## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:20:13.8900000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.805$  I would now like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:01.805 --> 00:00:03.249 introduce our next speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}03.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.200$ doctor Virginia Pittser Doctor Pitts

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.920$  are joined the Yale School of public

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:07.920 \dashrightarrow 00:00:10.377$  health as an assistant professor in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:10.380 --> 00:00:13.688 Help you could see me, let's see yes um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:13.688 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.348$  her work focuses on mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:15.348 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.390$  modeling of the transmission dynamics

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}17.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.445$  of imperfectly immunizing infections and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:19.445 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.837$  how interventions such as vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.436$  improved treatments and progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:23.436 --> 00:00:25.032 in sanitation affect disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:25.032 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.968$  transmission at the population level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.806$  Doctor Pittser thank you for being here.

00:00:30.810 --> 00:00:31.944 Thank you, um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}31.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.940$  so hopefully everyone can see my slides now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:34.940 --> 00:00:37.564 Um, so I'm going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:37.564 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.237$  some of the recent work that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:40.237 --> 00:00:43.086 been doing trying to look at how

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:43.086 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.588$  changes in testing practices may bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:45.588 --> 00:00:47.831 our ability to estimate important

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:47.831 --> 00:00:50.633 measures of transmission for Coed 19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}50.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.782$  Um and so just so that every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:52.782 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.293$  is kind of familiar with some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:55.293 --> 00:00:58.165 the basic ways that we measure the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}58.165 --> 00{:}01{:}00.775$  transmission of any infectious disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}01{:}00.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.342$  I'm going to introduce some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:03.342 --> 00:01:05.583 two main measures of transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:05.583 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.188$  that we're interested.

00:01:07.190 --> 00:01:09.872 The first measure that people may

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}01{:}09.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13.301$  have heard about some of you I'm sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:13.301 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.641$  more familiar with is called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:15.723 --> 00:01:18.729 basic reproductive number or are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.523$  and this is defined as the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}01{:}21.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.587$  number of secondary infections that

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:23.587 --> 00:01:26.331 are produced by a primary case in

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:26.331 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.950$  the fully susceptible population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}01{:}28.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.614$  So it's beginning of an epidemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:31.614 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.390$  when everyone is acceptable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}01{:}33.390 --> 00{:}01{:}35.610$  How many people, on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.865$  is that first case potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:37.865 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.218$  going to infect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.836$  And the reason why this is an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:41.836 --> 00:01:44.155 measure is that it's closely related

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:44.155 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.549$  to the herd immunity threshold that

00:01:46.618 --> 00:01:48.843 is needed to completely interrupt

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:48.843 --> 00:01:51.068 transmission in the population and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:51.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.360$  to eventually eliminate the pathogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.103$  from the population where you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:56.103 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.728$  get an estimate of that herd immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:58.728 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.340$  threshold as 1 - 1 over are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:02:01.340 --> 00:02:04.108 and so if you're randomly, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:04.108 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.078$  distributing vaccine within the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.474$  then if you vaccinate 1 minus are

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:08.474 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.440$  not of the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:02:10.440 --> 00:02:15.704 Then you should see the infection go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}15.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.354$  Another important measure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}17.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.998$  transmission for infectious diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.010$  which is closely related to are

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:02:21.010 --> 00:02:23.474 not is the time varying affective

00:02:23.474 --> 00:02:25.566 reproductive number or RT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}25.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.276$  and this refers to the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:28.276 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.080$  number of secondary infections

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:30.159 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.745$  that are produced per primary case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.892$  Occurring through time at a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}34.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.062$  time T and this accounts for both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:02:38.062 --> 00:02:40.570 buildup of munity within the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}40.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.069$  which will serve to limit transmission as

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:43.069 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.037$  well as the impact of control measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.424$  and so this is an important way in

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}48.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.042$  which we can kind of track transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:51.042 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.563$  through time and see what impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:53.563 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.599$  control measures are having on transmission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.036$  and so both of these different measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:59.036 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.543$  and the methods that are available

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}03{:}01.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.873$  for estimating these different measures.

