WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:54:03" NOTE recognizability:0.771

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.485$ Today's speaker is Karen Feinberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}00{:}03.485 --> 00{:}00{:}06.273$ Doctor Finberg needs no

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:00:06.273 --> 00:00:08.060 introduction introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.470$ However, we have several new

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.398$ members in the department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.412$ so for their sake I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:00:15.412 --> 00:00:16.918 introduce Doctor Finberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.272$ She's been at Yale for a long, long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:21.272 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.619$ She came to Yale to do her

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}00{:}25.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.558$ undergraduate education and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:00:28.558 --> 00:00:32.138 graduated BS Magna *** laude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.070$ And then Doctor Feinberg stayed on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:00:36.070 --> 00:00:41.700 do an MPhil, followed by an MD PhD.

 $00:00:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.447$ She went on to Mass General Hospital to

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}00{:}46.447 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}00{:}49.789$ do a residency in clinical pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.600$ followed by a fellowship in

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.126$ molecular genetic pathology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:55.126 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.430$ Brigham and Women's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.902$ So after that, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:00:58.902 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.108$ it looks like Doctor Feinberg's interest's

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}01{:}02.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.882$ basic interest was in iron metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}01{:}05.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.081$ and she did a research fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}01{:}09.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.880$ at BWH and then she was recruited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:01:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.674$ At Duke University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:01:14.674 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.860$ where she spent another four years building

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:01:18.957 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.038$ her research until thanks to Doctor Morrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:01:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.374$ Karen was recruited here at Yale in

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:01:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.450$ 2013 to continue her research work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:01:33.450 --> 00:01:36.394 Doctor Feinberg's track record

 $00:01:36.394 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.074$ track record of Excellence was

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}01{:}40.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.464$ established early on during her

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:01:43.464 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.654$ undergraduate education when she was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:01:46.660 --> 00:01:51.748 Became member of the Phi Beta Kappa Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:01:51.748 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.979$ for Excellence in Humanities and in Arts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:01:55.980 --> 00:01:58.584 But at Yale College,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:01:58.584 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.899$ she also became a member of

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:01.900 --> 00:02:04.080 prestigious Honor Society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:04.080 --> 00:02:06.820 Alpha Omega Alpha Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:02:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.875$ for medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:08.880 --> 00:02:13.029 She received a Yale MD PhD Alumni Award and

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:13.029 --> 00:02:17.417 she received two Young Investigator Awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:02:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.446$ one in 2006 from the Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:21.446 --> 00:02:23.459 of Molecular Pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:02:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.987$ While she was still a fellow and.

 $00:02:25.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.470$ Another in 2013 from International

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}02{:}30.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.158$ BioWare and Society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:33.160 --> 00:02:36.562 Doctor Finberg has been a Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:36.562 --> 00:02:39.680 investigator on several grounds at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}02{:}39.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.656$ and I will not enumerate them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:42.660 --> 00:02:44.804 She's currently associate director

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:44.804 --> 00:02:48.020 of tumor Profiling Lab and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}02{:}48.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.900$ plays a seminar where she played

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}02{:}50.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.900$ a Seminole role in implementing

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

00:02:54.900 --> 00:02:58.100 clinical next generation sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:02:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.320$ She is associate director

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.540$ of Yale Molecular Genetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.848$ Pathology fellowship and assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}03{:}04.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.310$ Director of Education for the Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.095 \text{ MD}$, PhD program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:10.095 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.260$ Her research focus is primarily primarily on

 $00:03:14.358 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.648$ molecular basis of inherited iron disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}03{:}18.650 \to 00{:}03{:}22.470$ Mechanisms of systemic iron regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.936$ and physiological consequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:24.936 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.224$ of iron deficiency anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:28.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.612$ So, with that I'll let Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}03{:}30.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.789$ Feinberg start for those of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.760$ Who would have questions at

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.730$ the end of the talk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}03{:}36.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.605$ Feel free to unmute yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:39.605 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.330$ and ask questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00:03:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.030$ Those who are on zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764020417

 $00{:}03{:}43.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.900$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}03{:}44.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.186$ So thank you Doctor Prasad and I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}03{:}47.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.706$ to say that it's a particular honor

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:03:49.706 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.004$ to follow Doctor Keshishian who spoke

 $00:03:52.004 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.146$ in ground grand Grounds last week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}03{:}54.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.662$ So Doctor Cashiering was one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:03:55.662 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.288$ my mentors when I was an MDP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:03:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.089$ She sitting here and he's actually the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:03:59.089 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.085$ first person that really told me to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:04:01.085 --> 00:04:02.770 seriously about a career in pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.170$ so perhaps he's listening today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:04:04.170 --> 00:04:08.170 I hope so. I have nothing to disclose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.739$ So today we have a diverse audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:04:10.739 --> 00:04:12.712 and our department, so I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}04{:}12.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.260$ try and cover a lot of ground. My talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.366$ I'll start with an introduction to

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:04:18.366 --> 00:04:20.340 the iron regulatory hormone hepcidin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.548$ and talk about its dysregulation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:04:22.548 --> 00:04:24.859 human genetic disorder of iron loading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}04{:}24.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27.660$ But I'll move on to the condition iron

 $00{:}04{:}27.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.320$ refractory iron deficiency anemia, or Rita,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:04:30.320 --> 00:04:33.890 a genetic disorder of hepcidin, excess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.305$ Then we'll move to new insights into

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:04:36.305 --> 00:04:37.842 mechanisms of iron mobilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:37.842 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.159$ from the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:04:39.160 --> 00:04:41.460 From my laboratory work here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.092$ finally some collaborative studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111 00:04:43.100 --> 00:04:44.471 I've done it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111 00:04:44.471 --> 00:04:44.928 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:44.928 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.213$ looking at the physiological consequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:47.213 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.890$ of iron deficiency beyond anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}04{:}49.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.256$ So let's start with iron regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}04{:}53.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.870$ As this audience is well aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}04{:}55.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.570$ the maintenance of systemic iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:04:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.710$ balance is really critical for health.

 $00:04:59.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.014$ 2 little iron impairs of production

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:05:02.014 --> 00:05:03.550 of many essential proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:03.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.218$ most notable of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:05:05.218 --> 00:05:06.886 Hemoglobin leading to anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.104$ and I'll remind you that iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:09.104 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.932$ deficiency anemia is the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:11.932 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.858$ micronutrient deficiency worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.200$ About 80% of the global

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}05{:}16.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.540$ population has low iron stores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.540$ as estimated by The Who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}05{:}20.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.473$ and about 30% of the global population

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:23.473 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.570$ has overt anemia due to iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111 00:05:26.570 --> 00:05:26.894 Conversely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}05{:}26.894 \rightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.486$ too much higher the problem for the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.975$ because iron causes oxidative damage

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:31.975 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.218$ to tissues and this iron overload

 $00:05:34.218 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.778$ can be acquired through chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00{:}05{:}35.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.446$ blood transfusions and also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:37.446 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.066$ a variety of genetic disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.410$ including for example HFE

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

00:05:41.410 --> 00:05:43.165 hereditary can chromatolysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775845432111111

 $00:05:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.480$ as well as several iron loading remias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:05:47.770 --> 00:05:50.380 Iron Physiology involves a complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}05{:}50.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.468$ interplay between multiple organs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:05:52.470 --> 00:05:54.717 Iron is absorbed in the duodenum and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:05:54.717 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.166$ it's transported into the Plaza, where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:05:57.166 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.356$ finds the carrier protein transparent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}05{:}59.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.022$ Iron Dentist delivered to the bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}06{:}01.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.940$ marrow for red blood cell synthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.108$ These red cells circulate and when they age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.364$ they're phagocytosis by

 $00:06:06.364 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.036$ macrophages in the spleen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}06{:}08.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.819$ This allows the iron to be reclaimed

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:06:10.820 --> 00:06:12.648 from hemoglobin and exported

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:06:12.648 --> 00:06:14.933 back into circulation to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:14.933 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.248$ further rounds of auricular crisis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:06:17.250 --> 00:06:17.970 Iron, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.650$ can also be used by other organs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.850$ such as the heart, muscle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}06{:}20.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.307$ pancreas and of course iron is needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:23.307 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.609$ for the fetus during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:06:25.610 --> 00:06:28.538 The liver is the body's main iron Depot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.810$ the main site of iron storage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.796$ And daily we actually lose very

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}06{:}34.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.510$ little iron from the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.456$ We have small losses from shedding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:38.456 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.438$ the lining of the of the GI tract.

 $00:06:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.010$ The Gu tracks the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:42.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.110$ And of course menstruation and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.846$ But there's no known regulated mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:46.846 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.390$ for iron excretion from the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444 00:06:49.390 --> 00:06:50.620 As a result, NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.670$ it's become clear that systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.394$ iron balance is regulated at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}06{:}55.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.226$ level of intestinal absorption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:06:57.230 --> 00:06:58.298 And so I thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}06{:}58.298 \to 00{:}06{:}59.366$ inspired by Doctor Kashgari

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:06:59.366 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.108$ and I show a little history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.490$ So this is George H.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444 00:07:02.490 --> 00:07:02.746 Whipple, NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:07:02.746 --> 00:07:03.770 who was a pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.608$ actually a Yale undergraduate as well.

