WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:11:19" NOTE recognizability:0.849

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:04.070 --> 00:00:05.942 Well, good afternoon everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:05.942 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.750$ and thank you for joining us

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:08.835 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.420$ today in pathology grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:11.420 --> 00:00:14.031 It is my great honor to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:14.031 --> 00:00:16.300 our grand round speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}00{:}16.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.682$ Doctor Dhanpat Jain and I'd like

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}00{:}18.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.985$ to go into some detail about Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:21.985 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.160$ Jane and his many accomplishments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.904$ So Doctor Jane went to medical school at

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:27.904 \dashrightarrow 00:00:31.140$ Mysore Medical College in Mysore, Karnataka.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}00{:}31.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.530$ Sorry if I murder in the name India

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.872$ and did his pathology training at

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:35.872 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.872$ the Institute of Medical Education

00:00:37.872 --> 00:00:40.400 and Research at Chandigarh, India.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}00{:}40.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.274$ Before coming to Yale in 1995,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:44.274 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.718$ first as a GI pathology fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:47.720 --> 00:00:49.452 Recruited by Brian West,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:49.452 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.617$ who many of you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.412$ And this was only a year after

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:53.412 --> 00:00:55.451 I had joined the faculty in 1994

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:55.451 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.677$ as a GI and liver pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:57.680 --> 00:00:59.549 When Dan Pat hit the scene as

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:59.549 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.840$ a GI pathology fellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.700$ he was already a highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.844$ accomplished diagnostician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.810$ And we it was immediately recognized as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.977$ highly valuable asset to the department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:11.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.980$ He he joined the AP CP Anatomic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.882$ clinical pathology residency after his

 $00:01:18.882 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.674$ one year fellowship and that is was

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:21.674 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.490$ a four year residency at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.668$ However, when we had an opening on

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:01:26.668 --> 00:01:30.046 the GI faculty arrived sort of almost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667 00:01:30.046 --> 00:01:30.890 you know. NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.256$ One year before he was to finish

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:01:33.256 --> 00:01:35.449 the CP component of his training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.794$ I and I think it was me convinced

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}01{:}37.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.327$ him to cut short his CP training

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:40.327 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.823$ to join the faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:01:41.830 --> 00:01:44.042 I basically begged him to do this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}01{:}44.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.754$ told John Morrow that chair at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}01{:}45.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.676$ time that this is what we needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:47.676 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.240$ do and Dan Pat joined the faculty in 1999.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.652$ The rest is history,

 $00:01:52.652 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.770$ so over the past 22 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:01:54.770 --> 00:01:55.354 Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:55.354 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.026$ Jane has been and is a dynamic diagnostician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:02:00.030 --> 00:02:01.082 Outstanding educator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:01.082 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.186$ clinical researcher and thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:03.186 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.695$ leader in the field of GI and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:06.695 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.027$ especially liver pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.942$ He has been a professor of pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:02:10.942 --> 00:02:13.060 and internal medicine since 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.074$ and graciously accepted the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}02{:}16.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.702$ directorship of both the program in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:02:18.702 --> 00:02:21.400 GI Pathology and the GI pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.010$ Fellowship from me in 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667 00:02:25.010 --> 00:02:25.758 In 2011, NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:02:25.758 --> 00:02:28.002 when I stepped back from those

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:28.002 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.127$ rules for a period of time.

00:02:30.130 --> 00:02:33.469 And he brought a vision and energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:33.469 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.137$ to the goals of our unit that was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.038$ Successful and exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.156$ With seemingly inexhaustible energy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}02{:}42.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.272$ Doctor Jane pursues excellence

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:44.272 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.339$ in all that he does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:02:46.340 --> 00:02:49.460 both in academic and administrative roles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.788$ to highlight some of his accomplishments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.674$ They include winning three

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:02:53.674 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.558$ teaching awards from Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}02{:}55.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.300$ 2 from pathology residents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}02{:}57.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00.620$ and one from the clinical GI Fellows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}03{:}00.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.425$ He has been twice nominated

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:03:02.425 --> 00:03:04.802 for the David Leffell Award for

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:04.802 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.796$ Clinical Excellence very recently.

 $00:03:06.796 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.247$ He is well known for his diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}03{:}10.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.719$ expertise being a go to person

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:12.719 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.190$ to whom to show difficult cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:03:15.190 --> 00:03:18.100 And with respect to academic focus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.158$ has a love really for all things

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:20.158 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.309$ liver that is reflected in a body

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:03:22.309 --> 00:03:24.609 of work on the pathology of chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:24.609 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.749$ liver diseases and tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:26.749 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.461$ especially on liver fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.470$ about which we will hear today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}03{:}31.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.014$ But in addition to this focus on liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.830$ for which he's widely known,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.900$ Dan Pat is that rare breed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:03:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.480$ Almost a throwback throwback to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:03:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.632$ earlier era in that he maintains his

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:03:43.632 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.338$ curiosity about all aspects of GI,

 $00:03:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.292$ medicine and pathology such that his

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:03:49.292 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.290$ publications and lectures span the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:03:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.675$ breadth of inflammatory and neoplastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:03:54.675 --> 00:03:57.358 diseases of the entire GI tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:03:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.010$ He is also widely successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.600$ collaborator with preeminent

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.938$ scientists at Yale and beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}04{:}03.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.027$ And he has taken on the organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:07.027 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.859$ and senior editorial duties

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:08.859 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.989$ of two major GI textbooks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:10.990 --> 00:04:13.000 As a recognized key opinion

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.608$ leader in liver pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}04{:}14.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.997$ Dr Jane has been an invited speaker

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}04{:}16.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.276$ in most continents and is soon to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:19.276 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.058$ become the President of the Hans

00:04:21.123 --> 00:04:23.207 Proper Hepatic Pathology Society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.744$ as well as serving on the national

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:25.744 --> 00:04:27.306 newly formed National Accreditation

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:27.306 --> 00:04:29.748 Program for Rectal Cancer and serving

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:29.748 --> 00:04:32.618 as a member of the Cancer Committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:32.618 --> 00:04:34.982 of the College of American Pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.496$ He's also on the editorial Board of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:37.496 --> 00:04:39.795 Human Pathology and numerous other

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:39.795 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.099$ prestigious pathology cancer journals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:42.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.278$ But just so you don't think he is all

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:44.278 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.379$ work and no play on a personal note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.670$ Dan Pat is also known for his

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.290$ sometimes sharp sense of humor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.270$ His many fun parties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.440$ And he's an excellent cook,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:05:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.744$ and one of his hidden talents is painting,

 $00:05:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.367$ and I've had the privilege of seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:05:05.367 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.117$ some of his works over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

00:05:09.420 --> 00:05:11.079 In addition to all of this though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

00:05:11.080 --> 00:05:13.636 Dan Pat has been a reliable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.844$ available and extremely hardworking

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:15.844 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.910$ colleague of mine for almost 3 decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.198$ And in many ways we have grown up

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00{:}05{:}23.198 \to 00{:}05{:}25.344$ together establishing our careers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:25.344 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.834$ raising children at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00{:}05{:}27.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.354$ And for that I am very grateful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.944$ So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00{:}05{:}32.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.148$ I now give you doctor Dhanpat Jain who

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00{:}05{:}36.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.140$ will speak to us today on liver fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00{:}05{:}39.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.948$ past, present and future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:05:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.538$ Thank you Maria for that really

 $00:05:45.538 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.970$ nice and elaborate introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:05:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.010$ and the very kind remarks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:05:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.224$ It's been really a great honor

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:05:53.224 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.489$ and privilege to work with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

00:05:55.490 --> 00:05:58.073 GI team here worked with you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:05:58.073 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.845$ and you know be a leader for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:00.845 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.912$ team of four past many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:04.912 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.164$ And I also knew when I took up

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:08.164 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.864$ the leadership from you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:09.864 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.108$ you know this is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:12.108 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.478$ is going to be challenging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:14.478 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.650$ and it was going to be hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.862$ But having great colleagues and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:20.862 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.188$ talented team members made it all easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

00:06:25.190 --> 00:06:27.818 And today when I look back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.571$ I feel this was one of the nicest

 $00:06:30.571 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.459$ things or fun thing that I was able

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:33.459 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.624$ to accomplish in my career so far so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.800$ With that I will start going

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.300$ into today's talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.370$ which is about liver fibrosis and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}06{:}48.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.456$ OK, so today I'm going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:06:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.156$ why if I process is so important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}06{:}55.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.649$ liver diseases and what are the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:06:57.649 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.011$ methods to assess the fibrosis with

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:00.011 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.546$ some historical perspective impact of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:02.546 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.836$ various different etiologies on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}04.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07.660$ we assess fibrosis in liver and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.660$ various methods of fibrous evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.700$ Uh, we talked briefly about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:11.700 --> 00:07:13.565 end stage fibrosis or cirrhosis

00:07:13.565 --> 00:07:15.755 and assessment of its severity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}15.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.959$ and then also briefly talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}18.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.980$ progression of fibrosis and how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.246$ assess regression in liver and towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:24.246 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.138$ the end I will touch upon some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:28.138 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.024$ evolving technologies that are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:31.024 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.739$ shape how the liver biopsy is might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}34.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.480$ Perceived in future and what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.550$ of role they will play in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.070$ of hepatology and liver pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.940$ So the first thing is so why

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.170$ fibrosis so important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.052$ Liver right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:48.052 --> 00:07:49.816 Fibrosis occurs in virtually

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:49.816 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.070$ every tissue in every organ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}52.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.046$ but it is very very critical in terms

 $00:07:55.046 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.729$ of various chronic disorders in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}57.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.058$ liver and that is for a few reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}08{:}02.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.531$ I know that in the audience there

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:04.531 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.976$ are many people who are not aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:08:06.976 --> 00:08:08.942 of the nuances of liver Histology

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:08.942 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.908$ and liver diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:09.908 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.739$ so I will go over some of the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:08:12.739 --> 00:08:15.120 basics just to make sure that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.448$ understands some of the issues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:18.448 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.440$ I'm going to discuss about and some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:20.494 \dashrightarrow 00:08:22.336$ the nuances of the liberal pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.034$ So here there's a Histology of normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:08:27.034 --> 00:08:29.938 liver which highlights couple few of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:29.938 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.508$ the normal structures that are very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.510$ Critical in terms of understanding

 $00:08:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.110$ the pathophysiology of liberty

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:36.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.160$ says here you can see the central

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.600$ vein and the portal tracks at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.925$ corner of this imaginary hexagon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.882$ which is the functional unit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:45.882 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.985$ the liver and one of the key

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:48.985 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.100$ things to understand is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:08:51.100 --> 00:08:53.782 Does blood supply of the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:53.782 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.954$ comes through two major channels?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:55.954 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.258$ The portal venous system and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:58.258 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.524$ hepatic artery which have the terminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:09:00.524 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.635$ branches in the portal track and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:09:02.635 --> 00:09:04.410 these empty they're plugged into

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:09:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.936$ the hepatic sinus is where it goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:09:06.936 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.676$ through the entire liver lobule

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}09{:}08.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.834$ to finally reach the hepatic vein

 $00:09:10.834 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.922$ mills videos or the central vein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}09{:}12.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.988$ and then is drained through their padded

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}09{:}14.988 \to 00{:}09{:}16.938$ veins into the inferior vena cava,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:09:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.418$ and it is very critical that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:09:19.418 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.670$ blood flow occurs in this fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}09{:}21.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.240$ Any change in the hepatic blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:09:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.601$ flow can have severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:09:27.601 --> 00:09:29.949 A functional derangements which

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:09:29.949 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.250$ are difficult to see just through

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:09:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.820$ normal routine Histology and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:09:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.460$ This is illustrated here that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:09:41.460 --> 00:09:44.540 chronic liver disease progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00{:}09{:}44.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}47.270$ Liver that is injured is gradually

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00{:}09{:}47.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50.169$ replaced by fibrosis and this fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:09:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.486$ takes place in a certain fashion

 $00:09:52.486 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.220$ and this depends on the etiologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:09:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.111$ Here is depicted what happens typically in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:09:58.111 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.570$ chronic hepatic disorders where the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.880$ typically starts from the pole tracks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.921$ These are the full tracks, the central veins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:04.921 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.363$ the fibrosis from the portal tracks

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:07.363 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.736$ extends into the lobular parent Kima,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.828$ forming this kind of thin scepter

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:11.828 --> 00:10:13.220 which eventually formed bridges

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:13.278 --> 00:10:14.938 between portal tracks and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.135$ From these bridges extend from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:17.135 --> 00:10:19.330 pole tracks to Poltrack score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.413$ Tractor central vein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:20.413 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.502$ What we refer to as the bridging fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:23.502 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.430$ and eventually this progresses to forming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.090$ This kind of hepatic nodules.

