WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:06:06" NOTE recognizability:0.824

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.310$  Trying to normalize a bit now so

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.534$  maybe I'll go ahead and introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:04.534 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.070$  you and then you can get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.346$  So it's my honor to introduce Eric Baraki as

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:00:11.346 --> 00:00:14.166 today's grand round speaker for pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.005$  Eric completed his PhD at

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00{:}00{:}16.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.524$  University of Wisconsin, Madison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00{:}00{:}17.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.594$  with Michael Strand on embryonic

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:19.594 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.650$  morphogenesis and Wasps and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00{:}00{:}21.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.350$  postdoc at University of Utah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.374$  In the HHMI lab of Carl Thummel on

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:26.374 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.346$  steroid triggered cell death and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:28.346 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.034$  resala became an assistant professor

00:00:30.034 --> 00:00:32.028 at University of Maryland in 1995

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:32.028 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.818$  and then an associate professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.312$  before moving to UMass Medical School where

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:36.312 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.018$  he was promoted to full professor in 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:00:39.020 --> 00:00:41.220 He's a leader in the field of atop a G,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.865$  having to help define when

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:42.865 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.510$  autophagy is associated with cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:44.572 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.438$  survival as opposed to cell death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.652$  elucidating numerous regulatory mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:00:48.652 --> 00:00:51.417 in autophagy that utilize ubiquitin

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00{:}00{:}51.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.880$  micro RNA cell cell communication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.188$  Moreover, he's linked his

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:55.188 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.823$  studies of autophagy and recycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:00:56.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.735$  It's a major human diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:00:58.735 --> 00:00:59.878 including metabolic disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00{:}00{:}59.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.316$  neurodegenerative diseases,

 $00:01:01.316 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.188$  cancer and movement disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.446$  He served on numerous advisory committees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.464$  Often his chair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:07.464 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.492$  ranging from the NIH panels to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:09.492 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.948$  Keystone Scientific advisory boards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.460$  It's been on editorial board

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:01:13.460 --> 00:01:14.668 of over 10 journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:01:14.670 --> 00:01:16.100 including Co Editor and chief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.428$  currently for cell death and differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.265$  and mentored over 40 graduate students and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:21.265 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.409$  postdoctoral fellows within his laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:01:23.410 --> 00:01:24.990 Is a widely sought speaker

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.570$  with over 40 invited seminars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.010$  In the past five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.650$  but most notably to me,

 $00:01:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.666$  this includes the Boylston

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:01:31.666 --> 00:01:33.682 Elementary School lecture series

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:01:33.682 --> 00:01:35.769 to kindergarten to 4th graders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.639$  where he serves serves on the STEM

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:01:37.639 --> 00:01:39.049 Advisory Board for the school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.714$  so I have no doubt that he'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:41.714 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.335$  able to help us understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:44.335 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.026$  field much better with this audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:01:47.026 --> 00:01:50.930 as he talks to us on Ora Boris on

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

 $00:01:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.635$  top OG mitochondria and disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852992580466667

00:01:53.640 --> 00:01:54.748 Floor is all yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

 $00:01:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.704$  Thank you Sam. That's a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

 $00:01:58.704 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.280$  kind and thoughtful introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

 $00{:}02{:}00.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.968$  I hope I can live up to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

00:02:03.970 --> 00:02:06.210 So yeah, I want to thank Sam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

 $00:02:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.850$  Susanna and all the people

 $00{:}02{:}07.850 --> 00{:}02{:}09.490$  I met with this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

 $00:02:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.906$  Very stimulating morning discussions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

 $00:02:11.906 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.180$  And for me, coming to Yale is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

 $00:02:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.640$  little or virtually coming to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

00:02:16.640 --> 00:02:18.744 Yale is a little bit like coming to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

00:02:18.744 --> 00:02:20.811 Mecca because of some of the overlapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

 $00:02:20.811 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.596$  interests of your your faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

 $00:02:22.596 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.940$  So thanks bro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832739852

00:02:23.940 --> 00:02:24.750 Great morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:02:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.188$  So just to begin, I was always taught

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:02:31.188 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.440$  that if you can start with a simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00{:}02{:}34.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.930$  message that at least every one can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:02:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.950$  understand from the very beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00{:}02{:}38.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.605$  then you've accomplished at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:02:40.605 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.669$  one goal in your lecture or so.

00:02:42.670 --> 00:02:45.220 I'm going to begin by showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:02:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.495$  you this creature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

00:02:46.500 --> 00:02:49.680 The Aura Boris the it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

00:02:49.680 --> 00:02:54.318 It's an ancient symbol of longevity

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:02:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.100$  that's on many Egyptian tombs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

00:02:56.100 --> 00:02:58.758 It's been used by union philosophers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:02:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.288$  and I think it's reflective of the process

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:03:01.288 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.249$  of autophagy that we studied at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00{:}03{:}03.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.740$  The the consumption or self consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00{:}03{:}05.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.519$  of ourselves is really used in many

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00{:}03{:}08.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.607$  ways to promote self health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

00:03:10.607 --> 00:03:12.398 promote longevity and and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:03:12.398 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.280$  how I just wanted to start with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:03:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.770$  definition of autophagy based on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:03:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.358$  this ancient symbol of horror works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7678083

 $00:03:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.538$  Now Full disclosure.

 $00:03:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.560$  Why am I not advancing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57325464 00:03:29.260 --> 00:03:29.590 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00:03:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.494$  OK, so Full disclosure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00:03:34.494 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.698$  I'm address off legend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00{:}03{:}36.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.565$  so giving a grand rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00:03:38.565 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.730$  lecture is not typical for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00:03:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.327$  So what I've tried to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00:03:43.327 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.233$  adapt my presentation to more

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00:03:45.233 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.018$  of a grand rounds format.

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00{:}03{:}47.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.120$  So this story starts with a 50

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

00:03:49.120 --> 00:03:51.844 or 4 year old male patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00:03:51.844 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.020$  history of reading problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00{:}03{:}54.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.825$  that presented to his physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.55679458625

 $00{:}03{:}55.825 \to 00{:}03{:}56.908$  with gait difficulties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91867951375

 $00:04:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.450$  First vision problems presented at the

 $00:04:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.064$  age of 36 and four siblings of 14 total,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91867951375

 $00:04:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.618$  so this is what I consider a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91867951375

 $00{:}04{:}09.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.540$ remarkable human genetic experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91867951375

 $00:04:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.180$  Reported similar vision and walking

NOTE Confidence: 0.91867951375

 $00:04:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.430$  difficulties at the ages of 2326.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

00:04:21.430 --> 00:04:24.640 And importantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

 $00:04:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.170$  Some reason I'm having trouble events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

 $00:04:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.901$  Importantly, the parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

 $00:04:27.901 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.209$  exhibit exhibited no symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

 $00:04:30.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.026$  So as a geneticist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

 $00{:}04{:}32.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.750$  I am a classically trained genetic ist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

 $00:04:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.310$  This is reflective of a recessive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

 $00:04:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.053$  Genetic trait where?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

00:04:41.053 --> 00:04:44.539 Almost close to the proper medallion

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

 $00:04:44.539 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.890$  ratio of individuals from the from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488352

 $00{:}04{:}47.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.890$  These two parents resulted

 $00:04:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.499$  in this movement disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773515225

 $00:04:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.188$  They're hearing the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773515225

 $00:04:57.188 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.836$  They're had no hearing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773515225

 $00:04:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.892$  Cognitive or leg muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773515225

 $00{:}05{:}02.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.310$  strength alterations and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773515225

 $00:05:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.535$  lower but all lower legs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773515225

 $00:05:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.908$  Hensher modalities square.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.350$  And this was eventually diagnosed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.342$  spinal cerebellar ataxia and did disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00{:}05{:}19.342 \to 00{:}05{:}22.172$  progression increased with age and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

00:05:22.172 --> 00:05:24.662 all five patients required walking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.442$  So this was published in 2003.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:27.442 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.610$  Data from this family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.748$  This was originally a study initiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.550$  at Case Western, but at that time,

00:05:34.550 --> 00:05:36.830 a human geneticist named Margit Burmeister,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00{:}05{:}36.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.846$  who's at the University of Michigan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.160$  became very interested in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.492$  This patient population and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

00:05:43.492 --> 00:05:46.990 started trying to identify the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:47.083 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.859$  responsible for this disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823773646666667

 $00:05:49.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.450$  And Fast forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:05:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.050$  After a lot of work in 2018 Markets

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00{:}05{:}59.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.589$  Lab published that this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:06:02.589 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.084$  disorder is because of mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:06:06.084 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.089$  recessive mutations in the VPS 13 DJ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:06:10.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.737$  That's in this song and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

00:06:12.737 --> 00:06:14.365 Of neurology paper in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:06:14.365 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.993$  parallel with this paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

00:06:16.000 --> 00:06:18.180 and through communication with Margit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00{:}06{:}18.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.380$  the group studying Lee syndrome

 $00:06:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.452$  in Canada had a subset of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00{:}06{:}24.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.760$  patients that they were citing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:06:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.920$  Also had recessive mutations in the guest 13.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:06:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.308$  And so although VPS 13D is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

00:06:35.308 --> 00:06:36.760 extraordinarily rare disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:06:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.040$  and it's interesting that also patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:06:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.694$  with Leigh syndrome and this is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

00:06:41.694 --> 00:06:43.118 increasing population of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.854598672

 $00:06:43.118 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.188$  that also have mutations in 13.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:06:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.180$  So while they were actively trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:06:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.552$  to find the identity. Of of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:06:54.552 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.720$  the gene responsible for this disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00{:}06{:}57.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.680$  my lap was studying the process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00{:}06{:}59.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.260$  autophagy and more specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.952$  macroautophagy. In this process,

00:07:02.952 --> 00:07:06.799 is initiated at A at a membrane source,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.762$  often the ER, where isolation or fagge

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00{:}07{:}10.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.319$  for membrane forms around cargos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:13.319 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.157$  Miss cargos are generally generally been

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

00:07:16.157 --> 00:07:18.687 thought to be non specific in nature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

00:07:18.690 --> 00:07:21.102 but I think it increasing evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:21.102 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.710$  exists that these cargoes

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:22.782 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.658$  can be exquisitely specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.372$  And the inclusion of these cargoes

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:27.372 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.115$  into the fagge form to form and double

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:31.115 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.527$  membrane out of phagosome therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:33.527 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.362$  targets these cargoes for degradation

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:36.362 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.540$  by fusion of autophagosomes Lisa zones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.900$  or vacuoles as they're called in plants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.434$  So we were studying this process and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:46.434 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.195$  we were studying this in in a model

 $00{:}07{:}50.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.520$  Organism for Sofala Melanic Esther.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00{:}07{:}52.520 \to 00{:}07{:}54.935$  And the question that many people ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

00:07:54.940 --> 00:07:58.000 why do you study this this problem and flies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:07:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.547$  and I think the this cartoon that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:08:01.547 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.629$  was devised by my collaborator and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

00:08:03.629 --> 00:08:06.055 friend Hung Song while we were driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.943741698888889

 $00:08:06.055 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.029$  to his remote village in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.312$  Communicates this so we knew at

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:12.312 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.378$  the time that we were the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:15.378 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.398$  studies that we were investigating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

00:08:18.400 --> 00:08:20.485 That Yoshinori Ohsumi's lab had

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

00:08:20.485 --> 00:08:23.026 identified most of the genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00{:}08{:}23.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.700$  were required for what we call the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.911$  core autophagic machinery for studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:27.911 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.440$  of the sacrifice service and soon

