WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:21:20" NOTE recognizability:0.937

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $00:00:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.120$  Well, welcome everyone to tonight's

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

00:00:05.120 --> 00:00:08.344 webinar from the program from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $00:00:08.344 \dashrightarrow 00:00:10.840$  Yale Program for Biomedical Ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:00:13.400 --> 00:00:15.492 I'm doctor Jack Hughes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:00:15.492 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.640$  and I will be your host tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:00:19.640 \dashrightarrow 00:00:22.476$  I, along with my colleague Sarah Hall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:00:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.744$  am an associate director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:00:25.744 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.360$  Program for Biomedical Ethics, our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:00:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.207$  The our our usual host and the director

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:00:36.207 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.188$  of the Program for Biomedical Ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}00{:}39.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.344$  Doctor Mark Mercurio, is out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:00:41.344 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.030$  town and will not be here to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:00:44.030 --> 00:00:47.802 But we are pleased to night to hear

 $00:00:47.802 \dashrightarrow 00:00:49.732$  from Professor Milger Cho from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}00{:}49.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.574$  Stanford University, who will talk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:00:52.574 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.670$  us about the language of difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:00:55.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.130$  inclusiveness and equity. In the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00:01:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.030$  excuse me, increasing equity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00:01:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.410$  inclusion in how we categorize patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

00:01:10.410 --> 00:01:13.530 So before I introduce Doctor Cho,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00:01:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.330$  I want to tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00{:}01{:}14.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.018$  I want to say a few words about

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

00:01:17.018 --> 00:01:19.240 the program for biomedical ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00{:}01{:}19.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.448$  and also about how we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

00:01:22.448 --> 00:01:24.260 to conduct tonight's program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

00:01:24.260 --> 00:01:25.940 The program for Biomedical Ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00{:}01{:}25.940 --> 00{:}01{:}27.818$  as many of you are aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00:01:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.108$  brings speakers on a variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00{:}01{:}31.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.790$  topics in biomedical ethics on

 $00:01:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.220$  at least once or twice a month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00{:}01{:}37.220 --> 00{:}01{:}41.780$  And let me tell you about our

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00:01:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.500$  a couple of upcoming sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95132334

 $00:01:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.500$  one of which will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.90113586

 $00:01:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.499$  let me see, I have it down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484301125

 $00:01:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.400$  Yes, Professor Jen Miller from Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484301125

 $00:01:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.455$  will be talking to us about equity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484301125

 $00:02:00.455 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.100$  biomedical research on April the 19th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484301125

 $00{:}02{:}05.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.230$  We will be sponsoring a day long

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484301125

 $00:02:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.574$  seminar on ethics of the heart justice

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484301125

 $00:02:12.574 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.140$  considerations in in heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484301125

 $00:02:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.900$  That will be on May 11th and that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484301125

 $00:02:18.900 \dashrightarrow 00:02:21.220$  organized by my colleague Dr. Sarah Fall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8040452 00:02:23.290 --> 00:02:23.490 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819182

 $00:02:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.682$  so in tonight's session,

 $00:02:30.682 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.930$  Professor Cho will speak for approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.819182

 $00{:}02{:}36.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.930$  45 minutes and after which she will

NOTE Confidence: 0.819182

 $00:02:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.758$  receive questions and comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819182

00:02:43.758 --> 00:02:46.070 Please put your your comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.819182

 $00:02:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.420$  and questions into the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.819182

 $00:02:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.149$  function and I will read those to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819182

 $00:02:52.149 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.760$  Professor Cho at the time. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.942311317

 $00{:}02{:}58.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.260$  Professor Cho is professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942311317

 $00{:}03{:}01.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.840$  Pediatrics and medicine at Stanford,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942311317

 $00{:}03{:}03.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.768$  and she's the director of the Stanford

NOTE Confidence: 0.942311317

00:03:06.768 --> 00:03:09.040 Center for Biomedical Ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942311317

 $00:03:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.088$  She's also the principal investigator of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942311317

 $00:03:12.088 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.760$  the Stanford Center for Integration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942311317

 $00:03:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.999$  Research on Genetics and Ethics. And she is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925158445

 $00:03:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.770$  She is in addition to the director,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925158445

 $00:03:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.250$  she's she is professor, and she is the

 $00:03:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.946$  her major areas of interest are the ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:03:40.946 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.658$  and social issues raised by new technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:03:43.658 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.682$  such as genetic testing, gene therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:03:46.682 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.546$  pharmacogenetics and gene patents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:03:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.722$  She also studies how academic industry ties

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:03:53.722 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.830$  affect the conduct of biomedical research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:03:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.830$  She was an undergraduate at MIT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.810$  obtained her PhD at Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.634$  And then did a health policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:06.634 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.214$  fellowship at the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

00:04:08.214 --> 00:04:09.769 California at the San Francisco.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.430$  She has been at Stanford

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.090$  for the past several years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:13.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.247$  She is highly regarded as a mentor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.366$  She is a productive author and researcher.

 $00:04:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.575$  And we are delighted to have her

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00{:}04{:}22.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.039$  here to night to talk to us about

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:25.039 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.483$  the language of difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

00:04:26.490 --> 00:04:28.762 increasing equity and inclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:28.762 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.602$  in how we categorize patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.960$  Professor Cho.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.156$  It the floor is yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927582261578948

 $00:04:36.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.960$  Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89553784

 $00{:}04{:}37.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.600$  Thank you. Thank you, doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:04:56.670 --> 00:05:01.140 So thank you very much for inviting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:05:01.140 --> 00:05:03.590 me to join your group today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:05:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.158$  I'm going to be talking a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:05:06.158 --> 00:05:08.613 about the topic that I is not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:05:08.613 --> 00:05:11.430 the focus of my main areas of research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:05:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.506$  but it's one that I think is important to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}05{:}17.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.252$  Addressed for bioethic ists as well As for

 $00{:}05{:}22.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.390$  biomedical scientists and clinicians. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100633

 $00{:}05{:}31.860 \to 00{:}05{:}36.060$  I hope you're able to see my slides here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875359876

 $00:05:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.620$  They look great. OK, good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:05:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.875$  So what I'll be talking about today

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:05:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.790$  stems from some work that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:05:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.198$  done on what we call DEI efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

00:05:58.200 --> 00:06:00.984 diversity, equity and inclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.184$  efforts at Stanford and elsewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

00:06:03.184 --> 00:06:05.440 And as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.080$  these types of efforts are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

00:06:08.080 --> 00:06:11.096 pervasive in biomedical research

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00{:}06{:}11.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.866$  at at medical institutions and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00{:}06{:}14.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.252$  Some of these efforts are aimed

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:17.252 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.533$  at increasing diversity of human

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:19.533 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.230$  participants in research as well

 $00:06:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.390$  as in the research workforce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00{:}06{:}26.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.270$  The political and social forces

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:28.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.097$  driving some of these efforts have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:31.097 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.887$  comprehensively studied by sociologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

00:06:32.887 --> 00:06:35.629 such as Steven Epstein and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.226$  but an under examined issue in DEI is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:40.226 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.110$  language and the concept of human difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.235$  Not just as an exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:45.235 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.510$  in political correctness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.387$  but in looking at DEI as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00{:}06{:}49.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.430$  ethical issue more broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.572$  So what I hope to do is to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:53.572 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.797$  why we need to critically examine

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:55.797 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.707$  what we mean by diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.670$  including how we measure it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:06:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.238$  and that we must take care to ensure

 $00:07:02.238 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.926$  that the language we use to concepts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00{:}07{:}06.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.998$  difference are scientifically accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691157142857

 $00:07:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.038$  Clinically useful and not morally offensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:07:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.535$  So I'll argue that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:07:23.535 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.755$  issues are ethical issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:07:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.721$  So what I'm showing you today is a part

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:07:28.721 --> 00:07:31.717 of a national academies of sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00{:}07{:}31.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.875$  engineering and Medicine report on

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}07{:}33.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.504$  the use of population descriptors in

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}07{:}36.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.534$  genetics and genomics research that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:07:38.534 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.920$  was just released earlier this month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:07:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.575$  And it provides recommendations for

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}07{:}42.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.720$  the use of categories such as race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:07:44.720 --> 00:07:45.875 ethnicity and ancestry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:07:45.875 --> 00:07:48.570 which are built on a framework of

 $00:07:48.641 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.496$  ethical principles which you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}07{:}50.496 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}07{:}53.466$  see here in the middle of respect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:07:53.466 --> 00:07:55.678 beneficence, equity and justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:07:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.795$  as well as scientific values of validity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:07:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.430$  responsibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

00:07:59.430 --> 00:08:01.320 transparency and replicability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.100$  So although this report was intended

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}08{:}05.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.658$  for genetic research communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}08{:}07.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.560$  The principles apply to research

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.460$  relevant to humans more generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}08{:}11.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.356$  and also to categories of human

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:13.356 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.620$  difference other than race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:08:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.180$  ethnicity, and ancestry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.316$  So how I got started into

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:24.316 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.820$  some of these issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

00:08:25.820 --> 00:08:28.736 looking at some of these issues,

 $00:08:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.536$  was because of my role on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00{:}08{:}34.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.305$  On the editorial board of a journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:37.305 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.502$  called Genetics and Medicine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:39.502 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.674$  on a committee for this journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00{:}08{:}41.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.178$  that was called the Idea Committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.842$  the Inclusion, Diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:45.842 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.058$  equity and Access Committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.140$  As chair of this committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.776$  the EDITORINCHIEF asked us to reexamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:53.776 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.153$  the publications that have been put

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:08:57.153 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.932$  out by the the journal over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:09:00.932 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.868$  For to look for possible offensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00{:}09{:}04.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.323$  terminology and to think about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:09:07.323 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.790$  we should do about it as a journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:09:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.428$  And I know that many other journals,

00:09:11.430 --> 00:09:12.698 professional societies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

00:09:12.698 --> 00:09:15.234 publishers and others have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:09:15.234 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.129$  been doing similar things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93723458

 $00:09:18.129 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.749$  and in particular journals have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:09:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.140$  out. Have been issuing guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

00:09:26.140 --> 00:09:29.517 on language such as the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:09:29.517 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.646$  Psychological Association which

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:09:31.646 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.950$  you can see up on top has a style

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00{:}09{:}34.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.185$  guide and a major section of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

00:09:36.185 --> 00:09:38.195 Style guide is called Bias free

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00{:}09{:}38.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.696$  language and it has very extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:09:40.696 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.988$  resources and recommendations for

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:09:42.988 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.825$  the for language to be used in

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00{:}09{:}46.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50.340$  publications of the society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:09:50.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.672$  On the bottom right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

00:09:51.672 --> 00:09:54.259 you can see that there have been

 $00:09:54.259 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.780$  publications and guidance issued by

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:09:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.180$  biomedical journals such as JAMA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

00:09:59.180 --> 00:10:00.008 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

00:10:00.008 --> 00:10:02.906 this one was an updated guidance focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

00:10:02.906 --> 00:10:05.649 on reporting of race and ethnicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:10:05.649 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.899$  in medical and science journals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:10:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.140$  So what our journal was doing was

NOTE Confidence: 0.930711184615385

 $00:10:10.140 \dashrightarrow 00:10:14.500$  part of sort of a much larger movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:10:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.640$  So that was how it started, sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00{:}10{:}20.640 \to 00{:}10{:}22.971$  Where we got to was publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00{:}10{:}22.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.157$  of an article called words Matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:10:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.994$  the language of difference in Human Genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:10:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.132$  And I'll be telling you about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:10:31.132 --> 00:10:33.636 paper through the rest of this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:10:33.640 --> 00:10:35.386 And I want to acknowledge my

00:10:35.386 --> 00:10:37.257 coauthors who some of whom are

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:10:37.257 --> 00:10:38.877 members of the Idea Committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:10:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.880$  with me, in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:10:40.880 --> 00:10:44.152 Laura du Galassio and, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:10:44.152 --> 00:10:46.060 Laura du Galassio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:10:46.060 --> 00:10:50.412 Who is also a molecular pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:10:50.412 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.260$  and laboratory geneticist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:10:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.540$  as well as Inna Amarillo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:10:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.739$  who also runs a clinical genetics laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:10:56.740 --> 00:10:57.464 Kevin Mintz,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:10:57.464 --> 00:11:00.360 who is not a member of the committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:11:00.438 --> 00:11:02.876 but Disability Rights Scholar who

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:11:02.876 --> 00:11:06.200 also works on issues of disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:11:06.289 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.059$  as they intersect with genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:11:09.060 --> 00:11:11.180 Robin Bennett and Kyle Brothers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00{:}11{:}11.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.860$  who are members of the committee.

