WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:24:23" NOTE recognizability:0.927

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.996 So all that my colleague just said about me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.785$ Forget it. This is the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00{:}00{:}06.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.960$ Darren Lattimore on the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.560$ This is what's actually important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

00:00:10.560 --> 00:00:14.396 As the Deputy Reverse Equity inclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:14.396 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.975$ I want to be very clear that I am

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

00:00:16.975 --> 00:00:18.800 here for each and every one of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.116$ Unfortunately, many times people will think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:21.116 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.158$ that I'm only here for certain identities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.344$ Please, please leave that at the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00{:}00{:}26.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.334$ If you feel that you have ideas on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:28.334 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.678$ how to make this a more inclusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:30.678 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.950$ environment for all, come visit me.

 $00:00:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.750$ If you feel that we have some work to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.025$ to make this a more inclusive environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.186$ please, please, please come visit me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

00:00:42.190 --> 00:00:44.030 Do not, do not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.342$ do not experience inappropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:46.342 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.430$ behavior in isolation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9353848

 $00:00:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.666$ There is no need for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

00:00:52.510 --> 00:00:55.698 if you guys are old enough and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

 $00:00:55.698 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.368$ dating myself to remember Superfly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

00:00:58.370 --> 00:01:00.650 Yes, my father literally walked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

 $00{:}01{:}00.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02.930$ through life with that persona.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

 $00:01:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.901$ And if you know anything about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

00:01:04.901 --> 00:01:06.729 religion of Jehovah Witnesses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

 $00:01:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.662$ very conservative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

 $00:01:07.662 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.458$ How those two got together talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

00:01:10.458 --> 00:01:12.900 about opposites attracting, but they

 $00{:}01{:}12.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.970$ came out with three beautiful children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

00:01:14.970 --> 00:01:17.545 Next slide please pull out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

 $00:01:17.545 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.605$ your phones for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

 $00:01:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.086$ You can do this one or two ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

00:01:21.090 --> 00:01:22.554 The easiest way is to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259436

 $00:01:22.554 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.049$ a picture of the QR code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9027031

00:01:28.430 --> 00:01:28.510 Are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9027031

00:01:37.510 --> 00:01:39.430 we ready? Yeah, if everybody's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9027031

 $00:01:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.542$ hold on, I see a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9027031

 $00:01:42.542 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.110$ people still taking pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9027031

00:01:44.110 --> 00:01:45.414 Is anyone having difficulty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9027031

00:01:45.414 --> 00:01:46.589 getting in? Just need like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9027031

 $00{:}01{:}49.830 --> 00{:}01{:}50.630$ a minute or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:01:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.082$ OK. Next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:01:52.082 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.150$ So for the next set of questions,

 $00:01:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.376$ I want you to think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

00:01:57.376 --> 00:01:59.348 last 2-3 years of your life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:01:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.345$ be it and whatever what you call

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

00:02:01.345 --> 00:02:03.389 your science or your clinical work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.302$ whatever that looks like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

00:02:06.302 --> 00:02:08.014 whom did you collaborate with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

00:02:08.014 --> 00:02:09.490 And I want you to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:09.545 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.629$ a visual for yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.145$ What were their identities of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

00:02:13.145 --> 00:02:15.660 whom you collaborated most with

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00{:}02{:}15.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.470$ over the last two to three years?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.784$ And now I'm going to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:19.784 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.270$ you a couple of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.563$ Next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:22.563 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.149$ So for the very first one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.190$ I want you to create a word cloud for me.

