WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:10:25"

NOTE recognizability:0.798

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.874$ Good afternoon and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00:00:02.874 \rightarrow 00:00:09.338$ For everyone here and over the zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00:00:09.340 \rightarrow 00:00:13.210$ Welcome to the pathology ground round.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00:00:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.478$ Is my great?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00:00:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.795$ Honours and privileges to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

00:00:18.795 --> 00:00:21.447 today's grand rounds speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00{:}00{:}21.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.450$ doctor Lazano.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00{:}00{:}24.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.238$ Whatever As ano complete completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00:00:27.238 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.875$ for medical education in the early 90.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00{:}00{:}31.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.910$ In the University of Navarra, Spain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00:00:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.466$ The university is located in the northern

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00{:}00{:}40.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.084$ Spain in the city of the Pamplona.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00:00:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.070$ Which is the famous for

00:00:48.070 --> 00:00:51.830 Annual full fighting festivals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00{:}00{:}51.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.512$ And it is such a beautiful city and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58{.}730$ you can appreciate through Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

00:00:58.730 --> 00:01:02.830 Lozano's many social media posts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

00:01:02.830 --> 00:01:05.090 And it's things Doctor Rodano

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00{:}01{:}05{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07{.}850$ likes the city so much so

NOTE Confidence: 0.860774371428571

 $00:01:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.400$ she never left the city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76707834

 $00:01:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.518$ So she is currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.842470802142857

 $00:01:12.530 \rightarrow 00:01:15.374$ a professor of pathology and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842470802142857

 $00:01:15.374 \dashrightarrow 00:01:19.078$ chair of the Anatomic Pathology in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842470802142857

00:01:19.078 --> 00:01:23.210 university at the University of Navarra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842470802142857

 $00:01:23.210 \dashrightarrow 00:01:27.020$ Daughter Rosanna is renowned sociologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.842470802142857

 $00:01:27.020 \rightarrow 00:01:30.068$ with expertise in cytopathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842470802142857

00:01:30.070 --> 00:01:34.210 molecular pathology and. Thoracic pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88739050875

 $00:01:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.879$ She is a current president of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88739050875

00:01:39.879 --> 00:01:43.568 Spanish Society of Science.

 $00:01:43.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.707$. Over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88739050875

00:01:47.707 --> 00:01:50.581 Doctor Rodano has been invited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88739050875

 $00:01:50.581 \rightarrow 00:01:54.090$ give presentation at pathology meetings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88739050875

 $00:01:54.090 \rightarrow 00:01:58.430$ And seminars and tutorials worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00:02:00.630 \dashrightarrow 00:02:05.348$ And this morning we have great unknown

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00:02:05.348 \dashrightarrow 00:02:10.069$ session with the resident and fellows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00:02:10.070 \dashrightarrow 00:02:13.592$ Daughter Rosanna has been recognized as

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00:02:13.592 \rightarrow 00:02:17.049$ an expert in using psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00:02:17.049 \rightarrow 00:02:20.990$ specimens for the N 3 testing like

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00:02:21.091 \dashrightarrow 00:02:24.446$ molecular test and biomarker test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00:02:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.550$ And which is the important for?

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00:02:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.678$ Titering uh therapy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00:02:32.678 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.806$ patient with lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}082$ She has published more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615

00:02:38.082 --> 00:02:40.306 100 peer review articles,

- $00{:}02{:}40{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44{.}520$ reviews and editorials in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:02:44.520 \rightarrow 00:02:48.730$ passage and Medical College journals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:02:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.362$ As we know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:02:50.362 \rightarrow 00:02:53.626$ Cytologic specimens are the important for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:02:53.626 \dashrightarrow 00:02:56.620$ diagnosis and tumor classifications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- 00:02:56.620 --> 00:03:01.636 And does he have sometimes the only specimen NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- 00:03:01.636 --> 00:03:06.028 available for diagnosis diagnostic workup?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:06.030 \rightarrow 00:03:10.122$ However, we face this challenge in using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:10.122 \rightarrow 00:03:13.040$ psychological specimen for entry tests.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:13.040 \rightarrow 00:03:17.317$ And due to inherent issues of psychology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:17.317 \rightarrow 00:03:20.400$ specimen including low cellularity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.262$ low tumor burden,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:23.262 \dashrightarrow 00:03:27.078$ and variable cytological preparations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:27.080 \dashrightarrow 00:03:31.200$ So we hope today's ground run by Doctor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:31.200 \rightarrow 00:03:35.050$ Rodano will help us learn the best
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:35.050 \rightarrow 00:03:38.518$ approach how to tackle these issues.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.972$ Without the further ado.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:39.972 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.659$ I would like to give the floor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- 00:03:42.659 --> 00:03:43.940 to doctor Rodano.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- 00:03:43.940 --> 00:03:44.706 That's Romano.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717285985384615
- $00:03:44.706 \dashrightarrow 00:03:45.089$ Face.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:03:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.534$ Well, thank you so much doctor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:03:52.534 \rightarrow 00:03:54.510$ Kai for your kind invitation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:03:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.940$ It's a absolutely a privilege and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:03:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.807$ an honor for me to be in here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- 00:03:59.810 --> 00:04:01.658 And I'm happy and I'm very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- 00:04:01.658 --> 00:04:03.240 thankful to all of you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}04{:}03{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05{.}886$ especially Doctor Kai and Doctor Levy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- 00:04:05.890 --> 00:04:09.770 Levy. So I want to just start with my dog.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:04:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.785$ Probably you, most of you or many of you NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:04:12.785 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.724$ know more than me about all this world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

00:04:15.730 --> 00:04:18.718 But I'm gonna tell you something

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}04{:}18.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.387$ based on mainly on. Experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:04:21.387 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.126$ So let's start with my talk and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}04{:}26.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.626$ OK, I don't have any conflict of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:04:30.630 \dashrightarrow 00:04:33.750$ So this talk is going to be focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:04:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.809$ especially in into two parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}04{:}35{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38{.}540$ So first one I I want to give a practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:04:38.615 \rightarrow 00:04:40.979$ overview of the types of psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}04{:}40{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43{.}763$ samples and the ways to obtain them

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:04:43.763 \rightarrow 00:04:46.423$ and to manage them for biomarker analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}04{:}46{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49{.}454$ And the second part of the talk is

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:04:49.454 \rightarrow 00:04:52.033$ going to be a around the possibilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

00:04:52.033 --> 00:04:53.877 advantage and limitations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:04:53.877 \rightarrow 00:04:56.269$ psychology for all the techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

00:04:56.270 --> 00:04:57.938 What do you use for biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:04:57.940 \rightarrow 00:05:00.838$ especially in the field of non small

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}05{:}00{.}838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}142$ cell lung cancer and we start,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:05:03.142 \rightarrow 00:05:05.620$ I want to invite all to you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}05{:}05{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06{.}900$ all of you to Spain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- 00:05:06.900 --> 00:05:08.496 But because we are not going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:05:08.496 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.560$ to Spain right now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:05:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.653$ I will not try to show many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- 00:05:11.653 --> 00:05:13.240 parts of my country.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:05:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.655$ It's a small country but very different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- 00:05:15.660 --> 00:05:17.478 This is starting for the North,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:05:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.280$ this is the north and we have many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:05:20.280 \rightarrow 00:05:22.253$ fishing villages in the Northeast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}05{:}22.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.248$ region is called Cantabria and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}05{:}24.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.488$ it's where the Atlantic Oceans.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}05{:}26.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.165$ Entered into Spain and it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}05{:}28.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.170$ called Mark Cantabrica.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:05:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.566$ Cantabrica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:05:29.566 \rightarrow 00:05:32.126$ So this is a beautiful, beautiful place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}05{:}32{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34{.}430$ You are invited and explain there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:05:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.380$ lot of art and this is in the north.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:05:36.380 \dashrightarrow 00:05:38.312$ This is Bilbao, the city of Bilbao

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:05:38.312 \rightarrow 00:05:40.530$ and this is the Guggenheim Museum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

00:05:40.530 --> 00:05:43.415 The Guggenheim Museum is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:05:43.415 \rightarrow 00:05:45.723$ poor while known museum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:05:45.730 \dashrightarrow 00:05:48.397$ especially this huge doggy who is at

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}05{:}48.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.989$ the entrance and is made of flowers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54{.}016$ The whole year is like this but I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:05:54.016 \dashrightarrow 00:05:57.000$ not here to talk about Spain and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

00:05:57.000 --> 00:05:58.365 Very beautiful things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:05:58.365 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.184$ have with Spain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}800$ I'm here to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:06:01.800 \rightarrow 00:06:03.258$ Cytopathology and biomarkers

 $00:06:03.258 \rightarrow 00:06:06.660$ especially in the field of lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

00:06:06.660 --> 00:06:08.500 non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:06:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.380$ So and the first one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:06:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.641$ one thing that I have to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}06{:}12.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.688$ with you is that the impact of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}06{:}15.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.181$ new W WHO classifications and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00{:}06{:}18.181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.256$ advance that supposed compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:06:20.256 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.056$ the old one that is dating from 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:06:24.056 \rightarrow 00:06:28.520$ So basically the new admin say new things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:06:28.520 \rightarrow 00:06:30.584$ some of them are not very new because

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:06:30.584 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.318$ the first thing they authorize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:06:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.308$ This is this one morphology first

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

00:06:35.308 --> 00:06:37.300 remember morphology first supported

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

00:06:37.376 --> 00:06:39.110 by immunohistochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818

 $00:06:39.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.448$ and then and then in this order,

- $00:06:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.338$ molecular studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}06{:}42.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.558$ In the new classification the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:06:44.558 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.630$ there is an emphasis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:06:46.630 \rightarrow 00:06:49.246$ a great emphasis on genetic testing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}06{:}49{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51{.}250$ and it's a section entirely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}06{:}51{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}850$ dedicated to the classification
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:06:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.930$ of small diagnostic samples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:06:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.379$ This is important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00{:}06{:}56{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}760$ There are more new things that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:06:59.853 \rightarrow 00:07:01.912$ mainly dedicated to surgical pathology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:07:01.912 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.823$ But one important thing to me is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855778428181818
- $00:07:04.823 \rightarrow 00:07:07.119$ and we saw this morning in the seminar,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}07{:}07{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}940$ there is a recognition of a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:07:09.940 --> 00:07:12.590 smart C for deficient tumors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:07:12.590 \rightarrow 00:07:15.698$ thoracic deficient tumors as a new entity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:07:15.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.521$ So we have to think always that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:07:18.521 --> 00:07:20.197 cytopathology and surgical pathology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:07:20.197 --> 00:07:22.579 are going always hand by hand.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:07:22.580 --> 00:07:24.400 We cannot, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:07:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.675$ forget surgical and do only.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:07:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.794$ Mythology so we we worked together
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:07:29.794 \rightarrow 00:07:31.870$ and we enriched together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:07:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.326$ So this is the the script of my job.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:07:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.540$ First, what is a biomarker?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}07{:}37.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.871$ Then I'm going to talk just briefly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}07{:}39{.}871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42{.}563$ about the rationale for the need of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:07:42.563 --> 00:07:44.195 biomarkers using ancillary techniques,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}07{:}44.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.846$ of course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}07{:}44.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.784$ Then I'm going to talk about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}07{:}46.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.893$ the rationale for the use of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:07:48.893 \rightarrow 00:07:50.521$ psychological markers for symbols.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:07:50.521 \rightarrow 00:07:54.007$ Sorry for biomarker testing and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

00:07:54.010 --> 00:07:57.826 And move to the future related

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:07:57.826 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.840$ with the samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:07:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.196$ the pre analytics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}08{:}00{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02{.}004$ the processing techniques advantages

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:02.004 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.969$ and limitation of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}08{:}03{.}969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}149$ samples from different techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}08{:}06{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08{.}845$ So let's start from the beginning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}08{:}08{.}845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.485$ what happened with biomarkers in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}08{:}11.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.845$ field of pathology and cytopathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}08{:}13.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.925$ Of course even the biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:16.925 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.770$ have many functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

00:08:18.770 --> 00:08:21.710 I would say we are more focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.023$ into into advantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:24.023 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.654$ Biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:24.654 \rightarrow 00:08:28.440$ one is directly related with the

 $00:08:28.544 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.836$ diagnosis with biomarkers can

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:30.836 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.274$ help us to define the subtype

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:34.374 \rightarrow 00:08:38.334$ of lung cancer so we can give a

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:38.334 \rightarrow 00:08:40.410$ confident diagnosis using specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}08{:}40{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42{.}570$ biomarkers and another biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:42.570 \dashrightarrow 00:08:45.822$ are predictive if this is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:45.822 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.320$ because they inform us and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.712$ oncologist about the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:51.712 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.940$ for this particular patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.677$ Quickly the rationale for the need of

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

00:08:57.677 --> 00:08:59.240 biomarkers using ancillary Chinese

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:08:59.240 \rightarrow 00:09:00.860$ what is why biomarkers because

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.468$ we live in the in the world of

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}09{:}03.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.340$ personalized medicine and if you go

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:05.340 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.434$ to the FDA definition the FDA says

 $00:09:08.434 \rightarrow 00:09:10.794$ precision medicine is providing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}09{:}10.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.638$ right treatment at the right time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.860$ every time to the right patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

00:09:15.860 --> 00:09:18.135 But I think they forgot one important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.215$ very very important aspect is

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:20.215 \rightarrow 00:09:21.875$ precision medicine is providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:21.875 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.889$ the right diagnosis to make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:23.890 \rightarrow 00:09:26.501$ Possible the rest of the sentence, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

00:09:26.501 --> 00:09:29.147 Because with the right diagnosis we

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}09{:}29{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32{.}621$ what we do is an accurate diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}09{:}32.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.717$ that allows for a specific treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:35.720 \rightarrow 00:09:37.988$ So at the end it would benefit the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.130$ which is our main goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.758$ I want to represent this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}09{:}43.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.510$ this in this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:09:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.675$ In fairly all these patients with yellow.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:09:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.004$ A few years I have the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}09{:}52{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54{.}278$ diagnosis at the same stage non
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:09:54.278 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.278$ small cell lung cancer stage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:09:56.278 \rightarrow 00:09:58.739$ four same disease more or less.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:09:58.740 --> 00:10:02.450 But if you see this red mark patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}10{:}02{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05{.}775$ this is a 61 male smokers squamous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:10:05.775 --> 00:10:08.579 with a curious mutations male,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:10:08.580 --> 00:10:10.608 54 non-smoker adenocarcinoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:10:10.608 --> 00:10:11.960 ALK translocation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:10:11.960 --> 00:10:14.030 female non-smoker adenocarcinoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- 00:10:14.030 --> 00:10:16.100 Egypt permutation etcetera.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:10:16.100 \rightarrow 00:10:19.565$ So we all agree pathologists are oncologists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}10{:}19{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20{.}352$ These people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00{:}10{:}20.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.307$ these patients have a different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091
- $00:10:22.307 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.468$ tumors and then they have to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:24.468 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.304$ be treated in a different way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

00:10:26.310 --> 00:10:30.615 So that's their representation of the A

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

00:10:30.615 --> 00:10:34.780 targeted medicine and personalized medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.468$ Third Point of my dog is the rationale

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:37.468 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.810$ for the use of psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.938$ samples for biomarker testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.100$ And what is the rationale?

