WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:25:19"

NOTE recognizability:0.825

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.592 Good evening, and welcome to the Yale School

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:02.592 \rightarrow 00:00:05.038$ of Medicine program for Biomedical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}00{:}05{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06{.}230$ My name is Mark Mercurio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.092$ I'm the director of the program and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:08.092 \rightarrow 00:00:10.280$ on behalf of the associate directors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:00:10.280 --> 00:00:12.100 Jack Hughes and Sarah Hull,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:12.100 \rightarrow 00:00:12.980$ as well as our manager,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:00:12.980 --> 00:00:15.278 Karen Cole, I welcome you tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.756$ We've got folks from all over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:16.760 \dashrightarrow 00:00:18.412$ One of the advantages of doing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:18.412 \rightarrow 00:00:20.197$ things online is I even see a colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.911$ an old friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}00{:}20{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22{.}333$ all the way from New Zealand.

 $00:00:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.218$ So welcome to all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:24.220 \rightarrow 00:00:26.815$ It's going to be a special evening and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:26.815 \rightarrow 00:00:28.540$ we're going to get to it in just a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.402$ But to let you know kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:30.402 \rightarrow 00:00:32.167$ structurally how we're going to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:32.170 \dashrightarrow 00:00:35.810$ Our guests will speak for about 45 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36{.}338$ After that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:00:36.338 --> 00:00:38.186 I'm going to invite you please to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}00{:}38.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.597$ submit the questions or comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:00:39.597 --> 00:00:41.546 via the Q&A function on zoom and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}00{:}41.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.064$ then I will moderate a session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}00{:}43.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.894$ I'll be reading those questions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:00:44.894 --> 00:00:47.269 Elizabeth and she'll be responding and we'll,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.510$ we'll see how we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.170$ but I will promise you that by at 6:30

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:52.170 \rightarrow 00:00:55.530$ Eastern Time, we will have a hard stop.

 $00:00:55.530 \rightarrow 00:00:56.770$ So if there's still questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:00:56.770 --> 00:00:57.374 I apologize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:57.374 \rightarrow 00:00:59.488$ And sometimes we finish a little early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:00:59.490 \rightarrow 00:01:03.234$ but we will finish by 6:30 in any case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:03.240 \rightarrow 00:01:05.184$ There are other important events coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:05.184 \rightarrow 00:01:07.698$ up which you can find on our website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.140$ You can just Google biomedical ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.168$ at Yale and you will find the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:01:11.168 --> 00:01:12.692 website for the program for biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}01{:}12.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.155$ ethics with our schedule and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:01:14.155 --> 00:01:16.148 encourage you to take a look at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:01:16.148 --> 00:01:17.720 We've got some wonderful talks coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:17.770 \rightarrow 00:01:19.499$ up and some special events as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:01:19.500 --> 00:01:22.564 But for tonight we have a very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:22.564 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.940$ event and let's focus on that.

00:01:24.940 --> 00:01:26.656 Tonight we're going to hear from

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:01:26.656 --> 00:01:27.514 Professor Elizabeth Landfair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:27.520 \rightarrow 00:01:29.278$ who is a philosopher of bioethicist

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:29.278 \rightarrow 00:01:31.093$ and assistant professor in the ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:31.093 \dashrightarrow 00:01:32.578$ Center at Children's Hospital at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:01:32.580 --> 00:01:32.981 Cincinnati,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}01{:}32.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.788$ where she works as a clinical ethicist

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:35.788 \rightarrow 00:01:38.443$ and engages in scholarship on feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}01{:}38{.}443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40{.}227$ and narrative narrative approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:40.227 \dashrightarrow 00:01:42.808$ and her philosophy and bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}01{:}42.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.994$ She's affiliated with the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:01:44.994 --> 00:01:46.450 Cincinnati departments of Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00{:}01{:}46{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47{.}983$ philosophy and women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:01:47.983 --> 00:01:50.027 gender and sexuality studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:50.030 \dashrightarrow 00:01:53.210$ and the Center for Public Engagement

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:01:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.270$ with Science.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00:01:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.885$ Professor Lamphier got her bachelors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- 00:01:55.885 --> 00:01:58.060 at NYU and Masters at Columbia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- 00:01:58.060 --> 00:02:00.136 a PhD in philosophy at Vanderbilt,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00:02:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.748$ as well as a fellowship in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00{:}02{:}01.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{:}02.820$ clinical ethics at Vanderbilt.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- 00:02:02.820 --> 00:02:03.610 In addition,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00{:}02{:}03.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.190$ she's a certified healthcare
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00:02:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.980$ ethics consultant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00:02:05.980 \dashrightarrow 00:02:09.539$ I have wanted for a long time to have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00:02:09.539 \rightarrow 00:02:11.897$ session on devoted specifically to feminist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- 00:02:11.897 --> 00:02:14.326 ethics and feminist bioethics in particular,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00:02:14.326 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.167$ and so I did my homework to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00{:}02{:}16.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.718$ find out who should we get.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- 00:02:17.720 --> 00:02:19.700 And last year I did my homework and found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714
- $00:02:19.700 \rightarrow 00:02:21.937$ out who we should get is Elizabeth Lanphear.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:02:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.068$ So I'm delighted that she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:02:23.068 \rightarrow 00:02:24.010$ kind enough to accept her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:02:24.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.303$ Invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