 $00:03:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.316$  Have been shown to be robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:06.316 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.510$  to under reporting of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.724$  and so it's generally assumed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:10.724 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.529$  only a fraction of true infections that

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:13.529 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.037$  are out there within the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:16.037 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.620$  are actually observed in detected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328 00:03:18.620 --> 00:03:19.041 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:03:19.041 --> 00:03:21.146 both methods for estimating both

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:21.146 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.830$  are not an arty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.120$  Assume that the fraction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.410$  infections that are detected and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:27.495 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.825$  reported through time is constant

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}03{:}29.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.231$  such that there's no change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}03{:}33.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35.219$  reporting fraction through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.332$  But we know particularly for the

 $00:03:37.332 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.255$  early stages of the COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:03:39.255 --> 00:03:41.455 pandemic in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.735$  that there has been a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:03:43.735 --> 00:03:45.751 variation in testing effort and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:03:45.751 --> 00:03:47.695 reporting fractions through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.913$  and this is just one example of data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:50.913 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.939$  comes from the Cove at tracking project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.660$  which was set up by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}03{:}56.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.108$  People at the Atlantic to digitize

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:03:59.108 --> 00:04:01.286 data coming from state public

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}01.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.174$  health Department websites on

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:03.174 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.534$  the confirmed number of code,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.174$  19 cases in left in blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:08.174 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.420$  from Louisiana on in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.528$  In the middle is the reported number

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}13.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.121$  of new tests per day in Louisiana and

 $00:04:17.121 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.693$  on the rights in purple and Gray is

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}20.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.731$  the fraction of those tests that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.890$  positive and you can see that there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:26.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.494$  Some sort of important patterns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:29.494 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.142$  you're seeing in the data where early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:04:33.142 --> 00:04:35.884 when testing capacity was quite limited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.314$  the number of or the percentage of tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:39.314 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.087$  that were positive tended to be quite high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:04:43.090 --> 00:04:45.790 but Louisiana managed to ramp up

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.085$  its testing practices quite quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:04:48.085 --> 00:04:51.025 in kind of mid March and eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:51.025 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.335$  change their testing criteria sometime

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}53.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.112$  between March 15th and April 15th to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}57.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59.200$  Come from preferentially testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.510$  individuals who are health care workers.

 $00:05:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.284$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}05{:}03.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.380$  or at high risk to allowing anyone with

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:06.458 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.986$  a fever to be eligible for a test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:08.990 --> 00:05:11.606 And you can see that this is potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:11.606 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.988$  reflected in a drop in the percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:13.988 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.653$  of individuals that were testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:15.717 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.629$  positive within the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.586$  And then there are other funny

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:19.586 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.323$  things in the data where they did an

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:22.323 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.363$  audit of the commercial labs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}05{:}24.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.430$  were testing for COVID-19 between

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:26.430 --> 00:05:28.430 April 20th and April 24th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.704$  and they revise their total test

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:30.704 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.779$  numbers down such that if you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.006$  Calculate a daily number of tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:35.006 --> 00:05:36.881 from the cumulative number of

 $00:05:36.881 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.169$  tests you actually see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:38.170 --> 00:05:39.960 A negative number of tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}05{:}39.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.466$  which obviously we know is not true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.696$  and so given the data that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:44.696 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.430$  available becomes very difficult to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:46.430 --> 00:05:48.635 Make this assumption that testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:48.635 --> 00:05:50.840 effort has been constant through

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:50.912 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.117$  time that we need to measure our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:53.120 --> 00:05:55.484 Estimates of the transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:55.484 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.257$  rate for COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:57.260 --> 00:05:59.647 And so one way that we've tried

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:59.647 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.168$  to get at this question of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}02.170 --> 00{:}06{:}02.574 \text{ well},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:02.574 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.594$  how could these differences and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:04.594 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.626$  changes in testing practices affect

 $00:06:06.626 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.720$  our ability to measure the transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.300$  rates of a new infection like COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.502$  is to simulate what might happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.023$  in the population when we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:16.023 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.073$  new infection being introduced and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}18.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.318$  then simulate sort of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:20.318 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.671$  changes in testing practices and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}22.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.248$  to do this we can use what's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}25.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.636$  the basic essay are type model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.876$  In this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:28.876 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.348$  is based on the assumption that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:31.348 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.640$  whenever it when people are born,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.640$  everyone is susceptible to infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.840$  and so before a new infection is introduced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.978$  everyone in the population is susceptible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.970$  When the new infection gets

 $00:06:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.562$  introduced into the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}45.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.764$  susceptible individuals can

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:46.764 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.754$  get infected at some rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.920$  Lambda and in turn these individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.360$  are infectious and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:06:52.424 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.948$  infect other individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:06:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.260$  So the rate Lambda here is dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:06:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.601$  both on the number of susceptible

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:06:58.601 --> 00:07:01.157 individuals in the population as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}01.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.929$  as the number of currently infected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.574$  An infectious individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:05.574 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.218$  within the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}07.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.740$  But after a certain amount of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:07:09.740 --> 00:07:11.930 we know that individuals stop being

