WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:58:17" NOTE recognizability:0.876

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.7208154

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:00.600$ Spring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00{:}00{:}04.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.810$ We have a few announcements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

00:00:05.810 --> 00:00:08.590 upcoming grand rounds next week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00:00:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.356$ March 30th is one of our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

00:00:11.360 --> 00:00:13.865 A special lecturers named lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00:00:13.865 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.040$ and part of our Centennial series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

00:00:17.040 --> 00:00:18.666 the C DAF Cook Lectureship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00:00:18.666 \dashrightarrow 00:00:21.078$ Those of you who don't remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

00:00:21.078 --> 00:00:23.685 that Cook was a former chair of

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00{:}00{:}23.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.680$ the Department of Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00:00:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.712$ And Kinari Webb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

00:00:27.712 --> 00:00:29.432 who's in family medicine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

00:00:29.432 --> 00:00:31.360 founder of health and harmony,

 $00:00:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.480$ will be speaking on healing

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00:00:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.600$ the planet by recognizing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00{:}00{:}35.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.359$ fundamental sickness of colonialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202 00:00:38.360 --> 00:00:39.760 Uh. NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00:00:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.770$ Then on April 6th we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00:00:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.260$ to be having care rounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00:00:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.986$ which are conversations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00{:}00{:}45.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.434$ reflections experiences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00{:}00{:}48.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.164$ Children's Hospital and look forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.921104202

 $00:00:51.164 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.399$ to that interactive grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

 $00{:}00{:}56.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.180$ Another announcement coming up on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

 $00:00:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.690$ this Monday or monthly pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

 $00:01:01.772 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.257$ educational learning community

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

 $00:01:03.257 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.227$ series will be led by Galloping

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

00:01:06.227 --> 00:01:08.550 Me Soma and Gunjan Tiagra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

 $00:01:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.794$ both from the section of emergency

00:01:10.794 --> 00:01:12.290 medicine on introduction to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

 $00{:}01{:}12.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.100$ qualitative research methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

 $00:01:14.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.808$ exploring factors that influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

 $00:01:15.808 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.943$ the choice of academic pediatricians

NOTE Confidence: 0.7674788

 $00:01:17.943 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.099$ by underrepresented minorities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

 $00:01:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.816$ And also Joe reminder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

 $00:01:26.816 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.661$ Another one of our major

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

 $00:01:28.661 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.270$ events for a Centennial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

00:01:30.270 --> 00:01:32.610 Is the Richard Ehrenkrantz Neonatology

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

 $00{:}01{:}32.610 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.950$ Symposium and this year's topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

 $00:01:35.022 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.227$ is ethical issues in neonatology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

00:01:37.230 --> 00:01:40.036 This will be taking place virtually Tuesday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

 $00{:}01{:}40.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.128$ April 5th from 1:00 to 5:30.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

 $00:01:44.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.905$ There will be a incredible

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

00:01:46.905 --> 00:01:49.522 lineup of speakers and talking

 $00:01:49.522 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.902$ about these important topics in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

 $00{:}01{:}51.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.350$ ethics related to neonatology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82551742

 $00:01:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.418$ so please join us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760267842

 $00:01:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.888$ There are no conflicts and no contact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760267842

 $00:02:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.025$ no disclosures that need to be made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766402963333333

 $00:02:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.668$ This is accredited for see me

NOTE Confidence: 0.766402963333333

00:02:08.670 --> 00:02:10.728 by the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766402963333333

 $00:02:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.122$ There's the number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766402963333333

 $00:02:12.122 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.906$ The text to the CME office

NOTE Confidence: 0.766402963333333

 $00:02:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.794$ and will also put this in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.766402963333333

 $00{:}02{:}17.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.670$ zoom chat at various intervals

NOTE Confidence: 0.766402963333333

 $00:02:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.070$ throughout the grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953836716

 $00:02:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.618$ And with that I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.953836716

 $00{:}02{:}27.618 --> 00{:}02{:}30.280$ turn it over to Mark Mercurio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953836716

 $00:02:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.740$ to introduce today's speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900185724285714

 $00:02:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.089$ Thank you Cliff.

 $00:02:39.089 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.727$ It's an honor to introduce my

NOTE Confidence: 0.900185724285714

 $00:02:40.727 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.387$ friend and colleague Jeff Grouin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900185724285714

00:02:42.390 --> 00:02:44.250 Today I'm gonna just share my

NOTE Confidence: 0.900185724285714

 $00:02:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.258$ screen for a moment if I could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:02:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.762$ Let's go with this and share and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:02:51.762 --> 00:02:54.156 are you looking at my slides now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:02:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.171$ So this this of course is doctor Jeff Broin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:02:57.171 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.444$ who is known to you all as a professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:02:59.444 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.140$ of Pediatrics and genetics here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.900$ He's certainly one of the pillars of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:03:04.900 --> 00:03:07.520 Yale and neonatology, Yale Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:03:07.520 --> 00:03:10.019 and Yale Science, but of course he

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}03{:}10.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.630$ didn't start out as such a big cheese.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:03:12.630 --> 00:03:15.843 He was got his BS and MD at Tulane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.234$ came to Yale where he did his residency

 $00:03:18.234 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.455$ and fellowship and Jeff and I traveled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:20.455 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.025$ this journey together ever since.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.962$ And so it's been my absolute pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}03{:}23.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.964$ to watch him rise through the ranks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.662$ So let's see if we can get this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:03:28.662 --> 00:03:31.068 to advance and apparently we can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.769$ Let's try that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:31.769 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.682$ So here we go back a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:33.682 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.243$ in time and we see this here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.402$ I don't know if you can see my cursor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}03{:}36.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.460$ We see the second from the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.870$ Standing up is a young Jeff grew in with his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}03{:}39.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.223$ dog with his wife Susan here and Michelle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.788$ I see everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:42.788 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.090$ We had a lot of babies were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:44.139 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.369$ born in Pediatrics that year.

00:03:45.370 --> 00:03:47.232 This, I think would be the spring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}03{:}47.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.498$ of 85 in my backyard in Hamden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:03:49.500 --> 00:03:51.204 This is a young Luciano Pavarotti

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:51.204 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.070$ you see over here at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}03{:}53.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.007$ And this is and and again, Michelle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:03:56.007 --> 00:03:58.226 Jeff's daughter was not in this picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:03:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.456$ but I've had the pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:04:00.456 --> 00:04:01.940 of watching Doctor Gruen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:04:01.940 --> 00:04:03.984 Just rise through the ranks over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:03.984 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.849$ years and grow gradually to be the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}04{:}06.849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.867$ fellow that you all know and love today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}04{:}09.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.970$ He became a professor of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:11.970 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.110$ Excuse me of Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.126$ In Unix in 2010 he's also an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:16.126 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.282$ honorary professor at Shenzhen

 $00:04:18.282 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.209$ Tong University in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}04{:}20.210 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.310$ He's internationally recognized as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.410$ scholar and investigator with collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:24.464 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.666$ around the collaborators around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:04:26.670 --> 00:04:28.710 He's very, very well published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.018$ He's been very well funded for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:30.018 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.223$ a number of years, many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:04:31.223 --> 00:04:32.888 many years, and at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}04{:}32.890 \to 00{:}04{:}35.620$ he's really a valued mentor and advisor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:04:35.620 --> 00:04:38.249 to medical students to graduate students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}04{:}38.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.210$ to, to our trainees, to our junior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:04:40.210 --> 00:04:41.710 Faculty and, and frankly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.725$ to at least one very grateful Chief for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:04:44.725 --> 00:04:47.501 whom he's been a valued advisor for many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.118$ many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}04{:}48.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.550$ So it's been my pleasure to watch Jeff

 $00:04:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.370$ really become such an incredibly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}04{:}53.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.798$ highly respected scholar and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:54.798 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.226$ investigator that he is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:04:56.230 --> 00:04:56.818 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:56.818 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.288$ he studies genetic variants that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:04:58.288 --> 00:04:59.790 underlie common learning disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:04:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.316$ and you're going to hear a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:01.316 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.970$ about that today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.675$ And as such,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:02.675 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.320$ the talk should really be of interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}05{:}04.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.826$ to any body who's interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:05.826 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.281$ genetics or studies genetics or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:07.337 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.884$ who wants to learn more about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.014$ or any body who's who works with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:11.014 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.768$ kids with learning disabilities or

 $00:05:12.768 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.406$ wants to learn more about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}05{:}14.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.916$ But there's the lecture will also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:15.916 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.735$ be of interest to anybody who wants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:17.735 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.000$ to be a better teacher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.800$ because as most of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.500$ and many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:05:21.500 --> 00:05:23.838 and you're all about to find out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

00:05:23.840 --> 00:05:25.640 our friend Jeff can teach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00{:}05{:}25.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.270$ He can take difficult subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568894

 $00:05:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.900$ and make them accessible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00{:}05{:}28.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.549$ to the point where even a bioethicist can

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00{:}05{:}31.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.950$ understand he's an extremely gifted teacher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:05:33.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.120$ and he's also, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:05:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.644$ a very gifted neonatologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:05:36.644 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.930$ He is the triple threat that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00{:}05{:}38.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.068$ people talk about on academics,

 $00:05:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.380$ and it's really been my

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:05:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.428$ pleasure and honor to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:05:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.086$ Have this journey with Jeff for

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:05:45.086 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.190$ the last many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

00:05:46.190 --> 00:05:47.177 As you can see from that picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

00:05:47.180 --> 00:05:49.084 you know I think Cliff is still celebrating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

00:05:49.090 --> 00:05:51.250 We're all still celebrating 100 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:05:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.806$ of Yale Pediatrics and so and so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00{:}05{:}53.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.780$ wanted a couple of guys today who

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

00:05:55.780 --> 00:05:58.090 actually were here 100 years ago at

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:05:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.170$ Yale Pediatrics and so here we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:06:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.430$ It's an absolute pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842

 $00:06:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.690$ to introduce my friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863640104736842 00:06:03.690 --> 00:06:04.360 Jeff Gruen. NOTE Confidence: 0.811512863333333

00:06:14.170 --> 00:06:17.994 You know if you ever want an emotional

00:06:17.994 --> 00:06:20.032 experience, be introduced by somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.811512863333333

00:06:20.032 --> 00:06:21.972 who's been really your friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811512863333333

 $00:06:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.970$ not just a colleague for 35 years, it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811512863333333

00:06:25.970 --> 00:06:27.475 You can really get choked up about

NOTE Confidence: 0.811512863333333

 $00:06:27.475 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.760$ this stuff. Thank you for doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811512863333333

00:06:28.760 --> 00:06:30.144 Right before I have to give a talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811512863333333

 $00:06:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.080$ I really appreciate that Mark. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8968122175

 $00:06:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.740$ Glad to help, Jeff, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:06:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.385$ So I'm going to talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:06:40.385 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.662$ bit about our new program for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9406177933333333

 $00{:}06{:}42.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.988$ learning disabilities research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:06:43.988 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.640$ I'm going to basically answer the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:06:46.705 --> 00:06:49.010 five basic questions, why, what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9406177933333333

 $00:06:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.890$ how, where and who very simply.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:06:51.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.175$ Why is early identification of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:06:54.175 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.546$ learning disabilities important?

