WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:12:03" NOTE recognizability:0.828

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.574$  Part so today I'm so happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:02.574 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.010$  to introduce our term policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.190$  He's from university Iowa notice grant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.198$  Grant Grant Speaker invited by GI pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

00:00:11.198 --> 00:00:13.284 and the middle of the Country Life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:13.284 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.753$  So hard copies here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:14.753 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.630$  We in collaboration invite him to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083981925

 $00{:}00{:}17.640 --> 00{:}00{:}19.700$  here for talk so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.212$  Directly from University Northwestern

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:23.212 \dashrightarrow 00:00:28.388$  University of Chicago in 2004. I'm Diana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:00:28.390 --> 00:00:31.460 University of Virginia from 2004,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:31.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.917$  2008 and then after that he did

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:00:33.917 --> 00:00:36.568 one-year GFL in Ohio University.

 $00:00:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.795$  After that in 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}00{:}38.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.654$  2009 right he moved to Harvard Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:41.654 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.910$  School for instructor for two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.185$  and then he moved to University Iowa

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:46.185 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.422$  and then from a senior professor as

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}00{:}48.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.478$  a professor and I'm full professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.742$  And that the policy he published

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:53.742 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.145$  more than 150 papers and then also

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:00:56.145 --> 00:00:58.589 book book chapters and most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:58.589 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.424$  papers published and which chapters

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:00.424 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.635$  in GI pathology even though chemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:02.635 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.264$  and the new tumor and that's why his

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:01:06.264 --> 00:01:09.202 research focuses on immuno markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}01{:}09.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.939$  you know for for all the diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:01:11.939 --> 00:01:14.664 and also he has his research

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:14.664 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.020$  in neuroendocrine tumor.

 $00:01:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.456$  He has been joining a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.060$  a lot of collaborative studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.721$  you know for H.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:01:21.721 --> 00:01:23.429 OK, for Edge grants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.840$  you know his collaborator for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.680$  studies therefore you are consumer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:01:27.680 --> 00:01:30.152 That's why you know he involves so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:01:30.152 --> 00:01:33.374 professional societies especially for cap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:33.374 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.286$  US cap and ASAP for cab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:36.286 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.072$  He has been the even though his

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:01:39.072 --> 00:01:41.066 country committee from member,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}01{:}41.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.778$  vice chair and chair and also he's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:44.778 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.988$  international even though his commentary

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}01{:}46.988 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}01{:}49.374$  Society Board of directors and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:49.374 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.490$  involve other lot of committees.

 $00:01:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.850$  US CAP and cap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}01{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.390$  I will not mention all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.318$  Or he has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:01:58.320 --> 00:01:59.880 I have so many publications I

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.698$  mentioned and I gave so many talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}02{:}01.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.332$  Also, you know you watch the talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:02:03.332 --> 00:02:05.860 everywhere you ask cap cap SP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:02:05.860 --> 00:02:08.380 So I cannot mention how many hits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:02:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.920$  more than 100 pages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:02:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.388$  So it's better to ask him to talk so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:02:14.390 --> 00:02:16.022 So Andrew, test your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:02:16.022 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.838$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625 00:02:16.840 --> 00:02:17.260 Yes, NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:02:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.720$  yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:02:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.302$  This is this is literally my

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:02:35.302 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.159$  favorite thing to do in the world.

 $00:02:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.065$  Is to is to interact with folks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:02:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.950$  with folks like you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:02:43.950 --> 00:02:47.850 And I'm so privileged to to have this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:02:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.062$  to have this position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:02:50.062 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.594$  You know, the single most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:02:53.594 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.783$  take home point from any talk is

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:02:57.783 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.207$  that the purpose of this talk is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}03{:}02.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.749$  make a connection with each of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.244$  I'm here for you and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:11.244 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.240$  became whatever you want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:14.240 --> 00:03:16.100 If you know me from Twitter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:16.100 --> 00:03:18.168 I became IHC guy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:18.168 --> 00:03:20.236 From from you here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}03{:}20.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.627$ you know I and this talk is

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:22.627 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.880$  gonna be about how to become,

 $00:03:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.770$  how to become an act,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:25.770 --> 00:03:26.950 how to become an expert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.462$  And the way to become an expert

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:28.462 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.328$  is to say that you're an expert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:30.330 --> 00:03:32.026 That's one of the, that's one of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.088$  that's one of the cheat codes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:34.090 --> 00:03:36.688 Uh, I'm a pretty informal guy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}03{:}36.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.792$  so I invite every body to relax

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:39.792 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.270$  and to try to be present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:43.270 --> 00:03:45.490 And actually the hardest thing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}03{:}45.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.062$  me as I get going is to be present

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:49.062 --> 00:03:50.938 because it's it's it's anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:50.938 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.610$  provoking to be to be in front of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}03{:}53.610 --> 00{:}03{:}55.386$  in front of all you guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.064$  One thing that I'll say is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:58.064 --> 00:04:00.364 always astounds me that I have

 $00:04:00.364 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.512$  this platform and that we all

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:04:02.512 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.016$  and that we all come together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}04{:}05.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.450$  Because I had a problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:04:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.394$  relationship with my father,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:04:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.500$  and if it wasn't for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:04:11.500 --> 00:04:15.161 I would probably be in fourth period

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:04:15.161 --> 00:04:17.160 teaching chemistry right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:04:17.160 --> 00:04:19.216 And you know, I need to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}04{:}19.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.514$  I need to do it better because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:04:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.826$  Ohh boy. We lost. Somebody's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:04:27.826 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.256$  Somebody's moving the screen around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:04:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.874$  And the the other thing I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}04{:}32.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.230$  the other thing I'll say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:04:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.953$  I've given this talk multiple times. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:04:40.953 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.460$  And the the last time I gave it was at

00:04:44.460 --> 00:04:47.900 Mayo and I wanted to and I wanted to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:04:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.468$  but I was so dumbstruck by

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

00:04:50.468 --> 00:04:52.720 what I encountered at Mayo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00{:}04{:}52.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.976$  which I'll briefly relate to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:04:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.546$  that this talk is, you know, if I gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

00:04:59.546 --> 00:05:02.180 the talk that I actually came to give,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.434$  which I'm not sure that I will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.387$  this talk is my love letter to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00{:}05{:}07.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.782$ immunohistochemistry and I give lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:09.782 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.898$  of kinds of immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.019$  Box I give.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:13.019 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.630$  I give ones that are love letters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.450$  I give ones that are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.075$  I call myself an immuno optimist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:20.075 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.448$  immuno pessimist and an immune realist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.018$  I give an immuno pessimist talk

 $00:05:25.018 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.810$  this past weekend to the CAP CSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.222$  The title of the talk was

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:30.222 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.830$  immunohistochemistry is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:31.906 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.349$  midst of a quality crisis and low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.852$  Her two is a symptom and most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:36.852 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.942$  talks that I give are immuno realists

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:38.942 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.629$  and I give a lot of nuts and bolts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.468$  Didactic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:42.468 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.982$  About diagnostic applications

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

00:05:44.982 --> 00:05:46.658 of immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:05:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.342$  So this is this is the title of

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}05{:}52.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.063$  the talk that I submitted next

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}05{:}55.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.620$  generation immunohistochemistry how

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}05{:}56.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.415$  to build highly effective diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

00:05:59.415 --> 00:06:01.739 tests in anatomic pathology.

 $00:06:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.140$  But I think maybe this is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}06{:}04.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.816$  the talk that I'd like to to that I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:06.816 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.390$  like to try to give simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

00:06:09.390 --> 00:06:11.826 On the invitation and advice of Zuchen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.086$  who actually invited me to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:14.086 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.950$  this talk three years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.100$  and he said that my target audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.750$  was trainees and young faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.578$  And so how about to the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

00:06:24.578 --> 00:06:25.790 generation of pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}06{:}25.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.898$  how to cultivate a highly successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:28.898 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.944$  career in anatomic pathology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

00:06:30.944 --> 00:06:33.484 You, you have the tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.594$  the most important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:34.594 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.434$  the most important insight that

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:36.434 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.730$  I can give you is to do this.

 $00:06:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.874$  You have to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154 00:06:39.874 --> 00:06:40.160 Yourself. NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:06:42.980 --> 00:06:45.339 So if I give the straight talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:06:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.040$  this is the elevator pitch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:06:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.370$  I can build an immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:06:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.700$  test to virtually any target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:06:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.400$  and these 150 publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:06:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.540$  are predicated in that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}06{:}56.540 \to 00{:}06{:}59.942$  and the value proposition is for all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:06:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.217$  What ioffer you is at the end of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:07:03.217 --> 00:07:05.758 lecture is probably too ambitious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.748$  but my goal is to begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}07{:}07.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.337$  provide you the tools to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:10.337 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.997$  to build an immunohistochemical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:12.000 \dashrightarrow 00:07:14.416$  Test to any target every time I go

 $00:07:14.416 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.543$  to a meeting and I've introduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}07{:}17.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.983$  the new immunohistochemical marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:07:19.990 --> 00:07:22.654 The most common question that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:07:22.654 --> 00:07:25.658 get from from you know world expert

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:25.658 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.326$  end user diagnostic pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:07:27.326 --> 00:07:29.348 is how did you do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.934$  Is this commercially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:30.934 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.046$  is this commercially available?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}07{:}33.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.586$  Where do I get this and and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:35.586 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.258$  answer is that there are dozens

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}07{:}38.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.698$  if not hundreds of commercially

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:40.698 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.120$  available antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.200$  To virtually every target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:07:46.200 --> 00:07:51.303 because science is a \$160 billion industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:51.303 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.440$  I can't remember David where I resourced it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.086$  but Google, Google, I know the NIH.

 $00:07:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.510$  The NIH, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:08:01.510 --> 00:08:07.860 grants \$40 billion of of award every year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.398$  And so there's a good jillion

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:08:10.398 --> 00:08:12.540 antibody companies and they make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:08:12.540 --> 00:08:13.050 Immunohistology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.090$  they make primary antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:08:15.090 --> 00:08:17.130 to everything because some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.874$  somewhere in some corner of the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}08{:}19.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.640$  somebody is studying, studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.674$  And so you can make an

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:24.674 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.540$  immunohistochemical test at any target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.586$  The biography, you know this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}08{:}31.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.876$  This is important because this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}08{:}33.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.938$  This is to answer the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:35.938 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.946$  how to cultivate a successful

00:08:37.946 --> 00:08:40.186 career in anatomic pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}08{:}40.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.628$  and I'll say more specifically how

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:43.628 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.147$  to cultivate a successful career in

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:47.147 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.513$  anatomic pathology in the context of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.334$  Constrained resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:08:51.334 --> 00:08:54.590 You know, I've worked at a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:54.667 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.761$  different places and there are very few

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:57.761 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.198$  exceptional places with the best people

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:00.198 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.171$  and the best cohorts and the best resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:03.171 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.854$  the vast majority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664925433333333

 $00:09:04.854 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.630$  The central mass of places are lovely places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.150$  Iowa is a lovely place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.340$  but even within the constraints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.268$  the constraints of a lovely place

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:17.268 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.790$  if you are smart and passionate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.788$  And have a point of view.