 $00:08:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.540$  after the publication of his work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.852$  a large number of labs and and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:34.852 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.908$  publication of the of the human genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

00:08:36.910 --> 00:08:39.654 A large number of lab started racing

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:39.654 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.649$  to identify the ortho locks and chains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.852$  But what we have learned from

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

00:08:44.852 --> 00:08:47.070 studying fruit flies and and worms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.412$  and this these are both anatomically

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:50.412 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.256$  incorrect animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:51.256 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.686$  I want to just mention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.686$  because of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893958240909091

 $00:08:53.686 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.678$  the warm skeletons has no teeth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

 $00:08:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.904$  By studying these organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

00:08:59.904 --> 00:09:03.090 we've learned that autophagy is regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

 $00:09:03.168 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.410$  in cell and context dependent manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

 $00:09:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.874$  And this underlies one of the fundamental

 $00:09:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.408$  tenets of the way we do our science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

00:09:11.410 --> 00:09:14.588 So we have decided to study autophagy

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

 $00{:}09{:}14.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.950$  under developmental contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

 $00:09:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.030$  So when autophagy is induced by development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

00:09:19.030 --> 00:09:21.550 not through some sort of stress condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

 $00:09:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.470$  such as chronic starvation

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

 $00:09:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.390$  or chronic cellular stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827382486363636

 $00:09:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.254$  but rather a development program at the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

00:09:30.510 --> 00:09:33.030 Now what we know is in in animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00{:}09{:}33.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.195$  self context matters and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:35.195 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.922$  suggests that there could be self

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:37.922 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.347$  context specific regulators off G.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.520$  And just a few pieces of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.550$  evidence in support of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.708$  There are many at this point,

00:09:43.710 --> 00:09:45.836 but I just want to highlight a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00{:}09{:}45.836 \to 00{:}09{:}48.251$  and this this is a paper from Kevin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:48.251 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.507$  Ryan's lab at the Beatson Institute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.540$  where he showed that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.570$  influence of autophagy on tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:54.644 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.602$  growth depended on P53 status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:56.602 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.906$  So, in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:09:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.590$  if you may want to consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00{:}09{:}59.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.755$  if you were going to modulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

00:10:01.755 --> 00:10:03.707 autophagy for the apeutic purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:10:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.820$  whether or not that tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8098079575

 $00:10:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.400$  has a wild type P50, really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00{:}10{:}10.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.188$  I'm in work that week's lab rated

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

00:10:14.188 --> 00:10:15.866 with Andreas Bergmann's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:10:15.866 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.477$  We were able to show that autophagy

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00{:}10{:}18.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.168$  could either enhance or suppress tissue

 $00:10:21.168 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.396$  growth depending on the growth stimulus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:10:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.898$  And so as well as cell type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

00:10:25.900 --> 00:10:29.495 what I mean by this it depended if you had

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

00:10:29.495 --> 00:10:32.680 a growth stimulus such as activated wrasse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

00:10:32.680 --> 00:10:34.800 modulating autophagy had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:10:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.431$  different phenotype than say an

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

00:10:38.431 --> 00:10:42.716 activated activity of PI3 kinds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:10:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.656$  So this also suggests that there's some self

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

00:10:45.656 --> 00:10:48.860 sort of cell or tissue convex specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

00:10:48.860 --> 00:10:49.470 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

00:10:49.470 --> 00:10:51.300 we had shown that during development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:10:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.476$  that autophagy can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00{:}10{:}53.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.196$  influence cell survival or cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:10:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.010$  that depending on the developmental context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:11:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.852$  So. In other words,

00:11:03.852 --> 00:11:06.636 it is important to understand how

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:11:06.636 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.950$  this process is regulated in mammals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:11:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.438$  So the model tissue I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

 $00:11:11.438 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.285$  going to talk about today is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771411488888889

00:11:13.285 --> 00:11:14.620 intestine of the flying larva.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.868$  In this tissue goes for dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.940$  biological change that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

00:11:21.940 --> 00:11:24.700 triggered by steroid hormone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00{:}11{:}24.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.430$  In this biological change shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.614$  in these composite images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

00:11:29.620 --> 00:11:32.735 Is that the midgut of the intestine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.158$  which is the largely the absorptive

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:35.158 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.100$  structure of the intestine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.958$  at this stage is this long?

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

00:11:40.960 --> 00:11:43.648 In just 6 to 8 hours shrinks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00{:}11{:}43.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.050$  response to steroid to be missed.

00:11:46.050 --> 00:11:48.170 So that's pretty remarkable biologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.502$  but important for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00{:}11{:}50.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53.417$  This change in biology correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:53.417 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.717$  with the induction of autophagy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.368$  So I'm showing you data here that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:11:59.368 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.072$  this early stage there's none of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

00:12:02.072 --> 00:12:04.821 autophagia reporter GFP ATG 8 spots in

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:12:04.821 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.637$  the cells of the intestine at this stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:12:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.386$  Or is it this later stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:12:09.386 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.040$  just a few hours later?

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

00:12:11.040 --> 00:12:14.490 You get this robust induction, but off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:12:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.584$  Now I want to emphasize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:12:16.584 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.529$  for the reporters that we use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:12:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.665$  these are not miss reporters

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:12:20.665 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.373$  that are miss expressed,

 $00:12:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.692$  but rather these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:12:24.692 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.426$  These are ATG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

 $00:12:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.280$  8 Reporters under control of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.762151301666667

00:12:29.280 --> 00:12:31.900 endogenous promoter of this machine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:12:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.736$  So Fast forward a few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:12:38.740 --> 00:12:42.549 Senkai Chang, who's now in

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:12:42.549 --> 00:12:44.481 biotech in Southern California

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:12:44.481 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.620$  enjoying some lovely weather,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189763633333333

 $00:12:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.206$  was able to show that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:12:49.206 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.180$  change in intestines size is

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:12:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.434$  because of a change in cell size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:12:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.987$  That's dependent on autophagy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:12:55.987 --> 00:12:58.766 So in the larval stage before the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:12:58.766 --> 00:13:01.380 rise of hormone to cells, are this large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.550$  but following the induction but

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}13{:}03.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.170$  prodigy is cells reduce in size.

00:13:06.170 --> 00:13:08.690 So if you have a single autophagy gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}13{:}08.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.526$  mutation cells fail to reduce in size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.133$  Kevin went on to show that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:14.133 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.949$  is a cell autonomous process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.126$  so he used an apology reporter that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:19.126 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.028$  encoded by every cell in the genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.214$  When he could show by a single cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.734$  either loss of function mutations or

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:13:27.734 --> 00:13:30.680 RNA I expression in the green cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.459$  that they fail to form these reporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}13{:}33.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.078$  pocta and remained larger in size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.135$  And this was an incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}13{:}38.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.779$  insightful observation for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.520$  because for for my laboratory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.094$  because this enabled us to use

 $00:13:44.094 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.962$  this as a screening platform to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}13{:}46.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.622$  discover all potentially all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:49.622 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.680$  the genes involved in this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333 00:13:52.680 --> 00:13:53.426 So again, NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:13:53.426 --> 00:13:55.664 Fast forward a few years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:13:55.664 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.032$  enter Allison Dinding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:13:57.032 --> 00:14:00.504 She's now a group leader at Sanofi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.350$  Allison did us what we call a hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.098$  driven screen to identify genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:14:08.098 --> 00:14:11.498 encode putative ubiquitin binding domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}14{:}11.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.538$  Because certain Chi had identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:14.538 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.485$  ubiquitous, ubiquitous as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:14:16.485 --> 00:14:18.710 important regulator of this process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:14:18.710 --> 00:14:21.668 she was interested in ubiquitin binding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:21.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.919$  protein encoding genes, and she did screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.670$  I believe it was 136 jeans.

00:14:29.670 --> 00:14:31.730 And identified 3 cheats with

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:14:31.730 --> 00:14:32.966 very strong phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:14:32.970 --> 00:14:35.106 Two of them were in the escort pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333 00:14:35.110 --> 00:14:36.700 the other G.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:14:36.700 --> 00:14:39.304 Plus of course, VPS 13D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:39.304 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.346$  a somewhat star of this show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.206$  so this is an example of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:14:45.206 --> 00:14:47.594 type of data that we can obtain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333 00:14:47.594 --> 00:14:48.467 In this case. NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}14{:}48.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.025$  Allison has expressed in RNA I in

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:51.025 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.789$  the green or GFP expressing cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.925$  You can't see from the data here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}14{:}55.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.687$  but these cells are larger in size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:14:57.690 --> 00:14:59.121 It's all quantified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:14:59.121 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.453$  but also you can see that these

00:15:02.453 --> 00:15:04.768 8G8 apunka fail to form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.830$  and we've used other autophagy reporters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:15:07.830 --> 00:15:08.324 I I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}15{:}08.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.300$ I cannot I can get into more detail

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:10.364 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.803$  later and I will get into a few more

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:12.803 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.687$  details of these in a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.685$  But this is 1 important example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}15{:}16.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.527$  how we can decrease the function

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189763633333333

 $00{:}15{:}18.527 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}21.106$  of a gene in a single cell compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333 00:15:21.106 --> 00:15:22.249 to its control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.510$  Neighboring cells are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:15:24.510 --> 00:15:26.770 powerful single cell approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.120$  It's not descriptive, it's functional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.024$  In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:15:31.024 --> 00:15:33.736 Allison made loss of function mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.008$  and these are true null alleles.

 $00:15:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.450$  This is actually a transposon

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:38.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.443$  insertion that completely removes

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:40.443 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.950$  the function of this gene based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:44.046 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.031$  traditional genetic analysis is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:47.031 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.713$  as well as now protein analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:15:49.720 --> 00:15:53.104 And the mutant cells lack GFP in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.126$  so the mutant cells are all in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:15:55.126 --> 00:15:57.370 end of this piece of the intestine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:15:57.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.170$  Here she's using a mitochondrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00{:}16{:}02.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.376$  V80P SA TP5A antibody as a surrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:16:05.376 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.670$  marker of mitochondria that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:16:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.765$  cargoes that get cleared by

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

00:16:09.765 --> 00:16:11.650 autophagy in this tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:16:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.954$  and you can see that only

NOTE Confidence: 0.918976363333333

 $00:16:13.954 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.490$  the mutant cells have

 $00:16:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.270$  failure of clearance by Connor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746173798

00:16:17.270 --> 00:16:19.083 In fact, this little bit of signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.746173798

00:16:19.083 --> 00:16:20.390 that's sticking out down here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746173798

00:16:20.390 --> 00:16:23.582 that's actually a mutant cell that's down

NOTE Confidence: 0.746173798

 $00:16:23.582 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.428$  behind these control GFP positive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:16:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.328$  So what is VPS? The VPS 13 family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:16:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.442$  Well, as I said, I'm it's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:16:35.442 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.448$  bit like coming to Mecca because

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:16:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.346$  Pietro and Karen, who are here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:16:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.594$  Have actively been actively

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00{:}16{:}44.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.399$  studying VPS 13 family proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:16:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.852 \text{ VPS } 13$ , as name implies is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:16:51.852 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.094$  vacuole protein sorting encoding

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00{:}16{:}54.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.098$  gene or that's how it's originally

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:16:56.098 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.139$  identified in the Sacrament service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

00:16:58.140 --> 00:17:01.455 Yeah, there's one VPS 13

00:17:01.455 --> 00:17:04.107 Gene and and sacrifices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

00:17:04.110 --> 00:17:07.568 In organisms is versus worms to humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:17:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.636$  there are three to four protein encoding

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:17:10.636 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.849$  genes actually in the fly there are three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77209772875

 $00:17:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.980$  and these have traditionally been named

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

00:17:18.250 --> 00:17:21.992 VPS. 13 ABC&D. When the fly there

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:17:21.992 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.900$  are three men code 4 potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00{:}17{:}23.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.125$  proteins in the nomenclature gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:17:26.125 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.122$  confusing here because for VPS 13B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:17:29.122 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.111$  They've named them subtype A&B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

00:17:32.111 --> 00:17:34.877 But what's important is that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00{:}17{:}34.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.318$  proteins share a common domain structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00{:}17{:}38.320 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}17{:}40.705$  including a very important work

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:17:40.705 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.664$  from Pietro and Karen have shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:17:43.664 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.556$  the importance of a lipid transport

 $00:17:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.300$  domain that's on the internal rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00{:}17{:}51.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.362$  It's a very large domain. It's protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:17:53.362 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.768$  What distinguishes D from all other

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00{:}17{:}55.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.735$  members of this family, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:17:57.735 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.390$  is that it is the only member of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00{:}18{:}00.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.090$  family that includes a cute if ubiquitin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:18:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.490$  Finding you be a donor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:18:05.490 \dashrightarrow 00:18:08.070$  This domain is highly conserved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:18:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.966$  And we have shown that both

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:18:09.966 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.230$  humans and in flies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

00:18:11.230 --> 00:18:13.492 It binds ubiquitin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:18:13.492 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.530$  preferentially binds K63

NOTE Confidence: 0.71485823

 $00:18:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.120$  linked ubiquitin chains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.958$  And also these proteins have so-called

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:22.958 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.569$  Lear or else free interaction motifs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.558$  But this is a very degenerative sequence.