 $00:02:24.303 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.182$ So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:02:25.182 --> 00:02:27.760 I am going to turn it over to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864002384285714

00:02:27.760 --> 00:02:28.550 Professor Lanphier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:02:29.930 --> 00:02:31.210 Thank you so much, Mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:02:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.310$ It's great to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}02{:}32{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33{.}990$ I'm going to go ahead and get

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:02:33.990 \rightarrow 00:02:35.110$ started sharing my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:02:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.260$ So give me one second as we get going

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:02:38.260 \rightarrow 00:02:40.833$ and hopefully that works and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:02:40.833 \dashrightarrow 00:02:44.248$ should all be able to see my slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:02:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.470$ It's great to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:02:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.306$ I there's so much to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:02:47.310 --> 00:02:49.020 many, many experts in feminist

 $00:02:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.046$ ethics and bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:02:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.578$ So I really appreciate the invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:02:53.580 --> 00:02:55.686 So as we get going tonight, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:02:55.686 --> 00:02:57.416 my name is Elizabeth Lanfear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}02{:}57{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59{.}708$ and I do use she and her pronouns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}02{:}59{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00{.}991$ I want to note that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:03:00.991 -> 00:03:02.318 a QR code on this screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.402$ so if you would benefit from

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}03{:}04{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06{.}231$ having access to the slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:06.231 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.415$ in order to better read them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.800$ you're welcome to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}03{:}09{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11{.}084$ For those folks who end up

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:11.084 \rightarrow 00:03:11.940$ catching the recording later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}03{:}11{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13{.}824$ You also can get the slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}03{:}13.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.080$ through this QR code.

 $00:03:15.080 \rightarrow 00:03:16.550$ I have no relevant disclosures

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:03:16.550 --> 00:03:18.382 other than saying that I do

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:18.382 \rightarrow 00:03:19.897$ identify as a feminist scholar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:03:19.900 --> 00:03:21.016 I have a love,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:21.016 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.132$ hate relationship with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:22.132 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.480$ label of bioethicists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}03{:}23.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.496$ You can ask me about that later if

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:24.496 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.870$ you want to, but you don't have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}03{:}25.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.284$ And really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:26.284 \rightarrow 00:03:27.526$ I'm really just pleased to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}03{:}27.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.750$ sharing this time with you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:03:28.750 --> 00:03:29.934 Again, thank you, Tim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:03:29.934 --> 00:03:30.230 Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.395$ the program of biomedical ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}03{:}32{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34{.}127$ for really putting feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:03:34.127 \rightarrow 00:03:36.281$ ethics and bioethics on the agenda

- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00:03:36.281 \dashrightarrow 00:03:38.450$ and part of the speaker series.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00:03:38.450 \dashrightarrow 00:03:40.466$ I really appreciate Karen Cole for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00:03:40.466 \rightarrow 00:03:41.810$ making this happen logistically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00{:}03{:}41.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.710$ as well as Duncan Moore,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00:03:42.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.218$ who's helping run tech.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00:03:44.218 \rightarrow 00:03:45.726$ So let's get going.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- 00:03:45.730 --> 00:03:46.123 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00:03:46.123 \rightarrow 00:03:48.481$ here's here's my plan for our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00:03:48.481 \dashrightarrow 00:03:50.870$ next stretch of time together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- 00:03:50.870 --> 00:03:52.635 I'm calling up my feminist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00:03:52.635 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.694$ bioethics agenda and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00{:}03{:}53{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55{.}464$ You mean that it is somewhat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00{:}03{:}55{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}673$ tongue in cheek way it apart like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- 00:03:57.673 --> 00:03:59.617 reflects my intentions of how I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- 00:03:59.617 > 00:04:01.539 going to use our time together,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}658$ which we'll start with an overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:04:03.658 --> 00:04:05.861 of various meanings of the term

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}661$ feminist and what feminism or

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:07.661 \rightarrow 00:04:09.362$ feminist would mean specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:09.362 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.478$ for bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}04{:}10{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12{.}736$ And to do that I'm going to then

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:12.736 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.350$ look at some examples or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:15.350 \rightarrow 00:04:17.326$ example from different settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:17.326 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.880$ including clinical ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.510$ ethics of patient care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.140$ policies relating to patient care

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:22.196 \rightarrow 00:04:24.096$ and then some questions around

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}04{:}24.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.616$ structural approaches to health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}04{:}25{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27{.}708$ And healthcare and how feminism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}04{:}27.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.629$ feminist approaches might help us there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:29.630 \dashrightarrow 00:04:32.264$ And then have time for discussion

- NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644
- $00:04:32.264 \rightarrow 00:04:35.468$ and your questions and and remarks.