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:07:11.930 --> 00:07:13.764 infectious and stop shedding the

00:07:13.764 --> 00:07:15.304 particular virus and may recover

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:07:15.304 --> 00:07:17.825 and build up some level of immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:07:17.825 --> 00:07:19.457 that prevents further infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.920$  And then finally individuals can die

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.760$  both of the disease or of natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.004$  causes from all of these states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.285$  And then all of this gets summarized

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:29.285 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.561$  into a series of differential equations

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:07:31.561 --> 00:07:34.021 in which the number of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:34.021 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.678$  in each state within the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}36.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.858$  changes through time in proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.892$  to these particular parameters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}40.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.940$  and the current state of number

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:44.021 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.356$  of individuals in each state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.194$  And so we can use a model like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.744$  Uhm, to simulate an epidemic where

00:07:52.744 --> 00:07:55.750 instead of using the basic Sir model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.330$  we add an additional E compartment

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:58.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.538$  which models individuals who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:00.538 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.588$  infected but not yet infectious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.720$  And we stochastically simulate an

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:08:04.720 --> 00:08:06.424 epidemic occurring through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.873$  and this is just one example on

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:08:08.873 --> 00:08:11.957 the left here of the results of

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:11.957 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.402$  this stochastic simulation where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:14.402 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.678$  introduce one infected individual at

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:08:16.678 --> 00:08:20.008 Time zero in a population of a million.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}20.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.312$  And allow the infection to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}22.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.113$  of slowly take off and then in Day

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:08:25.113 --> 00:08:27.515 50 we decided we're going to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:27.515 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.895$  in and we're going to reduce the

 $00:08:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.650$  transmission rate by some amount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}31.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.408$  Such the epidemic starts to decline

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}33.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.503$  and then we can make assumptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:35.503 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.227$  about the reporting process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.570$  where we model both the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.066$  Probability that a true case is

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:42.066 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.678$  detected an tested and the observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:44.678 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.270$  cases are then some fraction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.865$  the overall number of infections

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:49.865 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.069$  times the reporting fraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}52.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.737$  And that's plotted in blue here as

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:08:54.737 --> 00:08:57.668 well as the number of uninfected

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:08:57.668 --> 00:08:59.940 individuals who are tested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.496$  which we assume is some occurs

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:02.496 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.291$  in some proportion to the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:09:05.291 --> 00:09:07.806 number of infections out there.

 $00:09:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.200$  As testing capacity starts ramping up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.097$  And then we also assume that individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:13.097 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.197$  are tested and reported with some delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.976$  where we assume a median of five and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:16.976 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.424$  a half days between the time the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:19.424 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.084$  infection becomes symptomatic and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:21.084 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.205$  time they actually get tested and reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.706$  And this was based on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:25.706 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.040$  early data out of China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}09{:}28.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.960$  And then to estimate the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.240$  reproductive number or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.232$  The way we do this is based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}09{:}33.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.973$  the rate of exponential growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}09{:}34.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.319$  at the beginning of the epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.608$  where if you take this equation for the

00:09:39.608 --> 00:09:42.408 rate of change of the number of new

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:42.408 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.580$  infected individuals within the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.630$  You assume that everyone is

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.680$  acceptable in the first place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.046$  And you do some math to solve

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:51.046 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.060$  this differential equation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.556$  What you find is that the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:54.556 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.260$  new infections through time should

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.619$  be equal to the number of infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:09:58.689 --> 00:10:01.188 individuals initially times E to the RT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}10{:}01.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03.584$  where this little R is equal to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:10:03.584 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.610$  the growth rate of the epidemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:10:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.752$  or the slope of the log in

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:10:07.828 --> 00:10:10.628 the number of cases through time and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:10:10.628 --> 00:10:13.269 equal to are not minus one over D and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}10{:}13.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.021$  so you can estimate are not based on

 $00:10:16.021 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.800$  this knowledge of what the growth rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.520$  Through the epidemic is through time and D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.902$  which is the generational or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:23.902 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.336$  serial interval between one case and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:26.336 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.670$  the case that that individual impacts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.422$  And then we can also estimate Artie

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:32.422 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.678$  by our knowledge of the sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.152$  Or inference of the underlying infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}10{:}38.152 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.510$  tree within the population where if

