WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:05:46" NOTE recognizability:0.760

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.145$  Good afternoon, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:07.145 \dashrightarrow 00:00:09.995$  Thank you so much for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:00:10.000 --> 00:00:11.720 I'm very excited about our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.985$  about our speaker today and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:00:13.985 --> 00:00:17.040 it's my great honor to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}00{:}17.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.520$ introduce Doctor Ignacio Bisuba.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.640$  He told me just to call him Ignacio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:21.640 \dashrightarrow 00:00:23.840$  We know each other for some time now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:00:23.840 --> 00:00:28.318 Yeah, it was really 180 pages long CV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.280$  So I'll try to put it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}00{:}30.280 --> 00{:}00{:}31.620$  like 3 minutes, 4 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.613$  So actually he can speak to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:00:33.613 --> 00:00:34.997 about his wonderful research.

 $00:00:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.148$  So Doctor Ristuba is currently Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}00{:}37.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.278$  and chair of the Department of Translation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.980$  Molecular Pathology at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.680$  MD Anderson Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:00:45.680 --> 00:00:47.618 He's also has a junk appointments

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:00:47.618 --> 00:00:49.752 as a professor at the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}00{:}49.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.074$  of Thoracic Head and Neck Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:52.074 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.459$  Oncology and he's Co Director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}00{:}54.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.394$  Division of Pathology Lab Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.120$  He started his career in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:00:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.840$  a medical school in Chile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:00:59.840 --> 00:01:02.870 And actually I think Kurt and doctor we

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.120$  still announced each other from back then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.600$  So which is wonderful he did his

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:01:08.600 --> 00:01:11.000 pathology training in Chile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.642$  Then he did his post doctoral

 $00:01:14.642 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.063$  pathology research at UT Southwestern

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:18.063 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.655$  Medical Center and Hammond Center for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:01:21.655 --> 00:01:22.955 Therapeutic Oncology Research Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.124$  also at UT Southwestern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:25.124 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.720$  He was boarded in Anatomic Pathology in 1989.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.270$  He had the numerous academic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:01:33.270 --> 00:01:34.120 administrative appointments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.675$  and I'll just briefly go through these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}01{:}36.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.275$  He started actually his career

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}01{:}38.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.870$  as assistant professor up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:01:39.931 --> 00:01:41.666 associate professor in Chile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:41.666 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.020$  Catholic University of Chile, Santiago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.856$  And then in 2003, actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:01:47.856 --> 00:01:50.396 he moved to MD Anderson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.045$  where he was associate professor until 2008.

 $00:01:53.045 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.475$  And in 2008 he became a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:01:55.475 --> 00:01:59.160 professor of pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:01:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.330$  In terms of like his

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:02:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.198$  administrative responsibilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:02:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.840$  there are numerous leadership positions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}02{:}04.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.680$  So I highlight just a few of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:07.680 --> 00:02:10.812 He was a director of the Utilonga SPORT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:10.812 --> 00:02:11.904 Tissue Banker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}02{:}11.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.634$  director of Thoracic Molecular pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:02:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.740$  also at MD Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}02{:}16.740 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.085$  Up till now actually he was Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:20.085 --> 00:02:22.160 director of the Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:22.160 --> 00:02:24.160 supportive grant Co director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:02:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.760$  the molecular testing development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:25.760 --> 00:02:27.904 And I think I'm going to stop here, Ignacio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:02:27.904 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.760$  It's really long list.

 $00{:}02{:}29.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.640$  It's absolutely impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}02{:}32.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.720$  And he also had endowment positions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:35.720 --> 00:02:42.280 numerous 'cause consultations

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:02:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.651$  over 800 publications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:43.651 --> 00:02:45.479 Your CVS from September,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:45.480 --> 00:02:47.195 I guess you're probably 1000 right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:47.200 --> 00:02:50.716 With that pace and numerous awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}02{:}50.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.348$ he received Pathology Residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:02:53.348 --> 00:02:55.976 Scholarship award in Chile

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:02:55.976 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.320$  Fogarty Foundation Fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:02:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.416$  He also received the Mary Matthews

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:01.416 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.480$  Pathology Translational Research Award

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}03{:}03.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.331$  from the International Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:03:05.331 --> 00:03:08.639 for the Study of Lung Cancer in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.480$  At the same year he received the the

00:03:11.480 --> 00:03:13.940 the award from the Latin American

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}03{:}13.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.875$  Federation of Cancer Society's Award

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:16.875 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.400$  for Supporting Latin American Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:03:20.400 --> 00:03:23.109 I had the privilege to work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:03:23.109 --> 00:03:26.148 Ignacio as a member of the ICLC

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}03{:}26.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.440$  Pathology Committee when he was a chair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.190$  We were members before them but then

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.481$  he was a chair and he absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}03{:}33.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.957$  changed the entire committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.492$  made it actually the most productive

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:03:37.492 --> 00:03:39.171 committee of the ICLC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

00:03:39.171 --> 00:03:42.090 And he's one of those natural born

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:42.179 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.380$  leaders with great administrative skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:44.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.355$  great research skills and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:46.355 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.839$  he's still pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00{:}03{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.520$  I know that he can read the slides.

 $00:03:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.441$  So Ignacio, welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96275165

 $00:03:50.441 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.590$  We are very happy to have you

NOTE Confidence: 0.91878907

00:03:52.653 --> 00:03:54.120 here. Thank you very much. Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

00:03:54.120 --> 00:03:56.465 Sanjay. Thank you Doctor Basic and Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:03:56.465 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.763$  Liu for the kind invitation to be here again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:03:59.763 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.741$  I come to institution on a regular basis

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:02.741 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.905$  because I I have a collaborations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00{:}04{:}05.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.614$  part of advisory war of the Yale Lancaster

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00{:}04{:}09.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.402$  sport program and congratulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:11.402 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.312$  I heard that was submitted finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

00:04:14.312 --> 00:04:17.336 today and and now I mean I add to

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:17.336 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.630$  the list of friends Sandra here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00{:}04{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.180$  So it's a pleasure to be speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00{:}04{:}22.180 --> 00{:}04{:}25.158$  to all to you all. So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:25.158 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.231$  it's a little bit embarrassing hearing about

00:04:28.231 --> 00:04:31.194 what you what you have to put in your CV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.400$  Sorry about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.158$  I'm just being that I'm old, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:35.158 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.664$  So and and the other thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:37.664 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.988$  that sorry about the very generic

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

00:04:39.988 --> 00:04:42.551 title that I use for every, every,

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:42.551 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.448$  every invitation to speak and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:44.448 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.317$  change it to make it more relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.280$  but I forgot to do this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

00:04:48.280 --> 00:04:51.632 What I'm going to talk today is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00{:}04{:}51.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.901$  using strategies to study immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:53.901 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.601$  response in tumor tissues with emphasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:04:56.601 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.097$  on Multiplex assays to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00{:}04{:}59.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.260$  the immune response with a focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:05:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.710$  a spatial analysis that we have in

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00{:}05{:}04.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.319$  exploring our group for the last few years.

 $00:05:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.200$  So these are my disclosures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00{:}05{:}09.200 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}05{:}11.800$  ground support Advisory Board speaker

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

00:05:11.800 --> 00:05:15.190 engagement and also the other you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

00:05:15.190 --> 00:05:17.165 important disclosures that I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

00:05:17.165 --> 00:05:17.955 an immunologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

00:05:17.960 --> 00:05:19.920 So when I talk about immune markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:05:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.874$  but if if you have a difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:05:22.874 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.718$  question immunology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00{:}05{:}23.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.638$  I'm going to refer them to court.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

 $00:05:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.560$  Thank you for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.689819440769231

00:05:27.560 --> 00:05:28.040 So NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:05:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.387$  so I mean if you have been in touch with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:05:34.387 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.769$  this happening pathology for lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:05:36.769 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.849$  particularly in the diagnostic field

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:05:38.916 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.000$  including molecular pathology tools.

00:05:41.000 --> 00:05:43.934 You have seen this evolution from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:05:43.934 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.689$  Histology based diagnosis to molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}05{:}46.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.798$  targeted some classification in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

00:05:48.798 --> 00:05:50.702 particular the Lenocarcinoma, mystology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:05:50.702 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.334$  the non sponsor lung cancer area and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:05:54.334 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.320$  then and then there is a has been a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}05{:}57.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.506$ a lot of hope on more biomarker can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:00.506 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.194$  predict response to immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}06{:}02.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.798$  particularly the use of immune checkpoints.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.104$  But we're kind of stuck there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}06{:}07.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.735$  with a few markers mostly PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:09.735 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.560$  in most of chemical expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

00:06:11.560 --> 00:06:14.960 But there is a also a new wave of therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}06{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.720$  the antibody drugs conjugate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.480$  actually may require the assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:18.536 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.116$  of protein expression in tumor.

 $00:06:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.440$  So this is a very kind of brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.752$  description of the evolution of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

00:06:26.752 --> 00:06:29.104 field of diagnosis in lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:29.104 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.654$  that makes this disease very exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:31.654 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.860$  And I've been there from the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:34.944 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.768$  and and I've been able to watch this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:37.768 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.840$  by working at the same time this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.184$  evolution and this is slide that I put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:42.184 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.032$  the paradigms in evolution lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.678$  molecular pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

00:06:44.678 --> 00:06:46.592 I'm running out of space now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

00:06:46.592 --> 00:06:48.279 I'm just reducing the font.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}06{:}48.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.996$  So Histology is the key you know component

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:51.996 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.880$  of every good diagnosis in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.752$  But we have a targeted therapy

 $00:06:56.752 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.513$  and so we we studied driver by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:06:59.513 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.913$  molecular analysis of tissue cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.091$  cytology specimens and also have the liquid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:05.091 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.880$  biopsy opportunities on immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.520$  Unfortunately we don't have a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.744$  number of solid predictive biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:11.744 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.600$  So there is a lot of effort in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.096$  area and I'm going to show you some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}07{:}17.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.400$  of the work that we are trying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.208$  We're doing that field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}07{:}20.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.986$  Then many of the new therapy particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:23.986 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.920$  immunotherapy related approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.365$  have been moved from stage 4 advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:31.365 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.001$  disease sometimes refractory disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:34.001 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.155$  to earlier disease patient with tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:37.155 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.705$  that can be resected surgically with

 $00:07:39.705 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.999$  creative intent stage one and three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}07{:}42.000 \longrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.891$  And then the rapy has been given before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:44.891 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.799$  that recession is called new value of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

00:07:47.799 --> 00:07:49.755 therapy and that actually put pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:49.755 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.058$  again in a good position because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:52.058 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.778$  assessment of response after this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:53.778 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.318$  therapy when the tumor resect is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.749$  And Doctor DASI has LED several of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:07:58.749 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.969$  these studies having recently published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:00.969 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.197$  on assessing pathological response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}08{:}03.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.531$  and then we have the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:05.531 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.204$  potentially follow patient with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}08{:}07.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.224$  liquid biopsy approaches to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:09.224 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.352$  minimal residual disease to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:11.352 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.400$  you know patient records.

