TBR1 directly represses Fezf2 to control the laminar origin and development of the corticospinal tract
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The corticospinal (CS) tract is involved in controlling discrete voluntary skilled movements in mammals. The CS tract arises exclusively from layer (L) 5 projection neurons of the cerebral cortex, and its formation requires L5 activity of Fezf2 (Fezl, Zfp312). How this L5-specific pattern of Fezf2 expression and CS axonal connectivity is established with such remarkable fidelity had remained elusive. Here we show that the transcription factor TBR1 directly binds the Fezf2 locus and represses its activity in L6 corticothalamic projection neurons to restrict the origin of the CS tract to L5. In Tbr1 null mutants, CS axons ectopically originate from L6 neurons in a Fezf2-dependent manner. Consistently, misexpression of Tbr1 in L5 CS neurons suppresses Fezf2 expression and effectively abolishes the CS tract. Taken together, our findings show that TBR1 is a direct transcriptional repressor of Fezf2 and a negative regulator of CS tract formation that restricts the laminar origin of CS axons specifically to L5.

Spatial specificity of axonal connections is one of the most important prerequisites for normal development (1–3). In mammals, this is especially crucial for axons of the corticospinal (CS) system (4–7). Development of the CS tract is an intricate process that involves the molecular specification of CS neurons and axon pathfinding. All long-range subcortical axons projecting to the brainstem and spinal cord, including those that form the CS tract, originate exclusively from layer (L) 5 projection (pyramidal) neurons of the cerebral cortex (8–11). Projection neurons in other cortical layers give rise to axons that project within the cortex (L2–4) or to the thalamus (L6). How this highly conserved laminar pattern of projections is formed with such perfect accuracy remains elusive.

Previous work revealed that the transcription factor FEZF2 (FEZL, ZFP312) is highly enriched in L5 CS neurons and is critical to the development of the CS tract (12–14). Inactivation of Fezf2 disrupts formation of the CS tract (12–14), whereas misexpression of Fezf2 in upper layer projection neurons induces ectopic subcortical projections (13). These findings indicate that Fezf2 transcription is tightly regulated during development, and that the integrity of normal Fezf2 expression is critical to proper development of the CS tract. Interestingly, Fezf2 is transiently expressed in L6 neurons during early embryonic development, where its transcription is directly repressed by SOX5, thereby establishing a high-in-L5, low-in-L6 postnatal pattern (15, 16). Paradoxically, in Sox5 null mutants, the number of axon projections reaching the brainstem and spinal cord is severely reduced despite increased cortical Fezf2 expression (15). This suggests that Sox5 is required for the formation of these connections independent of its regulation of Fezf2. Furthermore, SOX5 is normally expressed in L5 Fezf2-expressing CS neurons (15). Therefore, the down-regulation of Fezf2 in L6 neurons, and hence the establishment of Fezf2’s L5 pattern, likely requires additional molecules. Recently, Bedogni et al. (17) showed that TBR1 exerts positive and negative control over a number of genetic markers of regional (areal) and laminar identity. Notably, Tbr1 null mutants exhibit an increase in Fezf2 expression concomitant with a decrease in Sox5 expression. Those findings, along with the observation that Tbr1 null mutants exhibit axon projection defects with some similarities to those of the Sox5 null phenotype (17, 18), led to the hypothesis that Tbr1 regulates Fezf2 expression indirectly via Sox5.

In the present study, we tested the alternative hypothesis that Tbr1 directly represses Fezf2 transcription and thereby controls the laminar origin and development of the CS tract. We found that TBR1 binds directly to a conserved regulatory element near the Fezf2 gene and selectively represses its activity in L6 corticothalamic projection neurons. Moreover, in Tbr1 null mutants, CS axons originate ectopically from L6 neurons in a Fezf2-dependent manner. Consistent with this, TBR1 was absent from Fezf2-expressing L5 CS tract neurons during development, and the forced expression of Tbr1 in these neurons suppressed Fezf2 and blocked CS tract formation. Taken together, our findings show that TBR1 is a direct transcriptional repressor of Fezf2 that blocks the formation of the CS tract from L6, thereby restricting the origin of CS axons specifically to L5.
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of which 82.9% and 85.1%, respectively, uniquely mapped to the genome. Of immunoenriched regions with a false discovery rate cutoff of 0.02, approximately 62% were mapped within gene loci, and an additional 28% were mapped within 50 kb upstream of transcription start sites (TSSs) or 50 kb downstream of the polyadenylation signal.