00:07:06.610 --> 00:07:08.326 He's the whipple of Whipple's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.412$ not procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:09.412 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.804$ and he shared the 1934 Nobel Prize

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:12.804 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.436$ for discovering it's concerning

NOTE Confidence: 0.83483091444444

00:07:14.436 --> 00:07:16.730 liver therapy in cases of anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.662$ This is a publication from his

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:18.662 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.510$ group from 1943 in the Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:07:20.510 --> 00:07:21.410 of Experimental Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83483091444444

 $00{:}07{:}21.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.464$ where they looked at radioactive iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:24.464 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.500$ absorption by the gastrointestinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.560$ tract of dogs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.165$ And he made some summer lovations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:07:31.165 --> 00:07:33.318 the gastrointestinal mucosa accepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:07:33.318 --> 00:07:35.880 iron readily when the Iron Reserve

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.160$ stores are depleted by chronic anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.920$ but in a plethoric state when there is,

 $00:07:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.620$ there is very little absorption

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.508$ of iron and the body has no ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:44.508 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.079$ means of disposing of surplus iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.615$ The body can protect itself against a

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:50.615 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.337$ large accumulation of iron with the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:53.337 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.150$ which can cause damage to important organs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.702$ as in hemochromatosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:07:56.702 --> 00:07:57.478 Mediterranean anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:07:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.958$ The traditional name for a historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:07:59.958 --> 00:08:01.610 name for beta thal assemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.674$ And the mechanism of this acceptance

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}08{:}03.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.770$ or refusal of iron is of great interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}08{:}06.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.426$ to physiologists and physicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.198$ So it took a long time for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:11.198 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.069$ mechanism to be discovered,

 $00:08:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.037$ but in the early 2000s it became

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:15.037 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.718$ clear that the hormone called

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:16.718 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.658$ hepcidin is the central regulator

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:18.658 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.370$ of systemic iron balance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:08:20.370 --> 00:08:21.681 So upside Nessa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:08:21.681 --> 00:08:23.429 small peptide hormone produced

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:08:23.429 --> 00:08:25.605 by hepatocytes you can detect it

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:25.605 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.674$ in both blood and urine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00{:}08{:}27.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.929$ and hepcidin regulates iron balance

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:29.929 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.936$ primarily by acting it to sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.614$ So upside and acts on enterocytes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

00:08:34.614 --> 00:08:36.899 limit the absorption of dietary iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.994$ And hepcidin also acts on macrophages

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:38.994 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.479$ to limit iron export from these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.182$ This is the iron that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:44.182 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.538$ reclaimed from senescent red blood cells.

 $00:08:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.856$ To have side and limits the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:48.856 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.400$ recycling of iron stores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.638$ And molecular level have sided mediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:52.638 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.140$ these effects by binding to fair portion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.384$ which is a cellular iron exporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.834830914444444

 $00:08:57.384 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.880$ present on the basolateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.844623677142857

 $00:08:58.949 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.079$ surface of enterocytes and also on

NOTE Confidence: 0.844623677142857

 $00{:}09{:}01.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03.320$ the plasma membrane of macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844623677142857

00:09:03.320 --> 00:09:05.450 Have side in binding to Fairport

NOTE Confidence: 0.844623677142857

 $00:09:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.515$ and causes ferroportin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844623677142857

 $00:09:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.460$ endocytosis and degradation in lysosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844623677142857

 $00{:}09{:}10.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.985$ Additionally more recent work on

NOTE Confidence: 0.844623677142857

 $00{:}09{:}11.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.885$ from the Group of elements has

NOTE Confidence: 0.844623677142857

 $00{:}09{:}13.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.465$ shown that upside and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.844623677142857

 $00:09:15.465 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.171$ also includes the Fairport and

 $00:09:17.171 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.940$ transporter blocking iron transport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00{:}09{:}21.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.798$ Have side an expression is modulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00{:}09{:}22.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.550$ in response to liver iron stores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.054$ This is the basic regulation in the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:27.054 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.949$ state so that when body iron stores decrease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.442$ have side and production is reduced and

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:32.442 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.952$ this allows iron and iron absorption from

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:34.952 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.234$ the intestine promoted as well as the iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:38.234 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.970$ release from macrophage stores to proceed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.382$ The net result here of course is to maintain

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00{:}09{:}43.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.857$ the level of iron in the circulation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

00:09:45.857 --> 00:09:48.009 maintain the supply of iron for replaces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.398$ the net effect being the prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00{:}09{:}50.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.990$ of systemic iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

00:09:51.990 --> 00:09:52.826 And conversely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:52.826 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.334$ when body iron stores increase liver

00:09:55.334 --> 00:09:57.269 increases hepcidin production inhibiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00{:}09{:}57.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.791$ further dietary iron absorption and

NOTE Confidence: 0.706172645

 $00:09:59.791 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.996$ inhibiting iron released from macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.502$ So genetic defects impacting the website

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:08.502 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.984$ in Fairport and access underlie the major

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:11.984 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.689$ inherited primary iron overload disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.288$ There are five major disorders shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}10{:}18.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.124$ I'm going to focus first on these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:10:20.130 --> 00:10:22.566 These first three categories, SHFE related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:10:22.570 --> 00:10:24.946 hemochromatosis juvenile hemochromatosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:24.946 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.906$ and TFR 2 related hemochromatosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.320$ So all of these disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:10:31.320 --> 00:10:32.766 are recessive disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.190$ And characterized by similar patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.950$ of iron loading in the liver,

00:10:35.950 --> 00:10:38.294 heart, and endocrine glands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:38.294 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.224$ And the stylar iron accumulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:41.224 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.920$ pattern is prankowl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.576$ The gene products that are mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:10:44.576 --> 00:10:46.700 in these forms of hemochromatosis H,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.468$ Fe HJV or Hematoxylin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:10:49.468 --> 00:10:52.545 HAMP, which is the hepcidin gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}10{:}52.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.453$ itself or transparent receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}10{:}54.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.325$ 2 A homologue of the transferrin

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:10:56.325 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.817$ receptor on erythroid cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}10{:}57.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.106$ All of these gene products are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}11{:}01.106 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}11{:}03.344$ acts in in parasites to promote

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:11:03.344 --> 00:11:05.210 upside and transcription,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}11{:}05.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.328$ and I'll show you in a second the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}11{:}07.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.016$ other class of iron overload disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}11{:}10.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.668$ in this table are you Lisa disorders?

00:11:12.668 --> 00:11:14.630 Between mutations in Fairport and itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}11{:}14.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.429$ and there are two different forms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:11:16.430 --> 00:11:17.826 They show autosomal dominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:17.826 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.571$ inheritance and the features differ

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:19.571 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.249$ depending upon the mutations or gain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.987$ A function or loss of function mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:11:23.990 --> 00:11:27.716 But I want to mostly focus on these non

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}11{:}27.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.556$ Fairport and types of iron overload.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}11{:}30.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.000$ Because they all seem to converge on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.837$ common signaling pathway in the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.476$ so bone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}11{:}35.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.066$ refrigerated protein or BMP SMAD

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:37.066 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.466$ signaling is the major signaling pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:39.466 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.314$ promoting upside and transcription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.960$ Perhaps sites in this pathway.

00:11:43.960 --> 00:11:47.120 The BMP 6 ligand binds to receptor complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.916$ Promote the phosphorylation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:48.916 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.712$ intracellular SMAD proteins which

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}11{:}50.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.340$ translocates to the nucleus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:11:52.340 --> 00:11:54.116 promoting the transcription of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:11:54.116 --> 00:11:56.780 upside and other BMP target genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.904$ The light interior BMP 6 interestingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:11:58.904 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.137$ appears to be produced by liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:12:01.137 --> 00:12:02.268 sinusoidal endothelial cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:12:02.268 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.153$ in response to iron levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:12:04.160 --> 00:12:06.355 Although the mechanism by which

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:12:06.355 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.111$ liver sinusoidal endothelial cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:12:08.111 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.016$ sense iron to express the MP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}12{:}10.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.250$ six is still under investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:12:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.602$ Hema Jubelin but one of the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}12{:}15.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.133$ products mutated in juvenile

 $00:12:17.133 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.398$ hemochromatosis is the GPI anchored

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00{:}12{:}19.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.865$ protein is the coreceptor for BMP's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

 $00:12:21.865 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.445$ and hepatocytes and hemogoblin

NOTE Confidence: 0.76499848

00:12:23.445 --> 00:12:25.970 augment signaling to the BMP pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.168$ HFE, the well known hereditary can

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:31.168 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.464$ comatose this protein and transparent

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:33.464 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.649$ receptor 2 are transmembrane proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:35.649 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.694$ that interact with the classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00{:}12{:}37.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.698$ transferrin receptor TNFR 1 to form

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:39.698 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.432$ what is believed to be an iron sensing

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:42.432 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.700$ complex that responds to levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.025$ transparent bound iron and circulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:12:47.030 --> 00:12:48.710 and through and through the sensing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.550$ modulate herbicide and transcription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.495$ It's thought that perhaps these

00:12:53.495 --> 00:12:55.440 proteins all participate in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:12:55.513 --> 00:12:57.429 giant so-called super complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.180$ that that still remains to

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:12:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.230$ be fully demonstrated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.137$ but it seems likely that HFB and TFR 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:13:03.137 --> 00:13:05.689 signaling also impact on the in peace man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.960$ the B and PEACEMAN pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.670$ So I'd like to move on now to from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:13:11.751 --> 00:13:14.916 disorder disorders of hepcidin deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.524$ In the previous slide to disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:13:17.524 --> 00:13:19.260 of upside in excess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:13:19.260 --> 00:13:21.900 So when I started my postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:13:21.900 --> 00:13:23.220 with Nancy Andrews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.460$ she marked Fleming and Matt

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:13:25.460 --> 00:13:27.252 Henry at Children's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:13:27.260 --> 00:13:30.164 Boston had collected DNA from a

00:13:30.164 --> 00:13:32.859 number of children and never kindreds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:32.859 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.337$ in which the children had iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:35.337 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.043$ deficiency anemia that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:37.043 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.073$ refractory to oral iron therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00{:}13{:}39.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.544$ And they called this condition a

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:40.544 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.744$ red for this. For this phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:13:42.744 --> 00:13:45.672 The key clinical features were congenital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:13:45.680 --> 00:13:46.350 hypochromic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.700$ microcytic anemia and extremely low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.212$ Erythrocyte mean corpuscular volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:51.212 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.102$ sometimes down into the 40s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.226$ So extremely low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00{:}13{:}54.226 \to 00{:}13{:}56.092$ Very low, transparent saturation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:13:56.092 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.019$ A failure to respond to oral iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:14:00.020 --> 00:14:01.310 And a sluggish,

 $00:14:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.030$ incomplete response to intravenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.840$ or intramuscular iron and looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.620$ at the pedigrees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.900$ particularly this first pedigree from Turkey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:14:07.900 --> 00:14:08.832 With consanguinity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:08.832 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.628$ you can appreciate that transmission was

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00{:}14{:}11.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.969$ compatible with recessive inheritance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.854$ Here's the typical blood smear from

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:15.854 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.860$ one of the affected individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:14:17.860 --> 00:14:20.850 showing classic signs of asphere

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:14:20.850 --> 00:14:22.644 iron deficiency anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:14:22.650 --> 00:14:25.980 So when I came to Nancy's lab and Eliza

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:14:25.980 --> 00:14:29.648 assay to measure hepcidin levels in patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.884$ blood and urine had just become available

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.738$ and looking at the phenotype individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.556$ we were suspicious.