 $00:08:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.000$  They already mentioned the biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}08{:}15.000 --> 00{:}08{:}16.280$  for the drug conjugate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.032$  But for this kind kind of revolutionizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:20.032 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.559$  a little bit our assays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

00:08:22.559 --> 00:08:24.475 assays on biomarker particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:24.475 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.604$  the immune space is the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:27.604 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.236$  to apply computational pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

00:08:30.240 --> 00:08:34.144 analysis to our immune base,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:34.144 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.256$  characterize tissue based characterization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:36.256 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.051$  of the immune response and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}08{:}39.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.319$  I'm going to talk about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.818$  So these are the two areas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:42.818 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.800$  I'm going to cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.809$  And as I already mentioned you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:45.809 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.350$  we in pathology particularly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}08{:}47.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.520$ advanced disease we have a very kind

 $00:08:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.941$  of defined workflow what's needed for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00{:}08{:}51.941 \to 00{:}08{:}53.996$  the diagnosis starting with Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827219

 $00:08:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.320$  molecular you know assessment of key

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:08:58.320 --> 00:08:59.379 genomic and normality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}08{:}59.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.850$  some PD L wading muscle chemistry for

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:01.912 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.171$  for all the changes and opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:09:04.171 --> 00:09:05.782 in immunotherapy considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}09{:}05.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.930$ immune checkpoint inhibition in

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:07.999 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.219$  combination or in combination with

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}09{:}10.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.730$  other drugs like chemotherapy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.120$  a cell therapy or even vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:16.120 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.120$  Approaches are coming up again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}09{:}18.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.176$  I think they're having just one by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:20.176 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.279$  market for predicting response is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.638$  very, very dismant and the field

 $00:09:24.638 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.780$  is big and I know that several

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.240$  of you may have immunology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:09:30.240 --> 00:09:32.361 immunology training is a is is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:32.361 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.300$  is a lot going on in the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:35.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.255$  response particular to a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:37.336 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.478$  without intervention changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.886$  When you do intervention with immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:41.886 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.520$  modulators or with any other therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:09:44.520 --> 00:09:45.666 chemotherapy, biotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:45.666 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.677$  they change the immune response in tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}09{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.976$  And there are a series of biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:09:51.976 --> 00:09:55.026 that have been proposed that are part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:55.026 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.318$  the intrinsic characteristic of the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:09:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.952$  many of them genome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154 00:09:58.952 --> 00:09:59.360 genome, NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:09:59.360 --> 00:10:01.718 genomic normality is the tumor or

 $00:10:01.718 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.867$  associated to the immune response

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:03.867 --> 00:10:06.377 that are extensive predictor that

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}10{:}06.377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.385$  have been considered associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:10:08.456 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.626$  potential with benefit or with

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:10.626 --> 00:10:12.796 resistant to our therapies mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:12.800 --> 00:10:14.312 immune checkpoint therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:14.312 --> 00:10:17.336 So we need biomarkers and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}10{:}17.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.622$  a crowded space here on different

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:20.622 --> 00:10:23.041 opportunities and but this in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:23.041 --> 00:10:24.910 bias of pathology I think that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:24.978 --> 00:10:27.232 would have done with genomic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:10:27.232 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.508$  we started characterizing tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}10{:}29.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.560$  then we know what we need to look for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:10:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.199$  We can go to liquid biases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:10:34.199 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.264$  trying to identify these biomarkers.

 $00:10:36.264 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.512$  I think that then the same paradigm

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}10{:}39.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.022$  needs to be replicated in in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:10:43.022 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.567$  immunotherapy understand what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:44.567 --> 00:10:47.550 the work play of the book play of

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:10:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.865$  immune response with and without

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:49.865 --> 00:10:52.117 intervention hopefully on log you

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:52.117 --> 00:10:54.568 doing on basis in the tumor tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:54.568 --> 00:10:56.428 in the context of immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:56.428 --> 00:10:59.321 when we learn that we can see we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:10:59.321 --> 00:11:01.852 identify those cells, those proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:01.852 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.756$  those even genomic abnormalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:11:04.760 --> 00:11:08.280 in surrogate specimen like blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.800$  a sample that we get easily in

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:11:10.800 --> 00:11:11.880 every clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:11:11.880 --> 00:11:15.360 So all these prompted to pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.980$  translational research team that we

00:11:17.980 --> 00:11:21.095 are actually working very heavily on

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}11{:}21.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.014$  the molecular targeted area to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:11:24.014 --> 00:11:26.679 understand and learn about immunology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.856$  immunology of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:11:27.856 --> 00:11:31.213 So we tried to adopt A new technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:11:31.213 --> 00:11:33.863 to study chemokine cytokine growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:33.863 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.322$  factor in in fluids try to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}11{:}37.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.818$  know identify the immune cells into

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:39.818 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.140$  more or peripheral blood or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.166$  fluids with different immunology

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:11:45.166 --> 00:11:47.094 immunology techniques for cytometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:47.094 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.828$  site of and try to add to the genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}11{:}50.828 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}11{:}52.308$  characterization of the tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:52.374 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.142$  immune characterization And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:11:54.142 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.794$  we can use some genomic approaches

 $00:11:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.560$  there to get close to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}11{:}59.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02.026$  We can disaggregate tissues and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:12:02.026 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.869$  for cytometry site of but use lose

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:12:04.869 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.119$  the context of the tissues trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:12:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.725$  malignant cells blood vessels and

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}12{:}10.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.800$  other the structure the structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:12:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.755$  that could be there by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:12:14.755 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.845$  So then the analysis of tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}12{:}17.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.120$  sections we think is important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:12:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.675$  then we can adopt from starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00{:}12{:}24.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.650$  with a single Plex immunochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:12:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.420$  with rigor of because that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:12:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.600$  be a problematic sometime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

00:12:34.600 --> 00:12:37.988 The immunochemistry is it has to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.466856343846154

 $00:12:37.988 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.236$  well done moved from a single to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:12:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.474$  uniplex A monochemistry to a Multiplex

00:12:45.474 --> 00:12:48.982 approaches to kind of not only quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:12:48.982 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.692$  cells that characterize well those

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:12:51.692 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.005$  cells and and actually locate

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:12:54.005 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.519$  them in the structure of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:12:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.142$  And then further in the analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:12:59.142 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.472$  to see the relationship of

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:01.472 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.962$  specific suburblation cell to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}13{:}03.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.528$  malignant cell and to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:06.528 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.488$  And that's actually the evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}13{:}08.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.004$  from automated from immunos to

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

00:13:11.004 --> 00:13:13.233 chemistry to Multiplex incorporating

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:13.233 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.398$  image analysis and spatial analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}13{:}16.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.836$  And these are two faculty in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}13{:}18.836 \rightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.476$  department of Edwin Para and Luisa Solis

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:21.476 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.318$  who have instrumental over the years to

00:13:24.318 --> 00:13:26.718 develops a similar Multiplex approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}13{:}26.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.740$  We started after testing similar system

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.104$  adopting the the system called those

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:34.104 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.020$  days Vectra now is Polaris that have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.780$  very strong chemistry for a multiplexing

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.880$  different antibodies in tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.328$  Now I think that they can do up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:45.328 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.275$  9 different antibodies where we save

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}13{:}49.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.078$  one spot for the nuclear staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:13:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.340$  ADAPI and it's important as I said

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}13{:}58.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.160$  to validate these Multiplex very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.880$  very carefully with single Plex

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.056$  monochemistry followed by single

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:05.056 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.535$  Plex immuno fluorescent and then put

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

00:14:07.535 --> 00:14:09.990 it in combination in the sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.952$  that you find best result.

00:14:11.952 --> 00:14:15.639 We have done this over the last seven years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.308$  a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:16.308 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.312$  We have over 30 panels that

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:18.312 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.800$  will have developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}14{:}19.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21.179$  but the panel that will have used

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:21.179 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.798$  the most are the two initial panels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

00:14:22.800 --> 00:14:25.017 I'm going to describe briefly because

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}14{:}25.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.713$  I'm going to show some data in lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:27.713 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.030$  cancer cohort with this spanning one

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.520$  that they define it usually speedy

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.130$  1PD1 centric because we look for

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00{:}14{:}35.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37.760$  the expression of the markers in

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

00:14:37.760 --> 00:14:39.852 malignant cells characterized by

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:39.852 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.467$  Pancytokeratene and we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

00:14:42.467 --> 00:14:45.278 other T cells and macrophage marker,

 $00:14:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.234$  very basic markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:46.234 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.811$  And then the second panel is a panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:48.811 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.099$  that we explore a little bit more the

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

00:14:51.099 --> 00:14:53.432 T cell population of cells and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.68054526

 $00:14:53.432 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.920$  keeping you know the pancytokeratine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:14:58.520 --> 00:15:02.160 So of course like you have done here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}15{:}02.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.852$  we have explore some other Multiplex

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:05.852 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.040$  approaches that could be more suitable

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}15{:}09.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.160$  for discovery approaches in this field

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:12.253 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.210$  that can go to up to 4060 markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}15{:}15.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.710$  explore the imaging mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.000$  cytometry Iperion site of system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:15:21.000 --> 00:15:23.009 We have a 35 markers panel that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:15:23.009 --> 00:15:25.080 we have run in some projects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:15:25.080 --> 00:15:27.205 We have actually working actively

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:27.205 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.976$  with colleagues and now it's called

 $00:15:29.976 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.475$  Phenocycler fusion that we have a panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}15{:}32.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.227$  of 33 markers and we are very actively

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:35.227 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.432$  working with something called Nanoting

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:37.432 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.520$  GMX that you can do also for the panels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:15:40.520 --> 00:15:43.118 Some of these are fluorescent based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.157$  some of these are mass spec based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:15:45.160 --> 00:15:47.120 I'm not going to talk about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.120$  and maybe because we got one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:15:49.120 --> 00:15:51.160 it's not working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.825$  So then of course everybody's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}15{:}53.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.435$  excited with the opportunity to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:15:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.224$  transcriptome analysis in tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:15:58.224 --> 00:16:00.900 I'm talking about formally fixed paraffin

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}16{:}00.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.434$  embedded specimens and add the special

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:03.434 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.320$  transcript official analysis of it.