 $00{:}18{:}29.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.348$  But the reason we were interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00{:}18{:}31.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.555$  in this idea is that then this VPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:34.555 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.860$  13D would have the characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:36.943 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.533$  of a so-called autophagy receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:39.533 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.123$  that might be involved cargo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.290$  I think our data will later

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

00:18:44.290 --> 00:18:45.730 debunk this potential function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.501$  but I just wanted to mention that

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:47.501 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.730$  that was a possibility when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.747485610833333

 $00:18:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.139$  started working on this on this gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:18:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.810$  So why should you care about guest 13?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:18:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.986$  Well, one of the reasons you should care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:18:59.986 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.686$  about because 13D is its essentiality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:01.686 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.420$  So as a geneticist we always

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:03.474 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.106$  wonder if a gene is important,

 $00:19:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.987$  and that's typically measured by a valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.765$  So there's this great resource

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:09.765 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.995$  available at the Broad Institute where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:11.995 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.965$  they screened for gene essentiality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.085$  What they called in the Achilles score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.858$  And this score indicates if a gene is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:19:20.858 --> 00:19:23.730 important, like mtor or as essential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:19:23.730 --> 00:19:26.306 A very strong score is minus one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00{:}19{:}26.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.052$  so she's like mtor genes required

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:29.052 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.423$  for nucleotide synthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.378$  They have scores of minus one genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:33.378 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.506$  medium Lee are important would be at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:35.506 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.964$  the similar to like brocco one and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.330$  and genes that would be less important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:19:41.330 --> 00:19:42.630 Maybe not to the Organism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.923$  but certainly based on cell

 $00:19:45.923 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.036$  essentiality would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:19:47.040 --> 00:19:49.469 It's like Abelson wanted so when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:49.469 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.659$  look at the VPS 13 family and this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:19:52.660 --> 00:19:55.612 this graph was derived when Rd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:19:55.612 --> 00:19:57.384 had screened 341's outlines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:57.384 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.724$  Some of these are not as such

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:19:59.724 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.597$  normal cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.175$  They some of these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:20:02.175 --> 00:20:03.435 transformed cells of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.660$  but when you compare VPS 13D to a

B&C it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:06.746 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.889$  is much more essential than most genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.922$  In fact in the normal genes and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:20:11.922 --> 00:20:13.577 is something Pietro rates with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853273467500:20:13.580 --> 00:20:13.898 Earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:13.898 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.124$  It is one of the most essential

 $00:20:16.124 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.528$  genes encoded by our gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.238$  This time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:18.238 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.667$  on the early day that I came on out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:20:21.667 --> 00:20:24.197 on normal lawns transform cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.260$  In addition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.690$  We know from flies that strong alleles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:20:29.690 --> 00:20:33.818 of VPS 13 VR laid embryonic people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.753$  and our recent studies of mice have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:20:36.753 --> 00:20:40.066 also shown that it is an essential gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.102$  In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:20:41.102 --> 00:20:43.682 using we've generated a floxed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:43.682 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.864$  mouse allele and when we combine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:46.864 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.916$  this with nest inquiry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.410$  which is often used to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

 $00:20:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.240$  neurological phenotypes,

 $00:20:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.108$  these are embryonic lethal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675

00:20:54.108 --> 00:20:56.910 so it is an incredibly important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532734675 00:20:56.910 --> 00:20:57.580 Gene.

 $00:21:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.118$  And therefore I should make this

NOTE Confidence: 0.917001302

NOTE Confidence: 0.917001302

00:21:04.118 --> 00:21:06.554 important point there for UM in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917001302

 $00:21:06.554 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.578$  In humans, we assumed the patient alleles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.160$  Now every scientist that I know waits for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.530$  these what I call Eureka and one day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00{:}21{:}20.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.966$  Allison, who had discovered VPS 13D

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

00:21:22.966 --> 00:21:25.401 in my lab and actually it was a nun

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00{:}21{:}25.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.487$  named Jean at that at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:27.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.396$  She had just obtained RNA I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.458$  TM data from knockdown, tested cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.152$  And she came to my office

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:38.152 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.280$  and she screamed out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00{:}21{:}39.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.780$  We have mighty kandariya

 $00{:}21{:}40.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.655$  and I thought to myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00{:}21{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.030$  what are mighty kandariya and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.140$  when she showed me the images,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

00:21:48.140 --> 00:21:51.860 I really almost fell off my chair because

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.870$  the images of the control intestines

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

00:21:53.870 --> 00:21:56.487 on the top are the same magnification

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:56.487 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.899$  as the intestines on the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:21:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.846$  And what you can see is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:00.846 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.230$  these mitochondria are enormous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.456$  In fact, mitochondrial experts that see these

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:04.456 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.910$  say they're some of the largest mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.150$  There are some larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00{:}22{:}09.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.390$  mitochondria in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.940$  And and they they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.110$  They are derived.

 $00:22:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.450$  Those mitochondria that occur are because

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00{:}22{:}16.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.366$  of different types of mutant combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00{:}22{:}19.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.200$  but it's pretty remarkable about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.030$  these giant mitochondria as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.950$  seem to be relatively functional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:24.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.866$  Again, we can discuss that later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.494$  How functional they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

00:22:29.494 --> 00:22:31.930 but the from a morphological perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00{:}22{:}31.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.410$  they're Christy are juxtaposed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

00:22:35.410 --> 00:22:36.795 and we've done some biochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:36.795 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.609$  assays and they seem like they

NOTE Confidence: 0.81731535

 $00:22:38.609 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.740$  are relatively functional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00:22:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.310$  But this phenotype was so

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00{:}22{:}43.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.060$  strong and so apparent to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00:22:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.600$  this is something we pursued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00{:}22{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.072$  We continue to pursue it because

 $00:22:49.072 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.990$  it's extremely interesting to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00:22:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.078$  In addition, we collaborated with Richard

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

00:22:53.078 --> 00:22:55.408 Uhl Slab and specifically Chung Chung Wong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00:22:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.685$  who is his before CRISPR was

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

00:22:57.685 --> 00:22:59.449 an everyday thing for people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

00:22:59.450 --> 00:23:02.836 He he was knocking out genes and Richard

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00:23:02.836 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.700$  Lab and what he did is he generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00{:}23{:}06.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.191$ 3 independent knockout cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

00:23:09.191 --> 00:23:12.552 He la cell lines and what we saw you

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00{:}23{:}12.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.114$  know typically was very similar between

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

00:23:15.114 --> 00:23:19.538 control and VPS 13D Knockout cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

00:23:19.540 --> 00:23:21.500 Or was it should say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

00:23:21.500 --> 00:23:22.780 common to all the VPS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00:23:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.450$  13 knockout cells and that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00:23:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.640$  mitochondria look larger and rounder in

 $00:23:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.620$  the heel of cells and not filamentous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909

 $00{:}23{:}30.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.356$  as we typically think of mitochondria

NOTE Confidence: 0.813930169090909 00:23:33.356 --> 00:23:34.188 and yellow? NOTE Confidence: 0.840020908181818

 $00:23:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.400$  And it's important to note that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840020908181818

 $00:23:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.211$  this mitochondrial phenotype in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.840020908181818

 $00:23:40.211 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.409$  of these cell lines was rescued by

NOTE Confidence: 0.840020908181818

00:23:42.409 --> 00:23:43.958 the introduction of its 13D plasma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840020908181818

 $00:23:43.958 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.030$  so this was not some sort of off

NOTE Confidence: 0.840020908181818

 $00{:}23{:}46.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.977$  target effect of of the crystal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:23:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.453$  So some of the important questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00{:}23{:}52.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.586$  we would like to address our water,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:23:54.586 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.598$  the genes that function

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

00:23:55.598 --> 00:23:57.080 of the VPS 13D pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086001566666667

 $00:23:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.431$  This is one of the strengths of

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:23:58.431 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.838$  the lab is a fly geneticist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

00:23:59.840 --> 00:24:02.120 We're always trying to identify

 $00:24:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.400$  more genes that functions pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00{:}24{:}04.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.687$  Where is the primary defect in V

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:06.687 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.129$  PS13D cells that leads to disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

00:24:09.130 --> 00:24:12.742 How does V PS13D influence such

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

00:24:12.742 --> 00:24:15.074 diverse cellular processes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

00:24:15.074 --> 00:24:17.170 can ultimately for patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.530$  It would be very useful if we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

00:24:19.530 --> 00:24:21.109 identify genetic suppressors and best

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:21.109 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.708$  13 feet because of course if we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:23.708 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.012$  identify suppressors then we can think

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:26.012 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.430$  about modulating these factors as

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.950$  potential the rapeutic strategies and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00{:}24{:}30.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.478$  I just want to step back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

00:24:32.478 --> 00:24:34.190 disease a little bit because at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.779$  beginning I introduced you to one

00:24:35.779 --> 00:24:37.571 patient family that has some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:37.571 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.654$  weaker alliance probably of the VPS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:39.654 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.000$  13D patients that are like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

00:24:42.077 --> 00:24:44.618 and identified some of the some

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:44.618 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.850$  one of the saddest stories is

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:46.937 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.607$  that there are some children that

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

00:24:49.610 --> 00:24:52.445 have mutations in VTS 13D they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:52.445 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.090$  disease early in life or normally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.218$  but by three to five years their wheelchair

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00:24:58.218 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.119$  about so it really is a very tragic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908600156666667

 $00{:}25{:}01.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.730$  A disease that affects these children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00{:}25{:}06.830 \to 00{:}25{:}11.139$  So. Big question for us was what genes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.018$  Because we knew nothing about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:13.018 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.420$  as we started and So what other genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

00:25:15.420 --> 00:25:18.115 are in the BTS 13 pathway and a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

00:25:18.115 --> 00:25:19.890 breakthrough for us came through

00:25:19.890 --> 00:25:22.248 my friend and colleague Hangzhou,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00{:}25{:}22.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.756$  who's at the Institute of Biophysics in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:24.756 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.629$  in Beijing but also has a small joint

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

00:25:27.629 --> 00:25:29.890 appointment at U mass medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.138$  His postdoc yen Chow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:32.138 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.510$  who is now at SUS Tech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:35.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.718$  In in running her own lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.862$  but in this photograph is shown here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:39.862 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.045$  in my lab and in Hong's graduates to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:43.045 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.704$  Twitch and what they did is they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:46.704 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.470$  screening for genetic modifiers of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:49.564 \dashrightarrow 00:25:53.312$  gene called EPG three and WORMS it's V MP1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00{:}25{:}53.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.454$  This is a gene they've been very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:25:55.454 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.186$  interested in and they found

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

00:25:57.186 --> 00:26:00.390 a genetic interaction today.