00:14:35.556 --> 00:14:36.916 The phenotype of these individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00{:}14{:}36.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.598$ and we were suspicious that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:38.598 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.230$ had a defect in hepcidin regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:40.281 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.807$ and sure enough we found that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:41.807 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.714$ side levels in these individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:43.714 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.580$ were inappropriately elevated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.004$ So this of course explains the

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00{:}14{:}48.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.620$ pathophysiology of this disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

00:14:49.620 --> 00:14:51.005 Normally an iron deficiency have

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:51.005 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.640$ side and level should be low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.212$ but in these in these individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:54.212 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.640$ who had decreased iron stores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.120$ there have a side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.600$ and it's paradoxically increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:14:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.658$ So it's explains both their failure to

00:15:00.658 --> 00:15:03.093 respond to oral iron as well as their

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00{:}15{:}03.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.588$ failure to respond to intravenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:15:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.340$ or intramuscular forms of iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:15:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.602$ Because these forms of iron require

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:15:08.602 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.110$ processing by macrophages before

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:15:10.169 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.262$ this iron can be exported into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.615097638

 $00:15:12.262 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.219$ circulation for use in erythropoiesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.855$ So this is this was back in 2007

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:20.855 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.630$ and before exome sequencing was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.772$ it's cheap as it is today, and so I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00{:}15{:}26.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.056$ Traditional linkage analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.815$ mapping to map the phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:29.815 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.594$ to region of chromosome 22.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:31.594 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.212$ This was a region of about 100

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:34.212 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.719$ megabases many genes to sift through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.880$ And as I was sifting through a particular

 $00:15:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.639$ gene that came to our attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.194$ which is a gene called tempra 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.640$ also known as matriptase 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.784$ So this encodes a type 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00{:}15{:}47.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.356$ transmembrane serine protease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.722$ Transmembrane serine protease

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:50.722 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.446$ 6 is now it's official name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.762$ That was known at that time to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00{:}15{:}55.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.108$ primarily expressed in the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

00:15:57.110 --> 00:15:58.860 and had been found to be localized

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:15:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.441$ to the plasma membrane when

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:16:00.441 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.376$ overexpressed themselves at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00{:}16{:}02.380 \to 00{:}16{:}04.355$ there were only two publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.903718927142857

 $00:16:04.355 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.540$ on this protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.282$ Structurally, this protein consists

00:16:09.282 --> 00:16:11.397 of a short intracellular region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

00:16:11.400 --> 00:16:12.537 a transmembrane domain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:12.537 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.053$ and a large extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:14.053 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.640$ domain with several motifs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

00:16:15.640 --> 00:16:17.432 including most notably AC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

00:16:17.432 --> 00:16:20.658 terminal, serial, protease, domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.980$ What caught my eye was in the abstract

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

00:16:23.980 --> 00:16:26.282 book for the 2007 ASH Annual meeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:26.282 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.950$ where the group of Ernie and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

00:16:28.003 --> 00:16:29.419 Bruce Boiler reported this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00{:}16{:}29.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.420$ Mouse munit temper 60 and new new mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.598$ which is a mouse that you can see from

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

00:16:34.598 --> 00:16:36.350 this picture, has hair on its head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.774$ but it's all for the rest of his

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:38.774 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.560$ body called the Mask Mutant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.936$ The baldness relates to iron deficiency.

00:16:42.940 --> 00:16:45.583 It's cured by feeding iron and they show

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:45.583 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.060$ that these mice of course they they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

00:16:47.060 --> 00:16:49.238 They map the temper 16 and the strain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:49.238 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.279$ they showed that this was a recessive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.068$ The phenotype where the mice had

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00{:}16{:}53.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.589$ iron deficiency anemia due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:54.589 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.954$ impaired and absorption and looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:55.954 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.290$ at the liver of these mice they had

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

00:16:58.290 --> 00:16:59.780 elevated have side messenger RNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:16:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.856$ so obviously this was an excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:17:01.856 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.922$ candidate for the erythema type and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:17:03.922 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.040$ went on to look at 10% as a candidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00{:}17{:}06.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.933$ in kinders with a Rita and we found a

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00:17:08.933 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.309$ number of mutations across the molecule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111 00:17:11.310 --> 00:17:11.725 classic, NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111 $00:17:11.725 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.555$ frameshift mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

00:17:12.555 --> 00:17:14.215 nonsense mutations and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00{:}17{:}14.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.558$ variety of missense mutations at

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873481111111

 $00{:}17{:}16.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.118$ evolutionarily conserved residues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.296$ So. Distinguishing Arita from other

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

00:17:24.296 --> 00:17:27.350 required forms of iron deficiency anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.980$ cannot always be so straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

00:17:29.980 --> 00:17:31.936 I worked with Matt Heaney at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

00:17:31.936 --> 00:17:32.914 Children's Hospital Boston,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

00:17:32.920 --> 00:17:34.084 develop some diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00{:}17{:}34.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.636$ algorithms for the disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.251$ and I want to point out one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:37.251 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.672$ key aspects of these algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:38.672 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.157$ is to consider how patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:40.157 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.736$ respond to an oral iron challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.780$ So you really want to look at how

 $00:17:43.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.491$ their serum iron levels change after

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:45.491 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.879$ you give them iron in the short term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.576$ Short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:48.576 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.968$ after a fire administration

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

00:17:49.968 --> 00:17:51.012 to help differentiate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.280$ This is truly an absorptive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.132$ Effect before proceeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00{:}17{:}54.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.504$ Then at that time you know when genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:17:57.504 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.366$ testing was a little more expensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:18:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.377$ You know we we weren't going to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:18:02.377 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.957$ testing temper 6 immediately up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00:18:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.980$ I think now with Exos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83107

 $00{:}18{:}04.980 \to 00{:}18{:}05.260 \text{ a little}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.940471478

 $00:18:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.350$ bit of a different story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.872$ I also collaborated with Matt and Mark

00:18:10.872 --> 00:18:13.512 Fleming to look at hepcidin levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

00:18:13.512 --> 00:18:16.494 in patients with Arita and how they

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:16.578 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.704$ compared to other patients with iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:19.704 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.127$ refractory anemia that wasn't couldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:22.127 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.486$ be attributed to 10 per six mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

00:18:24.490 --> 00:18:25.906 And indeed you know, as expected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.830$ the hepcidin levels in patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.065$ temper 6 mutation based on our original

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:30.065 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.158$ court where again seemed to be increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:32.213 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.152$ but there was some overlap with patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00{:}18{:}34.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37.980$ who did not have a temper 6 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.882$ And so we looked more closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

00:18:39.882 --> 00:18:41.150 at various laboratory indices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.734$ and you can see with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:42.734 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.037$ receiver operating curves the red

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:44.037 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.437$ curve here is to have side in.

00:18:45.440 --> 00:18:47.920 This is a fairly good job of predicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.441$ temper 6 mutation status in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

00:18:50.441 --> 00:18:52.736 with chronic iron refractory anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.910$ but better indices turn out to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.582$ indices where you normalize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

 $00:18:56.582 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.622$ helpside into either the serum iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.850175888366667

00:18:58.622 --> 00:19:01.009 or the transparent saturation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.268$ So of course I wanted to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:05.268 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.215$ figure out how society was working

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:07.215 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.040$ to regulate upside in production.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7987221576111111

00:19:09.040 --> 00:19:11.896 The Group of Cleric and Michaela did

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:11.896 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.970$ some beautiful invitro work showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00{:}19{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.266$ the temper 6 cleaves Hema, Julian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:16.266 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.828$ the BMP coreceptor from the plasma membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.405$ This is the gene product I showed

00:19:20.405 --> 00:19:22.475 you a few minutes ago with one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:22.475 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.500$ the genes that's mutated in juvenile

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.300$ hemochromatosis and I went on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.186$ now smodels to show that mice lacking

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:28.186 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.160$ temper 6 show excess signaling through

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

 $00:19:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.311$ the BMP pathway that is dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.798722157611111

00:19:32.311 --> 00:19:34.106 upon the presence of hemogoblin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:19:38.930 --> 00:19:41.144 And then wait additional studies looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00{:}19{:}41.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.865$ at genetic loss of temper sticks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:19:43.865 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.229$ other mouse models of clinical iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00{:}19{:}46.229 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}19{:}48.787$ overloads where iron overload is due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:19:48.787 --> 00:19:50.578 have side insufficiency in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:19:50.578 \dashrightarrow 00:19:53.682$ predatory tosis due to HF mutation and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:19:53.682 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.710$ non transfusion dependent beta thalassemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:19:56.710 --> 00:19:58.740 I won't get into the mechanism there

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:19:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.083$ but let's just say briefly that inherent

00:20:01.083 --> 00:20:03.201 in a congenital iron loading anemias

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:03.259 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.377$ have signed news also low promoted

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00{:}20{:}05.377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.202$ which promotes iron loading and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:07.202 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.644$ group as well as the canvas shell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:20:08.650 --> 00:20:10.414 Group demonstrated that indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:10.414 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.926$ temper six loss is a way to raise

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:13.926 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.284$ upside and limit iron loading in

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:20:16.284 --> 00:20:18.970 in these iron loading disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.320$ So approaches to modulate temperance

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00{:}20{:}21.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.200$ expression or under investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.174$ So in addition to just doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:20:25.174 --> 00:20:26.020 classic knockout experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00{:}20{:}26.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.568$ groups have looked at small interfering RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:28.568 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.119$ S RNA formulated in lipid nanoparticles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.370$ as well as antisense oligonucleotides.