 $00:16:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.208$  So this is something that we are doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:07.208 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.477$  and I'm going to show any data about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.930$  And all these actually effort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.230$  characterizing the immune response

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:16:15.322 --> 00:16:18.106 in tissue specimens is has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:16:18.106 --> 00:16:21.740 very much enhanced for with the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.996$  of computational pathology tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.344$  And I know that everybody likes

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:25.344 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.240$  to talk about AI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.400$  people jump to it very easily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:16:28.400 --> 00:16:29.760 I I think for pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.965$  we have a process here which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:31.965 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.240$  actually digital pathology scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.128$  stuff and scan them well, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:35.128 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.182$  And there are challenges there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:16:38.182 --> 00:16:41.479 are not trivial then do image analysis,

 $00:16:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.800$  right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.784$  That's the next step and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:43.784 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.840$  learn from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:16:44.840 --> 00:16:46.115 that's the machine learning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}16{:}46.115 \to 00{:}16{:}47.659$  then you can start talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:47.659 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.759$  the AI and this concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.280$  Computational pathology has been very

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.680$  useful because we as pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.892$  are very good and they have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:16:56.892 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.761$  shown many times to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:16:58.761 --> 00:17:00.764 expression of markers and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:00.764 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.212$  chromogenic immunostock energy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:17:02.212 --> 00:17:04.160 they are locating the nucleus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.756$  they're locating them in the membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.280$  The cytoplasm or a combination in

00:17:08.280 --> 00:17:11.238 Malina cells have a good eye for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}17{:}11.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.078$  especially the large cells like carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.360$  But it's a challenge to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.738$  those markers when they express in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:18.738 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.655$  immune cells that you see as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:17:20.655 --> 00:17:22.851 dot and you don't know what type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:22.851 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.861$  immune cell even some macrophages are

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:17:24.861 --> 00:17:26.335 challenging sometime the middle of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:17:26.335 --> 00:17:28.564 the tumor is you don't know if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:28.564 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.074$  the malignant sort of macrophage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}17{:}30.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.192$  So in that and also quantify that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:33.192 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.727$  very subjective and there are data

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:35.727 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.822$  that actually with David published

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:37.822 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.000$  on that right and I just remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.920$  I should have put the slide on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.914$  How about we are quantifying immune

00:17:44.914 --> 00:17:47.757 self expressing the PDL one right

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}17{:}47.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.400$  and that good on the malignant side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.770$  So that's why computation I mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}17{:}52.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.350$  digital pathology and computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}17{:}54.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.610$  approach are very useful and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:17:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.605$  this is also a way to interrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

00:17:58.605 --> 00:18:00.500 the issue to understand the biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:18:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.330$  in this case you know transcript

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:18:02.382 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.428$  immunology and and people are using

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00{:}18{:}04.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.792$  the same for transcriptomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:18:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.376$  So in this case you can use them

NOTE Confidence: 0.95609379

 $00:18:08.376 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.020$  to quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.941137886363636

 $00{:}18{:}09.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11.778$  to study compartment heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.941137886363636

 $00:18:11.778 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.718$  and then the locations and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941137886363636

 $00:18:15.718 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.048$  location compared to targets or

 $00:18:18.048 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.360$  other cells cell interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941137886363636

 $00:18:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.318$  So now this is the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941137886363636

00:18:23.320 --> 00:18:24.520 So I'm doing OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:18:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.200$  I'm going to give you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:18:29.200 --> 00:18:31.461 So I work, I started working lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:18:31.461 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.566$  cancer and I'm getting all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:18:33.566 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.880$  goals and telling all the stories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:18:35.880 --> 00:18:37.908 But when working on pathogenesial lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}18{:}37.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.315$  cancer what is the origin of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:18:40.315 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.307$  is what is the prunoplastic lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}18{:}42.307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.655$  that actually matter or pre Malinas in

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:18:44.655 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.004$  the matter to develop small cell lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}18{:}47.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.440$  cancer or non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:18:49.440 --> 00:18:52.716 So I have very strong still

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:18:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.480$  association and collaboration in

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:18:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.680$  the early pathogenic lung cancer,

00:18:56.680 --> 00:18:59.029 but you know working in lung in in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:18:59.029 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.650$  the Cancer Center that MD Anderson we

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.610$  all most of our research try to focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.357$  initially in advanced metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.348$  So we see patients with stage 4 and cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:10.348 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.080$  and we do clinical trials and research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.560$  translational research in that setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:19:15.560 --> 00:19:17.877 and even worse it was worse before

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:17.877 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.092$  we did it mostly in their factory

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:21.092 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.236$  patient that failed chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:19:23.240 --> 00:19:25.427 So and and I'm actually so happy that many

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}19{:}25.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.269$  of these the rapies I mentioned earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}19{:}27.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.680$  are moving to the new adjuvant space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:19:29.680 --> 00:19:31.944 So you can see you can do more

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:31.944 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.455$  studies of resected tumor that have

 $00:19:34.455 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.795$  been treated with different therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:36.800 \dashrightarrow 00:19:40.033$  So, so and and I I like to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:40.033 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.169$  the progression of lung cancer is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:19:43.169 --> 00:19:45.483 an opportunity to to bring discoveries

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:45.483 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.898$  on molecular pathology or biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:19:47.898 --> 00:19:50.719 across the spectrum of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}19{:}50.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52.722$  There are people who are doing chemo

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:52.722 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.931$  prevention with immune checkpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}19{:}53.931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.719$  in lung cancer to small novels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:19:55.720 --> 00:19:56.266 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}19{:}56.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.450$  So whatever we learn on advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:19:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.150$  metastatic could be also or resected

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.559$  tumor could be important to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.370$  Study premalignant or low malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.600$  lesions that could progress or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.512$  So the first example is going to be

00:20:13.512 --> 00:20:17.165 actually about some immune analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}20{:}17.165 \to 00{:}20{:}20.450$  tissues that have these premalignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:20.554 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.479$  or low grain malignancy characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.860$  And this is study that was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}20{:}26.860 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}20{:}28.924$  in Nature Communication 2021 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}20{:}28.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.079$  they started with Doctor Dejima,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:20:31.080 --> 00:20:33.426 A pathologist from Japan who visited

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}20{:}33.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.404$  for three years and was finished

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:20:35.404 --> 00:20:36.476 by Doctor Jay Sang,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.040$  the faculty interested maker oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}20{:}39.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.644$  And in this one we collected specimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:20:41.644 --> 00:20:44.230 from Japan that had these atypical

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}20{:}44.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.086$ adenomatosaparplasia that is believed

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:46.086 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.776$  to be the precursor of at least a

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:49.776 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.556$  substance or lung adenocarcinoma.

 $00{:}20{:}51.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.116$  We have a few adenocarcinoma inside

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}20{:}54.116 \to 00{:}20{:}56.649$  that means malignant cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:56.649 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.917$  not inviting invading which is but

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:20:58.917 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.172$  invasion is a is a controversial

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:21:01.172 --> 00:21:03.314 topic in in Langa carcinoma but

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.910$  we thought that we had inside the

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.880$  lesions and we have micro invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.880$  carcinomas and then invasive carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:21:09.880 --> 00:21:11.264 This is small number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:11.264 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.974$  but we decided to do this is to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:13.974 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.422$  a kind of a kind of comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:21:16.422 --> 00:21:18.387 approach based on different technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:18.387 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.075$  that we work in these formally fixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:21.075 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.318$  paraffin and visited small lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:21:23.320 --> 00:21:27.064 We run an RNA expression using a mono

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:27.064 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.000$  oncology panel of 100 and 77170 genes.

 $00:21:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.500$  From nanostream we run multiplexing

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.000$  monoforescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.556$  the two panel that already mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00{:}21{:}35.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.752$  PD1PD1 and T cells TCR SYNC sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:38.752 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.363$  call it some sequencing of global

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:42.363 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.576$  methylation and basically just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

00:21:44.576 --> 00:21:47.440 give you the summary of the result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.890$  So what we found is that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.773834742

 $00:21:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.940$  we increase on

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:21:51.022 --> 00:21:53.130 the malignant potential or

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:21:53.130 --> 00:21:55.880 malignancy future of these lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:21:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.222$  we found that RNA level studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}21{:}59.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.118$  these immune related genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.172$  we saw an increase in later stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:04.172 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.858$  this progression of increase of

 $00:22:06.858 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.180$  immunosuppressor gene status with

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.080$  the decrease of immune activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.864$  The maximum number of Cytodoxy T cell that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:13.864 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.547$  we found was in the adjacent normal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:22:16.547 --> 00:22:19.410 These are big patients that may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:22:19.478 --> 00:22:21.998 been supposed to tobacco and had some

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.982$  COPD features and this actually with

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:22:24.982 --> 00:22:27.760 timer deconvolution of the RNA data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}22{:}27.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.430$  We thought that would identify CD40

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.129$  lymphocyte that were increasing that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:33.129 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.254$  be related to these immunosuppressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:35.254 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.006$  genes state and we thought that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:38.006 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.118$  direct cells we could improve it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:22:40.120 --> 00:22:42.311 That's why we ran the Multiplex multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:42.311 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.358$  for Essence show you the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.516$  We found an increase in the density

 $00:22:46.516 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.512$  and diversity by reviews on coronality

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:22:48.512 --> 00:22:50.871 of the T cells that were expanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.485$  And also we saw as expected increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:54.485 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.098$  copy number changes allelic imbalance

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:22:58.098 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.410$  which is a reflection of loss of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:00.469 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.557$  residosity and increase on new antigen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.776$  And what we saw in the later stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}23{:}04.776 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.319$  in the micro invasive and carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:23:07.320 --> 00:23:10.393 a higher frequency HLA loss of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:10.393 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.837$  residosity that could associate with

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:12.837 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.780$  these can reduce of immune activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}23{:}15.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.156$  and increase of immune repression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}23{:}18.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.772$  So this Malina cell could escape

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}23{:}20.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.844$  the immune system. We can base it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:23:23.844 --> 00:23:25.608 The multiplexing Monoporesia actually

00:23:25.608 --> 00:23:27.851 confirmed that we saw an increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:27.851 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.795$  of direct cells and a reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:29.862 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.447$  of cytotoxicity cells and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:31.447 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.032$  is going to go to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.518$  sorry to this slide in which actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:36.518 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.880$  we are not cannot show you the details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.016$  but actually it shows this an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:42.016 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.385$  of the increase of the direct cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:45.385 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.235$  decrease of Cytotox activated these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.984$  and and this is the data showing reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}23{:}51.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.567$  on clinality and increase in diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:54.567 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.570$  So all these show very early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:23:57.658 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.340$  this stage in the transformation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.016$  the South that is associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:03.016 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.680$  an immune response from the coast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}24{:}05.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.939$  But then you lose and some suppressor

00:24:08.939 --> 00:24:11.592 mechanisms trigger in and may explain more

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:11.592 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.196$  other things the progression of this lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.426$  And actually this is the work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:16.426 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.399$  have been doing over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.582$  And then we actually added to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:24:21.582 --> 00:24:23.037 genomic findings that we're ready,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.729$  we're aware the mechanisms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:24:27.729 --> 00:24:29.943 role of immune response in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:29.943 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.680$  evolution of these lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.570$  So now I'm going to give you a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.460$  of examples of projects that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:24:35.460 --> 00:24:37.026 done in surgical resected tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}24{:}37.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.078$  non small cell lung cancer stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.502$  one and two in these cases usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:41.502 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.348$  is they have not been treated with

 $00:24:44.348 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.513$  a therapy before the resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}24{:}46.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.936$  It's hard to have a clinical endpoint and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:48.936 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.399$  the clinical endpoint is outcome of the page,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444 00:24:51.400 --> 00:24:51.804 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:51.804 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.228$  So when I refer to recurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:54.228 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.480$  free survival or overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.360$  after resection as a an outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:24:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.760$  you know comparing the findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00{:}25{:}01.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.914$  on the immune response that we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:25:03.914 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.320$  the study that we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:25:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.864$  But before that when we developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