 $00:02:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.558$ Now let's leave those people that are leaders

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

00:02:31.558 --> 00:02:34.945 whom we couldn't wait to get away from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.990$ Let's leave them on the bench.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.805$ Let's think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:38.805 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.745$ leaders who inspired us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.182$ the leaders who helped us get to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:43.182 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.306$ seat that we are sitting in now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.103$ What were their traits?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:47.103 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.067$ What were their attributes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.190$ How did they communicate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

00:02:52.190 --> 00:02:55.110 And you literally will type in your phone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:55.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.932$ one word answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

00:02:55.932 --> 00:02:57.409 You can type as many as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:57.409 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.547$ want and then hit enter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:02:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.979$ And we're going to create a word

 $00:03:00.979 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.067$ cloud as to the group's ideal leader,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:03:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.470$ what does that look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922377134545454

 $00:03:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.590$ feel like to you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92798895

 $00:03:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.610$ So please, please hold on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92798895

00:03:12.610 --> 00:03:13.758 these words. Matter of fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92798895

 $00{:}03{:}13.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}14.990$ you may want to take a picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.92798895

 $00:03:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.150$ because my goal for the next 30 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92798895

 $00:03:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.620$ is to to basically encourage you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92798895

 $00{:}03{:}27.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30.020$ realize that you are now that leader

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489949

 $00:03:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.380$ and to remind you what actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489949

 $00:03:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.780$ a true leader feels like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489949

 $00{:}03{:}43.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.602$ Supportive, Responsive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489949

00:03:45.602 --> 00:03:49.628 Collaborative. Kind, inclusive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489949

 $00{:}03{:}49.628 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}03{:}52.202$ transparent. Any of those words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489949

 $00:03:52.202 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.570$ any of them are they I words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:03:57.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.730$ not a single one of them.

00:04:00.730 --> 00:04:02.490 So being a leader is not about you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.792$ a really good leader.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:05.792 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.570$ It's about the we and the team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.328$ Next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

00:04:15.370 --> 00:04:17.350 I only want people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.330$ identify as women or nonbinary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.070$ To answer this question. Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00{:}04{:}21.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.906$ go back to the last two to three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.560$ Who are your main collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.550$ in your science?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.030$ Whatever that looks like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.386$ Only women in the room answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00{:}04{:}29.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.473$ please. Or nonbinary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:30.473 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.639$ Were they the same gender as

NOTE Confidence: 0.92097825

 $00:04:32.639 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.548$ you or a different gender?

 $00:04:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.150$ Men. Everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280152

00:04:42.150 --> 00:04:42.922 Actually, everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280152

 $00:04:42.922 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.466$ Look at this picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280152

 $00:04:44.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.340$ So we got for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280152

 $00:04:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.217$ I'm not 29% says this is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280152

 $00{:}04{:}50.220 --> 00{:}04{:}52.579$ 71% says it's not true for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9280152

 $00:04:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.500$ Next slide, please. Men only

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364184

 $00:04:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.044$ your main collaborators of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364184

 $00:04:58.044 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.300$ the last two to three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364184

 $00:05:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.980$ Same gender as you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364184

 $00:05:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.660$ Different gender than you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364184

 $00:05:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.378$ How does this work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364184

 $00:05:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.900$ How does this work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00:05:17.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.850$ Next slide, please,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00:05:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.468$ everyone from your perception of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00{:}05{:}25.468 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}05{:}28.018$ other people's identities, same race,

00:05:28.018 --> 00:05:30.235 ethnicity as you, different. And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00{:}05{:}30.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.125$ I'm talking about your main collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00:05:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.890$ people you work the most closely with. Oh, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00:05:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.088$ love it. I guess I can sit down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00{:}05{:}41.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.860$ And then last question for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00:05:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.860$ again, making some assumptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

00:05:46.860 --> 00:05:48.860 about people's political beliefs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

00:05:48.860 --> 00:05:51.695 most of your collaborators, do you believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00:05:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.010$ hold the same political stripes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276932

 $00:05:54.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.300$ as yourself or the exact opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92965657

 $00{:}06{:}00.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.858$ So just based on what we've seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92965657

 $00:06:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.110$ how truly diverse are collaborators?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92965657

 $00{:}06{:}11.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.190$ I know if we were, if I asked the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92965657

 $00:06:13.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.238$ oh, I work with very diverse groups of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92965657

 $00:06:15.238 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.345$ people is the answer that I always get.