To identify putative TBR1 consensus binding sequences with potential relevance to TBR1 transcriptional activity in the neocortex, we cross-analyzed our mRNA-Seq and ChIP-Seq data. We selected the loci of significantly differentially expressed genes based on mRNA-Seq data to which a significant enrichment of TBR1 ChIP-Seq reads mapped proximally. Analysis of these 181 loci (detailed in SI Material and Methods) revealed a binding sequence motif (Fig. S2) with similarities to the T element in Xenopus (19, 20). Importantly, an enrichment of TBR1 ChIP-Seq reads compared with input DNA was mapped to a highly conserved region ~4.5 kbp downstream of the Fezf2 TSS. This region contained a core consensus binding site conserved across placental mammalian species (Fig. 1C and Fig. S2C). To confirm this binding in the neocortex, we performed ChIP using cultured embryonic day (E) 13.5 neocortical neurons transfected with V5-TBR1. Analysis of ChIPed DNA by qRT-PCR revealed a significant enrichment of this binding site in DNA precipitated by V5 antibody compared with control IgG (a 6.65 ± 0.37-fold increase; \( P = 0.00128 \)) (Fig. 1D), confirming that TBR1 binds this region in neocortical neurons. To assess whether this binding affects transcription, we cloned the binding site downstream of the luciferase reporter gene and assayed transfected N2A cells. Forced expression of Tbr1 modestly but significantly repressed the activity of this binding site (a decrease of 31.9% ± 8.6%; \( P = 0.00105 \)) (Fig. 1E). Collectively, these findings suggest that TBR1 negatively regulates Fezf2 transcription through direct binding to a conserved consensus element.

**L6 Neurons Aberrantly Retain High Fezf2 Expression in Tbr1 Null Mutants.** The global increase in Fezf2 expression in the early postnatal Tbr1−/− neocortex found in the present study and a previous study (17) could result from either up-regulation of Fezf2 in neurons that normally express Fezf2 or ectopic expression of Fezf2 in neurons that do not normally express Fezf2.

Consistent with the latter possibility, analysis of TBR1 expression during cortical development revealed that TBR1 and Fezf2 established complementary laminar expression patterns during late embryogenesis (Fig. S3A). To directly assess how TBR1 alters Fezf2 expression at the level of resolution of individual neurons, we bred Tbr1+/− mice with Fezf2-Gfp transgenic mice. In the postnatal day (P) 0 Tbr1−/− neocortex, the number of neurons highly expressing Fezf2-Gfp, which did not migrate normally (18), increased significantly from 21.8% in Tbr1+/− to 33.3% in Tbr1−/− (\( P = 0.0058 \)) (Fig. 2C). This significant increase in the total number of Fezf2-Gfp-expressing neurons in Tbr1−/− neocortex reflects an aberrant induction of high Fezf2 expression in neurons that do not normally express Fezf2.

Because TBR1 is specifically expressed in L6 neurons at P0, we examined whether L6 neurons aberrantly turned on high Fezf2 expression in the Tbr1−/− neocortex. We used two stringent criteria to conservatively define L6 neurons in the Tbr1−/− neocortex, in which the demarcation of distinct layers is complicated by migration defects (ref. 18 and Fig. S4). First, we chose ZFPM2 (FOG2) to molecularly define L6 neurons, because it is a reliable marker of L6 corticothalamic neurons in the early postnatal neocortex (ref. 15 and Fig. S5) and, more importantly, because its expression level is unchanged in Tbr1−/− neocortex (Fig. S6, mRNA-Seq; \( P = 0.642 \) and ref. 17). Second, we used a stringent birth-dating strategy to distinguish L6 neurons from L5 neurons. In the sequential generation of cortical neurons, the same progenitor cells that give rise to L6 neurons subsequently give rise to L5 neurons. Therefore, positive thymidine analog labeling of L6 neurons will inevitably label a large number of L5 neurons. To circumvent this, we used exclusion of the thymidine analog CldU to distinguish L6 neurons from L5 neurons. CldU was administered to timed-pregnant Tbr1−/− females daily starting at E12.5, when the first L5 neurons are born, and ending at E14.5, when the last L5 neurons are generated. Using this narrow definition of L6 neurons (ZFPM2+/−, CldU−), we observed a significant increase in the number of L6 neurons expressing Fezf2-Gfp (18.5% in Tbr1+/- and 85.9% in Tbr1−/-; \( P = 0.000031 \)) (Fig. 2D). Thus, in the absence of Tbr1, neurons born at the correct time and with the molecular properties of L6 neurons ectopically expressed high levels of Fezf2.

**Ectopic L6 Projections to the Brainstem Are Revealed by Retrograde Tracing in Tbr1 Null Mutants.** Subcortical axon defects have been reported in Tbr1−/− brains (18). Long-range subcerebral axons and the layer-specific pattern of cortical connectivity have not been specifically examined, however. To test whether aberrantly high expression of Fezf2 in L6 neurons leads to the formation of ectopic projections to the brainstem, we bred Tbr1−/− mice with Golli-Gfp transgenic mice, which express GFP predominantly in L6 and SP neurons and their axons (21), and with Fezf2-Gfp