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.750$  you have one individual say he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:10:42.750 --> 00:10:44.857 infected on day four of the epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.695$  they could have been infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:10:46.695 --> 00:10:48.740 by any individual on Day 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.858$  two or one of the epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.805$  and the probability that this

00:10:52.805 --> 00:10:55.128 individual on day one infected this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:10:55.128 --> 00:10:57.263 individual on day four is just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:57.263 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.042$  to be a function of how likely the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:00.042 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.536$  generation interval is to be 3 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:02.536 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.708$  compared to all the other possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:11:04.708 --> 00:11:06.344 generation intervals that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:06.344 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.756$  have given rise to this infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.424$  And then we can look back to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:11.424 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.691$  infection occurring on Day One and ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:11:13.691 --> 00:11:15.680 well how many individuals did this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.368$  person likely infect by summing up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:11:18.368 --> 00:11:20.259 probability that all the individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:20.259 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.497$  on subsequent days was infected by

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:11:22.497 --> 00:11:24.145 this particular individual on day

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:24.145 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.909$  one on Day 2 on day three, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:26.909 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.400$  And so when you put all of this together.

 $00:11:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.393$  Oops, sorry. Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}32.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.238$  What we can do here is to estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:35.238 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.332$  the impact of either an increase or

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:38.332 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.050$  decrease in the testing probability

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.328$  through time. We're on the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:43.328 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.423$  Here we are assuming that the testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:45.423 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.253$  probability through time is constant

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:47.253 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.430$  and the number of true cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.046$  The number of tests in the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}52.046 \to 00{:}11{:}54.018$  confirmed cases is plotted in black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.720$  red and blue on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.058$  The percent of tests that are positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}59.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.477$  is plugged in purple in the middle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}01.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.344$  and our estimate of the real time time

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:04.344 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.578$  bearing reproductive number is in green here.

 $00:12:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.280$  Based on the observed number

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}08.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09.980$  of cases and in black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.020$  based on the true number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:12:12.020 --> 00:12:13.040 infections through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.350$  and generally what we find is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.800$  when the probability of a true case

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.540$  being tested is increasing slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:12:19.540 --> 00:12:21.876 through time plotted in the middle here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}21.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.029$ you'd expect to see a slight increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:24.029 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.897$  in the percent of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}25.897 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}12{:}27.669$  testing positive through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.406$  As well as a slight overestimation of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:12:30.406 --> 00:12:33.210 value of the basic reproductive number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.556$  because the number of observed cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:12:35.556 --> 00:12:38.227 is growing faster than the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}38.227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.297$  two infections through time as well

 $00:12:40.297 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.900$  as a slight overestimation of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.090$  real time time varying reproductive

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.191$  number through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:46.191 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.760$  Whereas if the probability of detecting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:12:48.823 --> 00:12:51.427 true cases slightly decreasing through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.618$  we slightly underestimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:52.618 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.598$  the value of are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}54.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.440$  and we slightly underestimate again

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:12:57.440 --> 00:13:00.830 the value of Artie through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:13:00.830 --> 00:13:01.278 Um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068 00:13:01.278 --> 00:13:01.726 however, NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:13:01.726 --> 00:13:04.414 this increase or decrease in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}13{:}04.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.759$  percent positive through time might

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:13:06.759 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.039$  also be occurring because individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:13:09.039 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.672$  who are not infected are being

00:13:11.672 --> 00:13:14.144 becoming more likely to be tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:13:14.150 --> 00:13:16.222 Perhaps because there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:13:16.222 --> 00:13:18.294 increase in testing capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:13:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.196$  And so instead we assume that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:13:20.196 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.460$  the number of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:21.521 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.341$  tested for every true cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:13:23.341 --> 00:13:24.797 just increasing through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:13:24.800 --> 00:13:27.012 Again, we just expect to see potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:27.012 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.289$  a decrease or an increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:29.289 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.869$  percent of the individuals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}13{:}30.869 \to 00{:}13{:}33.007$  are testing positive through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.264$  But in this case our estimates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:13:35.264 --> 00:13:38.138 are not an arty tend to be unbiased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.165$  so it's really important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:13:40.165 --> 00:13:42.190 understand the context in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}13{:}42.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.696$  these increases or decreases in the

00:13:44.696 --> 00:13:47.080 percent positive may be happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.126$  Another possibility is that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:49.126 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.687$  a change to the testing criteria which

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:13:51.687 --> 00:13:54.305 could lead to a sudden increase or

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:54.379 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.785$  decrease in the testing probability or