 $00:10:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.048$ Just surrounded this by 5 perceptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.658$ Now. In this regard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:33.658 --> 00:10:36.070 it is very important to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:36.153 --> 00:10:38.788 that while five processes occurring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.310$ there's certain loss of hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.526$ current time as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:41.530 --> 00:10:43.498 But the functional derangement

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:43.498 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.958$ that occurs in this setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.948$ Is far more than the quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:48.948 --> 00:10:50.940 loss of hepatic parenchyma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:50.940 --> 00:10:53.240 And why is that so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.767$ If one looks at a cirrhotic liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.870$ the cross is shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.600$ It's a diffuse process, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:59.600 --> 00:11:01.480 If you look at the amount of hepatic

 $00:11:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.526$ parent comma, there is still present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:11:03.526 --> 00:11:04.708 It is substantial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333 00:11:04.710 --> 00:11:05.228 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.86707590333333

00:11:05.228 --> 00:11:07.300 most of us know that you need only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8670759033333333

 $00:11:07.367 \dashrightarrow 00:11:11.006$ about 20% of the liver to sustain life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:11:11.010 --> 00:11:12.950 But once you develop cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.114$ actually the functional derangements

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:15.114 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.580$ are much more severe and far

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:11:19.580 --> 00:11:22.256 more than what just the loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:22.256 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.086$ of parent comma can explain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00{:}11{:}24.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.710$ And what is happening is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.181$ once this fibrosis is developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:11:27.181 --> 00:11:28.856 and one can see installing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.984$ these are the regenerative nodules of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:11:30.984 --> 00:11:33.146 hepatocytes in a static current level

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00{:}11{:}33.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36.760$ which are separated by this receptor.

00:11:36.760 --> 00:11:39.805 So blood supply and blood flow changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.400$ and as you can see here in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.880$ the blood flow which was taking place from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.406$ the pole tracks towards the central way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:11:47.410 --> 00:11:49.455 Actually because of this fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:49.455 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.500$ and formation 5 receptor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:51.569 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.379$ all diverted in the septum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.278$ Where most of the blood by passes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.431$ the hepatic burn came out the lobby and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:59.431 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.868$ now goes directly into the venous system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:12:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.000$ and this change in hemodynamics has severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.597$ Impact on the function of the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

00:12:12.600 --> 00:12:14.567 This is shown here in three dimensions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.147$ where each of the cirrhotic nodules now

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:18.147 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.525$ has this vascular shunting of blood which

 $00:12:21.525 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.280$ is bypassing most of the nodule and going

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.248$ to the periphery and through this fibrous

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}28.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.684$ SEPTA into the hepatic venous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.418$ So this functional aspect of the liver is

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:33.418 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.187$ difficult to assess on our routine Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}36.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.956$ What we assess is the fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

00:12:38.960 --> 00:12:41.780 And fibrosis in some sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.240$ is the surrogate mass surrogate measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.227$ of this functional derangement that

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

00:12:46.227 --> 00:12:48.236 is occurring in the liver because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}48.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.510$ all the chronic disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

00:12:50.510 --> 00:12:53.430 And this has been shown where back that

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.469$ as the fibrosis in liver increases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.237$ the portal pressures increase and the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:13:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.830$ Goes progressively from nofi process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:13:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.890$ Too early fibrosis and sources.

00:13:07.890 --> 00:13:12.126 Uhm, this was also shown that as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}13{:}12.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14.670$ the fibrosis increase in the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:13:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.920$ There is increased in wedged hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:13:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.245$ venous pressure which is indirect measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}13{:}19.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.958$ of the portal. Pressure and and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

00:13:24.010 --> 00:13:26.770 This has been shown by many other workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.310$ That portal pressures are critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00{:}13{:}29.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.342$ in predicting the prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.536$ Oliver, so that's why the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00{:}13{:}34.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38.429$ in liver is very critical and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.430$ virtually all chronic liver diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.510$ This is probably the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00{:}13{:}42.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.758$ important prognostic parameter

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

00:13:43.758 --> 00:13:45.170 is irrespective etiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

00:13:45.170 --> 00:13:46.905 Certainly it is very important

 $00:13:46.905 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.060$ for viral not only hepatitis B

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.825$ repeat DSS and metabolic diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

00:13:50.830 --> 00:13:53.206 including Nash or alcoholic liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.310$ but even for vascular disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.162$ We're made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

00:13:56.162 --> 00:13:57.866 I'm sure portal hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:57.866 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.981$ even without five process once

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:59.981 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.670$ fibrosis sets in the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00{:}14{:}01.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.010$ progresses even more rapidly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.128$ And as I explained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}07.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.870$ Development of fibrosis or this car

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}09.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.619$ certainly represents loss of hepatic friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.246$ comma or functional reserve of the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:14:17.250 --> 00:14:20.232 But impact is far more because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:14:20.232 --> 00:14:22.693 the vascular shunting and hemodynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}22.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.458$ alterations that are taking place.

 $00:14:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.924$ And this is sort of depicted in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:27.924 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.168$ various staging system that were developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}30.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.880$ for assessing fibrosis in liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.220$ This is for chronic hepatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.439$ where as I mentioned earlier the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:38.439 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.400$ starts from pole tracks extending

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:14:40.400 --> 00:14:42.296 into periportal hepatic parenchyma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:14:42.300 --> 00:14:44.772 And then forming bridges and finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:44.772 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.270$ cirrhosis as this fibrosis progressing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.186$ the portal pressures are also increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:50.186 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.153$ and at certain stage of the stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:53.153 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.530$ three late stage three or stage four

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}56.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.650$ you develop portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.000$ Now this pattern of fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.808$ This is depicted here for chronic

 $00:15:02.808 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.010$ hepatitis varies from disease to disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.650$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:15:05.650 --> 00:15:07.890 if we talk about Billy disorders like

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.520$ primary skills in college, ID's or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.548$ Primary period cholangitis So if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:15.548 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.228$ process starts predominantly from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:17.228 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.620$ portal tracks and then forms portal portal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}15{:}19.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.005$ bridging scepter and progresses to

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:15:22.005 --> 00:15:24.962 cirrhosis whereas in Nash or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:24.962 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.280$ alcoholic liver disease the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}15{:}27.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.800$ tends to prefer the precentral regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.789$ in the early stages and that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.482$ You know many different staging system

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:37.482 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.729$ for this fibrosis have been developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:39.729 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.669$ which are more disease specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}15{:}41.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.364$ There are many staging systems available

 $00:15:44.364 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.310$ for chronic hepatitis that are listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.282$ Similarly, they are staging

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:49.282 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.254$ systems for beauty diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.100$ For non alcoholic steatohepatitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

00:15:53.100 --> 00:15:55.400 And even for alcoholic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.542$ which many people are not familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:57.542 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.676$ with because the staging system was

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}15{:}59.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01.232$ never used in clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}01.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.468$ But there is a staging system or

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:03.468 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.118$ even for alcoholic liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.630$ and there are staging systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.140$ that have been recently proposed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.571$ for given congestive hepatopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}09.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.433$ and all these staging systems take

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.320$ various nuances of the specific disease

 $00:16:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.482$ entity and how the fibrosis progresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}18.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.788$ in this disease entity to account

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:21.788 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.258$ for the differences among themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529 00:16:24.260 --> 00:16:27.860 So. Uhm? NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.596$ What this does is that now we have multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:30.596 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.740$ different systems for assessing fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.310$ even from the same disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.536$ And if one looks at many

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}37.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.020$ of these staging systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.140$ it is very clear that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.212$ While the advanced stages of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:46.212 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.480$ the systems are fairly similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.480$ there are more nuances and differences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.918$ earlier stages of the particular disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.876$ But the practical problem remains that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:55.876 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.862$ when you have multiple different systems

00:16:57.862 --> 00:17:00.342 to use in a clinical practice or trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.638$ it becomes very difficult to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.423$ reports from different places or compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:07.423 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.688$ different studies or compare clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:09.688 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.530$ trials where people might have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.250$ staging systems in that particular study so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

00:17:17.250 --> 00:17:18.850 And while we are talking about this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.748$ it was very clear that actually having

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

00:17:21.748 --> 00:17:24.183 a universal system for fibrosis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

00:17:24.183 --> 00:17:27.650 liver would be very, very useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.378$ And we started the this work in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00{:}17{:}31.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34.520$ of our residents. Gabriel Lerner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}17{:}36.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.246$ Took on this project and I like his

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}17{:}39.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42.321$ smile on the photograph here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}17{:}42.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.340$ this study was aimed at designing a.

 $00:17:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.034$ Fibrosing staging system taking key features

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}17{:}50.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.220$ from all the systems that are available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:17:53.220 --> 00:17:56.475 To make it applicable to any disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:17:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.740$ irrespective etiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:17:58.740 --> 00:18:01.236 And this is an ongoing project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.176$ and in this study actually we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:05.176 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.804$ one universal system which was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:07.804 --> 00:18:10.374 earlier draft of this staging system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:10.374 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.536$ and we applied this to many

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:13.536 --> 00:18:14.590 different disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:14.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.384$ We had the 10 cases of each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.970$ That is a B&C auto mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:20.970 --> 00:18:22.638 Hepatitis primary schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:22.638 --> 00:18:23.750 colleges, PVC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.246$ congestive hepatopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:25.246 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.724$ Nash and some cases with two two

 $00:18:29.724 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.128$ disorders at the same time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}32.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.536$ then stage these using the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:35.536 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.982$ specific staging system and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:37.982 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.322$ using our proposed universal fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:40.322 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.018$ scoring system and found that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:43.018 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.004$ function or or work almost in

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:46.004 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.338$ identical fashion in the initial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:48.338 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.436$ Analysis we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.729$ We found only two cases where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:51.729 --> 00:18:53.630 staging systems gave a slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:53.630 --> 00:18:54.480 different result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.088$ but when we looked at those

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:56.088 --> 00:18:56.892 cases more carefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}56.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.000$ we realize that actually there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.259$ problem in our initial analysis and

 $00:19:01.259 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.229$ eventually there was no difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:19:03.229 --> 00:19:05.848 between how they were staged by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:05.848 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.912$ disease specific system and using our

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.352$ universal fibrosis scoring system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182 00:19:10.352 --> 00:19:10.960 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:19:10.960 --> 00:19:12.969 this is still a work in progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:19:12.969 --> 00:19:15.968 and we are still working with many

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:19:15.968 --> 00:19:18.408 different things on this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:18.408 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.886$ And and the hopefully this will result

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:20.886 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.568$ in a manuscript soon and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}23.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.710$ sort of current draft that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}25.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28.580$ We're hoping that this system will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.970$ only allow to develop an universal system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.608$ which is which can be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:32.608 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.410$ for a variety of disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}34.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.500$ but we'll also add certain additional

 $00:19:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.614$ features which will further enhance the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}40.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.524$ staging of fibrosis in certain areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}43.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.570$ particularly stage three and four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.870$ where subclassification of these stages can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182 00:19:48.870 --> 00:19:49.181 Uhm, NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:49.181 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.736$ you know be clinically helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:50.736 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.213$ and also get rid of some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}53.213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.160$ practical issues that we have in

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:19:55.160 --> 00:19:57.080 our routine clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}19{:}59.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.764$ However. When we look at this whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:20:03.764 --> 00:20:06.309 progression of fibrosis in liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.414$ as I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}20{:}08.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.044$ that increasing fibrosis in chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:11.044 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.002$ liver disorders of various etiologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:14.002 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.578$ eventually leads to cirrhosis and cirrhosis.

