## WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:58:58" NOTE recognizability:0.625

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.159$  It's about time. So let's start it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

00:00:05.160 --> 00:00:08.536 It's my great honor and the privilege to

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

 $00:00:08.536 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.920$  introduce today's ground run speakers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

 $00:00:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.080$  And Doctor Ling Chen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

00:00:14.080 --> 00:00:18.420 He's currently a Professor and Vice Chair

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

 $00{:}00{:}18.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.784$  for Translational Research Director,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

00:00:20.784 --> 00:00:22.296 or Anatomic Passage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

 $00:00:22.296 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.320$  Director of Molecular Passage in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

00:00:25.399 --> 00:00:27.927 Department Passage and Laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

 $00:00:27.927 \dashrightarrow 00:00:30.500$  Medicine at the Brown University

NOTE Confidence: 0.743575608571429

 $00{:}00{:}30.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.400$ Warren Alpert Medical School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787500415

 $00:00:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.208$  So Doctor Chang also is associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.787500415

 $00:00:39.208 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.800$  director for the shared resources at

 $00:00:41.877 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.397$  the Brown University Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

 $00{:}00{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.198$  He got his medical education from

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

 $00:00:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.616$  Beijing Uni Medical University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

00:00:55.616 --> 00:00:59.240 Now it's part of baking universities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

 $00:00:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.624$  and he received passage to residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

00:01:03.624 --> 00:01:06.784 training at KC Western Reserve

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

00:01:06.784 --> 00:01:08.680 University University Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

 $00{:}01{:}08.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.450$  Cleveland Medical Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

 $00:01:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.580$  So he did his fellow passage fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

00:01:14.671 --> 00:01:18.115 training at the Mayo Clinic Rochester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

 $00{:}01{:}18.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.192$  MN, before he joined the Bron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

 $00:01:23.192 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.616$  Almost lived there almost two

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

00:01:25.616 --> 00:01:29.786 year now and he was long been in

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

00:01:29.786 --> 00:01:32.496 Indiana University he's they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

 $00:01:32.496 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.594$  he's endowed professor and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.739240026666667

 $00:01:35.594 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.154$  director of molecular passage.

 $00:01:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.248$  So over the course his professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00:01:43.248 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.893$  career he published numerous papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

00:01:45.893 --> 00:01:49.442 So he's CVI even not try to read

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00{:}01{:}49.442 --> 00{:}01{:}51.840$  through his CVI only read his

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00:01:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.872$  summary or his CV which is a packed

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00:01:54.958 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.800$  with words and it's 3 pages long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

00:01:57.800 --> 00:02:03.600 So he published over 1200,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00:02:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.600$  you know, peer reviewed articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00{:}02{:}06.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.240$  which I counted probably you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00:02:09.240 \dashrightarrow 00:02:12.516$  think about 20 years career and almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

00:02:12.516 --> 00:02:15.960 every month he had to write 4 papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00:02:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.400$  So I don't know how he can do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00{:}02{:}18.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.800$  So all this publication generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00:02:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.080$  about 63,000 citations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822436377

 $00:02:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.279$  His age index is

 $00:02:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.292$  125. So he also is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.95172924

 $00:02:34.292 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.000$  productive published numerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

 $00:02:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.800$  textbook and the numerous book

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

 $00{:}02{:}38.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.390$  chapters and some of his textbook

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

00:02:44.390 --> 00:02:47.200 including Molecular Surgical Passage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

 $00{:}02{:}47.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.400$  Urological Surgical Passage and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

 $00{:}02{:}49.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.760$  The Essential of Anatomic Passage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

 $00:02:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.580$  This is the one of his textbook.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

 $00{:}02{:}55.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58.480$ You know I you know during my training

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

 $00:02:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.680$  and my practice I still I read a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

 $00:03:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.239$  of his book so he going to donate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

 $00{:}03{:}05.240 \to 00{:}03{:}09.051$  book to our passage residents so after

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

00:03:09.051 --> 00:03:13.608 meeting you take it the book so he

NOTE Confidence: 0.881150546

00:03:13.608 --> 00:03:16.040 also is a contributor authors for The

NOTE Confidence: 0.7503945925

 $00:03:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.840$  Who 2004 2016 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74364912125

 $00:03:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.932$  2022 blue Book which is WHO

 $00:03:26.932 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.157$  classification of tumor urinary and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74364912125

 $00{:}03{:}30.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.317$  genital and Male genital tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74364912125

00:03:33.320 --> 00:03:37.433 So in the most recent edition WHO Blue Book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74364912125

 $00:03:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.200$  he contributed 21 chapters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:03:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.360$  So he's a research focus on the translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

00:03:46.360 --> 00:03:50.252 study of genital urinary cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:03:50.252 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.628$  especially bladder cancers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:03:53.628 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.703$  molecular diagnostics of solid tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

00:03:56.703 --> 00:04:01.064 So he has been principal and Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

00:04:01.064 --> 00:04:03.760 investigator for many grants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.120$  I counted over 40 grants

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.680$  and he also served on many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

00:04:10.680 --> 00:04:14.204 Review board is A50 review

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:14.204 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.944$  board for the Federal Funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:17.944 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.999$  Agency including NIHNCI and DoD.

 $00:04:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.145$  And he's served as a panelist for

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

00:04:27.145 --> 00:04:31.265 the NCI Sport program since 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

00:04:31.265 --> 00:04:33.190 Every years he was sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.319$  in the as a panelist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.680 \text{ I don't know that after I reveal his CV}$ .

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.651$  So, I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

00:04:41.651 --> 00:04:44.279 he's a renowned geopathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.053$  and was ranked number one among

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:48.053 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.228$  the world expert in Euro genital

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:51.228 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.552$  neopath and top three expert in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:04:54.552 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.719$  the field of bladder cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

00:04:57.720 --> 00:05:02.320 And he's the president-elect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80778662625

 $00:05:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.559$  International Society of Zoological

NOTE Confidence: 0.4845134325

 $00{:}05{:}05{:}05{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09{.}360$  Passage, so I said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4845134325

 $00:05:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.253$  So he received numerous prestigious

NOTE Confidence: 0.4845134325

00:05:14.253 --> 00:05:18.040 award including the Stilwell

 $00:05:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.244$  Orbison Award from the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

00:05:21.244 --> 00:05:24.200 and the Canadian Academy of Passage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

 $00:05:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.533$  the Cross Medical Medal award from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

00:05:28.533 --> 00:05:32.360 International Society of Urological Passage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

 $00{:}05{:}32.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.580$  So ASAP and the thing also Pudi

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

00:05:36.580 --> 00:05:39.280 Stewart Price from the Osprey

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

00:05:39.385 --> 00:05:42.237 Society of Surgical Passage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

 $00:05:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.948$  So so it's without further ado I think he

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

 $00{:}05{:}46.948 \to 00{:}05{:}50.761$  I can go on you know to to read all his

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

 $00:05:50.761 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.236$  CVI think you know today his presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

 $00{:}05{:}54.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.244$  So I I will not do more presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

 $00{:}05{:}58.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.280$  I will leave the floor to Doctor Dan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7929646775

 $00:06:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.198$  So, Doctor Chen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85958891

 $00:06:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.240$  thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.672$  Thank you Doctor, for being in chat.

 $00:06:10.672 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.395$  I was really great privilege and honoured

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}06{:}13.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.800$  to be here and to see many friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.680$  Peter he's also one of my mentor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.816$  and Johnson Ma you know Doctor Liu and

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:23.816 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.520$  yeah so very happy to hear and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:06:27.520 --> 00:06:31.008 this this morning and I get a chance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:31.008 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.736$  show some interesting cases and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:32.736 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.000$  going to talk about it in a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.149$  You know there's a the resin the

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:06:37.149 --> 00:06:39.098 fellow it's just amazing of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}06{:}39.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41.338$ you know you don't treat them the

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:41.401 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.156$  best so so this really yeah and talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:44.156 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.778$  talking about that and I think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:46.778 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.778$  know they also you know I'm also very

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:06:49.778 --> 00:06:55.120 lucky that I was able to work one

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:06:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.710$  really two of the best geopolitics

 $00:06:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.440$  jump in the field in the West.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:07:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.870$  After finishing my fellowship training

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}07{:}03.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.712$  at the mayor clinic I will update

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:07:06.712 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.940$  Oswick and John Indiana work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:07:09.940 \dashrightarrow 00:07:14.240$  John Abelay until I moved to Brown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:07:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.364$  And so I'm really very fortunate

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:07:16.364 --> 00:07:19.240 I get a chance and to work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}07{:}19.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.400$  them and learn from them And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}07{:}23.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.216$  So today I'm going to be you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:07:26.216 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.176$  you know so in my lab talk you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:07:30.176 --> 00:07:33.014 very next next half hour also try

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:07:33.014 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.920$  to kind of try to you know bridging

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}07{:}35.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.720$ some gaps and kind of explain you

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:07:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.450$  know that you know some new entity

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:07:41.450 --> 00:07:44.189 or some old entity know where we

 $00:07:44.189 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.960$  standing and it's in the last decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}07{:}46.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.510$  You know it's really we truly

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:07:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.656$  see remarkable progress in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667 00:07:51.656 --> 00:07:52.520 in the, NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667 00:07:52.520 --> 00:07:53.234 in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:07:53.234 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.090$  in our field not just Gu here but

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:07:56.185 --> 00:07:57.632 I think Gu is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:07:57.632 --> 00:07:59.816 very good example if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:07:59.816 --> 00:08:01.760 the timelines you know the the 1st,

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:08:01.760 --> 00:08:04.016 the 3rd edition of the publisher

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}08{:}04.016 --> 00{:}08{:}06.520$  was published in 2002 and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:08:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.920$  long lag for it's almost 14 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:08:10.920 --> 00:08:13.596 you know but people hunt for it

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}08{:}13.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.671$  is one of the chief editor of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:08:16.671 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.373$  2016 edition and and now it's only

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}08{:}19.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.640$  takes 6-6 years for new edition.