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:43.100 \rightarrow 00:10:45.428$ The rationale is easy to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00{:}10{:}45{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46{.}980$ especially in advanced stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:46.980 \rightarrow 00:10:49.500$ It what is the role of psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:49.500 \rightarrow 00:10:50.580$ and precision medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.162$ First is the the less

NOTE Confidence: 0.635401610909091

 $00:10:54.162 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.550$ invasive invasive method for

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:10:56.668 \rightarrow 00:11:00.670$ for getting tumor. Many times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}11{:}00{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03{.}568$ In some cases it's the only method

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:03.570 \rightarrow 00:11:06.048$ to get tumor for example pancreas,

 $00:11:06.050 \rightarrow 00:11:09.296$ advanced lung tumors or thyroid or

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}11{:}09{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}517$ other locations importantly in no need

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:12.517 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.415$ for the calcification where the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:15.415 \rightarrow 00:11:18.470$ is diagnosed through bone metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:11:18.470 --> 00:11:21.844 You know that in the the calcification

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}11{:}21{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24{.}682$ procedures damage the tissue and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:24.682 \rightarrow 00:11:28.020$ immunos etcetera don't work and if you if

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:28.020 \rightarrow 00:11:30.907$ if we do a good finding the last spiration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:11:30.910 --> 00:11:32.938 We can get a pure population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.470$ more or less pure population

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.988$ of neoplastic cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:36.990 \rightarrow 00:11:40.030$ And this is the scenario we face everyday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:11:40.030 - 00:11:40.964 everyday, everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:11:40.964 --> 00:11:44.233 Now there are some challenges in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:11:44.233 --> 00:11:46.427 diagnosis of non Marcel and cancer

 $00:11:46.427 \rightarrow 00:11:48.630$ and also other types of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:48.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.898$ There is a they they are there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}11{:}50.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53.532$ demand of for comprehensive and complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:11:53.532 --> 00:11:55.847 diagnosis using minimal invasive procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:11:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.710$ We live with that every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}11{:}57{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00{.}090$ We live in the world of personalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:00.090 \rightarrow 00:12:02.350$ the rapies and we have to integrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.305$ biomarkers in in the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:04.305 \rightarrow 00:12:06.264$ management of the Council patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:06.264 \rightarrow 00:12:08.109$ not only in the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:08.110 \rightarrow 00:12:11.358$ But also in the clinical management during

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}12{:}11{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15{.}865$ the whole disease and so far is is too bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.056$ But so far many more than 50% of non

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:19.056 \rightarrow 00:12:21.037$ small cell lung cancer patient came to

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:21.037 \rightarrow 00:12:23.228$ the hospital with advanced diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.030$ So in these patients we only have

 $00:12:26.030 \rightarrow 00:12:28.614$ psychological or or small biopsy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:28.614 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.770$ making the diagnosis are all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}12{:}30{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32{.}960$ biomarker analysis at the same times

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:32.960 \rightarrow 00:12:34.985$ fortunately there is a development

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:34.985 \rightarrow 00:12:36.920$ of imaging techniques and new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}12{:}36{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39{.}860$ Details and excited at that allows for

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.878$ not invasive approach but we get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:12:42.878 \rightarrow 00:12:44.978$ same type of material psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}12{:}44.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.506$ materials and are small small biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}12{:}47{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49{.}374$ So if I need as piration at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:12:49.374 --> 00:12:51.291 and if I need an aspiration biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:12:51.291 --> 00:12:53.667 are in the first line in the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}12{:}53.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.509$ and in the management of patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}12{:}55{.}509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58{.}560$ with non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}12{:}58{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}905$ And remember neoadjuvant the rapy is

 $00:13:00.905 \rightarrow 00:13:04.159$ coming and it's really coming so when

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}078$ adjuvant the rapy non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:13:07.080 \rightarrow 00:13:09.640$ Grayson is a reality is gonna be soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:13:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.705$ We will need to to deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:13:11.705 - 00:13:14.108 more type of these small samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}13{:}14{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14{.}626$ biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:13:14.626 --> 00:13:17.206 final respiration etcetera to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:13:17.206 \rightarrow 00:13:21.032$ the tumor and then to the native ANSI

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}13{:}21.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.280$ and etce tera like in pancreas for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:13:24.280 \rightarrow 00:13:27.269$ There are many papers in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}13{:}27.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.708$ that prove that these biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:13:29.708 \rightarrow 00:13:32.720$ can be tested successfully in small

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:13:32.807 \rightarrow 00:13:35.837$ biopsies and in psychological samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}13{:}35{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36{.}900$ These are three I love.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}916$ This paper published in New England

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:13:38.916 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.090$ Journal of Medicine in 2017 is

- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- 00:13:41.090 00:13:41.920 very informative,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00:13:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.995$ especially for for young people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00:13:44.000 \rightarrow 00:13:45.494$ very very clear.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00{:}13{:}45{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48{.}482$ And these are other other studies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00{:}13{:}48{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51{.}387$ that said that biomarkers can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00:13:51.387 \rightarrow 00:13:55.059$ applied to this type of small samples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- 00:13:55.060 --> 00:13:56.584 Also in 2018,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00:13:56.584 \rightarrow 00:13:59.632$ the guidelines change the big
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00{:}13{:}59{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}780$ groups of pathology from the United
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00:14:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.456$ States and very quick the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- 00:14:06.456 --> 00:14:09.046 Society of Medical Oncology join
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00:14:09.046 \rightarrow 00:14:11.434$ and agree with the pathologist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00:14:11.434 \rightarrow 00:14:14.774$ in the sense that before in 2013
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00{:}14{:}14{.}774$ --> $00{:}14{:}18{.}110$ only cellblock was considered.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846
- $00{:}14{:}18{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20{.}948$ An option for biomarkers using in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:14:20.948 \rightarrow 00:14:23.610$ in psychology or small samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:14:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.790$ But now since 2018 pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00{:}14{:}25.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.641$ says that either cell block or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

 $00:14:28.641 \rightarrow 00:14:30.997$ psychological preparation are suitable

NOTE Confidence: 0.786906016153846

00:14:30.997 --> 00:14:33.942 specimens for lung cancer biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}158$ molecular testing and if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:14:36.158 \rightarrow 00:14:38.626$ at the what the oncology community

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:14:38.626 \rightarrow 00:14:41.216$ says they say pathologists may

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44{.}129$ use either cell block or smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:14:44.130 \rightarrow 00:14:47.007$ They forgot all kind of other preparation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:14:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.550$ but I agree with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:14:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.522$ Because he's there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}14{:}49{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}180$ The cell block and smears is the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}14{:}52.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54.469$ common sample we deal with every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}14{:}54{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57{.}310$ So the question is that the that

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:14:57.310 \rightarrow 00:14:59.665$ any sample with adequate cellularity

- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:14:59.665 \rightarrow 00:15:02.265$ and preservation may be best,

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06{.}790$ and this is a huge agreement about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:06.790 \rightarrow 00:15:08.764$ But what is true is that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}15{:}08{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10{.}922$ is it at this I feel like this

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}15{:}10{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12{.}903$ probably you you feel the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:12.903 \rightarrow 00:15:14.623$ that I feeling that cytopathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:14.623 \rightarrow 00:15:16.593$ and land cytopathology especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:16.593 \rightarrow 00:15:18.669$ is in continuous revolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}15{:}18.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.106$ There is the need of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:21.106 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.730$ of new diagnostic tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.010$ We face new challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:15:24.010 --> 00:15:26.825 there are new tools in the market around

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}15{:}26.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.297$ a new elements of necessary incorporation

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}148$ and all this is happened very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.460$ So probably as in this

 $00:15:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.191$ paper published in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:15:36.191 -> 00:15:38.237 And they say that probably time

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:38.237 \rightarrow 00:15:40.970$ for a next generation pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}15{:}40{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42{.}848$ I think we have the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}15{:}42.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.787$ generation pathologist here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}15{:}43{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48{.}238$ So it's our duty to try to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:15:48.238 --> 00:15:50.830 encourage them because yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:15:50.830 --> 00:15:51.183 it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:15:51.183 --> 00:15:53.301 it's pathology has changed for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:53.301 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.457$ those who yeah who are a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}422$ bit older not all but a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:57.422 \rightarrow 00:15:59.570$ bit pathology has changed a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:15:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.811$ So we have to be ready for all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}01{.}811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05{.}340$ changes and to to adapt very, very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07{.}500$ So it's important subtyping

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:16:07.500 \rightarrow 00:16:10.200$ non small cell lung cancer.

 $00{:}16{:}10.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.574$ Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}10.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.192$ the new Double H WHO classification said

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:16:13.192 \rightarrow 00:16:16.520$ that we need to subtype nurse Marcel and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:16:16.520 \rightarrow 00:16:19.319$ cancer regardless the type of the samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:16:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.101$ Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:16:20.101 --> 00:16:24.006 Because regardless this subtyping the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:16:24.006 --> 00:16:27.928 oncologist without any biomarker oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:16:27.928 \rightarrow 00:16:31.606$ would give a different usual chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:16:31.606 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.532$ so squamous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}32{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34{.}847$ A tumor with the scammers

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}34.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.175$ features will receive a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}37.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.420$ chemotherapy that that jumars that

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:16:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.528$ don't have squamous features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}41.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.790$ So this is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:16:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.530$ So at the end morphology

 $00:16:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.922$ is the first biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}45{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48{.}051$ We cannot forget this because in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:16:48.051 --> 00:16:50.483 world of NGS and all these fancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:16:50.483 \rightarrow 00:16:52.673$ techniques we can forgot and morphology

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}52{.}735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55{.}033$ still is the first biomarker it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}452$ important for especially for young people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}16{:}57{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}098$ But not always is is easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:17:00.100 \rightarrow 00:17:03.537$ We saw many cases this morning because

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}17{:}03.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.360$ who is able to subtype these three cases?