00:20:17.578 --> 00:20:19.648 As all of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}20{:}19.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.961$ has been considered as the end stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:20:22.961 --> 00:20:25.609 liver disease for many decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:20:25.610 --> 00:20:27.598 and it was thought that once you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:20:27.598 --> 00:20:28.876 develop cirrhosis, that's it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:28.876 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.150$ and there is nothing else that can be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.644$ And and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:33.644 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.976$ There was no further sort of a disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:37.976 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.360$ severity classification understanding of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.560$ Process within this whole process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.877$ However, with time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:45.877 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.072$ the concept that cirrhosis may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:48.072 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.027$ not be just one end stage disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}20{:}51.030 \to 00{:}20{:}55.940$ started evolving and in this field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.370$ Doctor Lupe Garcia saw who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:20:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.800$ is a very eminent liver,

00:21:00.800 --> 00:21:02.843 hepatologist at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:02.843 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.458$ has been a pioneer who has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:06.458 --> 00:21:08.939 worked in this area and came up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:08.939 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.757$ with this idea many years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:10.757 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.305$ to me when I was a very junior

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:13.305 --> 00:21:17.588 and young faculty that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.640$ We understand that the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:19.640 --> 00:21:21.936 outcome of patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:21.936 --> 00:21:24.068 cirrhosis are very variable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:24.070 --> 00:21:25.730 not only between different technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.250$ but within the same ideology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:27.250 --> 00:21:29.890 so there must be different features

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:29.890 --> 00:21:32.619 within the CD cirrhosis which define

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:32.620 --> 00:21:35.848 something called mild and severe cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.821$ and her idea was if there are clinical

 $00:21:39.821 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.506$ parameters which help us differentiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}21{:}41.506 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{0}}} 00{:}21{:}43.149$ between mild and severe process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.614$ there must be logic features that can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:45.614 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.678$ help us differentiate between this smile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:47.678 --> 00:21:50.566 And see your form of cirrhosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:50.566 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.332$ at that time this whole concept that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:54.332 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.839$ cirrhosis is reversible was controversial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.311$ But with time this has become very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:22:00.311 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.439$ clear that fibrosis can trigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:22:02.439 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.804$ reverse and cirrhosis can reverse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:22:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.230$ So this whole concept of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:22:08.230 --> 00:22:12.310 mild and severe variants of cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:22:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.640$ was critical and very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:22:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.166$ And I have to mention that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00:22:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.746$ loopy Garcia has been a great mentor

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00{:}22{:}22.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.252$ for me and and the great collaborator

 $00:22:25.252 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.220$ and friend, who has been very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00:22:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.840$ very instrumental in many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00{:}22{:}28.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.756$ studies that I'm going to show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

00:22:30.760 --> 00:22:33.266 And and her insight and and her

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00{:}22{:}33.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.220$ guidance has been invaluable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00:22:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.152$ This work would not have been done

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00:22:37.152 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.740$ without her help and guidance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00:22:38.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.692$ So when we were talking about how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

00:22:41.692 --> 00:22:44.670 kind of divide cirrhosis into this mild

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00{:}22{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.330$ and severe form and we came up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

00:22:47.330 --> 00:22:49.295 a variety of this logic features that

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00{:}22{:}49.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.639$ we could look into and and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00{:}22{:}51.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.099$ designed this study with one of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

00:22:55.100 --> 00:22:56.440 Medical students at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

00:22:56.440 --> 00:22:57.194 Satish Gola,

 $00:22:57.194 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.793$ who then went on to become a GI fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00{:}23{:}00.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.272$ and and now is a a gastroenterologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

00:23:04.272 --> 00:23:07.812 practicing in Mount Sinai, NY, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00:23:07.812 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.580$ In that study we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.030$ Liver biopsy is that had the hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.340$ venous pressure gradient measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.670$ available and looked at the variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.575$ of histologic features that included

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}23{:}25.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.152$ everything the the presence of inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:28.152 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.472$ the presence of degree of

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}23{:}29.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.957$ status is the presence of iron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.020$ the presence of portal tracks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.720$ the loss of central veins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.556$ and characteristic of nodules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:35.556 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.447$ The fiber scepter and try to see which of

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:39.447 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.270$ these correlated with the HPG measurements.

 $00:23:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.736$ Which clinically has been shown to

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:45.736 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.170$ correlate very well with the severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.939$ of a liver disease and and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:50.939 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.268$ In this regard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.830$ we'll talk a little more later on, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:23:55.830 --> 00:23:59.530 Off 6 denotes portal hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.100$ and it's been shown in studies that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.664$ He HPG of 10 is clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:06.664 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.446$ significant portal hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}24{:}08.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.070$ wherein if patients have HPV of 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.575$ they have significant worse outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:15.575 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.697$ and and chances to become so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}24{:}18.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.016$ In this particular study we started various.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}24{:}23.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.365$ Use logic features and correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:25.365 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.054$ them with the HPV measurements and

00:24:28.054 --> 00:24:30.118 after many things that we started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.270$ it turned out that. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.084$ So size of the nodules and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:37.084 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.573$ width of the scepter had the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:40.573 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.088$ correlation with the HPV measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727 00:24:43.088 --> 00:24:43.956 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:43.956 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.094$ most of the patients who have small

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:24:47.094 --> 00:24:49.794 nodules in liver biopsies or thick

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:24:49.794 --> 00:24:52.891 fibrous SEPTA had clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:24:52.891 --> 00:24:56.459 portal hypertension, as shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.376$ And this is also shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:59.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.276$ And when we did the multivariate

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:01.276 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.020$ analysis of the many things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}03.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.988$ the nodule size and the septal thickness

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:05.988 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.312$ remain the only independent features

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:08.312 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.942$ that correlated with the clinically

 $00:25:10.942 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.520$ significant portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.786$ So what does this mean and

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:13.786 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.920$ how does this look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.972$ Well, on liver biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:15.972 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.902$ this is what it is when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:17.902 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.377$ look at the liver biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:25:19.380 --> 00:25:20.568 everybody will recognize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:25:20.568 --> 00:25:22.548 These are small nodules and

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}22.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.670$ these are large large nodules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.840$ So while this was obvious to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:25:26.840 --> 00:25:27.996 While doing the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:27.996 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.656$ and this is how we did it when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}30.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.834$ were trying to publish this paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.704$ the reviewers asked us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727 00:25:34.704 --> 00:25:35.636 you know. NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727 $00:25:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.845$ What does this mean in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:25:37.845 --> 00:25:39.690 actual size of the objects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.170$ So this is something we actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.072$ Made rough estimates from and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:46.072 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.338$ is in fine print here that small

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:48.338 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.372$ nodules for us meant something which

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:50.372 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.400$ were less than about 1 millimeter

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.326$ in size and large nodules that

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:54.394 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.476$ were more than two millimeter size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.776$ And we took the width of needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}58.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.110$ biopsies which is about 1 millimeter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.930$ As a rough guide. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.760$ Same thing for fibrous SEPTA here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.260$ Uh, this is a liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727 00:26:08.260 --> 00:26:08.966 Very big, NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:08.966 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.437$ very thin 5 receptor is a liver

00:26:11.437 --> 00:26:14.080 biopsy with very thick pipe receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}14.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.326$ Again based on our rough estimates

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.878$ set that there were less than .1

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:19.878 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.914$ millimeter where in the range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:21.914 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.224$ being thin scepter and those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:24.224 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.734$ were greater than .2 millimeters

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:26.734 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.645$ where considered acceptable and

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}28.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.670$ for the study required presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.820$ of more than 2/3 of the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.990$ biopsy to have that feature either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727 00:26:34.990 --> 00:26:35.415 Nodules, NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:35.415 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.965$ or the scepter to be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}37.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.240$ predominantly acceptor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:39.309 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.640$ predominantly small notes if these

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.136$ were not fulfilled then these were

 $00:26:44.136 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.962$ considered mixed or intermediate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:45.962 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.403$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:26:46.403 --> 00:26:49.490 Why does this thing work out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.882856076

 $00:26:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.280$ the when you have small nodules

NOTE Confidence: 0.882856076

00:26:52.280 --> 00:26:54.080 or thick fibrous SEPTA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882856076

00:26:54.080 --> 00:26:55.532 you have clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.882856076

00:26:55.532 --> 00:26:56.984 portal hypertension and hence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:26:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.144$ So this is depicted here in a cartoon form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}27{:}02.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.330$ So if you can imagine a liver where you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.354$ Progressive scarring shown by blue here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:09.354 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.816$ this brown represents the riscal hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:27:11.816 --> 00:27:14.371 comma which is broken up in nodules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.588$ which is what happens in cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.592$ One can imagine that if this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:18.592 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.569$ happening in a very uniform way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.315$ all the modules will look like this.

 $00:27:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.779$ Shown here in this photograph as small

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:27.779 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.351$ nodules and here as large nodules and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:27:30.351 --> 00:27:33.088 one can easily imagine that if all

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:33.088 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.800$ nodules were small or became smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.980$ The amount of hepatic turn table

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.528$ decrease and the distance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:39.528 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.913$ these nodules will also increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.170$ which indirectly means the fibrous

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.212$ SEPTA which will be on the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:46.212 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.156$ token if the nodules are large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.510$ There's more hepatic parenchyma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.860$ less distance between adjacent nodules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.490$ However, as we all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.877$ these are cartoons and this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:27:57.877 --> 00:27:58.900 very schematic representation.