00:09:22.790 --> 00:09:25.730 You can have a wildly successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.690$  career in anatomic pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:09:27.690 --> 00:09:30.588 And So what did I decide to lean into?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.968$  I decided to lean into immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:09:33.970 --> 00:09:35.950 I started out in GI pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:09:35.950 --> 00:09:38.010 I am a GI pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.338$  but I decided to lean into

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:40.338 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.490$  immunohistochemistry for so many reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.989$  And then another thing Zuchen mentioned is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:44.989 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.350$  I'm also a neuroendocrine tumor pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.562$  Every lovely place is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:50.562 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.670$  Exceptional at something or a few things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}09{:}54.670 --> 00{:}09{:}56.848$  And the reason that I'm a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:56.848 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.937$  neuroendocrine tumor pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:57.937 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.907$  is at the University of Iowa,

 $00:09:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.182$  the most special group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:02.182 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.022$  the most successful multidisciplinary group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.190$  the most highly funded group is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:10:07.190 --> 00:10:08.630 the neuroendocrine tumor group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.583$  And so if you go to a place and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:10:10.583 --> 00:10:12.412 you want to study pancreas cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:12.412 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.830$  or you want to study colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}10{:}14.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.655$  I've studied all these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:16.655 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.805$  things in different phases of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:18.805 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.798$  career and they're the they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664925433333333

 $00:10:20.798 \dashrightarrow 00:10:25.238$  See or a B minus group in that tumor type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.220$  it's a it's a losing proposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}10{:}27.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.872$  So one of the recommendations is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:29.872 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.715$  to survey the scene and identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:32.715 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.695$  the the most talented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.500$  most passionate individuals and

 $00:10:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.750$  allow yourself with that group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:10:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.540$  So I think you've already

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:10:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.250$  gotten a sense of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:10:44.250 --> 00:10:48.252 I'm anybody is anybody familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:10:48.252 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.050$  the Myers Briggs personality inventory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:10:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.466$  I'm a union psychologist on the side and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:10:57.470 --> 00:11:00.830 I'm an ENFP and ENFP's

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.518$  are like functional ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.138$  And so this is how a normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:06.138 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.850$  person tells this story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.670$  And this is how I this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.249$  how I tell the story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:11.250 --> 00:11:13.128 It's I tell lots of stories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.402$  It's very iterative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:14.402 --> 00:11:16.946 I say that my my communication

 $00:11:16.946 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.340$  is at times circumlocutory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.188$  But it always comes around to a point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}11{:}22.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.080$  So the value proposition and I and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:24.080 --> 00:11:26.390 I speak to lots of audiences today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.358$  I'm mostly talking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:28.358 --> 00:11:29.834 diagnostic pathology trainees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.416$  but I also talked to base to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:32.416 --> 00:11:35.299 basic scientists quite a bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}11{:}35.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36.997$  challenges in immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:37.000 --> 00:11:38.790 And I'll say that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.864$  benefits from smart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:39.870 --> 00:11:41.754 technically sound immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:41.754 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.522$  and I'm offering to be your

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}11{:}45.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.950$ immunohistochemistry concierge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:46.950 --> 00:11:50.430 I I I warmly invite you to reach out to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.130$  I'm deeply interested in what.

00:11:52.130 --> 00:11:53.564 You're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}11{:}53.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.432$  I'm deeply interested in helping to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:56.432 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.814$  advance your academic careers because

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:58.814 --> 00:12:00.458 I want to interact with bright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.705$  talented, passionate individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:01.705 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.195$  And so this talk is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.656$  it's directed at everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:05.656 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.476$  It's mainly directed at trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}12{:}07.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.077$  I love to interact with local IHC

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}12{:}10.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.061$  lab directors because I benefited

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:12.061 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.051$  so much from interaction with

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}12{:}14.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.496$  IHC lab directors when I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:12:16.496 --> 00:12:18.848 a junior member on the CAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:18.848 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.643$  Immunohistochemistry committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:19.643 \dashrightarrow 00:12:22.464$  I I love interacting with clinical fact.

00:12:22.470 --> 00:12:22.906 Faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}12{:}22.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.650$  especially junior faculty who

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:12:24.650 --> 00:12:27.344 want to do scholarship but have

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:27.344 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.579$  limited time and limited resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.925$  And I have a tried and true

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:12:31.925 --> 00:12:34.716 method to have a positive study

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}12{:}34.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.118$  using what I call next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:12:38.118 --> 00:12:40.234 immunohistochemistry as a function of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}12{:}40.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.640$  what my chair at Brigham told me to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.240$  which was a translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.040$  molecular pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.800$  And I also love to I love to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}12{:}47.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.917$  talk to scientists and I love

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:12:49.917 --> 00:12:51.533 to collaborate with scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.165$  For the scientists in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:12:54.165 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.493$  room if you were going to read two

00:12:56.493 --> 00:12:59.047 papers about if you were going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}12{:}59.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.112$  read one paper about immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.066$  So this is and thank you David

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:03.066 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.479$  for your work on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.182$  This is a this is a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:07.182 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.912$  that discusses validation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:08.912 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.880$  immunohistochemical markers in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.852$  research space and it's all about

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:13:13.852 --> 00:13:16.438 diet it's all about specificity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:16.438 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.622$  the antibody now for clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:18.622 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.709$  like myself that don't have access to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.070$  Gene editing technologies, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}13{:}24.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.958$  to validate their immunostains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:25.958 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.790$  How do I validate my immunostains?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:13:28.790 --> 00:13:29.336 Clinically,

00:13:29.336 --> 00:13:30.974 diagnostically with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:13:30.974 --> 00:13:33.704 large cohorts of of tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:13:33.710 --> 00:13:35.942 gold standard diagnosis, H&E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:35.942 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.174$  But for basic scientists

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:38.174 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.640$  this is the way to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.380$  And then this paper is wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}13{:}42.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.062$  It's from my friend Angelo Dimarzo

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:13:45.062 --> 00:13:47.658 that that really applies many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}13{:}47.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.206$  the principles in the in the Yulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:13:50.206 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.767$  paper and does it in a case based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:13:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.136$  This is an incredibly important concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:13:59.136 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.205$  and I talked about this in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:02.205 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.020$  the teaching session this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:04.020 --> 00:14:05.754 This concept of fit for purpose

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:05.754 --> 00:14:07.779 I and I stated it slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}07.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.794$  different in the unknown session.

 $00:14:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.180$  I said that immunohistochemical assays are

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.100$  not interchangeable between laboratories

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:17.196 --> 00:14:19.330 and the quantity of the analyte,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:19.330 --> 00:14:21.178 which is something that we rarely measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:21.180 --> 00:14:22.520 and David's working on it

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.550$  and my goal is to take that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.266$  Of the clinical laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:26.266 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.584$  But the quantity of the analyte that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}29.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.252$  we're measuring by immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:32.252 --> 00:14:34.380 indirectly is very variable among

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.977$  tumor types that you might use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}36.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.294$  same immuno for the example that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}39.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41.818$  gave this morning was got a got a 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.204$  there's a tiny amount of got a 3IN

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:44.204 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.388$  para Feo relative to the amount

 $00:14:46.388 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.626$  of peripheral got it 3IN breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:48.626 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.411$  cancer in urothelial cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:50.411 --> 00:14:52.694 so for immunohistochemistry to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:52.694 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.184$  successful and the reason that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:55.190 --> 00:14:57.980 Published studies vary so much is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.375$  that immunohistochemical assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:59.375 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.938$  should be conceived of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.088$  optimized and validated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:15:03.088 --> 00:15:05.236 meet a specific purpose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.275$  A single immunohistochemical assay is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}15{:}08.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.310$  generally poorly positioned to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:11.395 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.185$  multiple purposes and the the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:14.185 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.548$  her two problem is an is an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.800$  And here's another wonderful example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.446$  ER clinical IHC assays are optimized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.348$  To select patients for endocrine therapy,

00:15:28.350 --> 00:15:30.150 that's how they're optimized and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.833$  if you wanted to build an ER

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:32.833 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.863$  as say to distinguish luminal from

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:34.863 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.610$  triple negative breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.980$  it would be a fundamentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.350$  different assay and that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:15:41.350 --> 00:15:43.278 Triple negative breast cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:15:43.278 --> 00:15:45.206 by gene expression profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:45.206 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.462$  sometimes maybe 5% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:15:47.462 --> 00:15:51.524 7% of the time they have ER 1 + 1, two 310%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:51.524 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.583$  This low ER problem that was addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}15{:}54.583 \rightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.509$  in the last ASCO CAP guideline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}15{:}57.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.678$  The original immunohistochemical assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:59.678 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.690$  for ER were optimized to predict survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:16:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.466$  so they were they were prognostic.

 $00:16:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.380$  But the the current immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:16:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.290$  assays optimized for this purpose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:16:11.290 --> 00:16:14.040 Predict response to endocrine therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:16:14.040 --> 00:16:16.938 do pretty good but imperfectly for

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:16:16.938 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.594$  this application and then this

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:16:19.594 --> 00:16:22.170 application is disappears entirely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:16:25.790 --> 00:16:27.478 Skip this. Everybody loves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:16:27.478 --> 00:16:28.744 Everybody loves immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:28.744 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.010$  because it's cheap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.946$  It's widely available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}16{:}30.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.478$  This is an important point that I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:16:33.478 --> 00:16:35.501 to emphasize to people that want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:35.501 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.812$  do research in immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:36.812 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.484$  is there is a near endless supply of

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.866$  commercially available primary antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:40.866 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.930$  You just have to be patient.

00:16:42.930 --> 00:16:44.490 The first one might not work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.146$  the second one might not work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.350$  the fifth one might not work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.616$  and then you have to decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:48.616 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.850$  when to cut your losses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.565$  These are the these are the advantages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.209$  These are a lot of the challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.400$  Yeah, it's not typically quantitative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:16:56.400 --> 00:16:58.857 And David, it is work is working on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:16:58.860 --> 00:16:59.940 This is from my,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.669$  as the Chair of the College of American

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:02.669 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.713$  Pathologists Immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}03.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.323$  Committee responsible for putting out

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:06.323 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.086$  a national and really international

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:09.086 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.718$  proficiency testing program.

00:17:10.720 --> 00:17:11.821 There's lack of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:11.821 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.023$  there's lack of high quality material

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}14.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.230$  for external quality assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:17:16.230 --> 00:17:18.814 And then as a local IHC lab director,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.112$  this impacts my ability to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}21.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.640$  high quality control material

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:22.704 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.516$  and then this is the promise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.512$  We want homogeneous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:17:26.512 --> 00:17:29.168 controlled material of known

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:17:29.170 --> 00:17:30.128 analyte concentration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:30.128 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.523$  And there's a couple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:32.523 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.690$  ways to skin this cat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:17:34.690 --> 00:17:38.060 David working with my friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:17:38.060 --> 00:17:41.288 Regan Fulton in Sausalito, CA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}41.288 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}17{:}44.223$  You can combine isagenix cell lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}44.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.978$  and you get the quantification

 $00:17:45.978 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.969$  from however you do quantification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}47.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.602$  Mass spec, for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:49.602 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.642$  And then there's another paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:17:51.650 --> 00:17:54.068 There's a guy called Steve Bogan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.674$  and he has a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:17:55.674 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.679$  Company called Boston Cell Standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:17:57.679 --> 00:18:00.609 and he coats glass microbeads with

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:18:00.609 --> 00:18:02.569 known concentrations of analyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:18:02.569 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.370$  and that's traceable to to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:18:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.600$  reference standard and I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}18{:}07.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.530$  I said the immuno pessimist

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:18:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.688$  talks immunohistochemistry is

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}18{:}10.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.037$  in the midst of equality crisis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:18:13.040 --> 00:18:15.824 It's mainly because of lack of

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:18:15.824 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.216$  quantifiable reference material

00:18:17.216 --> 00:18:19.332 and we are actively working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:18:19.332 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.936$  this at the at the at the national

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:18:21.936 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.516$  level and this is 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62878126

00:18:31.070 --> 00:18:33.980 As next generation Immuno Histochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62878126

 $00:18:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.240$  we'll talk about selection, optimization

NOTE Confidence: 0.62878126

 $00:18:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.810$  and validation depending on time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:18:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.332$  Presented a dry challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:18:45.332 --> 00:18:48.520 One of my friends on your Roden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:18:48.520 --> 00:18:50.860 who's a wonderful long, she's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:18:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.658$  the director of the IHC lab at Mayo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:18:53.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.060$  She said, Andrew, there's this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:18:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.540$  she's a she loves thymoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:18:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.220$  There's this wonderful immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:19:00.220 --> 00:19:03.064 marker that was published in a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:19:03.064 --> 00:19:04.877 in MJ Surge Path 10 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.248$  and it's not commercially