 $00:06:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.650$ But based on what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.92901593

 $00:06:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.825$ just said to each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92901593

 $00:06:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.110$ is there room for improvement?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92901593

00:06:27.110 --> 00:06:28.790 Next slide please. And I'd like

NOTE Confidence: 0.92901593

 $00:06:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.670$ to take over at this point. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.92901593

00:06:38.710 --> 00:06:39.990 turned it on. That'd be helpful, huh?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

00:06:41.480 --> 00:06:43.475 OK, I'm using diversity in a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

 $00:06:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.755$ very broad way. I am not looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

 $00{:}06{:}45.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.560$ at it on any specific identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

00:06:48.560 --> 00:06:51.157 I'm thinking about it in the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

 $00{:}06{:}51.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}53.239$ we actually think and process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

 $00:06:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.952$ Now those are actually very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

00:06:55.952 --> 00:06:57.760 dictated by our experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

 $00{:}06{:}57.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.427$ So there is a very strong correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

 $00{:}07{:}00.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.439$ between our identities and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

 $00{:}07{:}02.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.434$ cognitive diversity and our honesty.

00:07:04.440 --> 00:07:07.460 But I don't want us to be limited or bound

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187378

 $00:07:07.532 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.115$ by what we call boxes of identities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.768$ Why is this important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:07:14.768 --> 00:07:17.280 Now, I'll be honest with you, there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:07:17.280 --> 00:07:18.720 literature that's all over the board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.920$ and we can have a conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:07:19.920 --> 00:07:21.732 Why some literature, which I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:21.732 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.544$ to show you just one sampling says the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00{:}07{:}24.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.474$ diversity actually leads to excellence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.370$ And then there's other literature that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:07:28.370 --> 00:07:30.804 says it's neutral, and in some cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00{:}07{:}30.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.230$ there's literature that's harmful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00{:}07{:}32.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.710$ Again, if we want to have a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.093$ about why I think the neutral and harmful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:37.093 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.670$ are wrong, I'd be more than glad.

00:07:38.670 --> 00:07:40.273 But at the moment I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:07:40.273 --> 00:07:41.510 harp on the positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:07:41.510 --> 00:07:44.190 So this study, which is a recent study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.190$ looked at publications from across

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.470$ the country, and you can see they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.270$ looked at quite a few publications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.190$ What they were looking at was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.694$ the authorship and the gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:07:54.694 --> 00:07:55.270 And this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.153$ it's a more than one system that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00{:}07{:}57.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.433$ you can use to determine a person's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:07:59.433 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.198$ gender based on their names.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00{:}08{:}01.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.616$ We can have a conversation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:08:02.616 --> 00:08:03.560 the appropriateness of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.800$ but that's how it's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.760$ And what they were trying to

 $00:08:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.773$ figure out is if the authorship is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:08:11.773 --> 00:08:14.118 all one gender either direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:08:14.120 --> 00:08:15.760 does it impact or correlate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.204$ let me restate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00{:}08{:}18.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.908$ correlate with novelty and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:08:20.908 --> 00:08:23.078 using novelty very specifically here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.814$ And that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00{:}08{:}24.814 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.549$ are you pulling different concepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:28.549 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.060$ together instead of concepts that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:31.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.860$ historically already been matched together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:08:32.860 --> 00:08:34.820 And the way they come to that conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.500$ which is how it's done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00{:}08{:}36.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.265$ is looking at who or what you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00{:}08{:}39.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.296$ referencing and how often those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:41.296 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.750$ references are combined in the past

 $00:08:43.824 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.965$ versus is this a novel combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:45.965 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.490$ And then the second thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.420$ they looked at is citation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.711$ was this publication in the top 5%

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:54.711 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.937$ or not for that particular year?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.327$ And then they averaged that over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:08:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.570$ And then what they really just wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.470$ to see is does gender diversity team on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:04.470 \dashrightarrow 00:09:07.396$ the team correlate in any way up down