00:20:33.370 --> 00:20:36.418 The target temper 6 M RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.424$ Here's here's a diagram showing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:20:38.424 --> 00:20:40.113 antisense approach and the commentary

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:20:40.113 --> 00:20:42.592 Rd on that paper and the idea here

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:42.592 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.908$ of course is to cause degradation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:20:44.908 --> 00:20:47.899 the temper 6 M RNA with these oligos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.909$ Lose temper 6 from the plasma membrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:20:49.909 --> 00:20:52.210 of these cells and now promote signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.620$ through these pathways to elevate have side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:20:54.620 --> 00:20:57.236 Now I'll point out that currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:20:57.236 --> 00:20:59.860 temper 6 antisense oligos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:20:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.715$ specifically those that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:21:01.715 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.970$ caught conjugated to a liver specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:21:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.480$ ligand and a settled Galactus demeanor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:21:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.448$ Galac are in phase two clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00{:}21{:}09.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.290$ for humans with pathological media.

00:21:12.290 --> 00:21:13.793 I also want to point out that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:21:13.800 --> 00:21:16.640 I showed you the complex CMP pathway earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:21:16.640 --> 00:21:19.088 and while multiple proteins in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:21:19.088 --> 00:21:21.040 pathways might be considered path

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:21:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.872$ possibilities to modulate upside

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:21:22.872 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.788$ and expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:21:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.600$ I want to point out that temper 6 is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:21:25.653 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.411$ a particularly attractive target in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:21:27.411 --> 00:21:29.261 mind because unlike many of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

00:21:29.261 --> 00:21:31.218 proteins I showed you in the BMP pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00:21:31.218 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.146$ this is a liver specific gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00{:}21{:}34.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.345$ So hopefully you could reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.791357212333333

 $00{:}21{:}35.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.980$ a lot of off target effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876092818636364

 $00:21:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.410$ So I'd like to move on now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876092818636364

 $00:21:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.176$ talk about new insights we've

 $00:21:43.176 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.166$ made into the mechanisms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876092818636364

 $00:21:45.166 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.770$ iron mobilization and deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

00:21:49.830 --> 00:21:51.446 So from what I've told you so far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:21:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.218$ have sided the rapies would seem like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

00:21:55.220 --> 00:21:57.680 Reasonable approach to limit iron loading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:21:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.795$ but one of the problems I see with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

00:21:59.795 --> 00:22:01.798 types of therapies is they don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

00:22:01.798 --> 00:22:03.660 address the problem of iron loading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.752$ It's already present once,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

00:22:04.752 --> 00:22:06.820 once in a dual mileage is loaded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00{:}22{:}06.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08.724$ lowering raising their upside level is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:08.724 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.950$ going to help eliminate the iron the iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.534$ This morning the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:12.534 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.514$ you know hereditary hemochromatosis sewers

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

00:22:14.514 --> 00:22:16.920 are classically treated by phlebotomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

00:22:16.920 --> 00:22:18.820 Most patients can tolerate slotomania,

00:22:18.820 --> 00:22:20.536 although it's definitely inconvenient

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:20.536 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.053$ to have to be closed on a regular

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

00:22:24.053 --> 00:22:25.789 basis throughout your life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

00:22:25.790 --> 00:22:27.505 And although this is the classic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00{:}22{:}27.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29.142$ the mechanisms that promote

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:29.142 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.774$ our immobilization are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:30.774 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.769$ not well understood at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.024$ So this was an area I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:35.024 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.990$ interested in exploring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00{:}22{:}35.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37.484$ What are the mechanisms that regulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.91608775

 $00:22:37.484 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.179$ the release of iron from the liver?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:22:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.640$ And I became more intrigued by this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:22:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.408$ When? With these this work from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}22{:}49.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.667$ Group of Joe Mancius at Harvard and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:22:52.667 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.852$ group from Novartis characterizing a

 $00:22:54.852 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.773$ role of protein nuclear color receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:22:57.773 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.482$ coactivator 4 previously thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:22:59.482 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.337$ to be a transcriptional regulator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:23:01.340 --> 00:23:04.068 a new role in the regulation of ferritin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.478$ trafficking within cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:06.478 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.220$ specifically in cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.901$ These groups showed that NC A4 is a cargo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:23:11.901 --> 00:23:14.727 receptor that that shuttles the iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}23{:}14.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.275$ transport complex ferritin to the lysosome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:23:17.275 --> 00:23:19.358 We're fair to him, can be degraded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}23{:}19.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.074$ and the iron can be released

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:21.074 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.219$ into the cytoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.900$ potentially made available for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.580$ export cancel as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.068$ We were interested in this gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:27.068 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.342$ actually was interested in gene

 $00:23:28.342 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.517$ before these papers came up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.000$ because I found it in some micro experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:23:32.000 --> 00:23:33.352 Looking at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:23:33.352 --> 00:23:36.469 M RNA from livers of mice when iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:36.469 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.485$ overload versus iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:38.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.573$ So Jay Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:39.573 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.378$ who was a phenomenal Xpath

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:41.378 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.829$ graduate student in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}23{:}42.830 \to 00{:}23{:}45.166$ He graduated last year as well as Larissa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:23:45.170 --> 00:23:47.020 Loads of Oscar Research Assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.228$ lab decided to really try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}23{:}49.228 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.286$ define if insula forward had a role

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:23:51.286 --> 00:23:52.760 in iron mobilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.370$ the mobilization of iron from ferritin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.580$ stores specifically in the liver.

00:23:57.580 --> 00:23:59.440 So to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:23:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.770$ we utilize chemically modified srna.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.120$ Since the galnet conjugated srna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}24{:}04.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.072$ the targets have had a scites to lower NC

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:24:07.072 --> 00:24:09.558 4 expression to participates in vivo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.362$ and this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:24:10.362 --> 00:24:11.164 of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:24:11.164 --> 00:24:12.768 was after thoroughly validating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:12.768 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.380$ these sarnas and invitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:24:14.380 --> 00:24:17.638 Before we started the annual work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.139$ So we looked at the responses of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:20.139 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.116$ animals that were treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}24{:}22.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.772$ either vehicle luciferase control

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:23.772 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.281$ or end to a forward targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:26.281 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.875$ Sir and A and then subjected to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}24{:}28.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.675$ large volume for botany and then

 $00:24:30.675 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.838$ looking a week later to to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}24{:}32.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.080$ their physiological responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.104$ So would the srna we see we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:24:37.104 --> 00:24:38.822 obtained excellent knocked out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:38.822 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.874$ of entry for in the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.664$ And you can see that one week after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:43.664 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.712$ spodni mice treated with vehicle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:24:46.712 --> 00:24:49.404 control or luciferase control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}24{:}49.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.468$ So to mark reduction hepatic Iron store,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.578$ the iron was exciting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:24:52.578 --> 00:24:54.850 but in mice with Intuit for knockdown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}24{:}54.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.202$ we didn't see that massive reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:24:57.202 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.132$ librarian stores and similarly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}24{:}59.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01.987$ looking at ferritin protein levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.097$ we see that compared to the baseline

 $00:25:04.097 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.897$ state mice treated with vehicle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}25{:}05.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.581$ luciferase controls showed marked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:25:07.581 --> 00:25:09.265 reduction to ferritin protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.391$ which is not seen in mice with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:11.391 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.690$ ensued 4 knocked down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.030$ And we did further characterization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.740$ to just make sure that ensued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.590$ Mice within two four knockdown

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}25{:}17.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.440$ showed similar degrees of an emia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.822$ transferrin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:25:19.822 --> 00:25:20.204 saturation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:20.204 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.732$ and have silence suppression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:21.732 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.878$ after full bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.782$ So these other factors we couldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:24.782 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.773$ explain the difference in the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:26.773 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.759$ iron loading phenotype that we observed.

 $00:25:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.632$ So and so if we're appears to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00{:}25{:}31.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.530$ limiting hepatic remobilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.916$ And this led us to question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:25:34.920 --> 00:25:37.314 you know how is endogenous and two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

00:25:37.314 --> 00:25:39.188 for activity regulated and what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8129131075

 $00:25:39.188 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.158$ the stimulus for iron mobilization?

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:25:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.095$ So we then we just shifted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}25{:}45.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46.978$ work and hepatoma cells have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:25:46.978 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.638$ cities are have three hepatoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}25{:}48.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.245$ cells and we treated them first

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:25:51.245 --> 00:25:53.930 with a chelator just proximity DFO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}25{:}53.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.339$ And we found that this caused an

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}25{:}57.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.288$ upregulation inside for a messenger RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.950$ We realized in these experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.930$ not only is DFO keliher,

 $00:26:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.678$ but it's also a stabilizer of

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}26{:}06.678 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.510$ hip hypoxia inducible factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}26{:}08.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.922$ And we treated he patoma cells with

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:10.922 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.100$ other chemicals known to stabilize

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:26:13.100 --> 00:26:15.585 hip calcium chloride and demog

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:15.585 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.573$ and observe similar upregulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:26:17.650 --> 00:26:19.558 in institute for expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.560$ So you remember that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:26:21.560 --> 00:26:22.760 poor little hydroxylases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.728$ the HIF prolyl hydroxylases?

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:26:25.730 --> 00:26:28.688 Or oxygen and iron dependent enzymes

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:28.688 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.660$ that promote the degradation

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:30.739 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.939$ of health HIF alpha subunits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.524$ So in the normoxic and iron sufficient state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:26:37.530 --> 00:26:40.150 HIF alpha subunits undergo post

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:26:40.150 --> 00:26:42.246 translational modification and targeting

 $00:26:42.246 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.178$ to the proteasome for degradation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:26:44.178 --> 00:26:46.810 But, in the hypoxic or the iron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.628$ sufficient insufficient state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:48.628 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.230$ For example, in the setting of chelation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.095$ Hips subunits alpha subunits are

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:54.095 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.960$ available to translocate to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:56.022 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.094$ nucleus where they can heterodyne

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:26:58.094 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.174$ rise with the constitutive beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}27{:}00.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02.449$ subunit to criminal gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.656$ I'll remind you that they're both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.100$ They're both the hip 1A and a hip 2A subunit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}27{:}07.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.978$ So we wanted to investigate if

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:08.978 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.800$ the answer for induction wouldn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.980$ if one HIF dependent process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.860$ So using end to if we're knocked down.