 $00:26:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.914$  So this was a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:26:01.914 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.550$  This is a very interesting to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:26:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.366$  but also I think to your colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00:26:08.366 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.594$  Karen Tom and yeah troll because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839502

 $00{:}26{:}11.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.670$  VMP 1 encodes a lipid scramblers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

00:26:17.340 --> 00:26:20.382 Importantly, Hong slab or ven specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00{:}26{:}20.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.010$  had shown that VMP 1 depletion results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

00:26:25.010 --> 00:26:28.944 an altered by chondral shape and Assoc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00:26:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.890$  If you are in the mitochondrial shape

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

00:26:31.890 --> 00:26:34.132 change that Janss observed similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00:26:34.132 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.826$  to what we had observed blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00:26:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.603$  So. Enter at the time MD PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

00:26:40.603 --> 00:26:43.458 candidate James sat in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

00:26:43.460 --> 00:26:46.987 he's now a PhD still at UMass, rotating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00:26:46.987 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.269$  James is quite a remarkable student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00:26:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.472$  He went through a remarkable transformation

00:26:52.472 --> 00:26:54.380 in medical and Graduate School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00{:}26{:}54.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.744$  and he went from this individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00:26:56.744 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.448$  shown in his pre pre Med

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00:26:59.448 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.540$  application to being essential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00:27:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.745$  But he's also he's a he's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00:27:03.745 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.375$  fearless scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

00:27:04.380 --> 00:27:06.460 He's taken on every problem that I feed

NOTE Confidence: 0.662068463636364

 $00{:}27{:}06.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.979$  him and got much more than I ever expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891430079

 $00:27:11.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.946$  So what James did first was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.891430079

 $00{:}27{:}13.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.106$  actually knock down V MP1 in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891430079

00:27:16.106 --> 00:27:17.426 intestine cells we were studying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891430079

 $00:27:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.364$  and what he saw that there was a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.891430079

 $00{:}27{:}20.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.910$  influence on cell size reduction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.891430079

00:27:22.910 --> 00:27:27.250 locked the formation of M Cherry ATG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891430079

 $00:27:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.786$  a puncta as you can save it RNA.

00:27:30.790 --> 00:27:34.718 I expressing cells and grain have no ATG 8M.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00:27:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.595$  8:00 AM Cherry Puncta and

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00:27:38.595 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.230$  they are clearly much larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00:27:40.299 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.059$  than their neighboring cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00:27:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.400$  similar to the past 13 D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

00:27:44.400 --> 00:27:46.944 In addition, they accumulate a protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00:27:46.944 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.417$  that's called ref 2P and flies

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

00:27:49.417 --> 00:27:51.874 because it was named before our P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00:27:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.480$  62 was identified in mammals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

00:27:53.480 --> 00:27:56.258 This is an autophagic cargo receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00{:}27{:}56.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.760$  so when AUTOPHAGIA is active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00:27:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.088$  P60 true gets recruited into auto

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00:28:01.088 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.239$  phagosomes and the levels go down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688754621428571

 $00:28:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.190$  So when you block autophagy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777906105714286

 $00:28:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.745$  P 62 should accumulate and then see

NOTE Confidence: 0.777906105714286

 $00:28:09.745 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.390$  shows here in quantified on the right.

00:28:12.390 --> 00:28:16.506 The F2P signal accumulated in VFP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777906105714286

 $00:28:16.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.130$  and these are actually knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777906105714286

 $00:28:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.002$  Deletion of the open reading frame

NOTE Confidence: 0.777906105714286

 $00:28:20.002 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.270$  unit cells, and these are crisper

NOTE Confidence: 0.777906105714286

 $00:28:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.320$  alleles that that James produced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

00:28:28.050 --> 00:28:31.738 Importantly, V MP1 is required

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

 $00:28:31.738 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.246$  for clearance of mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

 $00:28:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.182$  So here again we're using this

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

 $00:28:35.182 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.330$  surrogate marker of of mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

 $00{:}28{:}37.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.400$  The antibody against ATP 5A and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

00:28:43.400 --> 00:28:45.692 also see that they have just superficially

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

 $00{:}28{:}45.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.918$  they look like larger mitochondria than

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

00:28:47.918 --> 00:28:49.809 we typically see in control cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

 $00:28:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.050$  but the control cells are marked in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

 $00{:}28{:}52.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.882$  Mutants lack red and you can see the

 $00:28:54.882 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.368$  control cells you see no mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926115

 $00{:}28{:}57.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.919$  And mute cells have much more mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846470827619048

00:29:04.520 --> 00:29:06.544 I think I figured out why I can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.846470827619048

00:29:06.544 --> 00:29:08.347 forward because if I move the toolbar

NOTE Confidence: 0.846470827619048

 $00:29:08.347 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.900$  down there then it doesn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

 $00:29:14.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.732$  And then we analyze this by transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

 $00:29:17.732 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.700$  electron microscopy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

 $00:29:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.716$  And for this we used RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

00:29:21.720 --> 00:29:24.240 I because homozygous BMP one

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

 $00:29:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.760$  null animals are early lethal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

 $00:29:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.280$  so we could just specifically knockdown

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

 $00:29:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.350$  VNP one in the intestine and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

 $00{:}29{:}32.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.082$  can see that the mitochondria are

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

 $00:29:35.082 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.076$  both larger in shape and and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

00:29:38.076 --> 00:29:39.520 mitochondrial area quantified here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849870185

 $00:29:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.912$  so it looks very similar to

 $00:29:40.912 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.770$  what we see with VPS 13D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00{:}29{:}45.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.056$  And I'm gonna just summarize for the sake

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00:29:47.056 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.690$  of time, a few other points about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

00:29:49.690 --> 00:29:51.738 relationship between VPS 13D and B and P1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

00:29:51.740 --> 00:29:53.690 So double mutant analysis indicate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00:29:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.919$  these genes function in the same pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00:29:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.524$  In other words, they do not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00:29:58.524 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.389$  an additive phenotype based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00:30:00.389 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.405$  any of the markers we've used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

00:30:02.410 --> 00:30:05.722 If we look at VPS, 13D protein puncta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00:30:05.722 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.206$  So this is we have monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00:30:08.206 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.483$  antibody that works in the fruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00{:}30{:}10.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.305$  fly against VPS 13D and the mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00:30:13.305 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.671$  cell shown by the white outline here

NOTE Confidence: 0.874056986

 $00:30:16.671 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.298$  has greatly reduced puncta compared

 $00:30:19.298 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.468$  to the control neighboring cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:30:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.464$  And also VPS 13D does not influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

00:30:27.464 --> 00:30:31.133 BMP one puncta which is and I should

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

00:30:31.133 --> 00:30:33.660 have mentioned that BMP one is an ER,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:30:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.860$  resides on ER both in mammals and influx.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

00:30:38.860 --> 00:30:42.268 So these data, no thinking simplistically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:30:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.634$  as a geneticist, suggests that BMP

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:30:44.634 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.052$  that BMP one is upstream of EPS 13D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

00:30:48.052 --> 00:30:50.728 Of course, as you start thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:30:50.728 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.090$  about something, this is not like

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00{:}30{:}53.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.618$  a classic transcription factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:30:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.820$  Gene target type of pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:30:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.404$  So there are many other explanations

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:30:58.404 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.070$  that need to be considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:31:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.270$  When I think about these data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

00:31:01.270 --> 00:31:03.268 but from a sort of genetic

00:31:03.268 --> 00:31:03.934 diagram perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:31:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.494$  you would think about this as BMP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748455450833333

 $00:31:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.808$  one being upstream did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:10.600 --> 00:31:13.270 So the question that comes up then RV

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:13.270 --> 00:31:15.440 MP1 and VPS 13D required for mitophagy

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.035$  and I just wanted to throw in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:18.040 --> 00:31:22.219 This M micrograph taken by my my

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:22.219 --> 00:31:23.880 laboratory yam expert Tina 48.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.470$  She does all of our electron

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00{:}31{:}25.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.423$  microscopy and she doesn't get enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:27.423 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.519$  credit for the work that she does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.848$  To be honest she's always on

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00{:}31{:}31.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.780$  all of our manuscripts but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.692$  And as an author.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00{:}31{:}34.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.115$  But you know, she doesn't doesn't

00:31:36.115 --> 00:31:37.830 get the same kind of attention that

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:37.878 --> 00:31:39.438 graduate students and postdocs get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.614$  so I want to make that point, but also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:41.614 --> 00:31:44.918 Just when we draw cartoons of mitophagy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.086$  we tend to show these, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:47.086 --> 00:31:48.338 sort of glorified cartoons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.064$  and this is probably what an auto

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:51.064 --> 00:31:52.632 phagosome membrane forming around

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:52.632 --> 00:31:54.612 it mitochondria looks like and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:54.612 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.665$  what's particularly attractive

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

00:31:55.665 --> 00:31:57.771 about this image to me is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:31:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.228$  it looks like this might be a mitochondria

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:32:00.228 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.306$  that's going through a fission event

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:32:02.306 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.061$  that there's this dumbbell shape

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:32:04.061 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.916$  that's at the ends of where this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917781288571429

 $00:32:05.920 \dashrightarrow 00:32:08.026$  You know this membrane is juxtaposed.

00:32:10.860 --> 00:32:13.705 So we investigated whether Vikas 13D

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:32:13.705 \dashrightarrow 00:32:16.400$  and V MP1 or required for MATAJI

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

00:32:16.400 --> 00:32:19.399 using an assay called my Dokyusei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:32:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.541$  Well my to QC is a fusion of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:32:22.541 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.358$  mitochondrial protein with GFP and M cherry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

00:32:25.360 --> 00:32:27.656 So when mitophagy is active and might

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:32:27.656 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.924$  almost all the mitochondria get cleared

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:32:29.924 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.752$  in the intestine is very narrow window.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

00:32:32.760 --> 00:32:35.126 I described the beginning so when that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:32:35.126 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.015$  happens you have very low GFP signal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

00:32:38.015 --> 00:32:41.190 persistent and cherry signal because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:32:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.880$  Once on Phagosomes containing mitochondria

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00{:}32{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}48.216$  fuse with lysosomes GFP signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:32:48.216 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.472$  but is no longer admitted because

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:32:50.472 \dashrightarrow 00:32:53.528$  of the pH of the acidic pH license.

 $00:32:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.026$  So we do the same assay with either

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

00:32:56.030 --> 00:32:57.478 VPS 13D Knock down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

00:32:57.478 --> 00:33:00.298 You can see that the majority that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

00:33:00.298 --> 00:33:04.186 many of the GFP signals persist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:33:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.896$  and using two independent RNA eyes

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:33:06.896 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.853$  against one obtain very similar results

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:33:09.853 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.069$  or influence on the medical clearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.808904528

 $00:33:13.069 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.418$  of mitochondria based on this asset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:18.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.116$  So we wanted to examine whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

00:33:22.120 --> 00:33:25.179 VPS 13D fit into the existing mitophagy

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:25.179 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.562$  paradigm and at the time we're investigating

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:28.562 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.098$  this was when Richard Richard Ewell's lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:32.098 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.194$  was pioneering our understanding of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00{:}33{:}35.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.180$  Parkinson's disease risk predisposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

00:33:37.180 --> 00:33:40.084 Genes, pink one and Parkin on

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:40.084 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.300$  the clearance of mitochondria.