00:27:58.900 --> 00:28:00.334 In real life it doesn't happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:00.334 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.590$ like this in real life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.612$ What happens is something like this

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:03.612 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.029$ where the nodules are of variable size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:06.030 --> 00:28:07.174 One can have predominance

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:07.174 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.318$ of one particular type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:08.320 --> 00:28:09.844 but it's never very uniform and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:09.844 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.140$ there's a lot of variability in how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8753795911111111

 $00:28:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.420$ scarring occurs in the liver, which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.755$ Makes assessment of these things

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}28{:}17.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.623$ in liver biopsies challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.410$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:21.410 --> 00:28:22.930 Based on our study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.210$ we had proposed that liver cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}28{:}25.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.773$ can be classified based on historic

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:27.773 --> 00:28:29.866 features into mild, moderate,

 $00:28:29.866 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.470$ severe or so called 484B and C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.060$ Based on the four four tiered staging system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:40.060 --> 00:28:42.643 Our study was very soon validated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:42.643 --> 00:28:45.374 another group from New Delhi or working

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:45.374 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.708$ on patients with chronic hepatitis B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.294$ and their results were

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:49.294 --> 00:28:50.878 virtually identical to ours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.030$ which was very satisfying to see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.526$ Irrespective of whether to

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:55.526 --> 00:28:58.460 separate his B or C or alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.204$ the nodule size and the septal width

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:29:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.408$ with predictive of severity of cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}29{:}04.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.294$ Based on this study and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:29:06.294 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.329$ other work that I'll show later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:29:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.987$ we came up with a classification

 $00:29:10.987 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.468$ system of cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:29:13.470 --> 00:29:16.217 Which is shown here where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:29:16.217 --> 00:29:18.659 could take any of these parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:29:18.659 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.557$ and based on the presence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:29:21.557 --> 00:29:24.350 feature you could give a score and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:29:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.275$ then classify this as 484B or 4C

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:29:28.275 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.450$ and this had clinical correlates

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:29:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.769$ with the presence of either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8753795911111111

 $00{:}29{:}32.769 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}29{:}34.701$ Compensated cirrhosis or decompensate

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:29:34.701 --> 00:29:37.550 sources as well as portal pressures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.900$ Over a month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.978$ We realize that the while we're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.184$ We published this and this was a good study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.750$ Some of this work actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.998$ have been done earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.098$ and this sort of classification

00:29:56.098 --> 00:29:59.233 of cirrhosis into pile moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:59.233 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.429$ severe was done by Lynette Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.710$ In the year 2000 and and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:30:05.710 --> 00:30:08.328 published only as an abstract form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.446$ so this was not widely recognized and

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:12.446 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.248$ we were certainly not aware of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.200$ And the principles were virtually

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:18.200 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.802$ similar to what we had come up with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}20.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22.926$ The presence of nodules are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}22.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.600$ size of the nodules and with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}25.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.654$ scepter where critical in sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:27.654 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.578$ of classifying the cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.760$ We eventually ended up publishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.963$ this a paper in 2006,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:33.963 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.775$ and we're finding the

 $00:30:35.775 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.624$ classification somewhat in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:37.624 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.012$ And now at least we have two

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:41.012 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.616$ systems of classifying cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:43.616 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.304$ The one is the Linux system and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:46.304 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.043$ now I'm part of that group as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:49.043 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.234$ well as the system that we developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:51.234 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.840$ here with collaboration with the Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.572$ Lupe Garcia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}54.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}57.134$ and these two systems are shown here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.317$ and they're fairly similar in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}59.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.308$ how they approach this whole issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:01.310 --> 00:31:04.936 The only thing being that in Linux

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:04.936 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.780$ system the septal thickness was

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.760$ defined as broad when the size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.664$ Of the scepter was equivalent to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:10.664 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.788$ size of the nodules and very broad when

00:31:12.788 --> 00:31:14.600 it was greater than the size of nodules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}14.600 \to 00{:}31{:}17.720$ which is a very subjective evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:17.720 --> 00:31:19.872 and we know that the size of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:19.872 --> 00:31:21.943 nodules can vary within the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:21.943 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.778$ biopsy and between liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.668$ So to us it looked like there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:27.668 --> 00:31:29.188 more subjectivity involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}29.188 \to 00{:}31{:}30.700$ Linux system then our system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.202$ which was somewhat more semi quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:33.202 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.428$ and we wanted to see how do these

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}36.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38.971$ two systems compare and this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:38.971 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.310$ Was undertaken by one of our residents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:42.310 --> 00:31:44.106 Maria Olavi or Carolina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:44.106 --> 00:31:47.950 who now is a fellow at Mayo Clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.450$ and in this study we looked at.

 $00:31:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.640$ Fifty liver biopsy with thrusters

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.394$ which were randomly selected and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:57.394 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.642$ were evaluated independently by three

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:59.642 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.702$ pathologists that included a resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:32:01.702 --> 00:32:03.990 and associate professor and professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}32{:}03.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07.206$ just to see how experienced may

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:32:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.562$ affect this evaluation and the what

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:32:09.562 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.072$ it shows was that both systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}32{:}12.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.676$ were fairly compatible in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.832$ assigning the stage based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}32{:}19.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22.200$ logic features as stated. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}32{:}22.200 \to 00{:}32{:}24.520$ Our system performs slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.420$ better than the Linux system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

00:32:27.420 --> 00:32:29.932 largely because I think again some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:29.932 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.277$ features were better defined in our system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}32{:}32.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.031$ But overall I think both systems per form

 $00:32:35.031 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.979$ fairly similar in sub classifying services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.709$ So in conclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.552$ We recognize that the the nodule size and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:45.552 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.530$ the fiber swift are are predictive of HPV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.970$ gene liver and small nodularity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.182$ increasing fiber scepter with can

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

00:32:57.182 --> 00:32:59.585 predict development of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:59.585 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.370$ significance portal hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:01.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.884$ and based on these parameters can

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:03.884 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.440$ certainly be classified into a mild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.200$ moderate and severe stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

00:33:08.200 --> 00:33:10.180 So when you're working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

00:33:10.180 --> 00:33:11.316 these nodules except.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:11.316 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.122$ Wherever you look, you find those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:13.122 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.760$ So if you look at the sky,

 $00:33:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.350$ I could find nodules in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}33{:}16.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.790$ clouds and the scepter there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

00:33:17.790 --> 00:33:20.250 Or if you look from the sky into the ground,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.420$ you could find nodules and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.890$ the SEPTA even in the sand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:33:25.190 --> 00:33:27.864 However. Moving forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:27.864 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.120$ I think the question that we were asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:30.173 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.237$ was in this particular study that we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}33{:}32.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.724$ We correlated the stylistic features with

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:34.724 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.619$ the the hepatic venous pressure gradient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}33{:}37.620 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}33{:}40.220$ which correlate with prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.170$ of cirrhosis but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:33:42.170 --> 00:33:44.180 The next question or scandal is

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.185$ a Histology predictor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:33:45.190 --> 00:33:47.934 Prognosis of cirrhosis directly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:47.934 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.652$ And this was more or less the kind of

 $00{:}33{:}50.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.345$ question that we wanted to validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}33{:}52.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.412$ based on our initial study and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:33:54.412 --> 00:33:56.326 people who are familiar with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:56.326 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.674$ progression of chronic liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:33:58.674 --> 00:34:00.750 Recognize that clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:34:00.750 --> 00:34:04.488 cirrhosis is divided into two stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.879$ the compensated cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}34{:}05.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07.268$ or decompensated process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.306$ and the reason being that once.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.702$ You develop decompensation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}34{:}11.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14.486$ The prognosis tends to be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.674$ bad and the progression of the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}34{:}16.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18.668$ disease or liver failure is rapid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.125$ so this was shown in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:34:21.125 --> 00:34:22.950 studies at the median survival

00:34:22.950 --> 00:34:24.826 of patients who have compensated

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:34:24.826 --> 00:34:27.290 cirrhosis is in excess of 12 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.330$ whereas those who develop compensation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.206$ the median survival becomes around two years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.840$ which is a big difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046 00:34:34.840 --> 00:34:35.260 Uh, NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}34{:}35.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.958$ this was also shown by studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}34{:}37.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41.546$ from here we're doctor Garcia was

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:34:41.546 --> 00:34:45.348 involved and the presence of HPVG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:45.348 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.391$ which was less than ten,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:34:47.391 --> 00:34:50.646 was also the significant negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:50.646 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.099$ predictive value that patients who had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}34{:}54.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.306$ No clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:55.306 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.110$ portal hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.954$ which is pressure measurement less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:58.954 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.272$ 10 had in 90% chance of not developing

 $00:35:02.272 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.670$ clinical decompensation in a Fourier theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}04.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.885$ So again signifying the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:06.885 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.100$ of the pressure measurements and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:35:09.173 --> 00:35:11.492 how this collects with the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:11.492 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.216$ spirit of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.996$ So the question that we would ask was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.380$ Do the sample thickness and or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.400$ nodule size predict clinical development

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}21.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.730$ of decompensation in patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.270$ have this logically proven cirrhosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}26.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.664$ And this was a study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}28.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.268$ undertaken with one of the GI Fellows

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}31.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33.530$ on the clinical side Prithvi Cinema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046 00:35:33.530 --> 00:35:33.992 Son, NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:33.992 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.302$ who is now a practicing

 $00:35:36.302 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.150$ gastroenterologist in the Boston

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:38.226 \dashrightarrow 00:35:42.700$ area and in this study we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.115$ Patients with the biopsy proven

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:46.115 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.805$ cirrhosis and followed them for

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:49.805 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.665$ development of the competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:35:51.670 --> 00:35:56.158 There were 168 patients included of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:56.158 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.239$ which 3043 developed decompensation

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}58.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00.454$ and these biopsies were reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:00.454 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.161$ blindly without the knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:02.161 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.441$ of the clinical findings by two

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}04.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.246$ independent observers and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:06.246 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.318$ different things were assessed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.364$ But specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:09.364 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.018$ the sample thickness and the nodule size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046 00:36:13.020 --> 00:36:13.611 And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:13.611 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.024$ The results showed that the septal

 $00:36:17.024 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.294$ thickness did predict a progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}19.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21.770$ to decompensation in these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:21.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.098$ as shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.929$ The acceptor, as opposed to either the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}27.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.044$ Intermediate or thin SEPTA had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:31.044 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.480$ significant association with progression too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:36:35.500 --> 00:36:36.498 Decompensated, cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}36{:}36.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.492$ and eventually when we did the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:36:39.492 --> 00:36:41.927 analysis using thick persistent except

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}36{:}41.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.645$ and this became even more obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:36:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.681$ When he looked at the nodule size

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:36:47.681 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.971$ while the trends were similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:36:49.971 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.277$ but the findings were not statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}36{:}53.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.450$ significant and even when we studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:36:56.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.680$ the the small versus not small nodules.

 $00:37:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.440$ It was obvious that only after

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}37{:}03.440 --> 00{:}37{:}05.779 \ 48 \ months \ the \ process \ started$

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:05.779 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.144$ separating between these two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:08.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.246$ and while the reasons are not obvious to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:10.246 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.707$ us or not very immediately clear to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.957$ it is possible that in early stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.440$ when the nodules is small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.520$ but the 5% are also very thin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.310$ probably there's no difference based

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.160$ on the nodule size as they sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.850$ You know, parent, camel, reserved and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.788$ Does not impact the overall process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.155$ However, it certainly validated our

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:37:35.155 --> 00:37:38.030 previous findings that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.580$ except on liver biopsies are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.701$ with significantly higher rate of

 $00:37:42.701 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.301$ decompensation when compared with

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}37{:}44.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.948$ patients who do not have the acceptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.938$ And as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:47.938 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.420$ while this trend was seen with

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:49.478 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.369$ the small nodules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.690$ this was certainly not statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.150$ significant in this regard, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.150$ What does this all mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:37:58.150 --> 00:37:58.651 Well. NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:37:58.651 --> 00:37:59.152 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:59.152 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.653$ uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:59.653 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.659$ this certainly has some implications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}38{:}02.659 \to 00{:}38{:}05.400$ patients who are being considered for

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:05.400 --> 00:38:07.056 treatment for a variety of disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.563$ or even transplantation.