00:27:14.860 --> 00:27:18.580 Sorry using hip knockdown experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.325$ we were able to show first that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}27{:}21.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.211$ absence of knockdown we see a marked

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:24.211 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.883$ induction of interferon chelation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.326$ The treatment with the FL chelator

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.855$ and this induction is abrogated

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:27:30.855 --> 00:27:33.770 by knockdown of 51 or 52,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.290$ particularly the double combination together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}27{:}37.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.066$ Jade went on to do some data mining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}27{:}39.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.283$ We found a data set from the lava Peter

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}27{:}42.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.014$ Ratcliffe in the UK who had done a

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:27:45.014 --> 00:27:48.050 GMY chip seek experiment in hepatoma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:27:48.050 --> 00:27:50.036 These are hep 3G hepatoma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:50.036 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.698$ under conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.964$ Hypoxia and Jade was able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:53.964 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.140$ identify in their data.

00:27:56.140 --> 00:27:58.345 It hits one binding site actually hit

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:27:58.345 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.158$ one hit two and HIF 1 beta binding site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}28{:}01.160 --> 00{:}28{:}03.524$ about 1.5 KB upstream of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:03.524 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.440$ first exon and two A4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.618$ So this LED us to a model in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:07.618 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.945$ the hip one transcription factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:28:09.945 --> 00:28:11.957 under HIP stabilizing conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.718$ So either iron deficiency or hypoxia promote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.080$ and so if we're transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:28:17.080 --> 00:28:19.204 increasing the supply of this protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:28:19.204 --> 00:28:21.220 to participate in the ferritin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.330$ not for the process described

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

00:28:23.330 --> 00:28:25.018 by the Mancias group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.130$ allowing ferret to be degraded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.474$ An iron to be made available for use

00:28:29.474 --> 00:28:31.588 and perhaps exported from the South.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00{:}28{:}31.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.466$ So I think this has been relieved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.510$ revealed a pathway with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:35.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.142$ potential for our immobilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.033$ I think whether this could be capitalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:39.033 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.760$ in iron disorders remains to be seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.430$ but could be very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.645353680315789

 $00:28:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.590$ to investigate further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

00:28:46.390 --> 00:28:49.801 So finally I'd like to move on to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

00:28:49.801 --> 00:28:51.708 collaborative work I've done here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

 $00{:}28{:}51.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.635$ switching now to thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

 $00:28:53.635 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.790$ the physiological consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

 $00:28:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.618$ iron deficiency beyond anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

 $00:28:56.618 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.360$ and I'll talk about two collaborations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

 $00:28:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.222$ One is looking at the relationship of

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

 $00{:}29{:}01.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.829$ iron deficiency to platelet counts,

 $00:29:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.478$ and the 2nd is the relationship of iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

 $00:29:05.478 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.712$ deficiency to production of the hormone

NOTE Confidence: 0.863935014285714

 $00:29:07.712 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.310$ fibroblast growth factor 23 or FGF 23.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.488$ So as as many in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:29:16.488 --> 00:29:17.880 audience are probably aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.334$ iron deficiency media is often associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:20.334 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.970$ with elevated platelet counts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.639$ In humans. This is a agel observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.117$ I went back and looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:28.117 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.460$ platelet counts and the patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:29:29.460 --> 00:29:31.000 a ride up and we've been focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:31.047 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.297$ on their red cell phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.875$ Not thinking so much about their playlists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}29{:}33.880 \rightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.945$ but indeed if you look graph their

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:35.945 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.680$ hemoglobin levels versus their platelets,

 $00:29:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.968$ you see a really nice inverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}29{:}40.968 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}29{:}44.559$ correlation between these parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.737$ And so we wondered if we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:29:46.737 --> 00:29:48.857 capitalize on the temper 6 knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:48.857 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.988$ model a mouse model of chronic iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:29:50.988 --> 00:29:52.626 deficiency anemia to gain insight

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:52.626 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.246$ into the underlying mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:29:54.250 --> 00:29:55.210 So you might just ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:29:55.210 --> 00:29:57.127 but why not just feed mice and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:29:57.127 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.819$ regular mice and iron deficient diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}29{:}58.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.249$ and induce iron deficiency an emia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:30:00.250 --> 00:30:01.638 It's actually quite technically

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:01.638 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.026$ challenging to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}30{:}03.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.798$ Mice have much such small daily iron needs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.288$ and there's so much iron available

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:07.288 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.989$ in their caging in their bedding.

00:30:08.990 --> 00:30:12.630 It's very hard to conduct studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.610$ in which iron deficiency anemia is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:30:14.610 --> 00:30:16.233 Consistently induced through

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:16.233 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.856$ dietary means alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:17.860 \dashrightarrow 00:30:19.848$ So This is why we're interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:30:19.848 --> 00:30:22.234 using the mouse model where we had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:30:22.234 --> 00:30:24.170 genetic form of iron deficiency anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:24.170 \dashrightarrow 00:30:26.706$ We confirmed that the temper 6 knock out mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:30:26.710 --> 00:30:27.612 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:27.612 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.769$ as we move previously had low hemoglobin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.858$ low MCV but also elevated platelets

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}30{:}32.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.703$ and we also confirmed their

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}30{:}34.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36.638$ public plate elevation by facts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.410$ This was actually quite important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.818$ Those of you who remember your lab

 $00:30:40.818 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.203$ medicine know that microsites so small

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}30{:}43.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.007$ erythrocytes can easily miscounted

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}30{:}45.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.250$ for platelets by cell counter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.972$ This is a particular issue for

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:48.972 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.084$ mice where the red cell MCV is

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:51.084 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.584$ much smaller than the humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}30{:}52.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.546$ So we confirmed the plate elevation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.642$ And here you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}30{:}55.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.188$ peripheral blood smears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

00:30:56.190 --> 00:30:57.982 and I think you can appreciate even

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00{:}30{:}57.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.803$ on the temper 6 knockouts where

NOTE Confidence: 0.774963462

 $00:30:59.803 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.468$ it increased number of platelets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.530$ So to make sure we could observe plate

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.510$ elevation in mice with normal hepcidin

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.277$ regulation we collaborated with Mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:31:11.277 --> 00:31:13.027 Flemmings Group at Children's Hospital

00:31:13.027 --> 00:31:15.334 boss and they were doing some studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:31:15.334 --> 00:31:16.884 of iron deficiency and pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:31:16.890 --> 00:31:18.745 So in pregnant mice that an iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:18.745 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.238$ deficient diet they were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:20.238 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.530$ to induce consistent anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:31:21.530 --> 00:31:23.070 But of course that's a very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:31:23.070 --> 00:31:24.571 experience for a long term experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:31:24.571 --> 00:31:26.426 because the mice will eventually give birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.774$ so it's it's not a practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:27.774 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.110$ way to study this problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.670$ but it confirmed that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.330$ a not this played elevation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}31{:}32.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.247$ We seen the temper 6 knock out might it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.558$ It's not something specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:35.558 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.520$ related to their website and defect.

 $00:31:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.560$ It's more general phenomena related in

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}31{:}39.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.388$ some way to their iron deficiency an emia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:31:42.390 --> 00:31:44.170 And oh, I wanted to point out, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.885$ this is the collaboration with a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:31:45.885 --> 00:31:48.165 of Diane Kraus 2 proposed excellent postdocs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.673$ a former postdocs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}31{:}49.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51.677$ now Julianna Shaviv Trucchio

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:51.677 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.180$ and Vanessa Scanlon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.460$ Jade in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:31:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.870$ and Larissa in my lab as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:31:56.870 --> 00:31:59.108 So as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}31{:}59.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.930$ Diane is very interested in hematopoies is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.768$ This is the classic hematopoiesis tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:32:04.770 --> 00:32:07.008 And here we have the megakaryocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:32:07.008 --> 00:32:08.127 erythroid progenitor cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.490$ So this is a cell that can decorate

 $00:32:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.001$ down the megakaryocyte for the erythroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:13.001 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.779$ lineage and Diane is very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:15.846 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.528$ factors that control this fake decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.278$ So we hypothesized that the megakaryocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:21.278 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.520$ erythroid progenitor cell from iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}32{:}23.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25.542$ deficient mice would show a bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:25.542 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.088$ towards the mid career site MK lineage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}32{:}28.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.510$ Diane's lab has an excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.646$ assay to assess this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:30.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.930$ so this is a colony forming assay to

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.455$ assess the MK erythroid lineage potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:35.455 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.815$ where the megakaryocyte erythroid peak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.214$ which your cells or MP's or

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:40.214 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.362$ isolated from mouse bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:42.362 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.727$ by facts grown with cytokines.

 $00:32:44.730 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.865$ To promote the growth of

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:46.865 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.573$ MK and erythroid progeny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.780$ And after several days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.580$ the colonies are fixed and stained

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:32:51.637 --> 00:32:53.347 specifically for me to carry site

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}32{:}53.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55.115$ markers shown here in Green CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:32:55.115 --> 00:32:56.555 41 and northward markers here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:32:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.425$ City 71 the transferring receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}32{:}58.425 --> 00{:}33{:}01.153$ shown in red so you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:33:01.153 --> 00:33:03.517 develop colonies that are MK only

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:33:03.517 --> 00:33:05.785 erythroid only or a mix of the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.870$ And we found that compared to wild

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.294$ type animals MEP's from temper 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:33:11.294 --> 00:33:13.801 knockout mice showed an increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:13.801 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.109$ percentage of colonies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}33{:}16.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.470$ were only forming MK progeny.

00:33:18.470 --> 00:33:21.350 So the MEP's from 10 per six knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}33{:}21.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.943$ mice were MK biased and in similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:23.943 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.558$ studies we found that there was also

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:33:26.558 --> 00:33:29.127 bias in mice would be dietary induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.580$ our inefficiency anemia during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.280$ So the next question became,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.076$ does the low iron environment itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:38.076 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.237$ promote the mercury site bias of the MEP?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.445$ So to address this we moved on to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}33{:}43.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.750$ bone marrow transplantation experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.162$ So in this experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:47.162 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.118$ We took temper 6 wild type mice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}33{:}50.118 \to 00{:}33{:}52.792$ iron balance hosts or temper 6 knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

00:33:52.792 --> 00:33:55.838 mice and iron deficient host and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:33:55.838 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.058$ were transplanted each with wild type.