 $00:33:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.198$  So just a brief primer on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:44.198 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.440$  these genes do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.688$  So typically pink one is mine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

00:33:48.688 --> 00:33:49.924 Mitochondrial localized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:49.924 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.632$  but upon mitochondrial damage shown by

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:53.632 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.650$  these stars Pink 1 translocates for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:33:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.170$  complicated biochemical mechanism to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00{:}33{:}58.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00.566$  the outer leaflet of the mitochondria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00{:}34{:}00.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03.410$  where it phosphory lates both ubiquitin

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:34:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.246$  and parking and enables chain elongation

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00{:}34{:}06.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.790$  on mitochondrial proteins as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:34:08.862 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.278$  neighboring proteins to mitochondria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:34:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.570$  and it's thought that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:34:13.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.402$  ubiquitination is actually relatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

00:34:15.410 --> 00:34:16.661 I should say,

 $00:34:16.661 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.163$  very strong data indicate that this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:34:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.314$  Ubiquitination of these mitochondrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:34:21.314 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.994$  proteins is how mitochondria get

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:34:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.170$  recognized by autophagy cargo receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:34:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.340$  that interact with both ubiquitin

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00{:}34{:}30.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.839$  and ATG 8 or its mammalian ortholog

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00{:}34{:}32.839 \longrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.754$  bail C3 got Rep family so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95018749

 $00:34:35.754 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.400$  mitochondria can get cleared by office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:34:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.720$  So we wanted to ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:34:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.505$  Whether or not pink one

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

00:34:45.505 --> 00:34:46.576 has similar phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:34:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.060$  just 13D and the short answer is yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

00:34:49.060 --> 00:34:51.408 it's almost identical right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:34:51.408 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.343$  In the way they're phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:34:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.148$  Here you can see in magenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:34:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.170$  80P5A in a pink one,

 $00:34:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.010$  null loss of function salad you

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00{:}35{:}03.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.570$  see persistence in mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.976$  Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:05.976 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.224$  Pink one using the sort of classic genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00{:}35{:}09.224 \to 00{:}35{:}11.549$  paradigm I told you about a moment ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

00:35:11.550 --> 00:35:17.520 loss of Pig 1 results in a loss of VPS 13D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

00:35:17.520 --> 00:35:21.190 Protein puncta suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00{:}35{:}21.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.710$  pink one is upstream somehow of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.896$  EPS 13D in these mutant cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.320$  And interestingly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.580$  Because we have this classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

00:35:31.580 --> 00:35:33.840 pink one Parkin like pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00{:}35{:}33.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.616$  we were shocked when we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:35.616 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.359$  started analyzing park it and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:37.359 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.116$  there's a there's a lot of data.

00:35:39.120 --> 00:35:41.864 Actually most of this data is published

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00{:}35{:}41.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44.960$  in a in a JCB paper last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.172$  But what we saw in on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:47.172 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.340$  left here are control cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.128$  Electron micrograph of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:51.128 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.916$  control intestine cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.085$  This is a parking homozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:55.085 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.480$  mutant with lacking 1 allele of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:35:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.810$  EPS 13D and this a heterozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

00:36:00.810 --> 00:36:03.432 Parkin mutant with homozygous VPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:36:03.432 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.005$  13 day and what we observed was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:36:06.005 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.970$  that parking mutants although they

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:36:08.037 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.367$  had more mitochondria they weren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:36:10.367 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.231$  these enormous mitochondria that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:36:12.231 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.722$  we saw both because 13D mutants

NOTE Confidence: 0.94452256

 $00:36:14.722 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.566$  as well as in pink ones.

 $00:36:20.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.050$  So one last piece of this puzzle is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:36:24.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.970$  that when we analyze. Park in punked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:36:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.150$  up formation in in mutant cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:36:31.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.981$  We saw that as the usual and many other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:36:34.981 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.252$  lab model would predict when pink is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:36:38.252 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.034$  is lost in these mutant cells. You see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

00:36:42.034 --> 00:36:45.586 reduction of parking protein pump to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

00:36:45.590 --> 00:36:49.294 However, in a VPS 13 deed null cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:36:49.294 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.468$  outlined in white here you see no reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:36:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.886$  In a parking park to formation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00{:}36{:}57.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}01.098$  This and a large amount of single and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:37:01.098 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.868$  double mutant analysis have led us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00{:}37{:}03.868 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}37{:}07.356$  believe that pink one is upstream in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:37:07.356 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.014$  the intestine that pink one functions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

00:37:10.014 --> 00:37:13.232 upstream on both parking and VPS 13B.

 $00:37:13.232 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.637$  And we're working to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446667375

 $00:37:15.637 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.470$  understand its mechanisms now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:37:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.975$  So the. One of the last parts

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:37:25.975 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.486$  I want to start addressing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:37:28.486 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.896$  does does VPS start today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:37:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.976$  Like BMP? One influence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}37{:}32.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.090$  the proximity of ER and mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

00:37:36.090 --> 00:37:40.202 And so. Again, James Shannon Tina

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

00:37:40.202 --> 00:37:42.980 48 started analyzing this in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}37{:}43.066 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}37{:}45.691$  of our models and flies Hila as

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}37{:}45.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.861$  well as patient arrives cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:37:47.861 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.452$  and what they observed was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:37:50.452 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.804$  in in the fruit fly in testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:37:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.561$  which is the first model that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

00:37:55.561 --> 00:37:57.996 studied that animals lacking VPS 13D

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}37{:}57.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.964$  and these are two different allele

 $00:37:59.964 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.835$  combinations and all these electron

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}38{:}01.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.139$  micrographs going to show you lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:04.139 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.420$  magnifications are in the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.280$  Enlargements are on the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}38{:}08.280 \to 00{:}38{:}10.525$  And quantification is shown here

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:10.525 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.250$  and the definition of what will

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.668$  be a mitochondria ER contact is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

00:38:15.668 --> 00:38:18.100 defined based on the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}38{:}18.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.626$  So what they observed is like V.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

00:38:20.626 --> 00:38:25.928 MP1 loss of EPS 13D resulted in hanst of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:25.928 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.638$  proximity between mitochondria and ER.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}38{:}29.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32.566$  We observed similar results in he LA

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}38{:}32.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35.704$  cells and importantly when we move to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:35.704 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.059$  analyzing patient provide cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:38.059 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.745$  in these cases we have very nice both

 $00:38:41.745 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.535$  patient and either heterozygous sibling

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}38{:}45.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.088$  or heterozygous parent mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:49.088 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.378$  So this is the original.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}38{:}52.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.260$  University of Michigan derived cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:55.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.606$  The first allele that was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:38:57.606 \dashrightarrow 00:39:02.098$ identified as Avycaz 13D patient ride cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:02.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.340$  And I particularly like this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.132$  this image here so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.040$  Unrelated fibroblast and these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

00:39:10.040 --> 00:39:12.824 This is apparent dry fibroblast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:12.824 \dashrightarrow 00:39:15.630$  this is a patient, right fiberglass?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.040$  If you look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.750$  Actually James selected this region

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.876$  for the enlargement where you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}39{:}20.876 \longrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22.320$  see these enhanced mitochondrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.403$  New York contacts,

00:39:23.410 --> 00:39:25.489 but actually my favorite part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

00:39:25.489 --> 00:39:27.736 image is down here where it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

00:39:27.736 --> 00:39:30.200 looks like the ER extends and wraps

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:30.276 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.332$  around this particular mitochondria

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:32.332 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.902$  and that's all quantified over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.114$  We also looked at that as a distinct family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.327$  These are cells that were collected by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}39{:}42.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}46.478$  Katya lowman and sadly I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

00:39:46.478 --> 00:39:48.500 just reminded me giving a tragedy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:48.572 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.498$  in the world and in Ukraine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.060$  This is from a Ukrainian family

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}39{:}53.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55.940$  that Katja who's based in Lubeck

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00{:}39{:}55.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.380$  obtained these cells but again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.694$  Unrelated fibroblast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79214492

 $00:39:59.694 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.044$  In this case it's a sibling loss

 $00:40:04.044 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.468$  of 1 allele and the homozygous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64835307625

 $00:40:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.130$  The patient O'Neal. And where

NOTE Confidence: 0.64835307625

00:40:10.130 --> 00:40:12.261 you can see it again enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.64835307625

00:40:12.261 --> 00:40:14.516 mitochondria in New York contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00:40:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.766$  So the big question then for

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00:40:19.766 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.510$  these patients is can we identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00:40:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.364$  suppressors and you know we're very

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00:40:23.364 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.983$  lucky that actually patients you

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00:40:24.983 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.867$  know from all around the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

00:40:26.870 --> 00:40:29.530 so rare disease but have been trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00{:}40{:}29.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.274$  to get us selves and so that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00:40:32.274 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.642$  can study this in more patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00{:}40{:}33.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36.338$  And what's important is can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00:40:36.338 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.966$  identify suppressors of this as

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

00:40:38.966 --> 00:40:41.686 potential drug therapies down Rd?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00{:}40{:}41.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43.922$  And so I want to introduce you to the

 $00:40:43.922 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.249$  mitochondrial fission fusion cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872193747586207

 $00:40:45.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.390$  In case you don't know it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:40:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.494$  What we know is that fusion is regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:40:51.494 --> 00:40:53.830 some some of this fly protein names and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:40:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.970$  some of them are the same in mammals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:40:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.044$  but I'll try to remember to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:40:57.044 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.030$  give you the mammal names.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:40:58.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.285$  So what happens when mitochondrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:01.285 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.587$  damage accumulates?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.042$  It's thought to be dealt with

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:04.042 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.010$  in two different ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.830$  One possible mechanism is

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.650$  to dilute that damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00{:}41{:}08.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11.586$  It's sort of like bold Dow Chemical slogan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.418$  I believe it was solution to pollution

 $00:41:14.418 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.210$  is dilution so and this fusion event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:41:18.210 --> 00:41:21.690 Is regulated by Opal one or more facets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:41:21.690 --> 00:41:24.180 called in flies marfisi ortholog of

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:41:24.180 --> 00:41:27.839 M FM one and MF and two and humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:41:27.840 --> 00:41:30.153 So that's one mechanism to get rid of damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.532$  but the other approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:31.532 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.171$  and which is you know more sophisticated

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:41:34.171 --> 00:41:36.626 and more approaches to jettison

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:36.626 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.036$  the bad piece of mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:41:39.040 --> 00:41:41.852 So through a vision of it be cut off

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00{:}41{:}41.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43.840$  this piece of mitochondria that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:41:43.900 --> 00:41:46.217 eaten by an auto phagosome or clearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.266$  and the vision events are regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:41:48.266 --> 00:41:50.334 by proteins, including PR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:50.334 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.794$  P1 phase one and MF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667 00:41:53.800 --> 00:41:56.040 And so.

