WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:03:17" NOTE recognizability:0.865

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.911$ To see you again. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:00:07.911 --> 00:00:12.066 There are already 52 participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:00:12.070 --> 00:00:14.908 so I'm going to go ahead

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:14.908 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.800$ and start introducing you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.528$ Uhm? So welcome everyone for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:21.528 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.096$ the pathology grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.940$ Today's speaker is doctor Jason Hornick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.480$ He's a professor of pathology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:00:31.480 --> 00:00:35.020 Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}00{:}35.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.068$ or Doctor Hornick grew up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}00{:}38.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.805$ California and then came up north to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:42.805 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.915$ do his college at Amherst College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.566$ He went back to do MD PhD.

00:00:49.570 --> 00:00:50.508 In California,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}00{:}50.508 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}$ and then he must have missed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:00:53.791 --> 00:00:56.126 seasons because he came right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:56.126 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.960$ back to Boston where he did his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.880$ residency and stayed on and his.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:01:04.880 --> 00:01:08.779 He completed his entire residency at Brigham.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}01{:}08.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.180$ He knew exactly what he wanted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625 00:01:11.180 --> 00:01:12.282 He did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:01:12.282 --> 00:01:16.139 and AP only residency and a fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:01:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.828$ which was three months each in soft tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}01{:}19.830 --> 00{:}01{:}21.070 \ \mathrm{Him} \ \mathrm{path},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:01:21.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.550$ jiwan pathology and general

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:01:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.039$ pathology and this might seem mad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:01:27.040 --> 00:01:30.166 But all of this exposure shaped

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:01:30.166 --> 00:01:32.194 his academic career anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:01:32.194 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.978$ He rounded it off with one.

 $00{:}01{:}34.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.868$ Year of GI pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:01:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.678$ I'm not sure how that helped his career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:01:42.680 --> 00:01:45.602 His doctor Hartnick made his name

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:01:45.602 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.820$ as a soft tissue pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:01:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.622$ He is an outstanding educator and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:01:51.622 --> 00:01:54.933 a frequent speaker at national and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:01:54.933 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.649$ international meetings and courses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:01:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:02:02.216$ He is codirector of surgical pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06{.}591$ update course at Brigham and Women's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:06.591 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.076$ and of the diagnostic pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:10.076 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.189$ update course for the US CAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:13.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.110$ Doctor Hornik is very generous of his time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:16.110 --> 00:02:18.210 He wears many hats,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:18.210 --> 00:02:20.310 director of Surg Path,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.565$ medical director of Histology and

00:02:23.565 --> 00:02:25.518 the immunohistochemistry lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}02{:}25.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.108$ His cheer of the QA committee and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:30.108 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.432$ also on several cancer focused committees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:33.432 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.519$ at the Brigham and Women's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.674$ He nationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:38.674 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.713$ he served on the education and committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:42.720 --> 00:02:45.611 All of us cap and is currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:45.611 --> 00:02:48.200 on the Board of Directors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}02{:}48.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.966$ He's been a panelist and moderator on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:51.966 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.860$ several of the educational sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}02{:}55.860 \to 00{:}02{:}58.710$ His written guidelines, his chair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:58.710 --> 00:03:01.122 dicey committee for CAP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:01.122 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.137$ and he developed the proficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:04.137 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.928$ testing for thousands of IFC

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:06.928 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.016$ labs around the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.278$ our lab being one of those.

 $00:03:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.306$ He is involved with the agencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:16.306 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.334$ sarcoma staging and the cancer genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:20.334 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.170$ Atlas is a sarcoma analysis working group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:25.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.434$ He also finds time to write board questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:28.434 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.570$ for the American Board of Pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625 00:03:31.570 --> 00:03:32.182 Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:32.182 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.242$ Doctor Hornick has developed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}03{:}35.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.647$ described many IHC markers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}03{:}38.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41.227$ diagnose genetic abnormalities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.590$ and many of these are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}03{:}44.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.830$ have affected our practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.850$ so these are significant practice changers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}03{:}50.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.441$ Some of a short list would be I and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:54.441 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.380$ I won that detects smart B1 genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.760$ aberrations start six that detects the

 $00:04:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.580$ translocation in solitary fibrous tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}04{:}06.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.720$ Back story for sinonasal sarcomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:09.720 --> 00:04:12.008 Panther Gabino history chemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:12.008 --> 00:04:16.729 which we use on head and neck tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.769$ This 18 it says X fusion specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:20.769 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.170$ antibody for synovial sarcomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.530$ that he talked about and showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.786$ in this morning's conference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:28.786 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.686$ and many, many, many others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:31.686 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.078$ The list is long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.906$ he's he's the editor in chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:36.906 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.848$ of the fifth series of if IPS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.638$ at lases of tumor, and non tumor pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.840$ He is an associate editor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:46.840 --> 00:04:49.408 For Sternberg's diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:49.408 --> 00:04:51.120 surgical pathology,

 $00:04:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.090$ he is on the editorial board

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}04{:}54.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56.070$ of a JSP and

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:04:56.196 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.250$ modern pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:04:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.066$ He is he has a senior leadership position

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:02.066 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.400$ on the editorial boards of histopathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:06.400 --> 00:05:09.680 advances in anatomic pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:09.680 --> 00:05:11.849 surgical pathology, clinics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:11.849 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.180$ archives of pathology and lab medicine, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:18.180 --> 00:05:19.170 Not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.799$ he has more than 400 original articles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:23.799 --> 00:05:26.448 reviews and chapters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.922$ and harking back to his first fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}05{:}29.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.109$ were his spent three months in

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:33.109 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.774$ various subspecialities his not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:35.774 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.411$ on the editorial board of these soft

00:05:39.411 --> 00:05:42.844 tissue and bone blue book by WHO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:42.844 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.676$ but also on the blue books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.684$ He's contributed to breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:47.684 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.690$ Do you want him to rasik

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:50.787 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.108$ and pediatric Bluebirds?

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:53.108 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.380$ So in conclusion, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:57.380 --> 00:06:01.450 Hornig still has a lot of time on his hands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.844$ and I'll show what else he does

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}06{:}03.850 --> 00{:}06{:}07.420$ by sharing my screen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.911$ Recently this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}06{:}08.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.390$ Doctor Hornick with his here on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:12.486 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.461$ keyboard has cut an album for his

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:15.461 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.795$ band with his band up there teardowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:06:18.795 --> 00:06:22.005 And this is available on Spotify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.718$ If anyone cares if anyone is

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:25.718 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.190$ tired of learning pathology,

 $00:06:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.500$ we can all go back and listen

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.220$ to his band instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.486$ So with that short introduction I will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:36.486 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.534$ See the floor to Doctor Hornick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87832498875

 $00{:}06{:}40.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.120$ Thank you very much Montreux

NOTE Confidence: 0.87832498875

 $00:06:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.977$ for that Nice introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87832498875

 $00:06:44.977 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.839$ Let me make sure this works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87832498875

 $00{:}06{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.400$ I always have a little bit of trouble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933226253333333

00:06:51.760 --> 00:06:53.643 Can you let me know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.933226253333333

 $00:06:53.643 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.400$ can see my full screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933226253333333

 $00:06:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.454$ Yep. We can. Is it in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933226253333333

 $00:07:01.454 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.734$ presentation mode or full screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877260148333333

 $00:07:02.750 \dashrightarrow 00:07:08.370$ Yes, it's in the presentation mode. No notes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.310$ Oops was that good?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.330$ Yeah, that's the full screen mode.

00:07:14.330 --> 00:07:17.868 OK, perfect. So as you heard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}07{:}17.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.653$ I have very diverse interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:19.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.072$ My main area of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:21.072 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.172$ Interest where I do most of my research

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:07:24.172 --> 00:07:26.878 is in soft tissue tumor pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}07{:}26.880 \to 00{:}07{:}30.132$ and Doctor Prasad has invited me

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:30.132 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.312$ today to give a lecture focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}07{:}32.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.200$ soft tissue tumors of the head neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.979$ This is a a complicated area obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:07:36.979 --> 00:07:39.729 not only for soft tissue tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.906$ but this is a an area with lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:41.906 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.151$ of vital structures and a diverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:07:44.151 --> 00:07:46.191 array of different tumor types

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:07:46.191 --> 00:07:48.555 that can arise in these structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:48.555 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.109$ And I'm going to talk about some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}07{:}52.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.049$ dramatic changes that have come to

 $00:07:55.049 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.467$ head neck pathology for mesenchymal

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}07{:}57.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.931$ neoplasia in the last ten years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.080$ I have the following disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.612$ I'm a consultant to Addie Biosciences

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:08:06.612 --> 00:08:08.300 and Trey Con Pharmaceuticals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.975$ which is not relevant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:09.975 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.650$ the discussion for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.086$ I'm going to be framing my

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:15.086 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.270$ presentation in terms of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.713$ I think it's nice to bring them

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:08:19.713 --> 00:08:21.760 home to practical applications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}21.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.175$ and many of the findings that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}24.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.791$ going to be discussing are nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:26.791 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.286$ illustrated by by these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.816$ I'm going to start off with a

 $00:08:30.816 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.540$ with a tumor type that we've known

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}32.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.641$ about for a long time, but it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:34.641 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.303$ It's a nice framing for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:36.303 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.780$ rest of the discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.468$ because this is a tumor that is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}40.468 \to 00{:}08{:}42.817$ overlooked and is prone to misdiagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.344$ At this anatomic site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:44.344 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.352$ So we're starting off with a 43 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:47.352 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.613$ old man with a left sinus mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.180$ As is often the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:08:51.180 --> 00:08:52.050 these specimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.133$ these two readings often look kind of messy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:55.133 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.638$ There's a lot of hemorrhage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.265$ and lots of separate fragments of tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.350$ and on the low power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.943$ it's kind of hard to see what's going on,

 $00{:}09{:}01.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.494$ although there are some sailor foci

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}09{:}04.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.766$ in the scanning image and let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:06.766 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.782$ focus on a few of these areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.995$ So now we see those one fragmente

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:10.995 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.592$ with some blue cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:12.592 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.478$ Hard to know what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.964$ Higher power another area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:16.964 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.990$ We also have these streams of blue cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}09{:}19.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.486$ but certainly to make anything more

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:22.486 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.249$ of this will have to go closer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.210$ So now you begin to see that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.029$ are these very large, rounded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}09{:}29.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31.665$ slightly angulated cells embedded

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:09:31.665 --> 00:09:34.960 in this dense fibrous stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.016$ Even a higher power we can see this

 $00:09:37.016 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.120$ as a somewhat nested proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}09{:}39.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.360$ in filtrating through the submucosal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.048$ We have some normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:44.048 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.736$ normal glandular structures here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.416$ Higher power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:47.416 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.593$ this is a tumor that has significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:50.593 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.879$ crush artifact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.740$ Just the last few hypower images

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}09{:}54.740 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.046$ and you can see this is a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:57.046 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.138$ round blue cell tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:09:58.140 --> 00:09:59.616 Although the cells aren't so small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.924$ they're actually quite large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:00.924 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.602$ Then we come to really high power and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:03.602 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.576$ you can see the primitive chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:10:05.580 --> 00:10:07.908 Very large nuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:10:07.908 --> 00:10:10.236 very limited cytoplasm.