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:07.396 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.850$ the sideways with those two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:09:10.850 --> 00:09:14.242 And what they find 1st and most important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:14.242 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.116$ which is a wonderful thing is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:09:16.116 --> 00:09:17.781 gender diversity of teens actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:09:17.781 --> 00:09:19.441 has improved significantly over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:19.441 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.127$ decade in which they actually studied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.990$ But having said that,

 $00:09:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.114$ as our own little audit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:26.114 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.010$ ourselves clearly showed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.566$ we still have a lot of work to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.484$ Women are still not invited on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:32.484 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.039$ teams of men in the proportion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:35.039 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.445$ which they are in the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

00:09:37.450 --> 00:09:40.210 So as you are thinking about your teens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.628$ be very cognizant of who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419398

 $00:09:42.628 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.889$ being included and who is not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92388785

 $00:09:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.382$ They also found mixed gender teens actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.92388785

 $00{:}09{:}50.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.558$ came up with more novel mixed gender teens

NOTE Confidence: 0.92388785

 $00:09:53.558 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.468$ actually came up with cited more often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92388785

 $00:09:56.468 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.590$ and the closer to parity that you have 5050,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.430$ the more novel, the more citations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.565$ What they also found is that the

00:10:12.565 --> 00:10:14.750 more mixed gender that you had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

00:10:14.750 --> 00:10:17.150 you ended up with diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.951$ bringing diversity and as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:20.951 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.386$ can see that correlated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:22.386 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.610$ multiple different diversities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.114$ including actually having more

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00{:}10{:}26.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.244$ diverse expertise on your team

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.090$ instead of duplication of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.930$ same expertise on the team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.854$ which is very common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00{:}10{:}33.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.240$ When we ask people whom we

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:35.293 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.448$ know and are very comfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.91113067

 $00:10:36.448 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.090$ with to be part of our team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933783

00:10:45.670 --> 00:10:48.246 I could cite you and which would

NOTE Confidence: 0.933783

 $00:10:48.246 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.760$ be older studies looking at race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933783

 $00:10:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.580$ ethnicity in the very same way,

00:10:53.580 --> 00:10:57.220 and you will find exactly the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933783

00:10:57.220 --> 00:10:59.220 Authorships that are more diverse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933783

 $00:10:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.926$ racial and ethnically have higher H

NOTE Confidence: 0.933783

 $00:11:01.926 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.580$ indexes and have higher citations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

 $00:11:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.415$ But having said that, again in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

00:11:10.415 --> 00:11:13.579 study too showed we are much more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

00:11:13.579 --> 00:11:16.538 to author with people of our own race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

 $00:11:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.684$ ethnicity out of proportion to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

 $00:11:19.684 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.719$ availability of diversity within our spaces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

00:11:22.720 --> 00:11:26.224 So again, be very thoughtful about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

00:11:26.224 --> 00:11:29.400 who we're collaborating with and why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

 $00:11:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.528$ Is it really, truly for the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

00:11:31.528 --> 00:11:33.677 reasons or is it out of comfort?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329625

00:11:33.680 --> 00:11:34.920 And what is familiar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00:11:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.700$ diversity increases perspectives.

00:11:41.700 --> 00:11:44.520 Again, cognitive diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

00:11:44.520 --> 00:11:48.396 which aligns very much with experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00{:}11{:}48.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.648$ leads to more perspectives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00:11:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.670$ It can be uncomfortable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

00:11:54.670 --> 00:11:58.445 but diversity disrupts group thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00:11:58.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.570$ That needs to be managed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00:11:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.286$ I'll be the first one to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00:12:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.307$ but that disruption slows us down so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00{:}12{:}05.307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.123$ that we're actually way more analytical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

00:12:08.123 --> 00:12:10.846 about what we're talking about and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00{:}12{:}10.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.742$ much less likely to actually make

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00:12:12.742 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.970$ mistakes or go down the wrong path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

00:12:14.970 --> 00:12:18.010 Again, that is the value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

00:12:18.010 --> 00:12:20.776 quite frankly, but I must admit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