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:56.785 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.866$  the probability that a true case gets tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:59.866 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.080$  And if this is the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}02.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.166$  and you see a large increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}04.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.094$  the probability that a true cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:06.094 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.709$  actually getting to test tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.398$  We in this case the model estimates

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:10.398 --> 00:14:12.576 that there should be a slight

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}12.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.802$  bias in the estimate of are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.966$  and they larger bias in your estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:16.966 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.686$  of the time bearing reproductive

 $00:14:18.686 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.606$  number such that you see this sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}21.669 \to 00{:}14{:}24.057$  large increase that is not consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.546$  Slow decline in the true number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:27.546 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.520$  infections occurring through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:29.520 --> 00:14:30.322 And similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:30.322 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.129$  if you see a decrease in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:33.129 --> 00:14:35.580 testing probability through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:35.580 --> 00:14:39.078 you see a similar bias occurring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261 00:14:39.080 --> 00:14:39.487 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}39.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.894 \ \mathrm{however},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}39.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.336$  this increase or decrease in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:42.336 --> 00:14:44.055 percent positive through time could

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:44.055 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.796$  just be due to a change in the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:46.796 --> 00:14:48.908 of tests that are being performed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:48.910 --> 00:14:51.367 or a change in the testing capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:51.370 --> 00:14:52.078 For example,

 $00:14:52.078 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.202$  if a new private lab starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:54.202 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.910$  testing individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:54.910 --> 00:14:55.838 So in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:55.838 --> 00:14:57.570 you'd see a chart start changing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.280$  number of tests occurring through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.394$  but you would not expect there to be any

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:02.394 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.520$  bias in your estimates of are not or RT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}15{:}05.520 \rightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.697$  And then finally we also looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}15{:}07.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.934$  what would happen if there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:09.934 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.800$  change in the reporting delay through

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:11.861 --> 00:15:13.973 time within either an increase or

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:13.973 --> 00:15:15.671 decrease in the reporting delay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92323476076126100:15:15.671 --> 00:15:16.514 In this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}15{:}16.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.975$  it would be harder to accept that by

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:18.975 --> 00:15:21.111 looking at the percent of individuals

00:15:21.111 --> 00:15:22.629 testing positive through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}15{:}22.630 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}15{:}24.325$  but we could potentially see

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:24.325 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.391$  a relatively large bias in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:26.391 --> 00:15:28.547 estimates of both are not and Artie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.336$  so this is a potentially more problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:31.336 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.760$  change in the testing process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:33.760 --> 00:15:36.231 And so now we're looking at applying

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:36.231 --> 00:15:38.107 these methods to learn something

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:38.107 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.718$  about how our estimates of the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:40.718 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.626$  time and basic reproductive number

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:42.626 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.472$  of COVID-19 in the US may or may

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:45.472 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.398$  not be biased by these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:47.398 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.260$  changes in testing practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.852$  And this is data for all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:51.852 --> 00:15:54.786 US in which we have the number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.112$  total number of tests in the

00:15:57.112 --> 00:15:59.073 number of positive tests plotted

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:59.073 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.793$  on the log scale on the left here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:16:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.026$  as well as the percent of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:16:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.790$  Individuals testing positive through

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:16:05.790 --> 00:16:09.194 time for both daily data as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:16:09.194 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.914$  kind of cumulatively overtime on

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:16:10.914 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.737$  it in the middle and then our best

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:16:13.737 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.222$  estimate of the real time time varying

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:16:16.222 --> 00:16:17.746 reproductive number through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}16{:}17.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.615$  Where overall what we estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:16:19.615 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.480$  is that the basic reproductive

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:16:21.548 --> 00:16:23.080 number before March 24th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}16{:}23.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.865$  when things start to flatten

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:16:24.865 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.650$  out is estimated to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.415$  around 3 1/2 with a time varying

00:16:29.415 --> 00:16:31.416 reproductive number of starting off

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:31.416 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.132$  around 4:00 and then kind of quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.941$  Decreasing and then kind of has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:16:37.941 --> 00:16:42.090 hovering just at or below one since around

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.038$  early to mid April in the entire US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:16:46.040 --> 00:16:49.272 And then we can look at this, uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}16{:}49.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.168$  broken down for each of the states where

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:52.168 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.744$  we start to see kind of more an more

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}16{:}55.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.447$  in consistencies in reporting as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:58.447 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.207$  low probabilities of individuals kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.490$  being tested early on in the epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}17{:}04.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.912$  where this starts to kind of emerged

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:06.912 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.903$  as a greater potential bias in some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:09.903 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.429$  these estimates of the time varying

