:31.096 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.850$ larger than 800 nanometers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469275375

 $00:29:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.250$ sometimes larger than a Micron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469275375

 $00:29:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.555$ So every platelet depleting protocol

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469275375

 $00:29:36.555 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.702$ that you do to spin out the platelets

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469275375

 $00{:}29{:}38.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.286$ is going to remove the oncosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:29:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.426$ I I don't have a good solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}29{:}46.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.828$ If you want to study those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:29:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.918$ I think you have to go directly to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:29:50.918 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.430$ processing the onpisomes separately.

00:29:53.430 --> 00:29:58.150 So our approach is showing us good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}29{:}58.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.509$ fidelity and differences in tumor types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.030$ So Long story short we compared a bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.430$ of different EV's from different tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.950$ This is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.609$ we've already published and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:\!30:\!09.610 \dashrightarrow 00:\!30:\!12.042$ You can see Epcam is more commonly spread

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:12.042 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.856$ or sort of more highly expressed in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}30{:}14.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17.210$ samples from the epithelial tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:30:17.210 --> 00:30:20.322 from the Seglio bus, I mean, it's good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:20.322 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.965$ You wouldn't expect Epicam so much in those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.170$ The tetraspanins and CD44 are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.210$ up in the in in both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:30.210 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.450$ So for kidney cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.356$ there's not a great molecular handle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:37.360 \dashrightarrow 00:30:39.200$ For pulling out kidney cancers.

 $00:30:39.200 \dashrightarrow 00:30:43.400$ So Marsha Lenahan and Maria Marino

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.608$ have this amazing set of cohorted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:45.608 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.152$ patients and data and studies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:48.152 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.160$ information they've learned about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:30:50.160 --> 00:30:53.436 one hippo window and and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:30:53.440 --> 00:30:56.800 forms of hereditary kidney cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.880$ So we worked with them to look at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:30:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.600$ of the different tumor types that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.932$ could prototype with and then begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:04.932 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.630$ look at those samples and patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.190$ And so we tried a battery of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}31{:}11.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.574$ markers and we found some that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}31{:}14.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.310$ really hadn't been expected and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.750$ have some of the same features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.976$ Some of them are also Tetra spannons

 $00:31:20.976 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.950$ and they're also Stemmus markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}31{:}22.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.822$ So this is consistent with what we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:24.822 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.870$ was really elevated in the other two types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.229$ So maybe we're finding that there's kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:29.229 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.240$ of a a malignant signature as opposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.910$ to a specific type of tumor signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.550$ In the types of markers they express.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.088$ Then we worked with collaborators to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}31{:}43.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.894$ look at the EV profiles in malignant CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:31:46.894 --> 00:31:51.910 samples and other CSF samples including

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.990$ autoimmune diseases and viral diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}31{:}54.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.756$ And you can see again here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:31:56.756 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.986$ we see that same pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925158445

 $00:32:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.590$ And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253542

 $00:32:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.990$ once we have the markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253542

 $00:32:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.470$ we do the pull down.

 $00:32:06.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.990$ Do we actually see differences in the RNA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253542

 $00:32:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.198$ So this was one of my early proof of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253542

 $00:32:13.198 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.028$ principles examples where we just took

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531

 $00:32:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.694$ a thoracentesis sample from

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253531

 $00:32:18.694 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.590$ a patient of mine who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:22.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.842$ very metastatic prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:24.842 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.718$ which is PSMA positive the therapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00{:}32{:}28.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.986$ tap and in the the rapeutic tap in

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:30.986 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.842$ the biospecimen protocol we're able

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:32.842 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.140$ to pull down the PSMA positive EV's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00{:}32{:}36.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.790$ Compared to the PSMA negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:38.790 \dashrightarrow 00:32:41.250$ EV's compared to the bulk sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00{:}32{:}41.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44.449$ And you can see there are several

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.990$ RNA's which are highly associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.735$ with the PSMA positive ones,