 $00:33:58.058 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.766$ Were not that bone marrow and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00{:}33{:}59.766 --> 00{:}34{:}01.663$ want to remind you 10 per six is

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:34:01.663 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.239$ not expressed in the bone marrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:34:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.392$ So, 12 weeks later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898929906666667

 $00:34:04.392 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.120$ we looked at the blood parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:06.177 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.353$ these animals and you can see that whenever

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00{:}34{:}08.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.416$ the we had a well typed recipient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

00:34:10.420 --> 00:34:12.310 serum iron, blood, hemoglobin and plate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00{:}34{:}12.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14.550$ levels were all in the normal range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.580$ The donor genotype for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.798$ marriage didn't matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.691$ When we put knockout or wall table

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:20.691 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.760$ mirror into knockout recipient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.835$ They showed the animals showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:24.835 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.495$ cerebral blood parameters consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

00:34:26.495 --> 00:34:28.299 with iron deficiency anemia,

 $00:34:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.396$ and they showed elevation of platelets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.067$ So we then used the Colony formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:34.067 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.580$ assay to assess the MK erythroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.866$ lineage potential of the MEP's and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:38.866 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.564$ you can see that when wildtype

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:40.564 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.375$ mice were transplanted with wild

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:42.375 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.959$ type were knockout marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.390$ there was no significant difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:45.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.722$ The number of megakaryocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:47.722 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.888$ colonies produced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.372$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:49.372 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.746$ when the recipient was a knockout mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

00:34:52.750 --> 00:34:56.334 we saw an increase in MK number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:56.334 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.346$ the number of colonies that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:34:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.886$ MP's that needed that yielded MK.

 $00:35:00.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.550$ Only colonies to say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00{:}35{:}03.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.189$ And a similar increase when wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:06.189 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.128$ mice was put into the knockout host.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:09.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.778$ So it was really the iron status of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:10.778 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.357$ the host was that was determined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

00:35:12.360 --> 00:35:14.670 This commitment to the MK lineage

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

00:35:14.670 --> 00:35:16.308 and in a number of further studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

00:35:16.308 --> 00:35:18.230 that I'm not going to get into detail

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.987$ here today we tried to get some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:19.987 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.595$ insight into the mechanism by which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:21.595 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.763$ this fake decision was occurring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164 00:35:23.763 --> 00:35:24.304 First, NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:24.304 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.842$ we tried knocking down expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:26.842 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.330$ of the transfer receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

00:35:28.330 --> 00:35:29.810 one which mediates iron uptake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.962$ but unfortunately that was that you know,

 $00:35:32.962 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.226$ toxic to the cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.460$ so that that wasn't a viable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

00:35:35.460 --> 00:35:38.470 Approach but in Human MP's we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.682$ able to recapitulate this MK bias by

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:41.682 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.982$ knocking down transparent receptor 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.586$ So this is the transformer receptor 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.726$ I just showed you that in the table

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00{:}35{:}47.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.041$ of hemochromatosis genes and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00{:}35{:}49.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.493$ not only expressed in liver but

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00{:}35{:}50.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.522$ it also seems to play a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:52.522 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.136$ erythroid cells where it modulates

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:54.136 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.868$ signaling through equation receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00{:}35{:}55.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58.096$ So reducing expression of TFR 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:35:58.096 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.375$ which is thought to be more of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:36:01.375 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.520$ iron sensor rather than immediately

 $00:36:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.779$ requiring uptake seem to modulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7975412164

 $00:36:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.968$ This MK bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.440$ For the last few minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

00:36:11.440 --> 00:36:13.736 I want to talk about a collaboration we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:13.736 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.876$ had with Jackie Fretz and Orthopedics

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}36{:}15.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.761$ looking at emerging links between

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:17.761 \dashrightarrow 00:36:20.196$ iron deficiency and the hormone FGF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:20.196 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.000$ 23 fibroblast growth factor 23 so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}36{:}24.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.812$ FGF 23. Is a hormone produced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:27.812 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.384$ thought to produce classically

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:29.384 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.760$ by osteocytes and bone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.755$ and it plays a critical role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:32.755 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.055$ skeletal health by regulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}36{:}34.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.960$ the phosphate vitamin D axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

00:36:35.960 --> 00:36:37.520 circulating levels of FGF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.860$ 23 rise in patients with chronic

 $00:36:39.928 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.261$ kidney disease, and this FGF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}36{:}42.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.943$ 23 elevation is associated with adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:44.943 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.988$ cardiovascular outcomes and all cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:46.988 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.893$ mortality in patients both with

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:48.893 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.389$ and without chronic kidney disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:36:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.216$ Whether or not FGF 23 is a direct mediator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

00:36:54.220 --> 00:36:56.668 A biomarker I think is still under debate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}36{:}56.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58.482$ but what was interesting to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}36{:}58.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.390$ and why others had approached me

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.595$ about our model is the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:02.595 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.868$ iron deficiency had been found to

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}37{:}04.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.959$ correlate with FGF 23 elevation

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}37{:}06.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.617$ in the circulation of both humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.940$ healthy humans and mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.588$ And so of course we wanted to turn

 $00:37:14.588 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.311$ to the temperance model again to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:16.311 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.380$ if we could use that as a tool here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:18.380 \dashrightarrow 00:37:20.508$ and we found that temper 6 knock out

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

00:37:20.508 --> 00:37:22.847 mice compared to wild type where

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:22.847 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.987$ heterozygous controlled so it increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:24.987 \dashrightarrow 00:37:27.441$ urine phosphate to creatinine ratios as

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:27.441 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.546$ expected based on the known function

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:29.546 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.519$ of FGF 23 and increased phosphate excretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}37{:}32.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.500$ And looking in their blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.100$ we saw level increased levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

00:37:35.100 --> 00:37:37.280 of the active FGF 23 hormone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.890$ as well as total FGF 23,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.970$ probably because it's a different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.937$ A lot of apps say that analyzes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

00:37:42.940 --> 00:37:45.310 Both inactive and active forms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.880$ the hormone which undergoes cleavage.

 $00:37:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.230$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}37{:}49.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.680$ We thought we would be looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

00:37:51.680 --> 00:37:54.299 FGF 20 regulation of the bone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

00:37:54.300 --> 00:37:57.486 But when we isolated bone mRNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:57.486 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.079$ from bone cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:37:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.372$ we actually found that FGF 23

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:01.372 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.284$ elevation was not increased in

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:03.284 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.180$ the temper of 6 knockout mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:05.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.656$ And we discovered 2 the better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.588$ We clean the bone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:07.588 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.980$ the clearer this cleaner that she

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:09.033 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.599$ was all came showing no difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}38{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12.052$ So it became very suspicious of

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:12.052 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.020$ the Bone Arrow itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.960$ May be the source def.

 $00:38:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.902$ Chapter 23.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00{:}38{:}14.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.786$ Elevation iron deficiency anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.632$ And indeed that's what we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:18.632 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.574$ when we looked at expression by

NOTE Confidence: 0.760224992

 $00:38:20.574 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.359$ qPCR on the bone marrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00{:}38{:}24.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.017$ So to get for more of a grip on what the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:38:28.017 --> 00:38:30.816 type was that produced was producing FGF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:38:30.816 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.896$ 23 we introduced an FGF 23 EGF reporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:38:33.896 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.420$ or little into the upper 6 mouse line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:38:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.184$ so this is a well characterized

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00{:}38{:}38.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40.343$ allele in which the the enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:38:40.343 --> 00:38:42.624 green fluorescence protein, or egfp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00{:}38{:}42.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.664$ is knocked into the endogenous FGF 23

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:38:45.664 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.076$ locus immediately after the start codon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:38:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.147$ So this is a reporter allele that

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:38:52.147 \dashrightarrow 00:38:55.195$ also knocks out FGF 23 transcription

 $00:38:55.195 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.935$ from the same allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:38:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.256$ And mice heterozygous for the supporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:38:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.356$ so the mice that have one functional FGF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.635$ 23 little only are known to maintain

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:03.635 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.130$ normal hot phosphate balance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7716462922222222

 $00:39:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.467$ So we bred the soul into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:39:06.467 --> 00:39:07.876 temporal six months line and I won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:07.876 \dashrightarrow 00:39:09.070$ show you all the supporting data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:39:09.070 --> 00:39:11.002 but basically wanted to prove that

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00{:}39{:}11.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.195$ temper 6 knockout mice carrying reporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00{:}39{:}13.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.295$ were still equally iron deficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:15.300 \dashrightarrow 00:39:17.197$ Had equal degrees of an emia and retained

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:17.197 \dashrightarrow 00:39:19.396$ after you have 23 elevation in the plasma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7716462922222222

 $00:39:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.338$ which they did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.356$ One little a piece of data I'll show

00:39:23.356 --> 00:39:25.624 you is inside is temper 6 knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:39:25.624 --> 00:39:27.713 mice also have elevated levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:27.713 \dashrightarrow 00:39:29.974$ risk reports and we looked at various

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:39:29.974 --> 00:39:31.980 lab parameters trying to see if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.340$ found certain parameters that seemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7716462922222222

 $00{:}39{:}33.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35.100$ to correlate with FGF 23 elevation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:35.100 \dashrightarrow 00:39:37.180$ and in fact it was the erythropoiet in level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.956$ If we look across mice at different genotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7716462922222222

 $00:39:39.956 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.360$ that seemed to correlate best with FGF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.000$ 23 three levels in circulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7716462922222222

 $00:39:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.234$ and just hold that thought for now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.417$ So we had a GFP reporter mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.624$ We did flow cytometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:51.624 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.800$ The total bone marrow to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.850$ see if population and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:39:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.530$ that in mice carrying the portfolio

 $00{:}39{:}57.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}59.783$ both temper 6 knockout and temper 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00{:}39{:}59.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01.610$ heterozygous with the reporter allele

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.370$ heterozygous for the reporter level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:40:03.370 --> 00:40:05.458 Just a very small fraction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:40:05.458 --> 00:40:07.310 the population with GFP right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7716462922222222

 $00:40:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.235$ But we did not see these small

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:09.235 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.785$ fractions right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.990$ Cells in my selected reporter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7716462922222222

