

The relationship among E/I imbalance and face processing in ASD Termara Parker, Adam Naples, Katarzyna Chawarska, Geraldine Dawson, Raphael Bernier, Shafali Jeste, Charles Nelson, James Dziura, Cynthia Brandt, Sara Jane Webb, Catherine Sugar, Michael Murias, Frederick Shic & James McPartland

Introduction

- A promising neural marker associated with impaired face processing for individuals with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is the N170 event-related potential (ERP). Individuals with ASD exhibit longer N170 latencies to faces compared to typically developing (TD) individuals (McPartland *et al.*, 2004).
- In addition to face processing, cortical excitation/inhibition (E/I) imbalances contribute to behavioral symptoms in ASD (Masuda et al., 2019).
- Electroencephalographic (EEG) studies of E/I imbalance reveal
- Increased spontaneous gamma oscillations (30-50 Hz) at rest in ASD (Cornew et *al.,* 2012; Orekhova *et al.,* 2007)
- Attenuation of P100 amplitude in visual evoked potential (VEP) tasks (Siper et al., 2016).
- Very few EEG studies have examined the relationship between E/I imbalance and neural indices of face processing in children with ASD.

Central Questions

Is temporal processing of upright faces delayed in individuals with ASD? Do neural indices of E/I imbalance associate with abnormal face processing?

Behavioral Methods

Cognitive assessments were conducted, and final diagnosis was determined by licensed clinical psychologists.

Standard Psychometric Measures of Social and Cognitive Functioning

- Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, 2nd Edition (ADOS-II)
- Differential Ability Scales, 2nd Edition (DAS-II)
- A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment (NEPSY-II)

Exclusion Criteria

Children with sensory or motor impairments, epilepsy, and genetic or neurological conditions

Participant Demographics

Clinical Diagnosis	Ν	Sex (M,F)	Age (SD)	IQ (<i>SD</i>)	NEP Reco Scal
TD	54	35, 19	8.53 (1.74)	116.30 (<i>13.44</i>)	11 (4
ASD	106	85, 21	8.94 (<i>1.60</i>)	101.14 (<i>17.53</i>)	8 (4)

Figure 1. Clinical Criteria. Groups were matched on age (*p*>0.05) but differed significantly on Full-scale IQ and NEPSY-II Affect Recognition scaled scores (p < 0.01).

McPartland Lab, Yale Child Study Center, New Haven, CT

EEG Methods

Acquisition: EEG was recorded at 1000 Hz with a 128-channel HydroCel Geodesic Sensor Net

Experimental Paradigm: Resting State

Objective: Assess brain activity at rest (eye open) how activity relates to abnormalities of cortical E/I imbalance

- **Design:** 6 x 30 sec videos of non-social dynamic abstract images
- Inclusion criteria: > 20 seconds of attended and artifact free EEG segments

Primary dependent variable: Slope of the power spectrum





Figure 2. Montage for spectral analysis.

Experimental Paradigm: VEP

Objective: Explore possible consequences of an E/I imbalance on the visual system in ASD

- **Design:** Black and white checkerboards reverse phase every 500ms for a total of 100 trials
- **Inclusion criteria:** > 20 artifact-free trials
- **Primary dependent variable**: P1 peak amplitude





Figure 4. Stimuli used for VEP paradigm.

Experimental Paradigm: ABC-CT Faces

Objective: Examine neural processing of faces **Design:** 216 total trials of static images of upright

- faces, inverted faces, and houses
- Inclusion criteria: > 20 artifact-free trials
- **Primary dependent variable**: N170 latency for upright faces



Figure 7. Selection of electrodes for N170 analysis.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (U19 MH108206, McPartland) and the National Graduate Research Fellowship Program. Special thanks to the children and families that contributed to this work.

SY-II: Affect ognition ed Score (SD)



Figure 3. Abstract stimuli used for resting state recording.



Figure 5. Montage for spectral analysis.

Figure 6. Stimuli used for ABC-CT Faces.





Figure 8. Slower N170 latency for upright faces in individuals with ASD [ASD: 207.96 ± 15.08 ms; TD: 197.41 ± 14.89 ms, *p*=0.037].



Figure 10. Resting-state gamma and VEP P100 amplitude was not associated with different N170 latencies for upright faces (p>0.05).

- compared to TD participants.
- recognition.
- N170.

Cornew et al. (2012). Resting-state oscillatory activity in autism spectrum disorders. J. Autism Dev. Disord. 42, 1884-1894. Masuda et al. (2019). Motor cortex excitability and inhibitory imbalance in autism spectrum disorder assessed with the transcranial magnetic stimulation: a systematic review. *Translational Psychiatry*, 9, 110. McPartland et al. (2004). Event-related brain potentials reveal anomalies in temporal processing of faces in autism spectrum disorder. Journal of Child Psychological Psychiatry, 45, 7, 1235-45. Orekhova, et al. (2007). Excess of high frequency electroencephalogram oscillations in boys with autism. Biol. *Psychiatry* 62, 1022–1029.

Evoked Potentials. *PLoS One*,11.



Results



Figure 9. Resting-state gamma power was correlated with VEP P100 amplitude (*r*(160)=.216, *p*=0.006) and negatively correlated with NEPSY-II: Affect Recognition Scaled Score (r(160) = -.157, p=0.048).

Neural Indices of E/I Mechanisms vs. N170

Conclusions

• Confirming previous research, individuals with ASD exhibited longer N170 latencies for upright faces

• Visually-evoked P100 amplitude was related to spontaneous gamma-power, suggesting that VEPs and gamma oscillations may be generated by similar neuronal pools.

• Additionally, spontaneous gamma power was found to predict a behavioral measure of facial

Contrary to our hypothesis, there was an absence of relationship between E/I measures and the

References

Siper et al. (2016). Rapid and Objective Assessment of Neural Function in Autism Spectrum Disorder Using Transient Visual