---

**Fig. 1.** TBR1 regulates Fezf2 transcription via direct binding and repression of regulatory elements near Fezf2. (A) RNA-Seq of mRNA extracted from neocortices of P0 Tbr1+/− and Tbr1−/− littermates (\( n = 2 \) per genotype). Significantly more RNA-Seq reads mapped to Fezf2 exons (gray) in Tbr1+/− (green; 727 reads) than in Tbr1−/− (red; 496 reads; adjusted \( P = 1.496 \)). (B) RNA-Seq reads) than in Tbr1−/− (red; 496 reads; adjusted \( P = 1.496 \)). (B) N2a cells were transfected with V5-TBR1, and chromatin was immunoprecipitated using an anti-V5 antibody. ChIP-Seq revealed enrichment of mapped reads (blue) compared with input DNA in a region 4.5 kb downstream of the Fezf2 TSS. (C) Schematic of the mouse Fezf2 locus (gray) and mammalian conservation (dark blue) showing the location of the TBR1 consensus binding site (red) defined by ChIP-Seq (detected in Fig. S2). (D) ChIP-qRT-PCR assays in neurons cultured from E13.5 neocortex transfected with V5-TBR1. DNA precipitated with control rabbit IgG or anti-V5 antibody was analyzed by qRT-PCR using primers flanking the TBR1 binding site and control primers. The TBR1 site was significantly enriched in V5-precipitated DNA. (E) N2a cells were transfected with luciferase plasmids containing the TBR1 binding site. Luciferase assay showed that cotransfection of CAG-Tbr1, but not of empty CAG, repressed the activity of this element. *\( P < 0.02 \); **\( P < 0.005 \) by unpaired two-tailed t test: ns, not significant (\( P > 0.05 \)).
transgenic mice. In E14.5 normal embryos, Golli-Gfp axons en route to the thalamus entered the upper portion of the striatum, but not the lower portion (Fig. 3A). In E14.5 Tbr1−/− brains, Golli-Gfp axons exhibited premature overgrowth, ectopically invading the lower portion of the striatum and the primitive internal capsule. At P0, numerous Golli-Gfp axons reached the dorsal thalamus in Tbr1+/+ (Fig. 3B, solid arrowhead). In the P0 Tbr1−/− brains, none of the Golli-Gfp axons entered the dorsal thalamus, but many were aberrantly directed toward other subcortical targets, including the hypothalamus (Fig. 3B, arrow) and the midbrain. Similarly, exuberant Fezf2-Gfp axons in E16.5 and P0 Tbr1−/− brains (Fig. 3C, open arrowheads and Fig. S7), ectopically innervated the cerebral peduncles (CPs; Fig. 3D, broken line) and brainstem, indicating that the prematurely outgrown and misguided L6 axons ectopically expressed high levels of Fezf2-Gfp.

Normal L6 projection neurons project axons only as far as the thalamus, never reaching the brainstem (8). Our data are consistent with the intriguing possibility that L6 neurons in the Tbr1−/− aberrantly project axons to the brainstem due to increased Fezf2 expression. Although the Golli-Gfp transgene is a useful marker of L6 neurons, a small number of neurons near the L5/L6 border of the cingulate cortex express Golli-Gfp and project axons to the brainstem (15). Therefore, the presence of exuberant Golli-Gfp axons in the Tbr1−/− brainstem does not unequivocally indicate that the axons originated from L6 neurons. Thus, we performed retrograde axonal tracing by injecting rhodamine-conjugated latex microspheres (LMS) into the pons of Tbr1+/+ and Tbr1−/− newborn mice. Analysis was carried out after 18–24 h of survival to allow for transport of the tracer, a time frame that is limited by the perinatal lethality of the Tbr1−/− (22). In addition to the highly stringent criteria described above for identifying L6 neurons using ZFPM2 immunostaining and exclusion of E12.5–E14.5 CldU incorporation, we further narrowed our definition using Golli-Gfp, which is mostly restricted to L6 neurons in the somatosensory cortical areas that we analyzed.

In the Tbr1+/+, projection neurons labeled retrogradely from the pons were restricted to L5, and most incorporated CldU and expressed neither Golli-Gfp nor ZFPM2 (Fig. 4B, open arrowheads). A limited number of labeled neurons were positive for Golli-Gfp or lacked CldU, but none expressed ZFPM2. Most importantly, as defined by the highly conservative triple criteria (Golli-Gfp+, ZFPM2+, and CldU−), no L6 neurons were labeled by the retrograde tracer in any of the traced Tbr1+/+ brains (n = 8). In contrast, defined by the same stringent criteria, a considerable number of L6 neurons in the Tbr1−/− somatosensory cortex were clearly labeled by the retrograde tracer, which encircled neuronal nuclei and entered apical dendrites (Fig. 4C, solid arrowheads; n = 4 brains). Similar to reported retrograde tracing from the CPs of the Tbr1−/− (18), retrograde tracing from the pons was absent from the most medial cortical areas. Thus, the somatosensory cortex was consistently used for quantification, which revealed a significant increase in the number of labeled L6 neurons in the Tbr1−/− for each of the three criteria used (Golli-Gfp+, P = 0.00009; ZFPM2+, P = 0.000007; CldU−, P = 0.000049) (Fig. 4D). Notably, the numbers of retrogradely labeled Golli-Gfp+ and LMS− neurons were unaltered in the Tbr1−/− somato-
sensory cortex (Fig. 4E), suggesting that L5 projections to the pons were mostly normal. We also used nuclear size to confirm the identity of the CS neurons projecting to the brainstem and spinal cord. Normal L5 CS neurons (LMS−, ZFPM2−, and Golli-Gfp+) have larger nuclei compared with L6 corticothalamic neurons (LMS−, ZFPM2−, and Golli-Gfp+; P = 2.25E-17) (Fig. 4F). Analysis of retrogradely traced L6 neurons (LMS+*, ZFPM2+, and Golli-Gfp+) in the Tbr1+/− revealed a nuclear diameter indistinguishable from that of normal L6 corticothalamic neurons (P = 0.168), but significantly smaller than that of L5 CS neurons (P = 2.11E-13). These data indicate that in the Tbr1+/− neocortex, L6 neurons, as stringently defined by marker expression, birth-dating, and nuclear size, project axons ectopically to the brainstem instead of to the thalamus and express high levels of Fezf2, key features of normal L5 neurons.