 $00:08:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.655$  I was told that the next edition will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:08:24.655 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.782$  come out in three years or maybe 2025.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}08{:}27.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.292$  So that's how things expanded

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:08:31.292 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.200$  and so this truly amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:08:35.200 --> 00:08:37.600 But for Bladder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:08:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.600$  I guess it's the framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:08:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.680$  that has been laid out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}08{:}41.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.185$ you know from previous edition Peter

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}08{:}45.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.720$  Humphrey and it's remain the same intact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:08:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.083$  it's largely very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667 00:08:52.083 --> 00:08:53.129 very small. NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}08{:}53.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.744$  You know funality changes we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}08{:}55.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.930$  going to go into go into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:08:58.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.719$  And in the in the W-2 202 classifications

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.245$  you'll probably notice that it's

 $00:09:05.245 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.483$  kind of one of a few exceptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}09{:}07.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09.758$  that we always have to list two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:09:09.760 --> 00:09:12.119 I don't know why there's only two

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:09:12.119 --> 00:09:14.297 Banana tumour was listed so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:14.297 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.499$  banana other tumours you know there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:16.499 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.152$  only two has been listed and under

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:19.152 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.633$  banana category Lamb there's a pang

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:21.633 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.693$  lamp which is somewhat controversial

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

00:09:23.693 --> 00:09:25.989 entity I will not talk about in

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:25.989 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.360$  this lecture and in a molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00{:}09{:}28.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.120$  tumour and invasive customer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.136$  So this is a framework you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:32.136 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.317$  so it's just you can pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.738$  fit in and all the leachings

NOTE Confidence: 0.420416146666667

 $00:09:36.738 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.350$  you know both malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:09:38.427 --> 00:09:40.519 leaching in those category.

 $00:09:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.016$  So that's beauty about that's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}09{:}43.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.110$ how about so what's pump you Lucilia

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:09:46.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.978$  Papilloma and this study was was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:09:48.978 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.450$  was well as a fellow and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:09:51.525 --> 00:09:54.424 this truly you know kind of set up

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:09:54.424 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.300$  a theme that in the in the analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:09:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.696$  we've done back in the 20 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}09{:}59.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.552$ 22 years ago we analyzed you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.728$  52 cases of the eucilia papilloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}10{:}05.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.160$  using the strict W2 criteria people

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:10:10.160 --> 00:10:13.240 at the Mayo Clinic in the lodges

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.772$  they it's the unique advantage to

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}10{:}16.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.370$  study there is the population here

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.774$  the 1st in our stable they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:21.774 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.275$  move so more than 58 years follow

00:10:24.275 --> 00:10:27.015 up we found this issue that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}10{:}27.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.906$  you know occur and also they never

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:29.906 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.916$  develop you know see a customer so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:10:31.920 --> 00:10:34.521 So by default you know and boys I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:34.521 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.719$  there's several other studies came out

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}10{:}36.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.160$  later years and that's it's been an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:10:39.160 --> 00:10:42.478 And so what's the molecular magnitude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.780$  what's new about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}10{:}43.780 --> 00{:}10{:}45.080$  you know what's the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.784$  what's the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:45.784 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.600$  what's the driver you know for this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:10:48.600 --> 00:10:51.264 And I was I think you know there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:51.264 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.328$  study with publishing a couple years

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:53.328 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.839$  ago in the European your knowledge and

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:55.839 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.849$  we found that interestingly we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:10:57.849 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.437$  to talk about this tut new tissue again.

00:11:00.440 --> 00:11:02.416 You know this is very you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:11:02.416 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.756$  kind of a a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:11:03.760 --> 00:11:06.910 very hard prevalence of a tut promoter

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}11{:}06.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.862$  mutation in the UCLA Papilloma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:11:09.862 --> 00:11:11.914 also it's fair common you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:11:11.914 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.596$  that you know this is 1/3 promoter

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:11:14.596 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.286$  mutation is probably the major

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}11{:}17.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.812$  you know breakthrough findings in

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:11:19.812 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.904$  terms of our understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:11:21.904 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.736$  You know funding molecular drafters

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}11{:}24.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.076$  like molecules only was recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:11:27.076 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.464$  described in the 2013 ten almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:11:29.464 --> 00:11:31.760 10 years ago 1st paper pubs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}11{:}31.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.155$  the science that talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:11:34.155 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.475$  funding could promoting the tissue

 $00:11:36.547 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.757$  in Melanoma and bladder cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:11:38.760 --> 00:11:41.460 Since that explosion of knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:11:41.460 --> 00:11:44.653 and the publications and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:11:44.653 --> 00:11:47.077 a few papers we just published

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:11:47.080 --> 00:11:49.915 this a couple months ago in human

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:11:49.915 --> 00:11:53.045 massage and we summarize you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:11:53.045 --> 00:11:55.932 the findings that that's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:11:55.932 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.252$  You know in the cumulatively there's this

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}12{:}00.252 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.876$  over 7000 patients blood cancer patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.736$  blood tumor patient has been analyzed

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}12{:}06.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09.948$  for 3rd mutation as 2023 and 3rd

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:09.948 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.000$  mutation you know will lead to teramus

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:13.086 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.696$  reactivation will eventually OK good

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:16.696 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.570$  leading to the genetic instability

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}12{:}19.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.720$  stem cellness and stem cellness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.856$  prolification and tumor progression

00:12:24.856 --> 00:12:27.190 And and it's interesting you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}12{:}27.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.691$  that you know we found you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:29.691 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.059$  this is just say you know in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:32.133 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.318$  systemic meta analysis you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.752$  could promote mutation is kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:37.752 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.011$  across board it's most common it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:41.011 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.210$  up to 80% of Uricilia tumor will

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.074$  have third promote mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}12{:}46.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.730$  It's regardless low grade highway

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}12{:}48.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.560$  or tumor stage it's a fair stable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}12{:}51.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56.160$  So this is really great bar Mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:12:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.163$  go back you know to another banana

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:12:59.163 --> 00:13:01.725 lesion it's called inverted uricilia

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:13:01.725 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.500$  type and input ulucilia papadoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:13:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.560$  The lesion is first almost identical

 $00:13:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.640$  to what we've seen in the head

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00{:}13{:}10.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.500$  neck organ and the tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:13:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.275$  you know that they're characterized

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

00:13:14.275 --> 00:13:16.548 you know by you know they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.52850706

 $00:13:16.548 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.784$  a polypod architecture and

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:17.842 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.237$  a cuff by normal ulucilium

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:19.237 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.578$  with the and the most in cause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:21.578 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.861$  And again this is complete benign lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

00:13:24.861 --> 00:13:27.767 if you strictly follow W criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:27.767 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.999$  that not say it's been on tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.930$  And so why we talk about invert

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.034$  Papilloma here because this is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:37.034 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.498$  to be leading to one of the key

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

00:13:39.498 --> 00:13:41.386 degradation diagnosis it's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:41.386 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.751$  Eurocilia carcinoma of will invert

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:43.751 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.383$  growth or invert Eurocilia customer

 $00:13:46.383 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.264$  less terminology we're not we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00{:}13{:}49.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.760$  using so it's but again so it's kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

00:13:51.833 --> 00:13:54.145 of important you know from surgical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

00:13:54.145 --> 00:13:58.887 Yeah yeah OK OK so from a yeah good day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:13:58.887 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.050$  So from surgical passage point of view

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:14:01.117 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.151$  it's important that you know distinguish

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:14:03.151 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.821$  you know that's a let me let me ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:14:05.821 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.700$  the audience you know not not Peter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:14:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.114$  So who can who can tell which

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:14:10.114 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.518$  one which one should be which

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:14:11.518 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.039$  one should be the bladder out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:14:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.960$  Which one the bladder should stay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00{:}14{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.880$  Which one's been on which one malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00{:}14{:}18.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.540$  We have a any senior resident Gu fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

 $00:14:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.260$  OK let what's your fault you

 $00:14:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.360$  know which one's benign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.541699994333333

00:14:27.360 --> 00:14:28.320 Which one's malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.5854663

00:14:31.080 --> 00:14:37.758 It's the A, A's, A's A's benign malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.5854663

 $00:14:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.400$  B is benign. B for benign good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:14:48.600 --> 00:14:55.054 OK. So I cannot tell and I'm, I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:14:55.054 --> 00:14:57.190 I'm, I'm, I'm sure you know that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:14:57.255 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.404$  is kind of saying even nowadays with

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:14:59.404 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.488$  all the knowledge in the bladder tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00{:}15{:}01.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.016$  is still challenging and difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:05.016 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.560$  And in this case, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00{:}15{:}08.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.622$  the inferred papilloma, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00{:}15{:}10.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.877$  first thing for your customer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:15:11.880 --> 00:15:13.872 I think, I think you know even for