 $00:38:08.563 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.068$ Some of the important considerations

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}38{:}11.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.168$ are that once these patients do

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:14.168 --> 00:38:18.116 show thick scepter on liver biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.355$ this could allow initiation of non selective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.135$ Now even further investigation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:25.135 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.910$ prevent or delay the decompensation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:27.910 --> 00:38:28.291 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:28.291 --> 00:38:31.339 we know that these days you know some

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}38{:}31.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34.392$ of the patients with cirrhosis can

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:34.392 --> 00:38:37.908 undergo resection of the liver cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}38{:}37.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39.905$ and this is a very select group

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:39.905 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.394$ because we recognize that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:41.394 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.089$ who are cirrhotic and develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:43.089 --> 00:38:44.208 particular carcinoma are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:44.210 --> 00:38:47.024 in general not good candidates for surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:47.024 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.826$ because they tend to decompensate.

 $00:38:48.826 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.315$ But a subset of these patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:51.315 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.794$ are probably having poorly or mild

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:53.794 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.074$ sources can undergo this reception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.500$ and in this regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:58.500 --> 00:39:00.985 You find fix up down liver biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:00.985 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.999$ is probably that would be in control

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:02.999 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.709$ application for a search filter

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}39{:}04.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06.120$ section of hypercellular carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.780$ in the background of success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.696$ So those are the immediate kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:39:10.696 --> 00:39:12.664 clinical implications that I can think

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:12.664 \dashrightarrow 00:39:16.800$ of and I think more will probably come so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}39{:}16.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.893$ This is another view from Sky to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:39:18.893 --> 00:39:21.363 show you how we can start seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:21.363 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.243$ nodules and the five perceptor,

 $00:39:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.637$ and now the nodules are even colored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:25.640 \dashrightarrow 00:39:28.346$ This is a photograph taken from

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:28.346 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.036$ the aircraft somewhere in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:30.036 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.608$ region of I think San Francisco.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:39:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.410$ So as I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:39:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.652$ this fibrosis which was considered earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:39:40.652 --> 00:39:43.342 irreversible phenomena now is recognized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:39:43.342 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.462$ is actually dynamic process even

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:39:45.462 --> 00:39:48.683 within the liver and with effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:39:48.683 --> 00:39:51.938 therapy the fibrosis can progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:39:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.503$ And now there are very effective therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:39:55.503 --> 00:39:58.204 available for viral hepatitis B&C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:39:58.204 --> 00:40:00.956 which were not available or two decades ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.466$ and this has changed the whole field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.006$ In trying to assess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:40:05.006 --> 00:40:08.590 How do we say this fibrosis is progressing?

 $00{:}40{:}08.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.570$ Or this is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:40:10.570 --> 00:40:13.258 regressing and all the systems that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:13.258 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.024$ showed you earlier in terms of assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:16.024 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.328$ fibrosis stage do not address this

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:18.406 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.876$ system of progression or regression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.084$ So, uh, this uh issue we

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:24.084 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.169$ had discussed in one of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.704$ Reviews that we wrote for one of the seminars

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}40{:}30.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.688$ in particular topology and the Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:40:34.690 --> 00:40:36.066 Garcia and Doctor Peter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}40{:}36.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}38.646$ producer who's from Europe who have done a

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:38.646 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.169$ lot of work in this area where my Co authors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:40:41.170 --> 00:40:43.342 So this is something which I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:43.342 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.210$ illustrate by example here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.776$ So this is a process which is.

00:40:48.776 --> 00:40:50.864 Very obvious on Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.795$ These are cirrhotic not justify

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:40:52.795 --> 00:40:54.335 perceptor are very broad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.007$ So from my prior studies one could

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:57.007 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.210$ easily predict that this in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:40:59.210 --> 00:41:00.850 patient the portal hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:00.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.150$ will be clinically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:02.150 --> 00:41:03.805 Portal hypertension or the measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}41{:}03.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06.099$ of the HPV will be greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:06.099 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.462$ 10 and here you can see a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:08.462 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.170$ inflammation while the cloud coloration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.922$ this fibrous SEPTA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:11.922 --> 00:41:12.506 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:12.506 --> 00:41:15.392 once you treat this process and let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:15.392 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.448$ say this was something which was chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}41{:}17.448 \rightarrow 00{:}41{:}19.254$ hepatitis C which can be treated.

 $00:41:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.208$ A lot of things can happen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}41{:}23.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.196$ this can start looking like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:26.200 --> 00:41:28.580 Where is fiber sector which is broad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.125$ can become thinner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:30.125 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.700$ The degree of inflammation decreases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.514$ The celebrity of this fiber sector decreases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:35.520 --> 00:41:36.604 And uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}41{:}36.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.314$ the vascularity of this separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}41{:}39.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41.240$ descriptions there's some restoration

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.080$ of the lobular architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:43.080 --> 00:41:46.038 There's some restoration of the zonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.510$ distribution hepatocytes in this thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.654$ So from this cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:50.654 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.754$ which is the severe untreated cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:53.754 --> 00:41:56.616 one can progress to disconnect services,

 $00:41:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.276$ which is still cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}41{:}58.276 --> 00{:}41{:}59.518$ Still stage four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.628$ But it must work improved clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:02.628 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.664$ and functionally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358 00:42:03.670 --> 00:42:05.700 And. NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:42:05.700 --> 00:42:07.308 These features on progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:07.308 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.916$ which were kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:08.916 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.619$ recognized by many experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:42:10.620 --> 00:42:12.076 They feel we're eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:12.076 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.896$ put into a staging system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.300$ which I'm going to show.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.136$ This is same thing shown on any sections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:19.136 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.995$ Again to illustrate that the cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:21.995 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.515$ which is severe and very active has this

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:24.515 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.795$ broad sector with a lot of inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.865$ lot of buckler proliferation and

 $00:42:28.865 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.842$ vascular which is not obvious on either

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}42{:}31.842 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.937$ HIV sections or try constructions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:42:33.940 --> 00:42:35.200 and our routine Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.576$ but can be seen by other techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:37.576 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.066$ that these things progress and receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:42:40.066 --> 00:42:42.857 become like this where they're very thin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:42:42.860 --> 00:42:44.090 relatively acellular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:44.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.165$ relatively avascular, without any doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.338$ Information with some restoration

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}42{:}49.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.048$ of the lower popular architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:42:52.050 --> 00:42:57.150 So a group from Beijing, China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.210$ Studied the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:42:58.210 --> 00:42:59.270 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:42:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.460$ the features of regression in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:43:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.740$ with chronic hepatitis B and in this group.

 $00:43:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.324$ Doctor Neal Tease who is a very eminent

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:43:10.324 --> 00:43:12.916 liver pathologist and have some yield

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:43:12.916 --> 00:43:15.460 connection because he worked here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

00:43:15.537 --> 00:43:17.868 Yale with Diane Kraus on stem cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00:43:17.868 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.457$ and is a faculty in New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

00:43:20.460 --> 00:43:22.155 Applied this concept of progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00:43:22.155 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.487$ of high process to develop a scoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00:43:24.487 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.326$ system and in this study they could

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

00:43:28.330 --> 00:43:30.630 using many different parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00:43:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.100$ They showed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.240$ Treatment of chronic hepatitis results

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.310$ in regression of this fibrous SEPTA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.080$ So just looking at this system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.140$ you know broadly what it is when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.000$ have this progressive fibrosis and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.109$ showed with all the features that had

00:43:49.109 --> 00:43:50.641 mentioned of sale lurdy, vascularity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:50.641 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.308$ the inflammation when you have more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:53.308 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.755 50\%$ of the fiber SEPTA in the biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.970$ that is considered a predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.896$ progressive fibrosis or

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:00.896 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.030$ assigned escorpi when. You have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.918$ More than 50% sector showing regression

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00{:}44{:}07.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11.614$ features as I showed you earlier is

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:11.614 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.997$ you're considered a score of our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

00:44:14.000 --> 00:44:15.820 And if this was mix of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

00:44:15.820 --> 00:44:18.080 it was considered indeterminate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.103$ and this was a highlighted or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00{:}44{:}21.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.190$ This has been sort of referred to

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

00:44:24.281 --> 00:44:27.980 as the PIR score in various studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:27.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.326$ and this is again illustrated herein

 $00:44:30.326 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.827$ from this paper that this is a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:33.827 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.152$ with progressive fibrosis before treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

00:44:36.160 --> 00:44:39.700 which became progressive fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.486$ still cirrhosis but within the stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:42.486 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.010$ it has changed his character from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

00:44:44.010 --> 00:44:47.440 Progressive, too, regressive as shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:44:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.050$ And in this study they had paired

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:44:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.375$ biopsies in 71 patients where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00{:}44{:}56.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58.100$ looked at the pretreatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:44:58.168 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.158$ posttreatment Histology and showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:01.158 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.330$ many of these patients converted from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

00:45:04.330 --> 00:45:06.780 Progressive 5 Percepta to regressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.530$ high perceptive and of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.588$ more than half of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:11.588 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.428$ at least had improvement in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00{:}45{:}15.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.098$ stage following treatment and.

 $00:45:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.644$ Almost half of the patients had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:22.644 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.340$ significant improvement in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.928$ substage of cirrhosis fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.490$ So based on this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}45{:}31.490 \to 00{:}45{:}34.997$ the group proposed this PR scoring system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.632$ which has now been referred to as

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:37.632 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.924$ the Beijing System for classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:39.924 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.096$ of progressive or regressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:42.096 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.268$ fibrosis in liver diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.045$ And it is certainly conceptually

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:46.045 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.820$ very good and recognizes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:45:47.886 --> 00:45:49.458 dynamic nature of fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.056$ And, at least in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.808$ had shown good reproducibility with good

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:45:54.808 --> 00:45:57.348 inter and intra observer variation,

 $00:45:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.602$ primarily done on biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:45:58.602 --> 00:46:00.905 And it was felt that since this

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:00.905 --> 00:46:02.740 didn't require any special stain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.680$ it was easy to apply and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.200$ was subsequently sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:08.200 --> 00:46:12.344 Bradley did on his very small study

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}46{:}12.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.948$ of A5 cases of chronic hepatitis C

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:16.948 --> 00:46:19.880 with autoimmune hepatitis where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}46{:}19.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.705$ patients were treated with directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:22.705 --> 00:46:26.268 acting antiviral agents and on paired biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:26.268 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.180$ is they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.500$ Confirm that the progressive sectors

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}46{:}29.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.356$ can become regressive sectors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}46{:}31.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.506$ so very small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:32.506 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.034$ Study validating the findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:34.034 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.420$ from this page in classification.

00:46:36.420 --> 00:46:40.148 We also undertook this kind of a project

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:40.148 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.515$ of assessing the same findings in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:43.515 --> 00:46:46.890 subset of chronic hepatitis C patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.790$ and this project was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:49.790 --> 00:46:53.220 Done with collaboration with a ROM Shelly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.260$ who was our fellow and then became a

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:56.347 --> 00:46:59.399 faculty here and now has disappeared with

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:59.399 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.726$ the dark side in in private practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}47{:}02.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.805$ However, you know he was a very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}47{:}04.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08.758$ person to work with, a great guy and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.733$ This was a great study that we published and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:13.733 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.956$ In this study we had addressed many issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}47{:}18.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.610$ but one of them was assessment of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}47{:}22.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.944$ So called regression of fibrosis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:24.944 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.680$ patients with chronic hepatitis C.