00:25:07.864 --> 00:25:10.519 this Multiplex assay and lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:25:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.842$  we're looking for proof of principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.753447219444444

 $00:25:12.842 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.390$  study something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:14.456 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.997$  can show that we are finding something

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00{:}25{:}16.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.915$  that's can correlate with the clinical

 $00:25:18.915 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.884$  status of the patient and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:20.884 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.418$  have been talking and they have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

00:25:23.418 --> 00:25:25.203 shown that chemotherapy induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

00:25:25.203 --> 00:25:27.909 immune response in tumor by trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:27.909 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.334$  cells you know and the antigens are

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:30.334 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.621$  are available to the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:32.621 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.876$  that's elicit the immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00{:}25{:}34.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.976$  So but no body has shown that and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00{:}25{:}36.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39.204$  hard to get biopsies from patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:39.204 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.840$  before and after chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.360$  So we did with these two panels again

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

00:25:45.360 --> 00:25:49.720 PD1PD1 centric, T cells centric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.840$  we studied 61 chemo naive cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.078$  surgical resected non small cell cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:25:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.391$  they were not exposed to any therapy

 $00:25:58.391 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.939$  versus 51 were controlled by a sex story

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:26:01.939 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.712$  and so on 51 treated with chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

00:26:04.712 --> 00:26:06.264 platinum based therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:26:06.264 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.238$  we found what was suspected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:26:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.748$  So we see activated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:26:09.748 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.633$  we see more T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:26:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.930$  memory cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:26:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.155$  cells with exposure to antigens

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:26:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.626$  higher in the one the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

00:26:18.626 --> 00:26:20.270 that have received chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00{:}26{:}20.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.649$  and also we saw an activation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:26:22.649 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.719$  increase of PDL one expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

 $00:26:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.975$  So it was published in 2018 by Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.854482373888889

00:26:27.975 --> 00:26:30.880 Parry in journal of in in monology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:26:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.196$  So then we haven't been applying

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:26:35.196 --> 00:26:37.440 these to actually your new argument

 $00:26:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.600$  trials in which patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:26:39.600 --> 00:26:41.360 received immune checkpoint therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:26:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.868$  single agent in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:26:42.868 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.999$  or weak chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:26:44.000 --> 00:26:45.664 I know when I show enough of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:26:45.664 --> 00:26:47.128 data and we haven't discovered

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:26:47.128 --> 00:26:48.688 anything exciting by doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00{:}26{:}48.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.502$  study but we have shown what people

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:26:50.502 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.080$  expect and this is an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:26:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.216$  This is a new argument trial running

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:26:55.216 --> 00:26:58.510 in in our institution in the Anderson

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:26:58.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.726$  which they compare in patients use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:27:01.726 --> 00:27:04.070 anti PD1 ebolumab with the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:27:04.070 --> 00:27:06.120 of drug nivolumab and epidumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:27:06.120 --> 00:27:09.735 Anti PD one acid DA four and and we

 $00{:}27{:}09.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.355$  show by multiplexing monopares in

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:11.355 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.331$  using the similar patterns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:13.331 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.401$  when they add the second drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:27:15.401 --> 00:27:17.556 the anti CDA 4 to anti PD one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.170$  Actually they see a more mounting

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.640$  a more robust immune response

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.450$  based on T cells activation mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.386$  in the treated in the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:29.386 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.754$  that received this treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.832$  And this was along with some other tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00{:}27{:}32.832 \to 00{:}27{:}35.356$  done in the peripheral blood of the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.840$  So this is something that we have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.154$  in in in at least four or five illegal

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:41.154 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.110$  trials to contribute to validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.396$  some of the findings that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:27:45.396 --> 00:27:47.204 have with other immunology tools.

00:27:47.204 --> 00:27:48.944 But they haven't been actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:48.944 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.537$  discovered by themselves and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

00:27:50.537 --> 00:27:52.504 very hard to discover in my opinion

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:52.561 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.199$  with a limited number of panels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.818$  I think that you need to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:56.818 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.080$  with use higher multiplexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:27:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.840$  This is a nice story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00{:}28{:}00.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03.960$  very brief that we published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.637016285384615

 $00:28:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.718$  2018 in John after a psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.760$  and we're using classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

00:28:09.760 --> 00:28:10.760 chromogenic immunothochemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00{:}28{:}10.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.704$  We decided to start studying on

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00{:}28{:}12.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.066$  top of PDL 1 immune checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:15.066 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.820$  others and we have eight others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.790$  You know you can see the 7384 either

00:28:20.790 --> 00:28:25.152 one ICOS Vista like what like 3 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:25.152 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.504$  40 at team three and the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:28.504 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.252$  was is I was thinking is is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:32.252 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.950$  is the chances that lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.240$  tumor express more of one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00{:}28{:}38.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.572$  immune checkpoints in which level

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:41.572 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.716$  and is that opening opportunity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00{:}28{:}44.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.292$  combination and this is and and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:47.292 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.368$  we can also learn about studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:49.368 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.392$  these immune checkpoints some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:51.392 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.497$  them expressed in malignant cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00:28:53.497 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.350$  only most of them expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00{:}28{:}55.350 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.109$  malignant cell immune cells some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00{:}28{:}57.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.759$  them expressed only in immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

00:28:58.760 --> 00:29:00.446 It's very hard to quantify but

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00{:}29{:}00.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.759$  we did it with digital pathology.

 $00:29:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.259$  I mean analysis in 184 non small

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00{:}29{:}05.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.967$  cell and cancer adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.545408055

 $00{:}29{:}06.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09.757$  squamous or carcinoma and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.712$  heat map showed the the data that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}29{:}16.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.276$  found and we don't we don't what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:29:20.276 --> 00:29:22.526 consider PL-1 positive in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:22.526 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.399$  So we put the cases from higher to lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.276$  based on the percent of malignant cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:27.276 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.525$  express in it and then the other markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:29.525 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.713$  in the malignant cells on the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:31.713 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.759$  associated immune cell are presented as

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.560$  higher than the median for the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}29{:}36.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39.324$ I don't know who squam because we don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:39.324 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.560$  what slack 3 positive and immune cell is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.004$  So and we did that and you can see that they

 $00:29:45.004 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.956$  given two more have many of these markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:47.956 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.840$  higher than the median that cohort positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.381$  So it's it's a very complex environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:53.381 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.222$  and with there are many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:56.222 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.317$  immune checkpoint play potential role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:29:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.210$  So I think that we're very lucky

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.839$  we have to PD1 and PD1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:30:01.840 --> 00:30:03.520 I don't think we haven't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.640$  very lucky with others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:30:04.640 --> 00:30:07.730 And I think because there is complexity on at

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:\!30:\!07.730 \dashrightarrow 00:\!30:\!10.400$  least on the immune checkpoint perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.160$  there's other complexity on the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.976$  So then we put all these markers in

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}30{:}15.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.276$  multiplexing monoforous and it was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:18.276 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.196$  in Nature Communication 2020 through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.440$  It's a paper with a lot of data,

 $00:30:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.791$  but not a clear story because

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:25.791 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.546$  we couldn't find anything that

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:27.546 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.640$  could be called a discovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:30:29.640 --> 00:30:32.025 And I know that we're doing a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}30{:}32.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.480$  spatial analysis now and I hope we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:30:34.480 --> 00:30:36.200 make more contribution with this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.113$  but we decided to publish anyway

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:39.113 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.277$  to make it available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.108$  And what we've found is we put

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:43.108 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.472$  all those T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:45.472 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.248$  macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:46.248 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.528$  malignant cells markers together in

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}30{:}49.528 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}30{:}52.306$  five panels including Myelo cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:52.306 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.220$  and and then you put all these immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:30:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.880$  checkpoints in the panel and we did this in,

 $00:30:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.492$  in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:31:00.492 --> 00:31:06.000 in in 225 non small cell lung cancer cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:31:06.000 --> 00:31:08.120 142 I don't know 83 squamous cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.158$  we always separate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:09.158 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.234$  there are two different diseases for

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:11.234 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.014$  me so for for many and so I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:14.014 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.238$  look at differently and what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.464$  it's a lot of Co expression and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}31{:}19.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.976$  think that this also Co expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:20.976 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.177$  based on data that I've done in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}31{:}23.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.840$  diseases or you're doing all vibes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.606$  it's change over time chain with

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:27.606 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.186$  tubal progression and chain with

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:30.186 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.540$  intervention and and here you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.240$  see that marker by marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.990$  They are associated with other

 $00:31:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.040$  market very frequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}31{:}37.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}40.073$  I said it's a high level of Co expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:31:40.080 --> 00:31:42.194 of these immune checkpoint in T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:31:42.200 --> 00:31:43.040 Mylo cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}31{:}43.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.112$  even in B cells and this is highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:46.112 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.156$  heterogeneous in tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.680$  In A tumor you see areas that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.734$  expression of certain immune checkpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:51.734 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.440$  combined with areas that are others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.000$  So it's highly heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.364$  There is a high level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:31:59.364 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.666$  these immune checkpoints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}32{:}00.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02.931$  some of them with inhibitory

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}32{:}02.931 --> 00{:}32{:}03.837 \ stimulatory \ features.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:32:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.128$  It's complex it's complex so and it's

 $00:32:07.128 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.832$  different between Adam and squam across

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:32:08.832 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.798$  you know that's not a big discovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00{:}32{:}10.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12.550$  So if you are interested in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:32:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.212$  data this could be available to

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

00:32:14.212 --> 00:32:15.642 somebody doing more spatial we

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:32:15.642 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.160$  did some spatial analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726032648

 $00:32:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.960$  This is the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.292$  time that actually we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}32{:}20.292 --> 00{:}32{:}21.957$  did we published on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.382$  We follow up with another paper I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}32{:}24.382 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.464$  show you in a minute that we we focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:27.464 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.348$  on spatial analysis and we found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:30.348 \dashrightarrow 00:32:33.106$  some markers we we define two two

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:32:33.106 --> 00:32:36.212 ways to study especially the we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:36.212 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.274$  two approaches to study the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:39.274 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.353$  facial distribution of immune cells in tumor.