00:04:35.470 --> 00:04:36.086 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:04:36.086 - 00:04:37.934 my remarks are really by no

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:37.934 \rightarrow 00:04:39.690$ means meant to be exhaustive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:04:39.690 --> 00:04:43.346 although I hope it's not exhausting to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:43.350 \rightarrow 00:04:43.651$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:04:43.651 --> 00:04:43.952 Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:43.952 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.758$ I think one element really of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:45.758 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.333$ feminist theory and feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:04:47.333 --> 00:04:48.713 approaches is recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:48.713 \rightarrow 00:04:50.093$ differently situated knowledges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}04{:}50{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51{.}050$ So on the one hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:51.050 \rightarrow 00:04:53.549$ like I'm here to give some framing

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}04{:}53{.}549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55{.}740$ remarks and fuel a conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}868$ But I take it that there's a wealth

 $00:04:57.868 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.549$ of expertise here in this session

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:04:59.549 \rightarrow 00:05:01.960$ and that will be joining the conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:05:01.960 --> 00:05:04.592 So I really look forward to thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:05:04.592 \rightarrow 00:05:06.750$ alongside you all this evening to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}05{:}06.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.619$ the extent that we are able to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:05:09.620 --> 00:05:12.938 OK, so in our recent book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

00:05:12.940 --> 00:05:14.440 Carol Hay, who's a fat hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:05:14.440 \rightarrow 00:05:17.216$ who's a feminist philosopher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}05{:}17.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.910$ notes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00:05:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.166$ If you were to ask 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.946192644

 $00{:}05{:}20.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.670$ different feminists to define

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:05:21.746 --> 00:05:23.463 feminism, you would probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:05:23.463 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.867$ get 11 different answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:05:24.870 --> 00:05:26.910 And like, I think this is just like

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:05:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.598$ a useful recognition that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}05{:}28{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30{.}824$ we talk about feminist ethics and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:05:30.824 \rightarrow 00:05:32.826$ feminist bioethics and really by we,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}05{:}32{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34{.}430$ I want to be clear that I mean
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:05:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.227$ those of us who understand ourselves
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:05:36.227 \rightarrow 00:05:37.907$ to be doing feminist bioethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}05{:}37{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40{.}490$ but also its critics and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:05:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.989$ critics who are going to levy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:05:42.990 \rightarrow 00:05:45.756$ you know, challenges to feminism and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:05:45.756 \rightarrow 00:05:48.110$ feminist approaches like we don't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:05:48.110 \rightarrow 00:05:50.917$ Always mean only one thing or always
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:05:50.917 \rightarrow 00:05:53.804$ the same thing, and I think getting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:05:53.804 \rightarrow 00:05:55.909$ clear about that is important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- 00:05:55.910 --> 00:05:56.846 So, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}05{:}56.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.406$ when we're talking about feminism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}05{:}58{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}672$ like we're probably entering this talk with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}06{:}01.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.649$ certain operative conceptions in mind.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:06:03.650 \rightarrow 00:06:05.029$ We might have terms that we've heard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06{.}815$ terms that we use ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:06:06.815 -> 00:06:08.260 related to feminism on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:06:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.370$ on this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:06:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.220$ I've just gathered several images

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:06:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.470$ that capture some of the ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:06:13.470 \dashrightarrow 00:06:15.285$ people can talk about feminism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:06:15.290 --> 00:06:16.774 And I'm thinking specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}06{:}16.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.629$ from a US context to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:06:18.630 --> 00:06:20.303 I know not every
one here is necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}06{:}20{.}303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22{.}290$ from the US as mark already mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:06:22.290 --> 00:06:22.720 right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:06:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.870$ feminism is certainly not an

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:06:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.160$ exclusively American concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:06:26.160 \dashrightarrow 00:06:27.996$ Any stretch feminist bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:06:27.996 --> 00:06:30.291 like feminism spans from local

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:06:30.291 \dashrightarrow 00:06:32.837$ to global context and settings.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- 00:06:32.840 --> 00:06:35.702 I have 45 minutes and my own work is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:06:35.702 \dashrightarrow 00:06:38.836$ really embedded in a backdrop of US society,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:06:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.001$ politics and healthcare.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- 00:06:40.001 --> 00:06:42.744 So you know I take it that that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:06:42.744 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.193$ going to be the case for most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:06:44.193 \rightarrow 00:06:45.820$ of the obviously not all of you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}06{:}45{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48{.}151$ And and so I am drawing on this US
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}06{:}48.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.405$ context and I think some of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- 00:06:50.405 --> 00:06:52.103 phrases that you've likely heard
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- 00:06:52.103 00:06:54.179 may be used include the various
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}06{:}54{.}179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55{.}217$ waves of feminism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}06{:}55{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56{.}555$ So they largely relate to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}06{:}56{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}356$ political movements and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:06:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.640$ Historical periods when we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:06:58.640 --> 00:07:00.082 talking about first, second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}07{:}00{.}082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02{.}010$ third, 4th wave feminism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:07:02.010 \rightarrow 00:07:03.928$ Some of those terms like liberal feminism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:07:03.930 \rightarrow 00:07:05.982$ radical feminism, intersectional feminism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:07:05.982 --> 00:07:07.850 black feminism, white feminism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}110$ trans inclusive, trans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:07:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.038$ exclusive feminism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:07:10.038 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.750$ Some of these terms are used to indicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}07{:}13.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.919$ philosophical and political commitments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}07{:}16{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18{.}774$ Some are used to deride and

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:07:18.774 --> 00:07:20.400 critique and and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}07{:}20{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22{.}227$ I think that as a periodic like