00:10:10.240 --> 00:10:12.694 So I think on morphologic grounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:10:12.694 --> 00:10:13.921 the differential diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:13.921 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.579$ would certainly be broad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:15.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.312$ This is a young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:10:17.312 --> 00:10:19.477 not quite middle aged adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.559$ so we have to think of sarcomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:10:21.560 --> 00:10:22.235 lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:10:22.235 --> 00:10:22.910 Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.610$ sometimes sinonasal melanomas have

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}10{:}25.610 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}10{:}28.534$ round some morphology or given

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:28.534 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.322$ the the high nucleocytoplasmic

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:30.322 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.110$ ratio and the print

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00{:}10{:}32.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.008$ of primitive appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:10:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.174$ We certainly could also

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:10:36.174 --> 00:10:37.797 consider endocrine carcinoma,

 $00:10:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.558$ a very wide panel of screening markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00{:}10{:}40.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.345$ Was done in this case and the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:10:43.345 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.595$ pass only revealed a single finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:10:45.595 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.340$ and that is very strong intense

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:10:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.940$ standing for Desmond in every cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:10:51.940 --> 00:10:54.556 You can see on high power the limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:10:54.556 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.889$ silo plasm is nicely highlighted by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:10:57.889 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.977$ Desmond Stainless intermediate filament.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00{:}10{:}59.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.678$ Then additional confirmatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:01.678 --> 00:11:03.376 marker was performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:03.380 --> 00:11:06.020 Actually, 2 markers MYLDE 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:11:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.510$ which is positive in every nucleus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:08.510 --> 00:11:11.758 as is myogenin in a very strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:11:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.406$ intense fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:12.406 --> 00:11:15.503 And I'm sure by now many of you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00{:}11{:}15.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.155$ surgical pathology have made the diagnosis.

00:11:18.160 --> 00:11:20.920 This summarizes the immunity chemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:20.920 --> 00:11:22.450 all the markers that were negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:22.450 --> 00:11:24.978 excluding your endocrine carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:11:24.978 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.916$ Melanoma, and lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:11:26.916 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.528$ This is alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:11:31.640 --> 00:11:33.320 I don't expect you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:11:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.664$ follow this entire table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:11:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.210$ This table was included in a review

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:11:37.210 --> 00:11:39.800 article that I I recently wrote for

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:11:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.620$ seminars and diagnostic pathology with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:11:42.620 --> 00:11:44.652 junior colleague of mine, Michael Kaelin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:11:44.652 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.144$ who's a bone and soft tissue pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:11:47.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.200$ at the University of Maryland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:11:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.119$ where we focused on these new emerging

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:11:52.119 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.459$ categories of round soul sarcomas.

 $00:11:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.660$ But we also included this table that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:11:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.750$ highlights some of the conventional lineages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:11:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.445$ markers keratin Desmond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}12{:}01.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.400$ Myogenin Myo D1 that we've already mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.620$ as well as some more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:12:06.620 --> 00:12:09.848 much more recently developed markers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:12:09.848 --> 00:12:12.950 correlate with molecular genetic alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.530$ which in some cases are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}12{:}15.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.267$ sufficient to make the diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}12{:}18.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.543$ some of these round cell sarcoma types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}12{:}21.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.085$ So let's talk about Rhabdomyosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667 00:12:24.085 --> 00:12:25.099 in general. NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.020$ There are four different classes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:12:27.020 --> 00:12:29.280 tumors that we consider Rhabdomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.948$ as these are all sarcomas that shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:32.948 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.520$ skeletal muscle differentiation.

 $00:12:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.490$ The classic embryonal and alveolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.272$ Rhabdomyosarcoma is typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:12:39.272 --> 00:12:40.923 affect children, adolescents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:12:40.923 --> 00:12:42.312 and young adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:42.312 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.090$ and they do have particular anatomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:12:45.165 --> 00:12:47.310 sites where these tumors arise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.464$ and if you're familiar with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:49.464 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.549$ epidemiology of these classes of tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}12{:}51.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.366$ it is often possible to suggest the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:12:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.477$ even before you look down the microscope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}12{:}57.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.145$ and I always emphasize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}13{:}00.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.277$ demographics is critical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}13{:}02.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.748$ understanding some basic epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:13:04.750 --> 00:13:07.606 Of sarcomas can really help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:07.606 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.360$ dramatically toward a specific diagnosis.

00:13:10.360 --> 00:13:13.412 We're not going to talk about 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:13.412 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.666$ amorphic Rhabdomyosarcoma spindle

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:14.666 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.794$ cells sclerostin Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:15.794 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.370$ We're going to come back to a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.010$ later in the session this afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:13:22.010 --> 00:13:25.340 Another example of alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.720$ This is really one of the classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.736$ round cell sarcomas that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:13:29.736 --> 00:13:31.580 fairly uniform nuclear morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667 00:13:31.580 --> 00:13:32.346 The nuclear, NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:13:32.346 --> 00:13:34.644 often much larger than the nuclei

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:34.644 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.040$ of Ewing sarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.427$ and if you're lucky you'll find an

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}13{:}38.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.224$ occasional reflight giant cell as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:40.224 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.240$ see in the middle of the field here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.120$ That's a very correct characteristic.

 $00:13:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.620$ Giant cell type of alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:13:48.620 --> 00:13:52.170 In contrast to embryonal Rhabdomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}13{:}52.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.372$ alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:53.372 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.776$ typically shows very strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:13:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.520$ intense diffuse staining for myogenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}13{:}59.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02.450$ The skeletal muscle transcription factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.516$ And Brian or Rhabdomyosarcoma usually shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:05.516 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.160$ much more heterogeneity of the nuclei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.780$ Some small rounded cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.612$ some short spindle cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:11.612 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.902$ often embedded within a lucid

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:13.902 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.708$ demonised for myxoid stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}14{:}15.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.279$ And here you can see the striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:18.279 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.560$ difference in Myogenin immunoreactivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}14{:}20.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.428$ And Brian or Rhabdomyosarcoma

 $00:14:22.428 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.296$ will show quite variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}14{:}24.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.956$ My agenda and expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:25.956 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.026$ It's often between maybe 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}14{:}28.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.104$ and 60 or 70% of nuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:30.104 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.960$ but quite different from the strong diffuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:14:33.033 --> 00:14:36.195 standing we see in alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667 00:14:36.200 --> 00:14:37.226 and in fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

00:14:37.226 --> 00:14:38.936 in a limited biopsy sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.622$ the extent of myogenin is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:41.622 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.328$ helpful to support the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}14{:}44.328 \to 00{:}14{:}46.800$ of alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.736$ These classes of sarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:48.736 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.188$ have distinct genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667 00:14:50.190 --> 00:14:50.485 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:50.485 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.845$ I'm going to come back to spindle cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00{:}14{:}52.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54.957$ sclerostin Rhabdomyosarcoma little bit later.

 $00:14:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.116$ The really important thing to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:58.116 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.942$ is alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma's Harbor

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:14:59.942 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.030$ FOXO 1 gene fusions and this is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:15:03.096 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.648$ different from Brian or Rhabdomyosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:15:05.648 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.158$ which does harbor wrasse mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953476476666667

 $00:15:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.208$ but only in a small subset of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.006$ So now let's talk a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:15.006 \dashrightarrow 00:15:17.469$ detail about alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}15{:}17.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.176$ Another good clinical clue to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}15{:}20.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.680$ diagnosis is the fact that alveolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.001$ Rhabdomyosarcoma often presents with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:26.001 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.456$ metastasis to cervical lymph notes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.996$ so the presentation can be quite similar to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.818$ Oropharyngeal or nasopharyngeal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:34.818 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.848$ carcinomas that often present with

 $00:15:37.848 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.340$ cervical lymph node metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.525$ The same thing goes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:15:42.525 --> 00:15:43.399 alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.696$ so this is always important to have in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:45.696 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.083$ mind in your differential diagnosis when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:15:48.083 --> 00:15:51.080 you're dealing with a primitive round cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:15:51.080 --> 00:15:52.174 malignant neoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:52.174 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.909$ Alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma has the worst

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}15{:}54.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58.186$ prognosis of all of the Rhabdomyosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:15:58.186 --> 00:16:00.380 types and in terms of differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:00.380 \dashrightarrow 00:16:03.259$ diagnosis and one of the reasons that this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.786$ Class of sarcoma is often misdiagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:05.786 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.300$ when it arises in the sinus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.331$ Is is the fact that it's quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:16:11.331 --> 00:16:14.530 common for these tumors to express

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:16:14.530 --> 00:16:16.165 keratins and or synaptophysin,

 $00:16:16.165 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.235$ and there was a very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:16:18.235 --> 00:16:19.960 paper published by Andrew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.368$ Folks will come back to in a minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:16:22.368 --> 00:16:24.399 that indicated the really high rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:24.399 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.104$ of standing for these markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.036$ This is an example of metastatic alveolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:29.036 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.649$ Rhabdomyosarcoma in the cervical lymph node.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.090$ You can see this is a nested tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.535$ Where the differential diagnosis would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}16{:}36.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.229$ certainly be with a Melanoma and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:16:40.229 --> 00:16:42.565 primitive poorly differentiated carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.534$ Obviously it's easy to confirm the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}16{:}45.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.340$ diagnosis with Desmond or myogenic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}16{:}48.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.996$ And this is the paper I alluded to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.176$ Andrew fopen.