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:13.872 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.696$  expert maybe have some hesitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:15:15.696 --> 00:15:18.864 And so what's the key molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:18.864 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.336$  features that you know if you,

 $00:15:21.336 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.558$  I want 100% rely on morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:23.558 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.874$  So what's the key molecular features

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:15:25.874 --> 00:15:28.317 LAD can tell them in my experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.845$  you know that really it's a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:31.845 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.520$  this is probably 2 most useful features

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.878$  that I can help me to distinguish those two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.660$  especially in small biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.440$  in my limited materials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:15:42.440 --> 00:15:46.194 And it's that first is that invert

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:46.194 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.964$  Eurociliac customer cancer they will

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:48.964 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.815$  typically have associated the typical

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:51.815 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.595$  exophytic pepper component this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:15:54.600 --> 00:15:56.455 The second truly I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:56.455 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.882$  it's really the Tribecca,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:15:57.882 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.928$  the thick Tribeca that we're seeing

 $00:15:59.928 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.251$  and the certainly you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:02.251 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.226$  other other features like frequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:16:04.226 --> 00:16:06.528 hematotic figure PFS three KR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00{:}16{:}06.528 \to 00{:}16{:}10.796$  second seven CT 20 and and will be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:16:10.800 --> 00:16:12.480 And again, so go back to this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.726$  you can see here that not even

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:15.726 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.756$  to go back to further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:16:16.760 --> 00:16:17.346 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:17.346 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.096$  you see this is typical in Word papilloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:20.096 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.880$  They have thin trabecular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.560$  But in contrast with bladder cancer you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.158$  the segment significantly thickened to bacco

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.640$  unlike you know here the top ones benign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:16:32.640 --> 00:16:34.719 You know here the bottom line you see fair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:16:34.720 --> 00:16:36.728 fair, fair, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00{:}16{:}36.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.546$  thickened tremendous second so.

 $00:16:38.546 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.076$  So those are morphologic crew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00{:}16{:}40.080 \to 00{:}16{:}42.840$  Not sure not if you have difficult case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.997$  you're not sure you can show it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:16:46.000 --> 00:16:49.000 They will tell you right away no problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.718$  And if you have more trouble,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.320$  you can ask her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

00:16:54.320 --> 00:16:57.384 I'm not sure he's here now, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:16:57.384 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.160$  So, OK, yeah, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856647315

 $00:17:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.960$  Do the Euro fishing test fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.142$  So, so that's another case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:08.142 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.019$  you can just not running the

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:10.019 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.879$  fish now that's it could be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}17{:}11.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.472$  it could be helpful but most cases not

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}17{:}14.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.880$  helpful and nowadays even even better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.435$  We have another one marker called Tut,

00:17:19.440 --> 00:17:22.000 just mention that it can be you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.107$  unlike you know the the you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:24.107 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.959$  Cilia pipeline was just discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:17:25.960 --> 00:17:28.690 Tut is cannot help euciliate type

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.219$  of Lomas versus other Euciliation

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:17:31.219 --> 00:17:35.360 but could promote her mutation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:17:35.360 --> 00:17:38.526 almost you know always present 80%

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:17:38.526 --> 00:17:41.156 time in inverted Eucilia customer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}17{:}41.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.838$  but it's always almost always negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:17:44.840 --> 00:17:47.556 you know Eucilia inverted type of Lomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}17{:}47.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.840$  So that's something that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.218$  potentially helpful if you really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:52.218 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.183$  difficult cases inverter you will

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:54.183 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.793$  see the platform is really firefight

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:56.793 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.272$  interesting machine and though it is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:17:59.272 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.374$  know it's a good negative to promoter

00:18:01.374 --> 00:18:03.594 mutation negative but it is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}18{:}03.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.876$  very high preference of FGFR stream mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.352$  So again you know some you know I

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:18:09.352 --> 00:18:11.720 think you'll have to kind of reap it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.620$  not it's not one one shoe fit all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:18:15.620 --> 00:18:18.008 So you have to kind of think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:18.008 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.940$  you know this intimate different mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:20.001 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.716$  or the understanding the context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.023$  And also with you know that apparently

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}18{:}24.023 \to 00{:}18{:}26.280$  you know it's definitely that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.700$  will see the carcinoma in in for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.960$  growth will in for the growth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}18{:}30.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.474$  in for the you know sleep papilloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.648$  They have different pathogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:33.648 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.274$  in molecular pathways.

 $00:18:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.236$  And this is the view article.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:18:37.240 --> 00:18:40.285 Let's not say tutorial let written by

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:40.285 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.640$  Doctor MO MO Acue and so a former fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.777$  he's the one who will be artist Geo

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:18:46.777 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.753$  pathology fellows we have in back in Indiana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:18:50.760 --> 00:18:54.519 He's not at the Ebony Medical College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:18:54.520 --> 00:19:00.512 Brilliant geopathologist and so what's new?

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:00.512 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.520$  So what's new,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:01.520 --> 00:19:02.688 what's upcoming?

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:02.688 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.915$  You know there's in a 2022

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:06.915 --> 00:19:08.580 September actually yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:08.580 --> 00:19:11.148 it's in the September 2222,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:11.148 \dashrightarrow 00:19:13.932$ you know ASUP gather and I have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:13.932 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.048$  meeting in the Consensus conference

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}19{:}16.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.080$  at the Basel in Switzerland and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:19.080 \dashrightarrow 00:19:22.360$  the result is going to be released

00:19:22.360 --> 00:19:27.480 published in general issue of the AGSP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:27.480 --> 00:19:29.958 So next next month that all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:29.958 --> 00:19:31.840 working for working group funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.966$  is going to be published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:33.966 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.073$  So I'm not going to spend much of

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:38.073 --> 00:19:40.688 time to talk about each working

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:40.688 --> 00:19:42.956 groups funding but I want I one

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:42.956 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.303$  thing I want to say is that still

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:45.303 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.806$  you know that's the grading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:46.806 --> 00:19:48.836 you know think about grading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:48.840 --> 00:19:52.488 you know that you know it's I think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:52.488 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.232$  know that's you know count grading is

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:55.232 --> 00:19:58.240 built upon the one we have back in 1998,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:19:58.240 --> 00:19:59.200 ladder grading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:19:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.822$  the low grade, high grade.

 $00:20:01.822 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.368$  And so that's the fundamentals framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:20:05.368 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.952$  we have established back to 1998,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:20:08.952 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.680$  almost 30 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:20:10.680 --> 00:20:11.772 Nothing has changed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:20:11.772 --> 00:20:13.956 but if you look at Prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

00:20:13.960 --> 00:20:15.796 how many revision had gone through?

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:20:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.355$  We can renal cell customer

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:20:17.355 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.599$  how many are fishing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}20{:}18.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.456$  So we felt that you know it's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:20:23.456 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.152$  work farewell maybe we need to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00{:}20{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.400$  refinement and and get additional you

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:20:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.155$  know so this is you know we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.63799899777778

 $00:20:34.155 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.750$  understand you know breeding especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.603966202941176

 $00:20:35.807 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.075$  in the bladder it's it's spectrum disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.603966202941176

00:20:38.080 --> 00:20:42.200 It's not always black white you know low

NOTE Confidence: 0.603966202941176

 $00{:}20{:}42.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.017$  grade heart rate positive, negative.

00:20:44.017 --> 00:20:46.702 It's really spectrum disease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.603966202941176

 $00:20:46.702 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.250$  the 1997 three W2 grading system

NOTE Confidence: 0.603966202941176

 $00:20:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.260$  that many old generation passage

NOTE Confidence: 0.603966202941176

00:20:51.326 --> 00:20:53.346 probably more familiar with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.603966202941176

 $00:20:53.346 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.200$  it's grade 123 and in the 2004 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:20:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.480$  after that was considered low grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:21:01.480 --> 00:21:06.352 heart grade # lump is another vanishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}21{:}06.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.754$  cancer that with the increasing incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:21:10.754 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.373$  of being called and and services so

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

 $00{:}21{:}14.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.782$  so but in general I think this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:21:17.782 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.000$  kind of the current those are two most

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:21:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.135$  commonly used grading system since

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}21{:}24.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.712$  in the especially in the European

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:21:26.712 --> 00:21:30.130 side in the 1973 W grade sipping used

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

00:21:30.130 --> 00:21:35.440 and this is a Eau prognostic factor

00:21:35.440 --> 00:21:38.888 risk group that's been most popular

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:21:38.888 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.120$  widely used in the European countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:21:42.120 --> 00:21:44.968 and this is you know this you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

 $00:21:44.968 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.244$  this paper was published you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:21:47.244 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.246$  guideline was published in the 2021

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

00:21:49.246 --> 00:21:52.814 and one one thing one change they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:21:52.814 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.626$  made is that they have a kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:21:56.626 --> 00:21:59.488 stratified you know in the previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:21:59.488 --> 00:22:03.344 edition they only use 1973 W 2 grading

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

 $00:22:03.344 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.584$  123 but in this new flushing they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}22{:}07.584 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.394$  incorporate so they have proposed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:22:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.860$  combination so reporting both W 2/19/73

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:22:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.160$  and current low grade heart rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:22:20.160 --> 00:22:23.562 So very nicely using this combined hybrid

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:22:23.562 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.120$  system they can further nicely stratify

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:22:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.475$  patient into different prognosis group.