00:32:47.735 --> 00:32:49.920 which you would have missed if

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00{:}32{:}49.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.090$ you were looking at the soup of

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.744$ everything because there's so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:53.744 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.032$ other kinds of vesicles that compete

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:56.032 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.487$ in that type of identification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

00:32:58.490 --> 00:32:59.972 So I thought PSMA was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

 $00:32:59.972 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.450$ to be a great marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025865

00:33:01.450 --> 00:33:03.490 but really what marker should we be using?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.866$ I insinuated and I really feel like

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:08.866 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.141$ the markers that we choose are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:11.141 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.634$ going to be the same markers that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00{:}33{:}13.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.853$ use in the context of intact tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.100$ It may relate more to their phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.760$ So we did a large screen of 170

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.732$ different EV surface markers across

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00{:}33{:}24.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.720$ some of those kidney cancer patients.

 $00:33:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.680$ Other CSF sample was just a massive cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.946$ So if you're squinting at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:31.946 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.240$ from the back of the room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.640$ you can see there's sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.600$ tartan Plaid kind of pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.970$ There's a a sample down here

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:39.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.020$ where it's all blown out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.280$ It turned out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00{:}33{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.752$ turned out that person had a radioisotopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00{:}33{:}45.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.120$ treatment for metastatic prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

00:33:48.120 --> 00:33:51.540 cancer couple weeks before and was

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.228$ having ramped up marrow production.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00{:}33{:}53.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.800$ I don't have any other samples like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.840$ but clearly this is. Not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:33:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.824$ we're not going to understand much from that.

 $00:34:00.824 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.032$ But then there are sections where

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00{:}34{:}03.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.140$ you see more of some workers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.464$ less of other markers and in sets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:07.464 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.847$ And if you break down those sets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:09.847 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.239$ you look and you say CSF versus serum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.799$ they're really different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

00:34:14.800 --> 00:34:16.260 Looking at PC A's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

00:34:16.260 --> 00:34:18.872 if you look at tumors versus immune,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:18.872 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.732$ this tumor, that tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:20.732 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.916$ they're also very separable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

00:34:22.920 --> 00:34:23.402 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:23.402 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.258$ some of them are separable more than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:27.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.122$ And then looking at the CSF samples from

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

00:34:31.122 --> 00:34:33.414 patients with or without brain tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.748$ you can also see that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.939559504827587

 $00:34:35.748 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.180$ are differences that we see.

 $00:34:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.065$ So we also worked with Steve Jacobson

NOTE Confidence: 0.941511525

 $00:34:45.065 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.100$ in NININDS and he studies both Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941511525

 $00:34:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.940$ and he MTSP, the HTLV associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.941511525

 $00:34:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.139$ tropical Myelotis myelo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:34:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.840$ ****** peripheresis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.984$ So these are CSF samples from those

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

00:35:06.984 --> 00:35:10.450 patients and patients also with who carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}35{:}10.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.400$ the HTLV virus but are asymptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.262$ That's what the AC's are or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}35{:}15.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.848$ viral diseases and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:17.848 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.160$ that turns out that hand patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}35{:}21.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.866$ the ones with active disease associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}35{:}23.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.450$ with HTLV in the nervous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}35{:}26.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.009$ Have higher CDA than CD2E V counts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.630$ We've followed that up with

 $00:35:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.726$ another set of samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}35{:}35.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37.788$ again that size and as as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:37.788 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.815$ as other markers and we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:39.815 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.247$ see that robust difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.814$ It's it's it's very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

00:35:42.814 --> 00:35:44.769 it's not a massive magnitude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.210$ but it's very consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.986$ So which of these EV markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}35{:}48.986 \to 00{:}35{:}50.570$ relate to the biological state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

00:35:50.570 --> 00:35:52.362 meaning the biological state

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:52.362 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.050$ of the cell that made them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.306$ And this is work that was done with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:35:59.306 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.170$ colleague who had a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}36{:}02.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.730$ biological phenotype they were studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.926$ They made some knockout cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.855$ And what you see here is our