 $00:11:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.540$  Robin is a genetic counselor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00{:}11{:}15.540 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}11{:}18.445$  Who has been working on guidelines for

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:11:18.445 --> 00:11:21.272 terminology for the National Society of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

00:11:21.272 --> 00:11:24.288 Genetic Counselors for almost 30 years now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:11:24.288 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.419$  and Kyle Brothers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:11:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.536$  who is a pediatrician and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:11:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.066$  an LC scholar who is works

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222005

 $00:11:32.066 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.096$  on the committee with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}11{:}37.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.596$  So some of the premises of this paper are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}11{:}41.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.530$  that in biomedical research and medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:11:44.530 --> 00:11:46.470 Language that defines the boundaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:11:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.410$  of human difference is pervasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}11{:}48.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.330$  In fact, some of this language is critical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:11:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.352$  and the concepts behind them are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:11:54.352 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.085$  critical to conducting biomedical

 $00:11:56.085 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.410$  research and to clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00{:}12{:}01.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.596$  But the use of these categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00:12:03.596 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.976$  in research and clinical practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00:12:05.976 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.256$  does reify those boundaries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00:12:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.910$  And the classification schemes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

00:12:10.910 --> 00:12:14.223 they imply confer power and privilege

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00:12:14.223 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.098$  to some and marginalize others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00:12:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.075$  which was very well described in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00{:}12{:}20.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.242$  seminal book by Jeff Bakker and Susan

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00:12:23.242 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.734$  Lee star called Sorting Things Out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00{:}12{:}26.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.575$  And these classification schemes can

NOTE Confidence: 0.943863262666667

 $00:12:28.575 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.020$  also lead to poor health outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:12:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.392$  so. Let's ask ourselves some questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9477710111111111

00:12:41.392 --> 00:12:43.378 about categorizing difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:12:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.991$  because these categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:12:44.991 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.139$  do make a difference.

 $00:12:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.378$  What do we mean by diversity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:12:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.477$  Why do we classify according to certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:12:52.477 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.419$  features of difference and not others?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

00:12:56.420 --> 00:12:58.620 An important part of biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:12:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.380$  research and clinical practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.590$  is creating and classifying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

00:13:02.590 --> 00:13:04.546 According to these categories of difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.590$  in order to delineate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.630$  delineate normal from abnormal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.922$  pathogenic, from non pathogenic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9477710111111111

 $00:13:10.922 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.360$  and other features which are necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00{:}13{:}14.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.189$  to identify correlates of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9477710111111111

 $00:13:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.165$  However, we know that classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:19.165 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.310$  schemes privilege some, marginalize others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.670$  and confer power and control to those

 $00:13:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.909$  who devise the classification schemes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00{:}13{:}27.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.129$  So what I'll discuss today is why

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:30.129 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.388$  it's important to ask questions about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.000$  Why we are categorizing diversity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

00:13:35.000 --> 00:13:38.178 how those categories are derived and why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:38.178 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.348$  These are also ethical questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.012$  and what I'm showing here is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

00:13:46.012 --> 00:13:49.264 example of a categorization in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94777101111111111

 $00{:}13{:}49.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.808$  international classifications of

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00:13:51.808 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.822$  diseases which has a category that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.947771011111111

 $00{:}13{:}55.822 \to 00{:}13{:}58.030$  called struck by Orca initial encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291579

 $00:14:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.862$  So one major reason that the application

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291579

 $00:14:07.862 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.970$  of the categories medically harmful for is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:14:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.267$  for example, an example of how they can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:14:20.267 --> 00:14:22.567 medically harmful is through the practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:14:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.849$  for example, of race norming or

 $00:14:25.849 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.963$  that these categories can lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:14:28.963 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.609$  discrimination and access to services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:14:31.610 --> 00:14:33.890 Another way these categories can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:14:33.890 --> 00:14:37.133 harmful is if they're morally offensive by

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:14:37.133 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.883$  reinforcing injustice and showing disrespect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:14:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.263$  The categories in the labels used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:14:42.263 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.360$  describe them are often value laden

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:14:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.733$  and often assigned by people who not

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:14:46.796 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.926$  do not belong to those categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00{:}14{:}48.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.234$  Finally, when categories that are used

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00{:}14{:}51.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.654$  or derived from social or political

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:14:53.654 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.517$  purposes are used in clinical practice or

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00{:}14{:}56.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.010$  biomedical research for different purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00{:}14{:}59.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.698$  they can be harmful

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:15:00.698 --> 00:15:01.964 because they're inaccurate.

 $00:15:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.470$  And lead to inappropriate aggregation

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00{:}15{:}04.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.970$  or disaggregation of data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:15:07.049 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.290$  eventually health disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:15:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.270$  I'll discuss several different

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:15:11.270 --> 00:15:13.745 types of classification and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00{:}15{:}13.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.847$  language used to describe them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:15:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.810$  including disability and severity of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:15:19.810 --> 00:15:22.526 sex and gender, and race and ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00{:}15{:}22.530 \to 00{:}15{:}26.170$  And I think this may be a good time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:15:26.170 --> 00:15:28.714 give people a trigger warning that I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:15:28.714 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.460$  be using terms that are considered slurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:15:31.460 --> 00:15:32.831 Throughout this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:15:32.831 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.116$  because they're commonly used in

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:15:35.116 --> 00:15:37.900 medical and scientific parlance today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:15:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.154$  and it's necessary to identify them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:15:40.154 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.846$  order to call them out as inappropriate

 $00:15:42.846 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.336$  and also to present alternative language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

00:15:45.340 --> 00:15:49.580 And I say this also knowing that from my

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:15:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.419$  role as on the editorial board of a journal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291574

 $00:15:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.616$  a scientific journal that every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931672972727273

 $00:15:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.227$  I am asked to look at manuscripts

NOTE Confidence: 0.931672972727273

 $00:15:59.227 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.072$  that are submitted to the journal that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931672972727273

00:16:02.072 --> 00:16:04.142 still continue to use terminology

NOTE Confidence: 0.931672972727273

 $00:16:04.142 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.870$  that are considered slurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931672972727273

00:16:07.870 --> 00:16:11.214 So I think it's important to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.931672972727273

00:16:11.214 --> 00:16:14.510 a conversation about educating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931672972727273

00:16:14.510 --> 00:16:16.103 educating various communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.931672972727273

00:16:16.103 --> 00:16:19.289 about how and why these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.931672972727273

 $00{:}16{:}19.289 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}16{:}21.309$  considered in appropriate now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.994$  So let's start with the concept of disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:28.994 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.889$  and looking at some definitions of it.

 $00:16:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.305$  The first one is from the ADA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:35.305 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.050$  which defines a person with a disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.834$  as a person who has a physical or

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

00:16:41.834 --> 00:16:43.480 mental impairment that substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

00:16:43.480 --> 00:16:46.560 limits one or more major life activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.317$  The second one comes from the CDC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.215$  which defines disability as any

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:50.215 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.520$  condition of the body or mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

00:16:52.520 --> 00:16:54.314 That makes it more difficult for

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:54.314 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.280$  the person with the condition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00{:}16{:}56.280 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}58.060$  do certain activities and interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.880$  with the world around them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:16:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.735$  So you can perhaps imagine how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00{:}17{:}01.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.719$  definitions have an element of subjectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:17:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.536$  but also that they focus on biological and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936380874666667

 $00:17:07.536 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.640$  physical characteristics of individuals.

 $00:17:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.060$  The Who published an international

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00{:}17{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.590$  classification of functioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

00:17:18.590 --> 00:17:20.744 disability and Health to give some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:20.744 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.030$  help to the concepts of function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.238$  activity and participation levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:25.238 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.550$  and perhaps to reduce some subjectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.652$  More importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:29.652 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.407$  the ICF implicitly acknowledges the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:32.407 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.316$  role of environmental conditions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:35.316 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.286$  essentially creating disability by raising

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00{:}17{:}38.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.366$  barriers to activity and participation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.682$  Thus, it acknowledges that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

00:17:42.682 --> 00:17:44.650 disability is not just a biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

00:17:44.712 --> 00:17:46.408 characteristic of an individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.165$  but includes a component of

00:17:48.165 --> 00:17:49.569 interaction with the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.298$  an idea that is central to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:17:51.298 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.162$  the disability critique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:17:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.678$  So because biomedical researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:17:59.678 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.880$  play a big role in defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

00:18:01.943 --> 00:18:04.168 categories of disability and disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:18:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.882$  and because these definitions

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:18:05.882 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.487$  are value laden, it's the job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:18:08.487 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.682$  Of researchers and bioethicists as

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

00:18:10.682 --> 00:18:13.619 well to take a thoughtful approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00{:}18{:}13.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.583$  and guard against and combat ableism

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:18:16.664 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.939$  in the act of classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:18:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.664$  Ableism is discrimination against

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00{:}18{:}20.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23.250$  and stereotyping of whole groups of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:18:23.315 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.345$  people based on incorrect assumptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:18:25.345 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.877$  that people with typical or normal

00:18:27.877 --> 00:18:30.499 abilities are superior to those with

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00{:}18{:}30.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.650$  disabilities and that the disabled state

NOTE Confidence: 0.922523846666667

 $00:18:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.940$  is undesirable and something to be fixed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

00:18:37.440 --> 00:18:38.952 Unfortunately, ableist tendencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $00:18:38.952 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.472$  are deeply embedded in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $00:18:41.472 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.878$  society and in science as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $00:18:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.036$  Most of you have heard about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:18:48.160 --> 00:18:50.825 \$1927.00 versus Bell decision by

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:18:50.825 --> 00:18:54.067 the US Supreme Court in which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:18:54.067 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.281$  court upheld a Virginia statute that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

00:18:56.281 --> 00:18:58.533 provided for the sterilization for

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:18:58.533 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.345$  of people considered genetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00{:}19{:}00.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.536$  unfit and paved the way for laws.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:19:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.036$  That were similar to that in 30 States

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:19:06.036 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.086$  and leading to an estimated 65,000

 $00:19:08.086 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.216$  Americans being sterilized without consent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93883274

00:19:12.300 --> 00:19:14.260 It's the categorization of Carrie

NOTE Confidence: 0.93883274

 $00:19:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.220$  Buck as being genetically unfit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301349325

 $00:19:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.865$  based on being labeled as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301349325

 $00:19:20.865 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.380$  feebleminded and promiscuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:19:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.940$  that was central to this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:19:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.160$  and this alleged promiscuity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:19:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.130$  led her to having a child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}19{:}32.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.380$  And then the famous quote 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:19:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.750$  generations of imbeciles are enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00{:}19{:}38.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.950$  However, there was no evidence for

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:19:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.510$  this family's feeblemindedness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00{:}19{:}43.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.442$  Carrie Buck was known to be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00{:}19{:}45.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.189$  avid reader of the new spaper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:19:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.311$  and her school record in fact indicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:19:49.311 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.269$  that she was not feebleminded.

 $00:19:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.110$  Carrie's daughter Vivian was at

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:19:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.950$  worst A/B student in school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:19:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.390$  and even on the honor roll one year

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

00:19:57.390 --> 00:20:00.390 Carrie's socalled promiscuity was actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:20:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.565$  The relabeling of her rape

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:20:02.565 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.870$  by Clarence Garland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

00:20:03.870 --> 00:20:06.666 a relative of her foster parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

00:20:06.670 --> 00:20:07.633 however, Harry Laughlin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:20:07.633 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.559$  director of the Eugenics Record office

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

00:20:09.559 --> 00:20:11.390 at Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:20:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.230$  was the scientific expert who

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00{:}20{:}13.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.070$  nonetheless opined that Carrie Buck

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:20:15.128 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.060$  should be sterilized based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00{:}20{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.150$  assessment that she was feebleminded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:20:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.270$  Those categorizations that were key

 $00:20:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.390$  to allowing infringement of critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00{:}20{:}23.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.110$  individual rights and liberties

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

00:20:25.110 --> 00:20:27.175 were absolutely not evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:20:27.175 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.773$  and were but were protected by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

00:20:29.773 --> 00:20:31.189 veneer of scientific authority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

00:20:31.190 --> 00:20:33.255 While this Supreme Court case

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

00:20:33.255 --> 00:20:34.907 perpetrated many moral injuries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00:20:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.548$  I think it's also an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00{:}20{:}36.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.150$  albeit perhaps an extreme example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00{:}20{:}39.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.975$  of how categorization is misused

NOTE Confidence: 0.954789558888889

 $00{:}20{:}40.975 --> 00{:}20{:}42.070$  to great harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:20:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.126$  A different way that values are

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:20:50.126 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.348$  embedded in categories is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:20:52.348 --> 00:20:54.178 terminology used to describe them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:20:54.180 --> 00:20:55.780 Efforts by disability rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:20:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.780$  groups and people with lived

 $00:20:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.299$  experience with disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:20:59.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.380$  as well as their families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.570$  have led the way towards greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:21:02.570 --> 00:21:04.635 inclusivity of language to signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:04.635 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.258$  respect and trustworthiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.904$  There's evidence that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:07.904 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.137$  those with disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:21:09.140 --> 00:21:12.260 lack of inclusivity and respect are

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00{:}21{:}12.260 \to 00{:}21{:}15.300$  ongoing barriers to receiving healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.919$  so these signals are more than symbolic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.625$  Some specific actions that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00{:}21{:}20.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.666$  been taken include the passing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00{:}21{:}22.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.316$  Rosa's law in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.220$  which changes references to mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.120$  retardation of federal law to

00:21:28.187 --> 00:21:30.327 intellectual disability and changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:30.327 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.436$  mentally \*\*\*\*\*\* to individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:32.436 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.508$  with an intellectual disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:21:34.508 --> 00:21:37.360 taking a first person approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.350$  Families in the European fragile X

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.866$  network have also recently led the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:21:43.866 --> 00:21:48.930 charge to rename the FM R1 gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.446$  To the fragile X messenger ribonuclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:21:52.446 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.410$  ribonuclear protein 1 gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235 00:21:56.410 --> 00:21:56.702 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00{:}21{:}56.702 --> 00{:}21{:}58.746$  in order to make good on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:21:58.746 --> 00:22:00.770 principles of respect and beneficence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:22:00.770 --> 00:22:03.524 it's important to look to disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:03.524 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.785$  communities to understand when and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:05.785 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.879$  for whom taking the first person

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00{:}22{:}07.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.168$  approach is or is not preferred.