 $00:22:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.370$  So that's really you know that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

00:22:32.370 --> 00:22:34.878 kind of why you know the very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

 $00:22:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.031$  contribution and this is in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:22:39.031 --> 00:22:41.173 the paper published in the European

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:22:41.173 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.240$  your large focus and that also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:22:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.822$  issued it's kind of its own opinion

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

00:22:48.822 --> 00:22:51.666 and and here's in another survey

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}22{:}51.666 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.368$  we did was publishing the European

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:22:55.368 --> 00:22:58.904 urology as well and and in the this

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

 $00:22:58.904 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.280$  is the surveys conduct among both

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:23:01.280 --> 00:23:03.375 US and European pathologists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}23{:}03.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.619$  we can see here still in almost you

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}23{:}06.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.954$  know there's a 40% of pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

 $00:23:08.954 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.696$  you know institutions you know they

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

 $00:23:11.696 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.331$  still using this combined system 1973

 $00:23:16.331 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.997$  and at the 2004 grading system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}23{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.484$  So I think let's this is some newly

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:23.484 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.018$  proposed you know we feel that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:26.018 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.658$  know that maybe less the Poundland

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:28.658 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.501$  probably can be completely gone because

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:31.501 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.286$  treatment and prognosis everything is

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}23{:}34.286 \to 00{:}23{:}36.804$ almost identical guidelines you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:36.804 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.360$  same as a low grade so so that entity

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:39.435 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.612$  probably can be gone and again it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:23:41.612 --> 00:23:44.006 a you know it's on the proposal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:44.006 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.150$  and so this is a four tiered grading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.476$  So I think the main thing is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:48.476 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.036$  all know this tumor grade especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}23{:}50.036 \to 00{:}23{:}52.449$  in the in the current double chip in

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:23:52.449 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.984$  the current classification not all

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}23{:}53.984 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}56.112$  hardware have a same you know some

00:23:56.112 --> 00:23:58.378 you can almost definitely you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:23:58.378 --> 00:24:00.760 feel that you know some morphology

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

 $00:24:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.905$  we don't have a trouble to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}24{:}03.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.864$  know very very low rate or # lump and

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:24:06.864 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.625$  or hardware end and but we really we

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:24:09.625 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.353$  have something you know in between you

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

 $00:24:11.353 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.098$  know there's a grade zone area it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}24{:}14.098 \to 00{:}24{:}17.798$  grade 2 low grade hard grade or hard grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:24:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.504$  So I think that's really you have to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.56521775555555

00:24:20.504 --> 00:24:22.928 about you know there's a again the grading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:24:22.928 --> 00:24:24.240 you know that's a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

00:24:24.240 --> 00:24:26.235 you know it's a spectrum of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00{:}24{:}26.240 \to 00{:}24{:}27.760$ it's not you know so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:24:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.328$  So I think that would be what we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.565217755555555

 $00:24:30.328 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.579$  see hopefully in in the coming years

 $00:24:32.579 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.039$  there will be more study conducted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00{:}24{:}35.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.680$  more have more defined criterias into

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:24:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.708$  how you know help out the clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:24:40.708 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.848$  to further strictify patients for

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:24:43.848 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.360$  for additional treatment. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

00:24:47.360 --> 00:24:52.065 So let's switch gear talk about flat

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00{:}24{:}52.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56.115$  leverage and I'm not sure you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

00:24:56.115 --> 00:24:58.099 I think now that's you know that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

00:24:58.099 --> 00:25:00.091 know that you know so one thing keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

00:25:00.091 --> 00:25:02.272 in mind is that you know the you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00{:}25{:}02.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.840$  Doubletrol is constantly evolving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.552$  It's not static to you know this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:06.552 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.639$  is news in the in this newest published

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.440$  old classification and the the non all

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.340$  the in in the flat leash only you Lucila

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00{:}25{:}18.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.920$  carcinoma in such has been included.

 $00:25:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.678$  It's kind of a much simplified version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00{:}25{:}23.680 \to 00{:}25{:}26.392$  So the only one issue they talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00{:}25{:}26.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.429$  it there's only the issue that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:29.429 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.614$  abundant knowledge we know what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:31.614 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.223$  is and for many years for decades and

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:34.223 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.890$  you'll see the customs and satchel is

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:36.963 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.834$  one for the worst CRS in the human body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.188$  It killed the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:41.188 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.763$  So if you want to choose between

NOTE Confidence: 0.641216560833333

 $00:25:43.763 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.360$  Prost cancer or kidney cancer versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:25:48.600 --> 00:25:50.056 CRM T1 kidney cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}25{:}50.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52.959$  I would rather prefer to have those you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}25{:}52.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.620$  know cancer by CISCIS in this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:25:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.028$  we conducted over at the male and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:01.028 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.320$  over 10 years follow up in a patient,

 $00:26:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.568$  the 15 year cancer specific survival for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:26:07.568 --> 00:26:12.376 bladder cancer that's only 79% or 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}26{:}12.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.384$  So really you know this is a it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:15.384 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.596$  not you know innocuous you know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:26:17.600 --> 00:26:19.826 So again you can of course you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:19.826 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.759$  can argue about other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.048$  So let's go back you know for personally

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:26:25.048 --> 00:26:28.280 I know I still laugh like the framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}26{:}28.359 \to 00{:}26{:}31.464$  you know that has been laid out in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:31.464 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.424$  2016 double ship classification in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:33.424 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.078$  terms of especially in this flat leaching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:26:36.080 --> 00:26:40.840 I think you know that's in the WCO 2016

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:26:40.840 --> 00:26:42.968 classification for that leaching. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:26:42.968 --> 00:26:44.840 you know there's a you will see the pepperon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.440$  you will see this picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.197$  you will see the customings are true.

 $00:26:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.732$  We all feel familiar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:49.732 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.759$  But they also introduced a very you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.120$  somewhat controversial leasing at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:26:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.920$  It's called Euro Celia profession

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:26:58.920 --> 00:27:00.552 of uncertainment potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:27:00.552 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.624$  In the 2022 those two leachings

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:27:03.624 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.160$  pretty much gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:27:05.160 --> 00:27:09.176 It's only CRS being left in the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}27{:}09.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.458$  classification and from a you know in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:27:14.458 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.384$  terms of the carcinogenetics it's a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}27{:}17.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.640$  standing theory not theory anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:27:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.852$  We we all know from knowledge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}27{:}22.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.254$ human almost 100 years that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}27{:}26.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.395$  Brad the tumor you know silicosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:27:29.395 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.724$  genetics is prime example of a field

 $00:27:32.724 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.479$  of fact and and it's a it's not you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}27{:}35.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.556$  know it is multiple it's still the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}27{:}38.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.016$  fact it's not involving different

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:27:41.016 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.338$  lesions different pathways and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:27:43.338 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.798$  kind of well established at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:27:45.798 --> 00:27:48.304 it's from the basic science point of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:27:48.304 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.069$  view we know that you know there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:27:51.069 --> 00:27:53.497 a hyperpay sure display sure you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:27:53.497 --> 00:27:56.157 know it's it's well much more well

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:27:56.157 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.600$  established in animal models that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}27{:}58.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01.120$  know there's CRS you know different

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:28:01.192 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.256$  molecular pathway genetic mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}28{:}03.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.028$  that has been involved in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}28{:}06.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.067$  the you know also we know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:28:08.067 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.981$  look at the supplied cases there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}28{:}09.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.426$  always you know ways on areas that

00:28:12.426 --> 00:28:14.201 you know some squeezing bordering

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:28:14.201 --> 00:28:16.036 between CRS and complete normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:28:16.036 --> 00:28:18.250 And this paper will have partially

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:28:18.321 --> 00:28:20.554 numbers are probably one of the fair

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}28{:}20.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22.873$  few paper that we did you know able

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:28:22.873 --> 00:28:25.368 to follow up the patient long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:28:25.368 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.552$  and you'll see that this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:28:27.552 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.838$  in the using we found that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:28:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.467$  So it's not as bad as the CRS but

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:28:33.467 --> 00:28:36.820 indeed about 16% of patient will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:28:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.960$  progressed into CRS or other lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:28:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.474$  So it's not complete benign it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}28{:}43.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46.405$  exist and if you look in the cell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:28:46.405 --> 00:28:48.200 know that's you can you can you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:28:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.348$  you can argue you know this cell is

 $00{:}28{:}50.348 \to 00{:}28{:}52.868$ you know I was maybe you know it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}28{:}52.868 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.007$ it's it's CIS maybe not but it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}28{:}56.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.330$  probably not complete reach to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:28:58.330 --> 00:29:00.766 left of the logical tape here you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:29:00.842 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.280$  know in common diagnosis CIS how

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:29:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.560$  many cells you need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00:29:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.416$  You don't you only need one cell to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

00:29:06.416 --> 00:29:08.150 make that I'm I'm not psychologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.6536580725

 $00{:}29{:}08.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09.974$  but there's criteria you only need

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

00:29:10.030 --> 00:29:12.053 one cell unlike cervical CRS you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:12.053 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.208$  the full thickness in in the bladder

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:14.208 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.759$  you only need one cell called the CRS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.000$  So the cell, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.079$  again you can look at the cells here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

00:29:20.080 --> 00:29:22.033 well you can argue some cell may be reaching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.626$  yeah, but but it said, you know,

00:29:23.626 --> 00:29:24.891 it's kind of embodiment between

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

00:29:24.891 --> 00:29:26.150 you something, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

00:29:26.150 --> 00:29:28.400 it's not complete normal and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

00:29:28.400 --> 00:29:32.320 it's a fully approved immune staining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.356$  P53 immune staining or C20 staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.033$  So this is a lesion that it does exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.800$  And how about this controversial

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00{:}29{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.560$ lesion called Euro Celia provision

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:43.652 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.878$  of uncertainment potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.896$  This is the machine that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:47.896 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.074$  been proposed in the W-2 2016,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:50.074 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.159$  including actually including two leash.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

00:29:52.160 --> 00:29:55.040 One is a type of you city have a patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.560$  the other one is flat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:29:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.120$  you will city have a patient.