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:17:08.360 --> 00:17:09.250 It's impossible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:17:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.140$ almost impossible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:17:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.810$ So the recommendation of the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

00:17:12.883 --> 00:17:15.007 societies is to reduce the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00{:}17{:}15{.}007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18{.}093$ of non small cell lung cancer and OS to

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:17:18.093 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.488$ the low level possible less than 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372

 $00:17:20.488 \rightarrow 00:17:23.640$ So we need help and we need and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:17:23.737 \rightarrow 00:17:26.614$ we need help and we need help.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:17:26.620 \rightarrow 00:17:28.240$ It is very, very well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- 00:17:28.240 --> 00:17:30.772 Explain I think I love this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:17:30.772 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.038$ paper is published.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:17:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.260$ And some months ago in June 2020.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:17:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.540$ It's a it's a working group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:17:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.886$ It's a survey that you 2
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:17:41.886 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.450$ dinner determine specific sites
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:17:43.523 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.338$ for motorcycle morphology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- 00:17:45.340 --> 00:17:47.920 Sorry criteria for site type,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00{:}17{:}47{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50{.}979$ nonsocial and concept into a deno or squamous.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00{:}17{:}50{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55{.}130$ This paper they they involves
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00:17:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.710$ 313 experts cytopathologist and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.834741372
- $00{:}17{:}57{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00{.}290$ they should satisfy 1.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}18{:}02{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}740$ 119 non small cell lung cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:18:05.740 --> 00:18:09.478 This expert group of pathology selected

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.380$ 23 predefined cycle morphological feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:18:12.380 --> 00:18:16.475 to classify and then data were analyzed

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:16.475 \rightarrow 00:18:18.691$ using machine learning algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}18{:}18{.}691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22{.}899$ develop so to to see what is happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}18{:}22.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.736$ OK the results are here the concordant

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:26.740 \rightarrow 00:18:32.270$ cytology results or succeed in close to 54%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.160$ Of the cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:34.160 \rightarrow 00:18:37.030$ Misclassification rates were higher in

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.616$ lung squamous cell carcinoma and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:40.616 \rightarrow 00:18:43.140$ important point was keratinization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:43.140 \rightarrow 00:18:45.268$ Keratinization when when present

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:18:45.268 --> 00:18:47.396 recognized squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:47.400 \rightarrow 00:18:50.718$ Very easy. Everybody agrees with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:18:50.720 --> 00:18:54.280 But in absence of Keratinization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:18:54.280 \rightarrow 00:18:56.284$ the machine learning algorithms

- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:18:56.284 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.290$ developed on the basis of expert
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:18:59.368 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.833$ choices were unable to reduce
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:19:01.833 \rightarrow 00:19:04.298$ psychology and a waste diagnosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}19{:}04{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06{.}328$ Rate without increasing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:19:06.328 --> 00:19:07.680 misclassification rates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}19{:}07{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11{.}090$ So what I mean what I wanna say that in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:19:11.181 \rightarrow 00:19:14.416$ the world of artificial intelligence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:19:14.420 --> 00:19:16.880 please don't forget human intelligence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:19:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.416$ human intelligence and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:19:18.416 --> 00:19:19.440 artificial intelligence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:19:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.648$ how to go hand by hand because one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}19{:}22.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.497$ benefit from the other and the other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:19:25.500 \rightarrow 00:19:28.398$ benefit for from the from from what?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:19:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.096$ So that's very important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:19:30.096 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.216$ So the conclusion of this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:19:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.370$ of this study is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:19:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.571$ It says suboptimal recognition as cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}19{:}37{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}026$ carcinoma in absence of keratinization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:19:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.200$ So we need help at the first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}19{:}42.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.830$ Help we need is the immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:19:45.830 --> 00:19:47.906 immunocytochemistry in pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:19:47.906 \rightarrow 00:19:50.919$ Especially in pathology banning all

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}19{:}50{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54{.}177$ cancer first is used for diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:19:54.177 --> 00:19:57.684 in case of non small cell lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:19:57.684 \rightarrow 00:20:00.250$ cancer to subtyping this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}595$ Second to to find out the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}20{:}02{.}595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.670$ tumor in case of metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:20:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.542$ And then for biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:20:06.542 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.414$ you remember this picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}20{:}08{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11{.}262$ city one is positive so it favors

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:20:11.262 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.510$ adenocarcinoma and the panel of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:20:13.510 --> 00:20:14.944 immunohistochemistry of antibodies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:20:14.944 --> 00:20:17.920 in lung cancer is very simple,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:20:17.920 --> 00:20:21.184 very easy TD1 cytokeratin 7 and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}20{:}21{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23{.}740$ naps in Paladino carcinoma and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:20:23.740 --> 00:20:25.800 P40P63 for squamous cell carcinoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:20:25.800 \rightarrow 00:20:29.032$ But don't forget these two new not really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:20:29.032 \rightarrow 00:20:31.332$ new antibodies smart support efficient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:20:31.332 \rightarrow 00:20:34.170$ tumors are not one positive tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}20{:}34{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35{.}510$ So we had to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:20:35.510 --> 00:20:37.520 Keep in mind these two possibilities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:20:37.520 \rightarrow 00:20:40.445$ as we saw this morning in the in the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:20:40.450 --> 00:20:41.402 In decision.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}20{:}41{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44{.}258$ So yes to remain that precision
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}20{:}44.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.531$ medicine starts with an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}20{:}46.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.388$ accurate morphological defense.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:20:48.390 --> 00:20:51.288 Second utility of of immunohistochemistry is

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:20:51.288 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.788$ to determine the origin of metastatic tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:20:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.910$ This is a real case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:20:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.590$ sorry because this is in Spanish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}20{:}57{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59{.}830$ It does is pericardial fluid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:20:59.830 --> 00:21:01.846 We don't know anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:21:01.846 --> 00:21:02.350 anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:21:02.350 --> 00:21:03.114 nothing, nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}21{:}03{.}114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05{.}788$ nothing I think is that happened everywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}21{:}05{.}788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08{.}019$ in the world is pericardial fluid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}21{:}08.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.530$ this is the the pericardial fluid is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}21{:}10.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.200$ Tumor of course is an adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}21{:}13.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.900$ We go to the clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}21{:}14{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16{.}340$ We went to the clinical records

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:21:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.060$ of the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:21:17.060 \rightarrow 00:21:19.410$ We we knew that the patient had a lung mass,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}21{:}19{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21{.}240$ so we did.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}21{:}21{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22{.}416$ T1T1 is positive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:21:22.416 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.768$ We confirm that the origin of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}21{:}24.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.801$ this pericardial effusion is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}21{:}26.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.396$ the adenocarcinoma of the lung.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00{:}21{:}29{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30{.}710$ I know the real case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:21:30.710 --> 00:21:32.480 This is a very young lady,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:21:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.934$ 32,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:21:32.934 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.204$ female only smoker with very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:21:35.204 \rightarrow 00:21:37.580$ disseminating disease of unknown origin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:21:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.328$ We did a direct financial aspiration
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- 00:21:40.328 --> 00:21:42.533 of our supraclavicular live now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:21:42.533 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.933$ and if if we didn't have know that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:21:44.933 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.099$ the basement have a landmass,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333
- $00:21:47.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.928$ we were thinking in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

00:21:48.928 --> 00:21:52.300 A gastric tumor or even breast right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00{:}21{:}52{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54{.}676$ but if one was positive so

NOTE Confidence: 0.841564253333333

 $00:21:54.676 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.260$ the the origin of

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:21:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.308$ this tumor is slack but besides of

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:21:59.308 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.804$ that is mandatory the integration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}22{:}01{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03{.}800$ biomarkers in the clinical management NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

00:22:03.800 --> 00:22:06.418 of lung cancer patient and as said

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:06.418 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.730$ before so this is nice to have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}22{:}08{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11{.}680$ we know that this the the last case

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:11.680 \rightarrow 00:22:14.061$ only the pericardial fluid is origin

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}22{:}14.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.383$ in a denocarcinoma of the lung but

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:16.383 \rightarrow 00:22:19.149$ this patient have a mutation of EGFR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.684$ So it's a simple way to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

00:22:21.684 --> 00:22:22.408 precision medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.050$ The other lady is is nice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:25.050 \rightarrow 00:22:27.762$ is better to know that the lady have

 $00{:}22{:}27.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.016$ an alt translocation to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:30.016 \rightarrow 00:22:33.495$ patients can go to a specific therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.699$ So is that we call day-to-day routine

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:36.699 \rightarrow 00:22:39.380$ precision medicine based on psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.840$ samples without any complication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

00:22:41.840 - 00:22:44.368 but very helpful for the patients, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}22{:}44{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48{.}670$ And I can't resist to show you these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}22{:}48.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.826$ These cases motivate a lot to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

00:22:50.830 --> 00:22:56.196 That case is dates from 2009 and I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:56.196 \rightarrow 00:22:59.076$ discovered the value that psychopathologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:22:59.076 \rightarrow 00:23:02.484$ have in in the in in this world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.816$ Let me let me show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.868$ This is this lady came from a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}23{:}06.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.460$ opinion from another city in Spain is

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:09.460 \rightarrow 00:23:11.908$ a female is 72 years old at that time.

 $00:23:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.978$ non-smoker disseminate disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:12.978 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.114$ Look at the pet even brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.376$ That's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

00:23:16.376 --> 00:23:19.490 ** *** has been only offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.720$ a palliative treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.275$ so she decided to go to another

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}23{:}23{.}275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25{.}310$ institution and we perform a

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.034$ direct financial aspiration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}23{:}27{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30{.}358$ this note and it's easy to to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}23{:}30{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}263$ that this is an adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}23{:}32{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35{.}534$ Is the one nuclear cytokeratin cytokeratin

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:35.534 \rightarrow 00:23:38.672$ 7 cytoplasmatic act even the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}23{:}38.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.346$ have a deletion of exon 19 and 85 so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}23{:}44{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48{.}064$ Theme so three months later with TK I

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:23:48.064 \rightarrow 00:23:50.850$ is inhibitor the patient was like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}23{:}50{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}610$ So to me it was like a before and after no.

 $00{:}23{:}54{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57{.}578$ So it's it's important with us so

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}23{:}57{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}570$ simple technique to to be to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:24:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.982$ to help people like this like so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}02{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}586$ But it's important to do molecular and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}05{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}704$ to do to inform about biomarkers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}812$ course is mandatory and there are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:24:11.812 \rightarrow 00:24:14.402$ masks the shores the mask you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

00:24:14.410 --> 00:24:17.710 Everybody knows you get park and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}17.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.088$ grass and exon skipping next of 14

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}21.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.640$ and met Ralph Al Rose and Jack Red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27{.}447$ Of course PDL one and metabolic fication

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}27{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29{.}898$ is coming into this group also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}29{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}702$ And all this happened in a denocarcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}32{.}702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35{.}610$ and squamous of course PDL one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:24:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.843$ now in track is getting with is

 $00:24:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.480$ indeed into the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}39{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42{.}322$ But if if the patient with schemas

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:24:42.322 \rightarrow 00:24:44.818$ Histology is not a light smoker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:24:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.108$ Or is younger than 50 goes through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:24:49.108 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.398$ adenocarcinoma site in terms of biomarkers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

00:24:52.400 --> 00:24:55.800 Unless moved to another part of my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}24{:}55{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58{.}887$ but before I went to stop in Barcelona and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:24:58.887 \rightarrow 00:25:02.118$ I want to stop in the Sagrada Familia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

00:25:02.120 --> 00:25:05.011 Some of you know but Sagrada Familia

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}25{:}05{.}011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07{.}534$ is impressive from outside but I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10{.}434$ tell you to me is more impressive from

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}25{:}10{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13{.}271$ the inside. It's absolutely gorgeous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00{:}25{:}13{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17{.}520$ So you have the the opportunity I

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:25:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.624$ I would like to invite you to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:25:20.624 \rightarrow 00:25:22.456$ this wonderful so the third,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714

 $00:25:22.456 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.559$ the 4th part of my talk is yes,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714
- $00{:}25{:}24.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.485$ the management and the advantages
- NOTE Confidence: 0.805703449285714
- $00:25:26.485 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.410$ and limitation of the psychological
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00:25:28.474 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.758$ samples for biomarkers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00:25:29.760 \rightarrow 00:25:32.660$ I want to make a stop and this is Valencia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- 00:25:32.660 --> 00:25:35.330 Valencia, little bit S in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00{:}25{:}35{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}110$ Mediterranean coast and Valencia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00:25:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.819$ This is called the City of Art
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00{:}25{:}39{.}819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42{.}329$ and Science and it's wonderful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- 00:25:42.330 --> 00:25:44.148 Valencia is a very nice city,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00:25:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.050$ so you are welcome also.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00:25:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.480$ So to talk about this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00{:}25{:}48{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50{.}250$ we have to ask us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00:25:50.250 \rightarrow 00:25:53.798$ or at least I I make myself, two questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00{:}25{:}53.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.668$ How to ensure adequate diagnosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461
- $00:25:56.668 \rightarrow 00:25:59.035$ using minimally invasive methods
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:25:59.035 \rightarrow 00:26:01.825$ and therefore using small samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}26{:}01{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03{.}490$ And the most important question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.010$ how to provide all patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:06.010 \rightarrow 00:26:08.885$ all everyone with the benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}26{:}08.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.610$ of effective the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.522$ So with adequate samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:12.522 \rightarrow 00:26:14.912$ with inadequate management of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:14.912 \rightarrow 00:26:17.750$ samples to be able to do anything we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:17.750 \rightarrow 00:26:20.406$ and something that is very helpful it grows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:20.410 \rightarrow 00:26:23.218$ I want to stop in this part because

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}26{:}23.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.926$ we all know the value of rows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

00:26:25.930 --> 00:26:29.506 Rows is I would say mandatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.480$ especially for two things for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:32.480 \rightarrow 00:26:35.864$ To to take care of the Preanalytical control

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:35.864 \rightarrow 00:26:39.706$ and to make a proper triage of the sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.419$ This is a paper that I like a lot

 $00{:}26{:}42.419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.650$ published in 2019 talking about the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}26{:}44.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.889$ of site to check in in the in the roles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.428$ Obviously they do a great job,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}26{:}50{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51{.}994$ but I love this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}26{:}51{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}923$ And we need a standardized protocol for

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}26{:}54{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57{.}443$ tissue management and for psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:26:57.443 \rightarrow 00:27:00.889$ management and the this is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:27:00.889 \rightarrow 00:27:03.595$ in the era of personalized medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

00:27:03.600 -> 00:27:04.060 adequate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}04.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.820$ should they not sufficient tissue for

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}06{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}738$ diagnosis and for molecular are ancillary

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:27:09.738 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.154$ tests not only sufficient cells or diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.704$ but also it's mandatory to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}15.704$ --> $00{:}27{:}17.400$ molecular and ancillary tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}17{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21{.}128$ But one thing that we really is