00:36:08.855 --> 00:36:10.250 B plus antibody control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

00:36:10.250 --> 00:36:11.846 the knockout line, the control line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

00:36:11.850 --> 00:36:13.728 the knockout line, the control line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.810$ And what you can see is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}36{:}16.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.750$ there are some genes that are just

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.556$ missing from the knockouts and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:22.556 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.914$ are some genes that are missing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.000$ From the controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.520$ So we're really getting a sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}36{:}28.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.879$ changes in these related to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}36{:}31.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.710$ So we're really just starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.800$ to apply these and learn more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00{:}36{:}36.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.400$ We have also found a pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.902$ in metastatic potential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:41.902 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.318$ so match sets of cell lines that have

 $00:36:44.318 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.828$ different metastatic potential on

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:45.828 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.040$ their markers and so now we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:51.040 \dashrightarrow 00:36:53.789$ move forward further with that so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

00:36:53.789 --> 00:36:54.487 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

00:36:54.487 --> 00:36:56.232 I started talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:56.232 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.650$ commotion in the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:36:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.781$ or as one of the earlier professor said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:37:01.781 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.803$ the the mess that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.790203765

 $00:37:03.803 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.128$ extracellular space these days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

00:37:08.130 --> 00:37:11.104 I think the reason why we've wrangled and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:11.104 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.880$ learned so much from the immune system is

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:13.953 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.529$ being able to be so systematic about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.498$ And so I've tried to begin to wrangle the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:20.498 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.610$ extracellular space into the same way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.082$ to establish some foundations

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:24.082 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.922$ to make a consistent Atlas,

 $00:37:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.856$ to then begin to study the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:28.856 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.730$ markers related to tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00{:}37{:}30.730 \longrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.230$ relating them to phenotypes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.730$ all of these other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.446$ So the survey that I sent you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.948$ and thank you for those who who went

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:40.948 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.060$ slog through it to to to humor me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:44.060 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.020$ The the bottom line is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.660$ do we need an extracellular ontology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:47.660 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.340$ We have a cellular ontology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:50.340 \dashrightarrow 00:37:52.538$ But when you take a liquid biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.004$ you have no idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00{:}37{:}54.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.834$ There's nothing that's a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:55.834 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.350$ marker that can tell you that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:37:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.260$ vesicle came from an exosomal pathway.

 $00:38:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.858$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:38:01.858 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.353$ the biologists are really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:38:03.353 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.323$ of working out all the specifics

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:38:05.323 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.018$ of the exosomal pathway anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:38:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.204$ So then you try to frame the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:38:09.204 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.759$ ontology of the extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:38:10.759 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.479$ space in the related ontologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

00:38:13.480 --> 00:38:14.712 So I just mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:38:14.712 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.880$ do we need an extra cellular one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576255

 $00:38:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.040$ There's a cellular one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93270605

00:38:20.560 --> 00:38:21.960 I don't know, you guys can tell me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93270605

00:38:21.960 --> 00:38:25.080 but I have asked my liquid biopsy colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93270605

 $00:38:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.470$ is it probably pretty true that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93270605

 $00:38:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.340$ you categorize the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93270605

00:38:31.340 --> 00:38:33.720 you're using for biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93270605

 $00:38:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.912$ classify them really by what it is

00:38:36.912 --> 00:38:38.760 that you isolated or how you isolated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93270605

 $00:38:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.360$ I say yeah, okay so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:38:42.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.926$ And the nano material

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:38:44.926 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.123$ field is super detailed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

00:38:47.123 --> 00:38:50.740 They have a Nano Nano Particle Ontology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

00:38:50.740 --> 00:38:53.590 the NPO, that's all about formulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:38:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.630$ this is the shell, this is the surface,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:38:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.310$ this is the, it's extensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

00:38:59.310 --> 00:39:01.266 So how do we just approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:01.266 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.230$ the mess that's in between?