 $00:32:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.615$  One is by infiltration there

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:32:43.615 --> 00:32:46.480 are some index called G index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.640$  what is the level of infiltration of cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:32:49.640 --> 00:32:52.280 in looking at you know the tumor compartment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.422$  the malignant cell and the other is

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:54.422 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.744$  the distance of these cells of interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:56.744 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.240$  with malignant cells or with the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:32:59.240 \dashrightarrow 00:33:02.008$  So that's the two ways and in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:02.008 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.526$  study we found that if one markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:33:04.526 --> 00:33:06.739 of obscure market for me probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:06.739 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.154$  makes a lot more sense for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:33:09.160 --> 00:33:11.862 It's a my love a neutrophil related

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}33{:}11.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.877$  marker that when we have more infiltrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.463$  a pattern close to the malignant cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:17.463 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.437$  associated with product with better

 $00:33:19.437 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.437$  overall survival in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.197$  And this is adjusted by proper

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:24.197 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.628$  characteristic multivariate analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:25.628 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.200$  and and then so we found that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.839$  more easier for me to understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:33:31.840 --> 00:33:36.705 T cells and and say the \*\*\*\* T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:36.705 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.632$  when now looking at the distance in

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:39.632 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.225$  microns are closer to malignant cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:42.225 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.762$  those patients have a better outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:44.762 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.150$  and based on overall survival the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8188513133333333

 $00{:}33{:}47.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.746$  same we found for 64 microphages

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:49.746 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.872$  that basically CD 68 these are kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:33:52.872 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.000$  of the first time that we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:33:55.067 --> 00:33:57.237 were doing this and learning how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:33:57.237 --> 00:33:59.479 deal with this infiltration in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}33{:}59.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03.334$  and and then you know distance

 $00:34:03.334 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.980$  and this is study that was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}34{:}06.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.321$  in modern pathology the same year

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:08.321 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.397$  but later this study was designed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:11.397 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.385$  address the intratumor heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:13.385 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.176$  of immune response very small study

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:34:16.176 --> 00:34:18.960 that with our all Multiplex pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.956$  one and two in which we take took 33

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}34{:}22.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25.186$ stage 1 lung Adeno garcinum wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:25.186 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.400$  I mean Adeno and squabous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}34{:}27.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.608$  So dividing two-story wanted to control

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:29.608 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.805$  and go to the cases that are part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:32.805 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.839$  one stage of the disease and we divide

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}34{:}35.839 \to 00{:}34{:}38.716$  in two groups based on recurrence pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}34{:}38.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43.080$  They we call recurrence cases when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.870$  recur within 36 months of after surgery

00:34:46.870 --> 00:34:49.740 17 patients and the one that didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:49.823 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.388$  recurs is the one that after five year

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:34:52.388 --> 00:34:54.320 follow up in having a recurrence right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:34:54.320 --> 00:34:57.368 So if I didn't kind of extremes outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:34:57.368 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.613$  as much as we can we could and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:35:00.613 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.479$  took the tissue the malignant tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:35:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.357$  the tumor we developed great system of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:35:05.357 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.765$  1mm diameter in which we ran in each

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}35{:}08.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.860$  of these spots corruption sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:35:10.937 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.677$  RNA sick and Multipleximolar forest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8188513133333333

 $00:35:13.680 \dashrightarrow 00:35:16.304$  The game the two panels that I showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

00:35:16.304 --> 00:35:18.446 before when I show some of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}35{:}18.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.408$  on term of the immune infiltration

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:35:20.408 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.467$  result but we found that the one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:35:23.467 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.795$  recurred within this that this month

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00{:}35{:}25.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.352$  have and it's hard to see I'm sorry

 $00:35:28.352 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.092$  about that is an increase of immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:35:31.092 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.696$  cells that had either PD1 or PD1

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:35:34.696 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.580$  expression with some we call inhibitory

NOTE Confidence: 0.818851313333333

 $00:35:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.800$  mechanisms of the immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:35:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.976$  Those cases tend to recur earlier or recur

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:35:43.976 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.852$  because the other after five year they

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:35:45.852 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.425$  didn't have any recurrence and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:35:48.425 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.248$  actually shown in this more you know graph

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

00:35:51.248 --> 00:35:53.160 here and I cannot even see it myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:35:53.160 \dashrightarrow 00:35:56.839$  But the the red are are the recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:35:56.839 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.953$  and these are different subpopulation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:35:59.953 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.397$  macrophages or AT salt express PD1 or PD1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}36{:}03.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.432$  And also we saw that it was an increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:08.432 \dashrightarrow 00:36:11.572$  of macrophages compared to T cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:11.572 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.928$  this recurrence of the ratio between

 $00:36:13.928 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.155$  T and T cells and macrophages was

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

00:36:16.155 --> 00:36:17.399 lower in the recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.532$  So that's tell us there's immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:20.532 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.240$  suppressive stage in these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.880$  And then we decided to explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.480$  these other features which is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.619$  distance of immune cells and we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

00:36:31.619 --> 00:36:33.874 two populations of immune cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.142$  one is cytotoxic T cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

00:36:37.142 --> 00:36:39.872 activated and also these PO1 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}36{:}39.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.644$  macrophage decided to show you this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

00:36:42.644 --> 00:36:45.806 that this PDL 1 positive macrophages that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:45.806 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.404$  you can assume have an immune suppressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:49.404 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.078$  stage when they're closer to the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.604$  And I think that the the 20 Micron is

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:36:54.604 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.567$  kind of the the the number that

00:36:57.567 --> 00:37:00.981 is is can divide these cases in closer

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:00.981 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.663$  and higher when they're closer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:03.663 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.797$  the tumor this actually this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:06.797 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.662$  have a worse outcome and this is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:10.662 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.741$  associated with a higher level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:12.741 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.072$  infiltration by the gene by other index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905 00:37:15.080 --> 00:37:15.720 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.200$  so both in usually both numbers goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.672$  together and this is actually very

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}37{:}22.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.842$  interesting and we're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}37{:}24.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.097$  apply this process of infiltration

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:27.097 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.901$  pattern with more sophisticated

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}37{:}28.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.587$  computational tools now or distance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}37{:}31.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}34.480$  other studies and I'll show you a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.665$  minutes and experience in advancement

00:37:36.665 --> 00:37:39.200 of starting of Molson and Gas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:39.200 \dashrightarrow 00:37:42.260$  The other thing is we we look at is

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.560$  the heterogeneity in the tumor on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.680$  on, on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82195390500:37:47.680 --> 00:37:48.240 on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.958$  on and the effect on on the outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:51.958 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.876$  of this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.794$  And we have also some data on the genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:55.794 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.638$  heterogeneity and we have published before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:37:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.904$  But this is trying to think on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:00.904 \dashrightarrow 00:38:03.321$  genomic and normality in the context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:03.321 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.480$  the immune response shows some data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.432$  What we found is that a very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:08.432 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.616$  is that actually the Fox P3T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:11.616 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.320$  the direct cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}38{:}13.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.230$  they tend to be more heterogeneous

 $00:38:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.725$  in the recurrence cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}38{:}22.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25.550$  So they're not diffusely infiltrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.680$  a tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.684$  they're in different spots and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

00:38:28.684 --> 00:38:30.704 the key is expressed by frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:30.704 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.874$  and by an index of the regenetic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.519$  I think that's Moriceta index of nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}38{:}35.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38.397$  compared to 3.4 in the northern currents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:38.400 \dashrightarrow 00:38:41.160$  So that means that more infiltrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.680$  in in in there sorry they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

00:38:43.680 --> 00:38:46.136 not very much infiltration to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}38{:}46.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.160$  more that are scarce around and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}38{:}49.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.048$  this is actually associated with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:38:52.048 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.465$  higher level of worse outcome And

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

00:38:56.465 --> 00:38:58.835 this is what's independent of the

 $00:38:58.835 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.530$  genomic alteration that we have like

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:39:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.685$  somatic mutation antigen burden or

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00{:}39{:}03.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}05.875$  or or even the TCR repertoire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:39:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.811$  So the T Rex when they're I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:39:08.811 \dashrightarrow 00:39:11.688$  I have a higher ITH is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

 $00:39:11.688 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.294$  with higher risk for last and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.821953905

00:39:14.294 --> 00:39:16.590 when I think I got it wrong before

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:16.663 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.001$  when they're actually in clusters far away

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:19.001 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.078$  in in a few locations of the tumor is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.560$  is is actually is, is, is is the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.178$  So these are the studies that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:29.178 \dashrightarrow 00:39:31.880$  we continue doing and I'm going to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.352$  you some samples now in the advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:39:34.352 --> 00:39:36.597 metallic cases but summarize this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.365$  this part of the lecture basically multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:39.365 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.318$  small Fraser I think that's a good tool.

 $00:39:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.127$  We have shown that you know it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}39{:}45.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.461$  associated with activation of T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:47.461 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.932$  and another immune cells in chemo treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:50.007 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.317$  cases compared to untreated cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.685$  We have identified certain pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}39{:}55.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.345$  of infiltration at spatial level that

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:39:58.345 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.847$  may be associated with the outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:00.847 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.062$  of patient without intervention have

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}40{:}03.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.959$  shown that actually can show you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}40{:}05.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.197$  tissue the fact of immune checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:40:08.197 --> 00:40:10.901 in the context of Ionia and the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:10.901 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.812$  and and there is a complex pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}40{:}13.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.440$  of expression of immune checkpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.121$  in in in tissues especially when

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:19.121 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.726$  you use all these multiple system.

 $00:40:21.726 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.624$  And the last story is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:40:24.624 --> 00:40:26.120 advanced metastatic cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:40:26.120 --> 00:40:28.964 And this is a study that actually is part

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:28.964 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.798$  of a network that in the Anderson is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.872$  is, is is one of the centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:40:33.872 --> 00:40:35.997 is funded by NCI or the CMAC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.520$  Some people call it SIMAC after seven-year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.200$  We haven't been solving that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.100$  I call it CMAC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:40:41.100 --> 00:40:43.496 I was the chair of this network and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}40{:}43.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.799$  have three other centers in Dana Farvez,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.692$  Mount Sinai and Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:47.692 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.250$  And the goal of the center is to perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:40:52.250 --> 00:40:57.131 comprehensive analysis of a genomic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:57.131 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.873$  and immune level of sample collecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:40:59.873 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.910$  clinical trial funded by NCANCI network.