 $00:47:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.428$ Once we once they were treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:29.428 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.260$ with directly acting antivirals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.970$ which leads to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:47:34.970 --> 00:47:37.994 Cure of this viral infection with what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:37.994 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.460$ called sustained while response or SVR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.491$ where the viral load in purple blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:43.491 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.416$ becomes undetectable after the therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:47:45.416 --> 00:47:47.176 And this is shown histologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:47:47.180 --> 00:47:49.460 why should what I showed you earlier from

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.122$ our study that the patients who had fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:52.122 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.018$ which was considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:54.018 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.914$ progressive on treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.150$ could become aggressive with the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.950$ Features that I mentioned earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:48:01.950 --> 00:48:04.175 pending of the fibrous septal lack

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:48:04.175 --> 00:48:06.712 of cellularity lack of pallor,

00:48:06.712 --> 00:48:09.128 proliferation and decreasing inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}09.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.784$ Same things shown in HD sections here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.260$ One of the things which we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.992$ realize in our study is that while

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:15.992 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.564$ these things sometimes are easier to

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:48:17.614 --> 00:48:19.156 evaluate on pipes because the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:19.156 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.525$ of tissue that you see is blessed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:48:21.525 --> 00:48:23.750 On dissection specimens with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}23.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.655$ more to see you always find areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:26.655 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.558$ that put these things in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:28.558 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.798$ Little bit of question as to whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:31.798 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.960$ this really belongs to regressive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}35.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.050$ progressive or indeterminate category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.666$ And in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:48:41.666 --> 00:48:45.279 we had many other things that that overall,

 $00:48:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.870$ while we could see there were more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}47.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.095$ it's sort of progressive subtype

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:50.095 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.286$ patients who had achieved SVR in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:53.286 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.606$ who are treated with antivirals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.076$ Overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}56.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58.872$ the difference between the PR score

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:58.872 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.425$ between untreated patients was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:49:01.425 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.354$ statistically significant and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:49:03.354 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.308$ still remains the same when we combine

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:49:06.308 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.090$ progressive with indeterminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:49:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.242$ category and compared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:49:09.242 --> 00:49:11.302 With the, uh, those with regression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:49:11.302 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.370$ and there are quite a few reasons for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}49{:}14.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.196$ Once one of which I highlighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:49:17.196 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.609$ that the assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.773555436666667

00:49:18.610 --> 00:49:20.450 the PR score on resection

 $00:49:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.922$ specimens were not easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773555436666667

 $00:49:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.330$ and also that the group that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.773555436666667

 $00:49:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.450$ included both the explants and liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.773555436666667

 $00:49:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.620$ biopsies and and many of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.773555436666667

 $00{:}49{:}29.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.568$ have very severe advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773555436666667

 $00:49:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.196$ So there was a selection bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.773555436666667

 $00:49:33.196 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.009$ in their patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773555436666667

 $00:49:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.799$ However, when we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:49:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.752$ Looked at a subset of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:49:38.752 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.426$ where we had paired biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:49:40.426 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.680$ and we are only seven of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}49{:}42.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.720$ It was clear that four of those seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}49{:}45.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.120$ patients did change their characters

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:49:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.140$ from progressive or indeterminate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:49:50.140 --> 00:49:52.308 2 Progressive on treatment,

 $00:49:52.308 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.560$ so this certainly validated what has

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:49:55.653 --> 00:49:58.677 been shown earlier in the Beijing study

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:49:58.677 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.356$ and and the other from Mount Sinai in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:50:02.356 --> 00:50:03.634 In this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:03.634 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.690$ we also sort of provided evidence that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:50:06.690 --> 00:50:08.712 Uh. Not only these patients clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:08.712 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.480$ the virus from parental blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.642$ but if you look at the tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:50:14.642 --> 00:50:18.166 by highly sensitive PCR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:50:18.170 --> 00:50:20.492 There is no evidence of residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:20.492 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.699$ virus in the tissues and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.856$ is an important finding because

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}50{:}26.856 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.348$ earlier it was controversial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.528$ If there was some degree of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:32.528 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.425$ tool Oracle hepatitis C virus in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:35.425 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.349$ tissues even after achieving.

 $00:50:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.817$ Clinical cure or so called SVR in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:40.817 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.966$ this study the the viral PCR was

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:42.966 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.020$ done by using a highly sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.849$ essay at the CDC and at least we

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:47.849 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.292$ showed that in all the patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:50:50.292 --> 00:50:52.386 had the clinically achieved SVR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:52.386 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.556$ there were no residual virus

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:54.556 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.879$ at the tissue level as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889 00:50:56.880 --> 00:50:57.886 Our uh, NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:57.886 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.904$ the other things that we also

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:00.904 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.632$ recognize from our study is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:03.632 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.824$ the progression of fibrosis may

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}51{:}05.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08.500$ not occur uniformly in all patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.260$ and patients who may have very

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.620$ severe disease to start with.

 $00:51:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.192$ Either you may not see any

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}51{:}15.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.819$ regression or it may take long

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:16.819 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.140$ time and if patients who have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:51:20.140 --> 00:51:22.121 But I just infection for long period

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:51:22.121 --> 00:51:24.339 time or the ten years of duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:51:24.340 --> 00:51:27.217 The regression again may not be obvious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.294$ or may or may not be seen and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:51:30.294 --> 00:51:32.479 this subgroup of seven patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.424$ those who did not show any

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:34.424 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.720$ change to regressive fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}51{:}35.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38.576$ All of them had hepatitis C infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:38.576 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.188$ for more than 1010 years of duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:51:42.190 --> 00:51:42.516 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:42.516 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.472$ I think the process is slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:44.472 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.249$ and takes a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.441$ and in this study the the median

00:51:48.441 --> 00:51:50.389 follow-up was around about two years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}51{:}50.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53.686$ so I think you need long term studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:53.686 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.545$ to assess what time or duration it

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:56.545 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.548$ takes for this regression to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.052$ So the other issues with hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:02.052 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.137$ this hypothesis is that what

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:04.137 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.793$ I've shown you is is all done on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.510$ Qualitative assessment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.790$ various features on Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:10.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.052$ and this is highly subjective and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:13.052 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.780$ there is significant interobserver

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:52:14.780 --> 00:52:15.644 intra observer variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:52:15.650 --> 00:52:16.571 The question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:52:16.571 --> 00:52:18.720 can we make it more objective and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:52:18.788 --> 00:52:21.182 this was shown way back that if

00:52:21.182 --> 00:52:23.480 you assess just the fibrosis area

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}52{:}23.566 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}52{:}25.597$ using digital image analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:25.597 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.342$ there is a significant correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:28.342 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.778$ with increasing fibrosis with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.282$ portal pressures and process and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}52{:}33.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36.959$ the IT was also obvious that in in each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.173$ Fibrosis group there was still a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:40.173 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.238$ variability in the clinical subgroups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.508$ which again goes back to some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00.52.45.508 --> 00.52.48.739 the issues of fibrosis in liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.588$ So we also try to address this issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}52{:}51.588 \rightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.194$ using some quantitative parameters with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:54.194 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.744$ the one of the gastroenterologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:52:56.744 --> 00:52:59.660 from Thailand who was working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:52:59.738 --> 00:53:02.170 Doctor Garcia and now he's a guest role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:53:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.442$ Is that Alan practicing

 $00{:}53{:}04.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.578$ clinical gastroenterology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:53:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.036$ And in this study we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}53{:}10.040 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}53{:}12.048$ fibrosis area by quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:12.048 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.554$ digital image analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.020$ The nodule size we counted the

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

00:53:16.020 --> 00:53:18.026 number of modules per millimeter

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:18.026 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.490$ of liberal biopsy again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}53{:}19.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21.660$ Incorrectly looking at the size of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.830$ nodules and beat up the fibrous septum

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}53{:}23.830 \to 00{:}53{:}26.482$ and the while assessing the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

00:53:26.482 --> 00:53:28.910 area using digital Amana Umagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.715$ Alesis where you use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}53{:}30.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.060$ color for thresholding which

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}53{:}33.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.901$ was fairly straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:34.901 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.027$ Assessing the nodule size and septal

 $00:53:38.027 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.060$ with was problematic and we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}53{:}41.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.699$ multiple measurements in each nodule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

00:53:44.700 --> 00:53:46.931 And and at the you know, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:46.931 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.186$ in the various 5 receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:49.186 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.963$ across a liver biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:50.963 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.609$ again calculating the mean and median.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00.53.53.610 \longrightarrow 00.53.55.160$ But as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

00:53:55.160 --> 00:53:57.540 there are many areas where you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}53{:}57.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59.782$ have drawn these measurements and

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:59.782 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.837$ that makes it somewhat challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286 00:54:02.840 --> 00:54:03.298 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:54:03.298 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.504$ as we expected the fibrosis area and

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}54{:}06.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.362$ the nodule site did have correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:54:09.362 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.090$ with the HP VG and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:54:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.045$ This correlation was even retained

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:54:16.045 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.795$ once you even reached a clinically

 $00:54:18.795 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.970$ significant portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286 00:54:20.970 --> 00:54:21.900 That is, NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00.54:21.900 \longrightarrow 00.54:24.225$ even patients who have clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:54:24.225 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.620$ significant portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:54:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.836$ If you increase the fibrosis area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:54:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.460$ the pressures continuously

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:54:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.620$ and keep on increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:54:34.670 --> 00:54:37.798 So this study kind of validated what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:54:37.798 --> 00:54:41.140 had shown earlier on subjective analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:54:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.885$ but we realized in this study that they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:54:43.885 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.943$ practical issues of measuring the nodule

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:54:45.943 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.778$ size and fibrous SEPTA, which was not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:54:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.588$ Ready for clinical use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:54:51.588 --> 00:54:53.396 but certainly validated our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:54:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.148$ you know earlier findings.

 $00:54:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.878$ This is around year 2009 and 10 I

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:54:58.878 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.194$ think at that time this technology was

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:55:02.194 --> 00:55:05.052 still evolving and UPMC had acquired

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:05.052 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.491$ the whole slide scanners and had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:08.491 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.109$ people working on various algorithm to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00.55:12.109 \longrightarrow 00.55:14.320$ assess histologically by automation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.320$ and we collaborated with UPMC to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.417$ look at the same things where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.935$ Doctor Ahmed otherwise was our

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:22.935 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.947$ fellow at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:24.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.080$ Pursuing the project and we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}55{:}27.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.832$ at the many things we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}55{:}29.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32.161$ fibrosis area we tried to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:55:32.161 --> 00:55:34.430 automate the nodule size and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.130$ diameter of nodule using computer algorithms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.148$ While it you know proved our prior

00:55:41.148 --> 00:55:43.160 kind of concepts, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.310$ we recognize that the computer

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:55:45.310 --> 00:55:47.843 algorithms are not very good in

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:47.843 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.407$ identifying all the fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.908$ and we're missing nodules at times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.598$ and the estimation of the nodule size

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:55:55.598 --> 00:55:57.959 and the diameter was not perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.236$ And besides those examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:59.236 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.580$ we know that there are many other

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.415$ areas where this is challenging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.436$ so this is another liver biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:05.436 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.359$ which shows many different sizes of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:07.360 \dashrightarrow 00:56:10.280$ Modules and very different kind of SEPTA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.080$ And many times these things can

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:12.080 --> 00:56:14.304 be even more challenging where you

00:56:14.304 --> 00:56:15.984 have delicate fibrous SEPTA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.881$ and not just which are composed for

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:18.881 --> 00:56:21.420 virtually all only few hepatocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.372$ In addition to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:23.372 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.300$ we recognize that the significance