 $00:22:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.088$  For example, many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:11.088 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.924$  but not all individuals who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:12.924 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.037$  deaf or who live with autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:22:15.037 --> 00:22:16.437 spectrum disorder prefer being

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:16.437 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.130$  referred to as deaf or autistic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.782$  symbolizing that they consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:19.782 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.260$  themselves part of a distinct cultural

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.078$  group or have a distinct identity

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:24.078 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.449$  on the basis of their disabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235 00:22:26.450 --> 00:22:26.781 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

00:22:26.781 --> 00:22:28.767 this begs the question about whether,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235 00:22:28.770 --> 00:22:29.303 when, NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:29.303 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.435$  and how researchers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00{:}22{:}31.435 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}22{:}33.567$  clinical professionals should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:33.567 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.990$  categorizing conditions people for

 $00:22:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.190$  research and clinical purposes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00{:}22{:}38.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.566$  And categories for new for scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:40.566 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.388$  purse should also be used for medical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939628550588235

 $00:22:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.350$  social policy and political purposes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128782857143

 $00:22:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.270$  So moving on here to another example

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128782857143

00:22:54.270 --> 00:22:57.535 of categorization of severity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128782857143

00:22:57.535 --> 00:22:59.510 disease, I'll show you a recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.150$  example which is the product

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.006$  of value based decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.972$  In this case, decisions made by

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00{:}23{:}07.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.709$  clinicians and criticized not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:09.709 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.209$  for the categories themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.540$  but for the process by

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.404$  which they were generated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.230$  So in this example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00{:}23{:}17.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.021$  I'm showing you here an article which

 $00:23:21.021 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.649$  represents guidelines for carrier

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00{:}23{:}23.649 \to 00{:}23{:}27.368$  genetic carrier screening is sued by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:27.368 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.123$  American College of Medical Genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

00:23:30.130 --> 00:23:32.572 And these guidelines were meant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:32.572 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.200$  address equitable distribution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:34.263 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.298$  the benefits of genetic screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.936$  These guidelines expanded the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00{:}23{:}37.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39.981$  populations that were recommended to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:39.981 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.187$  get genetic screening for conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

00:23:42.187 --> 00:23:46.592 that are have been associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00{:}23{:}46.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.780$  specific population subpopulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

00:23:49.780 --> 00:23:52.700 So prior to this update,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

00:23:52.700 --> 00:23:54.188 genetic screening guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:54.188 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.172$  recommended that cystic fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:23:56.172 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.487$  screening be offered to those

 $00{:}23{:}58.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.376$  of Northern European ancestry or

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:24:00.376 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.156$  sickle cell disease be offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

00:24:02.156 --> 00:24:04.260 to those of African ancestry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:24:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.104$  for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:24:05.104 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.636$  So the update recognized that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:24:07.636 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.211$  populations are not accurately

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:24:09.211 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.521$  respective of who is likely to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00:24:11.521 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.028$  a carrier of the associated genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

 $00{:}24{:}14.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.214$  so the recommendations were no longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031692

00:24:16.214 --> 00:24:17.670 limited to specific populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

 $00:24:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.108$  however well-intentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

 $00:24:21.108 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.184$  these guidelines were criticized

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

 $00:24:23.184 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.243$  in part because of how categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

00:24:26.243 --> 00:24:28.055 of disease severity were

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

 $00:24:28.055 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.598$  defined in these guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

 $00:24:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.838$  Disease severity is typically a key

00:24:32.838 --> 00:24:35.599 factor in public health screening criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

 $00:24:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.000$  as well as disease prevalence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

00:24:38.000 --> 00:24:39.096 analytic validity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

 $00:24:39.096 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.288$  availability of treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.935836339411765

 $00:24:41.288 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.480$  diagnostic or reproductive options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

00:24:47.160 --> 00:24:49.712 You can see some of the categories of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:24:49.712 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.569$  disease severity used in these guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:24:51.569 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.080$  on the right side of the screen here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00{:}24{:}54.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.312$  including categories called profound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:24:57.312 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.910$  severe, and moderate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.830$  In a blog late last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.455$  the genetic counselors Katie Stoll

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00{:}25{:}05.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.080$  and Robert Resta highlighted the

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:08.159 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.852$  categories of disease severity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:10.852 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.562$  noted that it's concerning that

 $00:25:12.562 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.363$  the study puts conditions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:14.363 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.843$  are associated with intellectual

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:15.843 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.060$  disability in the same group as

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.878$  those that are associated with death

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:19.878 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.756$  and infancy or early childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:21.756 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.137$  Also, if we look across the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.228$  Many if not most of us will experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

00:25:28.228 --> 00:25:30.596 some features that could be counted

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00{:}25{:}30.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.540$  in the severe and or moderate buckets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.398$  So importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00{:}25{:}34.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.401$  stolen Resta went on to critique how

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:37.401 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.020$  the categories of disease severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.054$  were just were actually derived being

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:43.054 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.812$  based on a single limited survey of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:45.812 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.832$  healthcare providers that was conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

00:25:47.832 --> 00:25:49.777 by the company called Council,

 $00:25:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.725$  now called Myriad Genetics because

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:25:52.725 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.670$  they were bought by Myriad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

00:25:55.670 --> 00:25:59.534 And this survey was limited to 192

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

00:25:59.534 --> 00:26:01.550 genetic counselors and physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:26:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.811$  and did not include those with lived

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

00:26:03.811 --> 00:26:05.222 experience with the conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.942540736363636

 $00:26:05.222 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.270$  included on the ACMG panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

00:26:12.510 --> 00:26:14.855 So let's look at some recent examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

00:26:14.855 --> 00:26:16.858 of changes to language describing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00{:}26{:}16.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.654$  categories of sex and gender in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00:26:19.654 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.291$  clinical documents, such as laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00{:}26{:}22.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.559$  requisition forms and reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00:26:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.804$  Part of the rationale for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00:26:26.804 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.792$  suggested changes in language is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00:26:28.792 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.937$  that the pathologization of these

 $00:26:30.937 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.653$  characteristics and identities of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00{:}26{:}32.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.679$  these groups adds to the stigma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

00:26:34.680 --> 00:26:35.728 harassment, violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00:26:35.728 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.300$  and healthcare inequities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00:26:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.396$  these groups already face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

00:26:39.400 --> 00:26:40.940 With very poor health outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00:26:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.493$  and leads to lack of trust in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9508201065

 $00:26:43.493 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.078$  healthcare system as a whole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91961395

 $00{:}26{:}47.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.360$  These changes to category names

NOTE Confidence: 0.91961395

 $00:26:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.360$  represent a major shift that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91961395

 $00{:}26{:}51.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.484$  Can signal respect for gender and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91961395

 $00{:}26{:}53.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.191$  sex and sex minority communities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91961395

00:26:56.191 --> 00:26:58.639 trustworthiness of healthcare providers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91961395

 $00:26:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.854$  but more work needs to be done to fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.91961395

 $00:27:00.854 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.099$  and adopt inclusive language throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.91961395

 $00:27:03.099 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.519$  the research and healthcare enterprises.

 $00:27:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.012$  Other medical professional groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:13.012 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.227$  working with patient advocates have

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00{:}27{:}15.227 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}27{:}17.409$  also instituted changes to language

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:17.409 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.504$  describing sex and gender minorities

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:19.504 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.822$  to make categorization more expansive

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:21.822 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.117$  and more inclusive of differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.275$  In particular, terms that imply

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00{:}27{:}26.275 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.999$  that only cisgendered individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:27.999 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.246$  are normal while others are

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

00:27:30.246 --> 00:27:31.994 abnormal have been discontinued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

00:27:32.000 --> 00:27:35.080 Again, this inclusivity is not just symbolic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.008$  It facilitates the collection of clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00{:}27{:}38.008 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}27{:}40.360$  relevant biological and social data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.480$  as well as signaling respect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.106$  trustworthiness and affirmation

 $00:27:44.106 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.274$  of a diverse identity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00{:}27{:}46.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.292$  and conclude to increase clinic visits

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:49.292 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.830$  and seeking access to care according to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:53.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.550$  A large number of empirical

NOTE Confidence: 0.960033493793103

 $00:27:55.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.270$  studies that have documented this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.410$  There's another example from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.310$  National Society of Genetic Counselors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.322$  which last year changed its standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00{:}28{:}08.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.330$  pedigree nomenclature in response

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

00:28:10.406 --> 00:28:13.017 to quote a growing awareness of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

00:28:13.017 --> 00:28:15.228 nonbinary nature of sex and gender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.303$  as well as respect for the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:18.303 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.324$  in clinical settings of a person's

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

00:28:21.324 --> 00:28:23.488 selfidentified gender and sexuality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

00:28:23.490 --> 00:28:27.228 And this this article explicitly cites

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:27.228 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.944$  evidence linking patients access to health

 $00:28:30.944 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.764$  records with better health outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00{:}28{:}33.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.528$  You can see in this diagram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

00:28:35.530 --> 00:28:37.050 Or maybe, maybe you can't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.930$  but I hope you can see that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00{:}28{:}39.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.315$  there's some new nomenclature symbols

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00{:}28{:}42.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.539$  which now includes a diamond shaped symbol

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:45.539 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.806$  at the bottom row there in addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:48.806 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.518$  the previously used squares and circles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:28:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.680$  And note that symbols represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

00:28:53.680 --> 00:28:55.840 gender identity as opposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

00:28:55.914 --> 00:28:58.039 phenotypic gender and not sex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00{:}28{:}58.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.590$  The nomenclature also relies heavily on

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00{:}29{:}00.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.279$  annotation to add details of chromosome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:29:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.060$  complement and family relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:29:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.285$  that are relevant to clinical

00:29:07.285 --> 00:29:08.680 interpretation of the pedigree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:29:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.960$  such as adoption, the use of donor gametes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96138674

 $00:29:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.720$  or gender affirming surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939414983333333

 $00:29:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.258$  So this represents a major shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.939414983333333

 $00:29:18.258 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.540$  and I think a move towards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939414983333333

 $00:29:20.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.460$  Both being more respectful

NOTE Confidence: 0.939414983333333

00:29:23.460 --> 00:29:25.476 of patients identities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939414983333333

 $00:29:25.476 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.356$  but also seeking to be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.939414983333333

 $00{:}29{:}29.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.258$  clinically and scientifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.939414983333333

00:29:31.258 --> 00:29:34.196 accurate in capturing data in

NOTE Confidence: 0.939414983333333

 $00{:}29{:}34.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.500$  and interactions with patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:29:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.840$  So move on to categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:29:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.616$  of race and ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:29:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.098$  Why do we use race and ethnicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:29:47.098 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.783$  as categories in biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:29:48.783 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.979$  research and clinical care?

 $00:29:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.304$  In part, it's based on the assumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:29:53.304 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.894$  that people of different races or

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

00:29:55.894 --> 00:29:57.934 ancestries have different Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:29:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.180$  However, this is potentially dangerous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00{:}30{:}00.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.400$  It's led to practices such as race

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

00:30:03.400 --> 00:30:05.428 norming that I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:30:05.428 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.780$  that have been criticized because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00{:}30{:}07.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.204$  their inaccuracy and the potential to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:30:10.204 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.626$  lead to under diagnosis and treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

00:30:12.626 --> 00:30:14.741 or under under treatment or

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

00:30:14.741 --> 00:30:16.624 withholding of benefits from

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371703333333

 $00:30:16.624 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.259$  already disadvantaged groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446683333333

 $00{:}30{:}20.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.228$  Another example of how this can

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446683333333

 $00:30:23.228 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.070$  be this thinking is dangerous is

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446683333333

00:30:26.070 --> 00:30:28.839 from the infamous study based on

 $00:30:28.839 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.475$  assumptions of differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446683333333

 $00:30:30.475 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.070$  blacks and whites in their Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446683333333

 $00:30:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.470$  of response to infectious disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446683333333

 $00:30:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.225$  which you've probably heard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446683333333

00:30:37.225 --> 00:30:38.980 the socalled Tuskegee study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446683333333

 $00:30:39.043 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.110$  untreated syphilis in the \*\*\*\* male.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:30:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.860$  But I and dozens of others have argued

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:30:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.217$  that using social categories of race and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00{:}30{:}53.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.435$  ethnicity are as proxies for biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:30:55.435 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.187$  characteristics such as Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:30:57.187 \dashrightarrow 00:30:59.793$  or genetics or correlates of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:30:59.793 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.858$  is extremely inexact at best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:31:01.860 \dashrightarrow 00:31:05.340$  and does not comport with evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00{:}31{:}05.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.500$  As a very simple example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:31:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.414$  clinicians are taught to think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00{:}31{:}09.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}11.419$  sickle cell disease as a disease

 $00:31:11.419 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.089$  of blacks or African Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00{:}31{:}13.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.770$  But the highest rates of sickle cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00{:}31{:}14.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.528$  disease are in some areas of Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

00:31:16.530 --> 00:31:19.370 but also include India, Sicily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

00:31:19.370 --> 00:31:21.074 Greece and southern Turkey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

00:31:21.074 --> 00:31:23.204 And on the African continent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:31:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.085$  the prevalence of sickle cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:31:25.085 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.960$  trait in specific areas ranges

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:31:27.026 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.038$  from less than 1% to 45%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00{:}31{:}29.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31.408$  So typological thinking can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00{:}31{:}31.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.923$  very misleading when applied to