 $00:16:51.176 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.528$ Colleagues from some different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}16{:}53.528 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}16{:}55.880$ institutions many years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.948$ highlighted this potentially serious

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:57.948 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.533$ diagnostic pitfall of expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:00.533 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.199$ keratins and your endocrine markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:17:03.199 --> 00:17:04.759 and alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:17:04.760 --> 00:17:05.840 And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.000$ I would estimate that maybe once

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}17{:}08.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.548$ every three or four years coming to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:17:10.548 --> 00:17:13.236 our head and neck on koleji clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.046$ there will be a patient who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:15.046 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.125$ thought to have a poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:17.125 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.430$ and render carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:17:18.430 --> 00:17:21.134 Of the sinonasal tract that turned out in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.222$ in retrospect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:22.222 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.009$ on RE review to be alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

 $00:17:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.074$ OK, so that's it for alveolar rabdo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:30.080 \dashrightarrow 00:17:32.519$ Now we're going to go to the second case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.130$ This is from a 70 year old man who

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.981$ had a lesion in the sphenoid sinus

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:37.981 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.779$ were starting with some sinus lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.909$ Another curettage specimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.440$ not a lot going on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}17{:}45.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.195$ Most of these fragments of

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:17:47.195 --> 00:17:48.599 tissue look pretty normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}17{:}48.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.015$ but I think you'll probably notice there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:51.015 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.326$ just a few fragments that look very cellular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.466$ Here is one of those fragments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}17{:}56.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.938$ This is a spindle cell neoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}17{:}59.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.970$ It's a pretty cellular process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.240$ Fascicle sheets of spindle cells

00:18:05.240 --> 00:18:07.510 that look fairly uniformly spaced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.710$ even if this intermediate magnification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.216$ And other fragments involved by the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:18:13.220 --> 00:18:15.236 And now you could appreciate those thin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.810$ walled, dilated and branching blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.789$ vessels which we refer to as staghorn

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:21.789 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.099$ vessels or hemangiopericytoma like vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.465$ alluding to the tumors that we used to call

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:29.465 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.749$ hemangiopericytoma that now do not exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.258$ Except for this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}18{:}33.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.143$ Little higher powerview and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:35.143 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.975$ we see those thin walled dilated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:37.975 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.395$ Somewhat branching blood vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.638$ and you can begin to appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:42.638 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.757$ better than cytology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.040$ This is a uniform tumor.

 $00:18:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.632$ Oval to short spindle cells that are fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:49.632 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.908$ evenly distributed and evenly spaced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:18:51.910 --> 00:18:53.400 Another nice field of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.690$ the higher power with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:55.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.875$ classic blood vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:18:56.875 --> 00:18:59.245 Some areas are devoid of vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:18:59.245 --> 00:19:01.836 and you could see some somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:19:01.836 --> 00:19:03.532 sharply defined cell borders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.381$ and then we come to very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:05.381 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.707$ power and we can see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:07.707 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.179$ nuclear morphology is uniform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.998$ The chromatin is actually fairly even.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}19{:}11.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13.736$ It's not so course there's limited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:19:13.740 --> 00:19:16.236 if any mitotic activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:19:16.236 --> 00:19:19.356 and really not any significant

 $00:19:19.356 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.230$ nuclear atypia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:19:22.230 --> 00:19:23.910 One more high power view again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.400$ you could see the even spacing

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.060$ of these uniform nuclei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.924$ So what's our differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:29.924 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.788$ diagnosis for this case?

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:19:31.790 --> 00:19:33.210 Given the anatomic sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.985$ and given the prior case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.808$ we could also think about Melanoma carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:19:37.808 --> 00:19:39.956 which would obviously be much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}19{:}39.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.194$ common than the tumors were talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:42.194 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.390$ about in the session this afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.082$ Maybe monophasic synovial sarcoma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}19{:}46.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.600$ This is a very uniform spindle cell neoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286 00:19:49.600 --> 00:19:49.933 Although, NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:49.933 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.598$ as the residents discussed this

00:19:51.598 --> 00:19:53.420 morning in the slide seminar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.980$ so noville sarcoma usually has

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:19:55.980 --> 00:19:58.028 somewhat overlapping nuclei because

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:19:58.028 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.638$ they have such limited cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.540$ In this case, the nuclear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:20:02.540 --> 00:20:04.650 the nuclear, fairly evenly spaced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.286$ which would argue against synovial sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:20:08.290 --> 00:20:11.517 And then finally. Possibly a gloom angio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.740$ Perry cytoma, whatever that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}20{:}13.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.687$ This is a tumor that's also called

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}20{:}16.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{:}530$ sinonasal hemangiopericytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.546$ Smooth muscle actin and some areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:20:22.550 --> 00:20:24.050 It's not so impressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:24.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.300$ but on other areas there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.620$ quite strong staining for SMAD.

 $00:20:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.060$ One other stand I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.510$ show you is beta catenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.846$ This is normal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:32.846 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.496$ You can see the the upper respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:35.496 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.784$ epithelium has beautiful membranous

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:37.784 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.072$ staining for beta catenin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.726$ whereas in the tumor we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:42.726 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.490$ this incredibly intense nuclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:20:44.573 --> 00:20:46.928 and cytoplasmic staining was in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.591$ which is an aberrant pattern for

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}20{:}49.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52.196$ nuclear Vatican for forbidding catenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.201$ The other markers that were investigated

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:55.201 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.356$ in this case were negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:20:57.360 --> 00:21:00.000 and I'm sure you've already guessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:21:00.000 --> 00:21:02.849 this is William Angio Perry Cytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:21:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.139$ This is a tumor that for many

 $00:21:05.139 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.120$ years was called

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:21:06.196 --> 00:21:08.260 sinonasal hemangiopericytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.000$ This terminology has evolved a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.650$ over the years, in part because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.856$ hemangiopericytoma term has sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:15.856 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.488$ been abandoned and most organ systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.403$ So in the head neck there's trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:19.403 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.244$ to get away from it as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.812$ This is a lesion that arises most

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:23.812 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.040$ commonly in the ethmoid sinus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:21:26.040 --> 00:21:28.368 It's benign, although it can recur

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:28.368 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.630$ locally in about 1/3 of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}21{:}30.630 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}21{:}33.876$ Most patients present with a nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:21:33.876 --> 00:21:36.956 or sinus polyp fairly small in size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:36.956 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.140$ although occasionally they can be large.

00:21:39.140 --> 00:21:41.580 They often have some expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.044$ of actin filaments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.002$ These are thought to be perivascular cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:21:46.002 --> 00:21:49.398 The cells that have contractile properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.740$ which is why they express

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.144$ the actin filaments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.318$ Are there negative for Desmond Keratins?

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.720$ But we learned in 2015 by these Nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:21:59.720 --> 00:22:02.578 papers published by two different groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.608$ one of them by Florian Haller

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}22{:}04.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.612$ and Abasa Gammy, and colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:06.612 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.067$ the other by Jersey Losada,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.057$ Mark home yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:10.057 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.031$ and and a few other colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:12.031 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.341$ in and head and neck pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:22:14.341 --> 00:22:15.865 that Glow Manju Perry.

00:22:15.870 --> 00:22:19.209 Cytoma harbors consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:22:19.209 --> 00:22:23.849 activating mutations in C, TNN B1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:23.849 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.447$ the genes that encodes beta catenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.160$ Which leads to this incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.328$ intense nuclear and cytoplasmic

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:31.328 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.250$ staining for beta catenin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.398$ which has become a very easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}22{:}35.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37.008$ to apply diagnostic marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}22{:}37.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.116$ The only problem with beta caten in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}22{:}40.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.951$ head and neck spindle cell neoplasms is

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:22:42.951 --> 00:22:46.170 the fact that it's not entirely specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:22:46.170 --> 00:22:47.106 My colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}22{:}47.106 --> 00{:}22{:}49.446$ Vicki Jo and Chris Fletcher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.921$ published this follow up paper and head

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:51.921 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.650$ neck pathology soon after investigating

 $00:22:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.450$ immunohistochemistry for beta catenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}22{:}57.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.700$ In a range of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.190$ spindle cell neoplasms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:01.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.350$ and they confirmed the beautiful staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.058$ we find in Glen Angio Perry Cytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}23{:}06.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.740$ But at least you should be aware that

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:23:08.740 --> 00:23:10.866 the majority of solitary fibrous

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:10.866 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.256$ tumors and synovial sarcomas also

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}23{:}13.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.986$ shown aberrant nuclear beta catenin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.650$ but it really doesn't show

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:23:17.650 --> 00:23:18.646 that incredibly diffuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.485$ intense staining that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:23:20.485 --> 00:23:22.320 in Gorman Joe Perry cytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.060$ Now we go on to case three or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.319$ still in the Sinonasal region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.273$ This is from a 36 year old

 $00:23:31.273 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.478$ man with a nasal cavity mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}23{:}33.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.140$ extending into the ethmoid sinus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.108$ This is a much larger tumor than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:23:40.108 --> 00:23:41.970 previous samples I've shown you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.490$ This is a really purple looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.170$ tumor from scanning magnification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.390$ Little bit higher power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}23{:}47.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.220$ You could also you can already

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:23:49.278 --> 00:23:51.750 begin to appreciate that this tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}23{:}51.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.030$ has a fascicular architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.080$ Again, it has that somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.900$ purple appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:23:56.900 --> 00:23:59.378 You can see some finwall dilated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:59.378 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.430$ blood vessels at the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:01.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.244$ We have entrapment of these these glands.