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:07:22.227 --> 00:07:23.940 dust UPS over which you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:07:23.940 \dashrightarrow 00:07:25.788$ which celebrities consider themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:07:25.788 \rightarrow 00:07:29.288$ feminist or not and suggest that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:07:29.288 \rightarrow 00:07:31.928$ feminism can be a charged word or concept.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:07:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.583$ So part of what we want to do is get clear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}07{:}34.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.935$ about what it is we're talking about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- 00:07:36.940 --> 00:07:37.417 Right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:07:37.417 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.756$ So feminist as a term can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}07{:}40.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.650$ deployed to talk about topics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- 00:07:43.650 --> 00:07:45.390 The notion of feminist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:07:45.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.695$ activism and movements.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:07:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.800$ It can be a form of politics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:07:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.300$ and it can be forms of theory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- 00:07:52.300 00:07:53.800 or theoretical methodology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- 00:07:53.800 --> 00:07:54.980 right including but not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}07{:}54.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.160$ limited to feminist ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}07{:}56{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57{.}715$ You can also have other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00{:}07{:}57{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}959$ forms of feminist theory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636
- $00:07:58.960 \rightarrow 00:08:00.824$ And so clearly some of these things overlap,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:08:00.830 --> 00:08:01.301 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:08:01.301 --> 00:08:03.185 Like activist movements address

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:08:03.185 \dashrightarrow 00:08:05.540$ particular topics that align with

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:08:05.612 \rightarrow 00:08:08.084$ feminist interests and might entail or

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:08:08.084 \rightarrow 00:08:10.460$ are subject to political responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:08:10.460 --> 00:08:12.210 I think abortion access is

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:08:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.960$ a clear example of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:08:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.202$ I'm not going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}08{:}15{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16{.}420$ abortion access in my remarks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:08:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.684$ so if you if you want to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00:08:17.684 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.210$ about it in Q&A, we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

00:08:20.210 --> 00:08:21.052 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873380556363636

 $00{:}08{:}21.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.736$ I think that right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:08:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.025$ Again, we'll talk about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}24.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.053$ in relation to bioethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:08:25.060 \rightarrow 00:08:27.692$ but taking some topics to be sort

00:08:27.692 --> 00:08:29.654 of so-called women's issues, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}572$ Or topics that should be of interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}31{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33{.}655$ to women or pertain to women in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:08:33.655 \rightarrow 00:08:35.130$ addition to political or activist

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36{.}870$ issues like risks limiting the frame

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}36{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39{.}313$ in ways that are actually not aligned

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:08:39.313 \dashrightarrow 00:08:41.518$ with feminist theory or methodology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:08:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.005$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}43.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.975$ feminist sort of first wave feminisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}45{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48{.}160$ involvement in women's suffrage and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:08:48.160 \dashrightarrow 00:08:50.340$ making women the central target

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}50{.}411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52{.}380$ there of of that activism was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:08:52.380 \dashrightarrow 00:08:54.080$ Hopeful at expanding voting rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}54{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}176$ to largely white women at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}56.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.876$ exclusion of expanding and protecting

00:08:57.876 --> 00:08:59.830 voting rights for black Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}784$ including black women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:00.784 \rightarrow 00:09:02.110$ but not exclusively, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:02.110 \dashrightarrow 00:09:04.700$ So we're going to talk more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}09{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.060$ these intersections as we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:09:06.060 --> 00:09:07.900 Umm. And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:09:07.900 --> 00:09:08.756 arguably, like I've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}09{:}08.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.340$ I've tried to like clear the air a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:09:10.392 --> 00:09:12.338 little bit about the fact that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:12.338 \rightarrow 00:09:13.689$ are background assumptions and plural

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}09{:}13.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.334$ uses of the concept in term feminism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.664$ sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:09:16.664 --> 00:09:17.988 generally speaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:09:17.990 --> 00:09:19.698 And so I think it's worth asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}09{:}19.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21.055$ sort of does feminist bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:09:21.055 --> 00:09:23.311 work in all of these ways as well

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00:09:23.372 \rightarrow 00:09:25.220$ in terms of the activist politics,

00:09:25.220 --> 00:09:28.260 theoretical right kind of framing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:28.260 \dashrightarrow 00:09:30.956$ and as a reader on meaning in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:30.960 \rightarrow 00:09:33.057$ it was published like over 20 years ago now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.202$ Maggie little sort of has this prompt

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:35.202 \rightarrow 00:09:37.173$ for chapters called why a feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:09:37.173 --> 00:09:38.715 approach to bioethics, bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}09{:}38.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.530$ And she argues that feminist bioethics is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}09{:}42.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.218$ a valuable theoretical aid to bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:46.220 \rightarrow 00:09:47.900$ To be this like, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.020$ little really wants to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}09{:}49{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51{.}084$ Earlier that umm and sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:51.084 \dashrightarrow 00:09:53.110$ dispel some myths around feminism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}09{:}53.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.122$ And she suggests that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}09{:}54{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55{.}974$ you know, feminist bioethics.