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.014$ So hence the survey and I didn't ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:08.014 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.447$ the question I wanted to ask because

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00{:}39{:}10.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12.865$ it was so strongly objected to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00{:}39{:}12.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14.788$ My first question was going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

00:39:14.790 --> 00:39:17.184 what do you think an exozone is?

 $00:39:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.875$ A BCD? But people decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

00:39:19.875 --> 00:39:22.023 that was too controversial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.706$ so instead we asked more obliquely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.630$ maybe obtusely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.374$ This is a selection of ways to

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:33.374 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.550$ classify extracellular vesicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.678$ Which one do you think is most central

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:37.678 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.310$ to harmonizing with later system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286 00:39:41.310 --> 00:39:42.372 4 vesicles, NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:42.372 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.027$ the largest proportion that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00{:}39{:}45.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}48.929$ highest answer is based on biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:48.929 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.586$ considerations like Biogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:50.586 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.250$ And so I think that message of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

00:39:54.345 --> 00:39:57.095 EV community of what distinguishes

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:39:57.095 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.578$ A vesicle from a non vesicle and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00{:}40{:}00.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.612$ an exosome and microparticles

 $00:40:02.612 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.922$ or other things it's getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:40:05.922 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.690$ through in response to the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847855714286

 $00:40:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.950$ vesicular extracellular particles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863050828571428

00:40:11.990 --> 00:40:16.344 Even the EV people, the ISAF people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863050828571428

 $00:40:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.670$ say we don't know what the Biogenesis is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863050828571428

00:40:18.670 --> 00:40:21.415 For the most part, the top answer is based

NOTE Confidence: 0.863050828571428

 $00:40:21.415 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.023$ on biochemical considerations, composition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863050828571428

 $00:40:24.023 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.428$ Is it a lipid biolayer? What's in it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:40:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.700$ Informally? And I don't know if this

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:40:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.389$ is ever going to get published or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00{:}40{:}32.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.982$ but we did a we did a beta test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:40:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.192$ I used my friends and colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00{:}40{:}37.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39.110$ at NIH as Guinea pigs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00{:}40{:}39.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41.546$ We have a couple of list servs for

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:40:41.546 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.861$ the liquid biopsy group and the EV

00:40:43.861 --> 00:40:46.349 interest group and we sent it to them

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:40:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.590$ and it was even more extreme when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:40:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.590$ focused on the liquid biopsy groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

00:40:52.590 --> 00:40:54.228 It's about composition, what is it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:40:54.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.170$ what we're looking at and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

00:40:57.170 --> 00:40:59.446 EV folks about everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:40:59.446 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.106$ not just vesicles and when asked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:03.106 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.251$ everything without dividing into vesicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:05.251 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.258$ or non vehicular extracellular particles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

00:41:08.260 --> 00:41:11.697 The EV group still focused on Biogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00{:}41{:}11.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.060$ so I'm working on the analysis of who

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

00:41:15.060 --> 00:41:18.378 answered what and it should be interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.690$ But I've been at meetings where

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.459$ people stand up and they ask me

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.700$ why do you care what it's called,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.420$ if it's a good biomarker?

00:41:27.420 --> 00:41:27.944 And honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

00:41:27.944 --> 00:41:29.778 if the biomarkers is a good biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667 00:41:29.780 --> 00:41:30.530 that's great. NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.155$ It's just if you want to stitch

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:33.155 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.569$ the data together and understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:35.569 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.246$ how our data relates to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.740$ Everybody who does omics and assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00{:}41{:}41.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44.491$ and at lases knows that there has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:44.491 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.850$ be a common framework it's set on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.730$ So I just want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667 00:41:48.730 --> 00:41:49.484 In addition, NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:49.484 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.820$ I really have to thank you all

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.170$ for inviting me to come speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

00:41:53.170 --> 00:41:55.290 It's really an honor for me as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:41:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.810$ young scientist to speak to you guys