00:27:21.128 --> 00:27:22.756 a limitation of psychological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}22.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.560$ Numbers is the lack of architecture and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}25.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.689$ is true it's a limitation even cell blocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:27:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.670$ regular cell blocks block architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}31.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32.954$ OK so my my,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}32{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35{.}809$ my my question is what do you think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}35{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38{.}358$ Can we preserve the architecture of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:27:38.358 \rightarrow 00:27:40.649$ samples doing fine needle aspiration?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}40.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.094$ Yes, no, it depends how how you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:27:44.100 \rightarrow 00:27:47.284$ how we approach the yes or the no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

00:27:47.290 --> 00:27:48.970 But they will say maybe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:27:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.050$ maybe why not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}50.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.006$ let's give the doubt a chance and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}53.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.190$ because we are all the time using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:27:56.286 \rightarrow 00:27:59.826$ the term NGS next generation sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}27{:}59.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02.672$ We can add two more terms NGN

 $00:28:02.672 \rightarrow 00:28:05.048$ like generation needles and NGP

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

00:28:05.048 --> 00:28:06.659 NEXT generation procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:28:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.901$ In the market you know there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

00:28:09.901 -> 00:28:12.880 a huge development on new needles

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:28:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.068$ that allow to directly obtain thin

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}28{:}16.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.196$ film Anders regardless of the needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}28{:}20{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23{.}280$ G and this is a real examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:28:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.350$ And there are another technique that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}28{:}25{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}400$ is called next generation procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:28:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.428$ although it's not at all new

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

00:28:29.428 --> 00:28:31.313 because it's published in 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}28{:}31{.}313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33{.}978$ is called wet suction technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:28:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.374$ That and the the only thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}28{:}36{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}632$ they do is just to put a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}28{:}39{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42{.}020$ of physiologic in the syringer to

 $00:28:42.102 \rightarrow 00:28:44.967$ increase the pressure of aspiration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:28:44.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.346$ So we get these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:28:46.346 \rightarrow 00:28:48.410$ These are real example from institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:28:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.610$ We get dieted cell blocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:28:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.930$ dieted thin, thin cylinders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}28{:}52{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55{.}635$ So the architecture is preserved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}945$ What is what is important is

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

00:28:57.945 --> 00:28:59.905 to introduce very the sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

00:28:59.905 --> 00:29:01.740 very very very very slowly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00:29:01.740 \rightarrow 00:29:02.163$ informally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825571521538461

 $00{:}29{:}02{.}163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05{.}970$ because as as a theist is very thing it fixes

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:06.049 \rightarrow 00:29:09.298$ at the end. We got like a very thin

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:09.298 \rightarrow 00:29:12.000$ cylinder with well preserved architecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

00:29:12.000 --> 00:29:14.681 cells, cell block we put in formally

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:14.681 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.143$ in paraffin and we want the and

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:17.143 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.520$ we can do whatever we want to do.

 $00{:}29{:}19{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22{.}060$ So that techniques, both needles

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:22.060 \rightarrow 00:29:24.600$ and procedure have many advantages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:24.600 \rightarrow 00:29:26.700$ We we can have a psychologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

00:29:26.700 - 00:29:28.200 Logical correlation like in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}29{:}28{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30{.}151$ case we can choose the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}29{:}30{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32{.}106$ appropriate sample for each technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}29{:}32.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.477$ For example, with a good cell blocks we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:34.477 \rightarrow 00:29:37.086$ do without any problem any many antibodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}29{:}37{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39{.}182$ immunohistochemistry and in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}29{:}39{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41{.}797$ particular in our institution we

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

00:29:41.797 - > 00:29:44.266 prefer to use smears to do fits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:44.270 \rightarrow 00:29:47.147$ Many pacing can benefit for clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

00:29:47.150 --> 00:29:48.338 You know cellblock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}29{:}48{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51{.}110$ regular cell blocks and smear don't allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:51.180 \rightarrow 00:29:53.644$ this patient to go to clinical trials,

 $00:29:53.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.065$ but these are biopsies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:56.065 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.514$ some clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.750$ But yeah, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:29:58.750 \rightarrow 00:30:01.620$ they can accept these type of samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:01.704 \dashrightarrow 00:30:04.567$ because at the end this small biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

00:30:04.570 --> 00:30:06.873 And we can do many molecular analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}30{:}06{.}873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09{.}796$ of course and even we can do studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}30{:}09{.}796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11{.}292$ of the immune microenvironment

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:11.292 \rightarrow 00:30:13.670$ with these nowadays very important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}30{:}13.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}15.966$ this is a a schematic representation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:15.966 \dashrightarrow 00:30:18.246$ the different type of samples we can get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.230$ This is a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

00:30:20.230 --> 00:30:22.210 very recent paper published

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.810$ with Fernando Smith,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}30{:}23{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25{.}650$ actually is published in September.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:25.650 \rightarrow 00:30:28.546$ And this is an algorithm just to remind

 $00{:}30{:}28{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31{.}767$ you that if we have enough material to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}30{:}31{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34{.}800$ a morphological diagnosis and biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:34.800 \dashrightarrow 00:30:36.876$ Everything is OK because we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:36.876 \rightarrow 00:30:38.260$ go and do anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.540$ They must biomarkers that we have to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}30{:}41{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45{.}236$ But what happened if we don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:45.236 \rightarrow 00:30:48.080$ enough material to we have only

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:48.080 \rightarrow 00:30:50.180$ material to do morphological diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52{.}688$ but we cannot repeat the procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:52.688 \rightarrow 00:30:55.300$ to get material for biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:30:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.160$ So we have to take,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}30{:}57{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59{.}446$ we have to be in and mind to we

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}30{:}59{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01{.}967$ have to keep in mind that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}31{:}01{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03{.}431$ is a incomplete diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.110$ Morphology is good, but this.

00:31:05.110 --> 00:31:07.710 Nowadays is an incomplete diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}31{:}07{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09{.}710$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.270$ So yes practical issue about

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:12.270 \dashrightarrow 00:31:14.318$ Minister chemistry considered an

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}31{:}14{.}318$ --> $00{:}31{:}17{.}641$ also PDL one fees NGS yes some words

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:17.641 \dashrightarrow 00:31:19.629$ about tumor microenvironment you

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:19.629 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.134$ thinking So what are the pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:22.134 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.470$ analytical factors important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}31{:}23.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.576$ the minister chemistry or or know

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:25.576 \rightarrow 00:31:27.622$ very well the important message of

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}31{:}27.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29.792$ this slide is this one cell blocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:29.792 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.450$ should be fixed informally none in

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:32.450 \rightarrow 00:31:34.790$ other fixative just informally what

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:34.874 \rightarrow 00:31:37.328$ happened if we don't have Sylvia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}31{:}37{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}145$ The basin has not option to anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:41.150 \rightarrow 00:31:43.950$ I think the patient should have any option.

 $00:31:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.990$ So it's a way that swimming

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.474$ against the flow when we try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:49.474 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.450$ do immunohistochemistry on smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:51.450 \rightarrow 00:31:54.348$ There is a lot of contradictory results

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:31:54.348 \rightarrow 00:31:56.500$ in the literature because mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}31{:}56{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59{.}398$ because there is a lack of optimization

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

00:31:59.398 --> 00:32:00.975 of immunohistochemistry protocols

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:32:00.975 \rightarrow 00:32:04.221$ for psychology slides and there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}32{:}04{.}221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07{.}428$ a lack of quality controls and validation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:32:07.430 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.428$ This is the main problems when

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:32:09.428 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.787$ you we use non cell block samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}32{:}12.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.089$ for immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:32:14.090 \rightarrow 00:32:16.729$ This is a table comparing based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}32{:}16.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19.010$ the publication in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

00:32:19.010 --> 00:32:19.958 Cellblock Papanicola
ou stain

 $00:32:19.958 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.170$ at the smear and stain a deep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}32{:}22.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25.474$ quick and liquid base with the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:32:25.474 \rightarrow 00:32:28.365$ and if we consider it non cell block,

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00:32:28.370 \rightarrow 00:32:31.292$ the best results are obtained using

NOTE Confidence: 0.741364271153846

 $00{:}32{:}31{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33{.}240$ performing ministry chemistry on

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:32:33.321 --> 00:32:35.328 Papanicola
ou stained smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:32:35.330 \rightarrow 00:32:39.170$ And the wars only with base preparation

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:32:39.170 \dashrightarrow 00:32:41.620$ because the fixative is methanol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}32{:}41.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44.580$ And as we as we all know methanol

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:32:44.580 \rightarrow 00:32:46.612$ give some problems, blocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}32{:}46.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49.660$ some antibodies and many other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:32:49.660 \rightarrow 00:32:52.692$ But the the truth is that psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}32{:}52.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56.196$ specimen non cell blocks are under used

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:32:56.196 --> 00:32:57.798 for predictive immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:32:57.800 \rightarrow 00:33:00.125$ There are practical difficulties and

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:33:00.125 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.450$ lack of standardization for performing

- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:33:02.512 \rightarrow 00:33:04.930$ ministry chemistry on null cell blocks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00{:}33{:}04{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07{.}655$ Provincial preparation and we need
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- 00:33:07.655 00:33:09.277 validation, we need controls,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00{:}33{:}09{.}277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12{.}292$ we need protocols to be able to get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00{:}33{:}12.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.842$ good results and made them these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00{:}33{:}14.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.780$ results comparable to celebra.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:33:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.356$ So we have a lot to do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:33:19.360 \rightarrow 00:33:23.154$ Regarding the Minister commissary,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- 00:33:23.154 --> 00:33:24.408 practically minister chemistry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:33:24.410 \rightarrow 00:33:26.615$ these are the pre analytical in psychology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:33:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.095$ These are the pre analytical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00{:}33{:}28.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.349$ factors we have to take care of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:33:30.349 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.785$ fixatives type of preparation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:33:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.878$ psychological preparation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:33:32.878 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.510$ stain, coverless, cover,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:33:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.310$ sleeping and antigen retrieval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}33{:}36{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}860$ And this is simply summarize our experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:33:39.860 --> 00:33:42.394 So if we do perform in Ministry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:33:42.400 --> 00:33:44.928 Commission, cellblock it's easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:33:44.928 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.828$ it's a formal in fixation in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}33{:}47.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.379$ abnormal paraffin OK there is no problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:33:50.380 - 00:33:52.354 But what happened if we are trying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}33{:}52{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54{.}817$ We don't have cell block and we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}33{:}54{.}817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57{.}054$ give some information for this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:33:57.054 \rightarrow 00:33:59.450$ patient and we only have smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}33{:}59{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}312$ We do personally we do over

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}34{:}02{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04{.}220$ Papanicola
ou stained desmarias we

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:34:04.301 --> 00:34:06.785 use in our Department of Plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:34:06.785 --> 00:34:09.699 Coverslip is is good because you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:34:09.700 \rightarrow 00:34:12.836$ take it out in 2 minutes in acetone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:34:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.919$ So it's very easy to get out

 $00:34:14.919 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.420$ and the the cells are perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:34:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.298$ And what about on teaching retrieval?

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:34:19.300 --> 00:34:22.270 Many. It probably you don't remember.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:34:22.270 --> 00:34:24.430 Of course I hope you remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}34{:}24{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27{.}720$ but in case you don't remember alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:34:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.057$ based fixatives or by causing tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:34:31.057 \rightarrow 00:34:33.509$ dehydration and protein violation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:34:33.510 \rightarrow 00:34:37.317$ So this is very gentle with the cells so

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}34{:}37{.}317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41{.}305$ the majority of antigens do not require.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:34:41.310 --> 00:34:43.950 Any form of antigen retrieval or

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:34:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.509$ this antigen retrieval has to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}34{:}46{.}509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49{.}015$ modified and be gentle I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}34{:}49.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}51.944$ because the type the intrinsic type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}34{:}51{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55{.}820$ fixation of alcohol based fixatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:34:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.158$ What would we have to do?