00:12:20.780 --> 00:12:24.056 if not managed by the leaders in this room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357683

 $00:12:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.939$ can be messy

 $00:12:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.260$ networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

 $00:12:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.420$ Each of us has a very individual network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

00:12:35.420 --> 00:12:38.372 But in our honesty, if we're honest about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

00:12:38.380 --> 00:12:41.376 our networks tend to be people very

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

 $00:12:41.376 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.758$ similar to ourselves, based on gender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

00:12:43.758 --> 00:12:45.544 based on race, ethnicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

 $00:12:45.544 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.640$ based on political beliefs, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

 $00:12:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.440$ So when you bring more

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

 $00:12:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.520$ diverse people together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

 $00{:}12{:}51.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.405$ the accumulative network is much

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

 $00{:}12{:}53.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.741$ broader than when you bring people

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

00:12:55.741 --> 00:12:57.676 who are very similar together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

00:12:57.680 --> 00:12:59.144 The networks have

NOTE Confidence: 0.92130524

00:12:59.144 --> 00:13:00.720 significant overlap, usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.160$ going to come inward, focus a little bit.

00:13:10.160 --> 00:13:14.584 So inclusivity, a goal of the institution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:13:14.590 --> 00:13:16.788 the goal of the School of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:16.788 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.907$ and definitely a goal of my office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.560$ A couple, I'm just going to give you a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:20.602 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.107$ of examples with what our officers doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:13:22.110 --> 00:13:23.986 The institution and the school are doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.318$ many, many more things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:13:25.318 --> 00:13:28.361 But I just want to make sure you as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00{:}13{:}28.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.636$ new faculty know about a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:30.711 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.465$ opportunities if you are so interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00{:}13{:}33.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.501$ So the first one is more minority

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:36.501 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.909$ organization on retention and expansion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:13:38.910 --> 00:13:42.084 It is a organization for faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:13:42.084 --> 00:13:44.806 members who actually identify with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:44.806 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.878$ or allies of people who identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:47.878 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.190$ as underrepresented in Madison.

00:13:50.190 --> 00:13:51.989 If you are either of the above,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.798$ I would strongly advocate that you consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:13:55.798 --> 00:13:59.629 coming to the reception on the 17th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:13:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.149$ As you can see from the picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.310$ about 150 people show up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.815$ There is no better networking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:14:05.815 --> 00:14:07.675 session that you're going to attend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:07.675 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.145$ at Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:14:09.150 --> 00:14:10.866 I'll be very honest with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.270$ there will be learners there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.710$ There'll be faculty there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.134$ there will be leadership there and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:16.134 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.870$ will be community who are not Yale there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:14:18.870 --> 00:14:19.420 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

00:14:19.420 --> 00:14:21.942 will strongly advocate the two cochairs,

 $00:14:21.942 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.822$ and I apologize this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:23.822 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.750$ not showing up correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.974$ Are shown there and if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:26.974 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.586$ have any questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.146$ feel free about the organization itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.180$ Reach out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.700$ Committee on the Status of Women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145895

 $00:14:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.700$ in Medicine and Science Swim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93734413

 $00{:}14{:}38.860 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}14{:}42.298$ Every department has a swim liaison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93734413

 $00:14:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.665$ so no matter which department

NOTE Confidence: 0.93734413

00:14:44.665 --> 00:14:45.770 you're stepping into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93734413

 $00:14:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.975$ if this is of interest to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93734413

 $00:14:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.122$ please reach out to your liaison

NOTE Confidence: 0.93734413

 $00:14:50.122 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.562$ and they can actually give you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93734413

 $00:14:52.562 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.452$ good idea of all the activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93734413

 $00:14:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.500$ The two cochairs are there.