00:41:57.810 --> 00:42:01.329 learn from you, get your feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00{:}42{:}01.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03.976$ I also need to thank the laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:03.976 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.910$ pathology kind of that be my mentors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.278$ Past, present and current.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:10.278 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.265$ As you know I was thinking last night

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

00:42:14.270 --> 00:42:15.908 I couldn't say this takes a village.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:15.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.389$ This actually takes like lots of villages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.910$ So these are some of the villages

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.168$ who have and they're continuing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:26.168 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.454$ help me and I'll take questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00{:}42{:}28.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.713$ But as a sneak peek I had bought

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00{:}42{:}31.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34.359$ on behalf of our residency program

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:34.359 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.617$ director some slides about the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

00:42:36.620 --> 00:42:41.068 Residency program at at NIH If there

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:41.068 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.252$ are folks who are interested in it

NOTE Confidence: 0.938911752666667

 $00:42:43.252 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.722$ at lunch and I'll just e-mail it to

 $00:42:46.722 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.620$ anybody who's interested, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378342857143

 $00:42:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.058$ Should I open the chat and see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378342857143

 $00:43:01.058 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.810$ there are questions in the chat? Okay

NOTE Confidence: 0.873622808

 $00:43:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.540$ act stating for CME credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873622808

00:43:13.540 --> 00:43:16.564 Texting for CME credit, so I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.873622808

 $00:43:16.564 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.060$ think those are questions. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:43:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.940$ refer to analyze the EV in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:43:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.739$ the context of that area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

00:43:28.740 --> 00:43:33.380 Yeah, there's a whole group of ISA which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:43:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.860$ is interested in not only the Ev's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:43:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.374$ the host Ev's, but also the Ev's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

00:43:41.380 --> 00:43:44.530 Of you know, across the microbiome

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:43:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.544$ or infections, that's become a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:43:47.544 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.812$ interesting part of COVID work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:43:49.812 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.980$ Kendall's done some work on that at Tgen.

00:43:52.980 --> 00:43:54.855 Several people have have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:43:54.855 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.900$ a lot of work on that. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:43:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.805$ In that context how do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570157625

 $00:44:00.805 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.220$ differentiate the post PR?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378342857143

00:44:05.220 --> 00:44:07.875 Yeah, so it depends on your assay, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378342857143

00:44:07.875 --> 00:44:11.565 So if you. Have species specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378342857143

 $00:44:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.616$ antibody clones that can begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378342857143

 $00{:}44{:}13.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.634$ differentiate some of it and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378342857143

00:44:15.634 --> 00:44:17.810 been applied in some model systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:44:20.410 --> 00:44:22.618 I don't know if it's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00{:}44{:}22.618 {\: \hbox{\scriptsize -->}}\> 00{:}44{:}24.090$ applied in clinical settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:44:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.750$ And then in terms of the informatics

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:44:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.390$ for you know like RNA analysis it

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:44:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.050$ would it would be based on the genomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

00:44:36.980 --> 00:44:39.860 There's certainly overlap where you can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:44:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.192$ discriminate I would imagine my final

00:44:43.192 --> 00:44:48.820 question on the basis of the buy markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

00:44:48.820 --> 00:44:52.220 the efforts pull down subset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

00:44:52.220 --> 00:44:55.740 yeah that's what we're doing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:44:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.440$ that's why we had we've had such an

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00{:}44{:}58.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00.630$ extensive focus on which markers to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:45:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.830$ And then once we do the pull downs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:45:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.465$ how do you make that work robustly for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:45:05.465 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.305$ the very small amount that you pull down?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:45:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.019$ So one thing that struck me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00{:}45{:}11.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}13.590$ I think any body who's interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00{:}45{:}13.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.590$ in doing liquid biopsies of EV's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:45:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.920$ should probably understand this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00{:}45{:}18.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.446$ a milliliter of blood, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

00:45:21.446 --> 00:45:24.230 you might have 3 circulating tumor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:45:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.030$ 10 circulating tumor cells.