**Ectopic Tbr1 Expression in L5 Neurons Effectively Abolishes Fezf2 Expression and CS Tract Formation.** Tbr1 is normally excluded from L5 Fezf2-expressing CS neurons during embryonic and postnatal development (Fig. S3A and B). To investigate whether forced misexpression of Tbr1 in L5 neurons is able to down-regulate Fezf2 and suppress CS projections, we performed in utero electroporation at E13.5 to transfect L5 neurons with CAG-Tbr1 or control empty CAG plasmid DNA, together with CAG-Gfp to label neurons generated by electroporated progenitor cells. To analyze Fezf2 expression in transfected cells, we carried out these experiments in Fezf2-Gfp transgenic mice. The vast majority of L5 RFP+ neurons electroporated with empty CAG plasmid expressed high levels of Fezf2-Gfp (85.3% ± 4.3%) (Fig. 5 A and B). Remarkably, when Tbr1 was misexpressed, the number of L5 RFP+ neurons expressing high levels of Fezf2-Gfp decreased dramatically, to 10.8% ± 4.2% (P = 0.000003) (Fig. 5 A and B). This indicates that Tbr1 misexpression in L5 neurons is sufficient to suppress Fezf2 expression.

To investigate whether this repressed Fezf2 expression functionally affects the axonal projections of L5 CS neurons, we performed in utero electroporation to transfect L5 neurons with CAG-Tbr1 or empty CAG plasmid DNA, together with CAG-Gfp, because the higher solubility of GFP better facilitates the analysis of long-distance CS axons. At E13.5, neurons electroporated with empty CAG plasmid projected corticocortical axons into the corpus callosum (Fig. 5D) and projected numerous CS axons into the ventral pons and medullary pyramids (Fig. 5 E and F). In contrast, GFP+ axons originating from L5 neurons misexpressing Tbr1 were almost completely absent from the pons and pyramids. This disruption of axons was specific to the CS tract, however. Tbr1-misexpressing neurons projected abundant GFP+ axons into the corpus callosum (Fig. 5D). Together, these findings demonstrate that the misexpression of Tbr1 is sufficient to suppress Fezf2 expression in L5 neurons and effectively abolish formation of the CS tract.

**Induction of Ectopic L6 CS Axons in the Tbr1 Null Mutants Is Dependent on Fezf2.** To examine whether the induction of ectopic axons originating from L6 neurons in the Tbr1+/− cortex depends on up-regulation of Fezf2, we engineered a conditional Fezf2 allele (Fig. S8 A and B) for the generation of a cortex-specific Tbr1; Fezf2 double-null mutant. Fezf2fl/fl mice were crossed with Emx1-Cre PAC transgenic mice (23) to specifically inactivate Fezf2 in the cortex (Fig. S8 A and B). To confirm that our cortex-specific conditional Fezf2 null mutant was phenotypically similar to germ-line Fezf2+/− mice (12, 14), we crossed Emx1-Cre PAC;
lator of the CS tract that restricts the tract's laminar origin specifically to L5.

Our data confirm and extend those of previous studies showing the role of Tbr1 in the formation of subcortical projections (17–18). We show here that TBR1 directly represses Fezf2 and TBR1 overexpression in L5 neurons abolishes CS projections. However, given that L5 CS neurons normally extend collateral axon branches to the dorsal thalamus (25), exogenous expression of TBR1 in these neurons cannot be used to address whether TBR1 is sufficient to confer a corticothalamic projection fate. Notably, the upper-layer neurons, which do not project to the thalamus, normally express TBR1 starting at an early postnatal age. Therefore, it is likely that TBR1, although necessary for normal corticothalamic projections (17, 18), is not sufficient to induce this projection fate.