 $00:19:06.248 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.550$  available and I want to study it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}19{:}08.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.662$  But we're stuck, and I and I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:19:11.662 --> 00:19:14.770 solve her problem by finding many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.654$  many commercially available

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:16.654 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.794$  antibodies that target my babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.528$  So I do lots and lots of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:19:22.528 --> 00:19:23.210 and immunohistochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.522$  and my favorite fun thing to do is make

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}19{:}26.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.009$  novel diagnostic markers from from scratch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:19:30.010 --> 00:19:33.174 And so OTC is in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.264$  the most sensitive Pato

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:35.264 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.827$  cellular differentiation marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:36.830 \dashrightarrow 00:19:39.296$  The other thing I like to do is repurpose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637 00:19:39.300 --> 00:19:39.731 Antibodies. NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:39.731 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.610$  So IGF two which had emerged as a diagnostic

 $00:19:43.692 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.617$  marker for adrenal cortical carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.084$  I found a novel diagnostic application

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:51.084 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.757$  in paraganglioma pheochromocytoma packs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:53.757 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.942$  One, we talked about cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:55.942 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.253$  reactivity this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:19:57.260 --> 00:19:59.759 I said polyclonal packs 8 cross reacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:59.759 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.744$  with other packs family transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:01.744 --> 00:20:04.276 factors including pack 6 and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:04.276 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.334$  why it's an adequate diagnostic marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:07.334 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.478$  in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}09.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.526$  And I was actually having dinner

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:11.526 --> 00:20:14.276 with Anya at a Kappa HC committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:14.276 --> 00:20:16.020 meeting four years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.054$  And she said I want to do a course

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:18.054 --> 00:20:20.157 about pitfalls and thoracic pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:20.160 --> 00:20:21.465 Like, you know,

00:20:21.465 --> 00:20:24.075 polyclonal Paxata is positive in thymomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.340$  but monoclonal paxata is negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.204$  And she said that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637 00:20:27.204 --> 00:20:27.636 And I, NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}27.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.896$  from the example that I knew

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:29.896 --> 00:20:32.280 from PAX 5 and pack six,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.160$  I knew that that there was some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.976$  PAX family transcription factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:36.976 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.018$  that was expressed in the thymus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}40.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.520$  And I all you have to do is have pub,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637 00:20:42.520 --> 00:20:42.919 pub,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:42.919 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.313$  Med and a few bioinformatics tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}45.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.900$  that I will share with you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.500$  be able to solve this in silico.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.684$  And then doing the clinical study

 $00:20:52.684 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.422$  is a formality angler one is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}55.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.038$  diagnostic marker for chondromyxoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:57.038 --> 00:20:59.854 fibroma and it's based on the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:59.854 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.390$  defining molecular genetic event

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.990$  and that lesion hey one and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:04.990 --> 00:21:07.254 specifically hay one immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:07.254 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.573$  directed to the end terminus of the hay one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.836$  Gene or I should say protein as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:13.836 --> 00:21:15.822 diagnostic marker for mesenchymal

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:15.822 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.994$  chondrosarcoma where a diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}21{:}17.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.609$  marker did not cry previously exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.210$  And then the last one got a four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.535$  which I've brought up as a pan

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:27.535 --> 00:21:29.666 GI marker expressed in the upper

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:29.666 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.430$  tract and not in the colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:31.430 --> 00:21:34.166 So very complementary to SAP B2,

 $00:21:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.322$  which is more of a lower GI colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:36.322 --> 00:21:37.882 specific marker and I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:37.882 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.328$  that we'll get to all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:39.391 --> 00:21:41.256 specific examples and that's fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.895$  The concepts more important than

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:42.895 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.203$  the than the detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:21:46.440 --> 00:21:48.376 So next generation immunohistochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:21:48.376 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.562$  this is my, this is my stick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:21:51.562 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.830$  And this term I, I sort of Co developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:21:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.070$  with with my very close friend that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:21:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.659$  worked with incredibly closely at Brigham.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}01.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.999$  Jason hornick. Jason and I do next

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}04.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.953$  generation immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}05.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.838$  He does it almost exclusively in

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}07.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.691$  sarcoma and I do it in pan cancer

00:22:10.691 --> 00:22:12.223 diagnostic applications because I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}12.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.656$  at Iowa right because he's at Brigham

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:14.656 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.250$  and he could do next generation of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.220$  Sarcoma and Iowa has a lovely

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:20.220 --> 00:22:21.210 sarcoma program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.330$  but it does not have an exceptional one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.672$  And so I do next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:26.672 --> 00:22:28.370 immunohistochemistry and I'm not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.430$  to restrict myself to any tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.662$  I'm going to pick off anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:32.662 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.520$  that anything that I can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:34.520 --> 00:22:36.656 And how do I find markers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.091$  And I had lovely discussions this morning

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:41.091 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.232$  about my passions for developmental biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:44.232 --> 00:22:47.074 I spend many Saturdays in the library

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:47.074 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.280$  reading developmental biology papers,

 $00:22:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.285$  trying to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:50.285 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.079$  First thing I told you guys this morning

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}53.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.383$  is tumors recapitulate cells and tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:55.383 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.216$  native to the organ in which they arise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:58.220 --> 00:23:00.943 And so it behooves me to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:00.943 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.835$  the developmental biology of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:02.835 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.797$  cells and tissues and from them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}04.800 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.930$  I derive my diagnostic markers those

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.001$  are my favorite are are querying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:10.001 --> 00:23:11.585 developmental biology literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:11.590 --> 00:23:13.972 We also do protein correlates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:13.972 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.918$  molecular genetic events and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}15.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.178$  know we did we talked about TP53

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}18.178 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}23{:}20.818$  and P53 immunohistochemistry RV one

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}20.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.930$  and RV immunohistochemistry this

 $00:23:23.009 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.530$  morning is exemplars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:24.530 --> 00:23:26.876 And then you can also identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:26.876 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.931$  markers identified by gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:28.931 --> 00:23:31.439 profiling and I say that's cheating

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:31.439 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.346$  and the reason I say it's cheating is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.220$  Well, I can't do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.120$  I don't have the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:36.120 --> 00:23:37.470 I don't have the resources to

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:37.526 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.918$  do gene expression profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:38.920 --> 00:23:41.881 I would rather use my brain to identify a

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:41.881 --> 00:23:44.674 target than have something come up as the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.206$  you know the the most differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:47.206 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.059$  expressed marker in some in some experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:50.059 --> 00:23:52.436 though I have used markers identify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:52.436 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.726$  I have used that strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:54.726 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.100$  to identify markers.

00:23:56.100 --> 00:23:56.628 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:56.628 --> 00:23:58.476 this is me being an immuno optimist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:58.480 --> 00:24:01.264 Our diagnostic markers keep getting better

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:24:01.264 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.498$  and better and better and you know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:24:04.500 --> 00:24:07.460 I'm a sort of a goodwill hunting pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286 00:24:07.460 --> 00:24:08.240 You wasted

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00:24:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.275$  \$150,000 on an education or some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}14.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.480$  fancy experiments for an education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.012$  You could have got for \$1.50 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:19.012 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.358$  late fees at the Public Library.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

00:24:21.360 --> 00:24:23.823 So this is how I find diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

00:24:23.823 --> 00:24:25.401 markers and this is and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00{:}24{:}25.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.207$  say you know this is an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}27.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.612$  This is How I Met cute got a 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00:24:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.036$  So this was the 1st paper that was

00:24:33.036 --> 00:24:34.812 published in the diagnostic pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}34.812 \longrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.877$  space that identified got a 3 as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:37.877 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.032$  urothelial cancer diagnostic marker and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:40.032 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.170$  this used gene expression profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00:24:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.220$  This came out of Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.338$  John Higgins was the first author.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.710$  This is Rob West and Matt

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.120$  Vander Reines work and they did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

00:24:51.120 --> 00:24:53.166 Gene expression profiling of Gu tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.710$  so they did kidney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00{:}24{:}55.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.396$  black bladder and and prostate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:57.396 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.707$  got a three was identified as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00:24:59.707 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.211$  highly differentially expressed gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:25:01.211 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.004$  in those tumor types and then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00:25:04.004 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.604$  validate it with immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:25:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.109$  Here's another one.

 $00:25:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.100$  And the defining molecular genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87388898666667

 $00{:}25{:}12.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.687$  event of a tumor is identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00{:}25{:}14.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.267$  through and through whole genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:25:17.267 --> 00:25:19.675 or whole exome sequencing, Jason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00{:}25{:}19.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.690$  And I say the tumor type has been solved

NOTE Confidence: 0.87388898666667

 $00:25:22.765 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.613$  and when the tumor type has been solved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:25:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.200$  this is such fertile ground to

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00{:}25{:}28.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.920$  identify a diagnostic marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:25:29.920 --> 00:25:32.908 So, so, so this defining molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00{:}25{:}32.908 \rightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.455$  genetic event of solitary fibrous

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:25:35.455 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.805$  tumor was described and within

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:25:37.805 --> 00:25:40.510 a few months Jason and Chris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:25:40.510 --> 00:25:42.660 Chris. Butcher and Leona Doyle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:25:42.660 --> 00:25:45.810 wonderful junior now middle faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:25:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.868$  used to be my resident and fellow

00:25:47.868 --> 00:25:49.830 can published a diagnostic study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:25:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.910$  and when the immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:25:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.030$  markers are identified in this fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:25:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.690$  they are so damn specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:25:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.970$  They're so damn sensitive and specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:25:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.119$  So this is how stat six came to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.172$  And then I can take it to my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.600$  So this was a case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00{:}26{:}07.600 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.805$  a spinal lesion that had a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:26:09.805 --> 00:26:11.786 bit of carrot and positivity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87388898666667

 $00{:}26{:}11.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.040$  sat in the file for 10 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:26:14.107 --> 00:26:16.119 diagnosed as synovial sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.300$  I had identified this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:26:17.300 --> 00:26:19.768 I was doing a TLE one validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.87388898666667

00:26:19.768 --> 00:26:21.616 several years ago and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:21.616 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.659$  TLE one negative and I looked at

00:26:23.659 --> 00:26:25.243 this tumor and I actually didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:25.306 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.956$  think it was synovial sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.795$  I thought it was a poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:26:29.795 --> 00:26:32.137 solitary fibrous tumor and in fact it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:32.137 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.678$  and I and I say using next generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.397$  Immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:35.397 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.699$  You can elevate the ability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00{:}26{:}39.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.850$  already strong morphologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:26:41.850 --> 00:26:43.434 already strong diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00:26:43.434 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.490$  surgical pathologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00{:}26{:}44.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.225$  You give them incredibly sharp

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

00:26:46.225 --> 00:26:47.960 tools and they can become

NOTE Confidence: 0.873888986666667

 $00{:}26{:}48.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.699$  exceptional diagnostically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:26:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.392$  And then hit my love letter to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:26:56.392 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.180$  transcription factors history.

00:26:58.180 --> 00:27:00.236 Historically, our diagnostic armamentarium

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}00.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02.806$  was geared toward cytoplasmic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:02.806 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.511$  membranous differentiation markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:04.511 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.759$  that were empirically discovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.147$  We would like mince up some tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}09.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.107$  and put it in A and put it in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:12.107 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.578$  rabbit and see and see what's stuck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:27:14.580 --> 00:27:16.060 And so, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}16.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.280$  here's a breast cancer with mammaglobin

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}18.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.879$  and gross cystic disease fluid protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.266$  And remember this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:22.266 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.576$  inside of plasmic differentiation markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.995$  their expression tends to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}26.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.927$  markedly reduced in poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:28.927 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.442$  differentiated tumors as opposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:31.442 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.912$  lineage restricted transcription

 $00:27:32.912 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.419$  factors that tend to not always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}35.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.742$  but tend to be diffusely strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:37.742 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.290$  expressed independent of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:39.355 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.037$  differentiation state status of the marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.353$  And I like to coin a lot of terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:44.353 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.915$  and this is what I call the primacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:27:46.920 --> 00:27:48.936 Primacy either means first or best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.406$  So this is for me the primacy because best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}51.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.730$  Primacy of lineage restricted

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:27:53.730 --> 00:27:54.890 transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:27:54.890 --> 00:27:56.615 And immuno optimists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:56.615 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.490$  immuno pessimists and immuno realists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}59.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02.666$  and really we should all be immuno realist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.368$  Every new immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:04.368 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.764$  test is like a new technology.