 $00:45:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.830$ There's something on the order

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:45:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.635$ of about a billion EV's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $00:45:29.635 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.190$ And there's something on the order of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:45:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.201$ 10 to the 16th versus 10 to the 18th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:45:38.201 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.107$ like a billion billion lipoprotein particles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

00:45:42.110 --> 00:45:44.590 So, So those since they're so close and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:45:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.589$ overlapping in size with the vesicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:45:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.830$ those become the main complicator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367286666667

00:45:51.870 --> 00:45:54.982 And it's what I like about the affinity

NOTE Confidence: 0.919367286666667

 $00:45:54.982 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.507$ pull down part is that you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968431054

00:45:59.810 --> 00:46:02.090 Directly interrogate A membrane receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968431054

 $00:46:02.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.143$ another membrane receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968431054

00:46:03.143 --> 00:46:05.249 and know that you're dealing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.968431054

 $00:46:05.249 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.841$ something that is likely something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.968431054

 $00:46:06.841 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.038$ has a little bit by later because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.968431054

 $00:46:09.038 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.970$ has a Tetra span and then thanks, yeah,

 $00:46:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.408$ the best questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884472171428571

 $00:46:46.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.930$ Yeah. So, So, yes, yes and yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884472171428571

 $00:46:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.574$ So the the question for folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.884472171428571

00:46:51.574 --> 00:46:53.288 online who maybe didn't hear it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:46:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.426$ are there. Impacts of the cellular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:46:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.346$ the state of the cell in terms of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:47:02.346 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.359$ metabolism or other stressors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:47:04.359 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.789$ affect the type of vesicles produced

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}47{:}06.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10.022$ And are there impacts also on the ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:47:10.022 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.149$ that cells receive vesicles. So you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.584$ 2004 Arnie Levine showed that P53 was

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:20.584 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.869$ central regulator of producing exosome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}47{:}22.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.405$ So there's. From way back there's there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:26.405 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.600$ been an understanding that genotoxic stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.798$ hence my interest as a radiation oncologist.

 $00:47:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.160$ Radiation kicks off a surge of these and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}47{:}38.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.448$ no so you can give a sublethal dose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:43.448 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.532$ it it stimulates the exozone pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:46.532 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.615$ So so this is there's clearly a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:50.615 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.035$ Very wide heterogeneous range

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:53.035 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.860$ of types of vesicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.664$ There are these exosomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:56.664 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.302$ they're small ones made in the vesicles or

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}47{:}58.302 \to 00{:}47{:}59.854$ other types that are shut off the surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.724$ There are the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}48{:}00.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.740$ I guess you could call them apoptosomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:48:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.708$ the ones that are shut in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:48:04.708 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.020$ the context of apoptosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}48{:}08.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}10.795$ I think we are only scratching the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:48:10.795 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.420$ surface of those different types the

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00:48:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.580$ in the 80s or 90s they originally described.

 $00:48:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.905$ These little vesicles and microscopy

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00{:}48{:}20.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.113$ is platelet dust where they just

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00:48:23.113 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.739$ kind of kick out the garbage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00{:}48{:}24.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27.340$ So there was first an idea that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00:48:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.099$ are garbage bags and there was this idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00{:}48{:}30.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.774$ that they're sophisticated endocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00:48:31.774 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.259$ systems of communicating between cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00:48:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.409$ I think it's both and and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00:48:36.409 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.260$ lot of stuff in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00:48:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.836$ So for me, I'm going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00:48:40.836 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.194$ looking for different types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

 $00:48:43.194 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.884$ vesicles with different types of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956606625

00:48:45.890 --> 00:48:47.570 Aberrant DNA damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00{:}48{:}50.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.370$ Addux and other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00{:}48{:}53.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57.850$ So yes genotoxic stress increases

 $00:48:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.970$ exosome production per se.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.650$ Also probably stress and loving