NOTE Confidence: 0.942760865666667

 $00:31:33.923 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.448$  clinical care in some situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

00:31:41.940 --> 00:31:43.720 However, until very recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

00:31:43.720 --> 00:31:45.500 there's been little challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:31:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.604$  in biomedicine to the very idea

 $00:31:47.604 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.332$  of the category of race itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00{:}31{:}49.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52.298$  For example, in its recently updated

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:31:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.673$  2021 guidance on the use of racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

00:31:54.673 --> 00:31:56.820 and ethnic terms in publications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:31:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.312$  JAMA recommended replacing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:31:59.312 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.804$  term Caucasian with white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:32:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.258$  Only stating that it quote is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00{:}32{:}04.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06.335$  technically specific to people from

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00{:}32{:}06.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08.579$  the Caucasus region in Eurasia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:32:08.579 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.319$  thus should not be used except when

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00{:}32{:}11.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.641$  referring to people from this region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

00:32:13.650 --> 00:32:15.720 However, the guidance does not refer

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00{:}32{:}15.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18.501$  to the fact that Caucasian was at one

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00{:}32{:}18.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20.914$  point one of two categories of humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:32:20.914 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.114$  that were and this the Caucasian

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:32:23.114 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.370$  group was considered white skinned and

 $00:32:25.439 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.773$  beautiful in contrast to the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:32:27.773 \dashrightarrow 00:32:30.005$  category that was considered Mongolian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

00:32:30.005 --> 00:32:34.142 Who were devoid of virtue or later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:32:34.142 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.047$  Caucasian was one of five

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00{:}32{:}36.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.327$  categories of human races which

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00{:}32{:}38.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39.934$  represented God's original creation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:32:39.934 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.082$  in contrast to the other four

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

 $00:32:42.082 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.751$  which were considered degenerate

NOTE Confidence: 0.94981383

00:32:43.751 --> 00:32:46.253 versions of the original human form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:32:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.540$  so also in 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00{:}32{:}55.540 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}32{:}58.964$  My colleagues on the Idea Committee of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:32:58.964 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.608$  the Journal of Genetics and Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.028$  Kyle Brothers and Robin Bennett and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:03.028 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.640$  I proposed principles supporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:04.699 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.045$  anti racism in publication of Human

00:33:07.045 --> 00:33:08.609 Genetics and Genetics research

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00{:}33{:}08.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.210$  which were went a little further but

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.655$  beyond previous recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:15.655 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.915$  And addressed appropriate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:17.915 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.740$  inappropriate uses of entire categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

00:33:20.812 --> 00:33:22.717 of race and genetic ancestry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.447$  And you can see in a couple of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

00:33:25.447 --> 00:33:27.321 principles out of the 8 principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:27.321 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.400$  that we described in this article

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.010$  that we tried to make distinctions

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00{:}33{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39.380$  between the use of race as a social

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.200$  political category and genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92922091

 $00:33:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.520$  ancestry as well as ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:33:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.890$  So the recent guidance of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:33:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.872$  national academies that I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:33:58.872 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.296$  previously on the use of population

 $00:34:01.296 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.720$  descriptors goes even further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

00:34:02.720 --> 00:34:03.740 It's more specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:34:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.505$  outlining particular types of genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

00:34:07.505 --> 00:34:11.340 research and where categories of race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

00:34:11.340 --> 00:34:12.350 ethnicity, ancestry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:34:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.885$  indigeneity or geography should not be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

00:34:15.885 --> 00:34:18.779 because they offer no scientific benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:34:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.460$  yet can cause social harm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:34:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.359$  this report cites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

00:34:23.359 --> 00:34:27.790 Many tones of evidence of such harm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:34:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.696$  and so it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:34:28.696 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.810$  I highly recommend reading it if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00{:}34{:}30.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33.345$  would like to use it as such a resource,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00{:}34{:}33.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36.546$  but I'll also show you some some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.95157486375

 $00:34:36.546 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.892$  examples that we had described in our

 $00:34:39.892 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.588$  article as well as in other venues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}34{:}45.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.894$  So here is an example that shows the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:34:48.894 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.750$  that shows that the way we group people

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:34:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.390$  into categories shapes what we learn about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:34:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.628$  the groups and potentially causes harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:34:56.630 --> 00:34:59.828 In a retrospective analysis of over

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:34:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.990$  85,000 patients tested for COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.129$  in the New York City Public Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:35:05.129 --> 00:35:07.384 system in spring of 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.705$  researchers analyzed COVID-19 outcomes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:09.705 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.510$  Asian ethnic subgroups compared to Asians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.600$  Overall, the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.240$  And other racial groups shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:35:19.240 --> 00:35:21.880 in in various colors here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.848$  so I'm not telling you which

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:23.848 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.160$  ones they are yet,

 $00:35:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.452$  but the researchers found that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:27.452 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.980$  were differences between groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.840$  of patients classified as white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:35:30.840 --> 00:35:32.452 black, Hispanic, and Asian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:35:32.452 --> 00:35:34.870 But they also found that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:34.944 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.878$  use of the Asian category masked

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:37.878 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.834$  important differences in mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.400$  So in this chart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:35:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.521$  The group that was called White is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}35{:}44.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46.600$  indicated here in orange on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:35:49.040 --> 00:35:53.026 showing a larger number of negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00{:}35{:}53.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55.822$  outcomes than the other groups shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:35:55.822 --> 00:35:58.800 in green, yellow, purple, and blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00{:}36{:}02.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.265$  and those other categories were

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:36:06.265 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.088$  representing these other other groups.

00:36:10.090 --> 00:36:13.234 Black, Hispanic and Asian

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

00:36:13.234 --> 00:36:16.690 in purple, respectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

00:36:16.690 --> 00:36:20.538 But what's what is being shown in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:36:20.538 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.071$  bar that is in red is the rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:36:24.071 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.323$  death in patients classified as Chinese,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:36:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.130$  which are included in the purple bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:36:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.974$  But when you separate them

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:36:32.974 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.884$  out into their own category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:36:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.445$  the death rates go up much higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:36:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.691$  In another example, a US study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00{:}36{:}48.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.589$  over 6 million patient records,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:36:51.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.270$  researchers found similar differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:36:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.370$  between Asian subgroups and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00{:}36{:}55.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.870$  between Hispanic subgroups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:36:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.730$  which included people who indicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:36:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.590$  they were of Puerto Rican,

00:37:00.590 --> 00:37:03.190 Mexican, Cuban, South American,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.790$  or Central American origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.596$  For example, they found that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:07.596 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.160$  prevalence of chronic liver disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.008$  was almost three times higher than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

00:37:11.010 --> 00:37:13.295 For Mexican patients compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

00:37:13.295 --> 00:37:15.546 patients of Cuban ancestry, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00{:}37{:}15.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17.626$  there were also significant differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:17.626 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.717$  based on whether you patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:19.717 \dashrightarrow 00:37:21.889$  were US born versus for eign born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.618$  So cancer prevalence was twice as

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:23.618 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.867$  high among those who were US born than

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:25.867 \dashrightarrow 00:37:27.650$  those who were born outside the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.650$  thus confirming the immigration paradox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:30.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.238$  These studies,

 $00:37:31.238 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.002$  and many others like them suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00{:}37{:}33.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}34.799$  that race and ethnicity categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:34.799 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.335$  can mask health differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00{:}37{:}36.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.220$  And also indicate other variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.740$  such as immigration or socioeconomic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.428$  that might be more relevant but

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:43.428 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.060$  often not collected or analyzed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00{:}37{:}46.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48.412$  But does the routine routinized use

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:48.412 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.980$  of entrenched categories distract

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:50.046 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.436$  from the search for the most relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.913204113333333

 $00:37:52.436 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.340$  category for the most relevant categories?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268 00:37:56.380 --> 00:37:56.500 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:37:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.876$  here I'll switch to another example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.752$  an old one, that shows how the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:04.752 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.179$  category of race is conceptually

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:07.179 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.355$  bereft for scientific purposes.

 $00:38:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.430$  In this case, because it's conflated

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}38{:}11.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13.752$  with ethnicity, intellectual ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:13.752 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.200$  and physical appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:38:16.200 --> 00:38:19.985 So some of you may have may be familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:38:19.985 --> 00:38:23.383 with paper that was published in 1866,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:23.383 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.555$  the original paper describing

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:25.555 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.200$  what is now called Down syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}38{:}29.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.260$  and in this paper Langdon proposed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.211$  classification of the feebleminded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:38:35.211 --> 00:38:37.039 By arranging them around

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:37.039 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.410$  various ethnic standards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.447$  and that's a quote in the paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.410$  And the paper goes on to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}38{:}42.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.748$  the number of idiots who arrange themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:44.748 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.687$  around the Mongolian type is so great,

 $00:38:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.944$  and they present such a close resemblance

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:48.944 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.890$  to one another in mental power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.344$  that I shall describe an idiot

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:53.344 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.770$  Member of this racial division.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.227$  So you can see the the conflation

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:58.227 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.730$  of all these different concepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:39:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.610$  here in these sentences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.316$  In the 1950s, it was recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:08.316 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.146$  that this inaccurate conflation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:10.146 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.986$  race with the condition associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00{:}39{:}11.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.887$  with variations of cognitive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:13.887 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.379$  learning abilities was inappropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:15.379 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.220$  and led to the replacement of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.645$  term \*\*\*\*\*\* with Down syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.580$  Although this terminology arose centuries

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.686$  ago and was also replaced decades ago,

00:39:27.690 --> 00:39:30.105 it still persists in medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:30.105 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.520$  terminology to the present day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.600$  My my colleague Laura du Glazio alerted

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.080$  me several months ago to the idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.582$  or to the fact that a quote from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:40.582 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.681$  original Langdon down article was

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00:39:42.681 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.437$  very unproblematically presented in

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00{:}39{:}44.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}46.767$  the header of the entry for twice

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

00:39:46.767 --> 00:39:48.788 semi 21 in the medical textbook

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

 $00{:}39{:}48.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.928$  Smith's recognizable patterns of human

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

00:39:51.928 --> 00:39:53.768 malformation in last year's edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925594876333334

00:39:53.768 --> 00:39:55.278 which is the newest edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960380335

 $00{:}39{:}57.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.960$  However, the underlying concepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.960380335

 $00:39:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.480$  are harmful in healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960380335

 $00:40:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.465$  especially when the categories they

 $00:40:02.465 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.450$  represent are assumed to represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.960380335

 $00:40:04.508 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.720$  clinical clinically relevant groupings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

00:40:13.760 --> 00:40:15.317 Furthermore, the underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

00:40:15.317 --> 00:40:17.912 assumption of racial typologies is

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:17.912 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.801$  delineating pure or distinct and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:20.801 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.031$  overlapping groups also causes both

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:23.031 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.799$  scientifical and ethical damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.170$  In this article, the authors call

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.720$  out the category of multiracial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.020$  Being used as the justification

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.238$  for removing data relevant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:34.238 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.752$  those individuals in the name of

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:36.752 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.640$  quality control in a database,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.120$  which is a common practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

00:40:41.120 --> 00:40:43.280 This does unnecessarily unnecessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.980$  violence to both the scientific

 $00:40:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.496$  analysis and as well leaves out a

NOTE Confidence: 0.908771043913044

 $00:40:49.496 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.480$  growing population from research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836782

 $00:40:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.250$  So how should biomedical researchers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836782

00:41:01.250 --> 00:41:03.556 And clinicians be more inclusive, respectful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836782

 $00:41:03.556 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.112$  and accurate in their description and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836782

 $00:41:06.112 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.090$  categorization of human difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836782

00:41:08.090 --> 00:41:10.010 There are many ongoing efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836782

 $00:41:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.970$  many of which I've mentioned here today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

 $00{:}41{:}15.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18.690$  with specific recommendations on language

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

 $00:41:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.450$  study design and reporting in journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

 $00:41:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.130$  but also on considerations for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

 $00:41:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.822$  data collection and analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

 $00{:}41{:}26.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.978$  I shows some of the recent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

00:41:29.978 --> 00:41:31.786 Guidelines and resources here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

 $00:41:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.670$  A common theme in these recommendations

 $00:41:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.506$  about race and ethnicity is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

 $00:41:36.506 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.872$  it should be not it should not

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

 $00:41:38.872 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.667$  be used as biological variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96239308

 $00:41:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.110$  but only as social variables, and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:41:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.510$  how race and ethnicity are ascertained

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:41:49.510 --> 00:41:51.750 should be accurately reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:41:51.750 --> 00:41:54.216 Importantly, why race and ethnicity is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:41:54.216 --> 00:41:56.486 used should be carefully considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:41:56.486 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.730$  rather than done unquestionably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:41:58.730 --> 00:42:00.938 You know, whether they or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:00.938 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.410$  variables such as education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:42:02.410 --> 00:42:04.374 economic status or environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:04.374 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.320$  factors are actually the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:07.388 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.568$  to answering the study question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.134$  The National Academies report also talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:13.134 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.707$  about transparency and reproducibility.

 $00:42:15.707 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.002$  However, I also believe that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}42{:}19.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22.047$  bioethicists have a role to play here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.658$  as well as scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:25.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.910$  The report also places responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.160$  on research institutions and recommends

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:30.221 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.429$  that they offer tools such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:32.429 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.388$  educational modules for inclusion in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:34.388 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.468$  human research protection, training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:36.468 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.700$  education and fostering interdisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.932$  collaborations between scientists and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:42:42.940 --> 00:42:45.739 for example, sociologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:45.740 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.348$  anthropologists and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}42{:}47.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.028$  and for and with facilitating

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:42:50.028 --> 00:42:51.100 community engagement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:42:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.099$  among other things.