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:12.429 --> 00:17:14.749 reproductive number through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.938$  Particularly, for example,

00:17:15.938 --> 00:17:16.730 in Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.705$  where there's this strong day

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:18.705 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.285$  of the week effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.069$  you can see within the testing process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:23.070 --> 00:17:25.236 which is probably causing some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:25.236 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.606$  these kind of Wiggles in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:27.606 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.741$  time varying estimate of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:29.741 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.420$  reproductive number through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:31.420 --> 00:17:32.335 In in California,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:32.335 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.470$  generally what we see these kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:34.535 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.712$  large increases in the number of tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:36.712 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.537$  'cause they had some inconsistencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}17{:}38.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.027$  and particularly the reporting of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:41.027 --> 00:17:43.288 negative test through time, which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:43.288 --> 00:17:45.690 don't think will bias estimates of RT.

 $00:17:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.808$  But this sort of lack of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:47.810 --> 00:17:50.197 Slow ramp up and recording early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}17{:}50.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.034$  may have led to these sort of larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:53.034 --> 00:17:55.490 estimates of the RT value early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.926$  and similarly in New York West testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:57.926 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.367$  capacity kind of was limited early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.302$  We think that this sort of initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}02.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.970$  peak in the estimated real-time

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}03.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.530$  reproductive numbers is based

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.050$  on this sort of large increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}08.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.602$  but you can then see kind of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:10.602 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.900$  estimates of the most recent measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.282$  of Artie are probably not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:15.353 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.474$  to be biased by these sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833 00:18:17.480 --> 00:18:18.290 for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}18.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.720$  Slow decrease in the percentage of

 $00:18:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.015$  individuals testing positive in New York

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}23.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.520$  because this is mostly been associated with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833 00:18:25.520 --> 00:18:25.897 UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:25.897 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.536$  a ramp up the testing capacity in

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:28.536 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.288$  the number of tests conducted through

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:31.288 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.162$  time and these slow changes didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:34.246 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.836$  seem to bias our estimates of RT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:18:36.840 --> 00:18:38.001 And so finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:38.001 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.323$  what we've been doing more recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:40.323 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.934$  is to work on kind of incorporating

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:18:42.934 --> 00:18:46.166 some of this data to develop now casts

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:18:46.166 --> 00:18:48.316 of the current COVID-19 epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}48.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.355$  where we can take information

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:50.355 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.874$  about the observed number of cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:52.874 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.316$  occurring in blue here and deaths

00:18:55.316 --> 00:18:57.689 occurring in green here and infer

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:18:57.689 --> 00:19:00.195 back based on our prior knowledge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.402$  the reporting process to estimate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:02.402 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.367$  number of new infections occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:04.367 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.537$  through time within the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.368$  And this is just one example of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.515$  Data from Connecticut where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:11.515 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.568$  can see that the number of new

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:19:14.568 --> 00:19:16.633 infections here is peaking quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:16.633 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.155$  a bit earlier than the observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}19{:}19.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.549$  number of cases from the UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:19:21.550 --> 00:19:23.650 Connecticut Department of Public health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.149$  and this allows for more accurate estimates

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:19:26.149 --> 00:19:29.108 of the time bearing reproductive number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:19:29.110 --> 00:19:31.245 which corrects for the reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}19{:}31.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.149$  delays that we know are going on.

 $00:19:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.105$  And now these time varying

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:19:36.105 --> 00:19:37.669 reproductive numbers can allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}19{:}37.669 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}19{:}39.929$  for more accurate assessment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:39.929 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.725$  the impact of interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.704$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:42.704 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.652$  these changes in mobility

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:44.652 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.600$  that sod was talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

 $00:19:46.684 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.818$  about earlier. And so finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

00:19:48.818 --> 00:19:51.100 I'd just like to thank some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

00:19:51.173 --> 00:19:53.298 my collaborators on this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

 $00:19:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.841$  including a series of a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

00:19:55.841 --> 00:19:57.296 individuals, both PhD students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

 $00:19:57.296 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.468$  postdocs, as well as other faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

00:19:59.468 --> 00:20:01.306 from the school, public health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

00:20:01.306 --> 00:20:02.758 public health modeling unit,

 $00{:}20{:}02.760 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.872$  as well as Nick Menzies from

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

 $00{:}20{:}04.872 --> 00{:}20{:}06.280$  Harvard School of public

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

00:20:06.356 --> 00:20:08.216 health and funding from NIH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915202021598816

 $00{:}20{:}12.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.888$  Thank you very much Doctor Pittser.