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.702$ There also is starving cells to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00{:}49{:}09.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.478$ is really kind of related to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00{:}49{:}12.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.134$ work that Raghu Glory has talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:14.134 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.019$ a lot about which is that the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

00:49:16.020 --> 00:49:17.272 Pancreatic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:17.272 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.776$ which are essentially just

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:19.776 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.654$ ravenous for resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.720$ take up these therapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.780$ vesicles that he produces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.772$ And he thinks that that's because

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:27.772 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.580$ of their metabolic state and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00{:}49{:}29.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.260$ receptor affinity for vesicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

00:49:31.260 --> 00:49:32.940 compared to surrounding tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

00:49:32.940 --> 00:49:34.944 which doesn't seem to pick up

 $00:49:34.944 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.620$ those therapeutic vesicles as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00{:}49{:}36.620 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}49{:}41.500$ But any type of vesicle that you look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.518$ you can find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.680$ Yin and Yang and a lot of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.550$ So there are the E V's or exosomes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

00:49:52.550 --> 00:49:55.192 cause essentially vaccinating effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:55.192 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.520$ tumor stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:49:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.430$ There are other vesicles which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00{:}49{:}59.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02.675$ clearly inhibitory that promote a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:50:02.675 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.879$ mildly suppressor type phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:50:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.040$ which are which which do what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:50:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.180$ Until we systematically start

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00{:}50{:}09.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.320$ breaking the groups apart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

00:50:11.320 --> 00:50:12.340 there are a lot of mysteries

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266198

 $00:50:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.360$ that are hard to unravel.

 $00:50:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.890$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:50:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.050$ So that's a really good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:50:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.478$ As with all of it, part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:50:29.478 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.448$ the answer is it depends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:50:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.306$ So if you make synthetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00.50.37.306 \longrightarrow 00.50.38.726$ ones and you inject them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:50:38.730 --> 00:50:42.048 it depends on how you made them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:50:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.205$ They could just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:50:43.205 --> 00:50:45.515 Go first pass and get largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:50:45.515 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.822$ taken up in the spleen or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:50:47.822 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.230$ liver and they may make only

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:50:49.230 --> 00:50:50.330 kind of one round through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}50{:}50.330 \to 00{:}50{:}51.710$ so it might really matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:50:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.090$ which way you inject them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00:50:55.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.138$ In other cases where you've made

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

00:50:58.138 --> 00:50:59.610 them under other conditions,

00:50:59.610 --> 00:51:01.330 they circle around quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00:51:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.050$ a bit longer beforehand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00:51:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.560$ I I think the common understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00:51:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.101$ is that probably the turnover

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00:51:09.101 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.843$ overall is something like 6 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00:51:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.010$ But it's relatively rapid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

00:51:16.010 --> 00:51:19.210 But for me as a radiation oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

00:51:19.210 --> 00:51:21.782 I don't feel like I need to run in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00:51:21.782 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.834$ and get a sample in the first hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00{:}51{:}24.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.208$ There are a lot of things that happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

00:51:27.210 --> 00:51:29.688 2448 hours later that take that long

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00{:}51{:}29.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.866$ to start to manifest and be able to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00{:}51{:}33.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36.280$ discernible even if you did seamless

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316921

 $00:51:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.410$ offstaining in the affected tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93522182

00:51:42.790 --> 00:51:44.308 It seems to be quite rapid,

 $00:52:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.130$ so I think there's a myth that

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

 $00{:}52{:}04.130 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}07.230$ every vesicle a cell relieves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

00:52:07.230 --> 00:52:09.490 shoots out and heads straight

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

 $00:52:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.298$ for the bloodstream and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

 $00:52:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.580$ Circulates and then whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

 $00:52:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.380$ the kidneys, clear some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

 $00:52:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.252$ There lots of urine studies which look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

 $00:52:20.252 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.438$ vascular populations deliver clear some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