 $00:28:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.108$  This you may be familiar with is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}28{:}10.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.556$  the Gartner Hype cycle that's used

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}28{:}12.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.388$  to describe new technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:14.390 --> 00:28:16.690 When new technologies are described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.910$  we're incredibly enthusiastic about them

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.130$  and overly enthusiastic about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.080$  And then they start failing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.990$  bit and then we keep studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:24.990 --> 00:28:27.146 Studying and studying and we've learned more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.990$  and we learn advantages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:28.990 --> 00:28:29.843 disadvantages, limitations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:29.843 --> 00:28:30.236 pitfalls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:30.236 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.987$  and we end up with this plateau

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:32.987 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.350$  of productivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.934$  And I will say the reason that many

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:38.934 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.791$  immunohistochemical tests historically

00:28:40.791 --> 00:28:44.457 or new analytes have suffered from

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}28{:}44.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.810$  this is from poor experimental design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:47.810 --> 00:28:49.822 Immunohistochemical when you're introducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:49.822 --> 00:28:53.310 a new diagnostic marker into the space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.080$  it behooves one to examine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.880$  All the lesions in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:57.880 --> 00:28:58.600 differential diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:58.600 --> 00:29:02.552 if it behooves one to look for potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:02.552 --> 00:29:04.420 additional diagnostic utilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}04.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.107$  and you can do that by staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:06.107 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.240$  a bunch of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.802$  But you can also use publicly

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}09.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.510$ available gene expression data

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.798$  to do the experiment in silico,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.400$  and then and then to confirm it with

 $00:29:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.359$  parents paraffin and this is these

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}18.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.231$  are all papers published by Marku

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:20.299 --> 00:29:23.408 Marku Miettinen, who's a personal hero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:23.408 --> 00:29:26.068 and he bombs every new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:26.070 --> 00:29:26.916 Immunohistochemical marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:26.916 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.031$  he studies them in thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:29.031 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.770$  and thousands of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:30.770 --> 00:29:31.862 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:31.862 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.520$  got a 3 and 2500 tumors 4 for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:36.520 --> 00:29:39.289 And again, exceptional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:39.289 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.058$  lovely, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.769$  doing what you can within the constraints

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:44.769 --> 00:29:47.138 of the resources at your disposal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.119$  And So what I've done at Iowa over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:50.119 --> 00:29:52.839 last 11 years is do what Marku did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.240$  And so I've developed tissue microarrays.

00:29:55.240 --> 00:29:55.824 I, I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:55.824 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.408$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:56.408 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.607$  boasted this morning that I have hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:58.607 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.522$  of tissue microarrays of thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:30:00.522 \dashrightarrow 00:30:03.392$  of tumors of every, of every type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:30:03.392 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.056$  And I'm now able to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:30:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.504$  able to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:30:08.504 --> 00:30:11.526 And so instead of doing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:30:11.526 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.510$  we start at the plateau of productivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:30:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.650$  We start with an informed

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:30:17.650 --> 00:30:18.934 new diagnostic marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}30{:}24.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.351$  So stains I love most are oligo

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}30{:}27.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.770$  specific transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.970$  That, and I think a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.054$  expect to transcription factor to

 $00:30:33.054 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.494$  have a single diagnostic application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}30{:}35.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.260$  And I think that's entirely unrealistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.568$  that the body is too efficient to

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:41.568 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.868$  use a single transcription factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:43.868 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.684$  for a single application it it

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:46.684 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.755$  reuses things you know and and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:49.755 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.240$  It just behooves us to know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.497$  different diagnostic applications

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:53.497 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.877$  for all these different markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:30:55.880 --> 00:30:57.796 And so I say stains, I lovers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:30:57.796 --> 00:31:00.838 Swiss army knives and sappy 2 is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:00.838 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.176$  an example that I'll share with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:03.180 --> 00:31:04.180 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:04.180 --> 00:31:07.180 my approach to every diagnostic marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:07.180 --> 00:31:08.512 mainly transcription factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:08.512 --> 00:31:12.270 is I dig very deeply into the basic

00:31:12.270 --> 00:31:14.558 science underpinning the marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}31{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.070$  especially the developmental biology because

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:17.070 --> 00:31:20.619 that's my hop hobby and so sappy too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:20.620 --> 00:31:21.296 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:21.296 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.986$  pathologists learned about it about

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:22.986 --> 00:31:25.441 10 years ago because it was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:25.441 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.203$  identified as a colon cancer marker in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:28.203 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.422$  context of the human protein Atlas effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}31{:}30.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31.934$  But every new diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:31.934 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.590$  marker if you go to Pub Med,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.997$  has a rich,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:35.997 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.811$  generally decades long history in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}31{:}38.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}40.769$  developmental biology literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:40.770 --> 00:31:44.690 And so even though we met SAT B2 in 2011,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.550$  this was this was studied by

 $00:31:46.625 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.590$  developmental biologists that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.210$  involved in neuronal development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:51.210 --> 00:31:52.506 Craniofacial development

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:52.506 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.450$  and skeletal development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.298$  And from the developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:56.298 --> 00:31:58.146 biology of the marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.850$  you can infer diagnostic applications

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.900$  and then you can test them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:01.900 \dashrightarrow 00:32:05.164$  You can design the study to test them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.229$  And so here's sat B2 doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}32{:}08.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.162$  most familiar SAT B2 thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:32:10.162 --> 00:32:12.027 This is a mucinous adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}32{:}12.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.221$  that before I had sat B2 I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:14.221 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.319$  have said had a lower GI phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.110$  It's homogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:32:17.110 --> 00:32:19.085 I say homogeneous for diffuse,

 $00{:}32{:}19.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23.260$  strong for CK20 and CDX 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.948$  And I would have said adenocarcinoma with

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:25.948 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.320$  mucinous features and lower GI phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.600$  And this one's actually sappy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.564$  too negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:30.564 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.456$  and this is actually an ampullary

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:33.456 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.938$  adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.730$  So this is SATB 2 conferring

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:32:36.730 --> 00:32:38.125 lower GI specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:32:40.160 --> 00:32:41.315 Here's another diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:32:41.315 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.855$  application of SAT V2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:32:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.632$  This is an undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}32{:}45.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.018$  undifferentiated carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:32:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.402$  Here's a carrot and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:32:49.402 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.320$  colon it's MLH 1 deficient.

 $00:32:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.866$  So this is a this is a not quite a medullary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}32{:}55.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57.850$  It's an undifferentiated MSI high

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:32:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.460$  cancer and it's CDX two negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.812$  and MSI high colon cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:02.812 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.293$  often CDX two negative because CDX

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:05.293 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.783$  2 actually has micro satellites in

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:07.783 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.317$  its exons and is susceptible to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.404$  Frameshift mutation and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}33{:}12.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.530$  nonsense mediated decay so that it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.806$  It also explains why the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}33{:}18.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.898$  are undifferentiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:19.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.776$  And here's sappy 2 in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}33{:}21.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.098$  So SAP two has an additional diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:25.098 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.504$  application as an increased it adds

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:28.504 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.664$  increased sensitivity to the detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:31.664 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.100$  of undifferentiated colon cancer.

 $00:33:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.102$  Here's another one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:35.102 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.772$  This Jason did because he

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:36.772 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.000$  was positioned to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.768$  He's a sarcoma pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:33:39.768 --> 00:33:42.860 He did it with my resident Jay

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.990$  Connor who's now the chief of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:33:44.990 --> 00:33:48.400 GI at at at Sinai in Toronto.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}33{:}48.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.934$  Is this so this was age-old question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.041$  Is this osteoid or is it just

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}33{:}54.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.848$  hyalinized stroma and sappy 2 is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:57.848 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.636$  osteoblast differentiation marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.999$  We knew that from the developmental biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}01.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04.269$  literature and now this age-old question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.290$  I can say emphatically yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.974$  this is an Austin.

 $00:34:07.974 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.237$  This is osteoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.600$  Here's another diagnostic application

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.423$  and this is where I had the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:34:13.423 --> 00:34:15.233 to contribute to literature because

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:15.233 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.716$  this is where I was the best position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.834$  I was best positioned to study this

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}19.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.099$  marker in the neuroendocrine space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:34:22.100 --> 00:34:24.515 And I had presented this morning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:34:24.515 --> 00:34:27.758 SAT B2 is a wonderful marker of rectal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.872$  also expressed by appendiceal

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}29.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31.456$  well differentiated neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}31.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.765$  tumors and it's not expressed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:34.765 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.415$  pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.520$  And then I had also shared that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.420$  this is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}39.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.150$  Because a lot of the transcriptional

00:34:42.150 --> 00:34:44.480 machinery that drives pancreas net

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:34:44.480 --> 00:34:47.090 development namely Pack 6 and islet

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.451$  one that transcriptional machinery is

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:49.451 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.409$  also operant in rectal neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429 00:34:52.410 --> 00:34:53.268 And so this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:53.268 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.961$  this gave me a tool to clearly distinguish

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:34:55.961 --> 00:34:59.066 pancreatic and rectal neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.729$  And then I showed you the morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429 00:35:00.730 --> 00:35:01.281 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:01.281 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.036$  Everybody can everybody after this

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:04.036 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.099$  morning's discussion could tell me that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:07.099 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.235$  this is type B trabecular paper clip rectal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:10.240 \dashrightarrow 00:35:12.532$  And then here's here's another diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:12.532 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.859$  application I shared this this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.288$  How about PD neck?

00:35:16.288 --> 00:35:17.716 And in PD neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}35{:}17.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.780$  sat B2 is a reasonably sensitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:35:20.780 --> 00:35:23.990 highly specific marker of cutaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:35:23.990 --> 00:35:25.274 neuroendocrine carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:35:25.280 --> 00:35:27.458 Merkel cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.530$  And, and there's more, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:35:31.530 --> 00:35:33.942 So here I'm being like a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:33.942 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.606$  immunohistochemistry used car salesman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.080$  There's one more diagnostic application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.045$  This is, this is a, this is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}35{:}41.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.086$  undifferentiated round cell sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:43.086 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.270$  That's Ewing sarcoma. Ish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:46.270 \dashrightarrow 00:35:49.454$  It's a little too pleomorphic to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:35:49.454 --> 00:35:52.520 Ewing sarcoma and it's CD 99 negative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.335$  before I had the opportunity to validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:56.335 \dashrightarrow 00:35:59.839$  becor immunohistochemistry in my lab.