 $00:14:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.500$ The Deans at Wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91599625

 $00{:}15{:}00.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.753$ this is not showing up, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91599625

 $00{:}15{:}02.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.118$ The Deans Advisory Council on

NOTE Confidence: 0.91599625

00:15:06.120 --> 00:15:09.090 LGTQI Plus Affairs, which we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.91599625

 $00{:}15{:}09.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.952$ called DAC has multiple subgroups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91599625

 $00{:}15{:}11.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.840$ This one, although it is run by faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91599625

 $00:15:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.136$ learners and staff are part of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.91599625

00:15:18.136 --> 00:15:20.237 one versus the two I just said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91599625

00:15:20.240 --> 00:15:22.440 which are just purely faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.91599625

 $00:15:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.040$ and the cochairs are there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:15:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.958$ How off the presses, Haven't even

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00{:}15{:}30.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.880$ had their first meeting yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00{:}15{:}32.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.358$ The Asian Faculty Association at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:15:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.559$ Now this is a university wide association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:15:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.597$ The ones that I showed you before

 $00:15:40.597 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.640$ were Yale School of Medicine centric

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:15:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.277$ and I'm going to apologize in advance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00{:}15{:}48.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.790$ Young Lee and Jin are the two Co chairs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

00:15:51.790 --> 00:15:53.309 Again, this one's hot off the presses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:15:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.686$ so if you are all interested in learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:15:55.686 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.070$ more, please reach out to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

00:15:57.070 --> 00:15:59.070 They know I'm saying their names out loud,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:15:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.910$ so they'll be expecting emails.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

00:16:01.910 --> 00:16:04.270 There is one more group

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

00:16:04.270 --> 00:16:06.630 which is much more informal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.254$ doesn't have a website and therefore I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:09.254 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.300$ going to show the pictures and everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.253$ But I do want you to know also if you happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00{:}16{:}14.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.738$ to identify as part of the Jewish community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.690$ We do have a Jewish

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.860$ faculty organization also,

 $00:16:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.410$ which I had dinner with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.340$ the leadership yesterday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

00:16:22.340 --> 00:16:23.775 And if you reach out to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.748$ I will be more than glad if this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00{:}16{:}25.748 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}16{:}27.432$ is something of interest to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:27.432 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.136$ to connect you to the leadership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

00:16:29.140 --> 00:16:30.115 Again, it's forming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00{:}16{:}30.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.180$ but I do think that if you're interested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.006$ something to get on the ground

NOTE Confidence: 0.93024015

 $00:16:36.006 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.500$ and help organize SO leaders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:16:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.839$ how does that feel? How does that land

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:16:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.580$ orientation? Don't even know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00{:}16{:}46.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.160$ bathrooms yet and I'm calling you a leader

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

00:16:52.000 --> 00:16:55.944 where you are. Each and every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:16:55.944 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.600$ of you is going to run a team,

 $00:16:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.200$ be in the clinical setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:17:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.310$ be it a research team, be it education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:17:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.666$ Each and every one of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:17:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.746$ as you walk down the halls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:17:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.949$ as you opine about whatever it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

00:17:12.950 --> 00:17:15.614 you're going to have people looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:17:15.614 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.550$ up to you and modeling from you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:17:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.415$ Be it something positive that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

00:17:21.415 --> 00:17:23.318 you said and encouraging or

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:17:23.318 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.950$ be it something negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00{:}17{:}24.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.104$ But everything that you say and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92987525

 $00:17:27.104 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.350$ do will be observed and modeled

NOTE Confidence: 0.930556983333333

 $00:17:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.178$ list. I'm sorry. Take a look in the mirror.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313208

 $00:17:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.416$ Most of us had great mentors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313208

 $00:17:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.180$ Our science taught hobby clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313208

 $00:17:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.500$ taught us how to educate.

 $00:17:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.789$ The you of us have had mentors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313208

 $00:17:48.789 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.337$ to teach us how to be a leader,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313208

 $00:17:51.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.420$ which is an extraordinarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313208

00:17:53.420 --> 00:17:56.820 different skill set. Remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313208

 $00{:}17{:}56.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.032$ that cloud that we made that I asked you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313208

 $00:18:00.032 \dashrightarrow 00:18:01.980$ to please take a picture of? Nobody did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.950$ In the privacy of your own home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.430$ Look at it. Which are the skills that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.306$ you already in bed and you're strong in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.350$ What are areas that you have a little growth?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00{:}18{:}19.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.334$ Just like you needed a mentor to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:21.334 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.386$ your science down and your clinical down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00{:}18{:}23.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.102$ you may need help to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

00:18:26.102 --> 00:18:27.910 get your leadership down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.226$ In many cases, it's a mentor.