 $00:24:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.410$ The glands of the sinus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.265$ which sometimes look a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:08.265 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.007$ bit hyperplastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:09.010 --> 00:24:12.436 They look very prominent in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:12.436 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.720$ embedded within this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:14.720 --> 00:24:17.142 Higher Power area and we can now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:17.142 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.940$ appreciate the nuclear morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:18.940 --> 00:24:23.260 Very bland, fairly uniform nuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:23.260 --> 00:24:24.270 somewhat overlapping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:24.270 --> 00:24:27.300 Coming back to our previous comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:27.300 --> 00:24:30.200 Areas are much more purple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.000$ uniformly fascicular we see those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.800$ fascicles nicely at high power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.552$ This tumor comes all the way up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}24{:}38.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.118$ the surface of the sinonasal mucosa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.728$ And then we come again to high power

 $00:24:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.103$ and you can see the uniform nuclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}24{:}46.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.363$ morphology and we even can see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:48.363 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.940$ areas with these wiry collagen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.790$ bundles between the tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.974$ So most of what I've described

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:55.974 --> 00:24:58.634 now would fit very well with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:58.634 --> 00:25:00.326 monophasic synovial sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}00.330 \to 00{:}25{:}02.451$ But I think given the rarity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:02.451 --> 00:25:04.708 that tumor in this anatomic site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.798$ we have to think of some of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}06.798 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}25{:}08.967$ tumors we discussed this morning like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:08.967 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.842$ malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}10.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.822$ Perhaps leiomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:11.822 --> 00:25:15.224 although this tumor is not so eosinophilic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.220$ it's a bit more purple.

 $00:25:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.360$ And then biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:21.360 --> 00:25:23.944 As I go through each of these examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:23.950 --> 00:25:25.402 I'm sure even if you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:25.402 --> 00:25:26.560 know what you're looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.580$ you could probably guess the diagnosis by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.120$ how I'm framing the differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.640$ Let's look at administer chemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:34.640 --> 00:25:36.690 Smooth muscle actin was positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.920$ in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.250$ A fairly kind of variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.729$ Not so impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:41.729 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.194$ Overall positive in these areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.056$ There was some limited staining for Desmond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.484$ and there was also some limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:49.484 --> 00:25:51.100 staining for S100 protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.998$ but this is the characteristic nuclear

 $00:25:53.998 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.328$ and cytoplasmic staining pattern you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:56.328 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.208$ should look for to feel confident that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:59.208 --> 00:26:02.629 you're dealing with true staining for S 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.480$ TLE One was also positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:04.480 --> 00:26:07.008 We didn't talk about Tilly one this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:07.010 --> 00:26:09.344 Tillie one has been developed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:09.344 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.550$ a marker for synovial sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}11.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.154$ It was identified by gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:14.154 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.754$ profiling about 15 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:15.754 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.263$ but we now know that T one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:18.263 --> 00:26:19.647 really not so specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:19.650 --> 00:26:22.112 It's only has kind of moderate specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}22.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.280$ although the sensitivity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:24.280 --> 00:26:26.990 synovial sarcoma is very high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.250$ So to summarize the immuno.

00:26:29.250 --> 00:26:32.855 SM Afocal Desmond S 100 TL E1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}32.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.540$ Whereas epithelial markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.340$ and socks 10 were negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:37.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.264$ This is in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:40.264 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.457$ Biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.812$ So what is this tumor that goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:44.812 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.110$ by this unusual descriptive name?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:47.110 --> 00:26:47.433 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:47.433 --> 00:26:48.402 lucky for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:48.402 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.492$ the name that we now use is a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}51.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.646$ bit easier to remember than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:53.646 --> 00:26:56.287 first descriptor of this tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:26:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.420$ Lewis and colleagues published this

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:26:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.696$ paper almost ten years ago now where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:01.696 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.294$ first to find this interesting tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}04.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.814$ that they called low grade sinon asal

00:27:06.814 --> 00:27:09.820 sarcoma with neural and myogenic features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.625$ which is a perfect description

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:12.625 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.869$ for this tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.710$ This is a low grade sarcoma that seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}17.710 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.926$ to be unique to the sinonasal tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:27:20.930 --> 00:27:23.828 It occurs in adults over a wide age range,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.340$ slightly more common in female patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.806$ It's most common in the nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:29.806 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.450$ cavity and ethmoid sinus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:27:31.450 --> 00:27:34.384 Despite the fact that we call this a sarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.550$ it doesn't appear to have metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.586$ potential, at least thus far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}38.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.681$ No metastatic cases have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}40.681 \to 00{:}27{:}42.327$ published with molecular confirmation

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:42.327 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.590$ as I'll come back to in a minute.

 $00:27:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.887$ There have been several tumor related deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}48.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.506$ This seems to be a very rare event,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.720$ primarily due to intracranial extension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.220$ Given the anatomic site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.290$ so these tumors can be locally aggressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:59.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.672$ they seem to recur in about

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:01.672 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.542$ 30 to 40% of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:04.542 --> 00:28:07.050 Sometimes patients experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}28{:}07.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.558$ multiple local recurrences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.438$ As we saw in our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:11.440 --> 00:28:13.832 these tumors look strikingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:13.832 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.430$ similar to monophasic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:16.430 --> 00:28:20.482 Synovial sarcoma, including cytologic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:20.482 --> 00:28:23.638 uniformity, fascicular growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}28{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.195$ Often somewhat WAVY nuclei and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:26.195 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.750$ indistinct cytoplasm that have delicate

 $00:28:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.758$ stromal collagen similar to synovial

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}28{:}31.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.688$ sarcoma and solitary fibrous tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:34.690 --> 00:28:38.290 It's common to see occasional

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:38.290 --> 00:28:40.301 staghorn vessels confusingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:40.301 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.727$ these tumors sometimes have rare rhabdom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546 00:28:43.730 --> 00:28:44.504 I'll blast, NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:44.504 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.052$ which can be highlighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:46.052 --> 00:28:48.015 by Desmin myogenin Myo D1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}28{:}48.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.780$ which might lead you to consider the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:50.862 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.697$ possibility of a malignant peripheral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.712$ Nerve sheath tumor with

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:55.712 --> 00:28:56.718 heterologous elements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:56.720 --> 00:28:59.276 But those tumors are almost invariably

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}28{:}59.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.449$ hygrade with a very high mitotic rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}02.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.790$ Striking nuclear atypia necrosis

 $00:29:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.130$ or as biphenotypic sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}07.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09.929$ sarcoma is remarkably uniform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:29:09.930 --> 00:29:11.774 Rarely shows necrosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:11.774 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.079$ has a low mitotic rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:29:14.080 --> 00:29:15.829 The defining immunophenotypic

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:15.829 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.744$ features of this tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.635$ ARCO expression of S 100 and muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}22.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.854$ markers most often smooth muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}24.854 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.934$ actin and muscle specific actin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:29:26.940 --> 00:29:29.436 but you can sometimes see Desmond

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}29.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.066$ as well and as I mentioned the small

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:33.066 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.814$ subset of cases with rabdo myoblasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:35.814 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.132$ have myogenin Myo D1 expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:38.132 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.778$ only in the skeletal muscle cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.358$ As we saw in our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.472$ TLE one can be positive which

00:29:44.472 --> 00:29:46.496 could lead to confusion with

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:29:46.496 --> 00:29:48.140 monophasic synovial sarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:29:48.140 --> 00:29:50.000 and finally, socks tennis negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.960$ So these are not true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.040$ Peripheral nerve sheath tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.144$ These are not MPNST's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:56.144 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.408$ They do not show true nerve

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:59.408 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.496$ sheath differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546 00:30:00.500 --> 00:30:01.272 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:01.272 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.974$ we don't really know what the lineages

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}30{:}03.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06.610$ of these unusual tumors but coexpression

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}30{:}06.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.411$ of S 100 and actin filaments is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}30{:}10.411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.487$ very helpful diagnostic feature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:12.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.820$ Andre Oliveira and colleagues published

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:30:14.820 --> 00:30:17.150 this beautiful paper in Nature

00:30:17.218 --> 00:30:20.690 Genetics in 2014 from the Mayo Clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}30{:}20.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.488$ identifying a recurrent pack 3 mammal

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:24.488 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.582$ 3 gene fusion in biphenotypic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:27.582 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.930$ sidell nasal sarcoma and over the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.880$ few years several different groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:30:32.880 --> 00:30:35.465 of published cases of biphenotypic

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:35.465 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.110$ sinonasal sarcoma with other packs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:30:38.110 --> 00:30:42.809 3 Fusion partners including FOXO One and two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:42.809 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.672$ But it seems that PAX three is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:45.672 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.190$ almost always involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}30{:}48.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.338$ pathogenesis of these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:50.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.189$ A couple of nice large series published

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}30{:}53.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.410$ since the initial descriptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.762$ This was a nice paper published

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:57.762 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.330$ by a diverse group

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:30:59.409 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.647$ of colleagues in Virchows archives in 2018.

 $00:31:02.647 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.669$ A fairly large series where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:04.669 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.270$ can see the anatomic distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

00:31:07.270 --> 00:31:09.250 ethmoid cavity and nasal sinus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.468$ or most common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:10.468 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.310$ as we saw in the initial description.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.062$ And in this paper, mammal three was was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:16.062 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.216$ still the most common fusion partner by far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.608$ A small subset of cases had

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.096$ foxo one and NCO A1 fusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.711$ We haven't identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

00:31:26.711 --> 00:31:28.859 all the fusion partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.468$ A small subset of cases have as of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}31{:}33.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37.387$ yet undefined PAX 3 fusion partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.359$ And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:38.359 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.974$ most recently another large series

 $00:31:39.974 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.120$ by the French sarcoma group with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}31{:}42.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.672$ few other collaborators from the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}31{:}44.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.630$ This was another large series where

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}31{:}47.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.675$ packs 3 mammal free fusions were found

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:50.675 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.905$ in 37 out of 41 molecularly confirmed cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.454$ They identified another fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:57.454 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.862$ partner in the form of WW T R1 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:00.862 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.070$ we have those same fusion partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:03.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.930$ we saw in the previous case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

00:32:06.930 --> 00:32:09.390 And again, my colleague Vicki Jo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}32{:}09.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.830$ with some other collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.910$ in my department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.350$ published a study with us a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}32{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17.185$ ago where we we looked at using packs

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:17.185 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.935$ 3 administer chemistry as a surrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}32{:}19.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22.155$ for biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma.