 $00:42:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.586$  So I'll show I have some slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.939338681818182

 $00:42:57.586 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.060$  here which have other resources for

NOTE Confidence: 0.939338681818182

 $00:43:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.740$  recommendations on more inclusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.939338681818182

 $00:43:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.736$  language here based on sex and gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.86267414

 $00:43:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.220$  and on the next slide for disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899076666667

 $00:43:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.950$  So I'll just finish here

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899076666667

 $00:43:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.148$  by raising some questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:43:31.940 --> 00:43:34.276 And to say that to make our research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}43{:}34.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.342$  more diverse, equitable and inclusive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:36.342 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.812$  these are questions that researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}43{:}38.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.428$  and clinicians should ask about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:41.428 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.378$  we are defining human difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.697$  So what is the evidence that racial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.942$  ethnic, sex, gender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:46.942 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.012$  or other differences are essential

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:43:49.012 --> 00:43:51.395 to the research question and

00:43:51.395 --> 00:43:53.379 relevant to clinical practice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.708$  And are there other types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:55.708 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.920$  difference that are being overlooked?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.650$  What's the evidence that the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:44:00.720 --> 00:44:03.370 are accurately measured or ascertained?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.232$  Is the validity of the classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:06.232 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.089$  systems based on assumptions or evidence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:44:09.090 --> 00:44:11.610 Are the category labels respective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:44:11.610 --> 00:44:14.250 respectful, accurate, and derived

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.210$  from or reflective of community input?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.055$  However, to the extent that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}44{:}20.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.900$  categorization and language should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:44:21.956 --> 00:44:24.170 based on ethical principles of respect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.835$  beneficence, and justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:25.835 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.720$  I submit that these are also questions

 $00:44:29.814 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.940$  for the bioethics community as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.374$  I'll just leave you with a quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:44:36.374 --> 00:44:39.836 from Paul Starr which I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:39.836 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.500$  was relevant to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:44:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.498$  and it's from it's from some

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:44:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.340$  writings that he he in which he.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

00:44:55.340 --> 00:44:57.980 Put forth the concept of cultural

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:44:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.700$  authority and talk and spoke about

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00{:}45{:}01.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.296$  how clinicians can use this cultural,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.188$  cultural authority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

00:45:07.188 --> 00:45:10.296 And he says here that patients consult

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

00:45:10.296 --> 00:45:12.099 physicians not just for advice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.516$  but first of all to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00{:}45{:}13.516 --> 00{:}45{:}14.900$  out whether they are, quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

00:45:14.900 --> 00:45:17.980 really sick and what their symptoms mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.804$  Cultural authority in this

 $00:45:19.804 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.084$  context is antecedent to action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

00:45:22.090 --> 00:45:23.506 The authority to interpret

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:23.506 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.568$  signs and symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.610$  to diagnose health or illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.242$  to name diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

00:45:28.242 --> 00:45:31.770 and I emphasize that in bold myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.286$  and to offer prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:33.286 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.560$  is the foundation of any social

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00{:}45{:}35.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38.050$  authority the physician can assume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.890$  By shaping the patient's understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.362$  of their own experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.710$  physicians create the conditions under

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00{:}45{:}43.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.050$  which their advice seems appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.054$  And I thought that this quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:48.054 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.146$  seemed appropriate for.

 $00:45:49.146 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.709$  The issues that I hope we'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:51.709 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.551$  talking about further for the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.938576325

 $00:45:53.551 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.486$  of the for the rest of my time here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:45:57.650 --> 00:45:58.210 All right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:45:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.690$  wonderful. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:46:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.250$  And I will at first before I throw the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:46:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.406$  session over for comments and questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:46:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.880$  I have to correct a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:46:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.330$  of statements I made earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:46:13.330 --> 00:46:16.790 First of all, put your questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}46{:}16.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.590$  comments in the Q&A, not in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:46:20.590 --> 00:46:26.046 And second, the our next speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:46:26.046 --> 00:46:27.870 Jen Miller will appear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:46:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.470$  I don't I think I gave you the wrong date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:46:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.310$  She will, she will be here on April the 12th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:46:35.310 --> 00:46:40.322 So Doctor show, I want to ask what use

 $00:46:40.322 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.304$  the prerogative of the chair or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:46:43.304 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.890$  host to ask you do you have a sense of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}46{:}47.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51.775$  With all these journals revising

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:46:51.775 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.329$  their policies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}46{:}53.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.538$  do you have a sense of how effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:46:57.538 --> 00:47:02.690 they've been or how well they've adhered

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.050$  to their own their own guidelines?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:47:06.050 --> 00:47:08.290 And first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:47:08.290 --> 00:47:11.188 it sounds like many journals have have

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}47{:}11.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.128$  created their own sets of of guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:47:14.130 --> 00:47:14.930 Do you have a sense?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.469$  So I should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}47{:}16.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.350$  Make this a two-part question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.245$  What proportion of major journals

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}47{:}20.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.516$  do you think have instituted these

00:47:22.516 --> 00:47:24.707 kind of policies and second of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:47:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.040$  how successful have they been

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:47:27.040 --> 00:47:28.904 in implementing those policies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

00:47:32.940 --> 00:47:35.100 I don't know how many journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:47:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.180$  I haven't really looked specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94193083333333

00:47:37.180 --> 00:47:39.273 I know that the JAMA guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:47:39.273 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.339$  seem to be very influential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:47:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.538$  as well as the APA style guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:47:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.339$  The APA has been working on those

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:47:45.339 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.740$  style guidelines for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00{:}47{:}46.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.342$  and they've added and and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:47:48.342 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.980$  sort of a living document,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:47:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.620$  so it's been revised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:47:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.658$  In many ways over over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:47:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.664$  So I think that other journals

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00{:}47{:}57.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59.685$  defer to that those style guidelines

 $00:47:59.685 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.582$  as as well as now to the the JAMA

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:48:02.582 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.106$  guidelines which originally came

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

00:48:04.106 --> 00:48:06.459 out many years ago and this up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:48:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.164$  this latest update was

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930833333333

 $00:48:08.164 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.780$  a significant revision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:48:12.060 --> 00:48:15.060 But I know that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

 $00:48:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.340$  having worked with some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:48:17.340 --> 00:48:19.194 Genetics journals nearly 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

 $00:48:19.194 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.336$  years ago where they did make

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

 $00{:}48{:}21.336 \rightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.954$  recommendations on the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

00:48:22.954 --> 00:48:24.208 of terminology specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.931867433333333

 $00:48:24.208 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.880$  around race and ethnicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}48{:}28.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.743$  that they know from their analysis after

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}48{:}31.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.801$  the guidelines were released that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:48:33.801 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.359$  was very little change in the publication,

 $00:48:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.960$  so there is going to require more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967089333333333

00:48:45.280 --> 00:48:50.492 More concerted efforts at the level of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967089333333333

 $00{:}48{:}50.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.216$  you know, the editorial staff in

NOTE Confidence: 0.967089333333333

00:48:53.216 --> 00:48:55.820 insisting on changes and 1st identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.967089333333333

 $00:48:55.892 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.956$  which changes need to be made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967089333333333

00:48:57.960 --> 00:49:02.998 which are not that easy. It's, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967089333333333

00:49:03.000 --> 00:49:07.013 it's it's very it requires very

NOTE Confidence: 0.967089333333333

 $00:49:07.013 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.359$  close reading of of manuscripts to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882768072

00:49:11.400 --> 00:49:13.200 understand some of these subtle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882768072

 $00:49:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.600$  subtle concepts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882768072

00:49:15.600 --> 00:49:21.035 So I think there's there was some hope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882768072

00:49:21.040 --> 00:49:23.476 I think I can speak for our journal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882768072

 $00:49:23.476 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.860$  that we were waiting to see what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882768072

00:49:25.923 --> 00:49:28.478 National Academies of Medicine had to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882768072

 $00:49:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.189$  And indeed they have a whole section

NOTE Confidence: 0.882768072

00:49:31.189 --> 00:49:33.838 of their report that is devoted to,

 $00:49:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.380$  devoted to specific measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

 $00{:}49{:}40.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43.200$  that institutions should take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

 $00:49:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.920$  To implement the recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

 $00:49:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.676$  that that the academies have made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

 $00:49:49.676 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.108$  So I think it's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

00:49:53.108 --> 00:49:56.128 require sort of multilayered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

 $00:49:56.128 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.760$  multilevel efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

00:49:57.760 --> 00:50:01.330 not just leaving it all to individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

00:50:01.330 --> 00:50:04.718 researchers or or individuals at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

 $00{:}50{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08.120$  and that institutions have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

00:50:08.120 --> 00:50:12.668 provide resources for education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

00:50:12.670 --> 00:50:17.448 And and things like perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

 $00{:}50{:}17.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.920$  things like prior institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

00:50:19.920 --> 00:50:22.392 review of publications to

NOTE Confidence: 0.915549205

00:50:22.392 --> 00:50:25.307 help people by people who have

 $00:50:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.470$  expertise in in these areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:50:31.510 --> 00:50:34.422 to help people individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:50:34.422 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.828$  researchers who may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:50:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.700$  have that kind of expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:50:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.535$  You know, before everybody's educated

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:50:42.535 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.684$  and on the same page about these types

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:50:45.684 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.340$  of terminologies that are considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:50:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.260$  acceptable and not acceptable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:50:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.480$  that there's some resources that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:50:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.256$  are provided by institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288678664

 $00{:}50{:}55.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57.450$  So you would put at least some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288678664

 $00:50:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.763$  more of the burden on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288678664

 $00:50:59.763 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.131$  individual institutions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288678664

 $00:51:01.131 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.405$  therefore on the authors rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288678664

00:51:03.405 --> 00:51:05.431 than on the journals themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288678664

 $00:51:05.431 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.024$  which is understood.

 $00:51:07.024 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.998$  The journals are certainly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288678664

 $00:51:08.998 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.510$  Sufficiently burdened but is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288678664

 $00:51:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.400$  that am I interpreting your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288678664

 $00{:}51{:}12.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13.869$  your statement correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937293708235294

 $00{:}51{:}15.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.150$  So I think we have to kind of spread the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937293708235294

00:51:18.220 --> 00:51:20.950 efforts out because it's going to take,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937293708235294

 $00:51:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.926$  it's going to take this is a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.937293708235294

 $00:51:22.926 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.192$  these are major changes that that are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.937293708235294

 $00{:}51{:}25.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.348$  this report and that also that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291578

 $00:51:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.846$  it's not just about race and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291578

00:51:31.846 --> 00:51:34.270 ethnicity but other things you

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291578

 $00:51:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.290$  know if you think about how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291578

 $00{:}51{:}38.290 \to 00{:}51{:}42.250$  You know laboratory reports are structured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291578

 $00:51:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.968$  it's going to take some major,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291578

00:51:44.970 --> 00:51:50.970 major efforts to to align you know

 $00{:}51{:}50.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53.490$  all are the majority of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291578

 $00:51:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.346$  laboratories so that they are they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291578

 $00:51:56.346 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.370$  some kind of similarities in how they

NOTE Confidence: 0.946291578

 $00:52:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.089$  are implementing inclusivity guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9503169

 $00:52:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.090$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

 $00:52:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.330$  Now we have a what I consider a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

 $00{:}52{:}11.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.060$  good question from our colleague Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

00:52:13.060 --> 00:52:14.452 Chadi Tol Walker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

 $00:52:14.452 \dashrightarrow 00:52:17.236$  who says Stanford published a language

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

00:52:17.236 --> 00:52:19.990 guide that was attacked and ridiculed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

 $00:52:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.100$  in the media and eventually taken down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

 $00:52:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.648$  We at Yale and Doctor Tol Walker

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

00:52:25.648 --> 00:52:27.660 was instrumental in this effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

 $00:52:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.472$  have developed an inclusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

00:52:29.472 --> 00:52:31.737 language guide for our community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

 $00:52:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.170$  Yale School of Medicine we have.