 $00:09:55.974 \rightarrow 00:09:59.334$ Bioethics isn't only or necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:09:59.334 \rightarrow 00:10:02.726$ bioethics by women, which is true, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}10{:}02.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.102$ Feminist bioethicists like feminists

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:10:04.102 --> 00:10:06.108 or people of all gender identities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:10:06.110 --> 00:10:08.226 it isn't, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}10{:}08.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.813$ bioethical issues necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:10:09.813 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.400$ pertaining to women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:10:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.750$ or at least not exclusively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}10{:}12{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14{.}450$ And we'll talk about more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:10:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.150$ about that in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:10:16.150 --> 00:10:17.263 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:10:17.263 \rightarrow 00:10:19.489$ while feminist bioethics is at times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:10:19.490 --> 00:10:21.308 Done by women or on topics

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:10:21.308 \rightarrow 00:10:22.217$ pertaining to them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}10{:}22.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.488$ it's first and foremost

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:10:23.488 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.073$ according to little a theory,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00{:}10{:}25.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.240$ or perhaps several theories.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- 00:10:27.240 --> 00:10:28.320 So let's,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00:10:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.720$ let's talk about the theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00{:}10{:}29{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33{.}468$ and also why the theory can and does
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00{:}10{:}33.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36.660$ overlap at times with issues of politics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- 00:10:36.756 --> 00:10:39.204 and perhaps activism and topics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- 00:10:39.204 --> 00:10:39.566 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00{:}10{:}39.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.738$ While being careful to not sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- 00:10:41.738 --> 00:10:43.816 of pigeonhole feminist bioethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00:10:43.816 \rightarrow 00:10:45.818$ on certain issues, topics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00:10:45.818 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.892$ or political commitments.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00{:}10{:}46.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.040$ I think that that's kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00:10:49.102 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.569$ an important distinction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- 00:10:50.570 --> 00:10:50.881 Umm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00:10:50.881 \rightarrow 00:10:51.503$ so right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:10:51.503 \rightarrow 00:10:53.680$ In the same essay that I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:10:53.757 --> 00:10:55.669 mentioned by Maggie Little,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}10{:}55{.}670$ --> $00{:}10{:}57{.}704$ she sort of describes feminist theory NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

NOTE confidence. 0.05120152

00:10:57.704 --> 00:11:00.028 as and here's this quote from her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:11:00.030 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:11:01.968 and attempts to uncover the ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:11:01.968 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.669$ in which conceptions of gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:11:03.669 - 00:11:05.745 distort people's view of the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:11:05.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.610$ and to articulate ways in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:11:07.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.726$ which these distortions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:11:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.330$ which are hurtful to all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}11{:}10{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13{.}910$ are particularly constraining to women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:11:13.910 --> 00:11:14.230 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00{:}11{:}14.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.150$ so from these uncovering about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:11:16.150 --> 00:11:17.849 gendered conceptions right distort views,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

00:11:17.850 --> 00:11:20.286 including views of philosophy and ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152

 $00:11:20.290 \rightarrow 00:11:21.810$ of medicine and healthcare,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- 00:11:21.810 --> 00:11:22.950 of gender itself,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00:11:22.950 \rightarrow 00:11:23.261$ right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00:11:23.261 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.816$ Particularly I think also we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- $00{:}11{:}24.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.789$ have to be indebted to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- 00:11:26.789 --> 00:11:28.125 black women scholars who've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83120152
- 00:11:28.125 --> 00:11:29.461 shown like the attention
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:11:29.519 \rightarrow 00:11:31.857$ to only gendered concepts can also further
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00{:}11{:}31{.}857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34{.}189$ distort views of women and disparate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00{:}11{:}34{.}189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36{.}847$ aspects and impacts based on gender.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:11:36.850 --> 00:11:38.110 I'm going to get to that in a second,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:11:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.104$ but I want to flag that right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00{:}11{:}39{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42{.}032$ I think it's fairly overall uncontroversial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00:11:42.032 \dashrightarrow 00:11:44.700$ to say that contemporary feminism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00{:}11{:}44.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.094$ Is best understood as uncovering and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00{:}11{:}47.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.294$ responding to sites and structures
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

00:11:49.294 --> 00:11:51.362 of oppression more broadly, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:11:51.362 \rightarrow 00:11:53.700$ This may be the insight was initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:11:53.762 \rightarrow 00:11:55.778$ drawn from the oppression of women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:11:55.780 \rightarrow 00:11:57.515$ but feminist theory has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00{:}11{:}57{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00{.}350$ taken up this mantle of being anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:12:00.350 \rightarrow 00:12:02.410$ oppressive and uncovering oppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:12:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.040$ In many forms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

00:12:03.040 --> 00:12:04.838 And so again in Carol Hay and her

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00{:}12{:}04.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.777$ book think like a feminist that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

00:12:06.777 --> 00:12:08.162 already mentioned says, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00{:}12{:}08{.}162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09{.}517$ if there's one theoretical concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:12:09.517 \rightarrow 00:12:11.249$ that's central to feminist philosophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:12:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.998$ it's oppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00{:}12{:}11{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14{.}616$ Oppression is a cluster of harms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:12:14.616 \rightarrow 00:12:16.299$ injustices forming an interconnected