 $00:06:55.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.447$ What is the scope of the problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:06:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.600$ How will early identification disrupt and

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.430$ change the current educational paradigm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.374$ Where can we make a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:05.374 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.670$ and who are we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.238$ So let's get started and with all our

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:10.238 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.310$ research we start in the classroom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}07{:}12.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.166$ And that's because worldwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:07:14.166 --> 00:07:16.418 about 15% of students everywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}07{:}16.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.206$ struggle with learning disabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:07:18.210 --> 00:07:19.694 These include things you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:19.694 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.920$ heard of and things you haven't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:07:21.920 --> 00:07:23.704 Most common is dyslexia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:07:23.704 --> 00:07:25.934 also known as reading disability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:07:25.940 --> 00:07:27.293 specific language impairment,

 $00:07:27.293 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.999$ which is delayed onset of speech,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}07{:}30.000 --> 00{:}07{:}31.341 \ {\rm verbal \ trait \ disorder},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:31.341 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.576$ which is impairment of articulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333 00:07:33.580 --> 00:07:34.174 dyscalculia, NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:34.174 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.738$ a math disability and attention disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.078$ is better known to most folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.600$ Is ADHD and ADD dot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:07:41.600 --> 00:07:42.935 But what I'm talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}07{:}42.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.908$ today and every and all the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:07:44.908 --> 00:07:46.358 that I'll be talking studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.433$ I'll be talking about today are students

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:49.433 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.249$ in regular classes at regular schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.058$ And with normal or above normal intelligence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:07:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.960$ For most children, a durable

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:07:57.960 --> 00:08:00.660 intervention can make a huge difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}08{:}00.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.305$ and I'm going to show you that

 $00:08:02.305 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.078$ data in a in a few minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}08{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.383$ but in the mean time there are three

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:06.383 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.600$ critical things to know about reading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.258$ The first is that dyslexia or

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:11.258 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.650$ reading disability is really common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.149$ so if I take the 2000 fourth

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:16.149 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.119$ graders here in New Haven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.152$ and I take them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:19.152 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.442$ I give them any standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}08{:}20.442 \to 00{:}08{:}21.879$ reading performance assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:08:21.880 --> 00:08:24.405 Let's say comprehension or single

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:24.405 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.026$ word reading, and we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:08:26.026 --> 00:08:27.844 We graph them the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:08:27.844 --> 00:08:29.240 readers at each point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:08:29.240 --> 00:08:31.040 low scoring versus high scoring.

00:08:31.040 --> 00:08:31.734 Not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}08{:}31.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.469$ you'll get a normal distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:33.469 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.474$ if you have enough students with

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:35.474 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.515$ a mean and what we call reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:37.515 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.220$ disability is basically a cut off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.636$ It's a cut off at the tail end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.900$ It's a lower end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.959$ so most places do around the 10th percentile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:08:44.960 --> 00:08:47.816 Some places do 15, some do 12 percentile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:08:47.820 --> 00:08:49.969 But in the United States we're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:49.969 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.779$ about 5 to 10 million US schoolchildren.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.418$ Again, these are in regular kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:08:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.577$ These are regular kids in regular schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9406177933333333

 $00:08:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.560$ The second thing to know is

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:08:59.560 --> 00:09:01.438 that it's genetic, and so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:01.438 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.510$ if we take our distribution of children,

 $00:09:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.230$ let's say again 4th graders and we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.088$ say comprehension and we chart them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.866$ So we have a normal distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.284$ Not only will it have a mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.934$ but it'll be a variance around

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:12.934 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.030$ the mean as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.410$ And if we ask the factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.315$ ask which factors can account for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:09:18.315 --> 00:09:21.310 variance and in fact non genetic factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}09{:}21.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.291$ To the surprise of most people actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:09:23.291 --> 00:09:25.209 account for a very small percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.381$ probably in the 20 to 30% range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00{:}09{:}27.381 \to 00{:}09{:}29.105$ Ras cumulative genetic factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:09:29.105 --> 00:09:31.717 account for the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:31.717 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.647$ reading performance or the variance

 $00:09:33.647 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.842$ around the mean for all of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:35.842 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.390$ and that's why it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

00:09:37.448 --> 00:09:38.968 to pick our parents carefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.800$ because this is what really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.940617793333333

 $00:09:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.020$ important for our performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.925943195

 $00:09:42.071 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.629$ in reading. NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:09:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.974$ So what we say is that genetic factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:09:46.974 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.543$ account for up to 80% of reading performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:09:49.543 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.369$ and we call this concept heritability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:09:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.100$ And since genetic factors are mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}09{:}55.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.415$ responsible for dyslexia and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:09:57.415 --> 00:09:59.515 performance and meeting our past

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:09:59.515 --> 00:10:01.690 was to discover reading genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.203$ And so to identify these kids at

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:10:04.203 --> 00:10:06.069 risk when intervention works best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.506$ we developed a genetic screening panel.

 $00:10:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.770$ We collect saliva from saliva.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}10{:}10.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.858$ We extract genomic DNA and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:12.858 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.970$ done these studies in two forms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.106$ Our first one was about 10 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.685$ Was the grad study of the genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:10:18.685 --> 00:10:19.670 reading and dyslexia study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.078$ The first study of its kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}10{:}22.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.564$ strictly African American Hispanic

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}10{:}23.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.030$ American kids in the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.444$ using genetics and then more recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:28.444 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.968$ the longitudinal program called

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:29.968 \dashrightarrow 00:10:31.891$ the New Haven Lectionum project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}10{:}31.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.600$ And these have been informative for kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:10:34.665 --> 00:10:36.930 that are European American background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.511$ Hispanic American background

 $00:10:38.511 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.619$ and African American background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.828$ And we published these in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:10:42.828 --> 00:10:44.720 in in peer review journals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:10:44.720 --> 00:10:47.000 Some pretty high quality peer review

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:10:47.065 --> 00:10:49.505 journals and that in a very nice very

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:10:49.505 --> 00:10:52.222 nicely that many of them are reproduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:10:52.222 --> 00:10:53.854 sometimes in other populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:53.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.948$ even in other languages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.860$ So the third thing to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:10:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.800$ about reading is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:11:01.800 --> 00:11:04.397 Is that dyslexia can be effectively treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.560$ and so let me share with you data

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}11{:}06.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.145$ from my colleague Maureen Lovett

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:11:09.145 --> 00:11:12.275 at the University of Toronto and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:11:12.275 --> 00:11:14.535 State Kit and Maureen study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}11{:}14.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.540$ Does randomized control trials and

 $00:11:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.280$ kids who struggle with reading and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.308$ does a very intense intervention that

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:11:21.308 --> 00:11:23.894 lasts for about a year and what she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:23.894 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.324$ done is she's retested them two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:26.324 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.314$ after completion of the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.161$ So these are outcomes 2 years after

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:30.161 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.629$ completion of an intervention for

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}11{:}31.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.799$ children that were picked up in grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:11:33.800 --> 00:11:36.392 One children in grade two children

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:36.392 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.908$ in grade 3/4, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}11{:}37.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.740$ All the way out to grade 12 and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:11:40.740 --> 00:11:42.700 you can see here pretty obviously is

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}11{:}42.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.683$ that these are the kids that perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:44.683 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.739$ the best that are most responsive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.519$ So about 75% of these kids will

00:11:49.519 --> 00:11:51.873 respond to intervention and will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:11:51.873 --> 00:11:54.039 reading at grade level two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:54.039 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.718$ after completion of the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.329$ Whereas in contrast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:57.329 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.750$ if you look at kids that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:11:58.800 --> 00:11:59.840 picked up in high school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:11:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.225$ which is about 50% or so of kids in

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:12:02.225 --> 00:12:04.458 the United States are picked up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}12{:}04.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.686$ Was reading disability in high school

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:06.686 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.212$ or later only about 1/4 of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}12{:}09.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.162$ kids will actually be respond will

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:11.162 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.395$ be reading it ever brought up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:13.395 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.505$ grade level or be reading at grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:15.505 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.980$ level even two years afterwards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.864$ so early identification is

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:18.864 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.277$ obviously really important.

 $00:12:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.519$ That's the key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:12:21.519 --> 00:12:23.584 The key to effective intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:23.584 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.560$ is early identification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.654$ but the window of opportunity is narrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.520$ So let me show you why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.335$ Here's our current approach in

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:32.335 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.787$ our educational system today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.840$ and that's in private schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00{:}12{:}34.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.068$ public schools everywhere we

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:36.068 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.320$ call it the wait to fail model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:12:38.320 --> 00:12:40.258 You'll be very familiar with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.340$ and so this would be typical development of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:12:42.340 --> 00:12:42.864 say, comprehension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

00:12:42.864 --> 00:12:44.960 But again, it could be single word reading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942632043846154

 $00:12:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.342$ could be spelling of kids of

00:12:47.342 --> 00:12:48.136 typical children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00{:}12{:}48.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.799$ the United States and around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:12:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.050$ Frankly, in a pre K all the way up through

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00{:}12{:}52.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.355$ grade five you can see it's nearly linear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:12:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.370$ The increase in the performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:12:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.978$ from year to year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:12:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.514$ But there are children who begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:00.514 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.284$ fall off once they are come face to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:03.284 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.974$ face with a challenging curriculum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.015$ so this will usually happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:13:06.015 --> 00:13:07.280 at the end of grade one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.165$ definitely in grade 2 where

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:09.165 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.410$ they really begin to fall off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:13:11.410 --> 00:13:12.410 In the current model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.430$ what we do is we wait until

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.246$ they're really performing poorly,

 $00:13:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.308$ and then we do a standard intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00{:}13{:}18.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.525$ And even if the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:19.525 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.053$ is intense and even if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:13:21.053 --> 00:13:22.607 young enough to respond to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.050$ they never really catch up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.098$ and so this gap is never really bridged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.375$ What we're proposing is a different model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00{:}13{:}30.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.204$ What we'd like to do is identify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:13:33.204 --> 00:13:35.324 kids here early and then track them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:35.324 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.990$ and then when they even fall off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00{:}13{:}36.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.940$ or even even just mildly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.915$ then we can initiate an early intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.840$ and which they would catch up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.472$ or at least attain very nearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:46.472 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.288$ Gray level reading.