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:26.385 --> 00:56:28.750 of very central or sinusoidal file

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.437$ process is far more functionally than

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:31.437 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.502$ what it appears quantitatively and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}56{:}33.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.323$ this is not captured by many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:36.323 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.808$ other quantitative image analysis so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.013$ There are many challenges that prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}56{:}43.013 \to 00{:}56{:}45.764$ us from applying some of these things

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:45.764 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.097$ that we have learned from our liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:49.097 --> 00:56:51.073 biopsy analysis into automation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.665$ Another feature which is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:52.665 --> 00:56:54.575 difficult is that the liver biopsy

00:56:54.575 --> 00:56:56.444 has many normal 4 tracks that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:56.444 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.260$ lot of collagen or branching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.170$ or tracks that show fibrosis tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.025$ That again is easily recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:04.025 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.738$ by Vista pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.722$ but the image analysis it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:08.722 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.710$ to be done manually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.188$ So the issue is that the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}13.188 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}57{:}14.668$ assessment remains subjective and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}14.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.822$ there's a lot of interobserver and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:57:16.822 --> 00:57:18.424 interrupts or variability on top

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:18.424 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.264$ of that there is a lot of sampling

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}20.270 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}57{:}22.120$ issues with liver biopsy because

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.323$ liver box represents a very tiny

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:24.323 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.794$ sample of this huge liver and there

 $00:57:26.794 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.639$ are issues of both qualitative versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}29.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}32.219$ quantitative assessment of high process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:57:32.220 --> 00:57:35.204 So during this time while this is happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:57:35.210 --> 00:57:36.906 many different noninvasive tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:36.906 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.026$ that assess the liberal globally

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:39.026 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.788$ have been developed which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.430$ Are gradually replacing and has replaced

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.320$ a blower biopsy in many settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.932$ These tests are based on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:57:49.932 --> 00:57:53.124 psychological markers as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}53.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}55.882$ imaging studies that evaluate liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:57:55.882 --> 00:57:59.212 stiffness and are are kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:57:59.212 --> 00:58:02.179 becoming so popular and so useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:58:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.091$ and I'm sure that at some time

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:58:04.091 --> 00:58:05.609 they will completely replace you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286 00:58:05.610 --> 00:58:06.148 Know,

 $00:58:06.148 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.726$ fibrosis and liver biopsies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}58{:}08.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10.856$ people suspect that liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00.58:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.118$ May become extent and and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:58:14.118 --> 00:58:16.870 Well, this may not happen exactly like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:58:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.128$ but the people have seen a decline in liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00{:}58{:}20.128 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}58{:}22.873$ biopsy is in in many different settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:58:22.873 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.789$ and in certain parts of the world where

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:58:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.339$ there is certainly a decline in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:58:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.470$ you know liver biopsy now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00{:}58{:}31.470 \longrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36.064$ So what one can do to liver biopsy to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}58{:}36.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40.125$ it more informative so that you know we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:58:40.125 --> 00:58:42.752 Still do liver biopsy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:58:42.752 --> 00:58:43.676 get more information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00{:}58{:}43.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}45.290$ That is not easily available

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:58:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.256$ from other weeds,

 $00:58:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.100$ so I know that I'm close to time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00{:}58{:}48.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}51.898$ so I'll just quickly go over this thing and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:58:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.004$ Talk briefly about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:58:54.004 --> 00:58:55.902 the uh advanced Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:58:55.902 --> 00:58:58.007 which is something that Rick

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:58:58.007 --> 00:59:00.222 Torres has developed and he has

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:59:00.222 --> 00:59:01.707 already given a grand round,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:59:01.710 --> 00:59:04.234 so I'm not going to kind of go over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:04.234 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.336$ all the things that he has done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:06.336 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.424$ but what he has done is he has

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:09.424 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.518$ applied clearing of tissues and using

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:13.518 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.440$ multiphoton microscopy generated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.405$ Computer algorithms that can convert

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:19.405 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.370$ images acquired by multiphoton microscopy

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:59:22.455 --> 00:59:24.060 into equivalence mathematically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00{:}59{:}24.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}26.660$ and this produces be autiful

 $00:59:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.358$ images that can be put on servers

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:30.358 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.298$ that can be accessed remotely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.114$ You can see these images have high

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:59:35.114 --> 00:59:37.285 quality of tissue Histology with

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:37.285 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.061$ great details and you can look at many

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:41.061 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.109$ multiple different levels you can use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667 00:59:44.110 --> 00:59:44.382 Second, NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

00:59:44.382 --> 00:59:46.286 harmonic generation to look at the collagen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00{:}59{:}46.290 \to 00{:}59{:}48.036$ which is very important to assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:48.036 \dashrightarrow 00:59:50.009$ of fibrosis in liver as they showed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.159$ And you can look at these tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:52.159 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.080$ in three dimension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00{:}59{:}53.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}56.698$ which adds a certain level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.130$ advanced Histology which is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $00:59:59.130 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.560$ available with our routine methods.

 $01:00:01.560 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.960$ You can color this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667 01:00:03.960 --> 01:00:05.680 Uhm? NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:00:05.680 --> 01:00:07.808 Find links in any color that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:07.808 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.217$ would like and to resemble any stain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:10.220 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.188$ and we also have started working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:00:13.190 --> 01:00:15.488 this using liberty and this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:15.488 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.764$ was done with all of you portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:00:17.764 --> 01:00:19.813 who was our fellow and in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:00:19.813 --> 01:00:22.117 study we just tried to look at you

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:00:22.117 --> 01:00:24.110 know how does the Histology done

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:00:24.110 --> 01:00:26.674 by this advanced Histology look or

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:00:26.674 --> 01:00:28.578 compared to traditional astrology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:28.580 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.770$ and our findings were that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $01:00:30.770 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.110$ look very good without any artifacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:33.110 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.758$ and the diagnosis can be made of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:35.758 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.984$ Significance of in one of the cases

01:00:37.984 --> 01:00:40.189 where you could study the levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01{:}00{:}40.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}42.245$ the fibrosis stage changed from

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:42.245 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.478$ 2 to cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:43.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.785$ Based on this advanced three

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:45.785 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.629$ dimensional or multiple levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:47.630 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.735$ Histology compared to the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:49.735 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.419$ dimensional strategy with two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:51.420 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.620$ So on top of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:00:53.620 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.311$ if we don't add what is going on with

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:00:56.311 --> 01:00:59.246 the analysis of tissues by either

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $01{:}00{:}59.246 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}01{:}01.801$ mass spectrometry or ramen spectrometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01{:}01{:}01{:}01{:}01{:}04{:}706$ I think one can look at the chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01{:}01{:}04.706 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06.283$ composition of various tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:01:06.283 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.013$ that are either a batter sites or

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:01:09.013 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.636$ other components that goes beyond

 $01:01:11.636 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.217$ just DNA and RNA evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:01:14.217 --> 01:01:16.805 This allows for quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:01:16.805 --> 01:01:19.393 analysis of various things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:01:19.400 --> 01:01:21.364 including lipids, proteins, metals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:01:21.364 --> 01:01:24.820 Nucleic acids at the very tissue level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

01:01:24.820 --> 01:01:26.510 which can be identified using

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:01:26.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.670$ probes or on two dimensional slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:01:28.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.442$ will allows for insight to localization

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:01:31.442 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.860$ of those chemicals within tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:01:33.860 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.394$ to the extent that is now almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:01:36.394 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.010$ at the cellular level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:01:38.010 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.968$ and I see the application of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814441566666667

 $01:01:40.968 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.954$ this technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:01:41.960 --> 01:01:43.694 in routine Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:01:43.694 --> 01:01:45.680 Advancing our knowledge in

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01{:}01{:}45.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}48.170$ each area we are working with

 $01{:}01{:}48.170 \longrightarrow 01{:}01{:}50.290$ this company site to various.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01{:}01{:}50.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52.315$ Sort of apply this technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:01:52.315 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.530$ to liver biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:01:53.530 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.188$ They're already working on breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:01:56.190 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.933$ In our department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:01:57.933 --> 01:02:01.115 our work has been slightly delayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:01.115 --> 01:02:02.490 due to the COVID situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:02.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.490$ but I'm very excited by

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:04.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.022$ collaboration with this company.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:06.022 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.006$ Looking at, you know these new

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:09.006 --> 01:02:10.247 technologies in liver biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:10.247 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.850$ so if you missed some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01{:}02{:}11.899 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}13.731$ things that I say that if you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:13.731 --> 01:02:14.967 more details we have addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:14.967 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.780$ this in one of the reviews that

 $01:02:16.833 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.687$ we just recently published, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:18.687 --> 01:02:19.968 Justin, in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:19.968 \dashrightarrow 01:02:22.103$ I think Universal fibrosis system

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:22.103 --> 01:02:24.424 for assessing fibrosis and liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:24.424 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.244$ disease is desperately needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:26.244 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.977$ and it will be very useful when

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:28.977 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.798$ we can validate this system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:30.798 --> 01:02:32.888 I've shown you the subclassification

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:32.888 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.306$ cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:33.310 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.320$ How it can be done and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:36.320 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.710$ with advances in related fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:38.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.989$ This will find more place in

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:41.989 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.618$ routine clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:43.620 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.664$ The evaluation of regression

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:45.664 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.708$ and progression of fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:47.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.038$ It is in a novel concept and it

01:02:51.038 --> 01:02:52.372 certainly requires some refinement

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:52.372 --> 01:02:54.500 before it can be used in practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:54.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.190$ but this is almost there and I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:58.190 --> 01:03:00.365 shown you some quantitative image

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:00.365 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.961$ analysis that can be applied and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:02.961 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.238$ with the availability of artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:03:05.238 --> 01:03:07.870 intelligence and machine learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:07.870 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.266$ this conservative advance our

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01{:}03{:}10.266 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}12.063$ understanding and clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:12.063 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.560$ use of liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01{:}03{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}16.792$ And the most exciting part of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:16.792 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.382$ application of molecular pathology or

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:18.382 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.020$ chemistry at the tissue level or cell level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:21.020 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.192$ which is tremendous potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:03:22.192 --> 01:03:23.950 not only in the cancer field,

01:03:23.950 --> 01:03:24.906 but inflammatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:24.906 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.340$ metabolic and infectious

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:26.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.252$ disorders of the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:03:28.260 --> 01:03:29.046 So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:03:29.046 --> 01:03:30.618 thank you very much for attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:03:30.620 --> 01:03:32.796 I know I went a few minutes overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:32.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.106$ but I'll be happy to take any

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:03:36.106 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.780$ questions if there are any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:03:37.780 --> 01:03:38.260 Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

01:03:38.960 --> 01:03:42.983 Dan Pat, thank you for that amazing tour and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01:03:42.983 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.312$ thank you for sharing this body of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

01:03:46.312 --> 01:03:48.490 That's very deep in fighting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01:03:48.564 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.080$ liver fibrosis and especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01{:}03{:}51.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}52.338$ exciting technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01:03:52.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.962$ At the end I I'll start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01{:}03{:}54.962 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}57.144$ I think we can, you know take 5

 $01:03:57.144 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.530$ minutes for questions and I bet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01:03:58.530 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.366$ There's a lot more than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01:04:00.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.185$ Well, I'll just start while

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

01:04:02.185 --> 01:04:04.000 people are collecting their their

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01:04:04.063 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.424$ thoughts back to the size of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01:04:06.424 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.330$ nodules in the fiber septien,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385

 $01:04:08.330 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.878$ a diagnostic liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930118608