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

00:12:16.299 --> 00:12:18.370 web made-up of economic, political,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00:12:18.370 \rightarrow 00:12:19.970$ social and psychological elements.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00:12:19.970 \rightarrow 00:12:23.418$ And so that the harms, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- 00:12:23.418 --> 00:12:24.750 have economic, political,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00{:}12{:}24.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.895$ social and psychological dimensions is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00{:}12{:}26.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.586$ really going to be crucial for how a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:12:30.586 \rightarrow 00:12:32.550$ feminist bioethics fundamentally approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:12:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.248$ Which is bioethics is one that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:12:34.248 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.020$ cannot be detached from the social,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00{:}12{:}36{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37{.}865$ political context in which Healthcare
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:12:37.865 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.118$ is given and received and which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00:12:40.118 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.498$ healthcare conditions are studied
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:12:41.498 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.760$ or are failed to be studied,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- 00:12:43.760 --> 00:12:47.280 ignored as sites of study and you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00{:}12{:}47.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.580$ as novel technologies are developed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:12:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.500$ or ignored.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:12:50.500 \rightarrow 00:12:52.712$ Which patients and with what conditions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:12:52.712 \rightarrow 00:12:55.059$ seen as targets of research or treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00{:}12{:}55{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56{.}840$ Which conditions are understood

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:12:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.175$ as diseases like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00{:}12{:}58{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}735$ I think all of this relates to

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:13:00.735 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.819$ these many layers of situated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:13:02.820 \rightarrow 00:13:06.108$ Contacts that feminism can help address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:13:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.650$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

00:13:07.650 --> 00:13:09.386 Before I go too much further here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00{:}13{:}09{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}830$ I want to ground some of what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:13:10.830 \rightarrow 00:13:11.890$ talking about in some examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00{:}13{:}11.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14.487$ And so kind of thinking about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

00:13:14.490 --> 00:13:14.790 like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:13:14.790 \rightarrow 00:13:17.190$ feminist topic or what's a topic that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

00:13:17.190 --> 00:13:17.730 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:13:17.730 \rightarrow 00:13:19.620$ feminist or specific to a woman's issue?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:13:19.620 --> 00:13:19.987 Like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:13:19.987 --> 00:13:21.822 we might think about contraception
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00{:}13{:}21.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.065$ as a bioethical issue that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:13:24.065 \rightarrow 00:13:25.485$ ripe for feminist treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00{:}13{:}25{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26{.}438$ And it is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:13:26.438 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.754$ right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:13:26.754 --> 00:13:28.334 Like the kinds of contraception
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:13:28.334 \rightarrow 00:13:30.513$ that are prescribed or administered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- 00:13:30.513 --> 00:13:32.154 by health professionals are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:13:32.154 --> 00:13:33.290 typically available to women,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00:13:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.274$ trans, nonbinary folks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:13:34.274 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.570$ So it's a topic that might be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00{:}13{:}36{.}641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}126$ seen as a women's issue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00:13:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.330$ They're broadly construed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:13:39.330 --> 00:13:39.730 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:13:39.730 \rightarrow 00:13:42.130$ And there are ethical issues related

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

00:13:42.193 --> 00:13:44.195 to access to risks and benefits of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:13:44.195 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.124$ side effects to considerations about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:13:46.124 \rightarrow 00:13:48.654$ conscientious objection in the provision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

00:13:48.660 --> 00:13:49.984 Prescription administration

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:13:49.984 \rightarrow 00:13:51.970$ dispensation of contraception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00{:}13{:}51{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55{.}939$ and how like all of these interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:13:55.940 \rightarrow 00:13:58.999$ pertain to women and might produce unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}239$ gendered harms is important to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

00:14:02.240 --> 00:14:04.825 But, you know, certainly contraception

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

00:14:04.825 --> 00:14:06.893 like relates to economic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:14:06.900 \rightarrow 00:14:07.821$ political, social elements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:14:07.821 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.413$ It can also be a topic of activist

NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666

 $00:14:10.413 \rightarrow 00:14:12.699$ agendas in terms of expanding access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

00:14:12.700 --> 00:14:12.856 Again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666

 $00:14:12.856 \rightarrow 00:14:13.948$ So I want to just be clear,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00{:}14{:}13.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.380$ we're talking about this connection between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7712003986666666
- $00:14:16.380 \rightarrow 00:14:19.278$ topics and politics and activism and theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:14:19.280 --> 00:14:19.563 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:14:19.563 --> 00:14:21.827 And I think that the theory can help
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:14:21.827 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.900$ to analyze all of these angles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:14:23.900 --> 00:14:26.255 But feminist bioethics also can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:14:26.255 \rightarrow 00:14:27.668$ provide theoretical frameworks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:14:27.668 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.230$ to really disclose the distorted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:14:30.230 \rightarrow 00:14:32.290$ conceptions that have permitted.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- $00:14:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.048$ Women to be the targets of research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771200398666666
- 00:14:35.048 --> 00:14:36.230 into pharmacological methods
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- 00:14:36.301 --> 00:14:37.743 of contraception, right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}14{:}37{.}743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40{.}101$ in ways that impose the burdens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}14{:}40{.}101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41{.}929$ of these interventions on women,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:14:41.930 \rightarrow 00:14:44.036$ but also limit men from potentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:14:44.036 \rightarrow 00:14:45.440$ accessing benefits of reversible