 $00:40:11.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.550$ This turned out to be less than .02% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.350$ power cells and so Jade was very frustrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.259$ A bit heartbroken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:40:18.259 --> 00:40:20.380 She thought these were going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00{:}40{:}20.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.850$ incredibly difficult animals to work with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.972$ So we we then took a look at the bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:40:25.972 --> 00:40:28.624 marrow biopsies in these mice with

 $00:40:28.624 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.538$ Jackie frats and here's confocal of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:40:31.540 --> 00:40:33.787 Core biopsies after this very brief fixation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.260$ you can see green fluorescent

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:40:35.260 --> 00:40:36.436 throughout the Marina patterns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.820$ suggesting localization to the vasculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00{:}40{:}39.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.430$ So this led us to think more about our

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.918$ flow cytometry and using a protocol

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00{:}40{:}44.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.043$ to enrich for endothelial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.384$ We look specifically at GFP expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:40:49.384 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.488$ within cells in the endothelial cell gate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222 00:40:52.490 --> 00:40:53.418 In mice, NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:40:53.418 --> 00:40:56.666 the various temper 6 FGF 23 genotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:40:56.670 --> 00:40:59.071 and we found that looking within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:40:59.071 --> 00:41:01.119 endothelial cell gate mice that carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:41:01.119 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.033$ the reporter allele showed a subset

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:41:03.033 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.308$ of salt with breaking fluorescence,

00:41:05.310 --> 00:41:07.928 which was not seen in my slacking

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:41:07.928 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.676$ airport earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:41:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.102$ And the percentage of cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:41:11.102 --> 00:41:13.281 endothelial gate that were GFP bright

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

 $00:41:13.281 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.423$ was higher in temperate 6 knockouts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:41:15.430 --> 00:41:17.458 The iron deficiency type compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:41:17.458 --> 00:41:19.357 the non iron deficient heterozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.771646292222222

00:41:19.357 --> 00:41:20.818 controlled unit type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:41:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.960$ So to gain further expression for

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

00:41:27.960 --> 00:41:29.775 FGF 2323 elevation in expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

00:41:29.775 --> 00:41:32.030 in bone marrow and Ophelia cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:41:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.046$ we mind a published data set from the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00{:}41{:}34.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36.726$ David David Scadden in the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00{:}41{:}36.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.466$ the author use single cell RNA seek of bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

00:41:39.466 --> 00:41:42.027 marrow stromal cells from blastic mite sex,

 $00:41:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.090$ 6 mice at steady state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:41:44.090 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.510$ So these are normal mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

00:41:45.510 --> 00:41:46.608 Normal iron balance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

00:41:46.608 --> 00:41:47.706 normal phosphate balance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:41:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.354$ and in their study they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:41:49.354 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.231$ able to identify 17 stromal cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:41:51.231 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.026$ clusters and mining their data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:41:53.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.186$ We found FGF 23.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

00:41:55.186 --> 00:41:57.881 Expression in the population they

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:41:57.881 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.487$ defined as sinusoidal endothelial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.490$ Looking more at the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.690$ single cell data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.839$ you can see that the cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

00:42:06.839 --> 00:42:08.998 express FGF 23 also express,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:08.998 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.846$ and Ophelia cell markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.886$ Pecam 1 and amusin.

 $00:42:12.886 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.340$ And they do not express classic bone markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.215$ These blue populations of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:19.215 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.340$ osteon lineage populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.980$ which traditionally are thought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.620$ be the populations producing FGF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.996$ 23,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

00:42:23.996 --> 00:42:26.252 And I'll point out one interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:26.252 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.760$ observation you found here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00{:}42{:}27.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}29.932$ is that erythropoietin express

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:29.932 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.055$ receptor expression is also detected

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:32.055 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.780$ in these sinusoidal endothelial cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.621$ which is why I mentioned the hypo

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00{:}42{:}35.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.720$ levels earlier in the correlation with FGF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824266841666667

 $00:42:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.730$ 23 upregulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:42:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.958$ So to assess expression of the

 $00:42:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.898$ FGF 23 reporter allele in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:42:46.898 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.190$ context of tissue architecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:42:48.244 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.020$ we employed immunohistochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:42:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.372$ good old immunohistochemistry with anti GFP

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:42:52.372 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.939$ antibody and fixed bone marrow sections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00{:}42{:}54.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57.236$ And you can see that mice carrying

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00{:}42{:}57.236 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.304$ the reporter allele showed stania

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:42:59.304 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.014$ sign your little initial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:43:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.988$ And the staining was not observed in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:43:03.988 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.037$ that do not carry the reporter allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

00:43:06.040 --> 00:43:08.539 The staining is more intense and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:43:08.539 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.853$ iron deficient temper 6 knockout mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:43:10.853 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.813$ than the heterozygous control mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

00:43:12.820 --> 00:43:15.439 And looking at lower power in one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

00:43:15.439 --> 00:43:17.580 temporal 6 knockouts with the reporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:43:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.404$ you can appreciate that this and

 $00:43:19.404 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.103$ it still still still staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.723181633333333

 $00:43:21.103 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.208$ extends throughout the bone marrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:43:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.406$ We also looked at other organs from

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:43:27.406 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.336$ these animals and we detected GFP

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:43:29.336 --> 00:43:31.286 expression rare cells of the thymus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:43:31.290 --> 00:43:33.585 but not in in a variety of other organs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:43:33.590 --> 00:43:34.535 including liver, spleen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:43:34.535 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.795$ heart, muscle or kidney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}43{:}35.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.688$ And I again want to give a special

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}43{:}37.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39.556$ shout out to Amos Brooks from

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:43:39.556 --> 00:43:40.896 Yale Tissue pathology service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:43:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.965$ who optimizes IHC staining which

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:43:42.965 --> 00:43:45.640 which turned out to be really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:43:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.624$ really beautiful. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:43:48.624 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.106$ We had shown temper 6 mice expressing

 $00{:}43{:}53.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.886$ shave evidence of expressing FGF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}43{:}55.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.146$ 23 instinctual endothelial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:43:57.146 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.030$ The catch here is that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:43:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.650$ mice have upside and elevation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.650$ so the question is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:44:03.650 --> 00:44:04.574 Our bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:44:04.574 --> 00:44:07.418 signal hill and ethyl cells is cited FGF 23,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:44:07.418 --> 00:44:09.138 elevation in anemic might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}44{:}09.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10.890$ attacked outside of regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.906$ So to test this we use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:44:12.910 --> 00:44:15.240 FGF 23 Egfp reporter mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.962$ and this case these mice carried

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:44:16.962 --> 00:44:18.570 2 well tempered 6 wheels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.866$ so they're not anemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:19.866 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.352$ They have normal iron status and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:22.352 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.938$ subjected them to large volume colotomy

 $00:44:24.938 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.774$ with intraperitoneal saline volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:44:26.774 --> 00:44:29.029 replacement to induce acute anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:44:29.030 --> 00:44:31.766 and you can see that 18 hours after flatter

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:31.766 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.220$ you were successful inducing anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.405$ People elevation and elevation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}44{:}36.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}39.718$ plasma after you have 23 in the plasma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.956$ And looking at their bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:40.956 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.060$ section at this time point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}44{:}42.060 \to 00{:}44{:}44.263$ you can see upregulation of FGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:44.263 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.384$ 23 and sinusoidal of the sorry of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}44{:}46.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.287$ the reporter allele in sinusoidal

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:48.287 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.347$ endothelial cells of the phlebotomist

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}44{:}50.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53.100$ mice with the reporter compared to non

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.440$ phlebotomist mice carrying reporter allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:44:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.380$ So this raises some questions.

 $00:44:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.473$ Does does FGF 23 have a local

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:00.473 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.320$ role in the bone marrow?

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.805$ Is this a protective or

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:04.805 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.296$ a pathological response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.612$ There is a paper that came out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:08.612 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.765$ blood from a Japanese group last

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}45{:}10.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.926$ year that suggested that FGF 23

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:12.926 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.864$ from a rifter blast is involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:45:14.864 --> 00:45:16.400 in hematopoietic progenitor cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.460$ mobilization for the bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80250259842105300:45:18.460 --> 00:45:18.768 particularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:18.768 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.540$ this is in the context of induction of G.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053 00:45:21.540 --> 00:45:23.710 CSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:45:23.710 --> 00:45:26.125 So exactly how their data fit together

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:26.125 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.900$ with ours remains to be seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.004$ I I will say that in in their

 $00:45:30.004 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.977$ paper they do also have expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:31.977 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.696$ of FGF 23 detected in in flow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.930$ sort of populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.570$ That would include the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.800$ sinusoidal endothelial cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:45:38.800 --> 00:45:40.924 although they don't specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:40.924 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.048$ look at that population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.402$ So with that I just wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}45{:}45.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.694$ acknowledge a huge number of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:47.694 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.709$ that made this work possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.330$ It always takes the village

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.950$ to do to do research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}45{:}52.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.670$ starting with the original patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.299$ their family members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:45:56.299 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.394$ and referring physicians at Yale.

00:45:58.394 --> 00:46:00.018 I've mentioned Jade in my lab who

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:46:00.018 --> 00:46:01.570 was a phenomenal PhD student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.490$ Larissa and Outstanding Research assistant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.032$ My mentor at Nancy who got

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:07.032 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.470$ me started in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:46:08.470 --> 00:46:10.090 I've mentioned collaborations with Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:10.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.340$ Plumbing Group and Matheny at

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:46:12.340 --> 00:46:13.690 Children's Hospital Boston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00{:}46{:}13.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.805$ Stefano Rebello was instrumental in

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:15.805 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.720$ providing me with the Palace comic mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053 00:46:18.720 --> 00:46:19.374 At Yale, NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:19.374 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.336$ Jackie's been an excellent collaborator here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.560$ as well as common Bergson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:46:22.560 --> 00:46:24.140 endocrinology and then my main

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.720$ collaborators have been the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.440$ Cooperative Center of Excellence.