 $00:52:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.032$  We have it behind a firewall so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

 $00{:}52{:}36.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37.810$  that it's helpful to our community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

00:52:37.810 --> 00:52:41.770 but would like to release it more widely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

00:52:41.770 --> 00:52:45.046 Any tips for navigating potential criticism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251585

 $00:52:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.488$  especially that made in bad faith?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:52:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.730$  Yeah, wow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88458451125

00:52:54.090 --> 00:52:56.170 Yeah, this is quite the subject of many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88458451125

00:52:56.170 --> 00:53:00.090 many faculty meetings, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019031

 $00{:}53{:}06.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09.786$  I'm not sure I have any really

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019031

 $00:53:09.786 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.316$  effective tips for navigating criticism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019031

 $00{:}53{:}12.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.920$  but I do think that it it may be helpful to

NOTE Confidence: 0.951231962727273

 $00:53:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.700$  to provide more scaffolding so rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.951231962727273

 $00{:}53{:}24.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27.840$  than just releasing a document.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951231962727273

 $00:53:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.766$  Which has no context, which doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.951231962727273

00:53:29.766 --> 00:53:32.064 have sort of the opportunity for

 $00:53:32.064 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.960$  discussion and explanation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805072

 $00{:}53{:}38.120 --> 00{:}53{:}39.520 \text{ providing context}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.934503085714286

 $00:53:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.240$  that it may be more helpful to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.924689523529412

 $00:53:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.745$  have the document be discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.924689523529412

 $00:53:51.745 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.102$  it with the sort of in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924689523529412

 $00:53:55.102 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.707$  environment of a more interactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924689523529412

 $00:53:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.210$  You know educational setting probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.924689523529412

 $00:54:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.430$  multiple educational settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924689523529412

 $00:54:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.056$  So that because these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.924689523529412

 $00:54:07.056 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.584$  pretty nuanced issues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:54:10.670 --> 00:54:12.638 and I think that's something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:12.638 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.850$  an issue that was faced by these

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.847$  journals that we're putting out language

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}54{:}16.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.629$  guidelines is because you can't really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:54:18.630 --> 00:54:20.910 It's very hard to make sort of blanket

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.782$  statements about how you should use certain

 $00:54:22.782 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.284$  words and not and you could see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:25.284 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.090$  in the National Academies report where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:54:27.090 --> 00:54:28.749 You know you can't make a rule

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:28.749 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.648$  and say you can't use race ever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:54:30.650 --> 00:54:32.580 because sometimes it you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.124$  should be using race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:54:34.130 --> 00:54:37.049 For example, you can't study end of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.421$  So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:38.421 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.163$  the very reason why the government

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}54{:}41.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44.148$  came up with the O MB categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:54:44.148 --> 00:54:46.922 of race and ethnicity back in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:46.922 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.779$  70s was in response to and so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}54{:}49.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.320$  could in order to make it possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:52.398 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.450$  to measure discrimination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:54:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.496$  And so you can't measure discrimination

 $00:54:56.496 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.170$  without social categories of race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:54:58.170 --> 00:55:00.599 So the problem comes in is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:55:00.599 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.418$  you're using categories that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:55:02.418 --> 00:55:04.390 mismatched with the uses, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:55:04.390 --> 00:55:08.170 So if you're trying to capture biological,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}55{:}08.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09.898$  biological features and you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:55:09.898 --> 00:55:12.490 using social categories to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:55:12.490 --> 00:55:13.186 you're, you're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:55:13.186 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.150$  you're really going to have problems there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:55:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.980$  And that's where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}55{:}17.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}19.624$  But those are kind of nuanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}55{:}19.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.419$  issues that you can't really just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:55:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.220$  It's hard to just sort of make

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}55{:}24.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.848$  guidelines about and also to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:55:26.848 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.552$  explain I think you know there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}55{:}29.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32.090$  certain terms where it seems more

00:55:32.175 --> 00:55:34.885 obvious than others why they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:55:34.885 --> 00:55:37.445 considered offensive now but you'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:55:37.445 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.215$  be surprised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:55:38.215 --> 00:55:40.770 Some people really have no you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}55{:}40.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42.660$  especially when you know a place

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:55:42.660 --> 00:55:44.765 like Yale or less like Stanford

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:55:44.765 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.520$  which has people coming from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:55:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.568$  A wide variety of cultures globally

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:55:49.568 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.480$  and different traditions and practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894690144444444

 $00{:}55{:}54.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58.080$  You know, I think it it it means that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8946901444444444

 $00:55:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.222$  these discussions have to give people

NOTE Confidence: 0.894690144444444

 $00:56:00.222 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.216$  space to ask questions and not feel like

NOTE Confidence: 0.894690144444444

 $00{:}56{:}03.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.091$  they're being criticized for asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.894690144444444

 $00:56:05.091 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.320$  questions because they don't understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00:56:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.400$  Thank you. I I will say that the

00:56:11.400 --> 00:56:13.758 that the Yale document actually it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00{:}56{:}13.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}16.698$  does attempt and I think it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00:56:16.698 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.771$  reasonably well to provide context

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00:56:19.771 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.400$  and to provide explanations the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00:56:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.208$  the question and I can certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

00:56:27.208 --> 00:56:30.160 understand the concern is that how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

00:56:30.160 --> 00:56:33.301 how are we going to get people to pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00:56:33.301 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.820$  attention to the document and to actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00:56:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.660$  Incorporate the the context and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00:56:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.700$  explanations and the history all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00:56:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.019$  which is in there in a in a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

00:56:46.019 --> 00:56:48.812 succinct form but I think but it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301903125

 $00:56:48.812 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.820$  it's a very nice job so far but yeah

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125667533333333

 $00:56:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.900$  I'd love to see it actually sounds very

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:56:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.912$  well we will send

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:56:57.912 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.418$  it along absolutely.

 $00:56:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.326$  So that's that's that's very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.850$  I I have to apologize once again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

00:57:05.850 --> 00:57:08.526 Apparently I have screwed up my,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.890$  my, my dates so that I I this

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.130$  will take just a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.325$  But I said that doctor Doctor Miller

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:20.325 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.304$  would be appearing on April the 12th

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00{:}57{:}23.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}25.536$  and it looks like she's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:25.536 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.287$  going to be here on April the 19th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00{:}57{:}28.290 \to 00{:}57{:}30.070$  The Cardiology Ethics Symposium

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.660$  will be on Friday, may the 11th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.010$  It will be on Friday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00{:}57{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}36.970$  may the 12th and that will be an all day

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:37.044 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.928$  session on the ethics of heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025354555556

 $00:57:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.250$  So let let me proceed.

 $00:57:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.739$  Okay, so here's a a question from

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00:57:49.739 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.929$  an anonymous attendee how can I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

00:57:51.930 --> 00:57:54.482 a student at the Yale PA program

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

00:57:54.482 --> 00:57:55.850 physician associate program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00:57:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.162$  enlist the help of the biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00:57:59.162 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.571$  ethics department to ensure the legacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00{:}58{:}02.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05.331$  of unscientific categorizations at at

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

00:58:05.331 --> 00:58:09.440 Yale ends in our medical Ed curriculum?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

00:58:09.440 --> 00:58:12.800 I have seen outdated genetics terminology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

00:58:12.800 --> 00:58:14.820 outdated guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00:58:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.360$  suggestions regarding regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

00:58:17.360 --> 00:58:19.960 ACE inhibitors in black patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00:58:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.728$  and incorrect terms used for describing

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

00:58:23.728 --> 00:58:27.280 geographic anxiety and population difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00:58:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.200$  How do I enlist your help to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

00:58:31.200 --> 00:58:34.109 update our curriculum so?

 $00:58:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.348$  This is, this is obviously a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00:58:36.348 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.108$  problem we have to deal with here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00:58:39.110 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.595$  but do you have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.870464770714286

 $00:58:41.595 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.583$  suggestions for our students?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861307466666667

00:58:45.310 --> 00:58:48.732 Yeah, great question. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861307466666667

 $00:58:48.732 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.148$  I mean if if you were at Stanford,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861307466666667

00:58:51.150 --> 00:58:53.630 what would I tell you? I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584437777778

00:58:56.070 --> 00:58:59.772 you know, probably similarly at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584437777778

 $00:58:59.772 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.240$  there are now sort of a plethora of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584437777778

 $00:59:04.240 \dashrightarrow 00:59:08.006$  Committees that are dealing with DEI

NOTE Confidence: 0.945844377777778

00:59:08.006 --> 00:59:12.426 or Jedi or idea or whatever acronym

NOTE Confidence: 0.945844377777778

 $00:59:12.426 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.946$  they want to use that are supposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.945844377777778

 $00{:}59{:}15.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}18.238$  to be dealing with these issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584437777778

 $00{:}59{:}18.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}22.300$  And I would imagine there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.945844377777778

 $00:59:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.880$  curriculum committee that is thinking

 $00:59:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.520$  about how to make the curriculum more

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584437777778

 $00{:}59{:}28.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}32.390$  inclusive and improve it in many ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945844377777778

 $00:59:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.828$  In terms of all kinds of related

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584437777778

 $00:59:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.170$  features or characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945844377777778

 $00:59:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.750$  So that's where I would go and to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584437777778

00:59:43.750 --> 00:59:46.014 you know, raise, raise these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945844377777778

 $00:59:46.014 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.958$  these issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945844377777778

00:59:46.958 --> 00:59:49.790 I imagine that there are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:59:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.094$  efforts at the national level and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:59:57.094 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.874$  know that the one of the recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:59:59.874 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.329$  from the National Academies report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $01:00:02.330 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.245$  Was to form a clearinghouse of resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $01:00:08.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.354$  and also of you know guidelines and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

01:00:12.354 --> 01:00:15.488 and that sort of thing, but also of

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

01:00:17.730 --> 01:00:21.174 things like curriculum changes and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

 $01:00:21.174 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.929$  educational modules that are being developed.

01:00:24.930 --> 01:00:28.702 So sort of a sharing, a locus of

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

 $01:00:28.702 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.846$  sharing of these kinds of materials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

01:00:31.850 --> 01:00:34.125 Although that's that report was just issued,

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

01:00:34.130 --> 01:00:38.778 I don't know of any such body right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

 $01:00:38.778 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.658$  but I imagine that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

01:00:41.658 --> 01:00:44.346 will be some movement in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

01:00:44.346 --> 01:00:47.130 direction may be led by you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

01:00:47.130 --> 01:00:49.404 a group like the double AMC, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.957864441666667

 $01:00:49.404 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.008$  the American Academy of Medical Colleges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910745981818182

 $01:00:53.770 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.228$  right. And I would add that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.910745981818182

 $01:00:57.228 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.870$  certainly have a structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910745981818182

 $01:00:59.870 \dashrightarrow 01:01:03.182$  That is meant to deal with DEI here

NOTE Confidence: 0.910745981818182

 $01{:}01{:}03.182 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}05.954$  on the medical school campus and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910745981818182

01:01:05.954 --> 01:01:08.828 should apply to the PA program as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910745981818182

 $01:01:08.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.824$  So and if that should be an Ave.

01:01:13.830 --> 01:01:16.788 for redress and if it's not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910745981818182

01:01:16.790 --> 01:01:19.508 we have work to do. So thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754755

 $01:01:21.990 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.830$  Another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754755

 $01:01:24.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.550$  Some people express frustration

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754755

 $01:01:26.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.130$  at the imperative to use precise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754755

01:01:29.200 --> 01:01:31.881 language due to the perception that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754755

 $01:01:31.881 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.309$  changes so quickly and so frequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754755

01:01:34.310 --> 01:01:36.102 Of course, the nature of language is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754755

 $01{:}01{:}36.102 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38.508$  that it is always changing and evolving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754755

 $01:01:38.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.668$  but this is some people's concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754755

01:01:42.670 --> 01:01:44.947 Can you speak a bit more to this point?

NOTE Confidence: 0.926698920714286

 $01:01:47.880 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.656$  This is an issue that I talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.926698920714286

 $01:01:49.656 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.320$  with my coauthors of the paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926698920714286

 $01:01:51.320 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.224$  knowing that as soon as it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.926698920714286

 $01:01:53.224 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.319$  published it was going to be outdated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926698920714286

01:01:55.320 --> 01:01:57.938 But I think how we dealt with

 $01:01:57.938 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.718$  that or tried to reconcile this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934215514

01:02:03.840 --> 01:02:05.880 you know, with our own

NOTE Confidence: 0.934215514

01:02:05.880 --> 01:02:12.880 publication, was that the act of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824086

 $01:02:15.160 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.596$  keeping up with sort of. The the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93824086

01:02:19.596 --> 01:02:22.326 Times in terms of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $01:02:24.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.410$  both where language and categorization

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $01:02:29.410 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.075$  are doing scientific and clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.95836772

 $01:02:33.075 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.205$  damage and and also where it is doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9704438

01:02:39.890 --> 01:02:45.210 causing causing disrespect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9704438

 $01:02:45.210 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.082$  of various communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9704438

01:02:47.082 --> 01:02:52.077 Just the very act of of doing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9704438

01:02:52.077 --> 01:02:56.298 is in itself a virtuous activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9704438

01:02:56.298 --> 01:03:00.100 so signaling trustworthiness and signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

 $01:03:02.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.590$  open mindedness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

 $01:03:03.590 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.340$  And to some extent I think

 $01:03:07.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.870$  part of the frustration is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

 $01:03:10.870 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.220$  due to the underlying sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

01:03:15.220 --> 01:03:16.820 Movement that is happening here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

 $01:03:16.820 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.580$  which is that it means sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

 $01:03:21.580 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.620$  admitting that professional groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

 $01:03:24.620 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.046$  may not be the ones in power

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

01:03:29.046 --> 01:03:31.506 anymore and with the authority

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

 $01{:}03{:}31.506 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}34.722$  to make these decisions and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

 $01:03:34.722 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.778$  that some of that power has to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.89714026625

01:03:37.778 --> 01:03:40.739 ceded to communities and patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

01:03:44.020 --> 01:03:49.609 so. And so that means I think that this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $01:03:49.610 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.634$  this is even though the language is changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $01:03:51.634 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.208$  and evolving and that's frustrating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $01{:}03{:}53.210 \longrightarrow 01{:}03{:}56.634$  I think the there's a bigger thing that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

01:03:56.634 --> 01:03:58.316 evolving underneath that fundamentally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $01:03:58.316 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.646$  which is a power shift.