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:14:45.493 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.977$ but effective contraception for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:14:46.977 \rightarrow 00:14:48.832$ themselves that they might experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:14:48.832 \rightarrow 00:14:50.807$ if they're able to access this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:14:50.807 \rightarrow 00:14:53.750$ these kinds of treatments, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:14:53.750 --> 00:14:54.896 Like I think moreover, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}14{:}54{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56{.}584$ the associations of contraception

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:14:56.584 \rightarrow 00:14:58.689$ with women certainly can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:14:58.689 \rightarrow 00:15:00.999$ exclusionary for trans and non binary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:15:00.999 \rightarrow 00:15:02.463$ individuals and so contraception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:15:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.515$ Is, on this one hand, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:15:04.515 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.370$ like a topic that is right for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:15:06.436 --> 00:15:08.436 feminist theory to help unpack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}15{:}08{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12{.}716$ and it can unpack harms that might arise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:15:12.716 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.440$ by construing it as a woman's issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:15:16.440 --> 00:15:19.616 Right. Harms to to all sorts of things.

00:15:19.620 --> 00:15:23.015 OK. I think similarly, and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}15{:}23.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.281$ here is a sort of related example

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:15:25.281 \rightarrow 00:15:27.640$ perhaps of what we're talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:15:27.640 --> 00:15:29.074 assisted reproductive technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31{.}942$ which are sometimes understood as like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:15:31.942 --> 00:15:33.908 a quintessentially feminist bioethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:15:33.908 \rightarrow 00:15:37.278$ topic of study for good reason, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880504476666667

 $00:15:37.278 \rightarrow 00:15:39.091$ And certainly there are all sorts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}15{:}39.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.557$ questions around status of gametes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:15:40.560 \rightarrow 00:15:42.128$ embryos that are harvested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:15:42.128 --> 00:15:43.156 created, stored, saved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}15{:}43.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.700$ like all of these things are open for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}15{:}45{.}764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48{.}088$ bioethical analysis and investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}15{:}48.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.550$ That affirmative analysis might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51{.}370$ you know, really particularly apt,

 $00{:}15{:}51{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55{.}549$ not only because these are technologies that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:15:55.550 --> 00:15:56.328 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:15:56.328 \rightarrow 00:15:57.884$ predominantly women or people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:15:57.884 \rightarrow 00:16:00.149$ who identify as women often use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.205$ But really because these technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}16{:}02.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.260$ open up various concerns about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:04.321 \rightarrow 00:16:06.673$ disparate access to them that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:16:06.673 --> 00:16:08.241 perpetuate harms and injustice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.902$ how access to them may involve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:16:10.902 --> 00:16:12.228 forms of injustice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:12.230 \rightarrow 00:16:14.316$ And I think that what's interesting is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:16:14.320 --> 00:16:14.868 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:16:14.868 --> 00:16:17.470 taking a feminist lens to this kind of topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:16:17.470 --> 00:16:19.954 let's say like egg freezing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:16:19.954 --> 00:16:21.610 and preservation of fertility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.794$ doesn't yield an obvious,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:16:22.794 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.650$ like, feminist answer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}16{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.050$ I think a feminist lens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:16:25.050 \rightarrow 00:16:26.400$ gives us tools to think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- 00:16:26.400 --> 00:16:27.985 Through some of the questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:16:27.985 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.619$ and considerations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- 00:16:28.620 --> 00:16:30.270 but isn't going to yield some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- 00:16:30.270 --> 00:16:31.706 sort of consensus result, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.880504476666667
- $00:16:31.706 \rightarrow 00:16:32.714$ So, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- 00:16:32.714 --> 00:16:33.050 like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:16:33.050 \rightarrow 00:16:35.372$ some might note that employer motivations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:16:35.372 \rightarrow 00:16:37.912$ to fund egg freezing programs in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}16{:}37{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39{.}860$ health insurance packages might seem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:16:39.860 \rightarrow 00:16:41.820$ beneficial to women in the workplace and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}16{:}41.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.806$ give them sort of more equal standing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}16{:}43.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.176$ But it also reveals sort of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:16:46.176 --> 00:16:47.730 potential exploitation of workers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}16{:}47{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49{.}505$ expecting them to put their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:49.505 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.925$ childbearing interest on hold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.354$ Others suggest that better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:16:52.354 --> 00:16:53.570 interventions should, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.570$ enable people to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:54.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.570$ parents earlier in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.746$ should they want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:16:56.746 \rightarrow 00:16:59.372$ And when there may be more fertile but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}16{:}59{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}820$ others emphasize that child bearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}17{:}00{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03{.}173$ should be something to do if and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:17:03.173 \rightarrow 00:17:04.846$ only if and when you desire it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:17:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.645$ Like all of these options

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00:17:05.645 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.440$ should be on the table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

 $00{:}17{:}06{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08{.}905$ Sort of taking your reproductive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