 $00:35:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.947$  Because it was hard to come across

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}01.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.434$  10B core rearranged sarcomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:03.434 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.342$  I use SAP B2 as a surrogate for B

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:07.342 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.007$  core rearranged sarcomas because about

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}10.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12.140$  70% of B core rearranged sarcomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}12.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.084$  are strongly sappy, too positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:14.084 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.269$  This was demonstrated by Christina

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:36:16.269 --> 00:36:18.563 Antonescu in gene expression profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:36:18.563 --> 00:36:21.293 experiments and sort of tucked in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.834$  you know, as a supplementary fig figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:23.834 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.145$  in one of her papers from 10 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.696$  All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}27.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.880$  So I always ask will it Swiss army

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:29.945 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.884$  knife and and the answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:36:31.890 --> 00:36:35.364 Yes I want more more value with fewer mark,

 $00:36:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.590$  fewer markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.262$  All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:37.262 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.558$  So that's next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:40.558 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.516$  immunohistochemistry onto optimization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.808$  It's maybe we could say selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:36:46.808 --> 00:36:49.340 optimization and validation and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.724$  so there's Twitter, so am I HC guy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:36:52.730 --> 00:36:55.762 And the reason that I'm IHC guy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}55.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58.063$  I'm passionate about being connected

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:36:58.063 --> 00:37:00.985 with people and having the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}37{:}00.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.008$  to share things that I'm passionate

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:04.008 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.560$  about mainly immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:37:05.560 --> 00:37:10.731 I'm kind of a nerd and so I use

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:10.731 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.643$  this platform to to mentor IHC lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.290$  Directorship and different directors

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}37{:}15.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.340$  are at different levels and I give

 $00:37:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.428$  different directors different advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}37{:}20.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23.314$  So this is my friend Frank Ingram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:37:23.320 --> 00:37:25.384 who's Chuck Town MG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:25.384 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.027$  very popular on Twitter and he took

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}37{:}29.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.587$  over as a local icy lab director in

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:32.587 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.743$  his community practice in Charlotte,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:35.743 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.607$  NC and he had no training in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:39.607 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.479$  Immunohistochemistry Lab directorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.846$  Just like me, I had no formal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.236$  Training in Immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}37{:}45.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48.008$  lab directorship and he said I

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:37:48.008 --> 00:37:50.450 want to bring up God up, 3 packs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}37{:}50.450 --> 00{:}37{:}51.060 \ 8 \ socks,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:37:51.060 --> 00:37:54.219 10 and erg to which I said Hallelujah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:37:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.380$  like you must have those markers.

 $00{:}37{:}56.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.180$  And I provide you know I I talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}37{:}58.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00.155$  a lot right and I write a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:38:00.160 --> 00:38:03.292 So I provided him very detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:03.292 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.780$  instructions about how I would go about

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.865$  value optimizing and then validating

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:08.865 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.100$  what I consider to be pretty routine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.844$  Tried and true robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:15.844 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.716$  Immunohistochemical markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.281$  And then here's another one of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}38{:}19.281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.954$  close friends. This is Phil Reese.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:38:20.954 --> 00:38:22.484 Phil Reese is operating at

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:22.484 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.319$  A at a much higher level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.852$  He's an IC lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:25.852 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.767$  He's the IHC lab director

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:27.767 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.718$  at OHSU in Portland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:38:29.720 --> 00:38:31.960 He took the lab over from Megan Troxell,

 $00:38:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.860$  who's a Jedi Master of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.600$  Immunohistochemistry lab directorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:38:36.600 --> 00:38:39.088 And she and I have taught each other

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:39.088 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.177$  a tremendous amount over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:38:41.180 --> 00:38:43.875 And Phil was looking for something very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.544$  very specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:45.544 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.040$  He wanted immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:38:48.040 --> 00:38:51.148 For Toxoplasma, which is a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.408$  really niche application and I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414 00:38:54.410 --> 00:38:54.942 well, NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:38:54.942 --> 00:38:57.070 I certainly don't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.666$  immunohistochemistry for Toxoplasma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}38{:}58.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01.183$  But the one person in the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:39:01.183 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.819$  that's the best person to talk to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:39:04.819 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.307$  about Toxoplasma immunohistochemistry

 $00:39:06.307 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.788$  is an unfortunately he passed

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:39:08.788 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.128$  away about 18 months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:11.130 --> 00:39:14.898 But Sharif Zaki, who was the chief of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:14.898 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.438$  IBD pathology at the NIH and I connected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.300$  Fill with Sharif and Phil got what he needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:23.300 --> 00:39:25.869 So antibody selection you know I I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:25.869 \dashrightarrow 00:39:28.344$  I'll say like all these examples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:28.344 --> 00:39:31.357 I might get to one or two of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}39{:}31.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33.674$  got a three and your end terminus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:33.680 --> 00:39:36.290 Hey one you know I'm selecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}39{:}36.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38.991$  antibodies I'm I'm trying to invent

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:38.991 --> 00:39:41.613 new antibodies but but Chuck Frank,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.972$  Chuck Town, Frank knows from from you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}39{:}43.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}46.636$  know going to be going to meetings

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:46.636 --> 00:39:48.616 listening to listening to lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}39{:}48.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.136$  what the you know cutting edge what

 $00:39:51.136 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.940$  the advancing edge of diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:52.940 --> 00:39:55.090 Pathology is and so that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.950$  antibody selection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.333$  What what immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:57.333 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.500$  marker do I want to bring up in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.645$  lab for a diagnostic application

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:03.645 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.970$  or for research application?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.650$  And then this is sort of the sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:08.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.037$  of events from maybe from easy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:40:11.037 --> 00:40:13.680 hard that I go through and actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.792$  There's a few tricks of the trade that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}40{:}15.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.920$  I learned from David Rim on this list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}40{:}17.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.032$  So so the easiest thing is to phone

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}40{:}20.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.060$  a friend and you can always phone

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:40:22.060 --> 00:40:24.328 you can always phone me phone a

 $00:40:24.328 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.248$  friend works great for esoteric

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}40{:}26.248 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}40{:}28.040$  antibodies so connecting fill the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.280$  Sharif was was really that was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.178$  one step solution to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.260$  The published literature is great is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:40:35.260 --> 00:40:39.040 I often go to the public I just go to publed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.833$  Has there been a been a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:41.833 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.690$  published with this marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.166$  What are the figures look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:40:45.170 --> 00:40:45.454 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:45.454 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.880$  what are the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.008$  what are the pictures look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.322$  Does this look good?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:48.322 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.962$  Does this look like an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:40:49.962 --> 00:40:51.047 immunohistochemical stain of high

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:51.047 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.950$  quality that I would want in my lab?

 $00:40:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.198$  And then Nordic QC is the Scandinavian

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:56.198 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.001$  equivalent of the CAP Immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:59.001 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.979$  committee and they published their very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:02.979 --> 00:41:06.129 transparent and they published very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}06.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.015$  detailed platform specific protocols

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:08.015 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.060$  for what I refer to as diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:11.140 --> 00:41:14.068 workhorses so that they've got published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:14.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.572$  Protocols and usually 10 different published

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}16.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19.400$  protocols that are again platform specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}19.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.206$  So you're running a doco auto

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:21.206 --> 00:41:23.340 Stainer link 48 or you're running

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:23.340 --> 00:41:25.056 a VENTANA benchmark ultra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:25.060 --> 00:41:27.814 or you have a or you have a doco

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:27.814 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.459$  omnis or you have a like a Bond 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.844$  For for about 150 to 200

 $00:41:33.844 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.536$  different diagnostic antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:35.540 --> 00:41:38.137 So that's where I pointed frank for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:38.137 --> 00:41:41.558 packs 8 and socks 10 and erg and then and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:41.558 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.542$  then these are more shots in the dark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.622$  So these are these are databases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:45.622 --> 00:41:48.057 you can I usually end up when I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}48.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.981$  bringing up a brand new diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:49.981 --> 00:41:52.627 marker I end up with these databases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:52.630 --> 00:41:54.210 Biocompare is pretty dumb.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:54.210 --> 00:41:57.613 It just spits out it just spits out a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:57.613 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.909$  list a list of vendors and you can click on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:42:00.910 --> 00:42:01.284 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:42:01.284 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.780$  you can click on the link and go

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:42:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.499$  to the website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:42:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.370$  but these are two that I learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:42:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.600$  from David actually,

 $00:42:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.995$  antibody pedia and bench and bench side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:42:11.000 --> 00:42:14.032 And I I think I like benci the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:42:14.032 --> 00:42:16.000 most because bentsi does for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:42:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.597$  me it uses AI and does for

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:18.685 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.539$  me what I used to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:20.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.592$  which is go through every paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:22.592 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.167$  published and say look at the method

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}42{:}25.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.067$  and is there an immuno histochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:27.067 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.542$  method and what's the clone and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:29.542 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.190$  aggregate all this information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:42:31.190 --> 00:42:33.829 And bench side does it for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.014$  So this is what Nordic QC looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:36.014 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.900$  like and these are examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.185$  so these are examples of these are all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:41.185 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.870$  different like recommendable her to assays.

00:42:45.870 --> 00:42:47.846 And you know it's not just the clone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}42{:}47.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.554$  it's all the conditions of the test and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:42:50.554 --> 00:42:53.568 you click the link to the PDF and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:53.568 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.130$  a starting point for your optimization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.270$  And then here's biocompare

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.625$  antibody pedia ads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:01.630 --> 00:43:03.156 Biocompare just gives you a list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:03.156 --> 00:43:05.003 a list of vendors and you can click

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}05.003 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}43{:}06.630$  links and go to their websites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:06.630 --> 00:43:09.138 Antibody Pedia will vouch on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}09.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.749$  you're looking for you're looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:11.750 --> 00:43:15.089 Green Circles will vouch for the quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:15.089 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.508$  of the experiments that were done to

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:18.508 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.370$  validate the specificity of the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.434$  So all the way back to the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}23.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.487$  when I said there's these two

00:43:25.487 --> 00:43:27.322 papers for the basic scientists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:27.330 --> 00:43:29.808 the one that Angelo de Marzo published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.840$  this case based, and the Eulen 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.456$  That that David collaborated on and so many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:35.460 --> 00:43:37.116 And then if you do it for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.787$  you know some vendors are more scrupulous

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:39.787 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.759$  with their science than others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.416$  but this gives you a check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}43.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46.210$  And so we're looking for a green circle that

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.155$  is referring to an enhanced by validation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.610$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.310$  So they're using some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}52.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.110$ techniques like like <br/>like like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:55.110 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.366$  either crisper cast and not to knock it

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:57.366 --> 00:43:59.341 out or they're using small interfering

00:43:59.341 --> 00:44:01.980 RNA to knock it down to validate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.580$  The specificity of the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:04.580 --> 00:44:06.716 And then here is bench side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:06.720 --> 00:44:10.016 which gives me which links to the papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:10.016 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.420$  themselves and not just the papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.844$  but links to the figures of the papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.062$  So this is I used to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}44{:}17.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.790$  biocompare and thank you David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:18.790 --> 00:44:21.170 Now I go to Bankside 1st and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:21.170 --> 00:44:22.850 antibody pedia and benzite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}44{:}22.850 --> 00{:}44{:}24.700$  there's they're free for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.920$  I think you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.140$  They're free to academicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:27.140 --> 00:44:29.800 You have to like create a login, but totally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.975$  totally free, incredibly powerful tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.784$  We're doing on time.

 $00:44:34.784 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.137$  We're doing OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.130$  Ethical sourcing of primary antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.960$  I mentioned this briefly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.058$  a few conversations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:41.060 --> 00:44:44.204 I will not source an antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:44.204 --> 00:44:48.326 from Santa Cruz in my lab because

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:48.326 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.880$  they committed gross animal abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.455$  and they actually they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:56.455 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.980$  lost their license to sell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.385$  Animal products derived from animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:02.385 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.677$  and and the loophole is that mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:45:05.677 --> 00:45:08.484 don't qualify as animals so they so

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}45{:}08.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10.336$  they can't sell polyclonal's anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:10.336 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.468$  They can't sell rabbit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.420$  rabbit polyclonal or goat polyclonal

 $00:45:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.370$  because those are considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:15.433 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.245$  animals by the by the government.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:45:17.250 --> 00:45:19.035 But mice aren't considered animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:19.035 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.580$  and so Santa Cruz is still in

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.644$  business but I won't source an

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:45:23.644 --> 00:45:25.119 antibody from Santa Cruz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}45{:}25.120 --> 00{:}45{:}27.454$  Optimization you know I'll I'll sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:27.454 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.343$  of move along because I want to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}45{:}30.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.233$  to at least one specific example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.796$  Optimization is the series

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:33.796 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.130$  of experiments that we do to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:45:36.203 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.675$  optimize the signal to noise ratio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:45:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.848$  And the way that I do optimization is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:45:41.848 --> 00:45:44.708 is is very guest check revised and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:45:44.708 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.689$  visually oriented and David has a awesome

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}45{:}47.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.159$  scientific way to do optimization.