00:32:22.160 --> 00:32:23.944 This worked very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:23.944 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.849$ We had a uniform staining in all 15 cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.346$ We investigated many of these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.042$ We confirm by fish as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:32.042 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.979$ see in the lower left image,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.818$ we have the break apart using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.082$ Pax three directed probes and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:39.082 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.492$ tumors we might consider in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

00:32:41.492 --> 00:32:43.156 differential diagnosis are almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}32{:}43.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45.280$ always negative for PAX three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}32{:}45.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.840$ This is a very easy to apply marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:47.840 \dashrightarrow 00:32:51.158$ We have a very nice nuclear staining in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}32{:}51.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.748$ a characteristic case of biphenotypic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

00:32:53.748 --> 00:32:55.765 sinonasal sarcoma and one other

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

00:32:55.765 --> 00:32:57.715 example where you see it just

 $00:32:57.715 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.348$ underneath the surface epithelium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}02.130 --> 00{:}33{:}03.198$ All right, we have a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:03.198 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.310$ more cases to go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.390$ A few more different topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:06.390 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.901$ Case four is from a 56 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:33:09.901 --> 00:33:12.386 woman who presented with dyspnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.214$ and she was found to have a large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.366$ soft palate tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:15.366 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.040$ So we're now moving away from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:18.121 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.150$ sinus is down into the palace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.950$ This was from the resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:33:21.950 --> 00:33:24.566 It was actually kind of a debulking because

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:24.566 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.044$ this patient was having breathing difficulty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}27.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.761$ They recognized his tumor and they did

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:29.761 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.543$ surgery urgently so that she could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:33:31.550 --> 00:33:33.270 They could make sure they

00:33:33.270 --> 00:33:34.646 could maintain an airway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.630$ We have this very large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:33:36.630 --> 00:33:38.518 highly cellular purple tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:38.518 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.406$ Which had higher power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.846$ We can now begin to appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:42.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.863$ the Fascicular architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:44.863 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.876$ The primitive nuclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}46.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.705$ morphology and other areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}48.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.400$ We have a slightly nested or cordlike

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}51.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.274$ architecture with this somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:54.274 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.218$ prominent collagenous stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}56.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59.510$ In other areas we have much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}59.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00.920$ uniformly fascicular architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.242$ Primitive nuclear morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}03.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.338$ This somewhat coarse chromatin.

 $00:34:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.400$ Higher power in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:34:07.400 --> 00:34:08.460 area as I showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.386$ we have the nests and cords of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}11.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.989$ primitive cells within this very dense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.750$ Collagen rich stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.970$ In some areas in the stroma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273 00:34:18.970 --> 00:34:20.112 rich foci. NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:34:20.112 --> 00:34:23.538 These nests almost look like they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}23.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.026$ forming these cleft like or pseudo

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:27.026 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.282$ vascular spaces a little bit LV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93828050272727300:34:29.282 --> 00:34:29.848 Older in. NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.177$ In cytology this is another classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:33.177 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.059$ appearance to this type of sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.894$ And finally we look at high power

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:38.894 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.178$ and we see the primitive chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}41.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.912$ Course very limited cytoplasm in these

 $00:34:44.912 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.140$ nests within this collagenous matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:34:48.140 --> 00:34:49.920 So what about differential diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:34:49.920 --> 00:34:52.372 If you notice the vascular appearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:34:52.372 --> 00:34:54.964 or you the pseudo vascular parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.800$ perhaps you might consider angiosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.408$ If you thought the funny stroma

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:59.408 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.640$ looked a bit like bone matrix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}35{:}01.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.540$ osteoid that hadn't quite calcified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:04.540 \dashrightarrow 00:35:06.880$ You might consider extra stone lost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.050$ you Sir coma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:08.050 \dashrightarrow 00:35:10.780$ This morning we saw an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:10.869 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.658$ sclerosing epithelioid fibrosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}35{:}12.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15.053$ so you might consider that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:15.053 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.290$ as a possible diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.330$ given the very dense expression.

 $00:35:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.630$ Excuse me at deposition of collagen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.342$ Sclerosing Rhabdomyosarcoma, perhaps?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:27.342 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.150$ Synovial sarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.502$ given the uniformity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:30.502 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.516$ the nucleo morphology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.024$ So let's look at a minister

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:35.024 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.000$ chemistry on this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:35:36.000 --> 00:35:38.560 Desman is very strongly positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}35{:}38.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41.120$ and pretty much every cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:35:41.120 --> 00:35:42.938 And mild D1 is also strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:42.938 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.140$ positive even in the scanning image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.546$ You can appreciate that every nucleus

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:35:47.546 --> 00:35:49.609 has strong expression of my OG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.071$ One high power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:51.071 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.480$ We confirm that impression from low power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:35:54.480 --> 00:35:57.000 In contrast, if you look at myogenin,

 $00:35:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.814$ it's only a very small subset

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:59.814 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.670$ of nuclei that are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.708$ So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:03.708 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.784$ we have skeletal muscle marker expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.688$ We have negative staining for EMA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.980$ The vascular transcription factor ERG

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.300$ and the sclerosing epithelioid fibrous

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}15.300 \to 00{:}36{:}18.330$ sarcoma marker mark for all negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.094$ And you've guessed it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:21.094 \dashrightarrow 00:36:23.858$ this is sclerostin Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}23.860 \longrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.852$ So at the beginning of the session this

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}25.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.572$ after noon I mentioned I was going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}27.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.578$ come back to this topic of spindle cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.696$ sclerosing revenue mile sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:31.696 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.870$ This is the most recent addition

 $00:36:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.775$ to these sarcomas that shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}37.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.518$ skeletal muscle differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}39.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.256$ Spindle cell sclerostin Rhabdomyosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:36:41.256 --> 00:36:44.838 is occur most often in the head and neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.912$ although you can see them in the extremities

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:47.912 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.178$ and trunk or in parrot testicular locations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.980$ Paratesticular tumors are almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.620$ only seen in infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.860$ male infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.070$ and young boys as they'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.120$ come back to in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.666$ These tumors often have my old

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:04.666 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.030$ one trans activating mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.615$ There is a slight female

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:08.615 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.566$ predominant for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.628$ Group of tumors.

 $00:37:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.252$ These tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:12.252 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.429$ as we saw in our clinical case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:14.430 \dashrightarrow 00:37:17.965$ often present as a rapidly growing mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:37:17.970 --> 00:37:20.736 And symptoms are related to local

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:20.736 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.363$ compression because of their very

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:23.363 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.573$ characteristic anatomic sites of

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:25.573 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.078$ presentation in the head neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.656$ There are another group of spindle

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}37{:}31.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33.161$ cells thrusting rhabdomyosarcoma's

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:37:33.161 --> 00:37:35.027 that do not have mild you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}37{:}35.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.550$ One mutations that instead have gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:37.550 \dashrightarrow 00:37:39.980$ fusions as they'll come back to in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:39.980 \dashrightarrow 00:37:42.374$ Those tumors have a very good prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.340$ Those are almost only seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.908$ in very young infants,

 $00:37:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.730$ sometimes with congenital presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}37{:}48.730 --> 00{:}37{:}50.140 \ {\rm In \ contrast},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:50.140 \dashrightarrow 00:37:53.602$ the miod one mutant spindle cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:53.602 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.596$ speros in rhabdomyosarcoma's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:55.596 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.104$ have a dismal prognosis with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:58.104 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.588$ less than 20% five year survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.288$ At one end of the spectrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.106$ these tumors look very similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}08.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.961$ lei
omyosarcoma's with intersecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:09.961 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.046$ fascicles of elongated spindle cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}13.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.167$ with abundant bright pink seidel plasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}16.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.810$ Some cases you'll appreciate us

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}18.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.922$ true skeletal muscle differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:20.922 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.498$ at the HD level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.875$ We have these occasional polygonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:24.875 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.333$ or strap like cells that have really

 $00:38:28.333 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.840$ intense somewhat orange or red or cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}31.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35.032$ Other examples have a much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:38:35.032 --> 00:38:36.628 primitive fibrosarcoma like

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:38:36.628 --> 00:38:38.696 appearance with coarse chromatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:38.696 \dashrightarrow 00:38:41.768$ a high mitotic rate and limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:38:41.768 --> 00:38:44.887 set up plastic differentiation at

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:44.887 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.189$ the other end of the spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.195$ We have these incredibly densely

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:49.195 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.599$ hyalinized sclerosing tumors where

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}51.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.904$ we have this osteoid matrix like

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}55.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.696$ deposition of hyalinized collagen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}59.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01.667$ And other cases kind of sit somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:39:01.667 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.779$ in the middle as we saw in our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:39:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.685$ in our clinical case for this discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:39:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.258$ I think this this example has

00:39:09.258 --> 00:39:10.542 some fascicular architecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:39:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.693$ but you could still appreciate in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:39:13.693 \dashrightarrow 00:39:16.600$ background the dense collagenous stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:39:16.600 --> 00:39:18.888 Desmond is diffusely positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:39:18.888 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.099$ similar to many rhabdomyosarcoma's

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:39:21.099 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.055$ the best skeletal muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}39{:}23.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.500$ transcription factor to used for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:25.573 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.118$ this tumor is miod want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:39:27.120 --> 00:39:29.240 Some cases are entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.830$ negative for myogenic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:30.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.476$ so if you only run myogenin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.916$ you may get confused and think

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:35.916 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.251$ you're not dealing with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:38.251 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.779$ distinctive form of Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.264$ So as I mentioned, these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39{:}43.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}46.249$ most often have my O D1 mutations.