00:29:58.120 --> 00:30:00.955 And those are, I think by the name implied,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:30:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.952$  you know that you know this is leaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:30:02.952 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.932$  That is kind of, you see there's a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645403736

 $00:30:05.932 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.600$  you know, incipient

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.760$  almost like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.160$  there's a pity structure but

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.560$  it's not fair well formed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:30:13.560 --> 00:30:15.740 You also see if rich, fast school

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:30:15.740 --> 00:30:18.236 chair on the underneath and the flat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:30:18.240 --> 00:30:19.440 you'll see you have a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:30:19.440 --> 00:30:21.030 It's very simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:21.030 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.792$  It is very, very tremendous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:22.792 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.640$  Second that you'll see them so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.416$  And the jewellery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:30:26.416 --> 00:30:27.356 I think that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.480$  the jewellery is still up.

 $00:30:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.840$  It's not complete that yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:30.840 \dashrightarrow 00:30:33.400$  and at least you know in this paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}30{:}33.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.549$  we're publishing this year in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:35.549 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.993$  modern pathology we analyzing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:30:37.000 --> 00:30:39.835 you know over 52 cases of pepper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.345$  You will still have a pay share

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:41.345 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.800$  you know at least with fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:30:42.800 --> 00:30:44.924 You know we compare this leaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:44.924 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.445$  with the norful leaching and MP

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:30:47.445 --> 00:30:50.040 machine code is a single user

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}30{:}50.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52.217$  customer low grade and we found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:52.217 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.787$  a significant number of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}30{:}53.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.515$  we'll have to the mutation again

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:30:55.515 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.140$  to the mutation along doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:30:57.140 --> 00:30:59.108 tell you it's cancer not cancer

00:30:59.108 --> 00:31:01.575 and at least you know this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:01.575 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.050$  complete reactive normal process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.200$  You can argue you know this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.905$  true precursor not true because

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}31{:}07.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.929$  but at least I think this should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:31:10.929 --> 00:31:13.311 bringing to the our community again

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.808$  really deserve fuller investigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:31:15.808 --> 00:31:19.736 so and for the bladder I think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:19.736 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.368$  know it's really you know that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:31:21.368 --> 00:31:23.859 a it's you know if you're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}31{:}23.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.299$  at the virtual classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:25.365 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.080$  in CIS it's super simplified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.768$  So when you again special for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:29.768 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.064$  the Chinese you know that yes that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:32.064 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.079$  our battle that's how we follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}31{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.066$  guidelines but it's you have to

 $00:31:36.066 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.857$  understand the you know molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:37.857 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.245$  mechanism the pathway go beyond that

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:40.245 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.128$  looking through and understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:31:42.128 --> 00:31:44.319 you know that you know it's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}31{:}44.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.195$ some you know some some difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:47.195 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.599$  entities you know it's completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:49.599 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.753$  ignored will not will not make

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:31:51.753 --> 00:31:54.044 things go away you know so I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:31:54.044 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.078$  I think it's a we should always

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}31{:}56.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58.108$  tactically challenge you know just

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}31{:}58.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00.910$  you know to look in things deeper

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.647$  at the deeper level that's how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}32{:}03.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07.512$  at the France our field and so go

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}32{:}07.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.213$  back and to the W 222 classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:10.213 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.799$  income of in base USA customer.

 $00:32:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.865$  I think the only things that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:14.865 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.714$  been changed it's really it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:16.714 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.821$  terminology you know we call them the

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:18.881 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.857$  the ferrant you know it used to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:20.857 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.252$  we call it histological ferrant of

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:32:23.252 --> 00:32:25.676 you know plasma subtle you invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:32:25.676 --> 00:32:28.551 you will see customer so now we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:32:28.551 --> 00:32:30.911 kind of calling them you know just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}32{:}30.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33.437$  histologic subtype so that's not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:32:33.437 --> 00:32:35.788 not afford confusing with the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}32{:}35.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.262$  or teaching that was called and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:38.262 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.719$  terms of a number of parent we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:32:40.719 --> 00:32:43.187 seen it's you know the terminology

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:43.187 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.297$  is remain the same and and they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:32:46.297 --> 00:32:49.144 very you know kind of largely has

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:49.144 \dashrightarrow 00:32:53.808$  not been changed let me let me give

00:32:53.808 --> 00:32:56.384 our resident a quiz you know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:32:56.384 \dashrightarrow 00:32:59.931$  we we we have a a slide conference

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

00:32:59.931 --> 00:33:03.765 and this so we talk about you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:33:03.765 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.458$  this so-called prolific lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:33:05.458 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.300$  that you know we have all those

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:33:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.470$  different differential diagnosis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00:33:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.800$  this case and let's see who attended

NOTE Confidence: 0.536346043333333

 $00{:}33{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.080$  this morning's conference you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.855248742222222

 $00{:}33{:}16.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.073$  so so if you remember anything OK good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855248742222222

 $00:33:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.280$  So what's your diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.16971791

 $00{:}33{:}29.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.168$  Well OK let's let's the diagnosis so

NOTE Confidence: 0.16971791

 $00:33:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.447$  so why why is why you think about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00{:}33{:}55.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59.060$  glance small fuse gland no

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:33:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.528$  big open looming so and good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00{:}34{:}02.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.472$  So you don't need molecular you can make

00:34:05.472 --> 00:34:07.719 diamonds purely based on morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

00:34:07.720 --> 00:34:09.856 Very good. OK. So that's indeed

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:09.856 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.280$  that's the case of nest variant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.653$  you will see the carcinoma and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:14.653 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.280$  also if you want to do molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.578$  study and certainly you can the

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:19.658 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.238$  truth promoter is really fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

00:34:22.240 --> 00:34:26.920 That's a paper punch from my my friends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

00:34:26.920 --> 00:34:30.139 you know and abdomen and histopathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:30.139 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.160$  you know that more than 62% patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.420$  with the next family you will see

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.424$  the customer will be positive for

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:37.424 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.688$  the term mutation and also you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00{:}34{:}39.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41.476$  kind of interestingly but a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

00:34:41.480 --> 00:34:43.592 patients will have FC past three

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00{:}34{:}43.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}45.965$  mutation and the tumor belong to

00:34:45.965 --> 00:34:47.757 this luminal molecular subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.080$  And indeed third nutrition computation

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.400$  is very helpful bar marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.165$  it's negative for in all the denomination

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:55.165 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.835$  so far we have analysed and so it's good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:34:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.248$  it's great bar markers and so go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:01.248 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.636$  to the fair and it's logic fair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.616$  And one particular fair and I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:06.616 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.044$  point out is the macro Papri your city

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:10.044 \dashrightarrow 00:35:12.807$  customer of bladder Leslie Lee Shing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

00:35:12.807 --> 00:35:15.880 But Peter Humphrey has started 20 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:15.880 \dashrightarrow 00:35:17.836$  I guess you know published paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00{:}35{:}17.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.200$ I was I'm not sure if people don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.604$  think you remember it's a it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:22.604 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.760$  it's one of the classic study that

 $00:35:24.760 \dashrightarrow 00:35:26.610$  Peter Humphrey had published and

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00{:}35{:}26.610 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}35{:}29.600$  and it was you know in terms of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.256$  understanding morphology not much

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:31.256 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.000$  there's not much change you know but

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.320$  it's really very aggressive friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.192$  one unique features could be in

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

00:35:39.192 --> 00:35:41.439 your board exam is that this tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:41.439 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.520$  also have fair fair high preference

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00{:}35{:}43.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47.760$  of a her to you know mutation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.505$  her to you know old expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:50.505 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.760$  This one fair few tumor in the that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.536093294

 $00:35:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.800$  fair for her instance of her to expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59802818375

 $00{:}35{:}59.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.100$  Jessica Possible is our former

NOTE Confidence: 0.59802818375

 $00{:}36{:}02.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.600$  surgical prosology fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59802818375

 $00:36:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.360$  He did the study last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59802818375

 $00:36:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.876$  Brown and we compared genome profiling of

00:36:14.560 --> 00:36:19.586 220 patients and all have the two mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00{:}36{:}19.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.780$  11 will have the macro peppery morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

00:36:23.780 --> 00:36:27.073 one will not macro peppery morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00:36:27.073 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.217$  What we keep the take home message is

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

00:36:30.217 --> 00:36:32.876 that you know this is just you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

00:36:32.880 --> 00:36:36.894 the KMT two RB One and TAB this key

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00:36:36.894 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.720$  molecular driver for morphologic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00:36:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.240$  So not when they really kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00{:}36{:}42.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.320$  morphological molecular correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00:36:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.588$  So this is something we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00:36:45.588 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.839$  in the study the KMT two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00{:}36{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.616$  RB One and TAB the key molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00:36:50.616 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.280$  driver of this issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67092395