 $00{:}45{:}50.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.308$  He optimizes the signal to noise

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}45{:}52.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.010$  ratio but he he takes the human.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:45:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.380$  Right out of the equation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:45:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.010$  And this here's an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:45:59.010 --> 00:46:01.674 you know these are the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:01.674 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.450$  iterative specific experiments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:03.526 --> 00:46:05.818 I perform to optimize a primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.260$  new primary antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.660$  We mainly attend to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:09.660 --> 00:46:11.400 primary antibody dilution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}46{:}11.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.376$  the type of antigen retrieval and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:15.376 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.398$  primary antibody duration of incubation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}46{:}18.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21.628$  the choice of adding amplification to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:21.628 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.413$  detection or just straight detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:24.413 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.659$  and then the duration of detection.

 $00:46:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.756$  And then there's validation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:28.756 --> 00:46:30.126 I talked about validation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:30.126 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.120$  the research space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.318$  This is validation in the clinical space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.856$  So an IHC lab director is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:35.856 --> 00:46:37.878 pay attention to this White Paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.144$  principles of analytic validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:40.144 --> 00:46:42.408 of immunohistochemical assays that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:42.408 --> 00:46:44.757 specifies the quantity and quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:44.757 --> 00:46:47.417 of the cohorts that one should put

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:47.487 --> 00:46:50.476 together to to validate an antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.888$  which is necessary to put it

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:53.888 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.592$  into clinical use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.898$  Here's validation in the research setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.407$  These are the different types of experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:47:01.410 --> 00:47:04.674 And then let's, let's not do mine, let's do,

 $00:47:04.674 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.714$  let's do annyas, let's do the dry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:06.714 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.244$  let's do the dry challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.825$  So Anya wanted proteasome subunit

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:11.825 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.542$  beta 5T and I said I'm she said

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:14.542 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.210$  this is not commercially available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.359$  I am so interested in this analyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:19.359 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.442$  and it's not commercially available

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:21.442 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.086$  and I'm devastated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:47:23.090 --> 00:47:25.727 And I said I'm sure that I'm sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}47{:}25.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.519$  I can make an immunohistochemical test

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:28.519 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.310$  to proteasome subunit beta 5T and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:31.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.300$  And we talked about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:47:32.300 --> 00:47:34.276 I was giving I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:34.276 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.212$  I Co direct a week long immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:37.212 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.716$  course and these are three of my

 $00:47:39.716 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.557$  lovely faculty and this is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:41.557 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.944$  what we talked about at the at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:43.944 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.810$  dinner table in Montreal this summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.490$  And so here's and then you just have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:47:48.567 --> 00:47:51.855 to trace it and I call this like

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:47:51.855 --> 00:47:53.160 immunohistochemistry Sudoku right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}47{:}53.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.190$  And I want to I want to get to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.518$  solution and as few steps as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.271$  So all she told me was proteosome

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:00.271 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.450$  subunit beta 5T.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:01.450 --> 00:48:03.312 And I found this paper that she

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:03.312 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.110$  was referring to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:04.110 --> 00:48:06.640 So it's a Japanese group

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.170$  and they created their own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:09.170 --> 00:48:12.836 I believe polyclonal antibody to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}48{:}12.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.670$  this proteosome subunit beta 5T.

00:48:15.670 --> 00:48:18.234 Fascinatingly, you know proteasomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:18.234 --> 00:48:20.988 they're they're cool, they have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:20.988 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.230$  they have lids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:22.230 --> 00:48:24.554 they have a cylinder body and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:24.554 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.378$  have bottoms, they have subunits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:26.378 --> 00:48:29.990 And the thymus has its a unique proteosome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.345$  totally different than the proteosome

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:31.345 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.169$  and the whole rest of the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:33.170 --> 00:48:34.698 Because in the thymus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}48{:}34.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}36.990$  you need to use the proteosome

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:37.067 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.074$  to process antigens, to present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:39.074 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.140$  to grow up, to grow up T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.184$  So that's the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}48{:}42.184 \rightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.272$  that's the science behind this thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.918$  And then the first thing that

 $00:48:45.918 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.575$  I had to solve is, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:47.575 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.372$  I needed to know what the gene was and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:50.372 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.276$  it wasn't clear what the gene was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:52.280 --> 00:48:54.584 but just Googling around I found

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:54.584 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.736$  a couple different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:48:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.716$  So there's PSMB 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:48:57.716 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.732$  And then I started and then it turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:01.732 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.508$  out to actually be PSMB 11 was was

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.646$  the gene that encodes this proteasome

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}49{:}09.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.588$  subunit beta beta 5T and the PSMB 5 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}49{:}14.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.700$  actually the the T stands for thymus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:49:18.700 --> 00:49:22.244 thymus specific. So I started with PSMB 5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.749$  but that's not the thymus specific one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}49{:}24.750 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}28.246$  So I found this paper and I found just

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:28.246 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.950$  that there were only four papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.090$  That were published with immunohistochemistry

 $00:49:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.602$  with this diagnostic analyte.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:49:35.610 --> 00:49:38.109 And I would just dig into the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:49:38.110 --> 00:49:41.510 dig into the methods and and you have to dig

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:41.595 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.763$  and then dig and dig it's detective work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.746$  So the paper that Anya was referring to

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:49:46.746 --> 00:49:48.736 that was published in AMJ Surge path,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.080$  they said C prior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}49{:}50.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.438$  so I kept going back C prior, C prior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}49{:}53.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.974$ here's some supplementary material

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:54.974 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.771$  and it was a polyclonal that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:49:57.771 --> 00:50:00.550 had produced in their lab that wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:00.626 \dashrightarrow 00:50:03.368$  commercialized and so was not available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}50{:}03.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.238$  But that's OK because I said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.960$  Basic science is \$160 billion

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.858$  annual industry,

 $00:50:10.858 \dashrightarrow 00:50:14.001$  and I said there are usually hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:14.001 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.834$  of commercially available antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:16.834 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.898$  to any immunohistochemical target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:50:19.900 --> 00:50:22.564 And so I just started this is bio compared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.038$  this is the dumb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:24.038 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.240$  this is the dumb database and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:26.323 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.588$  this this antibody that Anya,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.070$  who is an expert,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:50:30.070 --> 00:50:33.039 she is the IHC lab director at Mayo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.476$  said this is an antibody that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:35.476 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.520$  not commercially available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.220$  There are 63 different commercially

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}50{:}40.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.920$  available antibodies to PSMB 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:50:43.920 --> 00:50:47.054 Now that's a problem because I can't test 63,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:47.054 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.458$  I can only test a few.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:50:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.876$  So then I applied it to let's use a,

 $00:50:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.840$  let's use a finer screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}50{:}54.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.179$  And then so here's the antibody pedia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:50:58.180 --> 00:50:59.636 And. And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:50:59.636 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.266$  we're looking for the green circles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:02.266 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.919$  so we've got some more promising targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.798$  And then here's the bench side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:51:06.800 --> 00:51:09.833 And I ended up going with the bench side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.024$  And I found from looking at these figures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:51:13.030 --> 00:51:14.910 actually this figure here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.730$  this is a figure that demonstrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423951311111111

00:51:17.813 --> 00:51:20.589 that I want to see which is nuclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.874$  The nuclear staining in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:51:22.874 --> 00:51:24.587 thymic epithelial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.270$  And so this is the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:26.270 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.195$  This is the antibody that I that

00:51:28.195 --> 00:51:30.038 I proposed to Anya that she get

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:51:30.038 --> 00:51:32.396 and and work up in her lab for

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:32.396 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.686$  this diagnostic application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.580$  Now the icing on the cake is if in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.749$  the last couple of months we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:37.749 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.569$  had had the opportunity to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.922$  I pushed and pushed to do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:41.922 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.369$  for the uscap deadline and she,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:51:44.370 --> 00:51:45.693 because she's European,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:51:45.693 --> 00:51:47.898 was thoughtfully like on vacation

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}51{:}47.898 --> 00{:}51{:}52.150$  for six weeks in Germany. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.630$  And then actually this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.968$  you know, this is a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423951311111111

 $00:51:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.880$  really good place to stop because

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:51:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.470$  here's the next step I said you can you

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}52{:}01.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04.490$  can do all of the validation in silico,

 $00:52:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.496$  in silico.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:52:05.496 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.221$  And so this is I guess who in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:52:10.221 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.183$  room knows what C bio portal is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111100:52:14.190 --> 00:52:14.747 Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}52{:}14.747 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.089$  So every body in the room should

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:52:18.089 --> 00:52:21.320 know what C bioportal is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00.52:21.320 \longrightarrow 00.52:22.770$  It is this for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:52:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.934$  it is the single most useful and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:52:26.934 --> 00:52:29.550 user-friendly bioinformatics tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:52:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.048$  that you can use to analyze quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423951311111111

 $00:52:35.048 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.462$  and so easily both molecular genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:52:38.462 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.286$  data and gene expression profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}52{:}41.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}45.570$  data and the main source of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:52:45.570 --> 00:52:49.017 Is the TCG a date data sets and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:52:49.017 --> 00:52:51.615 there are pan cancer datasets and

00:52:51.615 --> 00:52:55.790 so you you go to the you go to C

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}52{:}55.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58.298$  bioportal and you you can click like

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:52:58.298 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.794$  click a button and it says I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:00.794 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.719$  analyze all the TCG a tumor types

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:02.719 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.750$  and it's like 30 tumor types and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.720$  then at the bottom you just type in the gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:53:07.720 --> 00:53:10.071 So I typed in PS:,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:53:10.071 --> 00:53:13.075 MB 11 and then you hit a couple buttons like

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:13.075 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.874$  it changes a little bit from time to time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.287$  You have to know where to click.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}53{:}17.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.349$  You click plots. And then I sorted

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:20.349 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.808$  these plots by median gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}53{:}23.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27.570$  And so in the TCG a data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.188$  This is expression of PSMB 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:53:31.190 --> 00:53:32.598 And it's nothing. Nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:32.598 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.810$  Nothing. Nothing. Nothing.

00:53:33.810 --> 00:53:36.715 Nothing. Oh my God. And guess what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:36.715 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.085$  In the TCG a data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.846$  What does THYM mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:41.846 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.310$  It means thymoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.768$  So before Anya even does this experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.014 I$ ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:47.014 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.210$  I know that this is a a winner and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}53{:}49.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51.488$  so a lot of the the diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}53{:}51.488 \to 00{:}53{:}53.691$  markers that I you know that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:53.691 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.008$  ran out of time to share with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111 00:53:56.008 --> 00:53:56.760 I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}53{:}56.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.848$  I do this and I and I do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}53{:}58.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.126$  not only to sort of confirm

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:54:01.126 --> 00:54:03.096 specificity but also to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:54:03.173 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.639$  additional diagnostic applications.