 $00:13:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.440$ And that is then sustainable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.528$ But the key here is the early identification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.670$ So in in order to do that, that's where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:13:56.670 --> 00:13:59.245 The the identification of genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:13:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.716$ genetic variants and creation of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:14:01.716 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.309$ genetic screening panel is so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:14:04.310 --> 00:14:05.348 So how do we do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:14:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.686$ How do we do this magic of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:14:07.686 --> 00:14:08.270 early identification?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:14:08.270 --> 00:14:10.406 And so as an example for a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:14:10.410 --> 00:14:11.901 let me just talk to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:14:11.901 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.641$ some of the work we've been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

 $00:14:13.641 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.201$ over the last seven years on

NOTE Confidence: 0.890894845714286

00:14:15.263 --> 00:14:16.878 the New Haven Leccinum project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.940$ You know, hey, the goal in New Haven

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00{:}14{:}21.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.705$ election project has been to explore

 $00:14:23.705 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.445$ the extent to which genetic variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:25.505 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.580$ correspond with response to intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

00:14:27.580 --> 00:14:29.655 through whole genome sequence analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.132$ serial behavioral testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

00:14:32.132 --> 00:14:34.604 serial functional MRI studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

00:14:34.610 --> 00:14:36.915 It's a longitudinal study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

00:14:36.915 --> 00:14:38.298 normally developing children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00{:}14{:}38.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40.050$ Grades we would enroll children

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.196$ in grades one and follow them

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:42.196 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.176$ all the way through grades five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.800$ We recruited these children from

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.420$ 32 New Haven public schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00{:}14{:}47.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.180$ These are the regular schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.791$ not the schools that have children with

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:51.791 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.379$ intellectually impaired children in them.

 $00:14:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.235$ We recruited about 500 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:55.235 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.719$ following about 500 children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.885$ these included a parent questionnaire

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:14:58.885 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.506$ based on for past medical history in depth

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:15:02.506 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.298$ questions about socioeconomic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

00:15:04.300 --> 00:15:05.572 learning disability histories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:15:05.572 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.026$ primary language and spoken in the home, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00{:}15{:}09.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.913$ And then for each child we did 30X

NOTE Confidence: 0.829816308666667

 $00:15:12.913 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.328$ whole genome sequencing through saliva.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.450$ We also did standard psychometric

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}15{:}20.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.482$ batteries that assess reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:22.482 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.410$ language executive function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:15:24.410 --> 00:15:26.228 Beginning in grade one roughly every

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}15{:}26.228 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}27.925$ six months, all the way through Grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:27.925 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.909$ 5 and the people who did the executive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}15{:}29.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.003$ These assessments were folks from our group

 $00:15:32.003 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.146$ and people who are trained to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.488$ so we didn't rely on school testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.882$ It was our own group who

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:15:37.882 --> 00:15:38.810 actually did the testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.750$ and we used standard batteries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.686$ So just for giving an example for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:42.686 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.905$ of you who are familiar with the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:15:44.910 --> 00:15:46.690 these are standard neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}15{:}46.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.025$ psychometric testing batteries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.410$ These are not batteries that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.150$ made up or tests that we made up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:15:51.150 --> 00:15:53.375 These were chosen by skilled

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}15{:}53.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.600$ neuropsychologists to be part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:55.675 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.007$ the educational assessment tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:15:58.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.146$ They include the tower two or the test,

 $00:16:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.870$ averting reading efficiency subtests

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}16{:}01.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.450$ from the Woodcock Johnson and subtests

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:16:04.511 --> 00:16:06.287 from the Grey Oil reading test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.965$ and so we actually combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:07.965 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.305$ for the first study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.666$ I'm going to show you to this today is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.334$ It's what we call a composite of five

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:16:16.334 --> 00:16:18.830 subtests from these standardized testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.769$ the first one is a sight word

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:20.769 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.000$ efficiency from the tower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.208$ where we ask children in a timed manner

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:16:25.210 --> 00:16:28.524 to read real words like cat, dog, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:28.524 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.163$ And then we score them for accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.389$ They do it for about 45 seconds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.136$ so the next one is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:35.136 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.009$ phonemic decoding efficiency.

 $00:16:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.970$ It's called and so and so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.429$ memory doesn't play into this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}16{:}39.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.170$ We actually give them non words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.334$ Words like zoup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:16:42.334 --> 00:16:45.820 Again, it's timed and we score for accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.148$ The letter word ID subtest Woodcock

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:48.148 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.519$ Johnson also looks at real words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.885$ It's not timed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:16:51.885 --> 00:16:54.160 but it's progressively more difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.798$ and here we score for accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:16:55.800 --> 00:16:57.768 The number of words they accurately

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:16:57.768 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.180$ get and then the word attack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}16{:}59.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.475$ which is again back to non words again to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:01.475 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.055$ to really look at their ability to decode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.956$ Also non timed and finally to

 $00:17:05.956 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.023$ look at reading fluency you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}17{:}08.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.858$ them read age appropriate passage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.590$ It's time we score for accuracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.955$ but also comprehension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.468$ So we combine these five subtests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.930$ Into a composite phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.392$ And it's quantitative, that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}17{:}21.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.534$ We could score it when we score

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:23.534 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.770$ it by a number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.050$ so we have high performers

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.418$ and low performers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.618$ And then the trick here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}17{:}30.618 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}17{:}33.016$ to relate it to the genetics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:33.016 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.751$ we can do that using within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00{:}17{:}35.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.139$ same children single nucleotide

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:38.139 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.805$ polymorphisms and just to refamiliarize

 $00:17:40.805 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.870$ yourselves with what exactly a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:42.951 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.419$ single nucleotide polymorphism is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:17:45.420 --> 00:17:48.924 I put up this little diagram that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

00:17:48.924 --> 00:17:51.582 diagramming a specific single nucleotide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871081869166667

 $00:17:51.582 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.558$ Polymorphism has this horrific name called

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:17:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.984$ Rs 6935076. It's specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:17:59.984 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.140$ located on chromosome 8 and its

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:18:03.231 --> 00:18:07.443 position is 24 million, 644,000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}18{:}07.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11.575$ zero and 94 nucleotides from the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}18{:}11.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14.809$ of the short arm of chromosome 8.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.665$ And here you can see the sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:18:16.665 --> 00:18:18.075 is very specific and because

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}18{:}18.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.743$ all of us carry 2 chromosomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.025$ there are two representations of this area

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:22.025 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.807$ and of this specific position and person.

00:18:24.810 --> 00:18:27.281 One here is inherited from his mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:27.281 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.249$ ASI and from his father he's inherited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.266$ Also, I see and so we would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:18:32.266 --> 00:18:34.357 that for this snip at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.252$ which is which has a location

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:18:37.252 --> 00:18:39.180 specifically assigned to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.310$ this person is homozygous for

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.440$ the C allele or CC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:18:43.440 --> 00:18:46.590 This person I a different person actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:18:46.590 --> 00:18:48.760 carries AT inherited from the mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.000$ and AT inherited from the father.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.940$ So therefore this person would be homozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:18:53.940 --> 00:18:57.340 for the teal or TT at this specific snip,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:18:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.755$ and then finally we have the heterozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:18:59.755 --> 00:19:01.838 individual who inherited AC from one parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.436$ And a T from the other parent.

 $00:19:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.194$ So this person would be called the CT or

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:06.194 \dashrightarrow 00:19:08.915$ the genotype would be a CT designating

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:08.915 \dashrightarrow 00:19:11.657$ that that this person has one of each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.256$ So that's what a snip is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:19:13.260 --> 00:19:15.798 It's just a single nucleotide difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:19:15.798 --> 00:19:19.218 at a very specific location for everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333 00:19:19.220 --> 00:19:21.831 So Rs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:19:21.831 --> 00:19:23.886 6935076 that specific location can

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:23.886 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.854$ be identified in all of us as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:26.854 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.732$ as our 500 children enrolled in

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:19:28.809 --> 00:19:30.899 New Haven election on project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.396$ So it does take a look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}19{:}33.396 \to 00{:}19{:}35.634$ three at these three genotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333 00:19:35.634 --> 00:19:36.596 The CC, NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.886$ the see T and the TT and what we

00:19:38.886 --> 00:19:41.118 can do for any individual child

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:19:41.118 --> 00:19:43.380 since we now can determine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}19{:}43.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.636$ CCC T or TT status of that child

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.652$ is then related to performance on

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:48.652 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.660$ the decoding composite phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:19:50.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.084$ And So what you can see here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:19:53.084 --> 00:19:56.086 that the CC in in in a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:19:56.086 --> 00:19:58.109 individual might my correspond with

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}19{:}58.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.473$ low performance and asked sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:00.473 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.836$ in the middle performance and AT&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}20{:}02.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.404$ Why, in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.734$ it would appear here is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}20{:}06.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.020$ C allele is detrimental and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.045$ the more see you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.250$ the lower your performances

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.810$ in this decoding composite.

 $00:20:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.390$ So there's a relationship here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}20{:}18.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{:}820$ It's actually a linear relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.900$ More see the worse you do Morty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.936$ the better you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}20{:}24.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.875$ and so if you do this over a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:27.875 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.525$ individuals then you can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:29.525 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.798$ get a coefficient of correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}20{:}31.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34.335$ The R-squared over those individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}20{:}34.335 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.420$ and you could assign that value

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.735$ AP value a confidence value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.194$ And then you can graph that

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:42.194 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.300$ value for that individual snip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}20{:}44.300 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.236$ So here for this particular snip

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.724$ 6935076 located where I said 24

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:50.724 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.710$ million etc on chromosome 8 with a

00:20:53.710 --> 00:20:55.800 particular R-squared in 500 children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.680$ you can graph that on a P value

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:20:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.144$ on his P value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:21:00.144 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.242$ The confidence interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:21:01.242 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.340$ for that relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333 00:21:02.340 --> 00:21:02.992 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:21:02.992 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.182$ you can do this for a lot of snips

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:21:06.182 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.668$ on chromosome 8. Not just one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333 00:21:09.668 --> 00:21:11.020 In fact. NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

00:21:11.020 --> 00:21:13.246 Every one of these dots represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}21{:}13.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.977$ a different snip genotyped in

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00:21:14.977 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.804$ all 500 of our children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333 00:21:16.804 --> 00:21:17.626 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.915173408333333

 $00{:}21{:}17.630 \to 00{:}21{:}19.828$ there are many snips on chromosome 8.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:21:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.756$ There's well over 10,000, and so after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:21:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.245$ If you want to present them graphically

 $00:21:25.245 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.431$ again relative to their P value

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:21:27.431 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.472$ for their R-squared, you literally

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00{:}21{:}29.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.927$ saturate or paint that chromosome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:21:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.074$ So represented here is every snip that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:21:35.074 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.962$ tested against the composite phenotype for

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:21:37.962 --> 00:21:41.728 decoding within our cohort of 500 children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:21:41.730 --> 00:21:44.664 And in fact we did this for every chromosome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00{:}21{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.070$ so I just depicted chromosome 8.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:21:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.650$ But this is chromosome 9,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:21:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.742$ chromosome 1011, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:21:49.742 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.826$ All the way out to 22 and you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:21:52.826 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.025$ notice that one is the widest because

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00{:}21{:}56.025 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}21{:}58.179$ chromosome one is the longest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00{:}21{:}58.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.564$ so it has the most number of snips

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.030$ and chromosome 22 is the shortest.