Using the Fezf2-Gfp transgene to reveal the global pattern of corticofugal connections, we found that at P0, the Tbr1−/− CS tract is largely of normal size compared with controls (Fig. S7). The additional axons projecting to the brainstem from L6 neurons might be expected to increase the size of this tract in the Tbr1−/−; however, this increase is likely offset by the role of Tbr1 in areal patterning (17, 18), in which it is required by neurons in the medial cortex to project subcortical axons. Taken together, these findings indicate that TBR1 is a critical determinant of multiple aspects of cortical development, including neuronal migration, laminar and areal identity, and axonal projection.

The dynamic pattern of Fezf2 exemplifies how precise temporal and spatial regulation of gene expression has functional consequences in neocortical development and axonal connections. Furthermore, the exclusively postmitotic expression pattern of Tbr1 (17, 18) indicates that layer-specific molecular and projectional identities are not firmly established during neurogenesis, but undergo postmitotic refinement to establish their mature patterns. This fine-tuning of neuronal identity and connectivity provides a mechanism by which the precise configuration of neural circuits can be modified after neurons integrate into the cortex.

TBR1 is not the only molecule that postmitotically controls the dynamic pattern of Fezf2 expression. Another transcription factor, SOX5, contributes to this process as well (15, 16). In contrast to the Tbr1 null mutant, in the Sox5 null mutants projections to the brainstem are nearly completely abolished (15), indicating that Sox5 is independently required for the formation of CS tract. Moreover, Sox5 is normally expressed in Fezf2-expressing L5 CS neurons (15). These results and our analysis of TBR1 binding sites by ChIP-Seq and ChIP-qRT-PCR are consistent with the hypothesis that TBR1 regulation of Fezf2 transcription is direct rather than secondary to changes in Sox5 levels, as was previously suggested. Collectively, these data indicate that both SOX5 and TBR1 are required in the regulation of Fezf2 expression. Whether the two transcription factors physically interact or cooperate in alternative ways in this role remain unknown, however.

All projections to the brainstem, including the CS system, originate from L5 neurons in all mammalian species examined (4–6). The high degree of conservation indicates that this specific pattern of connectivity is likely critical to the survival and evolutionary success of mammals. It also suggests that the regulatory pathways that establish this pattern are well conserved. Consistent with this, the prenatal neocortical expression patterns of Fezf2, Tbr1, and Sox5 are similar in different mammals, including humans (15, 26, 27). Therefore, TBR1-mediated down-regulation of Fezf2 in L6 is most likely a conserved mechanism for restricting the laminar origin of the CS tract to L5.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All experiments were carried out in accordance with a protocol approved by Yale University’s Committee on Animal Research. Tbr1 mice were a generous gift from John Rubenstein (22). Fezf2-Gfp mice were obtained from GENSAT (28). Golli-Gfp, Emx1-Cre, PAC, and CAG-Cat-Gfp
mice were generous gifts from Anthony Campagnoni (21), Takuji Iwamoto (23) and Melissa Colbert (24), respectively.

Further experimental details can be found in SI Materials and Methods.

Note Added in Proof. After the approval of this paper for publication, similar findings by McKenna et al. (29) showed that Tbr1 and Fezf2 regulate the alternate corticofugal identities of projection neurons.
SI Materials and Methods

**Immunostaining.** Brains dissected from embryonic and neonatal animals were fixed by immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C. Adult brains were fixed by perfusion, dissected, and post-fixed by immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C. Brains were vibratome-sectioned at 70 μm (Leica VT1000S). The sections were blocked and immunostained. The following primary antibodies were used at the indicated dilution: anti-CiD(U) (rat, 1:100; Accurate Chemical), anti-AFP (chicken, 1:3,000; Abcam), anti-SOX5 (rabbit, 1:100; Genway), anti-TBR1 (rabbit, 1:250; Abcam and Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-ZFPM2 (rabbit, 1:250; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-RFP (rabbit, 1:1,000; US Biological), anti-IdU (mouse, 1:200; BD Biosciences), anti-CUX1 (rabbit, 1:150; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-SATB2 (mouse, 1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-NF1B (rabbit, 1:300; Active Motif), and anti-BC11B (rat, 1:250; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

**Plasmid Constructs.** For TBR1 expression, full-length mouse Tbr1 cDNA (BC052737) was inserted into pCAGEN (1). For ChiP, a N-terminal V5 tag (GKPIPNPLLGLDST) was added to create the pCAG-V5-Tbr1 construct. Luciferase reporter plasmids were made by inserting annealed 57-bp-long complementary oligos representing the TBR1 binding site near Fezf2 into the Sail site of pGL3-CMV plasmid. pGL3-CMV was made by replacing the CMV promoter of pGL3-promoter plasmid (Promega) with a CMV promoter.

**Layer Distribution Analysis.** To quantify the distribution of neurons, the P0–P14 neocortex was divided radially into 10 equal-sized bins from the pia to the upper edge of the white matter. For E15.5 analyses, the pia to the upper edge of the intermediate zone was divided radially into six bins. The cells in each bin were quantified and reported as the percentage of total cells counted.