 $00:54:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.936$  And so the the best example of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}54{:}07.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10.598$  is IGF 2 where it was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:54:10.598 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.613$  in this adrenal cortical carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:12.689 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.702$  space, adrenal cortical carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:14.702 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.838$  versus adenoma and Jason?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

00:54:16.840 --> 00:54:18.784 My good friend said and I'll sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:18.784 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.818$  stop with this story you said what do

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:20.818 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.780$  you know about this is this is how

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

00:54:22.780 --> 00:54:24.668 he interacts with me what do you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

00:54:24.668 --> 00:54:26.740 about IGF two and I said Ohh Sylvia

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00{:}54{:}26.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.233$  and Oscar published it there and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:29.233 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.050$  know they're endocrine pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.766$  It works great for this diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:32.766 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.910$  application that I shared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.752$  He said I brought this antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

00:54:35.752 --> 00:54:38.260 up and I'd love it and I want to

 $00:54:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.364$  do a project with it and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:40.364 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.046$  we'll what project can we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00{:}54{:}43.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.138$  And and I and I I said well we

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

00:54:45.138 --> 00:54:47.120 can't do the same project again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.446$  And so I said, well, let's take a look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:49.446 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.380$  Let's take a look at this in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825355993529412

00:54:54.170 --> 00:54:56.195 I'll just pull up the because I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.825355993529412

 $00:54:56.195 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.165$  show off see bio portal one more time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.598$  And these are all the different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}55{:}02.600 \longrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.561$ you know, I I I use other tools to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:05.561 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.266$  visualize gene expression profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:07.266 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.680$  as well this GTX alumina and bio GPS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}55{:}10.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}13.520$  I often just use Wikipedia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.040$  But here's the C bio portal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:16.040 \dashrightarrow 00:55:19.028$ I clicked t<br/>cga pan Cancer Atlas

 $00:55:19.028 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.989$  studies query by Gene, entered IGF 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}55{:}24.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27.110$  And here's the plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.510$  And actually, so this is high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.318$  And this is high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.848$  And this is adrenal cortical carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.800$  And this is paraganglioma pheochromocytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:55:40.800 --> 00:55:43.780 And so by visualizing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:43.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.692$  I knew that IGF two would be highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:46.692 \dashrightarrow 00:55:49.850$  expressed in paraganglioma pheochromocytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.762$  And then I just have to go do

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:55:51.762 --> 00:55:52.948 the experiment to prove it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.902$  What's not in the TCG a data set

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:55.902 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.569$  next neuroendocrine tumor isn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.970$  So I didn't know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.806$  I didn't know if this meant

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}56{:}01.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.693$  that IGF two was going to be a

 $00{:}56{:}04.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.281$ general neuroendocrine marker or

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:06.281 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.400$  perhaps it would be specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.944$  In the peripheral versus net differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:10.944 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.149$  and it was the IT was the latter

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:14.149 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.670$  that that I confirmed and IGF two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}56{:}16.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18.700$  We talked about analytic robustness

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.724$  this morning with God at three and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}56{:}21.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.104$  paraganglioma pheochromocytoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:23.104 --> 00:56:25.971 I said TH is incredibly analytically

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:25.971 --> 00:56:28.426 robust but it's only expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:28.426 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.790$  by sympathetic paragangliomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.240$  IGF two is incredibly analytically

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}56{:}34.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.690$  robust that means it's expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:36.772 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.404$  even if the sample set on the bench.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.238$  For a month and it's nearly 100%

00:56:42.240 --> 00:56:45.152 sensitive in Paraganglioma pheochromocytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:45.152 --> 00:56:50.078 So again, like simple, simple tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:50.080 --> 00:56:51.916 C bio portal, Oh my God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.400$  you know these databases. Me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:54.400 --> 00:56:56.628 You know, talk, talk to me, workshop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:56.628 --> 00:56:58.660 your workshop, your project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.770$  There are hundreds of commercially

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.880$  available antibodies to every analyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}57{:}02.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.815$  that you might be interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.760$  You can be a wildly successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.882$  anatomic pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:57:08.882 --> 00:57:11.687 Even if your research budget

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:11.687 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.126$  is \$300.00 a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:13.126 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.064$  and I'll stop and take your and take your

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:16.064 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.690$  questions, thank thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:17.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.845$  I had a I had a blast.

 $00:57:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.010$  I always have a blast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:57:20.010 --> 00:57:21.140 I always have a blast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517446

 $00:57:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.390$  Questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.627831665

 $00:57:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.288$  Maybe I start first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54945062

 $00:57:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.048$  Vision of publications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70763123 00:57:38.580 --> 00:57:38.790 One

NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972

 $00:57:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.912$  is that this gene so good

NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972

00:57:41.912 --> 00:57:45.020 for identified this protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972

 $00:57:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.760$  I got all the states, yeah, never,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972

 $00{:}57{:}47.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52.740$  almost never to the original study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972

 $00:57:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.300$  All my sins are there. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972

00:57:54.300 --> 00:57:56.370 So in this situation, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:57:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.180$  so the basic search research

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:57:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.348$  on my thing doesn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:01.348 --> 00:58:03.535 So, you know, I I I will say

 $00:58:03.535 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.990$  because I'm very skeptical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.640$  Very skeptical. There are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.075$  I have had multiple instances where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:12.075 --> 00:58:14.865 a new biomarker is published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:14.865 --> 00:58:17.508 the diagnostic pathology space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:17.510 --> 00:58:19.166 usually in modern pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:19.166 --> 00:58:22.110 And the one that comes to mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.130$  there was a one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}24.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.150$  Like a wonderful appearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.702$  immunohistochemical marker that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:27.702 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.047$  was published as the Diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:30.047 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.500$  marker of anaplastic thyroid cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.792$  And they discovered it by gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:34.792 --> 00:58:37.281 expression profiling and and I got the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:37.281 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.114$  antibody and it didn't and it didn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:40.114 --> 00:58:42.169 So so many antibodies don't

 $00:58:42.169 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.488$  work and that's the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.098$  And that's the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:46.098 --> 00:58:48.108 The problem many antibodies don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:48.108 --> 00:58:50.820 work because foreign antibody to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.060$  in immunohistochemistry is a mirror.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:53.060 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.682$  Is a miracle because those antigens

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:55.682 --> 00:58:59.137 are so so deranged because of formal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:59.137 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.389$  and fixation and because of antigenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:02.389 \dashrightarrow 00:59:05.279$  degradation with cold is chemia time and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.070$  And so I was disappointed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775 00:59:07.070 --> 00:59:07.446 like. NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:07.446 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.198$  I'm skeptical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:08.198 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.830$  I think that some science is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:10.906 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.146$  one of the reasons that it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:13.146 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.865$  work is the science is fate, right?

00:59:15.865 --> 00:59:17.560 The the immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.820$  results are are fate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.740$  Another reason is you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:59:22.740 --> 00:59:23.937 That you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:23.937 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.932$  it's not necessarily that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:25.932 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.240$  analyte is wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:27.240 \dashrightarrow 00:59:30.040$  It's maybe that's not the best antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.416$  And so, like in that setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}32.420 \to 00{:}59{:}35.660$  I would encourage you to not give up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:59:35.660 --> 00:59:37.851 I would encourage you to at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}37.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}40.018$  try a second and a third time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:59:40.020 --> 00:59:42.114 And I would encourage you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:42.114 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.224$  try the antibody pedia and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:44.224 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.834$  bench and the bench side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.522$  I trust that more than a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:49.522 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.788$  published paper where the authors are.

 $00:59:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.714$  Uh, conflicted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:59:53.714 --> 00:59:55.100 They're incentivized to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.948$  have a positive result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775 00:59:56.950 --> 00:59:57.533 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:57.533 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.448$  So I trust those databases

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:00.448 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.590$  more than any single paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:03.590 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.534$  And then there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:04.534 --> 01:00:05.006 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:05.010 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.458$  there are vendors that I intrinsically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:07.458 --> 01:00:09.871 trust more because they do better

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:09.871 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.001$  science and like 2 great vendors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:12.001 --> 01:00:14.376 for primary antibodies, abcam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:14.376 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.706$  wonderful cell signaling, another standout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:18.710 --> 01:00:20.570 There are many other, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:20.570 --> 01:00:23.130 again like exceptional, lovely, right.

 $01:00:23.130 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.142$  And these are exceptional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:25.142 --> 01:00:28.160 And then I'll mention one other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:28.256 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.538$  thing that that you can try that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:30.538 --> 01:00:32.710 you can try that I can't try,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:32.710 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.298$  but I mentioned it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:34.298 --> 01:00:37.232 Is Don Pot asked about albumin ish

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:37.232 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.515$  this morning and albumin ish is only

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:40.515 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.356$  automate automated on a like a bond three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:43.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.696$  I don't have a like a bond 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:45.700 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.516$  If you have a like of bond three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01{:}00{:}49.516 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}53.695$ you can make an RNA scope probe

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:53.695 --> 01:00:57.282 to any to literally anything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:57.282 \dashrightarrow 01:00:59.236$  so and I've been workshopping

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:59.236 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.630$  this idea with a guy called

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:01.706 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.846$  Greg Sharvil who's a sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:03.850 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.725$  Pathologists at Stanford and and

 $01:01:06.725 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.479$  there are some, there are some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01{:}01{:}09.479 \longrightarrow 01{:}01{:}11.880$  even though the genetics are on point

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01{:}01{:}11.954 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}14.285$  and the gene expression is on point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:14.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.855$  that will never succeed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:16.855 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.907$  immunohistochemical assays because M,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:18.910 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.430$  RNA and protein are correlated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:21.430 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.420$  But the R-squared is like

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:24.420 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.750$  not .9 and so there are some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:01:28.750 --> 01:01:29.674 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:29.674 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.446$  some targets that are incredibly specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:32.450 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.650$  but there's such low abundance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:34.650 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.410$  that you can never,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01{:}01{:}36.410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38.942$  even though we do signal amplification

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:01:38.942 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.786$  and immunohistochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:01:39.790 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.290$  you can never get the

01:01:42.290 --> 01:01:43.790 immunohistochemistry to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:01:43.790 --> 01:01:45.606 And for those analytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:01:45.606 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.590$  if you really are committed to a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:01:48.590 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.070$  to a, to a specific target for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:01:52.070 --> 01:01:54.030 specific diagnostic application,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:01:54.030 --> 01:01:56.564 I would encourage you to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:01:56.564 --> 01:01:59.180 first try a couple more immunos and try.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:01:59.180 --> 01:02:01.040 Try bench sign antibody pedia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01{:}02{:}01.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}03.371$  But but the next thing I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:02:03.371 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.201$  encourage you to consider is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:02:05.201 --> 01:02:07.847 building an RNA scope assay to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:02:07.850 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.076$  to that, to that, to that target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:02:10.080 --> 01:02:13.490 Because if the you know the M RNA don't lie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:02:13.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.394$  the M RNA might not correlate with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:02:15.394 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.894$  protein, but the M RNA don't lie so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699021976666667

 $01:02:18.810 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.449$  What's your quick?

 $01:02:21.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.220$  The communist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8703504

 $01:02:24.890 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.300$  Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70647705

01:02:27.690 --> 01:02:28.220 Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74447984

 $01:02:31.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.830$  Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6070417

 $01:02:35.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.130 1.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:02:38.520 --> 01:02:41.983 Sure. Yeah, I mean I, you, you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:02:41.983 --> 01:02:44.401 you, you probably would not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:02:44.401 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.470$  surprised that I give like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:02:46.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.934$  1st 1st it's really really hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:02:47.934 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.867$  for me to give a 45 minute talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:02:49.870 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.866$  I usually I usually speak for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:02:52.866 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.321$  or three hours at a time and and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:02:56.321 --> 01:02:59.301 course I have hour and two hour and

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:02:59.301 --> 01:03:02.585 3 hour PDL 1 lectures and and I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:03:02.585 --> 01:03:04.310 mentioned you know immuno optimist,

01:03:04.310 --> 01:03:05.378 immuno pessimist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:03:05.378 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.650$  immuno realist and you know I give a

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:03:09.757 --> 01:03:14.509 PDL 1 lecture that is called PDL 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:03:14.509 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.689$  immunohistochemistry like you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:03:16.690 --> 01:03:20.827 The Emperor has no clothes so uh

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:03:20.827 --> 01:03:24.196 Pete and I I gave I gave a biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:03:24.196 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.051$  lecture in a global in the global

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}03{:}27.051 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}29.436$  health space this summer and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}03{:}29.436 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}31.770$  were lots of questions about PDL

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:03:31.847 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.066$  one and and there's a YouTube

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}03{:}34.066 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}36.791$ clip of me saying something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:03:36.791 --> 01:03:39.055 PDL 1 immunohistochemistry sucks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:03:39.060 --> 01:03:42.138 I hate it and it just loops and loops

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:03:42.138 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.913$  and loops and so and so my my main take on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:03:46.920 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.128$  PDL One immunohistochemistry is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:03:50.128 --> 01:03:54.940 It's PDL one is super important.