 $00:41:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.854$  We had identified Marfan flies or

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:41:58.854 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.384$  orthologue of MFN one and two as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:42:02.384 --> 00:42:04.736 gene that had a similar phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00{:}42{:}04.740 --> 00{:}42{:}10.232$  to VPS 13 that had that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:42:10.232 --> 00:42:13.056 suppress VPS 13D mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:42:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.196$  So the question we wanted to ask that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:42:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.800$  Is is this a potential genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:42:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.389$  suppressor of this phenotype?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:42:22.389 --> 00:42:24.378 Ultimately patient sounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:42:24.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.796$  So a few details. Mark and MFN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667 00:42:27.800 --> 00:42:29.210 2 but not. NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

00:42:29.210 --> 00:42:32.302 MFN, one physically interacts with VPS 13D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:42:32.302 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.528$  We've done this in our lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:42:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.118$  but also in plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:42:36.118 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.216$  Gingras identified MFN 2 as a strong

00:42:39.216 --> 00:42:42.370 physical interact with because 13D in

NOTE Confidence: 0.925551416666667

 $00:42:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.320$  human cells independently of our interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

00:42:46.620 --> 00:42:50.478 Importantly, Marfan MFN 2 accumulating VPS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

00:42:50.478 --> 00:42:52.284 13D Mutant cells, and the reason I

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:42:52.284 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.328$  think this could be important is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:42:54.328 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.124$  could be a potential biomarker for

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

00:42:56.124 --> 00:42:57.979 first task at some of these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:42:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.636$  and this is no shown to be true

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:00.636 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.890$  across a larger population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

00:43:02.890 --> 00:43:06.146 And interestingly, in our hands in the fly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00{:}43{:}06.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09.867$  if we miss Express Mark in this green cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.995$  this is sufficient to impair

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00{:}43{:}12.995 \to 00{:}43{:}15.495$  the clearance of mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.980$  So. In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

00:43:16.980 --> 00:43:19.200 using a combination of loss and

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.168$  gain of function genetics,

00:43:21.170 --> 00:43:25.068 all the data .2 MF N2RR marfin

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:25.068 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.600$  the fly as regulators of of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.880$  process and its pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.880$  So we wanted to ask whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.009$  knock down of Marfan the fly could

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

00:43:37.009 --> 00:43:39.358 suppress the phenotypes that we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.552$  so we'll want to find mitochondrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:42.552 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.070$  area in contact with ER.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:45.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.012$  So all of these are VPS 13D mutant cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00{:}43{:}50.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.404$  In these image transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00{:}43{:}52.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.300$  electron microscopy images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:43:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.477$  Lomax on the top higher Max on the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00{:}43{:}57.480 \longrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02.014$  And what you can see is that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:44:02.014 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.498$  these are controls with an RFP

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

00:44:04.498 --> 00:44:06.819 RNA I knockdown or marf RNA.

00:44:06.820 --> 00:44:08.857 I knockdown in the fly and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:44:08.857 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.745$  we can see is that we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:44:11.745 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.297$  to both suppress mitochondrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00:44:13.297 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.185$  area with Mark knock down as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.655386948

 $00{:}44{:}16.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.330$  as mitochondria and ER contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

00:44:20.870 --> 00:44:23.813 So then of course we wanted to ask whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

 $00:44:23.813 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.300$  this was true in the patient cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

 $00:44:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.916$  So again, all of these are the homozygous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

00:44:30.920 --> 00:44:32.920 These are the page all the patients else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

 $00:44:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.392$  but on the left is a mock siRNA in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

 $00:44:36.392 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.480$  the left and the right is a MFN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

 $00{:}44{:}39.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42.702$  two RNA I and what you can see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

00:44:42.702 --> 00:44:46.058 all quantified appropriately here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

 $00:44:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.676$  Knockdown of MF and two suppressed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897546818125

00:44:50.676 --> 00:44:54.290 mitochondria and ER proximity Phoenix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:44:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.684$  So I'm just gonna wrap up now.

 $00:44:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.470$  Hopefully time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:44:59.470 --> 00:45:02.590 Yeah, I look like I'm a good time

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.790$  and some of the conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.314$  So these were the questions I

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:05.314 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.208$  wanted to try to address and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:07.208 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.105$  think I've at least done this part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.838$  What genes are in the function

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:10.838 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.570$  in the VPS 13D pathway?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00{:}45{:}12.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.820$  Today I presented you information

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00{:}45{:}14.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.930$  about the MP1 Marvi also presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:45:17.930 --> 00:45:20.965 Think one is upstream of VPS 13 day and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00{:}45{:}20.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.735$  we're excited that we have multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00{:}45{:}22.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.000$  other factors in this pathway that

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.300$  we're processing characterizing. No.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.956$  Where is the primary defect in these cells?

 $00:45:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.470$  Well, but the thing that we come back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.497$  is this mitochondria and ER proximity and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.334$  Perhaps this is caused by something else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.908$  but this is the earlier what we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:42.908 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.648$  think is probably the earliest defect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:45:45.650 --> 00:45:48.620 How does V PS13D influence such

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:45:48.620 --> 00:45:50.105 diverse cellular processes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:45:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.850$  They answer is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:45:51.850 --> 00:45:54.748 It appears that this inter organelle

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:45:54.748 --> 00:45:58.770 communication is a big part of what's why

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:45:58.770 --> 00:46:02.345 we're impacting multiple cell processes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:46:02.350 --> 00:46:04.110 And can we identify suppressors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.269$  so I presented you data on Marfan MFN two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.945$  Of course we're interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:09.945 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.220$  other possible suppressors and but

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.565$  right now this is the full lead.

 $00:46:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.130$  The one that's best characterized in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.378$  So just a more global overall model

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:46:22.378 --> 00:46:25.306 shown here is am I to phagosome

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:25.306 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.610$  information that I showed you earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:27.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.745$  We had originally identified VPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:29.745 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.650$  13D and we're thinking about it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.750$  a tough guy cargo receptor that

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.552$  might bridge between ubiquitinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:36.552 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.648$  proteins on mitochondria and and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.190$  Forming faga force that form

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

00:46:42.190 --> 00:46:43.714 out of phagosomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.008$  we think actually this could be the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00{:}46{:}46.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49.676$  but we you know we're leaning

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00{:}46{:}49.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.120$  toward other possible models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.052$  Miss 13 today seems to be acting

 $00:46:54.052 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.658$  more earlier than than at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.528$  This phase that have been defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:46:58.528 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.406$  by classical. This actors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:47:00.406 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.154$  It seems like it's also potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:47:03.154 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.629$  affecting the the fission pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:47:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.912$  And if it is affecting vision

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:47:08.912 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.006$  of mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:47:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.740$  Our data suggests that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:47:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.109$  downstream of of activities of Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937386631428571

 $00:47:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.238$  P1 and MFF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

00:47:18.500 --> 00:47:20.257 An important thing is that it appears

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:20.257 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.445$  that the MP one is upstream of EPS 13D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.108$  or at least is influencing VPF

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:25.108 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.310$  13 deactivity in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.038$  And we have also and it's a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:31.038 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.831$  interesting relationship between the

 $00:47:32.831 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.058$  test 13 endoplasmic or actively tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

00:47:38.060 --> 00:47:40.454 So with that, let me just conclude

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:40.454 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.767$  by thanking the people that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:42.767 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.787$  contributed to this study I

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:44.787 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.120$  mentioned at the beginning of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

00:47:47.120 --> 00:47:49.298 impact of Senkai and Allison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.280$  the work that I showed you was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.822$  almost, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

00:47:51.822 --> 00:47:54.906 largely work of James Shen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:47:54.906 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.333$  finally get to see all of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00{:}47{:}58.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00.226$  electron microscopy analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:48:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.862$  Happy, thankful enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

00:48:01.862 --> 00:48:04.468 Have Tina. In my group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

00:48:04.468 --> 00:48:05.986 I fantastic collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:48:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.970$  This is actually an incomplete list.

 $00:48:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.350$  These are the people that were involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:48:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.947$  in the studies that I showed you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:48:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.116$  And with that I'm happy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.730454781875

 $00:48:15.116 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.199$  take your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719134098571429

 $00:48:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.660$  It was wonderful. Really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719134098571429

 $00:48:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.610$  really great talk. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719134098571429

 $00:48:27.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.026$  Yeah, we'll do questions by hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719134098571429

 $00:48:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.255$  so why don't we start with why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:48:32.910 --> 00:48:35.730 Eric, this is along from Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:48:35.730 --> 00:48:36.966 My lab study a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:48:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.325$  but I kandariya so I felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:48:38.325 --> 00:48:41.219 that when you mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:48:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.590$  There are those medical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:48:43.590 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.864$  Andrea was very amazing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:48:46.864 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.340$  mythological change actually functional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:48:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.458$  Can you expand a little bit

 $00:48:51.458 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.870$  on that part please?

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:48:52.870 --> 00:48:54.742 On the functionality, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:48:54.742 --> 00:48:57.516 so I'll tell you mostly negative data I

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:48:57.516 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.510$  guess is the way you would interpret it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:48:59.510 --> 00:49:01.880 We've you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:49:01.880 --> 00:49:03.716 Alright, I'll I'll preface this by

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:49:03.716 --> 00:49:06.129 saying my next door neighbor is a man

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:06.129 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.240$  named Cole Haynes and Cole Haynes is

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00{:}49{:}08.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}09.815$  an expert on mitochondrial function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.244$  so we you know had a drink

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:49:12.244 --> 00:49:14.004 coffee with Cole you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.326$  probably at least every other day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00{:}49{:}16.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.764$  And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00{:}49{:}17.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.632$  we've run these cells through seahorse

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:20.632 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.927$  and different types of measures and.

00:49:23.930 --> 00:49:24.803 They look functional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:24.803 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.549$  you know they they don't they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.071$  We don't really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:28.071 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.099$  Although their function is

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:30.099 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.670$  slightly altered by the typical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.555$  Challenges that are used either

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:34.555 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.990$  in seahorse or in other assays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.895$  We don't see any dramatic shifts in

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:49:39.895 --> 00:49:42.146 their ability to undergo respiration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333 00:49:42.146 --> 00:49:43.090 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:49:43.090 --> 00:49:45.806 and you know we haven't done thorough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.626$  you know metabolite profiling etc on

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

 $00:49:49.626 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.379$  these cells and but they appear that

NOTE Confidence: 0.589322213333333

00:49:53.379 --> 00:49:55.394 mitochondria seem to be functional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872667167777778

00:49:57.850 --> 00:49:59.443 You know when you get a phenotype like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872667167777778

 $00:49:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.935$  there are many factors that

00:50:00.935 --> 00:50:02.400 contribute to it, including you

NOTE Confidence: 0.872667167777778

 $00{:}50{:}02.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.110$  know I alluded to fission fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87266716777778

 $00:50:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.242$  but you could also have mitochondrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.872667167777778

 $00:50:06.242 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.434$  Biogenesis could contribute to it and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

00:50:11.470 --> 00:50:14.150 And all I can say is we haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

 $00:50:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.750$  completed those analysis of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

00:50:15.750 --> 00:50:18.276 say by Genesis using mutations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

 $00:50:18.276 \dashrightarrow 00:50:21.508$  say like GC alpha type of mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

 $00:50:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.560$  But our preliminary data suggested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

 $00:50:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.500$  That's not contributing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

 $00:50:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.806$  What I call my T cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

 $00{:}50{:}29.806 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.542$  Yeah, interesting I I found this

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

 $00{:}50{:}32.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.358$  quite interesting that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

 $00:50:34.358 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.869$  appeared to be divergance that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

00:50:36.869 --> 00:50:39.486 mitochondria is not entirely for

00:50:39.486 --> 00:50:42.670 biogenics is do somehow trigger the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928996654166667

 $00{:}50{:}42.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.450$  self killing signal that require

NOTE Confidence: 0.791229168

 $00:50:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.630$  autophagy to eat it up. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00:50:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.016$  there's some interesting aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00:50:48.016 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.224$  Yeah, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

00:50:49.224 --> 00:50:51.816 Yeah, I'll just expand upon that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00:50:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.420$  But we're going on to the next question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00:50:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.394$  Say you know one one thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00{:}50{:}55.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57.430$  to consider is that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00:50:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.510$  Metacrawler can also be signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00{:}50{:}59.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.590$  scaffolds or could influence other

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

00:51:01.652 --> 00:51:03.607 signaling scaffolds so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00:51:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.585$  I think, that the ramifications

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00:51:05.585 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.356$  could be quite broad or we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00:51:08.356 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.510$  to think about this in its

NOTE Confidence: 0.778893304

 $00{:}51{:}10.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.169$  broader context as possible.