00:41:02.910 --> 00:41:05.610 These serving groups like

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:41:05.610 --> 00:41:08.220 SOAG ECO accring early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:41:08.220 --> 00:41:11.120 early early therapy network pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.176$  ILG and Alliance and and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:41:14.176 --> 00:41:16.621 decided to do and this was activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:41:16.621 --> 00:41:19.581 before COVID is to develop a series of

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:19.581 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.236$  three set of marker or the assay that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}41{:}22.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.956$  can apply to sample from these trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.088$  And the goal is to identify by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}41{:}27.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.830$  market that could be predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:41:28.830 --> 00:41:31.116 or response or even some markets

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:31.116 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.077$  associated to less secondary effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}41{:}33.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.187$  And and we established Tier 1 market

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:41:35.187 --> 00:41:37.787 that we need to run in every single

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:37.787 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.822$  sample from the clinical trial if

 $00:41:39.822 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.874$  the sample is available or suitable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.449$  That's one of the challenge in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:44.449 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.514$  type of recent collection signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:41:46.514 --> 00:41:48.920 RNA sig nano chain IO panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:41:48.920 --> 00:41:50.520 When RNA 6 doesn't work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.072$  we have a lot of formally fixed parasitic

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:53.072 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.397$  tissue that hadn't been processed well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:41:55.400 --> 00:41:58.418 Unfortunately Cytof on a panel in

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:41:58.418 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.430$  blood Multiplex immunochemistry or

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:42:00.509 --> 00:42:03.174 immuno fluorescent tissue and single

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}42{:}03.174 --> 00{:}42{:}05.839$  Plex immunochemistry that means PL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:42:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.720$  one and only which is a way to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:42:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.405$  cytokine chemokine growth factor proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:42:11.405 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.598$  in serum with a bundle of 92 markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:42:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.913$  And then the Tier 2 assays more focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00{:}42{:}18.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22.612$  analysis in some trial like TCR sequencing

 $00{:}42{:}22.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.808$  or some other Multiplex system like

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:42:24.808 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.907$  maybe and our microbiome this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:42:26.907 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.280$  changed a little bit over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:42:29.280 --> 00:42:31.996 And then the Tier 3 assay which

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:42:31.996 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.061$  you need fresh specimen is a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

00:42:35.061 --> 00:42:37.370 of single cell sequencing activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714744985769231

 $00:42:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.800$  And also we have done recently transcriptome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00{:}42{:}40.800 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.640$  special transcriptome we we face

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

00:42:44.640 --> 00:42:47.232 activation of the network COVID hit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:42:47.232 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.280$  We didn't have too many samples to analyze.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:42:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.008$  So what we did in the first two

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:42:52.008 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.975$  years actually to harmonize these

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

00:42:53.975 --> 00:42:56.393 assays between 3:00 or 4 labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:42:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.703$  So we harmonize RNAC or some sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:42:58.703 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.640$  site of a Multiplex immuno frerescent.

 $00:43:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.380$  Actually we developed SOP and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.698$  are publicly available for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:07.698 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.490$  to to do this work in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

00:43:10.572 --> 00:43:13.229 of immune profiling and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

00:43:13.229 --> 00:43:15.144 they're they published these three

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:15.144 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.439$  papers back to acting as a region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

00:43:17.440 --> 00:43:19.925 They have been highly cited and nobody

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:19.925 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.315$  has done this before and actually help

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:22.315 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.552$  us all the labs to do a better job and

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

00:43:25.552 --> 00:43:28.154 we have a system of quality control

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00{:}43{:}28.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}31.118$  on ongoing assays that we're running.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.680$  They try the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.832$  We have done study more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:34.832 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.608$  sampler for more than 55 clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:36.608 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.320$  trial and over 2000 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.450$  This was from September last

 $00:43:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.154$  year and many diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00{:}43{:}42.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46.416$  solid tumor nouns and malignancies and mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:46.416 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.284$  immune checkpoint therapy with combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:49.284 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.572$  So we were lucky to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:52.572 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.468$  assigned a lung cancer trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

00:43:54.468 --> 00:43:57.064 It's a phase three-part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:57.064 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.515$  lung map associated with salt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:43:59.515 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.840$  the S 1400 eye cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00{:}44{:}01.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.313$  There was a phase three study

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:44:04.313 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.078$  designed to see the benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

00:44:08.080 --> 00:44:10.610 potential benefit of adding anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

00:44:10.610 --> 00:44:14.992 CDLA 4 IPIL luma to anti PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00{:}44{:}14.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.524$  one Ebola in patient with squamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:44:18.524 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.997$  cell carcinoma metastatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:44:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.562$  So see the effect of the combination

 $00:44:22.562 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.120$  versus single single agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39734722

 $00:44:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.080$  So the trial after

NOTE Confidence: 0.7500569

 $00:44:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.080$  270, 252 patients was negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7500569

 $00:44:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.550$  was but we and the investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.7500569

 $00:44:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.900$  including doctor herbs that he

NOTE Confidence: 0.7500569

 $00:44:35.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.640$  asked me to mention his name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7500569

 $00{:}44{:}37.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}41.186$  So I did it check we're good

NOTE Confidence: 0.7500569

 $00:44:41.186 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.278$  friends for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7500569

 $00:44:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.360$  So I can make these jobs

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:44:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.469$  show that here at the end of the day

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}44{:}47.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49.437$  of the car you see there's a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:44:49.437 --> 00:44:51.582 of patients that may have benefit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:44:51.582 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.760$  receiving this but nothing was significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:44:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.750$  So this is we got, we do more and blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:44:57.750 --> 00:45:01.811 sample 455 patients divided in a group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:01.811 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.936$  responders across 20% stable disease 40%

 $00:45:04.936 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.920$  and then a lot of progressive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}45{:}08.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10.276$  And these are the clinical data

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:10.276 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.839$  that we're not going to go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:45:11.840 --> 00:45:14.392 And our team is CMAC actually LED analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:45:14.392 --> 00:45:17.200 of year one immunochemistry multiplexing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:45:17.200 --> 00:45:19.312 mono fluorescent holoxome sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:45:19.312 --> 00:45:21.505 nanoching, the panel that I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}45{:}21.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.617$  before 770 genes and the all ink run

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:45:24.617 --> 00:45:27.119 by our colleagues and Mount Simon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.240$  So and and this is the 2 panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.244$  we we identify 17 phenotypes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:31.244 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.703$  we're going to study divided in two

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}45{:}33.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.702$  panels I mentioned before and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:35.702 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.157$  we found is that few,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.474$  few things that are probably not new.

00:45:40.474 --> 00:45:42.980 We found that it's a higher component

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:45:43.046 --> 00:45:44.811 of immune cells trauma compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:44.811 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.056$  to the malignant cells area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:47.056 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.460$  We divided the the analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.760$  by trauma and malignant cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.232$  And also we have what we call total

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:45:54.232 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.800$  when we combine both compartments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:45:56.800 --> 00:46:03.320 And we didn't find a huge difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.560$  the single agent or the combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.136$  but we found in the total population

NOTE Confidence: 0.7304760833333333

 $00{:}46{:}09.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.637$  of patients that we could run

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:46:11.637 --> 00:46:12.957 in multiple monoforensis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.156$  You can see 82 samples only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}46{:}15.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.472$  It's a big attrition EVI and 35 receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:18.472 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.677$  the combination of 47 single agent

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.848$  that actually a series of T cells

 $00:46:24.848 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.592$  activated expressing PD one or with

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:28.592 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.488$  memory features in the tumor compartment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:31.488 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.136$  the total compartment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:33.136 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.639$  both compartment the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.394$  The higher density associated with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:37.394 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.735$  progression of fee survival regardless

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:39.735 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.270$  of the treatment of the patient in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.120$  of differences between these two treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:46:46.120 --> 00:46:48.724 So what we found is that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:48.724 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.404$  when you have you will receive only

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:46:51.404 --> 00:46:53.750 nivo treatment NTPD one the series

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}46{:}53.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.802$  of T cell with memory features and

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}46{:}56.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58.693$  memory regulatory features over all

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:46:58.693 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.758$  all associated with better outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.920$  better operation fee survival for

 $00:47:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.196$  three marker survival for one in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:47:07.196 --> 00:47:10.544 nivo EP in the combination actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:10.544 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.680$  the association of this the increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.263$  of activate the cytloxy T cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:16.263 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.954$  explain T cell associated with better

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:47:18.954 --> 00:47:21.328 outcome but the patient regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:47:21.328 --> 00:47:24.300 T cells C3 poses the negative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:47:24.300 --> 00:47:25.948 C positively positive associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7304760833333333

 $00:47:25.948 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.239$  with worse outcome and this is shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:28.239 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.560$  in here in the capital measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.681$  So we identify one group of cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}47{:}32.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34.920$  that I think that very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}47{:}34.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.964$  to follow that associated when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:47:37.964 --> 00:47:41.068 higher density of baseline with worst

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:41.068 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.156$  outcome impatient to do with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:43.156 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.869$  combination this particular tumor and

00:47:44.869 --> 00:47:46.399 it's something that we're following

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:47:46.399 --> 00:47:48.479 up because a similar study was run

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:47:48.480 --> 00:47:51.880 in Italy by a friend Ferrigo Capuzzo

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.804$  and he's sending samples asked for

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:47:54.804 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.318$  to look at for this particular fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:47:58.320 --> 00:48:00.840 And I know that some people doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:48:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.426$  work in the laboratory also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:48:03.426 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.046$  been associated a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:48:05.046 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.328$  I've been looking at the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00{:}48{:}07.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09.304$  Tigre cells in the indeed this

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

00:48:09.304 --> 00:48:11.032 particular combination of therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.730476083333333

 $00:48:11.032 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.760$  particularly in our institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}48{:}12.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.162$  So then we decided to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}48{:}16.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.396$  especially what's happening in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:18.396 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.184$  with more digital pathology tools.

 $00:48:20.184 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.024$  And for that in collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:22.024 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.640$  with the clinical team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.614$  we divided this patient treated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:25.614 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.078$  you can see here in the swim plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:48:28.080 --> 00:48:30.040 you know each patient's online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.356$  we define 11 patients that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:32.356 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.514$  called exceptional responder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.759$  I think that's not a good name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.930$  but it's the name that we gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:48:36.930 --> 00:48:38.820 it patient that didn't have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}48{:}38.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.400$  sign of progression and were

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:40.456 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.116$  alive any sign of progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.798$  18 months were alive at 24 and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:48:45.798 --> 00:48:48.114 early progress of people that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:48:48.120 --> 00:48:51.366 were alive after one month treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}48{:}51.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54.674$  and they have signed a progression

 $00:48:54.674 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.960$  of death or disease at six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:48:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.080$  And and then we'll look at these two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.160$  unfortunately this is the problem with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.160$  perspective analysis of clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.592$  So I hope you have prospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:08.592 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.888$  collection of samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:09.888 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.480$  so can do better job here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}49{:}12.480 \to 00{:}49{:}17.160$  Here our 11 exceptional responders 8.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}49{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.142$  Our 44 LE progressor 21 for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}49{:}20.142 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.636$  Multiplex and we found actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:49:22.636 --> 00:49:25.520 that activated T cells were higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.840$  in the exceptional responders makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}49{:}27.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.099$  sense right that's that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:30.099 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.679$  interesting and it's not and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:32.679 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.303$  and and also we found that the

00:49:35.303 --> 00:49:40.239 density of and and and and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:49:40.240 --> 00:49:42.880 other cells cytotoxicity cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:49:42.880 --> 00:49:45.979 cytotoxicity cell and cell with

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:49:45.979 --> 00:49:48.816 memory features also where higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:49:48.816 --> 00:49:49.872 exceptional respondents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:49.872 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.864$  So in the way that we were looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}49{:}52.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55.599$  to data that actually makes sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.984$  and then we decided to go a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:49:57.984 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.057$  bit further on the analysis of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:00.057 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.880$  special data and the animation was not right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}50{:}02.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.012$  And we look at now the infiltration pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:50:07.012 --> 00:50:10.166 with the more sophisticated cell clustering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:50:10.166 --> 00:50:12.824 base cell clustering is is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:12.824 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.294$  defined like at least 10 cells or

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:15.294 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.715$  more that are located in a 20 microns

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.680$  radio from the malignant cells.