 $01:03:54.940 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.774$  It was a great, it was a great target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:03:58.780 --> 01:04:02.416 like Nobel Prize, like totally warranted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:04:02.420 --> 01:04:06.722 But it is an entirely unrealistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:04:06.722 --> 01:04:09.756 expectation for, in that space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:09.756 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.948$  a single analyte to reflect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:12.948 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.598$  entire tumor immune microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:16.600 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.744$  So PD1 immunohistochemistry is

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}04{:}19.744 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}22.888$  an inaccurate diagnostic test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:22.890 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.690$  It's about 60% sensitive, 60% specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:26.690 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.930$  It's a coin flip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:04:28.930 --> 01:04:35.253 And they're ohl I I really, really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:35.253 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.168$  I really, really hate capitalism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:39.170 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.130$  And uh, Pharma really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:42.130 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.350$  really loves capitalism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:04:44.350 --> 01:04:46.634 And so, you know,

 $01:04:46.634 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.918$  the the drug companies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:48.920 \longrightarrow 01:04:50.715$  we're going to partner with

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}04{:}50.715 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}52.968$  the device companies and jam a

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:52.968 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.532$  companion diagnostic marker down

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:54.532 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.004$  our throats and they don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:57.004 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.249$  care about the diagnostic accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:59.250 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.194$  So PD1 immunohistochemistry sucks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:01.194 --> 01:05:03.130 I hate, I hate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:03.130 \longrightarrow 01:05:04.814$  That being said, I spend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:04.814 --> 01:05:05.538 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:05.538 --> 01:05:09.170 hours and hours of my life talking about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:09.170 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.082$  And I read every PDL 1 immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:12.082 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.729$  at the University of Iowa and

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:14.729 --> 01:05:16.058 PDL 1 immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:16.060 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.789$  You know this is a good example

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:17.789 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.580$  of a bad of a bad marker.

 $01:05:19.580 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.512$  There's biochemistry problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}05{:}21.512 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}24.088$  and there's readout problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:24.090 --> 01:05:26.206 So like pathologist interpretation

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:26.206 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.380$  problems and they're and they're unsolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:29.380 \longrightarrow 01:05:31.875$  And my like skepticism around

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}05{:}31.875 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}34.370$  capitalism is pharma did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:34.460 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.280$  consider the biochemistry or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:37.280 --> 01:05:39.536 readout in developing the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:39.540 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.075$  The this diagnostic marker because

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:42.075 \longrightarrow 01:05:44.610$  they actually have no technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:44.694 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.310$  expertise in immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:47.310 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.726$  So what's better than PDL one not TMB.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:50.730 --> 01:05:52.950 TMB is just as bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:52.950 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.510$  About 6060 MMR is wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:55.510 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.070$  if you have an MRI,

01:05:58.070 --> 01:05:58.778 MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}05{:}58.778 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}03.026$  immunohistochemistry or MSI is very accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:06:03.030 --> 01:06:04.054 Not 100%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:06:04.054 --> 01:06:07.638 but very very very you know high

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:07.638 \longrightarrow 01:06:09.443$  ninety 9899% accurate and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:06:09.443 --> 01:06:10.736 Is very predictive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:06:10.740 --> 01:06:12.044 not 100% percent predictive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:06:12.044 --> 01:06:13.674 but very predictive of response

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:13.674 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.199$  to checkpoint inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:15.200 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.154$  And then I've learned so much from

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:18.154 \longrightarrow 01:06:19.957$  my collaboration and interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:19.957 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.177$  with David over the last five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:23.180 \longrightarrow 01:06:27.576$  The answer to the answer to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:27.576 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.160$  checkpoint inhibitor predictive marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:30.160 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.800$  is Multiplex immunofluorescence act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:32.800 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.074$  Actually I said it's unrealistic for

 $01:06:35.074 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.815$  a single analyte to integrate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:37.815 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.119$  entire tumor immune microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:06:40.120 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.356$  And there's a lovely meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:06:44.360 --> 01:06:46.940 that was published by Janis Tobb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:06:46.940 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.480$  who's one of David's collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:06:48.480 \longrightarrow 01:06:51.384$  She's a Melanoma doctorate at Hopkins

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:06:51.384 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.234$  that showed that the area under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:06:55.234 \longrightarrow 01:06:57.670$  curve for Multiplex immunofluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01{:}06{:}57.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}01.117$  for this diagnostic application is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:01.117 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.177$  vastly superior to immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01{:}07{:}04.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07{:}268$  And then the problem is that's a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:07.268 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.620$  paradigm shift, like there is no training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01{:}07{:}10.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}13.104$  In Immunohistochemistry lab directorship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:13.104 \longrightarrow 01:07:15.846$  I taught myself. I taught myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

01:07:15.846 --> 01:07:18.070 I try, you know, I really,

 $01:07:18.070 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.970$  really care to try to teach you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:20.970 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.074$  And then to try to teach people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:23.074 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.915$  bring up Multiplex immunofluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:24.915 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.407$  for this diagnostic application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:27.410 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.086$  It's a really, really heavy lift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

01:07:30.090 --> 01:07:33.630 But possibly, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:33.630 \longrightarrow 01:07:37.032$  And this is perhaps controversial, possibly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

01:07:37.032 --> 01:07:39.084 And my thoughts on this have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01{:}07{:}39.084 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}41.069$  changed quite a bit over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

01:07:41.070 --> 01:07:44.130 Possibly every lab test should not

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:44.130 \longrightarrow 01:07:47.308$  be offered by every lab and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:47.310 \longrightarrow 01:07:50.562$  so maybe the solution is Multiplex

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

01:07:50.562 --> 01:07:52.730 immunofluorescence in 10 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:52.817 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.085$  20 labs that have the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

01:07:55.090 --> 01:07:55.698 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:55.698 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.130$  the resources and the expertise to deploy it.

 $01:07:58.130 \longrightarrow 01:08:00.517$  So thank you for asking me about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:08:00.517 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.020$  my least favorite stain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

01:08:17.310 --> 01:08:19.700 Yeah, I can and so I can, I can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

01:08:19.700 --> 01:08:22.410 I can talk for half an hour about TRPS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

01:08:22.410 --> 01:08:26.146 1 TRPS. One is classic Gartner hype cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

 $01:08:26.150 \longrightarrow 01:08:28.292$  The study that was the study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

 $01:08:28.292 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.129$  was designed was highly flawed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

 $01:08:30.130 \longrightarrow 01:08:31.438$  Highly flawed, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

 $01:08:31.438 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.054$  And that and that's on the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

01:08:34.060 --> 01:08:36.914 Uh, that's on the authors. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

 $01{:}08{:}36.914 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}38.713$  they should have designed the right study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

 $01:08:38.720 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.370$  And it's also on the reviewers

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

 $01{:}08{:}40.370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}41.780$  for not identifying the flaw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

01:08:41.780 --> 01:08:43.028 Like, briefly, TRP's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

 $01:08:43.028 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.919$  do you want me to talk about TRPS one?

 $01:08:45.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.490$  Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9616937

 $01:08:51.150 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.610$  Yeah. NOTE Confidence: 0.513256115

 $01:08:56.390 \longrightarrow 01:08:57.050$  That's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959034

 $01:09:01.240 \longrightarrow 01:09:01.810$  Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95039

 $01:09:04.510 \longrightarrow 01:09:06.940$  Yeah, sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.457451515

 $01:09:10.940 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.090$  This model and yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:09:17.210 --> 01:09:21.246 Ohh yeah, yeah. So. So this is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:09:21.250 --> 01:09:24.562 I mean I said this is the in silico

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:09:24.562 --> 01:09:26.850 validation and then the validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:09:26.850 --> 01:09:30.802 is I always do it's this way is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:09:30.802 --> 01:09:33.607 actual validation and then I'll say

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:09:33.610 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.382$  I say floor and then I do much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:09:36.382 \longrightarrow 01:09:38.939$  and then I'll briefly just I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:09:38.939 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.656$  because it's a good example I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:09:41.656 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.446$  briefly describe how I validated TRPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:09:44.446 \longrightarrow 01:09:47.138$  one and actually if you want to.

 $01:09:47.138 \longrightarrow 01:09:50.188$  Know how I validated TRPS 1I tweeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:09:50.188 --> 01:09:53.234 how I validated TRP PS1 because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:09:53.234 --> 01:09:55.645 because I I thought the my findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:09:55.645 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.459$  were sure were significant and so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:09:58.459 --> 01:10:01.096 floor to to validate a diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:01.096 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.291$  marker is 10 expected positives

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:03.291 \longrightarrow 01:10:04.945$  and 10 expected negatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:04.945 \longrightarrow 01:10:07.780$  And I almost never do the floor

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}10{:}07.858 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}10.483$  because if you do the floor then

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:10.483 \longrightarrow 01:10:12.661$  there's all kinds of diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:12.661 --> 01:10:15.583 pitfalls that you will never identify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:15.590 --> 01:10:17.310 I mainly identify these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}10{:}17.310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}19.460$  Potential diagnostic pitfalls by looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}10{:}19.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}22.240$  at this gene expression profiling data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:22.240 --> 01:10:24.192 mainly using C bioportal.

 $01:10:24.192 \longrightarrow 01:10:27.997$  So for my validations I use tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:27.997 --> 01:10:30.300 microarray almost you know a combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:30.300 \longrightarrow 01:10:32.460$  of whole section and tissue microarray.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:32.460 --> 01:10:36.296 And for TRPS 1I validated TRPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:36.296 \longrightarrow 01:10:40.556$  one in at least 100 breast cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:40.556 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.980$  and at least 100 non breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:44.980 \longrightarrow 01:10:47.320$  non breast cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:47.320 --> 01:10:48.192 Mainly carcinomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}10{:}48.192 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}50.808$  but other tumor types as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:50.810 \longrightarrow 01:10:53.775$  Like it's imperative to validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}10{:}53.775 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}57.852$ a new diagnostic marker in a fit

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:57.852 \longrightarrow 01:11:00.870$  for purpose fashion and that means

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:00.870 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.934$  in consideration of differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:03.934 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.466$  diagnostic considerations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:05.470 --> 01:11:07.054 And I'll tell you what happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:07.054 \longrightarrow 01:11:08.110$  with the with the,

 $01:11:08.110 \longrightarrow 01:11:12.009$  a couple things with the TRPS one

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:12.010 --> 01:11:13.823 and then another thing that I talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:13.823 --> 01:11:16.087 about when I'm doing my straight

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:16.087 \longrightarrow 01:11:17.515$  didactic about immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}11{:}17.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}21.024$  Talk about added value of semi

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:21.024 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.360$  quantitative IHC stain assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:23.360 --> 01:11:25.430 Positive and negative is not enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:25.430 --> 01:11:29.301 you need to at least semi quantitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:29.301 \longrightarrow 01:11:31.202$  the signal. In the tier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}11{:}31.202 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}33.050$  So when I went to see Bioportal

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:33.116 --> 01:11:35.220 actually I don't know can we go to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:35.220 \longrightarrow 01:11:36.176$  can we go to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:36.176 --> 01:11:37.891 let's go to see bio portal can

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:37.891 \longrightarrow 01:11:39.410$  I can I back out of here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425 01:11:39.410 --> 01:11:39.580 So NOTE Confidence: 0.8827970325  $01:11:39.590 \longrightarrow 01:11:41.249$  maybe you can discuss it later by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827970325

 $01:11:41.249 \longrightarrow 01:11:42.660$  yourself. Yeah, you're going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.828906456

 $01:11:42.930 \longrightarrow 01:11:46.160$  do we have more questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828906456

 $01:11:46.160 \longrightarrow 01:11:47.428$  Let's hit another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770715745

 $01{:}11{:}49.440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}53.280$  Ohh no, I'm screwing everything up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822935723333333

 $01{:}11{:}51.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}52.876$  I think you know the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822935723333333

 $01:11:52.880 \longrightarrow 01:11:56.220$  Later. Not sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.743419105

01:11:56.220 --> 01:11:58.518 PRPS one, we'll talk about all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743419105

01:11:58.520 --> 01:11:59.660 We'll talk about all afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74253033

 $01:12:00.230 \longrightarrow 01:12:01.650$  Thank you so much Andrew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824968185

01:12:02.240 --> 01:12:02.996 I think I'm going to eat.