 $00:22:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.669$ So has the least number of Snips.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.814$ This is real data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:06.814 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.365$ This is the real result we call this

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:09.365 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.657$ for obvious reasons in Manhattan plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.382$ Right, and here's a peek at

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:13.382 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.243$ the Manhattan plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:22:14.250 --> 00:22:17.058 In fact, for the decoding composite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.192$ we got a peak right here on chromosome 19

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00{:}22{:}20.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.715$ by a number of snips in a very small area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.100$ And because of the magic of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:22:25.100 --> 00:22:26.020 the Human Genome Project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.765$ we now can tell since we know precisely what

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:22:28.765 --> 00:22:31.547 the nucleotide number in chromosome it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.520$ we can identify the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.096$ that this corresponds to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.316$ and it corresponds to a gene that was

00:22:37.316 --> 00:22:39.100 not previously implicated in reading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:22:39.100 --> 00:22:41.468 but which we found to be very strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:41.468 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.556$ have a very strong effect size called Gary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:44.556 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.727$ One in our cohort of 500 children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.398$ What do I mean by that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:22:49.400 --> 00:22:51.648 Let's go back into our cohort of 500

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:22:51.648 --> 00:22:54.221 kids and let's look at four different

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:54.221 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.790$ assessments of reading or reading subtests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.490$ The word, letter ID, word attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:22:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.530$ Which word letter ID is timed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:23:01.530 --> 00:23:03.810 word comprehension, and reading fluency?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857 00:23:03.810 --> 00:23:04.790 That's the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00{:}23{:}04.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.750$ That's the paragraph passage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.894$ And let's look at kids who have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:23:08.894 --> 00:23:11.150 Gary run risk allele here in orange,

00:23:11.150 --> 00:23:14.126 and you can see is that they enter

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:23:14.126 --> 00:23:16.811 first grade behind the kids in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:16.811 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.400$ same cohort at the same schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.336$ At the same age in the same grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:23:22.340 --> 00:23:23.600 at at, at, at, at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.928$ at a significant disadvantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:24.928 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.349$ And if we follow these same kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:23:27.349 --> 00:23:29.209 because it's a longitudinal study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.129$ you can see they never catch up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.026$ Let me show you what that looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:23:34.030 --> 00:23:35.566 This is a comprehension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857 00:23:35.566 --> 00:23:35.950 right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:35.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.310$ a fundamental and important things

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.192$ skill in reading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:39.192 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.835$ If we look at kids in grade at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:41.835 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.234$ start of grade one at the end of Grade

 $00:23:44.234 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.826$ 1 starter grade two end of grade 2 all

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.747$ the way up to the start of grade five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.630$ you can see is that children in oak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.538$ Our cohort here at the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:52.538 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.810$ allele for Gary One,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.280$ started off at a disadvantage

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.449$ to kids who do not carry any

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:23:57.449 --> 00:23:59.024 risk alleles for Gary one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:23:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.326$ and it's never really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:24:00.326 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.270$ They never really filled a gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

00:24:02.270 --> 00:24:04.470 Even at the start of the Great Five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00:24:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.400$ so it's sustained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938233537142857

 $00{:}24{:}05.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.980$ It's a sustain effect over this age group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}24{:}11.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.694$ We can look further into Gary one we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:13.694 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.810$ know this so we looked in the text portal,

 $00:24:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.704$ which gives you expression data in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:24:18.704 --> 00:24:20.726 parts of the body and specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:20.726 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.529$ in different parts of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:24:22.530 --> 00:24:24.784 And to our surprise, Gary went well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:24:24.790 --> 00:24:26.320 It's not surprising that Gary

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.850$ wins expressed in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.850$ but its strongest expression is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.216$ in the cerebellum, the cerebellum

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:32.216 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.548$ hemisphere and total cerebellum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.926$ That was a surprise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:35.926 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.419$ But not really that much because what

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:38.419 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.960$ we know is that the cerebellum is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.249$ intimately linked to the cerebral cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887454495555556

 $00:24:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.622$ the cortical area where there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:24:46.622 --> 00:24:48.870 specific and specified specialized

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.403$ reading areas by a circuit or a

 $00:24:52.403 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.007$ cerebellar cerebral cortex loop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:24:55.010 --> 00:24:57.222 This is well known and in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}24{:}57.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.505$ its support of a theory that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:24:59.505 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.531$ put forward in the 1990s called a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:02.531 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.466$ cerebellar theory of reading disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.465$ Nicholson Faucet observed that reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:07.465 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.460$ disability was also associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}25{:}09.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.727$ deficits in cerebellar related functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:25:11.730 --> 00:25:15.270 Distonia time estimation skill

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:25:15.270 --> 00:25:17.925 optimization and balance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.702$ and so they posited that the cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}25{:}20.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.654$ cerebellar circuit shown here has

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}25{:}22.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.389$ an important role in reading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887454495555556

 $00:25:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.357$ There has now been a whole lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:26.357 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.919$ more published.

 $00:25:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.660$ On the cerebellar theory of reading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}25{:}28.660 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}25{:}30.592$ And sometimes some people are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:30.592 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.419$ favor and some people are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.535$ but we go where the science is taking us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:25:34.540 --> 00:25:36.340 And since Gary one is implicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.740$ certainly by association studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:38.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.540$ we pursue that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.404$ And so I don't really have the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:42.404 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.415$ to go through all the data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:25:44.415 --> 00:25:46.300 all the projects that we're going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887454495555556

 $00{:}25{:}46.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.712$ so let me sort of summarize

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:48.712 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.918$ those briefly here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:25:49.920 --> 00:25:51.360 So our New Haven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887454495555556

00:25:51.360 --> 00:25:52.080 Lexington project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.456$ which began to implicate the cerebellum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.735$ actually spurred a number of

 $00:25:56.735 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.434$ interesting projects Haley Dasilva

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:25:58.434 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.786$ in our lab has actually worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:26:00.786 --> 00:26:03.823 on a a program for processing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:03.823 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.747$ preprocessing imaging data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:26:05.747 --> 00:26:08.050 she calls Neuro Stack specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.194$ made for a WS to Amazon Web server.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.415$ And that's really important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:12.415 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.900$ a lot of the imaging data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:26:13.900 --> 00:26:16.516 for example from ABCD, is stored,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556 00:26:16.520 --> 00:26:16.947 allocated, NOTE Confidence: 0.887454495555556

 $00:26:16.947 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.509$ processed and worked on in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}26{:}19.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.859$ Amazon Web Services up on the web.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}26{:}21.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.460$ So in this is all cloud based work

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.561$ and Haley actually created this

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:26.561 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.309$ new tool that is now generally

 $00:26:29.309 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.820$ available as it's free and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.340$ incredibly useful for neuroscientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:26:33.340 --> 00:26:36.466 You know who don't want to get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:36.466 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.416$ the specifics of preprocessing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:38.416 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.440$ processing data. Tremendous work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}26{:}41.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43.840$ We also calcium xerac in our lab who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.268$ been working with Young Frieder is unusual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556 $00:26:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.818$ Haley also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887454495555556

 $00:26:46.818 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.188$ as well as Emily Curtain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.930$ has been working in ABCD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887454495555556

 $00{:}26{:}49.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.872$ ABCD is a longitudinal study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:26:53.872 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.906$ typically developing 10,000 US

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}26{:}55.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.054$ children from across like 32 sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.887454495555556

 $00:26:58.054 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.638$ in the United States and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:27:00.638 --> 00:27:02.434 getting cereal imaging studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:27:02.434 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.389$ as well as genotyping.

00:27:04.390 --> 00:27:04.801 Genotyping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:27:04.801 --> 00:27:07.267 one serial imaging studies and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00{:}27{:}07.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.526$ as and some cognitive assessments

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:27:09.526 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.418$ to the NH Toolbox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:27:11.420 --> 00:27:13.400 That data is publicly available and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:27:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.896$ so with kelson's been doing is he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:27:15.896 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.751$ been doing genetic correlation studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

00:27:17.751 --> 00:27:20.060 between the Leccinum project and ABCD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:27:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.076$ and in a way that he's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.88745449555556

 $00:27:22.076 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.388$ using these to create sort of to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887454495555556

00:27:24.388 --> 00:27:26.446 leverage our small data set with

NOTE Confidence: 0.855115656666667

 $00:27:26.522 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.640$ a larger data set. This is a theme

NOTE Confidence: 0.855115656666667

 $00{:}27{:}28.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.459$ that that goes on and on with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:27:32.580 --> 00:27:36.250 Seeing Wang has been working by doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:27:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.577$ our due osis one I just showed you as

 $00:27:39.577 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.097$ well as T Ross is Tiwa Sizarr transcript

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}27{:}43.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.313$ transcript own wide association studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:27:45.313 --> 00:27:48.805 and So what she does is she goes back

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}27{:}48.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.695$ into GTX that expression data data

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:27:50.695 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.236$ data set in the brain either in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:27:53.236 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.857$ celebra ballimore the whole brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:27:54.857 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.747$ and she uses that information to

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:27:56.747 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.140$ wait the snips for the for the guasa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.124$ Therefore it's called that

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:02.124 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.116$ waser transcriptome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}28{:}03.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.046$ Wide Association study and she's and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:06.046 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.860$ we've been working with helping as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.146$ Helping Zang Kate Connors from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}28{:}11.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.363$ group with young Frieders has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:28:13.363 --> 00:28:15.229 doing looking at our math data

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:28:15.229 --> 00:28:16.940 because I think initially,

 $00:28:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.825$ when I explained how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:28:18.825 --> 00:28:19.956 election project works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.010$ we do executive function which

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:28:22.010 --> 00:28:24.480 includes attention as well as math,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.844$ math subjects as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:28:25.844 --> 00:28:27.890 So Kate's been been really focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:28:27.957 --> 00:28:30.213 on math and we'll be hearing some more

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:30.213 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.784$ data from that in the next couple of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.578$ And then finally Steven Penny Agra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}28{:}34.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.800$ His spirit had had a collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.573$ with Indian Park over the stem Cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:39.573 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.618$ center and developing neurons human

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}28{:}41.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.558$ neurons from human embryonic stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:28:43.558 --> 00:28:46.008 cells and so the idea here being

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.270$ is that one of the things that's

 $00:28:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.810$ really held back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.306$ Translational Neuroscience is access

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:28:50.306 --> 00:28:53.426 to brain human brain material,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.454$ and so unlike cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:28:54.454 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.550$ when you can get lots of material,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:28:56.550 --> 00:28:59.838 in fact it's would be difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}28{:}59.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.234$ I would say it's impossible to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.138$ Human brain material from from normal brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.060$ And so in order to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:29:07.060 --> 00:29:09.496 If you want to study human neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}29{:}09.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.393$ that what you do is you get human

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:29:12.393 --> 00:29:14.168 embryonic stem cells and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:29:14.248 --> 00:29:17.510 you begin to develop you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.036$ You can induce them to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:29:20.036 --> 00:29:22.409 into human neurons along the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.492$ and what Steven has done is

00:29:24.492 --> 00:29:26.430 he's knocked down using CRISPR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}29{:}26.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.516$ The expression of a prominent reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.061$ or dyslexia gene called KA 319 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:33.061 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.683$ what he's shown is that this puts

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:35.683 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.861$ the cells in a quiessence state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.120$ so these are neuronal progenitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.370$ arrested in a quiescent state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.578$ So to analyze that data which you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:29:44.578 --> 00:29:46.987 we've been doing a lot of sequencing there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.606$ is you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:47.606 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.838$ You are a few,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}29{:}48.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.760$ I'm just doing a marvelous job

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}29{:}50.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52.448$ again from Helping's group and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:52.448 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.496$ of our undergraduate students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.499$ Is he Lopez?