 $01:04:03.730 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.368$  Thank you. Very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $01:04:07.410 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.370$  I would like to just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

 $01:04:13.910 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.066$  Read a response from Doctor Paul Walker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

 $01:04:16.070 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.335$  He says thank you. That's how we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

01:04:19.335 --> 01:04:20.910 using it internally right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

 $01:04:20.910 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.809$  contextualizing narrow educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

 $01:04:22.809 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.341$  slash research slash clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

 $01:04:25.341 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.310$  settings to foster conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

01:04:28.310 --> 01:04:31.794 I've read some bad faith out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

 $01{:}04{:}31.794 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}33.604$  context criticism of the Stanford

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

01:04:33.604 --> 01:04:35.820 guy that I suspect deliberately

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

 $01:04:35.820 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.450$  ignored the context in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

 $01{:}04{:}38.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}42.000$  interest of sensationalism is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899149198571429

01:04:42.000 --> 01:04:44.880 Do you think that's the case or yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887207543333333

01:04:44.920 --> 01:04:47.638 I I think that's that's true.

01:04:47.640 --> 01:04:49.720 But I think it does point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948879322857143

 $01:04:52.640 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.279$  even guidelines like this on language use

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316927142857

01:04:57.840 --> 01:05:01.879 are highly and should be highly contextual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316927142857

01:05:01.880 --> 01:05:04.536 right? I mean, you can use the exact

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316927142857

 $01:05:04.536 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.210$  same word in different setting. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316927142857

01:05:07.210 --> 01:05:10.080 And we're talking about usage in research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316927142857

 $01:05:10.080 \longrightarrow 01:05:13.120$  usage in patient doctor communications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316927142857

01:05:13.120 --> 01:05:16.594 in publications in the news in, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316927142857

 $01:05:16.594 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.673$  all these different settings and the exact

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316927142857

 $01:05:18.673 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.787$  same term can have different meanings

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316927142857

 $01{:}05{:}20.787 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22.840$  in those different settings, right. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $01:05:26.080 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.594$  not to say that the criticism was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $01:05:29.600 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.008$  you know, I think the criticism was

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $01:05:32.008 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.649$  really in the interest of sensationalism

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $01:05:34.649 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.200$  as as as you mentioned and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

01:05:41.280 --> 01:05:43.620 But I think it is. That's why I think

 $01:05:43.620 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.512$  it's important to provide these types

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01{:}05{:}46.512 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}51.384$  of recommendations in the with a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

01:05:51.384 --> 01:05:55.520 clear context alongside it. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01:05:55.520 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.896$  I'm going to speculate that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01{:}05{:}57.896 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}01.258$  of the some of the criticisms that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01:06:01.260 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.662$  We we we might say we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01:06:04.662 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.926$  particularly reasoned or balanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01{:}06{:}06{.}926 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}10{.}040$  or thoughtful perhaps. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

01:06:10.040 --> 01:06:12.490 We're part of a understandably

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01:06:12.490 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.435$  human reaction to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01:06:14.435 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.375$  We don't like change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01:06:16.380 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.510$  We don't like being even having

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01:06:18.510 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.359$  it implied that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01:06:20.359 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.164$  incorrect in what we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.923363584615385

 $01:06:22.164 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.419$  doing for load these many years.

 $01:06:24.420 \longrightarrow 01:06:25.578$  And so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01{:}06{:}27.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31.880$  I, I sort of under, I think I understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:06:31.880 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.085$  I when I've been, when when it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:06:34.085 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.393$  been brought to my own attention of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:06:36.393 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.120$  something that I had not even considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

01:06:39.120 --> 01:06:41.916 And my first reaction is defensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:06:41.920 \longrightarrow 01:06:46.480$  And I want to, I want to argue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:06:46.480 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.440$  But but I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:06:48.440 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.890$  I'm fortunately surrounded by colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:06:50.890 \longrightarrow 01:06:53.816$  who are are fair thinkers and reasonable

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:06:53.816 \longrightarrow 01:06:56.480$  people and have a calming influence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:06:56.480 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.136$  But so I I think I understand how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:07:00.140 \longrightarrow 01:07:02.813$  These, these reactions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:07:02.813 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.410$  generated and I wonder if it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01{:}07{:}05.486 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07.616$  to a certain extent inevitable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92616484

 $01:07:07.620 \longrightarrow 01:07:09.564$  And I guess that's what we're

 $01:07:09.564 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.100$  trying to minimize with all of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765211764706

 $01{:}07{:}12.340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}14.236$  I think it's also important that as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765211764706

 $01:07:14.236 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.213$  of the educational process that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.946765211764706

01:07:16.213 --> 01:07:18.100 been talking about, and I'm sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881810494

 $01:07:20.980 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.892$  doctor tall Walker is, is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.881810494

 $01:07:23.892 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.436$  using these guidelines for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881810494

01:07:27.436 --> 01:07:30.068 You know, it's part of what can

NOTE Confidence: 0.881810494

 $01:07:30.068 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.157$  be helpful here I think is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01{:}07{:}34.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}38.006$ you know, an understanding of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01:07:38.006 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.874$  the language is not just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01:07:42.874 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.044$  it's not just political correctness that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01:07:46.044 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.151$  language use has clinical impacts, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

01:07:49.151 --> 01:07:51.888 And it has, it can have very

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01{:}07{:}51.888 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}53.858$  negative clinical impacts and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01:07:53.860 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.700$  Part of what we tried to do in our paper

01:07:55.752 --> 01:07:57.456 is sort of cite some of the evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01{:}07{:}57.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}59.140$  but we couldn't really cite all of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01:07:59.140 \longrightarrow 01:08:01.556$  But there is a lot of evidence out

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01:08:01.556 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.171$  there that shows how that makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01:08:04.171 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.107$  connections between language and

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

01:08:06.107 --> 01:08:08.019 categorization and actual harms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

 $01:08:08.020 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.388$  So I think that's important to convey that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

01:08:11.388 --> 01:08:14.680 this isn't just sort of like an empty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

01:08:14.680 --> 01:08:18.088 you know, empty cry of wokeness, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253548

01:08:18.088 --> 01:08:20.176 That there's actual people get hurt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

 $01:08:23.450 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.730$  Yes. And and it seems to me that when you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

 $01:08:26.730 \longrightarrow 01:08:28.655$  when you when you're able to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

 $01:08:28.655 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.609$  examples they can be really compelling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

 $01:08:30.610 \longrightarrow 01:08:32.962$  Some of the examples you provided in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

01:08:32.962 --> 01:08:36.970 in your talk just just now I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

 $01:08:36.970 \longrightarrow 01:08:39.076$  could be could be really compelling

 $01:08:39.076 \longrightarrow 01:08:41.638$  and maybe it it has something maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

 $01{:}08{:}41.638 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}44.650$  the strategy has to be that it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

 $01:08:44.650 \longrightarrow 01:08:48.165$  to be introducing small amounts

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

01:08:48.165 --> 01:08:51.785 with very compelling narratives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

 $01:08:51.790 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.830$  In order to tone down these reactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91952675

 $01:08:56.830 \longrightarrow 01:08:59.070$  but I'm just speculating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936740485454546

01:09:01.430 --> 01:09:03.824 Dr. Tall Walker has a further

NOTE Confidence: 0.936740485454546

 $01:09:03.824 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.050$  contribution to the PA student. The.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936740485454546

 $01{:}09{:}08.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}10.050$  And I will try, if I can figure it out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936740485454546

 $01:09:10.050 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.306$  I'll try to figure out how to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.936740485454546

 $01:09:12.306 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.248$  this into the chat or the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936740485454546

 $01:09:14.250 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.450$  It's well actually if you look in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93220288

01:09:17.730 --> 01:09:21.528 Q&A, m.yale.ed U slash language and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93220288

 $01:09:21.528 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.436$  that's the website that the student

NOTE Confidence: 0.93220288

 $01:09:23.436 \longrightarrow 01:09:26.291$  can bring up to the PA curriculum group

 $01:09:26.291 \longrightarrow 01:09:28.126$  to help forward the conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93220288

01:09:28.130 --> 01:09:30.888 So that's our local local problem here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963734866666667

01:09:33.490 --> 01:09:36.690 Another question from our faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

 $01:09:39.430 \longrightarrow 01:09:42.460$  Hold on. In response to the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

01:09:42.460 --> 01:09:44.997 of how to deal with teaching faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

01:09:44.997 --> 01:09:46.629 using inappropriate language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

 $01{:}09{:}46.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}48.508$  I would say the course directors

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

 $01:09:48.508 \longrightarrow 01:09:50.667$  try very hard to address these

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

 $01{:}09{:}50.667 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}52.772$  issues with individual faculty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

 $01:09:52.772 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.857$  also provide them with resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

 $01{:}09{:}54.857 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}57.107$  to update the language they use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

01:09:57.110 --> 01:10:01.212 So I agree. I think, I think we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

01:10:01.212 --> 01:10:02.923 we're working on this, we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190315

01:10:02.923 --> 01:10:05.270 we're trying to do better. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7916372

 $01:10:07.580 \longrightarrow 01:10:10.620$  And and so my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:10:13.980 \longrightarrow 01:10:18.488$  as part of what we've been been

01:10:18.488 --> 01:10:22.473 talking about this this whole idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01{:}10{:}22.473 \longrightarrow 01{:}10{:}26.633$  you know can you think of of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:10:26.633 \longrightarrow 01:10:30.146$  larger strategy for how we implement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:10:30.146 \longrightarrow 01:10:34.376$  these suggestions these these changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96289617625

01:10:36.700 --> 01:10:37.975 Through academic medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96289617625

01:10:37.975 --> 01:10:40.100 but through medicine in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96289617625

01:10:40.100 --> 01:10:42.124 And that's a very large question and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.96289617625

 $01:10:42.124 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.177$  think you've partially addressed it so far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96289617625

01:10:44.180 --> 01:10:47.385 But if you have any thoughts about the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96289617625

 $01:10:47.385 \longrightarrow 01:10:49.660$  the grand strategy, if if you will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944278888

01:10:52.860 --> 01:10:54.612 Yeah, I haven't really thought that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944278888

01:10:54.612 --> 01:10:56.808 much about sort of broader changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944278888

 $01{:}10{:}56.808 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 01{:}11{:}00.406$  how to sort of implement broader changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.944278888

 $01:11:00.406 \longrightarrow 01:11:04.177$  in medicine writ large that that's huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944278888

01:11:04.180 --> 01:11:06.236 I mean, obviously education.

01:11:06.236 --> 01:11:09.320 And the medical education process is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944278888

 $01:11:09.320 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.960$  is is you know, an obvious target of efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266398

 $01{:}11{:}18.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}22.592$  and there are I think there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266398

 $01:11:22.592 \longrightarrow 01:11:24.945$  some resources that are aimed at sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266398

 $01:11:24.945 \longrightarrow 01:11:27.279$  of in the growing set of resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266398

01:11:27.280 --> 01:11:30.264 I'm sorry I don't have them sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266398

01:11:30.264 --> 01:11:32.888 off the tip of my tongue right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942266398

 $01:11:32.888 \longrightarrow 01:11:35.240$  but there are resources on sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840793568888889

01:11:38.930 --> 01:11:41.660 For example, anti racism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840793568888889

01:11:41.660 --> 01:11:43.844 anti ableism in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840793568888889

01:11:43.850 --> 01:11:46.450 how to institutionalize those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930420142857143

 $01:11:50.050 \longrightarrow 01:11:51.390$  you know, that's that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.930420142857143

01:11:51.390 --> 01:11:52.450 different story. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:11:55.450 \longrightarrow 01:11:58.225$  in terms of research there

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:11:58.225 \longrightarrow 01:12:00.445$  are some leverage points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:12:00.450 \longrightarrow 01:12:02.034$  Some of which are mentioned in

 $01:12:02.034 \longrightarrow 01:12:03.090$  that National Academies report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:12:03.090 \longrightarrow 01:12:04.910$  And although the report really

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

01:12:04.910 --> 01:12:07.490 is focused on race and ethnicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:12:07.490 \longrightarrow 01:12:10.334$  I think these leverage points are

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:12:10.334 \longrightarrow 01:12:12.530$  really valid for all research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:12:12.530 \longrightarrow 01:12:15.110$  So one of them is obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

01:12:15.204 --> 01:12:17.568 through journal publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

01:12:17.570 --> 01:12:19.545 Another one that they pointed

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

01:12:19.545 --> 01:12:21.125 to is research funders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:12:21.130 \longrightarrow 01:12:25.229$  and they provide a even a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:12:25.229 \longrightarrow 01:12:27.194$  of checklist of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

01:12:27.194 --> 01:12:28.829 research funders should ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01{:}12{:}28.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}32.195$  Before they provide funding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:12:32.195 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.325$  researchers to assure that they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.948080688888889

 $01:12:35.325 \longrightarrow 01:12:40.416$  gotten education on issues regarding racism,

01:12:40.416 --> 01:12:42.148 ableism, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

 $01:12:44.590 \longrightarrow 01:12:47.440$  as well as. Pretty specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

 $01:12:47.440 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.290$  questions they could be asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

01:12:50.290 --> 01:12:53.410 Funders could be asking of researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

01:12:53.410 --> 01:12:56.574 in in their in Grant proposal right

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

 $01:12:56.574 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.460$  in terms of study design and asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

 $01{:}13{:}00.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}04.050$  for methodological rigor in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

 $01:13:04.050 \longrightarrow 01:13:06.810$  their use and and even questioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

 $01{:}13{:}06.810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}09.138$  Do you need to use categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

 $01:13:09.138 \longrightarrow 01:13:10.930$  such as race altogether?