 $00:34:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.566$ When do we do anything? Fees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}34{:}59{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02{.}996$ Molecular or immuno on smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:03.000 \dashrightarrow 00:35:05.800$ We have to immortalize in some way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:05.800 \rightarrow 00:35:08.131$ in some way in the the preparation

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}35{:}08{.}131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10{.}240$ because we we will lose them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:10.240 \rightarrow 00:35:11.950$ We have problems, even medical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.620$ legal problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:12.620 \rightarrow 00:35:15.980$ So what we can take pictures or we can scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.072$ we scan the preparation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:17.072 \dashrightarrow 00:35:19.217$ This is a plural, a pericardial fluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

00:35:19.217 --> 00:35:19.566 sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:19.566 \rightarrow 00:35:22.300$ from a patient with squamous cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.106$ the only group of cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:24.106 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.370$ in all these smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00{:}35{:}25{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26{.}358$ Of course this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692

 $00:35:26.358 \rightarrow 00:35:28.531$ it's hard to say this is a squamous

- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:35:28.531 \rightarrow 00:35:30.106$ cell carcinoma only by morphology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:35:30.106 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.120$ at least to me it's very hard.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:35:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.276$ But we we perform a P40,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00{:}35{:}35{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38{.}000$ we scan the preparation and P40 same group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00{:}35{:}38{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39{.}329$ So it's positive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- 00:35:39.329 --> 00:35:41.986 So it's a, it's a very,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:35:41.986 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.638$ very good help in this case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00{:}35{:}44{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47{.}538$ Let's move to to talk about a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00{:}35{:}47{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50{.}122$ about biomarkers for immune checkpoint
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:35:50.122 \rightarrow 00:35:53.520$ inhibitors and the star is PDL one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:35:53.520 \rightarrow 00:35:56.020$ but so far immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:35:56.020 \rightarrow 00:35:59.634$ This only the available biomarker we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.581764112307692
- $00:35:59.634 \dashrightarrow 00:36:03.180$ have to determine the the positivity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00{:}36{:}03{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05{.}808$ of negativity of PD one in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00{:}36{:}05{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08{.}785$ In for, for, in for decision a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:36:08.785 --> 00:36:11.310 clinical decision on immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:36:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.852$ So, so as they they sources pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}36{:}14.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.791$ have been challenged to perform many

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:36:17.791 \rightarrow 00:36:20.449$ says with a very limited sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:36:20.450 \rightarrow 00:36:22.389$ I recommend to you these two papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}36{:}22{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24{.}206$ one is published by our group last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:36:24.206 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.346$ and the second one is published this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:36:26.350 --> 00:36:28.467 But the group of Pantano and

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}36{:}28.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.003$ there is a good review of PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:36:31.003 - > 00:36:33.438 and and ministry chemistry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}36{:}33{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35{.}978$ PDL one and cytological samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:36:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.840$ These papers talk about briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:36:38.840 --> 00:36:40.765 I'm gonna just mention pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:36:40.765 --> 00:36:41.535 analytical consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}36{:}41{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44{.}726$ Remember cell block speaks in formal in

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:36:44.726 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.560$ and smears in alcohol and 96.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- 00:36:47.560 --> 00:36:49.300 Analytical consideration,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- 00:36:49.300 --> 00:36:51.910 control, sample adequacy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:36:51.910 \rightarrow 00:36:54.916$ interpretation, clinical correlation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- 00:36:54.916 --> 00:36:58.806 immortalizes smear, physical pathology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- 00:36:58.806 --> 00:36:59.749 etcetera.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:36:59.750 \rightarrow 00:37:01.735$ Positive staying positive stain is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:37:01.735 \rightarrow 00:37:04.295$ considered when there is a complete
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- 00:37:04.295 --> 00:37:06.319 or partial membranous staining
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00{:}37{:}06{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08{.}343$ irrespective of the intensity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00{:}37{:}08{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12{.}841$ So this is a smear with it's easy to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:37:12.841 \rightarrow 00:37:15.390$ interpret because it's membranous.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- 00:37:15.390 --> 00:37:17.310 Beautiful membranous staining,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:37:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.590$ negative stain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00{:}37{:}18.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22.034$ negative stain for PDL one is when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:37:22.034 \rightarrow 00:37:26.029$ we don't see this membrane staining.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.846$ Ohh when we see this kind of granular

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}37{:}28.846$ --> $00{:}37{:}31.170$ or even nuclear staining anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.248$ that is not membrane is negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:34.250 \rightarrow 00:37:36.527$ OK, even in this case that seems to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}37{:}36{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38{.}792$ but now it's a granular cytoplasmic

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:38.792 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.300$ staining which is considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:40.359 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.456$ negative pitfalls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:41.456 \rightarrow 00:37:45.568$ We have to avoid macrophages and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}37{:}45.568$ --> $00{:}37{:}48.013$ other inflammatory cells that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:48.013 \rightarrow 00:37:52.476$ mimic to and can pretend to be a tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:37:52.480 - 00:37:54.332 Sometimes macrophages are easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:54.332 \rightarrow 00:37:56.184$ but sometimes macrophages also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:56.190 \rightarrow 00:37:58.269$ Staying at the membrane so this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:37:58.269 \dashrightarrow 00:38:00.592$ this is a problem sometimes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}38{:}00{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03{.}310$ these authors also talk about post

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.353$ analytical considerations such a

 $00:38:05.353 \rightarrow 00:38:07.381$ correlation with clinical outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:07.381 \rightarrow 00:38:10.745$ and stress the importance of external

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:10.745 \rightarrow 00:38:13.600$ quality controls and and validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}38{:}13{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16{.}524$ because we know that there is a draw

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:16.524 \dashrightarrow 00:38:20.498$ a drug behind all these all these tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:38:20.500 --> 00:38:22.378 So when we talk about Cellblock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:22.380 \rightarrow 00:38:24.530$ it's nothing different to biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:24.530 \rightarrow 00:38:26.798$ But when we talk about smears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.008$ be aware of the three dimensionality

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:29.008 \rightarrow 00:38:30.112$ of psychological smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.008$ So in this case this is a nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}38{:}32.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.998$ staining of the membrane of the cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:34.000 \rightarrow 00:38:36.536$ but here the the cells are more crowded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:36.540 \dashrightarrow 00:38:39.480$ so we can have a false impression

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.740$ of cytoplasmic staining.

 $00:38:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.180$ We see which is not real,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}38{:}42.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.768$ it's because the cells are overlap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:38:45.770 --> 00:38:49.512 And and in the in the report

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:49.512 \rightarrow 00:38:52.081$ is it recommended to specify the type

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:52.081 \rightarrow 00:38:54.091$ of psychological sample cell blocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:38:54.091 --> 00:38:56.696 smear wherever the clone use and the NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}38{:}56.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.740$ controlled use at least we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:38:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.440$ evaluate 10 evaluable tumor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:39:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.100$ no sorry 100 cells not 100 cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}39{:}06{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}08{.}974$ 100 evaluable tumor cells and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:39:08.974 \dashrightarrow 00:39:11.370$ important again pre analytics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}39{:}11{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13{.}752$ we have to use appropriate controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:39:13.752 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.218$ of course for biopsies we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

00:39:16.220 --> 00:39:17.600 Tonsil, placenta, whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00{:}39{:}17.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.820$ But for a cell blocks we decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375

 $00:39:20.902 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.150$ to use placenta.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:39:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.202$ So we take a placenta every
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00{:}39{:}24{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26{.}814$ month and we make small pieces to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:39:26.814 \rightarrow 00:39:29.142$ do cellblock and we make many,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:39:29.150 \rightarrow 00:39:32.000$ many many imprints and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:39:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.710$ stand with papanikolau.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00{:}39{:}33{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37{.}274$ So we use smear or smear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:39:37.274 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.650$ and cellblock or cellblock.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- 00:39:39.650 -> 00:39:41.480 OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- 00:39:41.480 --> 00:39:43.400 And these are some examples on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- $00:39:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.121$ nice examples of period while
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812056834375
- 00:39:45.121 -> 00:39:46.637 on smears the macrophages.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:39:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.516$ But for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:39:47.516 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.976$ what happened with this case?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:39:48.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.280$ Things are not easy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:39:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.580$ This group is positive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:39:51.580 \rightarrow 00:39:53.300$ but what this weather ourselves?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

00:39:53.300 --> 00:39:54.896 Macrophages probably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:39:54.896 \rightarrow 00:39:58.886$ but stain membrane and cytoplasm

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:39:58.886 \rightarrow 00:40:02.752$ pants everything but it be aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00{:}40{:}02.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04.672$ that some normal bronchial cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00{:}40{:}04{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07{.}258$ can also be stained with PDL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:40:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.980$ OK, so it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:40:08.980 \rightarrow 00:40:12.212$ What is my recommendation when we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00{:}40{:}12.212$ --> $00{:}40{:}14.977$ PDL one on psychological samples?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:40:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.472$ Caution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

00:40:15.472 --> 00:40:18.916 Be cautious because it's an important issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:40:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.060$ OK, we have to waste a lot of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:40:23.060 \rightarrow 00:40:26.369$ interpreting video one and psychological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00{:}40{:}26.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.695$ What happened in Europe regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:40:28.695 \rightarrow 00:40:30.090$ immunohistochemistry in general?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

 $00:40:30.090 \rightarrow 00:40:37.210$ This is a paper Paris 2020 about a survey.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- 00:40:37.210 --> 00:40:38.287 Our Community subcommittee,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- 00:40:38.287 --> 00:40:40.441 how you measure is performed in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:40:40.441 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.269$ different laboratories across Europe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:40:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.258$ So they said that mother clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- 00:40:45.258 --> 00:40:46.752 practice requires immunohistochemistry
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00{:}40{:}46.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.588$ more and more and psychological samples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00{:}40{:}49{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52{.}982$ And to be to be able to do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:40:52.982 \rightarrow 00:40:55.189$ immunohistochemistry in accurately way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:40:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.120$ laboratories have to be able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00{:}40{:}57.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.050$ to optimize and standardize the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:40:59.124 \rightarrow 00:41:01.508$ preparation of psychological samples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- 00:41:01.510 --> 00:41:04.066 They have to optimize and validate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- 00:41:04.066 --> 00:41:05.344 immunohistochemistry staining protocols
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00{:}41{:}05{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07{.}418$ and they have to use appropriate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00{:}41{:}07{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09{.}836$ The controls and of course they have to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125

- 00:41:09.836 --> 00:41:11.868 follow external quality control problems.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:41:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.523$ This is very,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- $00:41:13.523 \rightarrow 00:41:16.278$ very difficult as you say.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89073461125
- 00:41:16.280 --> 00:41:16.630 Is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- 00:41:19.170 --> 00:41:24.738 22145 to 45 laps participate in this survey?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}41{:}24.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.470$ And look at the differences in fixatives.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.744$ Cell block is fixed mainly informally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:29.744 \rightarrow 00:41:32.200$ but there are other fixed actors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}41{:}32.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34.500$ cytospin, smears and liquid based.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:34.500 \rightarrow 00:41:38.077$ There is a very variation in fixation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}41{:}38.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41.320$ It's unbelievable but it is true.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}41{:}41{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43{.}768$ This is a lot of variation in in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:43.768 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.462$ the staining the staining before
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- 00:41:46.462 --> 00:41:47.798 perform immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.780$ Some are in liquid base,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.926$ cytospin and smear.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:51.926 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.836$ There is variation in multiple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:53.836 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.530$ samples and also there is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:55.530 \rightarrow 00:41:58.356$ Variation and how the samples are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:41:58.356 \rightarrow 00:42:01.050$ storaged before doing amnestic chemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- 00:42:01.050 00:42:03.129 So if that that happened in Europe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}42{:}03{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05{.}245$ I cannot think what happened
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:42:05.245 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.970$ in the in the entire world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}42{:}07{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11{.}093$ But you know cell blocks are are the first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}42{:}11.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}13.748$ option for us as a cytopathologist to do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:42:13.748 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.549$ all kind of immune or whatever right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:42:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.920$ But cell blocks also have some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}42{:}18.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.368$ problems because there is a regarding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:42:21.368 \rightarrow 00:42:23.750$ PDL one there is a the rogenic city
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- 00:42:23.750 --> 00:42:25.580 interpretations of course in their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}42{:}25{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28{.}210$ crunch we know that inter pathologies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:42:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.506$ So this is a reality and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}42{:}30{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}32{.}590$ a reality in in every way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}42{:}32{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35{.}929$ So can can what can we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:42:35.929 \rightarrow 00:42:38.620$ to overcome this challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:42:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.164$ What we can do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:42:40.164 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.094$ Two things based on literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}42{:}42.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.488$ but before that I want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:42:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.338$ Go or let you go to the South

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

00:42:47.338 --> 00:42:49.649 of Spain this is Cordova.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}42{:}49{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}116$ In the very South of Spain this is Neta

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}42{:}52{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}54{.}487$ de Cordova and this called patios.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:42:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.662$ Patios plenty of flowers that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:42:56.662 \rightarrow 00:42:59.210$ very famous and are very beautiful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}42{:}59{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01{.}576$ And this is Granada appearing in Granada.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}43{:}01{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03{.}868$ I don't know this is the Alhambra of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

00:43:03.868 --> 00:43:06.150 Granada is a wonderful place to visit,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:43:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.990$ so I'll invite all of you to them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- 00:43:08.990 --> 00:43:09.886 First solution,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}43{:}09{.}886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12{.}574$ first solution came from a paper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:43:12.574 \rightarrow 00:43:14.948$ recently published is published is here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- 00:43:14.950 --> 00:43:19.660 Up in 2022, this year and they say.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:43:19.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.228$ Is true the impact of a pathologist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:43:22.228 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.860$ personality on the interior
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:43:23.860 \rightarrow 00:43:25.716$ server variability and diagnosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:43:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.046$ accuracy of predictivity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}43{:}27.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}29.256$ We're one immunochemistry in Lancaster,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- 00:43:29.260 --> 00:43:32.230 OK, it's very recent paper.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}43{:}32{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36{.}574$ The authors make an hypothesis saying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}43{:}36{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40{.}176$ that pathologists personality has a lot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}43{:}40.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.294$ to do in this interservice variability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}43{:}42.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.691$ and then in the diagnosis accuracy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

00:43:44.691 --> 00:43:47.127 of PDL 1 immunity immunity scoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}43{:}47.130$ --> $00{:}43{:}49.902$ So they they they the paper is done in NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

00:43:49.902 --> 00:43:52.796 this way 17 pathologist for four people, NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

00:43:52.800 --> 00:43:55.306 one in my scoring in 50 resected

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}43{:}55{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58{.}021$ resected a resection non small cell lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}43{:}58{.}021$ --> $00{:}44{:}00{.}373$ cancer and this pathology is completed NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:44:00.445 \dashrightarrow 00:44:02.609$ that certified personality test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}44{:}02.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03.872$ That assess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

00:44:03.872 --> 00:44:06.396 Different type of personality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:44:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.720$ neuroticism, extraversion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}44{:}07{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09{.}700$ etcetera are here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00{:}44{:}09.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13.285$ So good concordance was obtained