00:50:21.680 --> 00:50:23.941 And and this was actually show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}50{:}23.941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.906$  in the exception responder here one

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}50{:}25.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28.446$  case in blue and trying to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:28.446 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.558$  they have more dots that the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:30.558 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.394$  progress of the word called tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.857$  And this is based on the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:34.857 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.190$  clustering base analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:50:36.190 --> 00:50:40.600 So showing that not only the density

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.586$  but also the infiltration pattern not

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:43.586 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.540$  the actual number cells that where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:50:46.612 --> 00:50:49.316 are infiltrating the two may have a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.720$  And then we did the other analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.560$  we see the distance right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.392$  the distance of immune cells to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:50:55.392 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.016$  malignant cells measure here in the

 $00:50:58.016 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.066$  software of the Polaris system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}51{:}00.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.424$  And we found that let's focus on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:03.424 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.309$  whole all cohort and this was also seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:06.309 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.888$  in the people received the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:08.888 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.720$  is that when cytotoxic T cells activated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.880$  toxic T cells are closer to malignant cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.068$  Actually those patients have better in

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:19.068 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.238$  this case progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}51{:}21.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.635$  And when those malignant cells expressed TL1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.536$  we saw the same and there's one effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00{:}51{:}27.536 \to 00{:}51{:}29.960$  on overall survival was activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.600$  T cells so close to Malina cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.000$  So and and this was the same for

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.792$  all cohort and for the new EP we

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

 $00:51:39.792 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.798$  didn't see that effect on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925314187142857

00:51:41.800 --> 00:51:42.697 So with that,

00:51:42.697 --> 00:51:44.790 I think they're going to stop because

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:51:44.855 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.072$  the next is, is studies on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

00:51:47.072 --> 00:51:49.703 genomic part in these analysis or on

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:51:49.703 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.241$  the all link just going to go to my

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

00:51:52.316 --> 00:51:54.486 last slide basically showing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:51:54.486 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.564$  this in this clinical trial setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:51:57.564 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.734$  our exploratory analysis show that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:52:00.734 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.804$  frequency attribution and cluster of

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00{:}52{:}02.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.355$  immune cells relative to malignant cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:52:05.355 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.253$  may affect the the efficiency of immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:52:08.253 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.198$  checkpoint and I see a typo there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:52:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.601$  And also we had some other interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00{:}52{:}12.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.510$  observation on their genomic and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:52:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.078$  all link but I didn't have time to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

00:52:17.078 --> 00:52:19.157 today because I'm running out of time.

 $00:52:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.552$  So with that I would like to thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00{:}52{:}21.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24.058$ you again for being here in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

 $00:52:24.058 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.112$  and virtually and for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077

00:52:26.112 --> 00:52:27.752 Happy to answer any question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813999546923077 00:52:27.760 --> 00:52:28.120 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.236365925

 $00:52:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.110$  David. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83012896

 $00:52:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.143$  had a number of spatial information and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83012896

00:52:44.143 --> 00:52:46.878 the type of cells were all associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83012896

 $00:52:46.878 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.594$  with a better or worse outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83012896

 $00:52:49.594 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.949$  The changes weren't that big.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83012896

 $00{:}52{:}51.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53.720$  Is there any of those assets

NOTE Confidence: 0.83012896

 $00:52:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.237$  that you envision taking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83012896

 $00:52:55.237 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.627$  the clinic as a diagnostic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00{:}52{:}59.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01.238$  Yeah, no, the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:53:01.238 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.070$  the changes are are not big.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:53:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.196$  I think that especially as you look

 $00:53:07.196 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.801$  at density and and and and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00{:}53{:}09.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.946$  fact on on the patient's operation

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

00:53:11.946 --> 00:53:13.758 fee survival overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

00:53:13.760 --> 00:53:16.380 There are some interesting cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

00:53:16.380 --> 00:53:19.000 point that you can establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:53:19.096 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.320$  in this spatial analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

00:53:21.320 --> 00:53:23.848 And I'm particularly intrigued

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:53:23.848 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.560$  by this is done by computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00{:}53{:}26.560 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}53{:}29.123$  people in our group about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00{:}53{:}29.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31.529$  cell clustering analysis of the 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

00:53:31.529 --> 00:53:33.985 Micron not 20 Micron because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:53:33.985 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.772$  kind of a point that you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00{:}53{:}36.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39.190$  establish that and you divide a

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

00:53:39.267 --> 00:53:41.191 couple of measures significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:53:41.191 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.776$  not barely as we did it right.

00:53:43.776 --> 00:53:45.196 It was a negative trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00{:}53{:}45.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}48.432$  so hard to to come up with something

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

00:53:48.432 --> 00:53:52.938 very very or or or or or you or

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:53:52.938 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.446$  you just have you know significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

00:53:55.446 --> 00:53:57.271 increase on people responding

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:53:57.271 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.756$  versus not responded by that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:53:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.629$  I think that there is an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

00:54:01.629 --> 00:54:03.323 there to have at least something

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00{:}54{:}03.323 \to 00{:}54{:}05.800$  that is with a yes or no kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.760824318

 $00:54:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.080$  of approach that's what

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}54{:}09.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}12.640$  yes the cell the cell clustering

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}54{:}12.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16.099$  of immune cells that may have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:16.099 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.994$  activation or repressing right in

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:17.994 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.431$  the in the response that would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:20.431 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.188$  good or bad in the in certain in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:23.188 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.720$  radio of cells from malignant cells.

 $00:54:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.400$  There is a potential cut point

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.032$  there because I'm, I'm trying to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:29.032 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.200$  you know, see what could be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.594$  yes or no answer of a Biomark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.472$  So that's one thing I think that there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.400$  there are some opportunities however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

00:54:38.400 --> 00:54:41.400 I think that it's very hard to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.824$  these to a clear setting, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}54{:}43.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48.034$  because the challenges of getting stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:48.034 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.319$  work done in pathology laboratories

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.600$  in in the Multiplex area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:54:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.480$  you have experience on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}54{:}57.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59.699$  I think that your center and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

00:54:59.699 --> 00:55:01.159 center probably could do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.160$  but there's a lot of variability

 $00:55:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.896$  and we learned that when we tried

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}55{:}05.896 --> 00{:}55{:}07.280$  to do the Multiplex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.600$  Similar to chemistry and fluorescent

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.408$  harmonization among different sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

00:55:11.408 --> 00:55:14.120 We started with more than three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

00:55:14.120 --> 00:55:16.675 We published we need to drop others

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}55{:}16.680 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}55{:}20.712$  because we couldn't get to a basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:20.712 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.238$  standard of performance of the Multiplex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.260$  There's several challenges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

00:55:25.260 --> 00:55:26.960 technical challenges how to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.661$  the same sample across different

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

00:55:28.661 --> 00:55:30.593 places right and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.752$  But I think that is is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:33.752 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.599$  challenging in my opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.352$  I think that is any of these Multiplex

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}55{:}38.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41.828$  as I get to the clear setting is to

 $00:55:41.828 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.798$  answer kind of fundamental questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.696$  So far is this a hot tumor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:46.696 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.800$  is this a cold tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.250$  This tumor express the cell that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.919$  looking for the market I'm looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.410 \text{ I I}$  also have a lot of hope on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:55:57.515 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.934$  ABC field if that pan out and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}55{:}59.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}01.580$  need more proteins to be examined

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:56:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.344$  if they're used as a biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:56:03.344 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.162$  to select patient maybe it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}56{:}05.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.714$  an opportunity for Multiplex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}56{:}06.720 --> 00{:}56{:}09.192$  But I'm I'm I'm I will be nervous

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00{:}56{:}09.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11.771$  about the performance of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

00:56:11.771 --> 00:56:15.118 laboratory and and if they have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:56:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.760$  right skills and the right controls

 $00:56:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.960$  to actually validate the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:56:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.840$  I just followed up with a quick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704995241666667

 $00:56:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.840$  So they're all related to the member sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60555955

 $00:56:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.170$  Have you compared it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.60555955

00:56:28.170 --> 00:56:30.040 just regular HD tails? No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

00:56:32.520 --> 00:56:35.897 I haven't. But it's happening actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

00:56:35.897 --> 00:56:39.119 Why happening? Because we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

00:56:39.119 --> 00:56:41.684 group of computational pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:56:41.684 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.100$  that they like our Multiplex images

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:56:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.604$  from these or codecs or the UMX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

00:56:50.604 --> 00:56:54.118 but they want to work with H&ES.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

00:56:54.120 --> 00:56:56.160 And actually I had a couple of slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:56:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.266$  on the printer plate lesion that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:56:58.266 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.560$  these fancy T cell work that we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.666$  somebody can do it very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:02.666 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.728$  with a metoxilinous aosine and

00:57:04.728 --> 00:57:05.918 computational pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

00:57:05.920 --> 00:57:07.639 So I think that there is hope with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.740$  but but you know the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.222$  we need to know what we're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:12.222 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.616$  for and if we don't do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00{:}57{:}14.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}15.960$  smart in depth characterization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

00:57:15.960 --> 00:57:20.279 we're not exactly what we're looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.520$  And then if that can be given by deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.680$  a simple computational pathology assay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.488$  I'm all for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:27.488 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.560$  But we need to know if we can do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

 $00:57:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.758$  And those can do a spatial analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66479048

00:57:31.760 --> 00:57:32.960 very simple, very easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734714407142857

 $00:57:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.839$  11 difficulty that I see this type

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

 $00:57:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.500$  of field, Is that everything for you?

 $00:57:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.640$  Yeah, some redundancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

 $00:57:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.640$  It's very difficult to identify something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

00:57:52.640 --> 00:57:53.861 Everything is correlated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

 $00:57:53.861 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.400$  And the second one is the spatial analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

 $00:57:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.638$  Generally, the distance between the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

 $00:57:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.478$  is inversely related with the density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

 $00{:}58{:}02.480 \to 00{:}58{:}04.240$  So essentially, typically the distance

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

 $00:58:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.393$  is the inverse of the density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

 $00{:}58{:}05.393 \to 00{:}58{:}08.280$  So it's a survey measurement of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672048576

 $00:58:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.240$  How do you think we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7468316075

00:58:10.120 --> 00:58:11.360 extract or clean data

NOTE Confidence: 0.673593256666667

 $00:58:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.478$  without those redundancy or those problems?