 $00:29:54.499 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.497$ So these are things that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:29:56.497 --> 00:29:57.420 currently ongoing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.960$ Major projects in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:29:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.568$ I don't have time to talk about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:30:00.568 --> 00:30:02.764 whole lot today, but I just wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:30:02.764 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.960$ to know that they're going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

00:30:04.960 --> 00:30:05.291 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:30:05.291 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.608$ so the question is or what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:30:07.608 \dashrightarrow 00:30:09.971$ question I posed before I left this

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:30:09.971 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.700$ slide was how do we do this magic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}30{:}12.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}14.356$ How do we identify these children

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:30:14.356 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.920$ early and when I said was we do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00:30:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.710$ molecular genetic studies and I showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.73252445

 $00{:}30{:}18.710 \longrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.978$ you a nice example focusing on dyslexia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:30:23.970 --> 00:30:26.850 But do we really disrupt by doing this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}30{:}26.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.806$ Do we really disrupt the current

00:30:28.806 --> 00:30:29.784 current educational program?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.000$ Like how to disrupt the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.326$ current educational paradigm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.818$ Is that really the question or is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:35.818 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.551$ question is how do we really disrupt

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:30:38.551 --> 00:30:40.187 the current educational paradigm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:30:40.190 --> 00:30:41.290 And I think that's really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.108$ really an important question for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:30:43.110 --> 00:30:44.886 because doing our studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:44.886 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.218$ and publishing them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:30:46.220 --> 00:30:48.015 even publishing them in peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:48.015 \dashrightarrow 00:30:49.810$ reviewed journals has been great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:30:49.810 --> 00:30:51.190 It's been great. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.710$ we've been able to get support for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:30:52.710 --> 00:30:53.835 both private foundation.

 $00:30:53.835 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.335$ Age foundation and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:30:55.335 --> 00:30:56.990 these really cool studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.690$ but are we really moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:30:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.050$ them into the classroom?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.569$ And I would say I have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:01.569 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.230$ admit in fact that we were not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.254$ So if we really want to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.156$ where can we make these changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:31:07.156 --> 00:31:09.290 so we can translate our findings?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:31:09.290 --> 00:31:11.018 Our ability to identify kids at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}31{:}11.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.551$ high risk for learning disabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}31{:}12.551 \longrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.826$ at a young age when it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:31:14.826 --> 00:31:16.230 important saying kindergarten?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.715$ How do we get that adopted in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:31:18.715 --> 00:31:20.410 public schools across America?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.050$ Even in New Haven,

 $00:31:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.210$ across the state of Connecticut or America?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.954$ So to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:26.954 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.570$ we really need policy level change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.390$ In the last five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.170$ dyslexia and learning disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.840$ policies at the state and federal

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:31:35.909 --> 00:31:38.269 levels have improved significantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.724$ most notably in the areas of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:40.724 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.360$ teacher training and universal

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:31:42.430 --> 00:31:44.438 dyslexia screening in grades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:31:44.440 --> 00:31:47.780 kindergarten through third grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:47.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.180$ 41 states today have universal

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:31:50.180 --> 00:31:52.580 evidence based screening for dyslexia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}31{:}52.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.068$ including Connecticut and Massachusetts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:31:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.799$ And the feds have clarified that you

00:31:57.799 --> 00:32:00.296 can now use this term Once Upon you couldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.025$ You could use this term when,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125 00:32:03.025 --> 00:32:03.540 when, NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.023$ when teachers create the iep's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:06.023 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.292$ the individual educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}32{:}07.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}09.830$ programs that are so important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:32:09.893 --> 00:32:12.389 mandated for each child that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:32:12.389 --> 00:32:14.053 a disability learning disability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}32{:}14.060 \to 00{:}32{:}16.664$ 32 states across the country provide a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:16.664 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.548$ list of approved evidence based screeners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.370$ None of them are genetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.226$ So our goal is to pass legislation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:26.226 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.427$ that includes reliable and validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}32{:}28.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.248$ genetic screening for risk of, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:32:31.248 --> 00:32:34.088 dyslexia or any learning disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:32:34.090 --> 00:32:35.182 And get it,

 $00:32:35.182 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.366$ get it on the improved list

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:37.366 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.990$ of evidence based screeners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.138$ This would allow parents to screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:42.138 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.550$ their child as early as even birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:32:44.550 --> 00:32:46.209 but definitely pre K for risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:46.209 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.189$ any of the learning disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.062$ including dyslexia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:49.062 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.242$ It would require school districts

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:51.242 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.744$ to take those results into account

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:53.744 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.000$ when when they create the iips,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.416$ the individual educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:32:57.416 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.700$ program plans and the 504 plans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.545$ And this would enable children

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}33{:}03.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.868$ finally to receive an evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:05.868 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.536$ reading instruction or intervention

 $00:33:07.536 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.621$ method at the very earliest

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}33{:}09.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11.497$ stages of reading acquisition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.910$ when they are most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.890$ and are most likely to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.550$ So I've last 20 minutes or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:33:19.550 --> 00:33:21.780 I presented a hodgepodge really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.010$ of information from a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:24.092 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.400$ of seemingly discordant fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.630$ I presented some epidemiological data

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.330$ showing what is the frequency of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00{:}33{:}31.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33.240$ Well, I presented reading disability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.305$ but in fact of learning disabilities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:35.305 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.301$ it's somewhere in the 10 to 20% range,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

00:33:37.301 --> 00:33:38.865 I presented an intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:38.865 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.294$ program from my colleague or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86051457125

 $00:33:41.294 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.339$ the results of an intervention

 $00:33:43.339 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.975$ intense intervention program for

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:33:45.046 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.348$ my colleague Maureen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:33:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.240$ Love it at the University of Toronto

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:33:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.949$ and showed how important it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:33:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.820$ For early intervention and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:33:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.915$ it to work and to be and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:33:54.915 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.815$ its effects to be sustained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:33:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.956$ I presented some genetic studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:33:58.960 --> 00:34:00.312 both molecular genetic studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}34{:}00.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01.664$ and statistical genetic studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:01.664 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.318$ to show the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:34:03.318 --> 00:34:04.858 genetics and why genetics should,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}34{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07.198$ could and should be used for early

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:34:07.198 --> 00:34:09.342 screening to identify kids at high

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:09.342 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.774$ risk for attention disorders,

 $00:34:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.388$ reading disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}34{:}12.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14.800$ language disorders, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.008$ And I presented an education paradigm

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:17.008 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.788$ that doesn't work the wait to fail role

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:19.788 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.100$ model and an alternative to that model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:34:22.100 --> 00:34:24.560 And finally I I presented some

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.940$ policy stuff and so the fact is

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.440$ is that it's a bit of a mess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.932$ so to find a form that accommodates

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:32.932 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.144$ the entire mess that I've just

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:35.144 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.636$ presented and to unite these from my

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:34:37.712 --> 00:34:40.442 friend Samuel Becker from 1961 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:40.442 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.897$ to unite these seemingly unrelated

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}34{:}42.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}45.620$ initiatives under a single umbrella

NOTE Confidence: 0.89369527086956500:34:45.620 --> 00:34:47.670 this year. NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:34:47.670 --> 00:34:50.070 With a lot of help from Gene Shapiro,

 $00:34:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.750$ Cliff Boag and the Dean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}34{:}52.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57.202$ we created the Yale Program for

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:34:57.202 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.428$ Learning Disabilities Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:34:59.430 --> 00:35:01.430 Our program is multidisciplinary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:35:01.430 --> 00:35:04.430 It covers the fields of neuropsychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565 00:35:04.430 --> 00:35:05.030 Biostatistics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:35:05.030 --> 00:35:06.230 education, neuroimaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.230$ and genetic and the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.788$ who come into this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:35:11.790 --> 00:35:13.710 They know their field well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:35:13.710 --> 00:35:15.468 but neuro psychologists who come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:15.468 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.730$ don't know a whole lot about genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}35{:}17.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}19.300$ Geneticists that come in don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.530$ know a whole lot about education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:35:21.530 --> 00:35:24.085 often not a whole lot about neuroimaging,

 $00:35:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.740$ so it's some very important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}35{:}25.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.770$ we really stress that we begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}35{:}27.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29.225$ learn each other's vocabulary that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:29.225 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.900$ we really interact personally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.760$ Although this year it's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.620$ difficult to interact personally and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:34.678 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.596$ so we can inform each other studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:36.596 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.475$ and help and work collaboratively

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}35{:}38.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.459$ collaboratively within the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.056$ And I think we've been pretty successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}35{:}42.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.224$ I think that's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89369527086956500:35:43.224 --> 00:35:43.806 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.340$ the study I presented from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.360$ Haven election on project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.752$ You can see that's a combined effort from

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:49.752 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.520$ neuropsychologist geneticist Biostatistics.

00:35:51.520 --> 00:35:53.095 I didn't show you the neuroimaging data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:53.100 \dashrightarrow 00:35:55.620$ but it's remarkable how well that works and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:55.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.265$ now we're moving into education with a PhD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565 00:35:58.270 --> 00:35:58.650 PhD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:35:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.310$ postdoc in education recently joined the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.805$ So these are great initiatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:02.805 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.733$ and show how we're really blurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}36{:}04.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.977$ all the lines amongst all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:06.977 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.725$ different disciplines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.005$ But we're also trying to go cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:10.005 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.984$ campus and reach out and bridge

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:11.984 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.168$ the gap to other schools to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}36{:}14.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.476$ students and the other professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00{:}36{:}16.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18.268$ schools in the university.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.223$ So I'm especially proud to say that

 $00:36:20.223 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.384$ we've been working with the folks at

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:36:22.384 --> 00:36:23.964 the Yale Educational Studies program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.634$ and we'll be offering a A1

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:26.634 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.966$ semester long course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.419$ mostly for undergraduates

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:29.419 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.868$ beginning this fall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.838$ This is the first course that they've been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.925$ Offering on learning disabilities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:34.925 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.470$ it's a full I think it's 17 lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.320$ over the course of the semester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.390$ so this is developed by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.058$ folks in our in our group most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:44.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.785$ There's no Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:36:45.785 --> 00:36:48.660 Kim Tsujimoto and John Boston,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

 $00:36:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.676$ and so they're prepared to present this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893695270869565

00:36:50.680 --> 00:36:52.874 It is currently under review, but it's it's.

 $00:36:52.874 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.820$ It looks like it's going to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:36:54.884 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.868$ in the fall. We work really strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:36:56.868 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.800$ with the folks in the computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

00:36:58.864 --> 00:37:00.778 biology and bio for Mattix program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.826$ but they have members from those

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:02.826 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.181$ programs in our lab and plus we

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

00:37:05.181 --> 00:37:07.337 present to them on a regular basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00{:}37{:}07.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.368$ This is a really extraordinary new

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:09.368 \dashrightarrow 00:37:12.109$ program here at the School of Management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00{:}37{:}12.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}14.367$ It's called the broad center or

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

00:37:14.367 --> 00:37:16.152 the Broad Center for Educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:16.152 \dashrightarrow 00:37:17.580$ Leadership and Public Education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00{:}37{:}17.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.120$ It's a brand new initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.088$ I think there are about a dozen broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:21.088 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.838$ Centers for this across the country.