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:44:13.285 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.153$ in cases entirely positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:44:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.560$ so less than 1% or very high positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:44:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.958$ negative or positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:44:20.958 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.754$ But the problem was in the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}44{:}23.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26.310$ middle for cases with PDR one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.400$ score around the cutoff value.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:28.400 \rightarrow 00:44:30.759$ The conclusion of this paper look at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:30.759 \rightarrow 00:44:33.636$ this is published in lung cancer in 2022.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}44{:}33.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36.580$ Pathologists with higher score
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:36.580 \rightarrow 00:44:39.620$ get higher score pathologies with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.052$ a personality careful diligent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:42.052 \rightarrow 00:44:44.219$ conscientious anness pathologies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:44.220 \rightarrow 00:44:48.476$ By contrast a lower score a big problems
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:48.476 \rightarrow 00:44:52.209$ came with a pathologist that have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- 00:44:52.210 --> 00:44:54.424 Notice, notice, personality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:54.424 \rightarrow 00:44:57.376$ I'm not inventing anything.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:44:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.768$ This is published.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00{:}44{:}59{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01{.}900$ And she was sensitive or whatever.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375
- $00:45:01.900 \rightarrow 00:45:03.712$ So I don't know what type
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85407069375

 $00:45:03.712 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.920$ of personality you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:04.920 \rightarrow 00:45:09.984$ but. I I personally won't go through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.386$ certified personality test just in case

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:13.390 \dashrightarrow 00:45:17.604$ the second solution I think more more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}45{:}17.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.870$ Real, I would say is to have help of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}45{:}20{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23{.}940$ digital pathology because some digital NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}45{:}23{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27{.}443$ pathology system have an algorithm for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:27.443 \rightarrow 00:45:30.923$ helping us in the interpretation of PDL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}45{:}30{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36{.}430$ We use this UDC 200 system from roads

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}45{:}36{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39{.}310$ that has an algorithm to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.090$ PDL one with the clone speed 263.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:44.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.185$ A digital purchase pathology is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:46.185 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.280$ very important also in psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:48.349 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.790$ you have many many advantages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.310$ I would say we can use it as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:52.385 \rightarrow 00:45:54.595$ telepathology consultation, discussion,

 $00:45:54.595 \rightarrow 00:45:57.430$ discussion of cases with some other expert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:45:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.629$ We can do as a teaching tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:00.629 \rightarrow 00:46:03.501$ And as you see before we can immortalize

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:03.501 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.768$ the smear for do other ancillary

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}46{:}05{.}768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08{.}470$ testing and we can use algorithms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.104$ but but to use algorithms we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.400$ We can use a smear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

00:46:14.400 --> 00:46:17.060 We is mandatory to use cell blocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}46{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.059$ paraffin cell blocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}46{:}18.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.390$ So it is necessary to get adequate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:20.454 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.995$ cell cell blocks and if possible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}46{:}22.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.980$ preserve the architecture of the sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.020$ So why is important the management

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}46{:}27.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.235$ of the samples and the development

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:29.235 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.815$ of these new needles?

00:46:30.820 --> 00:46:32.392 And these new procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}46{:}32{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35{.}828$ OK so this is a small study we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

00:46:35.828 --> 00:46:38.298 perform in our laboratory using

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:38.298 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.605$ evaluating 32 cell blocks by eye in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}46{:}41.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}44.215$ the microscope and scan these cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}46{:}44{.}220$ --> $00{:}46{:}48{.}245$ and and go through the algorithm from NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:48.245 \rightarrow 00:46:52.079$ our system and see what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:52.080 \rightarrow 00:46:53.252$ This is an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}46{:}53.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.780$ You see the example of the cell block.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.996$ We select this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:46:57.996 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.320$ we teach the machine how to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:47:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.256$ how to interpret,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:47:02.256 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.816$ how to select the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:47:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.508$ So we teach the machine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:47:06.508 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.920$ the machine teach teach us to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:47:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.680$ So in this particular area

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- 00:47:11.680 --> 00:47:13.030 region of interest,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- 00:47:13.030 00:47:16.628 the percentage of PDL one is here 39.8.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00:47:16.628 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.316$ We can select many different areas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00{:}47{:}19{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20{.}648$ we select another one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00:47:20.648 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.976$ and here the percentage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00:47:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.996$ This is a I can see he's 34
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00:47:27.996 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.010$ something 3033.3 so at the end.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- 00:47:31.010 --> 00:47:32.949 We can do with many many other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00:47:32.949 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.300$ areas of the sample.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00:47:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.430$ The the machine gives us a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00:47:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.850$ like a medium results.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00{:}47{:}37.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.602$ In this case the machine says that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00:47:40.602 \rightarrow 00:47:44.860$ person is of positivity of 137.6 OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00{:}47{:}44.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.198$ So at the end in this study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125
- $00:47:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.200$ what we did is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:47:50.200 \rightarrow 00:47:52.528$ Demonstrate is a very short number

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}47{:}52{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54{.}770$ of cases that the concordance

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:47:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.218$ among pathologists sticks to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:47:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.358$ X1X2 and X3 are by ice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}48{:}00{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}184$ A artificial intelligence one and AI two are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}48{:}05{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08{.}256$ It is the same samples analyzed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:08.256 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.789$ using this algorithm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.909$ the Concordia single,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}48{:}10{.}909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13{.}520$ but is better when you use this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:13.596 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.245$ algorithm and of course is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}48{:}16{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18{.}260$ almost perfect when the algorithm

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:18.336 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.646$ is compared with the algorithm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}48{:}20.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.858$ What we did is to review blinded 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}48{:}23.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27.100$ months later same cases, so pathology 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.450$ pathology 2 using the eyes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:29.450 \rightarrow 00:48:32.570$ artificial intelligent pathology to using

 $00:48:32.570 \rightarrow 00:48:35.690$ the eye and artificial intelligence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}48{:}35{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37{.}820$ Thing changed a little because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.950$ it seems that using artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:40.024 \rightarrow 00:48:42.610$ intelligence you can be more precise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

00:48:42.610 --> 00:48:45.137 Be careful because we have to teach

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:45.137 \rightarrow 00:48:48.180$ the machine before doing all this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.520$ The machine doesn't know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.020$ we don't teach the machine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00{:}48{:}52.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.324$ So remember human intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85241562125

 $00:48:53.324 \rightarrow 00:48:55.280$ has to be at my heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00:48:55.349 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.918$ with artificial interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00{:}48{:}56{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}685$ So just finishing this part

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00{:}48{:}58.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.097$ of immunity second mystery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00{:}49{:}00{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02{.}599$ Sometimes we try to do our best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00{:}49{:}02.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04.252$ but sometimes sometimes it

 $00:49:04.252 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.904$ doesn't work because the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00:49:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.834$ Sample because of whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00{:}49{:}07{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11{.}371$ Be be aware that CPS and PDL

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00:49:11.371 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.701$ one is not possible to measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

00:49:14.701 -> 00:49:16.366 on psychological samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00:49:16.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.667$ We only can evaluate 2 more cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830469566923077

 $00:49:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.080$ not infiltrate, it's not in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:25.150 \rightarrow 00:49:26.990$ Lymphocytes and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}49{:}26{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30{.}770$ And sometimes we do as best as we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

00:49:30.770 --> 00:49:31.694 But simply immunity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:31.694 \rightarrow 00:49:32.926$ the mystery doesn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:32.930 \rightarrow 00:49:36.206$ That happened, but with adequate samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}49{:}36{.}210$ --> $00{:}49{:}38{.}874$ proper management of the samples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:38.874 \rightarrow 00:49:40.206$ appropriate validation controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.470$ it really works on smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:42.470 \rightarrow 00:49:45.200$ And you have here some examples

 $00:49:45.200 \rightarrow 00:49:48.314$ from real cases from our department

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:48.314 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.710$ and cellblocks and smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.988$ So yes, Sir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:51.988 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.970$ 2 words about this piece is very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.402$ Useful on psychology because

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:57.402 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.226$ especially on smears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:49:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.096$ because the main advantage is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

00:50:01.096 - 00:50:03.300 that we have the entire nuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:50:03.300 \rightarrow 00:50:07.665$ so the signals we analyze at the real ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}07.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10.518$ What we do is to select this monolayer

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}10{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13{.}200$ areas and avoid these crowded because

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}13.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.044$ here we cannot interpret any signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}16.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.822$ Here yes we we have the isolated

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}18.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}21.010$ nuclei to interpret the signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}21{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}23{.}845$ What we do is to to to

 $00{:}50{:}23.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.678$ mark this the the smear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}25.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28.244$ So it's not cost effective to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:50:28.244 \rightarrow 00:50:30.666$ put the probe over the whole smear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:50:30.670 \rightarrow 00:50:34.414$ So we mark the areas with the cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:50:34.414 \rightarrow 00:50:37.340$ monolayers even we can do two different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}37{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39{.}482$ Approves and one on this part

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}39{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42{.}065$ of this meal and one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:50:42.065 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.860$ other part of this smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

00:50:43.860 - 00:50:45.945 Probably the most tricky issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:50:45.945 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.914$ on smears during fees is they is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:50:48.914 \rightarrow 00:50:51.326$ optimizing the Pepsi in time because

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:50:51.326 \rightarrow 00:50:53.686$ if there is insufficient digestion

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}53.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.644$ the proof doesn't enter into nuclei

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}50{:}56{.}644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59{.}570$ and we see nothing but the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:50:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.204$ the digestion is is too strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:51:02.204 \rightarrow 00:51:04.976$ is the sample is over digested.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00:51:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.506$ We have this like a Donuts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00{:}51{:}07{.}510$ --> $00{:}51{:}10{.}830$ Appearance of this is and this is not,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00:51:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.782$ we cannot see nothing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- 00:51:12.782 --> 00:51:15.222 There are many papers published
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00{:}51{:}15{.}222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18{.}814$ in the literature that that show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00:51:18.814 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.966$ the the usefulness the of this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00{:}51{:}22{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23{.}518$ It's me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00{:}51{:}23{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25{.}436$ Our support to infuse this is an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00:51:25.436 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.218$ example of ALK is that we do this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00:51:27.218 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.601$ is the real image we see at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- 00:51:29.601 --> 00:51:30.965 Microsoft that fluorescent microscope
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- 00:51:30.965 --> 00:51:32.990 and the very fancy, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00:51:32.990 \rightarrow 00:51:35.366$ makeup image that is very nice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- 00:51:35.370 --> 00:51:38.268 But we deal every day with this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385
- $00:51:38.268 \rightarrow 00:51:39.534$ type of images.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:51:39.534 \rightarrow 00:51:43.006$ This is a rogue one positive cases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}51{:}43.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.250$ this is a polysemic negative for Ark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}51{:}46{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49{.}568$ Sometimes we we see not entirely negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:51:49.568 \rightarrow 00:51:53.536$ cases and in which the chemistry for all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:51:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.940$ We found out these polysemic cases and fish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}51{:}56{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00{.}042$ So as I said before, the advantage is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:52:00.042 \rightarrow 00:52:03.080$ that we we have the entire nuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:52:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.560$ we have to test at least 50 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:52:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.920$ is better to test 100,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:52:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.400$ we test always 100 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}09{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13{.}258$ Of course digital scan the preparation

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}13.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.830$ because we can understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:52:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.490$ And yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

00:52:16.490 --> 00:52:18.470 I have some words about NGS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}18{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20{.}682$ but before going to NGS we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

00:52:20.682 --> 00:52:22.190 going to Canary Island.

 $00:52:22.190 \rightarrow 00:52:24.890$ The Spain have two different island.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}24{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}27{.}123$ This is Canary Island without in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}27{.}123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29{.}615$ South close to Africa in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}29.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.488$ Atlantic Ocean and this is island

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}31{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33{.}516$ of Tenerife is a totally volcanic

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}33{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37{.}440$ island as you see here and we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

00:52:37.440 --> 00:52:38.168 Beautiful places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:52:38.168 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.352$ so you are also invited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}40{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42{.}179$ go to Canary Island.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}42{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}44{.}952$ So what about NDS and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00:52:44.952 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.800$ psychology was the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}46{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48{.}250$ There is a significant variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}48{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}50{.}140$ in the quality of the tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}50{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52{.}668$ diversity in specimen preparation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839813974615385

 $00{:}52{:}52{.}668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55{.}196$ and lack of standardization

 $00:52:55.196 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.460$ across laboratories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:52:56.460 \rightarrow 00:53:00.699$ It's important to say that if it is feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:53:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.504$ obtaining concurring coronaviruses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:53:02.504 \rightarrow 00:53:05.210$ effeminate to maximize chances of sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:53:05.272 \rightarrow 00:53:07.337$ material to perform ancillary studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

00:53:07.340 --> 00:53:09.719 Please recommend it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}53{:}09{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14{.}031$ We have to to to take into account when

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:53:14.031 \rightarrow 00:53:18.406$ we talk about NDS to many to two ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}53{:}18{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21{.}184$ One is overall cellularity that translate

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:53:21.184 \rightarrow 00:53:24.338$ total DNA gene so it belongs to all

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}53{:}24{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26{.}750$ nucleated cells in the samples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}53{:}26.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29.886$ the other term is tumor fraction that