NOTE Confidence: 0.673593256666667

00:58:13.480 --> 00:58:18.546 Do you have any? No, I I think that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.673593256666667

 $00:58:18.546 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.084$  mean to me all this work is a way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.673593256666667

00:58:21.084 --> 00:58:23.133 actually start mastering tools, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673593256666667

 $00:58:23.133 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.477$  So are you can you do this special,

00:58:25.480 --> 00:58:29.800 can you do go the Multiplex, you do go the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00{:}58{:}32.280 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}58{:}34.488$  Multiplex asset, do they actually give

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00.58:34.488 --> 00.58:36.982 you the right answer for you expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:58:36.982 --> 00:58:39.208 in terms of immune response and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:58:39.276 --> 00:58:41.396 correlate with outcome of patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00{:}58{:}41.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43.440$  That's the basic question I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:58:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.918$  I think that we're at that stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:58:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.728$  is any of these going to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:58:47.728 --> 00:58:49.400 biomarker I don't think so right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:58:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.784$  We know that but actually it's it's pointed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:58:51.784 --> 00:58:54.555 out in the right direction in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:58:54.555 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.560$  the methodology that you're applying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:58:56.560 --> 00:58:57.280 So that's why I, I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00{:}58{:}57.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}59.554$  I fully agree with your comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:58:59.554 --> 00:59:01.691 about you know everything correlate

 $00:59:01.691 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.915$  with everything and I I I promise

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00{:}59{:}03.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}05.365$  I'm not hiding anything that didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00{:}59{:}05.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}07.081$  correlate and it makes sense because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:59:07.081 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.017$  that's you find those right a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:59:09.017 --> 00:59:10.302 of things that correlate don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:59:10.302 --> 00:59:12.600 make sense I I didn't hide any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00{:}59{:}12.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15.744$  So we we're we're we're we feel good about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:59:15.744 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.918$  So the other thing is that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00{:}59{:}18.918 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} > 00{:}59{:}22.986$  that sense if you master these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:59:22.986 --> 00:59:26.822 approaches can help you to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00{:}59{:}26.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}32.234$  work with biomarker will be complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:59:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.674$  right in terms of immune response when

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00{:}59{:}35.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}39.552$  the real biomarker comes and the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:59:39.552 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.798$  biomarker will come on prospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:59:42.798 --> 00:59:45.198 clinical trials in which biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:59:45.198 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.620$  and other samples that you can save

 $00:59:47.686 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.312$  in the freezer and but starting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $00:59:50.312 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.452$  tissue analyze tissue on prospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:59:52.452 --> 00:59:55.305 basis and for immune oncology on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:59:55.305 --> 00:59:58.360 in my opinion on logituinal basis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304 00:59:58.360 --> 00:59:58.882 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

00:59:58.882 --> 01:00:01.492 So get clinical trials biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:00:01.492 --> 01:00:03.954 before on ongoing treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:03.954 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.310$  you are lucky on time of progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:00:06.310 --> 01:00:08.560 that will give you the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01{:}00{:}08.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}11.224$  I have experience working in rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:11.224 \dashrightarrow 01:00:13.000$  tumors with logituinal biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:13.000 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.981$  And sarcoma they were cold after a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:14.981 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.887$  couple of cycles of immune checkpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:00:16.887 --> 01:00:18.885 are not cold anymore and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:18.885 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.679$  some of those patients benefit

 $01:00:20.679 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.434$  with very long stable diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:00:22.440 --> 01:00:24.840 So I think that when we go to that area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:24.840 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.838$  I think that all these tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:26.838 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.039$  are going to make more sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:29.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.240$  And and then specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:00:31.240 --> 01:00:32.560 question about yours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:32.560 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.960$  you know the challenge of density

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:00:34.960 --> 01:00:37.460 and and proximity, I I don't have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:37.460 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.480$  I don't have an answer on on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:40.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.412$  I heard about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:00:41.412 --> 01:00:43.360 I don't know how exactly to correlate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:43.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.193$  I haven't seen the data but there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:00:47.193 --> 01:00:51.400 lot of also there's hope on 3D analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304 01:00:51.400 --> 01:00:51.920 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:51.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.170$  So we can actually because whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:00:54.170 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.600$  we're seeing we're seeing one dimension.

 $01{:}00{:}56.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}59.570$  So maybe going deeper in in 3D assay will

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01{:}00{:}59.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}02.839$  be possible with computational pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:01:02.840 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.170$  maybe you can solve that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:01:05.170 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.564$  because whatever we see now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:01:06.564 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.719$  we're seeing in one place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:01:07.720 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.936$  we see the density,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:01:08.936 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.131$  we see the instant in one section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:01:11.131 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.357$  we don't know who's going on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:01:13.360 --> 01:01:15.892 low and above and probably it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:01:15.892 --> 01:01:17.946 maybe it's that correlation not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:01:17.946 --> 01:01:20.188 as great as you pointed out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:01:20.188 --> 01:01:21.439 but I don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

01:01:21.440 --> 01:01:22.520 I don't know how to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7305560304

 $01:01:22.520 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.720$  deal with that at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588888667777778

01:01:28.080 --> 01:01:30.896 Oh, sorry. So in some core Opsis and

 $01:01:30.896 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.930$  both of your second vessels you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.58888667777778

 $01:01:33.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.416$  see both features autoimmune microvirus

NOTE Confidence: 0.58888667777778

 $01:01:36.416 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.140$  but you know tumor proliferative B

NOTE Confidence: 0.58888667777778

 $01:01:39.140 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.442$  cells and hold it in microvirus And

NOTE Confidence: 0.588888667777778

 $01:01:41.442 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.549$  you know how for those patients do

NOTE Confidence: 0.588888667777778

01:01:43.549 --> 01:01:45.535 you think that they would respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.58888667777778

 $01:01:45.535 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.155$  to some of these therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588888667777778

01:01:47.160 --> 01:01:49.596 And also nicely we should graph a

NOTE Confidence: 0.588888667777778

 $01{:}01{:}49.596 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52.020$  lot of this in essentially categorize

NOTE Confidence: 0.58888667777778

 $01:01:52.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.320$  patients with these algorithms so

NOTE Confidence: 0.588888667777778

 $01{:}01{:}54.320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}56.600$  that we can make sure that we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:01:59.080 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.162$  you know that these algorithms are

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:01.162 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.608$  biased against those areas that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:03.608 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.440$  essentially might have heterogeneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:05.440 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.880$  spots of hot and cold regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:08.880 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.540$  So you yeah, so the the

01:02:11.540 --> 01:02:13.388 two more tetogeneity is, is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:13.388 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.716$  is a tissue tetogeneity sometime right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:15.720 --> 01:02:17.870 This is very challenging because

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:17.870 --> 01:02:21.086 if you have a small biopsy you

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:21.086 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.909$  are using what you got right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:23.909 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.021$  So that's big bias and and you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:27.021 --> 01:02:28.878 something that could be interpreted

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01{:}02{:}28.878 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}31.664$  as priming of immune response or some

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:31.664 --> 01:02:34.824 either one expression that means you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:34.824 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.114$  that something happened already there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:37.120 --> 01:02:39.920 You you can assume that maybe the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:39.920 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.431$  tumor can be followed the same, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:42.431 --> 01:02:44.870 And if you are not lucky and you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:44.937 --> 01:02:46.880 see it, but it's happening somewhere else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:46.880 --> 01:02:48.680 it's, it's a problem, right.

 $01:02:48.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.913$  So that's that's and so my approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:50.913 --> 01:02:53.164 has been in clinical research

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:02:53.164 --> 01:02:54.997 prospective clinical trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:55.000 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.156$  we tried to get at least 5 biopsies, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:02:58.156 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.292$  So at least to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:00.292 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.360$  overcome the derogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:01.360 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.016$  Can we use the five biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:03.016 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.120$  for everything that no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:03:04.120 --> 01:03:06.757 but at least two have three and we we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:06.760 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.755$  we try to be good on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01{:}03{:}08.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}11.133$  So that's one thing I hopefully in

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:03:11.133 --> 01:03:13.078 the future more molecular imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:13.078 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.640$  on patients and and imaging advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:15.640 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.899$  may help to identify the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:17.899 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.559$  spot to get the biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:19.560 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.460$  but that's that's an issue on a larger

 $01:03:22.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.760$  space tissue because it's resected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:24.760 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.000$  It's also the bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091 01:03:27.000 --> 01:03:27.560 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:27.560 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.507$  So how to select I hope competition

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:30.507 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.865$  pathology tools in the future will

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:32.865 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.815$  help us to reduce the bias of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:35.815 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.214$  observer to select areas that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:38.214 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.923$  can give us a fair representation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:40.923 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.280$  it of the two more in term of high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:44.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.080$  medium, low grade or infiltration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:48.080 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.696$  I I believe that because one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:50.696 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.067$  major issues in the field of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01{:}03{:}53.067 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}55.554$  Multiplex and I have data showing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:03:55.554 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.759$  is how people select the region of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:03:58.760 --> 01:04:00.928 Each of the images of the multi grid

 $01:04:00.928 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.992$  for us is about 1mm diameter field and

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01{:}04{:}03.992 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}07.928$  we have a system to select those and

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:07.928 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.352$  we select five per per per per case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:04:11.352 --> 01:04:13.892 We can do whole whole, whole,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:04:13.892 --> 01:04:15.644 whole section now and we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:15.644 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.520$  that in biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:04:16.520 --> 01:04:18.984 But when we started doing this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:18.984 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.493$  have a Section 5 region but they did

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:21.493 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.119$  analysis with a greed and similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:04:23.119 --> 01:04:24.756 greed analysis I showed you before

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:04:24.756 --> 01:04:26.442 we ran Casey with the monochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:26.442 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.386$  with Multiplex and we're able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:28.386 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.088$  ask for certain marker how many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:31.088 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.960$  these equivalent to 1mm diameter

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:32.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.234$  spots I need to get a picture of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:36.234 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.046$  tumor from 5:00 if they gave us the

 $01:04:39.046 \longrightarrow 01:04:41.489$  Max one of the highest R value .94.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01{:}04{:}41.489 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}43.800$  So I think that 5 is good for lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:04:43.800 --> 01:04:45.660 It may be different Melanoma maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:04:45.660 --> 01:04:46.900 different sarcoma different for

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:46.949 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.605$  colorectal but for non small cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:48.605 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.433$  and cancer warfare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:04:49.440 --> 01:04:51.732 So that's it's another issue right

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:51.732 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.864$  a bias of people analyzing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:04:53.864 --> 01:04:56.816 So I I believe that computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:56.816 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.364$  pathology tools could give us us

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:04:59.364 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.614$  that answer actually you have when

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

 $01:05:01.614 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.672$  we don't have the thing to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.802395320909091

01:05:03.672 --> 01:05:04.260 whole sections

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:04.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.880$  analysis in the Multiplex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:05.880 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.046$  My hope is that our computational

01:05:08.046 --> 01:05:09.956 pathology team can develop this

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:09.956 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.048$  unbiased system that tell us these

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:12.048 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.583$  are the region of interest when I give

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

01:05:14.583 --> 01:05:16.468 you based on what they have learned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:16.468 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.386$  we need to feed them also with

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

01:05:18.386 --> 01:05:19.958 some of the Multiplex data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:19.960 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.646$  the area that you could actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

01:05:21.646 --> 01:05:23.719 analyze and get a good picture of

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01{:}05{:}23.719 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}25.708$  what's going on that tumor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:25.708 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.756$  that's not happening these days is

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01{:}05{:}28.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31.240$  somebody that may like lymphocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:31.240 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.360$  go to the lymphocyte.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:32.360 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.638$  Oh, it's a lot of information here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572

 $01:05:34.640 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.720$  And and and focus only there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738584516428572 01:05:37.720 --> 01:05:37.840 Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750125985

01:05:43.000 --> 01:05:43.440 All right.