**Thymidine Analog Labeling.** Timed-pregnant mice were injected intraperitoneally with 40 mg/kg body weight of CiD(U). Brain sections were subjected to acidic antigen retrieval before blocking.

**Retrograde Axonal Tracing.** For in vivo retrograde tracing, rhodamine-conjugated LMS (Lumafour) were injected into the target region of neonatal or P3 live mice under ice anesthesia. The brains of injected neonatal mice were dissected after survival for 18–24 h and fixed. The injected P3 mice were fixed by perfusion at P7. The injection site of all injected brains was verified both externally and in sections through the injection site. Only brains that were clearly and specifically injected at the correct location were used. Quantitative analyses of retrogradely labeled neurons were consistently performed in the same area in the somatosensory cortex to allow direct comparisons of brains. Confocal images with an optical thickness of 2 μm were used for all quantifications. A square box encompassing the entire cortical plate from the upper edge of the white matter to the upper edge of the marginal zone was overlaid, and all neurons within the box were quantified.

**Luciferase Assays.** N2A cells were plated and transfected with firefly luciferase (pGL3-CMV) containing a TBR1 binding site as described previously (2). Transfected cells were lysed and assayed 24 h later using a Promega Luciferase Reporter Kit. qRT-PCR of pGL3-CMV was performed to normalize for transfection efficiency.

**mRNA-Seq and Data Analysis.** Total RNA was isolated from freshly dissected P0 neocortices of Tbr1−/− and Tbr1+/+ littermates using a Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit. Libraries were prepared using an Illumina mRNA-Seq Sample Prep Kit. Amplified cDNA was size-selected at 250 bp and validated using the Agilent Bioanalyzer DNA 1000 system. The final product was subjected to cluster generation using an Illumina Standard Cluster Generation Kit v4. Libraries were sequenced as single end 74mers using the Illumina Genome Analyzer pipeline, and image analysis (Firecrest module), base-calling (Bustard module), and primary sequence analysis (Gerald module) were performed. Reads were mapped to the mouse reference genome (release mm9) with ELAND software. Filtered reads that were uniquely mapped to exons of Ensembl gene model with up to two mismatches were used for the quantification of gene expression. To detect differentially expressed genes between Tbr1−/− and Tbr1+/+, we designed a three-step stringent filtering process. First, counts of mapped reads within genes were compared using Fisher’s exact test (adjusted P ≤ 0.05). Second, reads per kb of exon model per million reads (RPKM = 10^6 C/NL, where C is the number of mappable reads that fall onto the gene’s exons, N is the total number of mappable reads in the lane, and L is the sum of the exons in base pairs) were used to quantify gene expression, and the fold change was set as another filtering scale (log2(fold change) ≥ 0.5) (3). In our series of relative experiments, the genes were finally identified as differentially expressed unless they were shared by all groups.

**mRNA Sequencing Uniformity.** One gene with n exons was assigned as one vector, G = {R1,R2,...,Ri,...,Rn}, where Rj is the ratio of the jth exon expression (in RPKM) relative to the mean expression of n exons. The Rj values were sorted according to the order from 5′ to 3′. Isolons were combined to obtain the longest gene. Because different genes generally had different values of n, they were zoomed into the same length by uniformly filling some gaps in genes with fewer exons (e.g., G = {R1,GAP,R2,...,GAP,Ri,...,GAP,Rn}). The uniformity of the G vector from 5′ to 3′, quantified by mean and standard variation, directly reflected the degree of mRNA sequencing uniformity.

**ChiP-Seq and Data Analysis. Cell Preparation.** N2A cells were transfected with pCAG-V5-Tbr1 plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). At 48 h after transfection, cells were harvested using a silicon scraper, transferred to a 50-mL conical tube, crosslinked by adding 1/10 volume of crosslinking solution for 15 min, and quenched by adding 1/10 volume of a 1.25 M glycine solution. Crosslinked cells were washed, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C. ChiP. ChiP was performed following a protocol modified from Lee et al. (4). Crosslinked cells were thawed on ice and lysed. Lysates were sonicated (with a Misonix 4000) to shear the chromatin to 200–500 bp for ChiP-Seq. For each ChiP reaction, 1000 μg of sheared chromatin was incubated overnight at 4 °C with Dynal Protein A/G beads bound to anti-V5 antibody (rabbit, 1:10; Abcam) to precipitate V5-TBR1. Dynabeads were washed extensively and then eluted at 65 °C for 15 min with occasional vortexing. Eluted protein–chromatin complexes were reverse-crosslinked by overnight incubation at 65 °C. ChiPed and input DNA were treated with RnaseA and proteinase K and then purified.

**Library Preparation and Sequencing.** An Illumina ChiP-Seq library preparation kit was used in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions with modifications. DNA fragments were end-n
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Determination of TBR1 Consensus Binding Sequence. All 36 bp reads from
V5 antibody ChIP were aligned to the mouse reference genome (NCBI build 37, mm9) using the bowtie program. Only
uniquely aligned reads were used for subsequent analysis. The numbers of reads that fell into each 50 bp shift window were calculated across whole chromosomes. A single bedGraph data file was created for each reaction and uploaded to the UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/).