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

01:13:10.930 --> 01:13:11.980 Maybe you don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948304226

 $01:13:11.980 \longrightarrow 01:13:13.730$  Maybe it would be inappropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923000405882353

 $01:13:15.900 \longrightarrow 01:13:18.714$  So there's there's lots of sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923000405882353

 $01:13:18.714 \longrightarrow 01:13:21.655$  levers that can be pushed there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923000405882353

 $01:13:21.655 \longrightarrow 01:13:23.566$  and that there's institutionally some

NOTE Confidence: 0.923000405882353

 $01:13:23.566 \longrightarrow 01:13:25.897$  of the things they talked about were

 $01:13:27.940 \longrightarrow 01:13:34.420$  at the level of the IRB for example and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $01:13:34.420 \longrightarrow 01:13:40.408$  you know although that might end up by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $01:13:40.410 \longrightarrow 01:13:42.660$  In the form of more requirements

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

01:13:42.660 --> 01:13:44.658 for training, like HIPAA training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

01:13:44.658 --> 01:13:47.550 you know, but that is one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $01:13:47.550 \longrightarrow 01:13:49.610$  the suggestions that they made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

01:13:49.610 --> 01:13:52.370 And, you know, requiring that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $01:13:52.370 \longrightarrow 01:13:55.130$  there be some education before

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $01:13:55.130 \longrightarrow 01:13:58.330$  researchers are allowed to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $01:13:58.330 \longrightarrow 01:14:00.050$  samples from human subjects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

01:14:00.050 --> 01:14:02.200 or to access human subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $01:14:02.200 \longrightarrow 01:14:03.929$  at all in their research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

01:14:09.160 --> 01:14:10.960 They also talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $01:14:14.680 \longrightarrow 01:14:16.689$  I think there were there were other

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

01:14:16.689 --> 01:14:18.719 issues that were really institutional

 $01:14:21.080 \longrightarrow 01:14:23.546$  beyond education but you know there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365423125

01:14:23.546 --> 01:14:26.255 there's sort of many different places

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365423125

01:14:26.255 --> 01:14:28.840 pressure points that that where

NOTE Confidence: 0.95434236

 $01:14:32.160 \longrightarrow 01:14:34.208$  faculty for example at

NOTE Confidence: 0.95434236

 $01:14:34.208 \longrightarrow 01:14:35.800$  academic organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917653326315789

01:14:38.840 --> 01:14:42.172 You know are are subject to pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.917653326315789

 $01:14:42.172 \longrightarrow 01:14:46.320$  from from the institution and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917653326315789

 $01:14:46.320 \longrightarrow 01:14:49.600$  institution and can have requirements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917653326315789

 $01:14:49.600 \longrightarrow 01:14:51.904$  The other one that I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.917653326315789

01:14:51.904 --> 01:14:54.346 was you know review of protocols

NOTE Confidence: 0.917653326315789

 $01{:}14{:}54.346 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}57.064$  for example or maybe of articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.917653326315789

 $01:14:57.064 \longrightarrow 01:14:59.104$  and obviously they're these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.917653326315789

01:14:59.104 --> 01:15:01.480 probably going to come up against.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92154553

 $01:15:05.170 \longrightarrow 01:15:07.050$  Criticisms in terms of academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92154553

 $01:15:07.050 \longrightarrow 01:15:08.930$  freedom and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92154553

01:15:08.930 --> 01:15:12.530 But I think that there are

01:15:12.530 --> 01:15:16.083 some good ethical reasons why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92154553

 $01:15:16.083 \longrightarrow 01:15:18.527$  at the very least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92154553

 $01:15:18.530 \longrightarrow 01:15:21.854$  researchers should be asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.92154553

01:15:21.854 --> 01:15:24.474 to demonstrate some type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92154553

 $01{:}15{:}24.474 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}26.570$  competency and knowledge about

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:15:28.690 \longrightarrow 01:15:33.128$  the use of categories for scientific reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:15:33.130 \longrightarrow 01:15:34.950$  I think that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:15:34.950 \longrightarrow 01:15:37.694$  The way that we use the categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

01:15:37.694 --> 01:15:40.284 of human difference that are related

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:15:40.284 \longrightarrow 01:15:43.846$  to social identities are used in ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:15:43.846 \longrightarrow 01:15:46.622$  that are very methodologically sloppy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:15:46.622 \longrightarrow 01:15:50.514$  and we would not allow other medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:15:50.514 \dashrightarrow 01:15:52.949$  variables to be used in this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01{:}15{:}52.950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}54.732$  right, if you think about when

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

01:15:54.732 --> 01:15:55.623 you're making measurements.

 $01:15:55.630 \longrightarrow 01:15:57.961$  Of things are certain things that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:15:57.961 \longrightarrow 01:15:59.854$  related to rigor and reproducibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:15:59.854 \longrightarrow 01:16:02.146$  You know, when you measure a blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:16:02.150 \longrightarrow 01:16:04.350$  you need to know it's a blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:16:04.350 \longrightarrow 01:16:05.510$  And what is blood pressure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

01:16:05.510 --> 01:16:08.870 You have to have definitions of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:16:08.870 \longrightarrow 01:16:11.117$  you know, and we don't treat these

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

01:16:11.117 --> 01:16:12.728 identity categories or these social

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754628571429

 $01:16:12.728 \longrightarrow 01:16:14.786$  categories in the same way at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

01:16:16.910 --> 01:16:19.390 So you know, that's another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $01:16:19.390 \longrightarrow 01:16:20.428$  that's another issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:16:23.030 \longrightarrow 01:16:25.406$  And then finally one of the things that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:16:25.410 \longrightarrow 01:16:29.083$  I've been talking about is including

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:16:29.083 \longrightarrow 01:16:35.490$  these issues in analysis of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:16:35.490 \longrightarrow 01:16:39.130$  ethics consultation cases. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095679748

 $01:16:41.370 \longrightarrow 01:16:43.362$  I have a long list of things that

01:16:43.362 --> 01:16:45.581 I am now requiring our trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095679748

 $01{:}16{:}45.581 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}47.646$  who are trained getting training

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095679748

 $01:16:47.646 \longrightarrow 01:16:49.544$  and research ethics consultation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095679748

 $01:16:49.544 \longrightarrow 01:16:52.490$  to read so that they can include

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095679748

 $01:16:52.490 \longrightarrow 01:16:54.090$  these considerations as their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095679748

 $01{:}16{:}54.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}57.770$  Reviewing protocols or study designs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095679748

 $01:16:57.770 \longrightarrow 01:17:00.230$  that are presented in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.9095679748

 $01:17:00.302 \longrightarrow 01:17:02.490$  of research ethics consultation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $01:17:04.970 \longrightarrow 01:17:05.610$  The the, NOTE Confidence: 0.899789710952381

 $01:17:08.330 \longrightarrow 01:17:11.235$  the idea, or the the notion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899789710952381

01:17:11.235 --> 01:17:13.768 requiring people to demonstrate some

NOTE Confidence: 0.899789710952381

 $01:17:13.768 \longrightarrow 01:17:16.758$  confidence in these matters before

NOTE Confidence: 0.899789710952381

 $01{:}17{:}16.758 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}19.716$  they can participate in in submitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.899789710952381

 $01:17:19.716 \longrightarrow 01:17:21.528$  research or submitting documents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931051435833333

 $01:17:24.390 \longrightarrow 01:17:27.468$  Do you I I assume that runs the risk

01:17:27.468 --> 01:17:30.974 of generating resentment and people at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931051435833333

01:17:30.974 --> 01:17:33.954 you know, doing the functory checklist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931051435833333

01:17:33.954 --> 01:17:37.769 learning as little as possible to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.931051435833333

01:17:37.769 --> 01:17:41.108 by through the process of getting their

NOTE Confidence: 0.931051435833333

 $01:17:41.108 \longrightarrow 01:17:45.010$  grants submitted and funded and getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.931051435833333

01:17:45.010 --> 01:17:48.430 their documents reviewed and accepted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931051435833333

 $01:17:48.430 \longrightarrow 01:17:52.016$  But, and that troubles me to an extent, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931051435833333

 $01:17:52.016 \longrightarrow 01:17:55.666$  On the other hand, once people understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.931051435833333

01:17:55.666 --> 01:17:58.960 what the what's the, what's required,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

 $01:18:01.680 \longrightarrow 01:18:05.502$  we seem to adapt and it becomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

 $01{:}18{:}05.502 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}08.520$  part of part of what life is about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

01:18:08.520 --> 01:18:11.250 And so this would be part of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

 $01:18:11.250 \longrightarrow 01:18:12.800$  academic life would be about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

01:18:12.800 --> 01:18:15.344 Perhaps eventually we get to where this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

 $01:18:15.344 \longrightarrow 01:18:18.924$  part of what clinical practice is all about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

 $01:18:18.924 \longrightarrow 01:18:21.585$  And so maybe, at least initially,

 $01:18:21.585 \longrightarrow 01:18:25.320$  we don't care if people believe avidly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

 $01{:}18{:}25.320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}29.660$  all the things that you've been advocating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

 $01:18:29.660 \longrightarrow 01:18:31.820$  but at least they can fake

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

 $01:18:31.820 \longrightarrow 01:18:33.580$  it until they make it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

01:18:33.580 --> 01:18:36.100 Now, does that sound just too cynical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934662822222222

01:18:36.100 --> 01:18:40.220 or is is that a possible approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:18:41.620 --> 01:18:43.564 Well, yeah, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:18:43.564 --> 01:18:45.766 Yeah, for some people they're, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01{:}18{:}45.770 \longrightarrow 01{:}18{:}47.730$  these practices are very entrenched.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:18:47.730 --> 01:18:48.714 When, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01{:}18{:}48.714 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}50.682$  when somebody does a case presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01:18:50.690 \longrightarrow 01:18:52.125$  what they, what do they start with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01:18:52.130 \longrightarrow 01:18:53.890$  They start with, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:18:53.890 --> 01:18:56.690 this is a 35 year old black male with blah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01:18:56.690 \longrightarrow 01:18:58.226$  blah, blah, blah blah.

01:18:58.226 --> 01:19:00.410 And without any sort of thought about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01:19:00.410 \longrightarrow 01:19:01.310$  well, what is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01:19:01.310 \longrightarrow 01:19:02.210$  Why is that relevant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:19:02.210 --> 01:19:03.970 Is that relevant at all in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01:19:03.970 \longrightarrow 01:19:05.330$  what does black mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:19:05.330 --> 01:19:07.370 I mean that the National Academy

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:19:07.433 --> 01:19:09.109 report actually recommends not

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01:19:09.109 \longrightarrow 01:19:11.204$  using the term black anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:19:11.210 --> 01:19:13.010 It also recommends not using

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01:19:13.010 \longrightarrow 01:19:14.810$  the term white anymore because

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

 $01:19:14.881 \longrightarrow 01:19:16.406$  what does that even mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:19:16.410 --> 01:19:19.290 What does that even mean in the context of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228332

01:19:19.290 --> 01:19:19.690 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

01:19:23.610 --> 01:19:25.474 well, I mean, we could go on for

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $01:19:25.474 \longrightarrow 01:19:27.148$  hours just about that question in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $01:19:27.148 \longrightarrow 01:19:30.302$  and of itself, but we do a lot of

01:19:30.302 --> 01:19:31.794 things without thinking, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $01:19:31.794 \longrightarrow 01:19:33.890$  And so I think just asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $01:19:33.890 \longrightarrow 01:19:36.050$  people to pause for a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930397261538461

 $01:19:42.550 \longrightarrow 01:19:45.734$  And if that means that there has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.930397261538461

 $01:19:45.734 \longrightarrow 01:19:49.230$  be sort of educational requirements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:19:53.950 \longrightarrow 01:19:55.798$  you know, I think those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

01:19:55.798 --> 01:19:57.030 going to cause resentment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:19:57.030 \longrightarrow 01:20:03.998$  but also once if these kinds of issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01{:}20{:}03.998 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}07.641$  get incorporated at levels like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

01:20:07.641 --> 01:20:12.209 At places like in the grant review process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01{:}20{:}12.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}16.370$  in the paper review process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:20:16.370 \longrightarrow 01:20:18.002$  that means that they will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:20:18.002 \longrightarrow 01:20:19.489$  inevitable and people will have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93220288

 $01:20:23.010 \longrightarrow 01:20:24.090$  do more than fake it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

01:20:26.970 --> 01:20:29.930 They they can't just talk to talk,

 $01:20:29.930 \longrightarrow 01:20:31.498$  they have to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

01:20:31.498 --> 01:20:33.850 Eventually they will walk the walk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:20:33.850 \longrightarrow 01:20:37.210$  as you said in some circles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:20:37.210 \longrightarrow 01:20:41.140$  Well, this has been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:20:41.140 \longrightarrow 01:20:43.810$  delightful session and we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

01:20:43.810 --> 01:20:45.690 appreciate you joining us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

01:20:45.690 --> 01:20:49.146 Do you have any further remarks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

01:20:49.146 --> 01:20:50.886 you'd like to conclude with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93270605

 $01:20:54.730 \longrightarrow 01:20:55.690$  I don't think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914403282222222

01:20:57.970 --> 01:21:00.658 Just want to thank you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.914403282222222

 $01{:}21{:}00.658 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}02.622$  inviting me to see your group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914403282222222

 $01:21:02.622 \longrightarrow 01:21:04.050$  even though I can't really see them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

01:21:04.830 --> 01:21:06.210 Yes, all right. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

01:21:06.210 --> 01:21:08.538 thank you so much. This has been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $01:21:08.538 \longrightarrow 01:21:10.750$  this has been terrific and we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $01{:}21{:}10.811 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}12.666$  appreciate you you joining us.

 $01:21:12.670 \longrightarrow 01:21:14.710$  So have have a good evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

01:21:15.910 --> 01:21:16.310 Thank you.