00:18:30.230 --> 00:18:32.690 For many of us who are in leadership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.865$ we have an executive coach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.406$ But we have somebody to help us become

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:37.406 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.651$ the leaders that each and every

NOTE Confidence: 0.9336562

 $00:18:39.651 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.586$ person in our environment deserves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

 $00:18:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.210$ Listen, it's so awful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

 $00:18:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.990$ We become leaders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

 $00:18:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.078$ We think we have to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

 $00:18:52.078 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.470$ the answer to everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

 $00:18:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.905$ We can't take any time to think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

 $00{:}18{:}54.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.270$ We just got to act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

 $00:18:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.515$ Amazing how much you are when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

00:19:01.515 --> 00:19:03.688 you listen, Smart Man said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

00:19:03.688 --> 00:19:05.580 When you talk, you're only

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275175

 $00:19:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.405$ repeating what you already know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

00:19:09.650 --> 00:19:11.858 But when you listen, you may

 $00{:}19{:}11.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.962$ actually learn something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00{:}19{:}17.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.810$ Again, I've parked on this already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

00:19:20.810 --> 00:19:23.300 Be very, very thoughtful when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00:19:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.960$ creating new collaborations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00{:}19{:}25.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.129$ who you choose to collaborate with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

00:19:27.130 --> 00:19:30.500 Are they bringing you expertise?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00:19:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.754$ Are they bringing a different skill

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

00:19:31.754 --> 00:19:33.578 set or way of thinking about things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00:19:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.208$ Because even that diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00:19:35.208 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.180$ People who are more verbal versus visual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00:19:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.260$ People who are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

00:19:40.260 --> 00:19:41.820 analytical versus intuitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00{:}19{:}41.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.840$ that diversity within itself actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00:19:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.180$ also be shown to lead to their outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327649

 $00:19:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.245$ Or are you bringing people in because

00:19:49.245 --> 00:19:50.700 you feel comfortable with them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94033855

00:19:59.170 --> 00:19:59.736 Mentorship. Sponsorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94033855

 $00:19:59.736 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.434$ Anyone who talks to me more

NOTE Confidence: 0.94033855

 $00:20:01.434 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.974$ than 5 minutes will hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.94033855

 $00:20:02.974 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.206$ you talk about sponsorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

00:20:06.660 --> 00:20:09.145 Again, as leaders, one of your major

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:09.145 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.250$ roles is to bring up the next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.179$ and it helped them reach their goals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00{:}20{:}15.180 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.854$ And again, I know for many of you who

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:16.854 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.418$ have not been here more than a day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00{:}20{:}18.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{:}820$ but know the bathroom is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.536$ but the moment you form a team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

00:20:23.540 --> 00:20:26.494 people will be looking up to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.817$ And part of that looking up is

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:28.817 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.130$ because they want to be you someday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.450$ Why I talked about this is,

 $00:20:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.436$ again, the data will show we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00{:}20{:}34.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.487$ much more likely to mentor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

00:20:36.487 --> 00:20:38.804 sponsor people whom we see them in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.730$ us or us and them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

00:20:40.730 --> 00:20:44.530 I E people who are similar to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.645$ Make sure whoever is on your team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

00:20:47.650 --> 00:20:51.370 they're getting equitable mentorship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

00:20:51.370 --> 00:20:52.474 equitable sponsorship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:52.474 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.786$ so that every body on your team

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

00:20:55.786 --> 00:20:59.070 has the equal possibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926386

 $00:20:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.690$ actually achieving differentness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

 $00:21:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.440$ When is the version on