 $00:36:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.040$  All right. So let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

 $00:36:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.432$  take a another quiz to see what you

 $00{:}37{:}01.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.920$  have learned from this morning's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

 $00:37:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.440$  lecture or slide seminar. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

 $00:37:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.008$  And let's pick up another resident. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

 $00:37:11.008 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.365$  So this is a 65 years old man presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

 $00:37:14.365 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.802$  with him two years, 3 centimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

 $00:37:16.802 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.490$  3 centum mass and and also look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

 $00:37:20.586 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.880$  three is positive and that's tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

00:37:23.880 --> 00:37:27.080 So what's your diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

 $00{:}37{:}27.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30.350$  I mean, the senior resident who

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

00:37:30.350 --> 00:37:34.518 have attended today's SLA seminar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7804870425

 $00:37:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.280$  You want to What's your

NOTE Confidence: 0.678299562

 $00:37:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.600$  diagnosis? What diagnosis? You said

NOTE Confidence: 0.317719718

 $00{:}37{:}48.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.800$  that paragraph was 3 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24323548 00:37:53.360 --> 00:37:53.440 So NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:37:58.840 --> 00:38:03.388 exactly let's let's let's let you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:03.388 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.958$  Let's let bladder para gangloma,

 $00:38:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.020$  you know this is a leaching that right you

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.904$  know have a fair peculiar you know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:38:11.904 --> 00:38:14.400 you know they have anthropilic cytoplasma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.151$  they have a very vascular structure but

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:17.151 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.648$  there's also could be you know they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:19.648 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.940$  all get the street positive so so

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.199$  that's good very unusual tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.008$  So you have to keep in mind wide

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:27.008 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.712$  open differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:38:28.712 --> 00:38:31.480 Yeah, paragraph Gangloma is a fair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.290$  fair you know kind of a rare tumor that

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.526$  you know this is the prognosis wise you

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:38:37.526 --> 00:38:40.522 know that's the pathologic stage is only

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:40.522 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.598$  saying that can predict patient outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:38:43.600 --> 00:38:47.613 So in terms of the in terms of the neural

 $00:38:47.613 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.425$  endocrine tumor and classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}38{:}49.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.360$  in for bladder this remain the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.440$  Now it says with more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.680$  more or less mirror what's we're seeing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.358$  the in the lung with small cell carcinomer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:38:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.320$  large cell neuronic customer word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.260$  the French neuronic customer

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.715$  and the perigangioma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}39{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07.093$  But the small set customer is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:07.093 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.438$  not really it's a terrible terrible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.459$  this terrible disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:11.459 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.478$  The prognosis is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.324$  is is one of the worst five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}39{:}17.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.278$  above or 10 years above it's almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:20.278 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.335$  zero and and the multi modality mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:24.335 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.312$  including actrophone chemotherapy

 $00{:}39{:}26.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.217$  is the key is all for the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:39:30.217 --> 00:39:32.226 hope for the patient chair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982 00:39:32.226 --> 00:39:32.572 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:32.572 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.360$  so that's the you know kind of it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}39{:}35.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.880$ fair you know kind of bad disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.920$  and in terms of the pathogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.337$  you know so one of the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}39{:}42.337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44.969$  we have is that you know a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:45.045 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.074$  cell carcinomer colonial related

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}39{:}47.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.936$  to Eurociliac carcinoma or not are

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:49.936 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.137$  they independent you know separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}39{:}52.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}54.477$  from some new land Chrome cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}39{:}54.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}57.953$  And in the study we found that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:39:57.953 --> 00:39:59.808 are using Modic genetic F approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:39:59.808 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.536$  we found both your Cilic customer

 $00:40:01.536 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.399$  and small cell customer component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.208$  They are originally from same stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:06.208 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.680$  cells in the uricilium and now

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.680$  we have to promote mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.956$  So we also analyzing could promote

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:40:13.956 --> 00:40:17.534 mutation in both small cell customer

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:17.534 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.428$  and coexisting your customer and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:21.428 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.192$  analyzing control 26 patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}40{:}24.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.832$  a prosthetic small cell customer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:40:26.840 --> 00:40:29.787 What we found it's not surprisingly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:29.787 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.640$  Kurd mutation is also very prevalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.656$  It's present more than half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:34.656 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.050$  that is small set customer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.276$  interestingly you know it's negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:40:39.276 --> 00:40:41.922 We don't see any function Kurd permutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:41.922 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.518$  in blood prospect small set customer.

 $00:40:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.495$  So indeed I was thinking now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}40{:}47.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50.832$  despite the morphological you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:50.832 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.405$  similarity this underlying pathogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:40:53.405 --> 00:40:57.168 you know so quite different and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:40:57.168 --> 00:40:58.888 paper publishing the clinical Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:40:58.888 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.916$  Research and you know so this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:41:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.385$  you know all the small cell customer

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00:41:04.385 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.232$  regardless human origin they always

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}41{:}07.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09.724$  share similar molecular funding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

 $00{:}41{:}09.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12.620$  is RB one loss TPC loss and but

NOTE Confidence: 0.534825982

00:41:12.711 --> 00:41:15.676 individually they may have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.466997458181818

 $00:41:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.370$  you know there's underlying bladder

NOTE Confidence: 0.466997458181818

 $00{:}41{:}20.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.984$  you will see stood promote mutations so

NOTE Confidence: 0.466997458181818

 $00:41:23.984 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.360$  that's the one and but OK so so let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.466997458181818

 $00:41:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.518$  switch gear talk about some more exciting

00:41:33.518 --> 00:41:37.142 things and what's the what's happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.466997458181818

 $00:41:37.142 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.480$  outside of college and so this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:41:42.520 --> 00:41:45.102 this table this came from our paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:41:45.102 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.776$  it's going to be published in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:41:47.776 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.355$  BMG soon and also so what's the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:41:51.355 --> 00:41:54.400 I think this is truly exciting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:41:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.632$  convergence of molecular physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:41:57.632 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.870$  medicine college and the and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:41:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.759$  genomics you know that's a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:42:01.759 --> 00:42:04.129 classification you know it's a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:42:04.129 --> 00:42:05.715 robust tumor classification system

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:05.715 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.743$  has been proposed they are most

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:07.743 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.960$  importantly it's not just simple you

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.360$  know luminal basal luminal that link

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.716$  to the patient prognosis and also where

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:15.716 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.250$  the patient patient treatment we have

 $00:42:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.388$  to go back ten years ago you know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00{:}42{:}21.388 \to 00{:}42{:}24.440$  paper was published in JCO and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:42:24.440 --> 00:42:26.568 my friend doctor Nohan he's now Johns

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:42:26.568 --> 00:42:28.971 Hopkin and he's one of the brilliant

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:28.971 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.756$  oncologists in this clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333 00:42:30.760 --> 00:42:31.454 He cannot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:42:31.454 --> 00:42:33.883 You know this is a patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00{:}42{:}33.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.502$  advanced stage bladder cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:35.502 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.519$  You know overall survival is less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:38.519 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.876$  two years really it's kind of bad cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:42:40.880 --> 00:42:44.877 So back until you know 2011 this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.445$  this is still but you know Elizabeth

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00{:}42{:}48.445 \to 00{:}42{:}50.901$  review paper pubs in the 2017

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00{:}42{:}50.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53.949$  whether we can see I want to draw

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:42:53.949 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.399$  attention to the bladder what those

 $00:42:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.080$  .0 means that means back in the 2017

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00{:}43{:}01.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03.444$  this is no FDA approved standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:03.444 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.238$  care for bladder and also very few

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:06.238 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.518$  would be in the clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.346$  But that has changed two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:11.346 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.976$  later in the 2019 finally finally we

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00{:}43{:}14.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.300$  have this first FDA approved target

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:18.396 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.834$  therapy for for bladder cancer that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:21.834 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.160$  targeting FG last three mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:43:25.160 --> 00:43:27.960 And in the same year you know FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.876$  also approved carding companion

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:29.876 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.520$  diagnosis for bladder cancer as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.040$  single as a Johnson Jensen drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.640$  And so this is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:43:39.640 --> 00:43:43.180 this paper I was you know kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.640$  first announcing SQ meeting that in

 $00:43:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.624$  2019 is the paper was published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:49.624 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.056$  the medicine you see that's truly

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:53.056 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.056$  phenomenal significant objective tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:43:55.056 --> 00:43:57.624 response more than half patient you

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:43:57.624 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.020$  will see you know almost immediately too

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:44:01.020 --> 00:44:03.736 much shrunk and truly it's remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:44:03.736 --> 00:44:05.240 Well, you know the question is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00{:}44{:}05.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07.664$  well what that means tumor progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:44:07.664 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.444$  and all those things, what that means?

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

00:44:10.444 --> 00:44:11.996 How about patient survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333 00:44:12.000 --> 00:44:12.504 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:44:12.504 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.764$  do they have integral patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00{:}44{:}13.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.254$  About the last question we always

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:44:15.254 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.857$  have from the target set up all

NOTE Confidence: 0.580357903333333

 $00:44:16.905 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.277$  the data previously published,

00:44:20.520 --> 00:44:21.660 you know, yeah, it's sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:44:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.800$  they can improve the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:44:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.584$  but how about survival?