 $00:51:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.950$  Cool, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.599589576

 $00{:}51{:}16.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20.660$ Yeah, you said that then you spoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.599589576

00:51:20.660 --> 00:51:22.210 about the suppression by Matthews

NOTE Confidence: 0.599589576

 $00:51:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.684$  in Matthews is suppressed just in

NOTE Confidence: 0.599589576

 $00:51:25.684 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.000$  larger mitochondria or globally

NOTE Confidence: 0.599589576

00:51:28.090 --> 00:51:33.120 suggest as suppresses everything. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.599589576

 $00:51:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.750$  Yeah, that's a great question. Pietro so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902848806923077

 $00{:}51{:}38.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40.559$  I just I just thinking in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.902848806923077

 $00:51:40.559 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.286$  head what day do we have it it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.902848806923077

 $00:51:43.286 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.618$  It certainly suppresses the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902848806923077

00:51:45.618 --> 00:51:49.590 mitochondrial sides. Uhm? I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

00:51:51.730 --> 00:51:54.808 Yes, I I think it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00{:}51{:}54.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57.648$  I don't think it actually is

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00{:}51{:}57.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59.540$  influencing the cell size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:51:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.875$  Per say. But it does have some

00:52:03.875 --> 00:52:06.389 effect on autophagy, so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:06.389 \dashrightarrow 00:52:09.518$  I think the the cell size measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00{:}52{:}09.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.652$  I think it's probably there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00{:}52{:}12.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.736$  multiple factors that contribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:13.736 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.140$  to cell size, obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00.52:15.140 \longrightarrow 00.52:19.624$  and so it may be through, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:19.624 \dashrightarrow 00:52:22.928$  some partial effect on on cell size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

00:52:22.930 --> 00:52:26.070 And it's not surprisingly valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00{:}52{:}26.070 --> 00{:}52{:}27.830$  So there's something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

00:52:27.830 --> 00:52:29.590 It's something beyond the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.470$  mitochondrial aspect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.506$  There's no question that. VPS 13D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.198$  Effects more than just the large amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00{:}52{:}36.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38.102$  of kandariya based on our analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

00:52:38.102 --> 00:52:38.668 but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.334$  many probably need to do more in that.

00:52:41.340 --> 00:52:44.320 But since you mentioned self size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.672$  what do you think is behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:46.672 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.740$  the increase in cell size?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.420$  Yeah, that's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.206$  You know people are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:52.206 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.204$  especially when I first started talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

00:52:54.204 --> 00:52:56.025 about these phenotypes and that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

00:52:56.025 --> 00:52:58.310 know people said oh what you know maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

00:52:58.310 --> 00:52:59.254 Maybe Plaza, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:52:59.254 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.670$  people went as far as single.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00{:}53{:}00.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02.700$  Maybe plasma membranes is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:53:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.730$  she used to form autophagosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

00:53:04.730 --> 00:53:06.350 Or maybe I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:53:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.230$  I honestly don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:53:08.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.050$  Maybe maybe it's about lipid redistribution.

 $00:53:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.055$  I have no idea honestly and somebody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00{:}53{:}16.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.950$  Had made a suggestion once that

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:53:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.149$  the only way that what they said

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:53:20.149 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.172$  is the only way that you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:53:22.246 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.318$  make that big a change in cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

00:53:24.318 --> 00:53:27.090 size is through water plant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:53:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.336$  So they told me I should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.828696285

 $00:53:28.336 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.450$  looking at a performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}53{:}31.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.210$  I honestly don't know Pietro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00:53:33.210 --> 00:53:36.082 I think it's a fascinating biology and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:53:36.082 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.269$  a great surrogate screening marker for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00:53:39.270 --> 00:53:41.289 'cause it's simple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:53:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.066$  but I am reluctant to to sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:53:45.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.770$  Say that all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:53:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.790$  things are interconnected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00:53:47.790 --> 00:53:49.770 Some of the quick question,

00:53:49.770 --> 00:53:52.550 since you mentioned water possibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:53:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.756$  just as welling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:53:53.756 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.346$  If you look at the marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:53:56.346 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.650$  for example ER marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}53{:}58.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.169$  do you see a more dispersed ER or

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:01.169 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.790$  do you see the same density there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.540$  Micro ceratitis beside the blast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.460$  Yeah so we we see so I should and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}54{:}10.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14.056$  should have said this throughout the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.090$  Before the signal that activates

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.908$  the autophagy signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00:54:18.910 --> 00:54:20.870 In the different mutants that I've shown you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.826$  they all look identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}54{:}22.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.293$  Side-by-side mutant didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:24.293 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.320$  control before that signal.

00:54:26.320 --> 00:54:27.688 After autophagy is activated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}54{:}27.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.330$  there's a big change in cell structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}54{:}30.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33.198$  and including that the ER structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00:54:33.198 --> 00:54:35.110 starts to change dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.001$  The mutant cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00.54:36.001 --> 00.54:38.080 most of them you know it depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00:54:38.146 --> 00:54:40.169 on the mutant that I've shown you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.828$  but many of the mutant cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00:54:42.828 --> 00:54:44.600 have similar ER structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.800$  Before and after the induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.326$  About half a GI see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}54{:}50.326 \to 00{:}54{:}52.058$  but there are morphological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.509$  There's no question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00:54:53.509 --> 00:54:56.890 There are dramatic yard changes taking place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:54:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.190$  Very dramatic yard changes taking place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:55:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.930$  And so I think a big part of the puzzle

 $00:55:04.034 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.405$  is going to be or the solution to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}55{:}07.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09.258$  puzzle I presented as mitochondria

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}55{:}09.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11.430$  'cause it's the most obvious phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

00:55:11.430 --> 00:55:12.925 But I actually think probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00{:}55{:}12.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}14.761$  that the solution to our problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:55:14.761 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.146$  is coming from the ER,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00.55:16.150 \longrightarrow 00.55:17.154$  but it's my instinct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863766652

 $00:55:17.154 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.158$  It's not based on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:55:20.890 --> 00:55:23.858 Yeah, a complete data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:55:23.858 --> 00:55:25.814 Let's say that. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:55:25.814 --> 00:55:28.989 I I can say Pietro, that it's sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:55:28.989 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.880$  of a side project with EPS 13D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:55:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.876$  We have been very actively studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}55{:}35.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40.206$  ER and in ER changes in themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:55:40.210 --> 00:55:44.830 And, and we're particularly interested in

 $00:55:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.694$  and this is something it's like my new

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}55{:}47.694 \to 00{:}55{:}50.240$  seminar that I haven't quite prepared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:55:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.592$  We think this is also an excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:55:52.592 \dashrightarrow 00:55:55.039$  model for PR specific clearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:55:55.040 --> 00:55:58.081 And so, and that's forthcoming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:55:58.081 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.916$  you know that's the that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:55:59.920 --> 00:56:01.726 So there's one postdoc in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:56:01.730 --> 00:56:05.188 It's very active working in that space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.690$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}56{:}06.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10.338$  So I'm interested in the tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}56{:}10.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.770$  specificity in the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.962$  And I'm a little bit confused about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:15.962 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.951$  essay that you use or the the screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:18.951 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.560$  of the broad panel of cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:56:21.560 --> 00:56:24.808 Because you said the original VSP 13,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.226$  he was showed lethality in those cell lines.

 $00:56:28.230 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.502$  Is that correct?

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173 00:56:29.502 --> 00:56:29.926 Yes. NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:29.926 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.334$  Well, so so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:31.334 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.794$  the initial papers that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}56{:}33.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.570$  published on Gene essentiality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.426$  They're two parallel papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:56:38.426 --> 00:56:40.746 They're both published in science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:56:40.750 --> 00:56:43.536 One from the Nki in the Netherlands

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:43.536 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.440$  and one from the Broad Broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}56{:}46.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.720$  Whitehead into collaboration from

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:56:48.720 --> 00:56:51.789 the Zhang and Sabatini Labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.674$  When they published their list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:53.674 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.950$  essential genes and these were in so called,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}56{:}55.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58.170$ you know more normal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:56:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.826$  you know.

 $00{:}56{:}58.826 \longrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01.190$  So he lo is excluded but but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}57{:}01.190 --> 00{:}57{:}02.390$  can get those cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}57{:}02.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.525$  Their VPS 13D was among the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:04.525 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.167$  is I think it was one of the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:07.167 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.129$  ten jeans for for viability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:09.129 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.469$  those cells as they increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:11.469 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.744$  number of cells so that I think I

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:13.744 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.292$  remember the statistic I showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}57{:}15.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17.028$  at that point we made the graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.820$  I think it was over 300 cell lines that

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.809$  had been analyzed for gene essentiality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.410$  The significance of the PS13

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.010$  on down some but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:57:26.010 --> 00:57:27.380 we're looking at transform cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:57:27.380 --> 00:57:29.144 And I showed you data from yela.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}57{:}29.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.590$  We were lucky we started in.

 $00:57:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.378$  He LA for mammalian cells because

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:32.378 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.637$  one of the few cell lines where

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:57:34.637 --> 00:57:36.629 they seem to be perfectly fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:57:36.630 --> 00:57:38.758 Without VPS 13th day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:38.758 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.450$  It is remarkable that the phenotype is

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.520$  so limited to the OR maybe it's not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.356$  but it's from what you describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}57{:}46.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}48.600$  the least to the nervous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:57:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.530$  Yet these patients, Zoomer yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}57{:}51.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54.314$  but that I think that's also a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}57{:}54.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56.723$  bit complicated by the fact that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}57{:}56.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58.745$  know the patient alleles must be

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}57{:}58.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01.636$  weak alleles based on the you know I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:58:01.636 --> 00:58:03.896 I'm not a human geneticist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.673$  which to me is a bit of a misnomer.