 $00:39:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.812$ There were three proper papers published

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}39{:}48.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.580$ the same year by Marc Ladanyi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:51.580 \dashrightarrow 00:39:54.500$ Pockrus Hogendoorn and Christina Senescu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:39:54.500 --> 00:39:57.846 These really nice papers in nature Genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.300$ Journal of pathology and genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.750$ chromosomes and cancer identifying this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:40:02.828 --> 00:40:05.112 very common recurrent trans activating

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}05.112 --> 00{:}40{:}08.360$ mutation in my O D1 which leads to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}08.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.479$ very strong expression of the mild D1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:40:11.480 --> 00:40:13.562 Protein, as I showed you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:13.562 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.950$ the last few slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.647$ But we've learned over time that these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}18.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.300$ do not always show my OG one mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.939$ Some of these tumors have gene fusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:40:24.939 --> 00:40:27.797 with a variety of fusion partners and

00:40:27.797 --> 00:40:30.275 this nice paper published two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:30.346 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.816$ ago by the Group of Memorial Narse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.090$ Agaram was the first author.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:35.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.463$ He's one of the bone and soft

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:37.463 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.389$ tissue pathologists at memorial in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:39.389 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.484$ New York with Cristina Antonescu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:40:41.490 --> 00:40:44.346 And they're suggesting that you really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}44.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.394$ should think of these as different

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:47.394 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.580$ risk groups depending on what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.000$ underlying molecular pathogenesis is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}53.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.088$ The mild you want mutant tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.382$ which are pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:56.382 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.997$ all the tumors in adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.400$ have mild you one mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:41:00.400 --> 00:41:03.070 I have a really poor prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}41{:}03.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.818$ whereas the tumors that present in

 $00:41:05.818 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.651$ very young infants have various gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}41{:}08.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11.850$ fusions and have a very good prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:41:11.850 --> 00:41:14.436 If you don't find miodowa mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:41:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.386$ those tumors also have a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:41:17.386 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.368$ good prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:41:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.834$ There are some second hits that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:41:20.834 --> 00:41:22.846 identified as you see from this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:41:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.578$ but really the thing to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:41:24.578 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.700$ is the my OG one mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:41:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.188$ All right, we are back to the nasal cavity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}41{:}31.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.584$ The last case I'm going to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:41:33.584 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.060$ this afternoon is from a young woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}41{:}36.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38.676$ who was found to have a right nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:41:38.676 --> 00:41:40.866 cavity mass when she presented to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:41:40.866 --> 00:41:42.786 her primary care physician with

 $00:41:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.670$ a unremitting nasal congestion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}41{:}45.670 \longrightarrow 00{:}41{:}48.550$ Another very cellular tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:41:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.700$ This is the excision specimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:41:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.725$ another tumor with a purple

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:41:52.725 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.345$ appearance from low power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:41:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.700$ But when you begin to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:41:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.359$ at a little bit higher power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:41:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.971$ you begin to see that these tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:41:59.971 --> 00:42:02.266 cells have abundant eosinophilic

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:02.266 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.618$ granular cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.119$ And in the background you see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:07.119 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.092$ very delicate capillary vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}42{:}09.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11.596$ network that compartmentalizes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:42:11.596 --> 00:42:14.820 tumor into these delicate bundles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:42:14.820 --> 00:42:16.996 or nests higher power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:16.996 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.643$ You can see the central nucleoli quite

00:42:20.643 --> 00:42:22.558 uniform appearance to the nuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.196$ although there are some very slight

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:26.196 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.014$ nuclear contour irregularities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.099$ Some of the cells have a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:42:30.099 --> 00:42:32.000 bit more optically clear cytoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.608$ but most of the cells have this granular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:42:34.610 --> 00:42:36.398 Eosinophilic appearance and now

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:36.398 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.080$ in this image these images you

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:39.157 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.247$ can nicely see that delicate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}42{:}41.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43.113$ Capillary vascular network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:42:43.113 --> 00:42:46.672 Looking very similar to the vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:46.672 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.620$ pattern of clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}42{:}50.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53.764$ High power you can nicely see those nucleoli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.082$ Occasional cells are multinucleated

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:42:56.082 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.240$ with slightly more nuclear atypia.

00:43:00.240 --> 00:43:00.647 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:43:00.647 --> 00:43:03.089 high power we now begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:03.089 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.020$ appreciate the mitotic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.318$ We found some scattered mitotic figures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.470$ I think it was up to maybe two or three

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:10.556 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.628$ and 10 high power fields in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}43{:}13.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.526$ So what is our differential diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:43:15.530 --> 00:43:18.758 I mentioned metastatic renal cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.217$ but we have to think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:43:21.217 --> 00:43:23.617 other tumor types that also present

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}43{:}23.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.737$ with a similar nested architecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:25.737 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.488$ and a similar vascular pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:43:28.490 --> 00:43:30.062 So obviously today's session

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:30.062 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.684$ is on soft tissue tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:31.684 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.630$ so it can't be renal cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.942$ This is a this is a good testing strategy.

 $00:43:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.920$ Alveolar soft part sarcoma perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}43{:}39.920 \to 00{:}43{:}43.370$ clear cell sarcoma malignant pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.130$ Maybe we should consider a

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.258$ these tumors possibly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:46.258 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.514$ So let's look at a minister.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:43:48.520 --> 00:43:51.982 Chemistry TFE 3 is very strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:51.982 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.713$ and intensely positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}43{:}53.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56.864$ so that could suggest several of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:56.864 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.960$ the possibilities I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:43:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.770$ The only other marker that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.758$ was positive was HMB 45.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:02.758 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.003$ That marker of melanocytic differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}44{:}05.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07.759$ and it was not so impressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.208$ but it did show that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}44{:}10.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11.840$ distinctive granular subtle plasmic

00:44:11.908 --> 00:44:14.751 pattern of staining which we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:14.751 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.973$ for for tumors that have melanosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.840$ So to summarize, the immunophenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.132$ Muscle markers were negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:23.132 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.424$ As well as Melanie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:44:25.430 --> 00:44:27.788 If you're thinking of Melanoma S

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:44:27.788 --> 00:44:29.360 100 stocks tender negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.910$ those are also uniformly positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.950$ and clear cell sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667 00:44:33.950 --> 00:44:34.838 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:44:34.838 --> 00:44:37.058 thinking about renal cell carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:37.058 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.050$ characins were also negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.560$ This is a malignant pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.885$ So Pecoma is this very mysterious tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:45.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.370$ That has a very unusual definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}44{:}49.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53.920$ Tacomas are said to be composed of

 $00:44:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.504$ perivascular epithelioid cells which

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:56.504 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.040$ are distinctive epithelioid cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:44:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.410$ that are often closely associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:45:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.080$ with blood vessel walls and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:45:04.080 --> 00:45:06.360 often Co Express Millen, acidic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00:45:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.320$ and smooth muscle markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:45:09.320 --> 00:45:11.324 We think of Petco misses being

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

 $00{:}45{:}11.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}13.515$ a family of tumors that include

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:45:13.515 --> 00:45:15.849 the much more common and better

NOTE Confidence: 0.896061406666667

00:45:15.849 --> 00:45:17.180 known family member,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:45:17.180 --> 00:45:17.688 Angiomyolipoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:17.688 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.736$ which usually presents in the kidney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.427$ But this morning we talked about tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:23.427 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.836$ in the liver that are also often

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:26.836 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.880$ called angiomyolipomas that are in

 $00:45:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.718$ fact just pecoma's of the of the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}45{:}31.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.656$ We have a rare rare disorder called Lam

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:45:35.656 --> 00:45:37.102 Lymphangioleiomyomatosis that mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:45:37.102 --> 00:45:39.783 occurs in the lungs and lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.548$ And the tumor we're talking about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:45:42.550 --> 00:45:45.259 A group of distinctive tumors that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:45:45.259 --> 00:45:48.090 been called pecoma not otherwise specified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:45:48.090 --> 00:45:51.611 or simply pecoma All these tumors share

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}45{:}51.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.197$ this distinctive magical cell type that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:55.197 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.687$ call the perivascular epithelioid cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.510$ which has no known normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.638$ cellular counterpart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.688$ so this isn't really a known cell type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}04.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.811$ but it's a concept that was invented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:46:06.811 --> 00:46:09.209 by a group from Italy from Verona

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.346$ more than 20 years ago now,

 $00:46:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.032$ and this concept has stuck and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:14.032 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.599$ we use it to describe pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:46:17.600 --> 00:46:19.749 The first large series of soft tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:46:19.750 --> 00:46:20.532 Petco Miss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:20.532 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.987$ was published by Andrew Phillip Thomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:46:22.987 --> 00:46:25.969 Mensal Sharon Weiss and colleagues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.108$ 2005 when they first proposed criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:29.108 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.730$ for malignancy based on the small series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.026$ We now know that Petco massacre at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:35.026 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.127$ very broad range of an atomic sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}37.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.650$ You can see them primarily presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.930$ is very small skin tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}41.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}44.165$ They are relatively common in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}44.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.506$ the gastroint estinal tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.240$ I promised the GI pathology faculty earlier

00:46:48.240 --> 00:46:51.509 today that I would allude to the GI tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}51.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53.718$ even though today I'm talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}53.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.210$ soft tissue tumors of the head neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}56.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59.410$ Because I am also a GI pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:59.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.110$ So pack owners are much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.370$ common in women than men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.018$ They usually present in adults and unlike

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:47:09.018 --> 00:47:12.210 angiomyolipoma and lymphangioleiomyomatosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}47{:}12.210 \longrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.002$ pecoma unspecified the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:14.002 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.794$ in the soft tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}47{:}15.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.304$ GI Tracting uterus are rarely associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:19.304 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.470$ with the cancer predisposition syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.160$ TSC, the tubers sclerosis complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:47:26.160 --> 00:47:28.379 You can find them in the extremities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.564$ trunk wall and skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:29.564 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.340$ but those are a small minority

 $00:47:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.432$ of all pecoma's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:32.432 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.527$ Most of the time you'll find them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:35.527 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.335$ central body cavity or visceral locations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.078$ This is a nice resection that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}47{:}42.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.360$ performed in our hospital of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:47:44.360 --> 00:47:46.620 primary pecoma of the pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:47:46.620 --> 00:47:48.580 This was such a nice gross photograph

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.991$ that I included in The Who the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:50.991 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.770$ two times the 4th and 5th series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:47:53.770 --> 00:47:55.612 It looks kind of similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}47{:}55.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.533$ the pancreatic parenchyma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:47:56.540 --> 00:47:58.332 It's very sharply demarcated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:58.332 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.676$ from the pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.792$ but this is a pecoma that looks really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:02.792 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.196$ similar to the angiomyolipoma of the liver.