 $00{:}52{:}22.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.000$ I suspect that the clearance is dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

 $00:52:25.069 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.631$ on the surface markers like selectins

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544583333333

00:52:27.631 --> 00:52:29.339 and organ specific distributions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903 00:52:31.580 --> 00:52:31.980 but NOTE Confidence: 0.93270617

00:52:34.180 --> 00:52:36.180 I wish I knew who first said this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93270617

 $00:52:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.860$ but I I've heard it said that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:52:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.040$ The blood is sort of our ocean within.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:52:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.840$ So in the context of organisms evolving

00:52:49.840 --> 00:52:54.320 through mammals and vertebrates to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00{:}52{:}54.320 \to 00{:}52{:}58.020$ circulating system that those circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:52:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.860$ systems strikingly reflect the oceans and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:53:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.920$ those salinity conditions. Other things

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.510$ there's a researcher at MIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

00:53:10.510 --> 00:53:13.642 Who? Sally Chisholm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:13.642 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.038$ who discovered when she was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00{:}53{:}17.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.990$ postdoc Prochlorococcus bacteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.610$ which are responsible for some

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

00:53:21.610 --> 00:53:24.230 ridiculous amount of the world's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.870$ CO2 metabolism in the oceans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:25.870 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.020$ Like when you fly over in some areas are kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00{:}53{:}28.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30.023$ of green and some areas are kind of blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

00:53:30.030 --> 00:53:32.430 It's different. Prochloroccus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.250$ They shed vesicles and there's a

 $00:53:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.590$ thought that's part of how they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00{:}53{:}39.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41.650$ Communicate and cross regulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

00:53:41.650 --> 00:53:45.550 But I think in our compact systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.304$ there's probably a great deal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:48.304 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.822$ vesicle release that impacts the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:50.822 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.052$ local tumor microenvironment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:53:53.052 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.584$ is not necessarily part of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00{:}53{:}55.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.841$ processes out and which which

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

00:53:58.841 --> 00:54:00.863 stay and which get processed out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:54:00.870 \dashrightarrow 00:54:04.263$ We talked a little bit about it at dinner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00{:}54{:}04.270 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}54{:}06.394$ We just we have to find better ways of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92111244

 $00:54:06.394 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.348$ studying the extracellular spaces I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

00:54:23.740 --> 00:54:25.588 So I was hoping I could find

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

00:54:25.588 --> 00:54:26.860 unique sorts of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00:54:26.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.218$ but that's not what I'm finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00:54:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.077$ I'm finding patterns among classes of cells

 $00:54:33.077 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.149$ as opposed to unique this versus that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00:54:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.913$ And I I think you know, if you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

00:54:38.913 --> 00:54:40.599 about anatomic pathology and how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

00:54:40.599 --> 00:54:42.866 take a chunk of tissue and you look at it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00:54:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.874$ so PSMA, that's pretty indicative of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00:54:46.874 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.614$ prostate cancer cell in a certain state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

00:54:49.614 --> 00:54:52.429 If you took a chunk of prostate tissue out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00:54:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.910$ if you took a piece of my perotid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00{:}54{:}54.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57.616$ you'd also see high levels of PSMA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

00:54:57.616 --> 00:55:02.962 So PSMA is not really a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00:55:02.962 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.148$ prostate cancer necessarily marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00{:}55{:}07.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12.601$ So I I actually think the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00{:}55{:}12.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}14.856$ best classifying markers will will

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

 $00:55:14.856 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.431$ probably not be exactly the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.927310497272727

00:55:17.431 --> 00:55:19.525 as those which have been defined

 $00{:}55{:}19.525 \to 00{:}55{:}22.190$ so far in intact tissue contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6240114600:55:24.310 --> 00:55:24.400 All

NOTE Confidence: 0.887546576666667

00:55:29.080 --> 00:55:31.960 right, I put everybody to sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887546576666667

00:55:31.960 --> 00:55:32.998 Thank you, everybody.