 $00:46:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.788$ Hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:46:27.788 --> 00:46:29.876 where I've been serving on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:46:29.876 --> 00:46:30.920 steering committee Dianne,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

00:46:30.920 --> 00:46:32.033 Vanessa and Juliana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802502598421053

 $00:46:32.033 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.630$ and her in Diane's lab were absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.778610553571429

 $00:46:34.699 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.399$ incredible for our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778610553571429

 $00:46:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.520$ Steffie Helenus Group has contributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778610553571429

 $00:46:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.240$ Gene Hendrickson's group Pat Gallagher

NOTE Confidence: 0.778610553571429

 $00:46:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.451$ and also some of the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.778610553571429

 $00:46:42.451 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.037$ hematologists who referred me some very

NOTE Confidence: 0.778610553571429

00:46:45.037 --> 00:46:46.977 interesting studies where I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.778610553571429

 $00:46:46.977 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.014$ looking at some exome data on some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778610553571429

 $00{:}46{:}49.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51.370$ Never look phenotypes as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778610553571429

 $00:46:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.493$ So with that I will stop and take questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78017205

 $00:47:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.110$ Very nice. I have several

00:47:07.110 --> 00:47:08.820 questions actually first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.456$ So many different families here

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:13.456 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.421$ in your planning and reaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:16.421 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.200$ relationship with erythrocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

00:47:18.200 --> 00:47:22.068 Tempress backup cameras 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00{:}47{:}22.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.010$ That's also it appears the delivery

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

00:47:25.010 --> 00:47:27.570 of Iron Company backer pitch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.058$ So the Brotherhood islands

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:29.058 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.546$ in the bone marrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00{:}47{:}30.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.052$ Of course he contact with the rapist

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00{:}47{:}33.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.469$ and order to survive and grow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.745$ so could some of this effect and

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00{:}47{:}37.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39.770$ ship complete the differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.950$ via failure of the Riverside

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.694$ support by the macrophage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.108$ The other?

 $00:47:44.108 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.128$ Maybe they need to sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.484349052

 $00:47:45.128 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.180$ the iron in the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96249638

00:47:50.090 --> 00:47:53.697 I think it's possible, but well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96249638

 $00{:}47{:}53.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.405$ you're thinking about specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.96249638

 $00:47:55.405 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.540$ upside and effect or just

NOTE Confidence: 0.96249638

 $00:47:57.613 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.130$ a low iron effect. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.611700668

 $00:47:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.530$ you know about your knockout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771885047142857

 $00{:}48{:}03.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.717$ They would have trap. They would have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771885047142857

 $00:48:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.490$ They should have some relative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771885047142857

 $00:48:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.709$ They they may have some relative Miron

NOTE Confidence: 0.771885047142857

 $00:48:08.709 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.248$ trapping in those in bone marrow macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6541913087

 $00:48:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.850$ yeah, but if there is we could that be a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6541913087

 $00{:}48{:}14.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.690$ I remember in style selection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6541913087

 $00{:}48{:}18.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.216$ The grip right precursor is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.6541913087

 $00:48:21.216 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.880$ in contact with that macro page.

 $00:48:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.312$ You predicted it would shift it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.657250543333333

 $00{:}48{:}30.312 --> 00{:}48{:}33.540$ a plant. Spontaneous. By default.

NOTE Confidence: 0.437752976666667

00:48:36.160 --> 00:48:37.318 Violence and everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

 $00:48:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.594$ No, I I will say that the effect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

 $00:48:40.594 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.649$ hepcidin on bone marrow macrophages is

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

 $00{:}48{:}42.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45.092$ much less well studied than the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

 $00:48:45.092 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.395$ of macrophages in the spleen or liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

00:48:47.400 --> 00:48:48.385 You know, just people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

 $00:48:48.385 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.173$ were studying mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

00:48:49.180 --> 00:48:50.776 It's somewhat easier to to be looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

 $00{:}48{:}50.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52.060$ at these assessments in the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

 $00:48:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.062$ so I don't think it's been quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

 $00{:}48{:}54.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55.616$ as well characterized in mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.702928065103448

 $00:48:55.616 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.206$ models and have sided regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:48:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.870$ Just regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:49:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.220$ And then. Clearly the.

 $00:49:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.052$ Most of these models show the

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

00:49:07.052 --> 00:49:08.808 iron passing through the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:49:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.186$ Either it's faster, it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:49:12.186 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.010$ liberated in the auto light lights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:49:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.430$ And then it is airborne

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

00:49:17.430 --> 00:49:19.354 and puts it out right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:49:19.354 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.318$ So we're talking about circulating iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:49:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.320$ To this cell is every cell have breakfast

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:49:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.398$ control its internal iron levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00{:}49{:}27.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.484$ and that must be a big important

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:49:29.484 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.400$ thing in parasitosis control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.247896505

 $00:49:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.770$ And now it's a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00{:}49{:}32.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36.434$ right. So so this is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:49:36.434 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.899$ another whole side of iron biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

00:49:39.900 --> 00:49:41.996 There's a great review called 2 to Tango,

00:49:42.000 --> 00:49:43.184 written by Martina Buffet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00{:}49{:}43.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}44.960$ Baller and and several other leaders

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:49:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.064$ in the field where you know upside and

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:49:47.064 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.369$ regulations systemic are in regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:49:48.369 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.858$ but upside and acts on Fairport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

00:49:49.860 --> 00:49:51.106 And of course which is expressed not

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

00:49:51.106 --> 00:49:52.569 only in the cell types I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

00:49:52.570 --> 00:49:54.682 In fact, probably on most if

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:49:54.682 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.070$ not all cell types in the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:49:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.668$ Cells also regulate iron status through

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00{:}49{:}59.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}01.920$ the iron regulatory protein system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.328$ which stabilizes the messenger

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:03.328 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.088$ RNA proteins that are involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:05.088 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.148$ in iron transport into the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.150$ The transferrin receptors normally

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.150$ stabilized during iron deficiency

 $00:50:11.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.229$ and during iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.965$ The translation of proteins involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:15.965 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.654$ in iron exports, such as fair cordon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:18.654 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.723$ is reduced so the cell have means

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:20.723 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.067$ of compensating throughout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.696$ Tosis is very interesting because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:23.696 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.308$ transfer receptor is not behaving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00{:}50{:}25.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}27.002$ way you predict based on that system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

00:50:27.010 --> 00:50:28.658 That's something actually asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

00:50:28.658 --> 00:50:31.130 during his work talk last week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663194246153846

 $00:50:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.240 I$ think, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53470733175

 $00:50:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.086$ That that's the big thing now

NOTE Confidence: 0.53470733175

 $00{:}50{:}37.086 \to 00{:}50{:}39.308$ turn immunity. To regulate that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.35102296

 $00:50:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.230$ Absolutely. Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.40567447425

 $00:50:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.280$ Check chat, there's only one request that

 $00:50:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.200$ people can hear questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59701

 $00:50:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.460$ Otherwise the ohl OK. The problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33173567

 $00:51:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.690$ Yeah. Stick around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33173567

 $00:51:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.922$ There's a respect box here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.33173567

 $00:51:15.922 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.290$ the body that are regulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33173567

 $00:51:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.570$ This is level sheets, traumatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.33173567

 $00:51:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.310$ It's. My death was gross.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:51:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.730$ So, so I think this area has been explored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:51:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.842$ Oh, sorry, so the question is how that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:51:33.842 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.210$ there are areas in the body where his

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:51:36.278 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.938$ levels can change dramatically and our iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:51:38.938 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.688$ levels in those areas regulated as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:51:41.690 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.714$ So the individual I know who's probably done

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

00:51:43.714 --> 00:51:45.847 the most work in this area is Yatrik Shah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:51:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.290$ Michigan, who's particularly focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:51:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.410$ on the role of HIF one and hip two

 $00:51:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.551$ in the intestinal cells where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00{:}51{:}52.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54.849$ also appear to be modulating iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00{:}51{:}54.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56.859$ Of taking the cells in addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:51:56.859 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.101$ Fairport and so there is a more complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

00:51:59.101 --> 00:52:01.293 story than what I told you today but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:52:01.293 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.051$ but that's definitely an area under

NOTE Confidence: 0.77323309375

 $00:52:03.051 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.210$ active investigation as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793803008

 $00{:}52{:}07.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.380$ I was thinking more like you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.27733737

 $00:52:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.010$ Took my soul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758194675

 $00:52:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.870$ Of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.55843451

 $00:52:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.400$ Just in those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.131269635

 $00:52:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.710$ Higher results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85485744

 $00:52:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.170$ Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885264783333333

 $00{:}52{:}29.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.138$ I think it's it's certainly possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885264783333333

00:52:31.140 --> 00:52:33.660 I'm not sure if anyone he's really looking.

 $00:52:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.547$ So you know, truthfully we use an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00{:}52{:}39.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.779$ old-fashioned Perls Prussian blue stain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00:52:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.174$ There certainly dies that people will

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00:52:44.174 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.330$ use for for self culture experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00:52:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.638$ Often we use expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00.52:48.638 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.946$ of the transfer receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00{:}52{:}49.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.140$ Messenger RNA is a simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00:52:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.892$ surrogate because that's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00{:}52{:}53.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}56.532$ responsive to the intracellular ion

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00:52:56.532 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.656$ concentrations of iron concentration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00{:}52{:}58.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00.914$ But I you know that work may

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00:53:00.914 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.098$ be going on in this field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00{:}53{:}03.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.906$ I think I tend to gravitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00:53:04.906 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.572$ towards meetings where the focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00:53:06.572 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.180$ is on hematological disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280365075

 $00:53:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.620$ So so that I'm just probably

 $00:53:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.820$ less familiar with that area now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.414910599

 $00{:}53{:}15.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.090$ Affairs versus fairy these families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817501398571428

 $00:53:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.996$ So there are oxidases and reductases around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817501398571428

00:53:23.000 --> 00:53:24.412 Many of these transmembrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817501398571428

00:53:24.412 --> 00:53:26.177 Passage steps that are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.817501398571428

 $00:53:26.177 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.600$ part of the story. There.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817501398571428

 $00:53:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.850$ There are many additional players.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817501398571428

 $00:53:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.088$ These cartoons you know for iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.817501398571428

 $00{:}53{:}31.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.021$ uptake that I've shipped friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.817501398571428

00:53:33.021 --> 00:53:34.978 showed you yeah. I mean no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89717738

00:53:45.260 --> 00:53:46.634 OK, thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.89717738

 $00:53:46.634 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.550$ the opportunity to present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.577667

 $00:53:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.860$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.15366933

 $00:53:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.160$ Discovered.