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

00:53:29.886 --> 00:53:31.798 translates to analytical sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:53:31.798 \rightarrow 00:53:34.714$ that means the percentage of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:53:34.714 \rightarrow 00:53:36.931$ cells on the the whole proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:53:36.931 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.769$ of cell on the on the summers so.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:53:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.616$ How many cells do we need to get success to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:53:43.616 \rightarrow 00:53:47.024$ get successful with NGS is easy to calculate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:53:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.703$ 1 cells have about 6 to 76 to 7.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- 00:53:52.703 --> 00:53:55.067 Sorry. Picograms of DNA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- 00:53:55.070 --> 00:53:57.985 molecular acid required in one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- 00:53:57.985 --> 00:54:01.660 nanogram of DNA a input needs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:54:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.476$ One about 1:50 in the cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00{:}54{:}05{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08{.}651$ So for example NGS ion torrent that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00{:}54{:}08{.}651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}11{.}268$ requires around 10 nanograms of DNA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:54:11.268 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.532$ for the panel of 53 genes therefore
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- 00:54:14.532 --> 00:54:18.180 will require approximately 100.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- 00:54:18.180 --> 00:54:22.570 Sorry as 1050 hundred 500 sales more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:54:22.570 \rightarrow 00:54:24.720$ or less following this calculation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00{:}54{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28.094$ OK, we are talking about sells all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:54:28.094 \rightarrow 00:54:31.350$ kind of cells but the point is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}54{:}31{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34{.}038$ To detect mutation in samples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}038$ --> $00{:}54{:}36{.}969$ have tumor cells and normal cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39{.}434$ So we have to enrich the samples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:54:39.434 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.873$ there are different techniques to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:54:41.873 \rightarrow 00:54:44.209$ enrich sample manual microdissection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}54{:}44{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46{.}358$ manual microdissection and laser

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:54:46.358 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.506$ capture at this section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:54:48.510 \rightarrow 00:54:51.230$ So we can get good results during NGS

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}54{:}51{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53{.}650$ doing even digestion on the on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}54{:}53.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56.170$ smears of of course the cell block.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}54{:}56{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}954$ So The thing is that the the points

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:54:58.954 \rightarrow 00:55:00.878$ are appropriate to more fraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:55:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.230$ This is the most the key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:55:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.143$ The key issue to get to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}55{:}06{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}08{.}410$ the successful mutation test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:55:08.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.344$ So for tests that required between

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- 00:55:11.344 --> 00:55:13.969 5:00 and 10:00 nanograms of DNA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00{:}55{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16.046$ RNA is published in the literature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:55:16.046 \rightarrow 00:55:17.907$ that psychology is the sample
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:55:17.907 \rightarrow 00:55:19.559$ is a psychological samples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:55:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.830$ We need about 200 tumor cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:55:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.120$ not all kind of cell tumor cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:55:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.252$ On with a 10% of two more cell content,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:55:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.566$ if it's paraffin we we would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:55:32.566 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.764$ need 502 more cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:55:34.764 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.956$ which means that about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:55:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.800 \ 2020\%$ of tumor cell contact.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00{:}55{:}38{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40{.}726$ This is published in the literature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00{:}55{:}40.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42.660$ and this is already validated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00{:}55{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.365$ It's validated by the international
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- 00:55:45.365 --> 00:55:47.529 Molecular Cytopathology Meeting Group
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:55:47.529 \rightarrow 00:55:50.201$ which is led by Professor Giancarlo

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

00:55:50.201 - > 00:55:52.256 Trongone from Naples in Italy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}55{:}52{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54{.}708$ And we many institutions around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:55:54.708 \rightarrow 00:55:56.740$ world are participating in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

00:55:56.740 --> 00:55:59.398 Are these in these validation as ide

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:55:59.398 \rightarrow 00:56:02.183$ we published already to to studies NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}56{:}02.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.513$ validating mutations and last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:56:04.513 \rightarrow 00:56:06.980$ we published the study validating

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}56{:}06{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}09{.}460$ translocation so and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}56{:}09{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11{.}590$ validated on smears only smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}56{:}11.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.150$ OK so to finish this part and yes

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:56:14.222 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.808$ it's useful tool for the analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}56{:}16.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18.532$ and molecular alteration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

00:56:18.608 --> 00:56:20.070 symbological samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00{:}56{:}20.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22.265$ It allows for a simultaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333

 $00:56:22.265 \rightarrow 00:56:24.932$ analysis of several genes the quality

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:56:24.932 \rightarrow 00:56:26.887$ of DNA and everyone is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- 00:56:26.890 --> 00:56:29.620 Better because it's not formally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:56:29.620 \rightarrow 00:56:32.949$ in our paraffin went through and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00{:}56{:}32{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35{.}764$ we need of course externalization
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:56:35.764 \rightarrow 00:56:38.740$ protocols and internal validation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:56:38.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.516$ So it is important is to cheat or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:56:41.516 \rightarrow 00:56:44.495$ to manage a small sample using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- 00:56:44.495 --> 00:56:46.228 different specimens, biopsies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892392933333333
- $00:56:46.228 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.740$ core biopsies and smear.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- 00:56:48.740 --> 00:56:50.792 Anything is good because if we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:56:50.792 \rightarrow 00:56:52.959$ have for example this cell block,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00{:}56{:}52{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54{.}778$ it is good, it's very cellular,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00{:}56{:}54{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57{.}020$ we can do whatever immuno fees are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00{:}56{:}57{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58{.}855$ And yes, but what happening
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00{:}56{:}58.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01.230$ if we have this cell block,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:57:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.666$ probably the two more content is

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}57{:}03.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}05.869$ very low for performing an NGS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}57{:}05{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08{.}460$ But if we have smears from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:57:08.460 \rightarrow 00:57:11.268$ patient we can scan and we can add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:57:11.270 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.880$ So putting together all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}57{:}14.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}18.094$ samples we will be successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

00:57:18.094 --> 00:57:22.270 Doing anything immuno in the cell block fees

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:57:22.361 \rightarrow 00:57:25.981$ or NGS on the on the smears in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}57{:}25{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29{.}134$ So the success of any test

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:57:29.134 \rightarrow 00:57:32.650$ depends of the tissue procurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}57{:}32.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34.555$ The test that we selected

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}57{:}34{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}36{.}991$ related to amount of tissue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:57:36.991 \rightarrow 00:57:39.266$ characteristic of this the tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:57:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.710$ the tissue of the samples and a careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:57:43.710 \rightarrow 00:57:46.058$ interpretation of the results because

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:57:46.058 \rightarrow 00:57:48.740$ it the treatment depends on that.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:57:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.654$ So, but this is very nice and very useful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:57:53.660 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.081$ Very.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- 00:57:54.081 00:57:55.344 But so far,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:57:55.344 \rightarrow 00:57:59.599$ this is the real world and we live there is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:57:59.600 \dashrightarrow 00:58:01.740$ Psychological samples are under
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00{:}58{:}01{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04{.}415$ use for molecular tests because,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:58:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.024$ importantly, there is a lack of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00{:}58{:}07{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08{.}760$ standardization across different labs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:58:08.760 \rightarrow 00:58:11.120$ For specimen collection and processing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:58:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.700$ there is kind of reluctance of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:58:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.619$ molecular and immune labs to validate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00:58:16.619 \rightarrow 00:58:19.414$ different types of cytological samples.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- 00:58:19.420 --> 00:58:22.148 This is a kind of lack of awareness
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- 00:58:22.148 --> 00:58:24.192 among oncology and pathology communities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333
- $00{:}58{:}24.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.772$ regarding the utility of this type
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:58:26.772 \rightarrow 00:58:29.320$ of samples for biomarker testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}58{:}29{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32{.}568$ And even there is reluctance of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:58:32.568 \rightarrow 00:58:34.390$ pathologists and cytopathologist to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:58:34.390 \dashrightarrow 00:58:36.568$ sacrifice these smears and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:58:36.568 \rightarrow 00:58:39.069$ true although we have some solutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:58:39.070 \rightarrow 00:58:42.558$ So yes, to finish and talk, yes, 2 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

00:58:42.558 --> 00:58:43.866 About macro environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

00:58:43.870 --> 00:58:46.110 I don't know you have had some time

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:58:46.110 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.819$ and I like to go up to this pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}58{:}48{.}819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50{.}852$ because this is the region where

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}58{:}50{.}852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}53{.}788$ where I was born is close to France,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:58:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.446$ is in the Pyrenees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:58:55.446 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.516$ And it's beautiful in winter

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

00:58:57.516 --> 00:59:00.008 and it's beautiful in summer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.194$ so you also are invited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:03.194 \rightarrow 00:59:04.890$ the north of Spain.

00:59:04.890 --> 00:59:07.386 And just few words because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

00:59:07.386 --> 00:59:09.619 think it's very important tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

00:59:09.619 --> 00:59:11.563 microenvironment because cancer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:11.563 \rightarrow 00:59:15.042$ made not only of tumor cells that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:15.042 \rightarrow 00:59:17.306$ is with a tons of characteristics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}59{:}17.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}20.469$ but this also made these cells are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:20.469 \rightarrow 00:59:22.800$ a in a background in an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:22.800 \rightarrow 00:59:25.050$ that has blood lymphatic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

00:59:25.050 --> 00:59:26.325 macrophages, B cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:26.325 \rightarrow 00:59:28.025$ T cell dendritic etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.626$ etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:28.626 \rightarrow 00:59:32.202$ So the oncology is changing because

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:32.202 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.610$ the historical concept of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.770$ Developing drugs or was the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.700$ has to target tumor cells.

 $00:59:38.700 \rightarrow 00:59:41.228$ But now the new concept is the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:41.228 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.784$ target the immune cells and the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:43.784 \rightarrow 00:59:46.120$ cells kills the the immune cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.300$ kills the the tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}59{:}48{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}51{.}772$ So if we are able to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00{:}59{:}51{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}55{.}118$ new context of malignant tumors this

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:55.118 \dashrightarrow 00:59:58.093$ is important for design effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $00:59:58.100 \rightarrow 01:00:01.799$ anti cancer immunotherapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $01{:}00{:}01{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}03{.}834$ We know that there are tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $01:00:03.834 \rightarrow 01:00:05.930$ that are are not inflamed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $01:00:05.930 \rightarrow 01:00:08.366$ they don't have many inflammatory cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $01:00:08.366 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.239$ related with the tumor cells and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $01:00:11.239 \rightarrow 01:00:13.597$ tumor do not respond to immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $01:00:13.600 \rightarrow 01:00:15.280$ By contrast that they had

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $01:00:15.280 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.066$ hot tumors that have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

01:00:18.066 --> 01:00:19.620 tumor infiltrating lymphocyte.

 $01:00:19.620 \rightarrow 01:00:22.280$ In general they express PDL one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $01{:}00{:}22.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}25.559$ more than the others they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.853825715833333

 $01:00:25.559 \rightarrow 01:00:27.615$ more genomic instability etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:00:27.620 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.780$ These two more to response

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:00:29.780 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.508$ to immunotherapy they key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}00{:}31{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}34{.}522$ Issue is how to do transport

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:00:34.522 \rightarrow 01:00:37.176$ this tumor into other like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:00:37.176 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.086$ we don't know so far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:00:39.090 \rightarrow 01:00:42.570$ So we have to deal with with what we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

01:00:42.570 --> 01:00:46.320 So I said before PDL one, win 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:00:46.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.540$ PDL one we've seen only in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:00:48.540 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.374$ ministry chemistry but is has

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:00:50.374 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.064$ many limitation as we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755276231111111

 $01{:}00{:}52.070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}55.058$ So the assessment of tumor infiltrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}00{:}55{.}058 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}58{.}002$ lymphocytes together with PDL 1 by

- $01:00:58.002 \rightarrow 01:00:59.762$ Minister chemistry could improve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01{:}00{:}59.762 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}02.030$ the specificity of this essay.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01:01:02.030 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.725$ How do you test this micro environment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01:01:04.725 \rightarrow 01:01:07.869$ in the real day in pathology using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755276231111111
- $01:01:07.869 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.254$ this technique that is called
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- 01:01:10.254 --> 01:01:11.403 Multiplex immunofluorescence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755276231111111
- 01:01:11.403 --> 01:01:12.066 immunofluorescence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01{:}01{:}12.066 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}14.718$ fluorescence that the technique
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01:01:14.718 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.707$ is very simple.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01{:}01{:}16.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}19.526$ It's not simple but is to do several
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01{:}01{:}19.526 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}21.750$ runs of immunofluorescence each one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01{:}01{:}21.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}25.110$ mark with a specific mark with a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01{:}01{:}25{.}190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}27{.}970$ different color SO1 above the other.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01:01:27.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.594$ We can do 68.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- 01:01:29.594 --> 01:01:33.539 Up to in our department up to 8 up to 10.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111
- $01:01:33.540 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.100$ So the end of the story is this

01:01:36.100 --> 01:01:39.127 we it can have the the separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}01{:}39{.}127 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}42{.}341$ antibodies mark here but we can the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:01:42.341 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.831$ machine can merge all these images

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}01{:}44.831 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}47.840$ so we can see the different cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:01:47.840 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.980$ population of the cells in the in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}01{:}50{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}53{.}234$ In the stroma of the tumor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:01:53.234 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.616$ even the relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:01:54.616 \rightarrow 01:01:56.734$ these cells and the tumor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}01{:}56.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}59.995$ and we can quantify also these cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:00.000 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.241$ but the question is it is feasible

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:03.241 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.630$ and psychological samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}02{:}04{.}630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}06{.}750$ We need formal in fixed paraffin

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}02{:}06.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}08.870$ embedded tissue and we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}02{:}08{.}945 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}11{.}030$ amatoxin analysin as a guide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}02{:}11{.}030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}13{.}346$ We the architecture of the lesion