 $00:37:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.340$ It was just started this past

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00{:}37{:}24.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.774$ fall that brings in educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:25.774 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.649$ leaders from around the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.535$ These are superintendents and school

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:29.535 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.995$ principals in the largest districts in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

00:37:31.995 --> 00:37:34.398 United States and they engage in a yearlong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.480$ transformative leadership

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.640$ program in public education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.446$ And so we're in contact and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:39.446 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.970$ working with these folks as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.834$ And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308 00:37:41.834 --> 00:37:42.410 of course, NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.222$ we're based here in the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

00:37:44.222 --> 00:37:45.430 of Pediatrics and Genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.880$ where which is our home base and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.584$ where we're going to also be reaching

 $00:37:50.584 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.564$ out to residents and hopefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00{:}37{:}52.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54.270$ Increase the exposure they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.970$ having to learning disabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.877$ that they're going to encounter

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:57.877 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.869$ when they enter practice as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:37:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.458$ 'cause it's so common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.854$ So this is our Yale program for

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00{:}38{:}04.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.880$ Learning Disabilities Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00{:}38{:}05.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}08.384$ Its comprehensive, it's large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:08.384 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.636$ it's ambitious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.335$ We just got started this past September.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.780$ These are the different components

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

00:38:13.780 --> 00:38:15.480 I tried to present to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.538$ and with that I'm happy to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:17.538 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.644$ questions and also a big shout out

 $00:38:19.644 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.378$ to all these wonderful people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00{:}38{:}21.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.555$ make this sort of research possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.744$ as well as our funding groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:25.744 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.836$ from private foundations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.465$ them and foundations to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:28.465 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.040$ NIH as well as to individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.608$ And of course, thanks to all the children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00{:}38{:}32.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34.482$ Families who participated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:34.482 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.886$ all these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.230$ So if there are questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943655927692308

 $00:38:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.160$ I'm happy to take them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00:38:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.560$ OK, Jeff, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00:38:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.598$ That was amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00:38:46.598 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.636$ That was fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00:38:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.220$ Now we do have questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00{:}38{:}49.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.628$ I mean, I invite folks to submit

00:38:51.628 --> 00:38:53.837 questions via the chat or the Q&A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00{:}38{:}53.840 \to 00{:}38{:}56.270$ but we've got some questions already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00:38:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.346$ Doctor Who in one of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00:38:58.346 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.730$ earlier slides indicated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00:38:59.797 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.863$ heritability for dyslexia is 0.80.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00:39:01.863 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.681$ How much of that is accounted

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

00:39:03.681 --> 00:39:06.078 for it by the SNP and Gary one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

00:39:06.080 --> 00:39:09.320 Is there a polygenic risk score for dyslexia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

00:39:09.320 --> 00:39:10.880 If so, how much variance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906592701666667

 $00:39:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.808$ Does it account for?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.836$ That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:39:13.836 --> 00:39:17.058 Thank you for asking so as clearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00{:}39{:}17.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.348$ the you know Paul knows is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:20.348 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.509$ there's really two types of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:39:22.510 --> 00:39:24.890 Yeah, of variants that you can account

 $00:39:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.110$ for heritability that you can account for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39{:}27.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.922$ There's broad sense heritability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:28.922 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.187$ and there's narrow sense heritability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.894$ When I say 80%, it's it's broad sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:33.894 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.909$ heritability that is when you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:39:35.909 --> 00:39:37.823 at twin studies and family studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.710$ and then you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.030$ and then you ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.340$ what's the concordance rate between

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.110$ siblings who are affected, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.070$ was reading disability in those that aren't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.380$ and you compare them the the classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.232$ example would be identical twins

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:50.232 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.624$ which share 100% of their genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:52.624 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.614$ The concordance rate there versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:39:54.614 --> 00:39:56.508 the concordance rates and non

00:39:56.508 --> 00:39:58.228 identical twins which only share

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:39:58.228 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.220$ on average about 50% and so if

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.920$ you do those sorts of those are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.595$ Those are standard heritability studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:03.595 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.126$ in the 1980s and they would say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:07.126 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.000$ the genetic component is about 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.598$ There has been a polygenic risk score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00{:}40{:}13.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.664$ Publication from Robert Plomin's

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:16.664 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.016$ group from the UK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:40:19.016 --> 00:40:21.872 He has the largest collection of twins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.008$ I think in probably the largest one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00{:}40{:}24.008 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}40{:}26.056$ in Australia but he has the largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00{:}40{:}26.056 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}40{:}27.516$ one that was reading assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.634$ I think it's 10,000 twins and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:29.634 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.875$ was able to account for all snips

 $00:40:31.875 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.182$ that he looked at and he looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00{:}40{:}34.182 --> 00{:}40{:}35.897$ at a genome wide panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:35.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.140$ I think about 700,000 snips.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.252$ He was able to account for

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:40.252 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.274$ about 8% of the heritability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:42.274 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.026$ This is pretty common

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:44.026 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.340$ for polygenic disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.090$ That is that even if you look at cancer etc

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:48.156 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.780$ etc etc and just look at common variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.168$ which is what's represented and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00{:}40{:}53.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.760$ single nucleotide polymorphism panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.854$ you generally there's a huge gap

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:56.854 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.250$ between broad sense heritability

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:40:58.308 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.920$ and narrow sense heritability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:40:59.920 --> 00:41:01.624 Now, to answer you Paul direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00{:}41{:}01.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02.845$ your question directly, Paul,

00:41:02.845 --> 00:41:05.400 if you look at any single snip,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.236$ you're looking only at a fraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:07.236 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.939$ of the heritability that is Gary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:41:08.940 --> 00:41:11.838 One explains only a tiny amount,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.980$ so all that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:41:12.980 --> 00:41:15.619 And I didn't get into it on this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.628$ but in previous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:41:16.628 --> 00:41:18.980 Oxide, I mentioned it is that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00{:}41{:}19.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.449$ that dyslexia language acquisition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.698$ language impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:41:22.698 --> 00:41:24.570 verbal trait disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.982$ dyscalculia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00{:}41{:}24.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.866$ or the all these are polygenic disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.243$ You have to be lucky enough to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:30.243 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.280$ have the smorgasbord of the right

 $00:41:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.182$ variants all at once for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.330$ For that to happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

00:41:35.330 --> 00:41:37.670 it is rarely a single gene disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:37.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.755$ although we have been looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:39.755 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.006$ at rare variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.626$ and it does occur from time to time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928372473043478

 $00:41:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.988$ None of them are in Gary want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86906148125

00:41:46.560 --> 00:41:48.456 Thank you Jeff. A much more general question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86906148125

00:41:48.460 --> 00:41:50.324 How did you become involved in this research?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:41:52.040 --> 00:41:57.528 Uh. So. Most people think that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00{:}41{:}57.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58.950$ Jeff. He's a pediatrician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:41:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.080$ and so he cares about children

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:01.143 --> 00:42:03.453 and he cares about reading and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00{:}42{:}03.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.380$ got somehow seduced into genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:05.380 --> 00:42:07.920 But actually it's the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.560$ And yes, I'm a pediatrician.

00:42:09.560 --> 00:42:10.960 Yes, I care about children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:10.960 --> 00:42:12.480 Yes, I care about reading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:12.480 --> 00:42:15.432 but the way I got in was during

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:15.432 --> 00:42:17.359 fellowship with with you Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.516$ I actually got first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:18.516 --> 00:42:20.897 Initially I was in cell biology and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:20.897 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.955$ I got seduced over to molecular genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:22.955 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.950$ just at the time of the beginnings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:24.950 --> 00:42:26.750 Of the Human Genome Project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:26.750 --> 00:42:27.490 and I thought, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:27.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.428$ this is a pretty cool thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.293$ and so I ended up in a lab that

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:31.293 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.806$ does that was really pioneering

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:32.806 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.057$ many of the methods for the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:35.057 --> 00:42:36.687 Genome project and my first.

00:42:36.690 --> 00:42:39.250 This was insuring Weismans lab here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00{:}42{:}39.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41.500$ and at that time what we were doing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.568$ remember there is no human genome project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:43.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.166$ It was just starting off the ground.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.555$ Nobody really knew how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:46.555 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.663$ clone the human genome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.990$ and so one of my early

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.870$ projects was the clone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.084$ The short arm of chromosome 6 and then one

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:52.084 --> 00:42:54.517 day Sherm came up to me and said, Jeff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:42:54.517 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.919$ why do you clone the hemochromatosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:57.920 --> 00:42:59.720 And of course, I said sure you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:42:59.720 --> 00:43:00.632 I'm a pediatrician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:00.632 --> 00:43:02.152 I don't really know very

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:02.152 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.700$ much about hemochromatosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.676$ It sounds like a disease of adults and

 $00:43:06.676 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.300$ Alcoholics, and he goes no, no, no you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00{:}43{:}08.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10.040$ We we've known that it's genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.320$ for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.100$ and you've already cloned it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:13.100 --> 00:43:14.945 It's one of your one of your 6 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:14.945 --> 00:43:16.880 7000 tubes you have in your freezer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:16.880 --> 00:43:19.094 so just go figure out which one it was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.836$ and so that's how it got started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00{:}43{:}20.840 \to 00{:}43{:}22.772$ We got scooped by a private

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:22.772 --> 00:43:24.060 company several years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.830$ but I had all these resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.715$ for chromosome 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.480$ I looked around and there was this locus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.867$ There was this location of a strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:31.867 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.887$ genetic effect on the short arm

00:43:33.887 --> 00:43:35.724 of chromosome 6 for which I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:35.724 --> 00:43:36.176 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.052$ at that time probably the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:38.052 --> 00:43:40.003 person in the universe who actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:40.003 --> 00:43:41.593 had complete coverage in new

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:41.593 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.379$ were all the markers were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.946$ and that's how I got started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:44.950 --> 00:43:47.740 And so the truth is, yes, I'm Peter, Trish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:47.740 --> 00:43:49.405 And yes, I practice medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:49.405 --> 00:43:50.980 Yes, I care about children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:50.980 --> 00:43:52.112 I care about reading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00{:}43{:}52.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.127$ but the reason I really jumped into

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:54.127 --> 00:43:55.933 this is 'cause I had a strategic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

 $00:43:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.822$ scientific advantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71649926975

00:43:56.822 --> 00:43:59.468 And I took advantage of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00:44:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.100$ Thank you. From Steve uptegrove.