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:44:24.584 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.710$  This data this paper just published last

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:44:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.120$  month in the New England General just

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

00:44:30.120 --> 00:44:32.080 three weeks ago in New England German,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

00:44:32.080 --> 00:44:34.848 let's say, you know you can see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

00:44:34.848 --> 00:44:37.096 that true data FGF three treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:44:37.096 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.760$  inhibitor make a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:44:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.200$  This is the line you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00{:}44{:}40.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42.060$  you know the patient was treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:44:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.005$  with it FGS 3 inhibitor that also

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:44:45.005 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.915$  valves four months almost double the

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

00:44:47.915 --> 00:44:50.400 patient without target therapy someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:44:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.440$  with E mouse that's substantial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00{:}44{:}53.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55.372$  This is really a major breakthrough

 $00:44:55.372 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.400$  for the black cat square yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

00:44:57.400 --> 00:45:00.000 So this is kind of a lack kind of results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

00:45:00.000 --> 00:45:01.986 you know it's almost like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:01.986 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.310$  mandatory all the black cat patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

00:45:03.310 --> 00:45:04.520 you want to try it out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.815$  you need to do the test you know to

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

00:45:06.815 --> 00:45:09.332 see you don't want to miss opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00{:}45{:}09.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.387$  for patient to extend patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:11.387 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.479$  life that's really exponential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.940$  So we liquid biopsy is also another

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00{:}45{:}18.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.080$ important value interesting you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.454$  that you know basically more more

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00{:}45{:}23.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.393$  important as in the bladder not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

00:45:25.393 --> 00:45:27.540 in this you can do the liquid biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.800$  in the serum but also in the urine.

 $00:45:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.096$  You can test the FGSE in

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:32.096 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.406$  the urine specimen as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:34.406 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.584$  And these people are pushing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:36.584 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.312$  journal clinic on college and

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:38.312 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.489$  they found that you know that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00{:}45{:}40.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.603$  presence of cell free DNA in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:42.603 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.362$  blood and in patient related you

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00{:}45{:}44.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.420$  know C Class number you know can

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00{:}45{:}46.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.520$  predict patient survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.600$  So that's kind of a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70471455

 $00:45:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.200$  it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00:45:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.352$  surprising you know when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00:45:55.352 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.359$  more tumor shut into the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00{:}45{:}57.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.196$  those patients probably have high tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00:45:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.840$  low high tumor burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00:46:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.480$  And there's another paper

 $00:46:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.120$  landmarks paper published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00:46:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.560$  Nature and the Fairfield papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00:46:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.678$  This all will lending to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

00:46:11.678 --> 00:46:13.730 kind of clinical people will land

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00:46:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.958$  in nature and to see the key,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00:46:15.958 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.352$  the key message the foundings that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76427382

 $00:46:18.352 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.320$  you know this is for a different PD1

NOTE Confidence: 0.543887347

 $00{:}46{:}23.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.056$  media media immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.543887347

 $00:46:25.056 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.368$  The patient if you use for

NOTE Confidence: 0.543887347

00:46:28.368 --> 00:46:31.760 your serum, you know if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.418198975

00:46:35.760 --> 00:46:36.560 liquid biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:46:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.270$  negative for free plasma DNA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00{:}46{:}43.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.920$  the chemo atriphant chemo therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:46:45.920 --> 00:46:47.520 doesn't make much difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:46:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.879$  that will not make a difference.

00:46:49.880 --> 00:46:56.100 In contrast, in contrast the patient the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:46:56.100 --> 00:46:58.900 the atriphant immunotherapy only

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:46:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.180$  matters when the patient have positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:47:02.180 --> 00:47:04.760 similar mark of positive CFDMA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:47:04.760 --> 00:47:07.196 So, so that's really kind of changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:47:07.196 --> 00:47:09.106 I think about you know nowadays

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00{:}47{:}09.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.157$  we always say we know there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:47:11.160 --> 00:47:13.170 treatment a fair very expensive you

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:47:13.170 --> 00:47:15.239 don't know which patient may benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:47:15.240 --> 00:47:18.905 PDL one is fantastic spa market,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:47:18.905 --> 00:47:21.446 it's good you know Johnson that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:21.446 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.476$  been pioneering analyzing all this

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:23.476 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.500$  spa mark and this is so but you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.270$  it's especially in the blood that

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:28.336 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.322$  we all were already removed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:30.322 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.508$  indication you used to be like using

00:47:32.508 --> 00:47:34.900 you know 10% cut off in FDA indication

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.235$  that label has been removed for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:37.235 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.800$  years maybe I think two years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.832$  So we're not we're not using PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:40.832 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.259$  understanding to God you know that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:42.259 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.912$  so really this is kind of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:43.912 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.754$  study that showing you that another

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:45.754 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.852$  patient you know that's a you really

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:47.852 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.324$  need to kind of more more importantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:50.324 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.340$  you can see here the impact will also

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:54.441 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.575$  baffle 25 months versus 15 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:47:56.575 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.443$  that's fast especially so really I

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00{:}47{:}58.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00.088$  think the the calling for you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00{:}48{:}00.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.506$  the the the CLM DNA test the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:48:05.506 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.104$  frontier I think small exciting things

 $00:48:08.104 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.846$  really the Spatial Transcriminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:48:10.846 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.725$  transcriminate study and what what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:48:13.725 --> 00:48:16.635 the underlying mechanism for you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:48:16.635 --> 00:48:19.425 to overcome you know immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

 $00:48:19.425 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.648$  tumor resist immunocellular resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.72028064375

00:48:21.648 --> 00:48:25.036 and it's emerging field and I'm very

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:48:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.116$  honoured privilege to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:48:30.116 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.759$  participate in in this study there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

00:48:32.759 --> 00:48:34.744 a publishing that cancer communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:48:34.744 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.383$  last two months ago and then we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00{:}48{:}37.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.134$  that you know that you know that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:48:40.134 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.292$  crucially linked for structure and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00{:}48{:}42.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.878$ a bar marker you know that's associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:48:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.731$  linked to the the PDL 1 inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:48:49.731 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.467$  immunotherapy affect resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

00:48:51.467 --> 00:48:54.243 And the key message here is that you

00:48:54.243 --> 00:48:58.200 know for the patient if you have those

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

00:48:58.200 --> 00:49:00.904 neutrophil in MYLOD derived suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:00.904 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.256$  cells you know those patients can

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:03.256 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.678$  do have a much better prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.336$  So this line here if you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

00:49:09.336 --> 00:49:12.800 positive MDSC and they doing much

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.084$  better than patient a lot with cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:16.084 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.240$  So so the question is that how to tuning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7182792044444445

 $00:49:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.176$  how to train,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:20.176 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.170$  how to select patient also how to convert

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.238$  those you know the code tumor to hot tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.676$  So that's really kind of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00{:}49{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.176$  and I would like to you know ending my

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

00:49:32.176 --> 00:49:34.892 talk with this paper view paper just

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

00:49:34.892 --> 00:49:38.532 published in Nature Medicine about

 $00:49:38.532 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.024$  Sudan who's a fantastic amazing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:42.024 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.354$  know oncologist who's leading many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.664$  many clinical trials and this is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:47.664 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.986$  are in the next phase of physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:50.986 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.782$  medicine on college and as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:49:53.782 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.537$  are seeing the verge of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

00:49:57.537 --> 00:49:59.696 different technology platform AI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00{:}49{:}59.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02.432$ trans clinic liquid biopsy genomic you

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:02.432 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.320$  know let's see the bar marker is already

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.760$  entrenched in our current practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.808$  It's it's, it's fair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

00:50:10.808 --> 00:50:11.656 It's profusing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:11.656 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.336$  It's everywhere not so nowadays

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:13.336 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.564$  We're now in the next phase you

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:15.564 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.475$  know that how to integrate in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00{:}50{:}17.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.953$  informatics integrate all this

00:50:18.953 --> 00:50:21.071 information and so so this will

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.592$  be integrate approach regardless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:23.592 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.456$  You know that's you know you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:26.456 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.920$  want enough when you come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.040$  to you among you I think it's a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.005$  you know morphology still remain

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:32.005 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.816$  that you know the cornerstone and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00{:}50{:}34.816 \to 00{:}50{:}36.888$ actually you know you have seen you

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00{:}50{:}36.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.024$  start from you know 10 you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:40.024 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.072$  absolutely morphology and and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

00:50:43.072 --> 00:50:47.528 also I just want this paper we also

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:47.528 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.828$  just published this month about two

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00{:}50{:}50.828 \to 00{:}50{:}53.600$  weeks ago and and this is a book I

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.666$  will recommend that just you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00:50:56.666 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.480$  covering every single open system

 $00:50:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.008$  and so all right so stop my talk here

NOTE Confidence: 0.718279204444445

 $00{:}51{:}04.008 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}51{:}05.880$  leave for some time for questioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6136499475

 $00:51:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.440$  No that was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:51:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.450$  So what do you see as far as some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:51:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.740$  the first case utility uses of AI. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:51:31.740 --> 00:51:35.541 Also in terms of AGU in the West we heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:51:35.541 --> 00:51:38.638 so much everything you know on the bladder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:51:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.424$  weeding, all those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:51:40.424 --> 00:51:43.364 I was, I was trying to put some good

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:51:43.364 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.278$  slides for this talk in the AI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00{:}51{:}45.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47.614$  The only significant paper I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:51:47.614 --> 00:51:50.392 found that was a is a publishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:51:50.392 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.678$  The Lancet on college using AI