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

 $00{:}21{:}10.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.720$ the team actually most important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

00:21:13.720 --> 00:21:16.120 Is it doing that Monday task?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

 $00:21:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.820$ Is it doing that simple

 $00:21:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.800$ thing that one expert can do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

 $00:21:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.635$ Or is it when the brainstorming happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

 $00:21:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.080$ when the problem solving happens?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

 $00:21:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.727$ Actually, the diversity on

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

 $00:21:27.727 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.472$ the team actually is most

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

00:21:29.472 --> 00:21:31.359 beneficial in the brainstorming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

00:21:31.360 --> 00:21:33.145 the problem solving when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92647624

 $00:21:33.145 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.679$ really want all ideas on the table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308505

00:21:38.420 --> 00:21:41.090 So you have to be sure that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308505

00:21:41.090 --> 00:21:43.853 created for your team and environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308505

 $00:21:43.853 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.577$ that is psychologically safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308505

 $00:21:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.040$ So when they're most minoritized in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308505

00:21:49.040 --> 00:21:52.020 that space, marginalized in that space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308505

00:21:52.020 --> 00:21:53.932 stigmatized in that space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308505

 $00:21:53.932 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.800$ feels that they actually have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308505

 $00:21:56.887 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.420$ voice and they can contribute.

00:21:59.420 --> 00:22:01.178 Otherwise, it's toughenism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

 $00:22:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.470$ The most effective teams actually the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

 $00:22:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.550$ teams that actually have a spirit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

 $00:22:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.744$ of collaboration and the weak is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

 $00:22:14.744 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.759$ the keyword versus individual eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

 $00:22:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.120$ So the more you can make create an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

 $00:22:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.120$ environment culture within your team

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

 $00:22:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.008$ of collaboration and working together

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

00:22:24.008 --> 00:22:26.395 and that there's a higher purpose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

00:22:26.460 --> 00:22:28.638 their individual part of the project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

 $00{:}22{:}28.640 {\: -->\:} 00{:}22{:}30.416$ the more you can remind your teams of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341568

 $00:22:30.416 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.316$ that the better your outcomes will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:22:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.111$ I'm not going to speak to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00{:}22{:}38.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.644$ citizens of this because my dear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:22:39.644 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.270$ Carla colleague who's going to talk

00:22:41.270 --> 00:22:43.144 next is going to talk in great depth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00{:}22{:}43.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.470$ But what I am going to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:22:49.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.330$ 0 tolerance. If you really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00{:}22{:}53.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.030$ want a high functioning team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:22:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.790$ you cannot have toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:22:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.170$ So often we find reasons to excuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.390$ toxicity over there are high achieved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

00:23:04.390 --> 00:23:06.310 Oh, they're really productive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

00:23:06.310 --> 00:23:09.589 Oh, they're just young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.286$ I can assure you more than just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:12.286 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.324$ individual and the person that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:14.324 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.765$ the recipients of the toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:16.765 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.390$ are affected by the toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.760$ Here's a cancer that will affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.008$ your entire team and will decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:25.008 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.066$ collaboration and communication.

 $00:23:27.070 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.810$ You are the leader.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.550$ You set the tone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.060$ you set the culture and I beg you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.086$ set it as a no tolerance period so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

00:23:38.086 --> 00:23:40.584 everybody on the team, not just Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9022077

 $00:23:40.584 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.136$ Mrs. Toxic can rhyme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910789

00:23:44.540 --> 00:23:47.260 And then lastly, and I'm going to jump

NOTE Confidence: 0.910789

 $00:23:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.260$ and abort you to death. As leaders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910789

 $00:23:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.180$ please consider your responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.910789

 $00:23:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.175$ to find and develop the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910789

 $00:24:02.175 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.689$ potential those around you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242108

 $00:24:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.685$ as individuals to find and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242108

 $00:24:09.685 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.810$ develop the potential of Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242108

 $00:24:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.407$ School of Medicine as a whole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242108

 $00:24:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.970$ I want to thank you for your time.