 $00:44:05.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.685$ He even has, unfortunately a well

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

00:44:06.685 --> 00:44:08.496 known history for a high prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

00:44:08.496 --> 00:44:10.335 of childhood lead poisoning while

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

00:44:10.335 --> 00:44:11.910 childhood lead exposure is supposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

00:44:11.910 --> 00:44:14.179 to be documented on all children PE

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00:44:14.179 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.075$ forms upon school entry in Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00:44:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.418$ This information is not routinely been used

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00:44:18.418 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.043$ to identify those at high risk for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00{:}44{:}21.043 \to 00{:}44{:}22.940$ same disabilities you were concerned with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00:44:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.632$ Rather, they come to the light

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

00:44:24.632 --> 00:44:26.720 only by the same weight to fail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00:44:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.240$ model the wait to fail model you mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00:44:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.944$ What has been lacking are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00:44:30.944 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.538$ resources in schools for particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.912666885

 $00:44:32.538 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.541$ districts like New Haven, Kubara,

00:44:34.541 --> 00:44:37.348 Heavy burden of potentially at risk hits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:44:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.840$ Steven, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:44:40.840 --> 00:44:42.820 First of all, I want to tell and just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:44:42.820 --> 00:44:45.004 you know, reach out to Steve is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:44:45.004 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.088$ when we first started our studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:44:47.088 --> 00:44:49.290 here in New Haven Public Schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:44:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.480$ Steven was one of the earliest

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:44:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.935$ persons that I reached out to because

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:44:53.935 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.098$ I think at that time Steve you

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:44:56.176 --> 00:44:57.902 were either on New Haven School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:44:57.902 --> 00:45:00.037 you were on the school board or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:00.037 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.819$ add connections to the school board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.800$ And so you were one of the first people

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00{:}45{:}03.800 \to 00{:}45{:}05.798$ and you were wonderful and I greatly

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:05.798 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.534$ appreciate all the help that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:07.534 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.403$ offered and all the advice that would.

 $00:45:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.606$ Proved to be very, very useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.858$ Second of all is that I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:14.858 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.574$ discounting the environmental exposures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:45:16.574 --> 00:45:19.166 they're huge and and they're a big deal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.725$ and they are certainly a big deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:21.725 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.310$ to different socioeconomic groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.054$ I can't answer your your excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:45:26.054 --> 00:45:27.959 question directly because that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:27.959 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.283$ that would require is probably you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:30.283 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.846$ more lead testing and more documentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.866$ but what I can say is that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00{:}45{:}34.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37.477$ begun as part of the Yale Program

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00{:}45{:}37.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39.113$ for Learning Disabilities Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00{:}45{:}39.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.794$ We've begun a formal program to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:41.794 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.179$ at the electronic medical record we

00:45:44.179 --> 00:45:46.513 started looking at by just looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:46.513 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.307$ at coding for learning disabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.524$ And we we're doing this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:45:51.524 --> 00:45:53.000 collaboratively with Emily Power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:45:53.000 --> 00:45:55.320 so so I think we're just starting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:45:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.759$ get the data at interesting enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:45:57.760 --> 00:45:58.492 Interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:45:58.492 --> 00:46:00.322 we're probably looking right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:46:00.322 --> 00:46:02.620 at about 40,000 kids in total,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.960$ and amongst the 40,000 kids there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00{:}46{:}04.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.102$ We're looking for codes that would at

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:07.102 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.130$ least implicate some learning disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:09.130 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.026$ From there, we'll go on and that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.745$ We'll try and peel the onion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:12.745 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.830$ get to be well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.055$ What is the real learning

00:46:15.055 --> 00:46:16.450 disabilities that they have could be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:46:16.450 --> 00:46:18.310 They could? Could they be overcoated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:46:18.310 --> 00:46:19.081 Could be undercoated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:19.081 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.880$ but one of the things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:20.933 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.715$ will definitely look look for now

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:22.715 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.903$ that you've mentioned it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.611$ And thank you for asking is we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00{:}46{:}25.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.817$ look at lead levels 'cause we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:27.817 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.508$ that in the electronic medical record

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:29.508 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.407$ and we'll try to see if that is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00{:}46{:}31.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.790$ significant factor in these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.994$ I suspect it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:34.994 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.800$ but I also suspect there correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:36.862 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.930$ strongly with socioeconomic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.538$ which is something I didn't mention

 $00:46:40.538 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.290$ in the GWAS that I presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:46:42.290 --> 00:46:44.782 But in that GWAS it is corrected

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:44.782 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.850$ for socioeconomic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.640$ We always do that as well as sex and age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.410$ and so that's an important part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

00:46:51.410 --> 00:46:53.246 But I'm going to add lead to the list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836971998

 $00:46:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.558$ I think that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691039984

 $00:46:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.200$ Jeff from Jim Pelligrini Jeff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691039984

 $00:46:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.126$ Truly amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691039984

00:46:59.126 --> 00:47:00.978 You mentioned executive functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691039984

 $00{:}47{:}00.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.456$ Any research at the genetic level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691039984

00:47:02.460 --> 00:47:04.248 Here schizophrenics can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.691039984

00:47:04.248 --> 00:47:06.483 excellent verbal and reading skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691039984

 $00:47:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.020$ but severely poor executive function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.205$ No, I I've been very careful to

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:13.205 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.950$ stay away from the psychosis Jim.

 $00:47:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.280$ That's a that's a well populated

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.400$ field to be politically correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:47:22.400 --> 00:47:25.210 And so I, you know, sort of stay in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.276$ lane and within with children

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:27.276 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.336$ and typically and specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:47:29.340 --> 00:47:31.192 And typically developing children

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:47:31.192 --> 00:47:33.507 if you're not typically developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.925$ That is, if you have a neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:35.925 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.570$ psychosis or even autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.042$ We've been excluding those

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:39.042 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.514$ children from our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.992$ Really trying to focus on those

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00{:}47{:}42.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}45.216$ pathways and circuits that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00{:}47{:}45.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.208$ specific for either reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:47:47.208 --> 00:47:49.200 language or executive function.

00:47:49.200 --> 00:47:50.745 Having said that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00{:}47{:}50.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53.320$ we have extensive executive function

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.218$ assessments on all 500 of our kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:47:56.218 --> 00:47:58.636 in our longitudinal study as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:47:58.636 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.746$ the 1300 kids in our initial cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:48:00.746 --> 00:48:02.619 sectional study called the Grad study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.555$ and so we've actually performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:48:04.555 --> 00:48:07.460 our first juos and tiwas on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00{:}48{:}07.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09.256$ data specifically on attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.045$ and I can tell you that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:11.045 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.555$ preliminary results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154 00:48:11.560 --> 00:48:12.416 Look really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154 00:48:12.416 --> 00:48:13.272 really good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:13.272 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.230$ so I think yes we will have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.780$ jeans and genetic variants that

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.178$ will correspond beautifully and and

 $00:48:20.178 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.098$ will identify risk for attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:48:22.100 --> 00:48:23.772 I can tell you is that that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:23.772 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.696$ are a lot of good people in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00{:}48{:}25.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27.345$ field and a number of handful

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:27.345 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.211$ of genes for us specifically for

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:48:29.211 --> 00:48:30.528 attention have been identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:30.528 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.280$ and so I think this is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:33.355 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.999$ cool area of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.110$ We continue to pursue it

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:48:37.110 --> 00:48:39.360 and I'll keep you posted I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

00:48:39.360 --> 00:48:41.628 I think we'll have something solid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.380$ I'm hoping the manuscript will

NOTE Confidence: 0.875898443846154

 $00:48:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.780$ go out before June.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795384104

 $00{:}48{:}46.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}49.380$ Thanks Jeff from Julia Rosenberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795384104

 $00:48:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.078$ Thank you for a great talk.

 $00:48:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.858$ I'd love to learn if English language

NOTE Confidence: 0.795384104

 $00{:}48{:}52.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54.453$ learners have been included in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.795384104

 $00:48:54.453 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.198$ studies in New Haven schools and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.795384104

00:48:56.198 --> 00:48:57.950 you have any insight into how some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.795384104

 $00:48:58.002 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.885$ these findings may factor in for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.795384104

 $00:48:59.885 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.639$ who are English language learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795384104

 $00:49:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.888$ Thank you. Hi

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.735$ Julia, so the short answer is yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.780$ you know there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.396$ So if you're going to be in New Haven

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00{:}49{:}09.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.276$ public schools, you're going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

00:49:11.276 --> 00:49:12.796 You're going to have English

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:12.796 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.576$ language learners. So the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00{:}49{:}14.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.070$ We for our initial study of the grad study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:19.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.280$ we required that so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.490$ was an older age group,

 $00:49:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.410$ so those kids were nine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

00:49:26.410 --> 00:49:27.486 roughly 9 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:27.486 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.730$ and we required that they have been at

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.725$ least three years in instruction in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:31.725 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.545$ United States to be in our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.603$ Remember that study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:34.603 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.709$ The grad study was the 1st,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.698$ and remains the only study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

00:49:39.698 --> 00:49:41.192 underrepresented minorities of

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

00:49:41.192 --> 00:49:43.928 genetics and reading disability effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.216$ and so, and we identified variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.212$ Do that and publish variance through

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00{:}49{:}48.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.356$ that and so that was for the grad

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:50.356 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.760$ studies in the haven next rental project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.572$ We didn't have much.

 $00:49:54.572 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.837$ Basically they could get by

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:56.837 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.440$ with a cursory understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:49:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.094$ In English we didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:50:02.094 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.026$ We didn't offer in the initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:50:04.026 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.425$ study the grad study we offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

00:50:06.425 --> 00:50:07.694 Spanish language testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

00:50:07.700 --> 00:50:09.416 We actually, interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:50:09.416 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.990$ we really see a difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00{:}50{:}12.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.199$ English and testing them in English

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

00:50:14.199 --> 00:50:16.280 or Spanish and the New Haven next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00{:}50{:}16.280 \to 00{:}50{:}18.086$ Project just about all the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

00:50:18.086 --> 00:50:19.780 are pretty proficient in English,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911747908571429

 $00:50:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.066$ but it wasn't an exclusionary criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762341128333333

00:50:24.440 --> 00:50:27.728 Thanks Jeff. Question from Susan Blvd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762341128333333

00:50:27.730 --> 00:50:29.557 Do you know why New York and

NOTE Confidence: 0.762341128333333

 $00:50:29.557 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.622$ California were two of the states that

 $00:50:31.622 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.147$ were not doing dyslexia screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.762341128333333

 $00:50:33.147 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.906$ and any concerns that prenatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.762341128333333

 $00:50:34.906 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.000$ screening might be used to terminate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762341128333333

 $00:50:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.220$ Quote non ideal End Quote babies so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:50:41.250 --> 00:50:43.130 I can't tell you about California New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:50:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.220$ Sorry but I do know that there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:50:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.237$ they haven't passed legislation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:50:47.237 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.609$ yet for universal screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00{:}50{:}48.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.058$ I suspect it has a lot to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00{:}50{:}51.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}53.220$ money and so you know these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:50:53.220 --> 00:50:54.705 expensive things, but I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:50:54.705 --> 00:50:56.130 I don't know the specifics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:50:56.130 --> 00:50:57.066 Regarding prenatal diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:50:57.066 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.938$ I get this question a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:50:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.151$ People find me, they call me and

 $00:51:01.151 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.319$ this is what I tell them and it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:51:03.320 --> 00:51:06.386 you know I I don't work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:51:06.386 --> 00:51:07.514 with children with dyslexia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:51:07.520 --> 00:51:08.447 I'm a neonatologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:08.447 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.055$ but I my group does and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:51:11.055 --> 00:51:12.925 certainly I interact with people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:12.925 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.787$ from around the world that work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:51:15.787 --> 00:51:17.519 children with reading disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00{:}51{:}17.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.678$ and they tell me two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.158$ Yes, they struggle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.945$ And they also tell me that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:51:22.945 --> 00:51:24.502 significant number of them have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:24.502 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.966$ other really significant talents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.526$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:26.526 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.194$ there's a group that has told

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:28.194 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.964$ me that about 20% this is,

 $00:51:29.964 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.253$ and I've I've heard this from multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00{:}51{:}32.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.257$ neuropsychologists that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:51:33.257 --> 00:51:35.117 probably somewhere between 10 and 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.058$ of kids with really severe dyslexia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.996$ Have this special ability to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:39.996 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.787$ see things in three dimensional space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:51:42.790 --> 00:51:45.494 It's really a marvel of ability to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.680$ and so if you if you look at all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:47.739 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.429$ examples of successes and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00{:}51{:}49.429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.700$ who have had pretty severe dyslexia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.455$ Done well business and academia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:53.455 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.005$ etc and they they have been tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00{:}51{:}56.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.195$ and they really do have dyslexia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:51:58.200 --> 00:51:59.760 The question is why would you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:51:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.800$ want to exclude them?