Data Analysis. All 36 bp reads were aligned to the mouse reference genome (NCBI build 37, mm9) using the bowtie program. Only uniquely aligned reads were used for subsequent analysis. The numbers of reads that fell into each 50 bp shift window were calculated across whole chromosomes. A single bedGraph data file was created for each reaction and uploaded to the UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/).

Determination of TBR1 Consensus Binding Sequence. All 36 bp reads from V5 antibody ChIP were aligned to the mouse genome mm9 as described above. All reads for input were aligned as well. Then a sliding-window approach, with a window size of 200 bp and a shift step of 50 bp, was used to detect enriched genome regions. Windows enriched in the V5 experiment by 20-fold greater than expected were selected. Overlapping enriched windows were merged into one enriched region. To minimize background signals, regions enriched in the control experiment by fivefold greater than expected were removed from the enriched list. A proximal gene with minimum distance was selected for each enriched region. Genomic information of all genes was downloaded from the UCSC Genome Browser.

To specifically select for sites to which TRB1 binding led to changes in gene expression, only genes that were proximal to enriched regions were chosen for determination of the consensus binding sequence. Only genes with differential expression between Tbr1+/+ and Tbr1−/− and also between Tbr1+/+ and Tbr1+/−/− were selected for the final list of candidate genes regulated by Tbr1. All enriched regions whose proximal genes were in the foregoing candidate list were selected. The PRIORITY program (http://www.cs.duke.edu/~amink/software/priority/) was used to search for consensus sequences, with the following parameters: a motif length of 20, a third-order background model, 50 trials, and 10,000 iterations per trial. For the TBR1 binding site, the multispecies conservation analysis was performed using the 30-way Multz Alignment and Conservation track in the UCSC Genome Browser.

Fig. S1. SOX5 protein levels were largely unaltered in the late embryonic and neonatal Tbr1−−/− neocortex. (A and B) Immunofluorescent staining for SOX5 at E16.5 revealed intense nuclei staining in the cortical plate of Tbr1−−/−, comparable to that seen in Tbr1++/+ embryos. (C) Neocortical lysates were collected from Tbr1−−/− and Tbr1++/+ P0 littermates. Western blot analysis revealed that SOX5 protein levels were not significantly altered in Tbr1−−/− at P0. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (Scale bars: 100 μm.)

Fig. S2. Direct TBR1 binding of a novel 10-bp consensus binding site near Fezf2 was defined by global analysis of ChIP-Seq reads. (A) A computational screen for an enriched consensus motif with nucleotide bias using Weblogo 3. This novel TBR1 binding sequence motif is similar to the reported TBR1 binding site in Xenopus determined using random oligonucleotides (6). (B) A region 4.5 kb downstream of the Fezf2 TSS with significant enrichment of V5-TBR1 ChIP-Seq reads over input DNA. This region contains a consensus TBR1 binding sequence motif (red). (C) Sequence alignment of the TBR1 binding motif (red box) across 21 mammalian species demonstrating high conservation.
Fig. S3. TBR1 was expressed in a pattern highly complementary to Fezf2 and was excluded from CS neurons in early postnatal neocortex. (A) Neocortices of Fezf2-Gfp (green) transgenic mice were immunostained for TBR1 (red). At E15.5, before the onset of postmigratory down-regulation of Fezf2 in L6, 63.5% of TBR1+ L6 neurons coexpressed high levels of Fezf2-Gfp (yellow). At P0, Fezf2-Gfp was highly expressed in L5 neurons but was down-regulated in L6 and SP neurons, which expressed TBR1 abundantly. Only 7.7% of TBR1+ neurons coexpressed Fezf2-Gfp at this stage. This almost mutually exclusive pattern of gene expression in L5 and L6 was maintained into the early postnatal stages. Starting at P7, TBR1 was also expressed at low levels in L2–L4, in which Fezf-Gfp was completely absent. (B) The retrograde tracer LMS (red) was injected into the cervical spinal cord of live mice at P3, and the mice were killed at P7. All retrogradely traced CS neurons were positioned in L5b; none expressed detectable TBR1 (green). This absolute exclusion of TBR1 from early postnatal CS neurons and its highly complementary expression with Fezf2 suggest that TBR1 is a compelling candidate for putatively restricting high Fezf2 expression to L5 neurons. (Scale bars: 100 μm.)