 $01:02:13.346 \rightarrow 01:02:16.036$ is required and also the present of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}02{:}16.036 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}18.535$ the stroma because in the stroma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:18.614 \rightarrow 01:02:21.687$ mainly where the inflammatory cells are so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:21.690 \rightarrow 01:02:24.768$ Go back to the first part of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:24.768 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.960$ talk the the need to get good if it

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

01:02:27.960 --> 01:02:30.090 is possible diet cell blocks using

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:30.090 \rightarrow 01:02:32.829$ this new needles and new procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:32.830 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.830$ This is very very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:34.830 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.030$ actually with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:36.030 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.060$ These are real examples the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}02{:}38.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}41.042$ amatoxin and the and the study when

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:41.042 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.217$ we use all these antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755276231111111

 $01:02:43.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.938$ And we published this study last

NOTE Confidence: 0.755276231111111

 $01{:}02{:}45{.}938 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}49{.}658$ year and is even is not a validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:49.658 \rightarrow 01:02:52.376$ is nothing easy as 5-6 cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:52.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.180$ I think yes to show that there

 $01:02:55.180 \rightarrow 01:02:57.204$ is possible using this technique

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:02:57.204 \rightarrow 01:03:00.060$ is is feasible using this type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:03:00.138 \rightarrow 01:03:02.766$ psychological samples and even we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}03{:}02{.}766 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}05{.}957$ measure we can count the percentage of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}03{:}05{.}957 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}08{.}675$ different immune cells in each case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:03:08.680 \rightarrow 01:03:11.524$ This is 1 case with many cells CD20,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

01:03:11.524 --> 01:03:13.140 CD, a CD3 and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:03:13.140 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.685$ This is another case with

NOTE Confidence: 0.755276231111111

 $01:03:14.685 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.230$ low low number of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:03:16.230 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.910$ Same with CD88 and CD11B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:03:21.910 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.205$ And this is the the paper and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}03{:}24.205 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}26.494$ the paper that published recently with

NOTE Confidence: 0.755276231111111

01:03:26.494 --> 01:03:29.093 Fernando Smith that we refer also to

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}03{:}29{.}093 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}31{.}095$ this to this technique and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}03{:}31{.}095 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}33{.}868$ one of the picture of the paper you

 $01:03:33.868 \rightarrow 01:03:36.709$ see here and these cells are here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

01:03:36.710 --> 01:03:39.422 So yes my last my last slide just

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

01:03:39.422 --> 01:03:42.827 to summarize I would say there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.755276231111111

 $01:03:42.827 \rightarrow 01:03:44.595$ theological samples provide versatile

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}03{:}44.672 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}47.027$ ways to perform properly accurate

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

01:03:47.027 --> 01:03:49.382 diagnosis as well as biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:03:49.390 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.820$ testing for lung lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01:03:51.820 \rightarrow 01:03:52.604$ Analytical case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}03{:}52{.}604 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}55{.}348$ you as in the specimen collection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}03{:}55{.}348 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}57{.}904$ and and management of the samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.75527623111111

 $01{:}03{:}57{.}904 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}59{.}999$ impact the success of diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

01:04:00.000 --> 01:04:00.946 biomarker testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01{:}04{:}00{.}946 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}03{.}784$ So the cyto technician have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:03.784 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.478$ key role on all this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:06.480 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.492$ We are nothing without them and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:09.492 \rightarrow 01:04:11.036$ pathologists and the psychopathologies

01:04:11.036 --> 01:04:13.783 of course plays a key role ensuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:13.783 \rightarrow 01:04:15.678$ the success of biomarker testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01{:}04{:}15.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}19.568$ So we need to be able to integrate clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

01:04:19.568 --> 01:04:22.648 radiologic morphological biomarker results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:22.650 \rightarrow 01:04:24.890$ And just to remind you that precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:24.890 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.969$ medicine is in the hands of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:26.970 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.642$ in this case, cytopathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

01:04:28.642 --> 01:04:31.150 So this I want to thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:31.229 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.009$ you for your attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

01:04:33.010 --> 01:04:35.146 for your patience with my English.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:35.150 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.670$ And this is my university,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01{:}04{:}36{.}670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}39{.}204$ University of Navarra is a beautiful place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

01:04:39.210 --> 01:04:41.709 Of course, you all are invited seriously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

01:04:41.710 --> 01:04:43.528 you are invited to my university.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778453714285714

 $01:04:43.530 \rightarrow 01:04:44.510$ So thank you very much.

- 01:04:44.510 --> 01:04:45.970 I'm hoping for your question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66216576
- $01{:}04{:}53.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}55.280$ Any question? No.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.556257365
- 01:05:01.260 --> 01:05:05.878 The meeting. You need to have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.556257365
- $01:05:05.878 \rightarrow 01:05:08.863$ the clinical folks through that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.599473398
- 01:05:10.180 --> 01:05:11.300 You know, for their rose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:11.310 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.542$ technique. In other words,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:12.542 \rightarrow 01:05:14.390$ how did you implement that new
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:14.452 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.470$ needle and how teams embrace it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- 01:05:17.470 --> 01:05:20.718 Yeah, it would in our in our university
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01{:}05{:}20.718 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}24.002$ they then what we did is that the ECHO
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01{:}05{:}24.002 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}26.745$ and the Echo endoscopist start doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01{:}05{:}26.745 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}30.712$ that because it's easy doing doing use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01{:}05{:}30{.}712 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}34{.}870$ and then the ebus people follow them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01{:}05{:}34{.}870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}38{.}566$ So yes, they start using it and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:38.566 \rightarrow 01:05:41.370$ were getting very good results.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:41.370 \longrightarrow 01:05:44.184$ So we implement so we use

- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:44.184 \rightarrow 01:05:46.060$ in every procedure now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:46.060 \rightarrow 01:05:48.594$ And they were very amenable to change.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- 01:05:48.600 --> 01:05:51.198 Nothing, no, no, no, no changes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:51.200 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.100$ no change. No, no, no.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:53.100 \rightarrow 01:05:54.040$ It's not a big issue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:54.040 \rightarrow 01:05:55.600$ the cars, but the only,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:55.600 \rightarrow 01:05:58.288$ the only thing is that the person who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:05:58.288 \rightarrow 01:06:01.436$ do that has to be trained and has to do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703315806923077
- $01:06:01.440 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.108$ you know, but it's very good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824911228333333
- $01:06:05.960 \rightarrow 01:06:07.736$ I don't know. There are several,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824911228333333
- 01:06:07.740 --> 01:06:09.940 several companies doing that. Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824911228333333
- $01:06:09.940 \longrightarrow 01:06:13.590$ I I I can give you this data by e-mail.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.672204652222222
- $01{:}06{:}18.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}22.060$ You know one species, but all the clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.672204652222222
- $01{:}06{:}22.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}24.610$ trials were done on tissue specimens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8696301
- $01:06:24.930 \rightarrow 01:06:26.626$ How do you know that the psychologist has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333

- $01:06:26.950 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.920$ the same association? No. Well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- 01:06:32.920 --> 01:06:35.976 Yeah, so people one is even is not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:06:35.976 \rightarrow 01:06:39.988$ validated in psychology, but even is not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- 01:06:39.988 --> 01:06:42.905 Consider in psychology, I would say.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- 01:06:42.905 --> 01:06:45.250 But I know yeah, should be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:06:45.250 \rightarrow 01:06:49.350$ Of course you'd be under. Yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- 01:06:52.646 --> 01:06:54.098 Yeah, it's it's a proper tissue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:06:54.100 \rightarrow 01:06:56.260$ but it's going to be a proper for cytology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- 01:06:56.260 --> 01:06:59.710 I hope. Yeah, but no, yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:06:59.710 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.860$ there are papers in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- 01:07:01.860 01:07:03.580 literature that demonstrate the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:03.580 \rightarrow 01:07:06.440$ the good results comparing resection,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:06.440 \longrightarrow 01:07:09.305$ specimen, cellblock and smears that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:09.305 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.295$ exist many papers one and the second
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:13.295 \rightarrow 01:07:16.879$ thing is that there are coming papers,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:16.880 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.380$ we are doing a study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:18.380 \dashrightarrow 01:07:21.004$ I will let you know when we finish.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:21.010 \rightarrow 01:07:24.610$ Will be the one on cytological samples by I,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01{:}07{:}24.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}27.354$ by the algorithm that I show you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01{:}07{:}27.354 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}29.970$ and compare with clinical results.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:29.970 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.168$ This is the study we are doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- 01:07:32.170 --> 01:07:35.596 So yes, I'll let you know and we don't so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:35.596 \rightarrow 01:07:36.514$ but yeah, yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:36.514 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.755$ it's not validated by I think the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801766143333333
- $01:07:38.755 \longrightarrow 01:07:41.156$ patient would benefit if it's well done.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944401644
- 01:07:43.550 --> 01:07:45.234 Yeah. No, no, no, no. Not yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944401644
- 01:07:45.234 --> 01:07:47.910 Not yet. Yeah, you are right. Not yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.944401644
- $01{:}07{:}47{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}49{.}660$ Hope we will have, but not yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.14122492
- $01{:}07{:}52.490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}53.340$ Multiplex.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7698767
- $01:07:55.740 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.020$ Help.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.691435665

- $01:07:58.090 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.878$ Because now I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816125393333333
- 01:08:00.440 --> 01:08:02.342 Yeah, it's more research in our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816125393333333
- 01:08:02.342 --> 01:08:04.820 in our lab is only researched,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.816125393333333
- $01{:}08{:}04{.}820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}09{.}120$ no translation to the clinics. Against the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79639212375
- 01:08:14.050 01:08:16.858 Yeah, so far is same with PDL one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79639212375
- $01{:}08{:}16.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}19.415$ there is no translation to but even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79639212375
- $01:08:19.415 \longrightarrow 01:08:22.373$ in in surgical specimen there is no
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79639212375
- $01:08:22.373 \rightarrow 01:08:24.920$ translation so far with Multiplex, yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677777085
- 01:08:28.870 --> 01:08:31.870 I just requested since now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.677777085
- $01:08:31.870 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.710$ they finally go by option.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.723631873333333
- $01{:}08{:}35{.}930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}39{.}520$ You know, now we get as sessment report.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8982044475
- $01:08:40.630 \longrightarrow 01:08:41.838$ What's the best way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71609089625
- $01:08:41.850 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.222$ to process those tests
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71609089625
- $01:08:44.222 \rightarrow 01:08:46.594$ because they all institute?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71609089625
- 01:08:46.600 --> 01:08:48.280 You know, sometimes, you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.15111926
- $01:08:50.990 \rightarrow 01:08:54.210$ Formatting. Start with that.

 $01{:}08{:}56{.}890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}57{.}410$ At all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4071452

 $01:09:00.320 \longrightarrow 01:09:02.700$ Awesome. Thanks. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.817828632

01:09:03.080 --> 01:09:04.560 that you know what's that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84480700444445

 $01:09:07.830 \rightarrow 01:09:11.126$ Well, the first thing is that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.844807004444445

 $01{:}09{:}11{.}126 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}13.745$ sell block is that recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84480700444445

 $01:09:13.745 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.590$ should be fixed in informally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84480700444445

 $01{:}09{:}16.590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}18.954$ The small biopsies and cell block

NOTE Confidence: 0.84480700444445

 $01:09:18.954 \rightarrow 01:09:21.039$ that we clot whatever we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844807004444445

 $01:09:21.040 \rightarrow 01:09:24.449$ the cell block should be facing formally

NOTE Confidence: 0.84480700444445

 $01:09:24.449 \longrightarrow 01:09:27.400$ because it allows us to adapt to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84480700444445

 $01:09:27.400 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.340$ the protocols of immune or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84480700444445

 $01:09:30.340 \longrightarrow 01:09:32.176$ So first thing has they should

NOTE Confidence: 0.844807004444445

 $01:09:32.176 \longrightarrow 01:09:33.400$ be fixed in formal in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844807004444445

 $01{:}09{:}33{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}36{.}175$ Yeah it's the main recommendation, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844807004444445

 $01{:}09{:}36{.}175 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}39{.}640$ And then yes trade like a paraffin,

- $01:09:39.640 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.521$ normal paraffin sample.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84480700444445
- 01:09:41.521 --> 01:09:42.148 So
- NOTE Confidence: 0.459446306
- $01:09:42.160 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.710$ that little spectrum will state.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01:09:46.340 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.098$ Well, it depends of the hospital.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01{:}09{:}48{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}50{.}774$ In my hospital is done by the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01{:}09{:}50{.}780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}53{.}036$ we are our small group, so we,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01:09:53.036 \longrightarrow 01:09:55.626$ we psychologists do both the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01:09:55.626 \dashrightarrow 01:09:58.720$ small biopsies and the psychology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01{:}09{:}58.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}00.645$ We consult with other colleagues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8506672216666667
- $01:10:00.645 \rightarrow 01:10:03.260$ that we are handled by us. Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01{:}10{:}03.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}05.902$ In some other hospital is you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01:10:05.902 \rightarrow 01:10:07.507$ the problem with psychopathology is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01:10:07.507 \rightarrow 01:10:10.322$ that there is it's very hard to get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01{:}10{:}10{:}322 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}13.860$ standardized procedures, it's very hard so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01:10:13.860 \longrightarrow 01:10:14.960$ Yeah, we have to do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850667221666667
- $01:10:14.960 \longrightarrow 01:10:17.100$ We have to work a lot. Yeah.

01:10:22.200 --> 01:10:25.000 OK. Anything.