 $00:52:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.205$ Why would you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:02.205 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.329$ do an early termination?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.364$ So I do my very best to when people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:06.364 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.513$ call and I think I've been pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:08.513 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.934$ convincing is that this would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:09.934 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.512$ not be something that he won.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

00:52:11.520 --> 00:52:13.888 I would either test 4 or #2 recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:13.888 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.593$ that it be test four or certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00{:}52{:}16.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.225$ predicated termination on because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00{:}52{:}18.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.418$ it makes no sense what soever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.202$ These kids are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:22.202 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.390$ Are phenomenal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.352$ and they turn into phenomenal adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:25.352 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.310$ and incredibly happy and productive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.850$ They work hard, they struggle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.524$ There's no question about it and

 $00:52:30.524 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.930$ their parents struggle as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264122825

 $00:52:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.348$ but they do universally, really well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

00:52:35.720 --> 00:52:38.574 Well, I think that these kids also may

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:38.574 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.212$ have an even brighter future based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:40.212 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.020$ some of the work you've been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.589$ Jeff, I mean the the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:44.589 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.471$ identify these kids early and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:46.471 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.577$ really change a lot of lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.560$ I have a from auto Phoenix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.590$ Ask says this is fascinating and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.401$ so important that I'm thrilled that

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:54.401 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.466$ you were driving to policy with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00{:}52{:}56.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58.622$ for school aged children earlier than

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:52:58.622 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.577$ reading failure is language delay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:53:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.110$ Does any of the data point to a way to

 $00:53:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.610$ screen genetically for this in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:53:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.980$ to intervene even before school age?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:53:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.432$ If we could do newborn screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:53:09.432 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.158$ for language delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:53:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.580$ we could start early

NOTE Confidence: 0.950234177142857

 $00:53:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.290$ intervention immediately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.510$ So I should pay you out 'cause that was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.754$ that's like the question about language

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:17.754 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.011$ delay or specific language impairment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:20.011 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.475$ reading disability comes up all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00{:}53{:}22.480 \to 00{:}53{:}26.088$ Roughly, if you if you if

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:26.088 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.104$ you do a really careful history on

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:28.104 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.820$ children with reading disability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.588$ about a third of those kids had had

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:53:33.588 --> 00:53:35.780 very significant language delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00{:}53{:}35.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37.836$ that is delay in onset of language again

00:53:37.836 --> 00:53:40.146 just to remind everybody I'm talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:40.146 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.886$ about typically developing children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:53:41.890 --> 00:53:44.263 Children with normal IQ's, but if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:44.263 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.059$ struggling and they clearly have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.940$ you know, and they're tested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.116$ and they really have a reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:53:48.116 --> 00:53:49.639 disability if you do a careful history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:53:49.640 --> 00:53:51.626 you'll find that roughly a third

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:51.626 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.619$ of those kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:53:52.620 --> 00:53:54.270 Well, it had language impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.954$ delayed onset and speech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:53:55.954 --> 00:53:57.414 18 months, 22 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:57.414 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.256$ 24 months even older than that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:53:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.241$ there is a significant overlap and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:54:01.241 --> 00:54:03.676 we look at the genetic association of

 $00:54:03.676 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.576$ jeans that were primarily identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:05.576 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.845$ for reading and then you go around and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00{:}54{:}07.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.682$ you and you use those as candidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:09.682 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.997$ genes for looking at language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.680$ they overlap a great deal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.564$ There are some unique genes specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:14.564 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.212$ for language impairment and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:54:16.212 --> 00:54:17.576 are unique genes specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:54:17.576 --> 00:54:18.599 for reading disability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.340$ but the overlap is significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00{:}54{:}20.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}22.651$ and that can explain why there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:54:22.651 --> 00:54:24.169 the shared heritability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.151$ If you go forward and you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:26.151 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.164$ at kids who are diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:54:28.164 --> 00:54:29.247 specific language impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:54:29.250 --> 00:54:29.646 again,

 $00:54:29.646 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.162$ about 20 to 30% of those kids will

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00{:}54{:}32.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34.137$ get into trouble by the end of first

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:54:34.137 --> 00:54:35.950 grade beginning of 2nd grade and will

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:35.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.732$ have reading disability so those kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:37.732 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.046$ have to be very carefully watched as

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:40.046 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.554$ far as newborn screening is concerned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.552$ When we ask the question a few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:45.552 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.340$ how many tests in a newborn

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:47.416 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.246$ screening were done by DNA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.202$ The answer was zero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:54:51.202 --> 00:54:52.666 That's slowly changing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.502$ and so DNA screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:54:54.502 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.100$ Not only is it potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:54:57.100 --> 00:55:00.140 incredibly sensitive and specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:55:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.072$ it's scaleable.

 $00:55:01.072 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.334$ That means the cost can be incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:55:04.334 --> 00:55:07.418 low and so that's what the hope is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:55:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.516$ and so we're pursuing various ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:55:10.520 --> 00:55:13.474 to get states to think about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:55:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.964$ or at least to fund this at

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

00:55:14.964 --> 00:55:16.449 least as a pilot project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:55:16.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.880$ But that's exactly right auto.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884992815

 $00:55:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.910$ That's what we're trying to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76731277

 $00{:}55{:}20.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}22.544$ I think we have time for one more question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00:55:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.170$ Jeff from Joe Abney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00{:}55{:}24.170 --> 00{:}55{:}25.385 \ \mathrm{Singer} \ \mathrm{exciting} \ \mathrm{work}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

00:55:25.390 --> 00:55:26.830 Have you moved the genetic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00:55:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.962$ to the point of the test that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00:55:28.962 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.357$ a receiver operating curve that

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

00:55:30.357 --> 00:55:31.650 provides data on sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00:55:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.375$ specificity, positive predictive

 $00:55:33.375 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.250$ value and negative predictive value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00:55:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.538$ That's well, let me finish the question here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00:55:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.472$ Hold on to that since kids with

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

00:55:40.472 --> 00:55:42.569 dyslexia are a heterogeneous group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00:55:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.698$ does your work focus on the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00:55:44.698 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.470$ phenotype of phonological awareness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

 $00:55:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.899$ or do you have data on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784843681428571

00:55:48.899 --> 00:55:49.593 orthographic variants?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:55:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.377$ OK, so let me attack the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

00:55:52.377 --> 00:55:53.820 part of the question. First.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:55:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.320$ Joe, it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:55:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.588$ Short answer is no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}55{:}56.588 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}55{:}58.490$ and and the reason is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:55:58.556 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.048$ in or certainly we could do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:01.048 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.917$ retrospectively and when we do

 $00:56:02.917 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.757$ we get a reasonable sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:04.757 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.188$ and incredibly good specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:06.188 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.414$ But as far as a receiver operating

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:08.414 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.399$ curve you really want to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.500$ We could do that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

00:56:12.500 --> 00:56:13.150 looking backwards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.750$ but the real way to do this would

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}56{:}15.813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18.185$ be a uh as a looking forward that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}56{:}18.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}20.580$ is your identified or you just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

00:56:20.580 --> 00:56:22.260 You take a cohort of children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

00:56:22.260 --> 00:56:23.784 follow them for three to five

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:23.784 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.459$ years and and do testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.197$ and that's what the next stage is so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}56{:}27.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}28.917$ can do that receiver operating curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.100$ So we're not there yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

00:56:30.100 --> 00:56:31.520 but I think we're getting

 $00:56:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.656$ closer to being there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.556$ As far as subtests are concerned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.695$ this is the Holy Grail of neuropsychologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.644$ I get asked this question all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.878$ Can you tell me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:39.878 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.106$ Does the reading disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:41.106 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.957$ that we're seeing in this child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

00:56:42.960 --> 00:56:44.984 Is it more to do with orthographic coding?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.240$ Is more to do with phonological

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}56{:}47.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}49.018$ awareness and and so then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:49.018 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.516$ intervention might be a little different

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}56{:}50.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52.322$ and I can tailor to the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}56{:}52.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.610$ We'd love to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.794$ The thing to remember is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:55.794 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.790$ there is no orthographic coding,

 $00:56:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.846$ and for the the for the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:56:59.846 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.835$ informed of the group when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:01.835 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.600$ talking about is really spelling

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.209$ there is no spelling gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.870$ There's no grammar gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.530$ there's no decoding gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

00:57:08.530 --> 00:57:10.174 Remember genes encode proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:10.174 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.640$ proteins make up the receptors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:12.708 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.888$ the neurotransmitters or the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.391$ They make up the glea, the neurons, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}57{:}17.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.426$ The workhorses of the cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.080$ and so they worked together

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}57{:}21.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22.400$ in combination with different

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.898$ systems in order to enable us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.994$ To do these very complex very human

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00{:}57{:}26.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29.198$ things called decoding or reading

 $00{:}57{:}29.198 \to 00{:}57{:}31.598$ and spelling and music as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.220$ And so it it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

00:57:33.220 --> 00:57:34.700 It's it probably isn't going

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.180$ to be a single gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.684$ but it may be a panel of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:38.684 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.560$ or a panel a panoply perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.540$ or a profile of certain variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.079$ that may be more weighted towards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.724$ say, decoding versus spelling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:47.724 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.779$ but we don't know yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872389497272727

 $00:57:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.250$ The studies aren't large enough yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

00:57:52.710 --> 00:57:54.110 Well Jeff, you know you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

 $00{:}57{:}54.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56.330$ You left plenty of time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

00:57:56.330 --> 00:57:58.162 Q&A and our time is up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

 $00:57:58.162 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.310$ but I apologize to the many people.

 $00{:}58{:}00.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01.648$ There's a lot of enthusiasm for

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

 $00:58:01.648 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.106$ this talk and many questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

 $00:58:03.106 \dashrightarrow 00:58:04.648$ comments which we didn't get to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

 $00:58:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.380$ So let me just congratulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

 $00{:}58{:}06.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08.690$ you on a marvelous talk and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

 $00:58:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.430$ think that takes up our hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.735784878

 $00:58:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.280$ and thanks everybody for coming.