Fig. S4. Thymidine analog birth-dating confirmed migration defects and revealed an ectopic band of cells containing early-born neurons in Tbr1−/− neocortex (Nctx). (A and B) IdU was injected into timed-pregnant females at E11.5, when SP and L6 neurons are generated. (A) At P0, neurons born at E11.5 were positioned in the SP and the lower portion of L6 in the Tbr1+/+. (B) In P0 Tbr1−/−, neurons born at E11.5 were positioned primarily in a thin ectopic band of cells (red brackets) located in the middle of the cortical plate, indicating defects in neuronal migration and preplate segregation. In addition to this band of cells, a considerable proportion of early-born neurons were dispersed to all cortical layers. (C and D) CldU was injected into timed-pregnant females at E15.5, when upper layer neurons are born. In P0 Tbr1−/−, these late-born neurons migrated to L2–L3 (C). In P0 Tbr1−/−, most of these late-born neurons were ectopically positioned at deep levels of the neocortex (D). Although their distribution was more dispersed, a small proportion of E15.5-labeled neurons migrated to L2. (Scale bar: 500 μm.)
Fig. S5. **ZFPM2** (also known as FOG2) is a highly specific marker of corticothalamic projection neurons. Neurons of distinct projectional subclasses in P7 WT neocortex were retrogradely labeled using the tracer LMS (red). LMS was injected into the contralateral neocortex, ipsilateral dorsal thalamus, or cervical spinal cord at P3 to label corticocortical, corticothalamic, or CS neurons, respectively. Coronal sections from traced brains were immunostained for ZFPM2 (green). None of the retrogradely labeled corticocortical or CS neurons was positive for ZFPM2 (A and C). In contrast, virtually all neurons labeled by LMS injected into the dorsal thalamus were ZFPM2+ (B, solid arrowheads), indicating that ZFPM2 is a highly specific marker of corticothalamic projection neurons. (Scale bar: 50 μm.)

Fig. S6. Expression of layer-specific markers in Tbr1−/− neocortex. P0 neocortices were immunostained with the indicated primary antibodies. In Tbr1−/− neocortex, most deep-layer neurons were positioned within clusters, as described previously (7). The molecular markers of L6 neurons were differentially affected. Expression of the L6 markers FOXP2 and NFIB was diminished, whereas that of ZFPM2 was normal. Expression of the L5 marker BCL11B was slightly increased. Most upper-layer neurons of the Tbr1−/− neocortex (denoted by CUX1 and SATB2) did not migrate to their normal destination; instead, they were dispersed, mostly located in the deeper portion of the neocortex and largely absent from the clusters containing L5 and L6 neurons. The expression levels of CUX1 and SATB2 were not diminished, however. (Scale bar: 50 μm.)
Fig. S7. Specific defects in Tbr1+/− subcortical axon tracts. P0 coronal (A) and sagittal (B and C) sections of Tbr1+/− and Tbr1+/+ littermates transgenics for Fezf2-GFP. (A–D) In the Tbr1+/+ brain, Fezf2-GFP+ axons abundantly innervated the thalamus (solid arrowheads). In the Tbr1+/− brain, no Fezf2-GFP+ axons innervated the dorsal thalamus, indicating complete loss of the corticothalamic tract. Ectopic subcortical axons innervated the basal forebrain (arrows), and the stereotypical organization of the internal capsule was lost. (E and F) The CS tract of the Tbr1+/− (open arrowheads) was similar to that of the Tbr1+/+ (solid arrowheads). Some of the Tbr1+/− CS axons were less tightly bundled and followed a more dorsal trajectory through the ventral pons. (G and H) The thickness of the CS tract was unaffected in Tbr1+/−, indicating that the number of CS axons was not significantly altered. (Scale bars: 500 μm.)

Fig. S8. Cortex-specific conditional deletion of Fezf2 phenocopies germ-line Fezf2 null mutation. (A) Schematic of the targeting strategy used for generating a floxed Fezf2 allele. Cortex-specific deletion of Fezf2 was achieved using the Emx1-Cre transgene. (B) Correct insertion of loxP sites and presence of the Emx1-Cre transgene were confirmed by PCR of genomic DNA extracted from tail biopsy specimens. CRE-mediated recombination exon 2 in the cortex was confirmed by PCR of DNA extracted from neocortices of Fezf2lox/lox;Emx1-Cre mice. (C–E) To examine the panneocortical pattern of axonal connectivity, Fezf2lox/lox;Emx1-Cre mice were further crossed with CAG-Cat-Gfp transgenic mice. CRE-mediated recombination occurred in all neocortical projection neurons and activated GFP expression from the CAG-Cat-Gfp transgene (C). Analysis of GFP-filled axons of cortical projection neurons revealed that the CS tract was completely absent (D and E), phenocopying germ-line knockouts of Fezf2 (B, 9). (Scale bars: 200 μm.)
Fig. S9. Schematic summary of Tbr1<sup>−/−</sup> defects in regulation of Fezf2 transcription (A) and the laminar origin of distinct subcortical projection subtypes (B). In L6 neurons of the Tbr1<sup>+/+</sup> neocortex, TBR1 binds to an evolutionarily conserved consensus motif near the Fezf2 locus and represses the expression of Fezf2. In Tbr1<sup>−/−</sup> neocortices, L6 neurons aberrantly turn on high Fezf2 expression. Concomitant with increased Fezf2 expression, Tbr1<sup>−/−</sup> L6 neurons project ectopically to the hypothalamus (Hyp) and brainstem.