 $00:48:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.275$ They were reviewed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:48:08.275 --> 00:48:09.935 slide seminar this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.460$ And here you can see a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:48:12.460 --> 00:48:13.604 interesting accentuation around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:13.604 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.956$ the blood vessel in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.372$ We have these blood vessels that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:48:18.372 --> 00:48:21.735 seem to have the tumor cells just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:21.735 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.930$ underneath the endothelial lining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.068$ Sometimes these tumors have very striking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.320$ clear cell morphology and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}48{:}30.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.670$ beautiful nested appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.870$ making them almost indistinguishable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}48{:}33.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37.170$ from clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.070$ Some of them have trabeculae

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.830$ are architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.030$ This example also arose in the nasal cavity

 $00:48:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.108$ and then also had a TFE 3 gene fusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}48{:}48.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}51.335$ Other examples look much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:51.335 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.606$ similar to leiomyosarcoma's with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:48:53.606 --> 00:48:55.558 fascicular spindle cell morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:48:55.560 --> 00:48:58.800 but in contrast to true smooth muscle tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:48:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.148$ which typically show very

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:01.148 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.909$ dense core cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.260$ The cytoplasmic quality of pecoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:05.260 --> 00:49:08.386 as is almost always this delicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:08.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.860$ granular eosinophilic to clear cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.752$ There is a distinctive sclerosing variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}15.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.040$ of pecoma that has a marked predilection

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.696$ for the pararenal retroperiton eum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:22.700 --> 00:49:26.600 As you see in these two images on this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.102$ we again have that orientation under

00:49:29.102 --> 00:49:31.759 the endothelium of the blood vessel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.785$ and these cords of somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:33.785 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.576$ clear cells within a very dense

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:36.576 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.640$ hyalinized collagenous stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.094$ As I mentioned, we define these

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:41.094 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.828$ tumors as showing a mixed Milan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:43.828 --> 00:49:46.260 acidic and myogenic phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:46.260 --> 00:49:49.754 They're nearly always positive for HMB 45.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:49.754 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.196$ That's the best marker for pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.324$ Smooth muscle actin is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}54.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.320$ sensitive of the muscle markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143 00:49:56.320 --> 00:49:56.760 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.840$ it's important to be aware of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.185$ fact that in particular the clear cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:03.185 --> 00:50:06.459 examples that harbor TFE 3 fusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}50{:}06.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09.327$ are sometimes entirely negative.

 $00:50:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.528$ For smooth muscle actin and desmin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:12.530 --> 00:50:14.833 You can find focal S 100 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:14.833 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.410$ a subset of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:16.410 --> 00:50:18.934 but it's usually unimpressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:18.934 --> 00:50:20.827 and predominantly cytoplasmic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.014$ which helps distinguish them

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:23.014 --> 00:50:25.744 in a phenotype from Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143 00:50:25.750 --> 00:50:26.404 In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:26.404 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.020$ socks 10 that marker we think of as

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:29.093 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.487$ being very strongly expressed in close

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:31.487 --> 00:50:35.796 to 100% of melanomas is negative and pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.824$ And in correlating with the presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}50{:}38.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.280$ of the gene fusions TFE 3 protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.980$ is expressed at high levels in

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.969$ about 10 to 15% of cases.

00:50:46.969 --> 00:50:49.152 And that's a good surrogate by

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}50{:}49.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.200$ administer chemistry for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.760$ presence of the gene rearrangement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87352204285714300:50:53.760 --> 00:50:54.852 This is a, NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:54.852 --> 00:50:55.216 uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:55.216 --> 00:50:57.036 some examples of smooth muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}50{:}57.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.900$ actin and desmin staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}50{:}58.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00.406$ This was actually from the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}00.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.159$ in the pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:51:01.160 --> 00:51:03.337 I showed you a few minutes ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}03.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.830$ and these were are nice examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.050$ of the variability of staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}08.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.475$ for HMB 5:45 and Melanie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:51:10.480 --> 00:51:11.518 And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:51:11.518 --> 00:51:13.594 in order to support the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}13.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.570$ I usually run all four markers

 $00:51:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.055$ SMAD and Desmond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.652$ HMB 45 MLN A and if you do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:51:20.660 --> 00:51:21.640 usually you'll get a hit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:51:21.640 --> 00:51:24.016 at least with one of the muscle markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.778$ and one of them will an esthetic markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.186$ We don't really have very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:51:29.186 --> 00:51:31.360 criteria for malignancy in pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.600$ As I mentioned Andrew folks first

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.328$ suggested criteria but we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:36.328 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.070$ have a lot of cases to go on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.788$ We know that features associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:51:40.788 --> 00:51:43.380 malignant behavior include large tumor size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}43.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47.240$ mitotic activity and a typia pleomorphism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}47.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.662$ So in my practice I really use

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:51:49.662 --> 00:51:51.257 the combination of essentially

00:51:51.257 --> 00:51:54.042 any mitotic activity with atypia

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:54.042 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.110$ pleomorphism the support the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.300$ Of malignancy this is a malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:59.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.760$ pecoma of the colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.780$ a large fleshy sarcoma like lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.624$ These tumors can look very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:07.624 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.046$ to metastatic Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:52:09.050 --> 00:52:12.560 With macro nucleoli striking pleomorphism

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:52:12.560 --> 00:52:16.070 and eosinophilic or amphiphilic cytoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:52:16.070 --> 00:52:17.883 whereas in other cases they have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}52{:}17.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19.939$ more of a clear cell appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}52{:}19.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.710$ looking very similar to metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.030$ clear cell renal cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.206$ this is obviously a metastasis to the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:52:29.210 --> 00:52:31.790 We again see those macronuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.146$ plia, morphic,

 $00:52:33.146 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.858$ and multinucleated tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:52:35.860 --> 00:52:38.370 Pete Argani from Hopkins with

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:52:38.370 --> 00:52:40.378 Sharon Weiss first presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:40.378 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.299$ this series of tumors with TFE 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:43.299 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.739$ gene fusions about 10 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00.52.45.740 \longrightarrow 00.52.46.772$ This was the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:46.772 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.320$ I mentioned that I had just

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:52:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.418$ a couple of years ago from the sinus that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:52:51.418 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.574$ trabeculae are tumor with fairly clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:52:54.574 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.609$ cytoplasm that had a TFE 3 gene fusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}52{:}57.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01.154$ A and RC Agram and Christina TSQ published

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:01.154 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.420$ this beautiful paper six years ago in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.470$ American Journal of Surgical Pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:06.470 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.680$ highlighting what we understand about

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.347$ the molecular genetics of Pecoma TSC.

00:53:11.347 --> 00:53:15.003 2 mutations are found in the majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:15.003 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.449$ cases and we also have trends locations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:18.449 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.015$ a range of of pecoma TFE 3 being the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:23.015 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.518$ common gene involved in translocations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.516$ Because of the alterations of TSC 2 in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:31.516 --> 00:53:34.291 majority of Tacomas and visceral locations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:34.291 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.119$ including in tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:36.119 --> 00:53:38.020 pursue an aggressive course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.722$ The malignant examples we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:41.722 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.874$ mtor inhibitors to effectively treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:44.874 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.769$ patients with this tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:47.770 --> 00:53:49.947 These are some sort of case reports

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:49.947 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.622$ and small series published almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:51.622 --> 00:53:54.204 ten years ago now showing that M

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:54.204 --> 00:53:56.244 Tor inhibitors such as Sorolla,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.974$ Miss or quite effective in treating

 $00:53:58.974 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.711$ patients with malignant pecoma's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}00.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03.679$ This is an example of a patient treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:03.679 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.430$ in our hospital at the Dana Farber Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:54:06.430 --> 00:54:08.300 Institute by my colleague Andy Wagner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.841$ who's he's really the world expert in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}10.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13.029$ treating patients with malignant pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:13.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.200$ He's treated on the order of about

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.290$ 70 patients with this sarcoma type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:54:17.290 --> 00:54:19.159 Over the last ten years or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}19.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.855$ and you can see this very dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}21.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.894$ clinical benefit to serralles the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}23.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26.736$ with a marked decrease in size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:26.736 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.715$ these multiple metastatic lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:28.715 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.709$ in the abdominal cavity and pelvis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.326$ Very recently,

 $00:54:32.326 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.482$ this is a paper that was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:34.482 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.170$ published online a few weeks ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.158$ There is now a modified albumin

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:39.158 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.150$ conjugated version of sirolimus

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.266$ that is also really effective in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:54:44.266 --> 00:54:46.290 patients with malignant pecoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.590$ especially patients that have TSC 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00.54.49.590 \longrightarrow 00.54.52.090$ mutations thus far in this series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.110$ all the patients whose tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:54.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.130$ were confirmed to harbor TSC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}56.130 \to 00{:}54{:}59.268$ 2 alterations were are alive either

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:59.268 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.160$ without disease or with disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:55:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.875$ And you can see from that plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:55:03.875 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.960$ in the lower left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:55:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.714$ the striking clinical benefit in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:55:07.714 --> 00:55:10.745 majority of patients with malignant pecoma

 $00:55:10.745 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.545$ treated with this seromas conjugate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.050$ So for my final slide of

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:55:18.050 --> 00:55:19.650 summary of practice points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:55:19.650 --> 00:55:22.086 don't forget that head and neck location

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:22.086 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.632$ is very common for both alveolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:24.632 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.548$ Rhabdomyosarcoma and spindle cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.270$ Grossing revenue miles sarcomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.701$ Beware of keratin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:55:31.701 --> 00:55:32.874 neuroendocrine marker expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:32.874 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.155$ so you don't go down the tubes and make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}55{:}37.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39.019$ misdiagnosis of alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.960$ I've now shown you lots of examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}55{:}41.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.850$ of biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}55{:}43.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.222$ This distinctive pack three

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:46.222 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.001$ translocation associated low

 $00{:}55{:}48.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.890$ grade sarcoma that Co expresses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}55{:}50.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53.370$ Muscle markers and neural markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:55:53.370 --> 00:55:57.060 and finally Pecoma Harbor either TSC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.046$ 2 deletions, or TFE 3 fusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.914$ So I'm going to close there and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:03.914 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.309$ want to thank you very much to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}06.309 \to 00{:}56{:}07.952$ department into Manju Prasad for

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:07.952 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.283$ inviting me to visit your department today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}10.290 \to 00{:}56{:}12.460$ I'm sorry that it had to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:56:12.460 --> 00:56:14.510 converted to a virtual visit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.561$ but I always have to now advertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:16.561 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.720$ for my rock band the teardowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.719$ As you heard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:19.719 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.717$ we released our first album that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:21.717 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.548$ available on all streaming services

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.468$ and after a very long delay we're

 $00:56:25.468 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.947$ beginning to play some shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}26.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}28.936$ around town where we're playing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:28.936 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.082$ Summerville coming up next month and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}31.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33.074$ then in Jamaica Plain in Boston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:56:33.080 --> 00:56:33.650 In January,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.645$ I doubt that we're ever going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:35.645 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.499$ travel outside of the Boston area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.540$ Since this is a hobby for all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.619$ us who have different careers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.500$ and honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.140$ we're not good enough to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:56:45.140 --> 00:56:47.967 this our our full time job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.608$ And I'm happy to answer any questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.020$ thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92153966

 $00:56:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.581$ That was wonderful, Jason.