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00{:}51{:}52.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55.317$  to predict you know metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:51:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.426$  So so in that regard and also try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:51:57.426 --> 00:51:59.755 look in some good paper I'm thinking oh

 $00{:}51{:}59.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.143$  breeding must be you know I'm sure you

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00{:}52{:}02.143 \to 00{:}52{:}04.158$  are probably doing that stuff as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:04.158 --> 00:52:05.832 I'm not doing that complex study

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:05.832 --> 00:52:07.485 not breeding or BLAD how to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:07.485 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.040$  to train a art better breeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.090$  So there's one paper publishing American

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:13.090 --> 00:52:16.740 Journal Pathology 4-5 years ago that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.600$  using AR acquisition to predict the breeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.111$  You know that but in terms of like you

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:22.111 --> 00:52:24.479 know BLAD is such thing that we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:24.480 --> 00:52:25.760 you know, Gu is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:25.760 --> 00:52:27.732 you know well behind breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00{:}52{:}27.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30.396$  lung and in terms of bladder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.956$  we'll even feel a bit high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:32.960 --> 00:52:35.912 So AI comes come to the AI in the

 $00:52:35.912 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.912$  bladder and this is some few scattered

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:38.912 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.872$  study talking about you know you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:41.872 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.368$  talking about you know predicting or

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:44.368 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.412$  training more from your knowledge you

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:46.412 --> 00:52:48.400 know surgeon or on college point if

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:48.461 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.317$  you know how to you know machine or

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:50.317 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.528$  like you know that's a you know wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:52.528 --> 00:52:54.880 you know the same topic on college

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00{:}52{:}54.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57.163$  side how to manage the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:52:57.163 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.520$  side but not pathology application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:52:59.520 --> 00:53:01.809 And there's one paper and I'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:53:01.809 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.680$  about predicting not you know detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:53:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.870$  you know follow follow predict with

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00{:}53{:}05.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.120$  no meta phases based on equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:53:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.045$  So very I think this is just a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:53:11.045 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.057$  so we can see here kind of expanding

 $00:53:14.057 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.688$  on that I have not presented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

 $00:53:15.688 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.020$  we have actually actually we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.708574986

00:53:17.067 --> 00:53:18.119 very very interesting data

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

 $00:53:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.950$  for publication and we we do find

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

 $00:53:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.011$  you know you know it's kind of small

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

00:53:24.011 --> 00:53:25.800 niche but not you know we're using

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

 $00:53:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.051$  the AI we're able to tell in for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

 $00:53:28.051 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.368$  papadoma first you will see the papaduma

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

 $00:53:30.368 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.957$  but I think we're going to make AI

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

 $00{:}53{:}32.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.634$  definitely let's let's you know kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

00:53:34.634 --> 00:53:36.885 of AI is going to be very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

 $00:53:36.885 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.075$  you know in practice but how to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.728216599

00:53:39.075 --> 00:53:40.840 to funding you know that niche. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.28572634

 $00:53:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.480$  my understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.38755277 00:53:51.720 --> 00:53:51.800 we NOTE Confidence: 0.431753392 00:53:54.920 --> 00:53:56.440 have like basically yeah yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6074115275

 $00:53:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.320$  So my question is

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:53:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.426$  mutations, do you think they both

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:02.426 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.128$  countries like at the same rate or

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:54:05.128 --> 00:54:07.704 yeah I don't think the truth is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00.54.07.704 \longrightarrow 00.54.09.620$  to be distinguisher, it's going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:54:09.620 --> 00:54:11.090 So you know I guess it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:54:11.148 --> 00:54:12.838 probably one of the mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}54{:}12.840 \to 00{:}54{:}14.328$  the highest instance mutation we talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:14.328 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.337$  in the old days we talked we also

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:54:16.337 --> 00:54:17.795 talked about P phase three mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:54:17.800 --> 00:54:20.440 you know universal mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.609$  in the all organ system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}54{:}22.609 \to 00{:}54{:}24.877$ Now I think you know you know TURD

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:24.877 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.880$  is 80% of plastic tumor will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.080$  a will have the turtle mutation.

 $00:54:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.914$  So it's kind of background also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}54{:}30.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.785$  terms of how that playing to role

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:54:32.785 --> 00:54:35.043 you know TURD will not be be draft

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:35.043 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.359$  or distinguishing different way

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:36.359 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.240$  going to be public going to be just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:54:40.240 --> 00:54:43.200 But again, I think it's kind of TURD FGF 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:54:43.200 --> 00:54:44.956 they're more link interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}54{:}44.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48.104$  they're more related to kind of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:48.104 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.714$  benign and more less aggressive tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}54{:}50.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.728$  My question pressed on the fact that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}54{:}52.728 \to 00{:}54{:}54.519$  mentioned that you have that nested fires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.336$  right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:54:55.336 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.720$  Yeah, the FGFR 3, yeah, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.780$  yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.758$  So if if we would have a tumor

00:55:04.758 --> 00:55:06.559 that derived from the popularity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}55{:}06.560 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}55{:}09.760$  yeah would that mean that it lost the

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:09.760 \dashrightarrow 00:55:14.380$  FGFR 3 as if we think well if it just

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.606$  is not you know nowadays we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:55:16.606 --> 00:55:18.514 break the concept of mutations bad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:55:18.520 --> 00:55:20.564 you know it's worth isn't a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:20.564 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.349$  of mutation not just in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:55:22.349 --> 00:55:24.309 bladder but also you know you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:55:24.373 --> 00:55:26.640 see mutation in the non lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:55:26.640 --> 00:55:28.540 So funding mutation really doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:55:28.540 --> 00:55:30.969 mean you know you can have P for

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:30.969 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.376$  three mutation a bit in the banana

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}55{:}33.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35.236$  lesions as well including bladder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.571$  So it's not you know signature you

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:55:37.571 --> 00:55:40.000 know I think more moving forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.276$  we can look look into the profile

 $00:55:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.272$  for example in the bladder the

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}55{:}45.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47.282$ certain cubic type like basal type

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:47.282 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.669$  a neuronal type those are use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:55:49.669 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.844$  molecular classification probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}55{:}50.844 \to 00{:}55{:}53.504$  going to be more useful in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}55{:}53.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56.067$  of of non frame not just how to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:55:56.067 --> 00:55:58.195 know how it's it's not single genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}55{:}58.195 \to 00{:}56{:}00.704$  you know that going to defining you

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:56:00.704 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.094$  know the pathway obviously but again

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:56:03.094 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.523$  there's a few key players you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}56{:}05.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07.725$  just less going to be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}56{:}07.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}09.851$  and and I think you know there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}56{:}09.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11.815$  probably if you put all together I

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:56:11.815 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.531$  think like you know just research

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:56:13.594 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.280$  it's common FGF stream mutation

 $00:56:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.299$  it's a it's a it can happen both

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00{:}56{:}18.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}20.384$  in aggressive non aggressive but

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:56:20.384 --> 00:56:22.732 in terms of preference wise it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:56:22.732 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.280$  more commonly seen in less you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

 $00:56:25.348 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.560$  superficial less aggressive tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60616721

00:56:27.560 --> 00:56:29.160 In terms of general preference

NOTE Confidence: 0.026132468 00:56:56.640 --> 00:56:56.760 the NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

00:57:17.320 --> 00:57:21.200 yeah we this is very very kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00{:}57{:}21.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.698$  important question you know So what

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:57:24.698 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.030$  molecular classification going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00{:}57{:}27.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.800$  come into play in our practice and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:57:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.568$  soup have assembled the team address

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00{:}57{:}34.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37.820$  the same almost the same question

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:57:37.915 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.456$  twice in the 19/20/19 and also 2022.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:57:40.456 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.068$  The paper you know that we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

00:57:43.068 --> 00:57:45.210 to be publishing in January you

 $00:57:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.492$  know that's in the in the currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00{:}57{:}47.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50.204$  our singular conclusion is that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

00:57:50.204 --> 00:57:52.805 unless it has code great potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:57:52.805 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.080$  but it will be take some time become

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00{:}57{:}56.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00.810$  clinically useful or adapted into

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

00:58:00.810 --> 00:58:04.376 our current you know practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

00:58:04.376 --> 00:58:06.256 But nonetheless let's really very

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00{:}58{:}06.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08.418$  exciting I'm thinking about if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00{:}58{:}08.418 \dots > 00{:}58{:}11.400$  looking at some data you know it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:58:11.400 \dashrightarrow 00:58:14.600$ molecular subtype like neuronal like

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

00.58:14.600 --> 00.58:18.000 subtype those are associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:58:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.284$  that data immunotherapy treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00{:}58{:}20.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}23.518$  So definitely and also we we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:58:23.518 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.558$  started I think we're you know I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

00:58:26.558 --> 00:58:28.929 that's that's really we just see the

 $00:58:28.929 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.232$  body which is still we see we start

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:58:31.232 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.447$  to see the ball the evidence has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

00:58:33.447 --> 00:58:35.591 built up you know that you know so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:58:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.444$  so I think you know so but at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:58:38.444 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.870$  moment at this as today or maybe even

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00{:}58{:}40.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43.643$  next year and I think we can probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:58:43.643 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.876$  reliable predict this it's unlikely going

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825

 $00:58:45.876 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.200$  to come into our daily practice yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6861159825 00:58:48.200 --> 00:58:48.400 So NOTE Confidence: 0.817020225

 $00:58:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.680$  thank you.