 $01:04:10.690 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.460$ What are your thoughts as

NOTE Confidence: 0.818848412

01:04:12.470 --> 01:04:16.829 to when, if, or should this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818848412

 $01:04:16.829 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.860$ information be provided in a biopsy report?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:21.640 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.195$ I think this is a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:24.200 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.775$ and while the systems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:04:26.775 --> 01:04:29.498 I mentioned have been now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:29.498 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.446$ you know literature for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:04:33.450 --> 01:04:35.496 As you know, in clinical practice

01:04:35.496 --> 01:04:37.690 we really don't sign out for ABC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}04{:}37.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}40.668$ and I think this is largely due to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:40.668 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.864$ fact that in clinical practice the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:42.864 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.937$ implications of that are still limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:44.940 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.522$ But the areas where this is going to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:48.522 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.786$ important and the areas where it will find a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:51.790 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.040$ You know application is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:54.040 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.290$ treatment decisions are based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}04{:}56.370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}59.030$ presence of early versus capacitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:04:59.030 --> 01:05:00.675 For example hepatitis C right

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}05{:}00.675 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}01.991$ now every body with cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:01.991 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.997$ gets treated with antiviral drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:03.997 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.617$ because they're highly effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:05.620 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.858$ but if one realizes that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:07.858 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.790$ not beneficial in people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:09.790 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.428$ already have very advanced stage,

01:05:11.428 --> 01:05:13.346 whether you should treat them or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}05{:}13.346 \to 01{:}05{:}15.045$ should take them straight to transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}05{:}15.045 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}16.610$ all those issues will come up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:16.610 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.444$ Because as we saw in our study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:18.450 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.286$ when their patients with hepatitis C

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:20.286 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.266$ are very advanced, the regression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:22.266 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.334$ Is very little or minimal as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:05:25.334 --> 01:05:26.606 I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:05:26.606 --> 01:05:28.302 even patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:05:28.302 --> 01:05:29.150 hepatocellular carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}05{:}29.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31.694$ for example the selection of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:31.694 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.840$ based on early versus advanced cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:34.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.578$ could be critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:35.578 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.300$ And we don't do it right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:37.300 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.518$ But I have tried this indirectly

01:05:39.518 --> 01:05:42.206 on some patients and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:05:42.206 --> 01:05:44.040 that system does work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688 01:05:44.040 --> 01:05:44.640 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:44.640 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.280$ well we'll wait for your cue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:48.040 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.416$ There's there's a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:49.416 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.136$ of comments in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:51.140 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.190$ Doctor Boyer is saying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

01:05:53.190 --> 01:05:54.830 reversibility of fibrosis depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01{:}05{:}54.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}57.617$ to a certain extent on the degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:57.617 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.153$ of crosslinking of collagen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:59.160 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.290$ Is there any way to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:06:01.290 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.089$ this histologically and how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:06:03.089 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.949$ all get to your question next?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01{:}06{:}05.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}07.116$ Sure, I think that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

01:06:07.120 --> 01:06:10.504 I think one of the things that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:10.504 \longrightarrow 01:06:13.928$ talk about the irreversible fibrosis is

 $01:06:13.928 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.426$ based on the fact that the the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:16.426 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.904$ fibrosis have less crosslinking and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:18.904 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.970$ advanced fibrosis more cross linking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:20.970 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.674$ which is probably indirectly

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:22.674 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.378$ reflected in our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

01:06:24.380 --> 01:06:27.997 As you know, more thick SEPTA, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:27.997 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.799$ Truly, the functional assessment or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:30.799 \longrightarrow 01:06:33.022$ chemical assessment of this fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:33.022 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.626$ has to be done by other techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

01:06:35.630 --> 01:06:37.430 you know about histologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

01:06:37.430 --> 01:06:40.130 people have used darker blue staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:40.203 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.298$ on trichrome stains as indirect

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01{:}06{:}42.298 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}44.477$ measure of cross crosslet collagen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:44.477 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.956$ but that is a crude method only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:47.960 \longrightarrow 01:06:51.257$ so when I was showing about the

01:06:51.257 --> 01:06:52.410 chemical molecular pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:52.410 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.790$ I think these are some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:54.790 \longrightarrow 01:06:56.555$ things that one can certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:56.555 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.595$ assess to understand the you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:58.600 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.850$ The whole nature of process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:02.570 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.580$ In the interest of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:03.580 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.500$ I'll read how we use question patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:07.500 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.250$ with a paddle portal sclerosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:10.250 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.286$ Can have bad clinical portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

01:07:13.290 --> 01:07:15.800 And Pathologic exam often shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

01:07:15.800 --> 01:07:17.306 nodular regenerative hyperplasia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:17.310 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.747$ but not that many thick fiber spans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:20.750 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.210$ If any, how does your model

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

01:07:23.210 --> 01:07:24.850 tease out this population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:24.850 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.244$ How does fibrosis regression and then this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:27.244 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.020$ might be a separate or same question?

 $01:07:30.020 \longrightarrow 01:07:34.154$ How does fibrosis regression correlate with

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

01:07:34.154 --> 01:07:38.290 HVPG slash clinical portal hypertension?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:38.290 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.450$ And then a third. Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

01:07:39.450 --> 01:07:41.418 how does it correlate with elastography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:41.420 \longrightarrow 01:07:43.748$ which is a global assessment so

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

01:07:43.750 --> 01:07:47.380 NRH in Portola Peppers, chlorosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:47.380 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.552$ And then on aisle can repeat

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:49.552 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.690$ those questions so you can see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:07:52.040 \longrightarrow 01:07:54.456$ Yeah, so I think that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:07:54.460 --> 01:07:55.824 So first thing conceptually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:07:55.824 --> 01:07:58.629 when you're talking about a part of portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:07:58.629 \longrightarrow 01:08:00.723$ sclerosis or non static profile process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}00.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}02.920$ In that setting you have portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:02.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.920$ hypertension even without five process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:04.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.428$ So it's all hemodynamics.

 $01:08:06.428 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.964$ So in that setting you know certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:08.964 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.156$ we cannot apply what I was saying in

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:11.156 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.359$ terms of the five receptor or the nodule

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:13.359 \longrightarrow 01:08:15.281$ size because you don't see them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:15.281 \longrightarrow 01:08:17.528$ there's the whole thing about liver that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:17.530 \longrightarrow 01:08:20.338$ Deliver the hemodynamics is sticky feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}20.338 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}22.660$ and inhibited portal closes because

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:08:22.660 --> 01:08:25.387 of variety of things you have already

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}25.387 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}27.432$ deranged hemodynamics that leads to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:08:27.432 --> 01:08:29.429 clinical significant portal hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}29.430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}31.278$ and the complications, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:31.278 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.126$ was mentioning is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:33.130 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.630$ In advanced Digital helper to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:08:34.630 --> 01:08:35.230 portal sclerosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:08:35.230 --> 01:08:37.180 you develop some partial modularity

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:37.180 \longrightarrow 01:08:39.566$ and what people call complete

 $01{:}08{:}39.566 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}42.216$ septic services in that setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:42.216 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.208$ How one can apply this system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:44.210 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.212$ I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:08:45.212 --> 01:08:47.550 It'll be an interesting thing to study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:47.550 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.690$ but it's also clear that once

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:08:50.690 --> 01:08:51.770 you develop fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:08:51.770 --> 01:08:53.870 even in that subgroup of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:53.870 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.398$ your progression is faster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}56.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}58.768$ So that's about the the question of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:58.770 \longrightarrow 01:09:02.198$ You know a application of fibrosis and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}09{:}02.198 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}04.046$ static portal fibrosis or high particles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:04.050 \longrightarrow 01:09:04.511$ Closest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}09{:}04.511 --> 01{:}09{:}07.738$ The other issue is how do these

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:09:07.738 --> 01:09:09.880 things you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:09.880 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.496$ Oh behave or or assist on a clinical

 $01:09:12.496 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.345$ non invasive methods of fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}09{:}14.345 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}16.963$ and these are the limitations of non

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:17.034 \longrightarrow 01:09:19.239$ invasive methods of high process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:19.240 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.320$ However again this is a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:23.320 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.220$ separate discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:09:24.220 --> 01:09:26.920 In these patients there is evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:26.920 \longrightarrow 01:09:27.356$ support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:09:27.356 --> 01:09:29.533 hypertension which you can access

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}09{:}29.533 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}32.997$ by many means by other tests and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:32.997 \longrightarrow 01:09:35.978$ key thing is that both by clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}09{:}35.978 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}37.973$ assessment as well as imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:37.973 \longrightarrow 01:09:40.250$ assessment they don't have high costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:40.250 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.072$ I really don't have fibrosis so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:43.072 \longrightarrow 01:09:45.520$ is one area where certainly there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:45.520 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.068$ a discordance between the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:48.068 \longrightarrow 01:09:50.044$ stage and clinical presentation

01:09:50.044 --> 01:09:51.526 of portal hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:09:51.530 --> 01:09:53.650 but there's also a key towards the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:53.650 \longrightarrow 01:09:57.258$ so I don't know if there are other

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:57.258 \longrightarrow 01:09:59.378$ limitations of elastography in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:59.378 \longrightarrow 01:10:01.408$ setting of idiopathic portal hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:10:01.410 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.024$ but maybe Doctor boy or somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:10:03.024 \longrightarrow 01:10:04.728$ else who knows more about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:10:04.728 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.438$ than me can answer this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714

01:10:07.960 --> 01:10:11.019 How I don't know if if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714

 $01:10:11.020 \longrightarrow 01:10:12.620$ answered all your questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714

01:10:12.620 --> 01:10:14.760 but any further, how are any others?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714

 $01:10:14.760 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.935$ How you want to comment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714

 $01:10:15.940 \longrightarrow 01:10:18.990$ Or are there any other further questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:20.620 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.644$ Yes. So I I do see a comment by

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

01:10:23.644 --> 01:10:27.075 Joe Metric that the MALDI TOF or

01:10:27.075 --> 01:10:29.381 the multi technique can identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:29.381 \longrightarrow 01:10:30.284$ the crosslinking collagen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:30.284 \longrightarrow 01:10:32.090$ and that's what exactly I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:32.144 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.874$ mentioning that these techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:33.874 \longrightarrow 01:10:36.976$ of mass spectrometry or or Rahman

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:36.976 \longrightarrow 01:10:39.694$ spectrometry will be able to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:39.694 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.106$ the question of the actual chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:42.191 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.460$ alteration that taking place at that level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:45.460 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.584$ So thank you Joe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

01:10:46.584 --> 01:10:47.926 I think you know that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01{:}10{:}47.926 \to 01{:}10{:}49.006$ absolutely right what you say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84012485

 $01:10:52.180 \longrightarrow 01:10:55.060$ Well Dan pat. I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333

 $01:10:55.060 \longrightarrow 01:10:58.558$ thank you again for this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333

 $01:10:58.558 \longrightarrow 01:11:01.020$ informative and engaging grand rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333

 $01:11:01.020 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.860$ and I know there was a very large

NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333

 $01:11:03.940 \longrightarrow 01:11:06.761$ audience and the vast majority of whom

 $01:11:06.761 \longrightarrow 01:11:09.694$ are hung out to the very end here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9566301833333333

01:11:09.694 --> 01:11:12.122 Thank you again, thank you everybody for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9566301833333333

 $01{:}11{:}12.122 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}14.000$ attending and have a nice afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830546846

 $01:11:14.910 \longrightarrow 01:11:16.260$ Thank you all. Bye bye.