00:58:05.680 --> 00:58:06.520 Anyway, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:58:06.520 --> 00:58:08.200 I don't know how you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.390$  experiments breeding humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.856$  But but it's a little bit of an insight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

00:58:11.860 --> 00:58:15.070 Genesis Joe B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:15.070 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.500$  You know the Margit Burmeister

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:17.500 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.444$  who identified these mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.548$  the original mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}58{:}20.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.744$  she said that the original family

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:22.744 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.585$  that they studied those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}58{:}24.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.355$  probably much weaker alleles based

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:26.355 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.864$  on where they are in the VPS 13D

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:28.864 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.999$  sequence compared to the pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00{:}58{:}30.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33.489$  alleles that have been identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.560$  But none of them are probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.48135173

 $00:58:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.985$  true nuts and and so they have

 $00:58:37.985 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.246$  to be by necessity in our mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.846710823478261

 $00:58:40.321 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.010$  weren't validates that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846710823478261

00:58:42.010 --> 00:58:44.410 That you know the patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.846710823478261

 $00:58:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.492$  probably there's complexity in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846710823478261

 $00:58:46.492 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.567$  fact that they're probably weaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846710823478261

 $00:58:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.934 \text{ Yes}$ , I see. Cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846710823478261

 $00:58:51.934 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.004$  do they have more widespread

NOTE Confidence: 0.846710823478261

 $00:58:54.004 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.170$  phenotypes beyond the nervous system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91425672

 $00:58:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.438$  That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.667$  I I, I'll have to say I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.540$  but as far as I know no OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

00:59:12.540 --> 00:59:15.452 As far as I know they don't, but actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00{:}59{:}15.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}19.204$  that's a great question and I will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

00:59:19.210 --> 00:59:22.468 Aye. Yeah, So what I can address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.654$  Let me say this to try

 $00:59:24.654 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.110$  to address your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.140$  There's a very interactive family in

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.950$  Australia and and their son was diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.889$  I think at the age of 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.924$  so it's not one of these very strong cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.042$  but he's stronger than the than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:40.042 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.240$  Burmeister alleles that I talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.960$  And. He is normal other than

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.934$  some movement difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.700$  In fact, he's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

00:59:50.700 --> 00:59:53.114 He's a, you know, a plus student and

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:53.114 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.579$  he's you know all other factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $00:59:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.878$  So somebody would probably stronger alleles

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

00:59:57.878 --> 01:00:01.179 than the than the initial patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:01.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.670$  but still doesn't have any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:00:02.670 --> 01:00:05.256 I'm not part of any immune

01:00:05.256 --> 01:00:07.236 misregulation or any other thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:07.236 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.840$  but I think probably these pediatric cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:00:09.903 --> 01:00:12.735 which there aren't a huge number of examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:12.740 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.855$  but there are a couple and and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:14.855 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.830$  of those families are quite interactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:16.830 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.140$  They we might be able to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:19.140 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.969$  out some more information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01{:}00{:}20.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}25.116$  Thank you. Thank you, motivated me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:00:25.120 --> 01:00:26.976 Edit just to clarify, what did you say?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:26.980 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.108$  The family in Australia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:28.108 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.580$  What is the only symptom has only the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:30.580 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.460$  clinical it's same, it's it's a, it's it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:00:33.460 --> 01:00:34.540 It's an attacks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:34.540 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.915$  Yeah it's a it's a loss of motor control

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:37.915 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.886$  it's started with gate difficulty but

01:00:40.886 --> 01:00:43.464 from talking to Margaret who talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:43.464 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.970$  more with these families than I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:00:45.970 --> 01:00:49.040 Uhm? She said that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:00:49.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.226$  she thinks the initial problem that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:00:52.226 --> 01:00:55.894 these individuals face is this, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:00:55.894 --> 01:00:58.429 reading. And tracking you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:00:58.430 --> 01:01:00.728 So visual tracking she thinks might

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:01:00.728 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.260$  be the earliest phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:01:02.260 --> 01:01:03.870 So things like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

01:01:03.870 --> 01:01:05.586 in America that might be catching

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01{:}01{:}05.586 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}07.520$ a football and in Australia might

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:01:07.520 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.710$  be failing to catch an Australian

NOTE Confidence: 0.941979891111111

 $01:01:09.710 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.440$  rules football.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912938137692308

01:01:12.930 --> 01:01:14.964 But you know the reading difficulties

NOTE Confidence: 0.912938137692308

01:01:14.964 --> 01:01:16.616 losing your place when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.912938137692308

 $01:01:16.616 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.512$  reading text on a line of a book

 $01:01:18.512 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.448$  is one of the most common first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912938137692308

 $01{:}01{:}20.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}22.130$  Symptoms that these individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.912938137692308

 $01:01:22.130 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.650$  all seem to have in common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912938137692308

 $01:01:24.650 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.415$  but then it turns into gate difficulties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

 $01:01:32.870 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.890$  So it does seem nervous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

01:01:33.890 --> 01:01:35.222 system restricted but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

01:01:35.222 --> 01:01:37.886 and I'm obviously not a neurologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

01:01:37.886 --> 01:01:40.560 pietros more of a neurologist than I am,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

 $01:01:40.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.918$  I know that. So to speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

 $01:01:44.920 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.334$  I mean it be it would actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

 $01:01:46.334 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.569$  be really great to get and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

01:01:47.569 --> 01:01:48.739 there is actually a you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

 $01{:}01{:}48.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}50.999$  for those of us, those of us that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

01:01:50.999 --> 01:01:52.478 interested in VPS 13 specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

 $01:01:52.480 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.250$  there's a forum that meets

 $01:01:54.250 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.020$  every three months I believe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

 $01:01:56.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.620$  To discuss these things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

01:01:57.620 --> 01:01:58.900 many Clement clinicians attend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

 $01:01:58.900 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.685$  so that would be a great place

NOTE Confidence: 0.93070247

 $01:02:00.685 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.470$  to ask those those questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8455219

01:02:09.260 --> 01:02:12.276 Great if I could ask just one other thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

 $01:02:12.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.470$  if I got this correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

 $01:02:15.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.918$  I was wondering the

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

01:02:16.918 --> 01:02:18.366 connection between the Mytoi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

01:02:18.370 --> 01:02:21.766 our contacts and the mighty Kandariya.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

01:02:21.770 --> 01:02:23.751 If I if I follow it correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

 $01:02:23.751 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.620$  the mutation or when you have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

01:02:25.677 --> 01:02:27.838 loss of function you have increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

01:02:27.840 --> 01:02:30.584 my to ER context which we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

 $01:02:30.584 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.885$  has to do with vision and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.971788674

 $01{:}02{:}34.885 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}36.905$  increased size of mitochondria.

01:02:36.910 --> 01:02:38.440 How do you put that together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

01:02:39.910 --> 01:02:43.242 OK. Yeah. You had to bring it

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

01:02:43.242 --> 01:02:44.890 up now it's it's actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

01:02:44.890 --> 01:02:46.886 It's a. It's a great question

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

 $01:02:46.886 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.190$  that is a conundrum and I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

01:02:49.190 --> 01:02:49.823 I'm not really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

 $01:02:49.823 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.490$  I don't have a great answer to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

 $01:02:51.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.280$  I'll start and so I'll have to ramble a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

 $01:02:55.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.290$  So the one the one connection

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

01:02:57.290 --> 01:02:58.295 the one connection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

 $01:02:58.300 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.668$  is it your everything you stated is correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

 $01:03:00.668 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.237$  way the field reads is that mitochondria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

 $01{:}03{:}03.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}05.880$  ER contacts lead to increased vision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

 $01:03:05.880 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.034$  Let's go bolts and many great

NOTE Confidence: 0.809338853333333

 $01:03:08.034 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.389$  scientists are doing this kind of work.

 $01:03:13.170 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.162$  And so I saw a talk by Jody

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:15.162 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.047$  Newman who works in this space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:17.050 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.830$  and it's fantastic scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:18.830 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.526$  And she said that she believes

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

01:03:21.526 --> 01:03:23.786 there's something about quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01{:}03{:}23.786 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}25.930$  mitochondria in your contacts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:25.930 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.276$  it's the quality of those contacts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

01:03:28.280 --> 01:03:29.820 That drives certain biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:29.820 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.130$  and so even though we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

01:03:32.207 --> 01:03:34.417 greater proximity and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01{:}03{:}34.420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}36.000$  I welcome Pietro jumping in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

01:03:36.000 --> 01:03:37.950 at anytime because I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:37.950 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.050$  he's as he's more of an expert

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:40.050 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.710$  in this space than I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:41.710 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.630$  But Jody implied that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:43.630 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.030$  The quality of these contacts

 $01:03:46.030 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.635$  is a big part of what drives

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:49.635 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.150$  the mitochondrial changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:51.150 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.808$  And and you know, the I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:53.810 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.124$  Yeah, I don't think I've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:55.124 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.000$  this data published yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:56.000 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.046$  but she had presented this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:03:58.046 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.206$  the context of these quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01{:}04{:}00.206 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}03.614$  contacts or related to sites of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

01:04:03.614 --> 01:04:05.930 mitochondrial DNA replication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:04:05.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.926$  And so I'm fascinated by this concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:04:08.926 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.631$  of what makes a good and a bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

01:04:12.631 --> 01:04:16.060 mitochondrial country, ER, contact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01{:}04{:}16.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}18.256$  So may be more is not better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

01:04:18.260 --> 01:04:20.076 I think that's the simple way to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:04:20.076 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.296$  about it, but I frankly Sam, it's it's.

01:04:23.300 --> 01:04:25.380 It's a dichotomy in in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:04:25.380 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.655$  in the logic and it's it's something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

01:04:27.660 --> 01:04:28.968 It must be telling us something

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:04:28.968 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.840$  but I don't I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:04:29.840 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.302 I \text{ mean I},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.861749197142857

 $01:04:30.302 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.610$  I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

 $01:04:30.620 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.680$  you're probably on to something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

 $01:04:31.680 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.190$  'cause I I've come across.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

 $01:04:33.190 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.281$  I ask this 'cause I've come across this

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

 $01:04:35.281 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.363$  in other places where the singling

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

 $01{:}04{:}37.363 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}39.778$  is opposite what you might expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

01:04:39.780 --> 01:04:42.570 Yeah, yeah. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

 $01:04:42.570 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.970$  so it probably does have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

 $01:04:43.970 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.683$  to do with the quality of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

01:04:45.683 --> 01:04:47.210 exact contact and how things

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

 $01{:}04{:}47.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}48.930$  get rearranged and whether it's

 $01:04:48.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:50.650$  positive or negative singles that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923877

 $01:04:50.709 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.299$  are missing from that contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

 $01:04:52.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.198$  Yeah, so I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

01:04:53.198 --> 01:04:54.870 I don't want to be protein centric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

 $01:04:54.870 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.898$  but maybe you know it takes

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

 $01:04:56.898 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.679$  something to assemble the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

 $01:04:58.679 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.872$  group of proteins to activate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

 $01{:}05{:}00.872 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}03.420$  right set of events and maybe the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

 $01:05:03.502 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.106$  activation of in in some sub domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

 $01:05:06.106 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.522$  then leads to some change in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

 $01:05:09.522 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.020$  domains of of interaction but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791899720909091

 $01:05:12.020 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.110$  Well. In any

NOTE Confidence: 0.940390470909091

 $01{:}05{:}14.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}15.660$  event, it was really fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.940390470909091

 $01{:}05{:}15.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}17.200$  and I really appreciate your

NOTE Confidence: 0.940390470909091

01:05:17.260 --> 01:05:18.718 talk and thank you for coming

 $01:05:18.718 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.400$  to deliver a grand rounds today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940390470909091

 $01{:}05{:}20.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22.122$  We're bid after the hour and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.940390470909091

 $01:05:22.122 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.774$  think people are heading on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.940390470909091

01:05:23.774 --> 01:05:25.560 their next meeting, so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824668408

01:05:25.570 --> 01:05:27.760 understandably thank you very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824668408

01:05:27.760 --> 01:05:30.315 Sam, and thanks to all the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.824668408

 $01:05:30.315 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.547$  that came and also the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.824668408

01:05:32.547 --> 01:05:34.997 who I met with was my choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824668408

 $01{:}05{:}35.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}37.400$  Alright, we'll see you later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824668408

01:05:37.400 --> 01:05:41.970 Bye see you soon. By Pietro. Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906393735

01:05:46.710 --> 01:05:49.680 Thank you. Thanks, Susanna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906393735

01:05:49.680 --> 01:05:51.810 thank you, thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89168669777778

01:05:54.330 --> 01:05:55.786 My pleasure, my pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891686697777778

 $01{:}05{:}55.786 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}58.446$  I hope it was clear as always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891686697777778

 $01:05:58.446 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.118$  thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891686697777778

01:06:00.120 --> 01:06:01.976 Thank you Sir and have a good day.

01:06:01.980 --> 01:06:03.230 I appreciate all you did.