00:56:59.581 --> 00:57:03.223 I'm sure you're good enough to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.674$ Play for us at one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:06.674 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.396$ our departmental events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.700$ Uhm, there was a comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.368$ My computer crashed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.566$ All the way through your presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.907$ So I lost all the comments in chat and

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

00:57:21.907 --> 00:57:24.683 I know that singing Pan had a comment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:24.690 \dashrightarrow 00:57:29.954$ so singing can you unmute yourself and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.680$ And say your comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00{:}57{:}34.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38.772$ I think it was about alveolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:38.772 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.230$ Rhabdomyosarcoma metastatic to lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.210$ Can you hear me yes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.770$ Hi, so thank you for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.390$ wonderful presentation. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.85823402

 $00{:}57{:}47.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49.936$ I just want to make a quick comment

00:57:49.940 --> 00:57:52.358 so I haven't seen. Like if you cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.60355163

 $00{:}57{:}52.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}55.650$ of metastatic tremors. Psycho Martin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60355163

 $00:57:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.238$ If notes showing us purely sinusoidal

NOTE Confidence: 0.60355163

 $00:57:59.238 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.910$ pattern with polymer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60355163

 $00:58:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.198$ And the tumor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.717474528333333

 $00:58:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.900$ he feels in a positive for CD56 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318

00:58:06.910 --> 00:58:10.300 AWK. So one case was misdiagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318

 $00{:}58{:}10.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}13.630$ as a hog positive and approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318

 $00:58:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.720$ 70 former by our third pathologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318

00:58:16.720 --> 00:58:19.348 So just beware.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318

00:58:19.350 --> 00:58:21.813 Both types of randomized sarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318

 $00:58:21.813 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.540$ may have expression that thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

 $00:58:25.930 \dashrightarrow 00:58:27.746$ No thank you. That's that's a great point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

 $00:58:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.946$ I think alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

00:58:29.946 --> 00:58:32.225 very good mimicker of many different

00:58:32.225 --> 00:58:34.498 tumor types because of these very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

 $00{:}58{:}34.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36.090$ strange appearances of expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

00:58:36.090 --> 00:58:38.710 and alk is quite commonly positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

 $00:58:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.850$ and that's a very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

00:58:40.850 --> 00:58:41.910 Interesting problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

 $00:58:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.220$ thank you and all 56 as well. So yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

 $00:58:47.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.580$ Oh, I always wondered why we had both

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

 $00:58:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.306$ my D1 and myogenin so clearly it has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

 $00:58:56.306 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.006$ Prove to be useful both these stains

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

00:59:00.006 --> 00:59:03.914 and why both might should be or might

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

 $00:59:03.914 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.690$ be ordered and can be useful. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

00:59:06.700 --> 00:59:08.135 I think that's a very good point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.816$ and in fact, before we recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

00:59:10.816 --> 00:59:13.120 spindle cell and sclerostin rabdo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.392$ I don't think we really needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:14.392 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.304$ my OD one and for many years the

 $00:59:17.304 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.934$ antibodies available were pretty bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.548$ They often showed a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00{:}59{:}20.548 {\: -->\:} 00{:}59{:}21.352$ subtle plasmic staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.998$ The new clones are much better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.850$ They actually worked beautifully and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.250$ they're really helpful for this class of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.960$ Of Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.715$ Yeah, and I brought my my journey into our

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:33.715 \dashrightarrow 00:59:37.836$ lab and I stopped using my D1 altogether,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.943$ but clearly we'll have to look at our clones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.351$ The other thing I wanted to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:45.351 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.990$ Jason is many of these antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.450$ This newer antibodies that affusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00{:}59{:}51.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}54.544$ specific or gene aberration specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:54.544 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.520$ Do you offer them as test only

 $00:59:58.624 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.198$ like like the other labs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:01.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.506$ Yeah, unfortunately we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:02.506 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.330$ because we just don't have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:04.389 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.985$ staffing to be a reference lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:05.990 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.890$ At this point we can barely keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

01:00:08.890 --> 01:00:10.390 up with our volume in House.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:10.390 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.310$ We we have about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

01:00:13.310 --> 01:00:16.982 650 to 700 a day not counting him path,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

01:00:16.990 --> 01:00:19.468 so it's just it's it's impossible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:19.470 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.734$ so we for now we're only doing it

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01{:}00{:}21.734 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}23.374$ as part of diagnostic consults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:23.374 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.398$ I think in a couple of years

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

01:00:26.400 --> 01:00:28.689 some of you might have heard our

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01{:}00{:}28.689 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 01{:}00{:}30.227$ institutions are probably going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:30.227 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.211$ be forming a central lab for all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:32.271 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.186$ the mass General Brigham Hospital.

 $01:00:34.190 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.556$ So at that point, maybe will do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:36.560 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.409$ Reference lab work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:37.409 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.107$ but at this point we can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94822818

01:00:40.510 --> 01:00:43.702 Thank you. Any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.94822818

01:00:43.702 --> 01:00:45.806 questions from anyone else?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:00:45.820 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.764$ I see that I see Don Pot had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:00:47.764 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.528$ comment that I'm a very good cook.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:00:49.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.874$ If I want to thank you for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01{:}00{:}50.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52.824$ I I only really started cooking

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01{:}00{:}52.824 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}55.218$ full force after we had started the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:00:55.218 --> 01:00:57.163 lock down with the pandemic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:00:57.163 \dashrightarrow 01:00:58.794$ now I'm really obsessed with it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01{:}00{:}58.794 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}00.176$ I love taking photographs during

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:01:00.176 --> 01:01:02.192 the process as dog pot as seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:02.200 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.637$ So it's a it's a new hobby and I I love

01:01:05.637 --> 01:01:08.104 cooking very different ethnic groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:08.104 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.254$ I love like Thai and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:11.254 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.989$ various other types of food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:01:12.990 --> 01:01:16.122 And dump, as other question had to do with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:16.130 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.066$ Fusion detection we haven't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:01:18.066 --> 01:01:22.139 We haven't brought on one of the the RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:22.139 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.287$ based NGS fusion panels yet in our lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:26.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.566$ We have really only been reserving

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:01:29.570 --> 01:01:30.982 molecular confirmation for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:30.982 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.747$ cases that we struggle with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:32.750 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.046$ which because we have so many antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:01:35.046 --> 01:01:37.708 that I bring on and I enjoy doing as my

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:01:37.710 --> 01:01:39.830 kind of translational research effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01{:}01{:}39.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41.230$ we don't do a lot of genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:41.230 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.510$ but we've mostly been using

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:42.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.534$ fish at this point.

 $01:01:43.540 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.460$ Really old school conventional fish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:46.460 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.340$ On occasion we'll do Archer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:01:49.340 --> 01:01:51.080 one of the fusion panels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:01:51.080 --> 01:01:54.414 but it's at MGH or Children's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:54.414 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.968$ We don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:54.968 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.240$ We don't do it right now in our lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:01:57.240 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.268$ I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01{:}01{:}59.270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}01.370$ Especially for round cell sarcomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:02:01.370 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.158$ it's really valuable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:02:03.158 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.946$ have that method because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:02:04.950 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.118$ If it isn't Ewing sarcoma, it is very hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

01:02:10.118 --> 01:02:14.196 To confirm the diagnosis of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01{:}02{:}14.196 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}16.485$ other so-called undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:02:16.485 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.774$ round soul circles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

 $01:02:18.780 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.650$ Thank you.

01:02:19.650 --> 01:02:20.280 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01:02:20.410 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.790$ in the head and neck area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01:02:21.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:24.415$ this sarcoma is extremely baffling

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01:02:24.415 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.040$ because that's not the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01:02:27.130 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.548$ thing that comes to our mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

01:02:29.550 --> 01:02:32.651 Fortunately for me, I also sign out

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01:02:32.651 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.347$ on the bone and soft tissue service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01:02:36.350 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.910$ so sometimes it strikes me

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01:02:37.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.289$ it's not a head and neck tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01:02:40.290 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.630$ but a soft tissue tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01{:}02{:}42.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}45.830$ But they can be very challenging and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

01:02:45.830 --> 01:02:49.190 are trying to validate the orchard fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01:02:49.190 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.195$ Plex version three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145

 $01{:}02{:}50.195 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}53.190$ and we can't wait to get it started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82844081

 $01:02:57.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.717$ If there are no other questions then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82844081

01:03:01.720 --> 01:03:06.207 I'd like to thank Doctor Hornick profusely.

 $01:03:06.210 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.249$ For his generous time and for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82844081

 $01{:}03{:}10.249 \to 01{:}03{:}13.648$ very lucid discussions. Thank you, thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.9396385525

 $01{:}03{:}13.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}16.996$ you again thanks everyone. Have a great day.