00:17:08.905 --> 00:17:10.856 justice approach about, you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:17:10.856 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.720$ the choice to be or not be a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- 00:17:12.786 --> 00:17:14.690 parent if and when you want to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:17:14.690 \rightarrow 00:17:16.242$ and parent safely, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- 00:17:16.242 --> 00:17:17.794 So I think like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}17{:}17{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}080$ it's interesting to think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:17:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.680$ through a quote from feminist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- 00:17:20.680 --> 00:17:22.060 philosopher Camisha Russell here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:17:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.208$ who says that much of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}17{:}24.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.640$ fertility industry seems designed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:17:25.702 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.246$ around the needs and desires of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}17{:}28.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.942$ socioe conomically secure white people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}17{:}29{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32{.}464$ And Russell draws on Dorothy Roberts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}17{:}32.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.124$ work to observe that her quote doctors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00:17:35.124 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.452$ governments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667
- $00{:}17{:}35{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36{.}436$ and pharmaceutical companies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8805044766666667

- $00:17:36.436 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.420$ seem far more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:17:37.464 \rightarrow 00:17:39.084$ interested in implanting poor women of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:17:39.084 \rightarrow 00:17:40.588$ color with long acting contraceptives
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:17:40.588 \rightarrow 00:17:42.468$ than with preserving and restoring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:17:42.468 \rightarrow 00:17:43.972$ their fragility through accessible,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:17:43.980 \rightarrow 00:17:45.364$ high quality gynecological care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:17:45.364 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.161$ So again, I think that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:17:47.161 --> 00:17:49.363 A feminist analysis and an intersectional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:17:49.363 --> 00:17:51.349 feminist analysis like raises up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:17:51.349 \rightarrow 00:17:53.299$ all sorts of potentially competing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:17:53.299 \rightarrow 00:17:54.849$ interests and questions without
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:17:54.849 \rightarrow 00:17:56.684$ obvious answers about what's right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}17{:}56.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.880$ but for bioethics to contend with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:17:58.880 --> 00:18:01.070 And so I just mentioned intersectionality
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:18:01.125 \rightarrow 00:18:02.789$ and an intersectional analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:18:02.790 \rightarrow 00:18:04.379$ and it's maybe a term that's familiar

00:18:04.379 - 00:18:07.409 to many, but I want to be clear about

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:07.409 \rightarrow 00:18:09.422$ what we're talking about, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}18{:}09{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11{.}956$ So this is intersectionality is a term

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:11.956 \rightarrow 00:18:14.859$ coined by legal scholar Kimberly Crenshaw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.304$ And Crenshaw had found

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:16.304 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.387$ that in discrimination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:18:17.390 --> 00:18:20.582 Places in employment law sexual harassment

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}18{:}20{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23{.}205$ and race racial harassment intersected

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}18{:}23.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.068$ in ways for black women that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}18{:}26.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29.251$ not be accounted for in the law and

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:29.251 \rightarrow 00:18:31.406$ and therefore undermine the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}18{:}31{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33{.}557$ to really successfully address either

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:18:33.557 --> 00:18:35.735 the sexual har
assment or the racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:18:35.735 --> 00:18:38.183 har
assment as it was intersecting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:18:38.183 --> 00:18:40.338 this like confoundingly harmful way.

 $00:18:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.314$ So she noted really that multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:42.314 \rightarrow 00:18:44.015$ identity markers intersect and compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}18{:}44.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.318$ oppression which led to this like broader

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}18{:}46{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48{.}063$ the orizing of intersectionality and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:48.063 \rightarrow 00:18:50.471$ ways in which identity markers like race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:18:50.480 --> 00:18:52.226 Class, age, ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:52.226 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.390$ immigration status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.128$ education level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}18{:}54{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}342$ many others that I'm not naming

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:18:56.342 \rightarrow 00:18:58.549$ right can perpetuate injustices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}18{:}58{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00{.}966$ And so I think this case of assisted

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:19:00.966 \rightarrow 00:19:02.530$ reproductive technology and the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}19{:}02{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04{.}040$ that certain of these technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}19{:}04.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.182$ really might respond to certain kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:19:06.182 --> 00:19:08.192 feminist interests in terms of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:19:08.192 \rightarrow 00:19:09.497$ feminist equality in the workplace,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:19:09.500 \rightarrow 00:19:12.175$ but actually might further marginalized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:19:12.175 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.629$ women who are not part of this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:19:15.630 --> 00:19:16.294 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:19:16.294 \rightarrow 00:19:18.286$ white upper class group who can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:19:18.286 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.490$ access those treatments and might be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:19:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.370$ Really.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}19{:}22{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24{.}235$ Unjustly inaccessible to other women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}19{:}24{.}235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26{.}821$ is is important to think through OK
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}19{:}26.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.103$ and you know another example of this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:19:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.134$ just it was recently in the news.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:19:32.140 --> 00:19:33.928 Thing about intersecting forms of oppression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:19:33.930 --> 00:19:34.212 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:19:34.212 --> 00:19:34.494 Again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:19:34.494 --> 00:19:36.750 this was like on the home page of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}19{:}36{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38{.}792$ the New York Times that this an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:19:38.792 \rightarrow 00:19:40.302$ article about this research study

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}19{:}40{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41{.}774$ that confirms some research that

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:19:41.774 --> 00:19:43.558 has been known for a while, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:19:43.558 \rightarrow 00:19:46.486$ But that black birthing people face

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:19:46.486 \rightarrow 00:19:47.950$ disproportionate mortality rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}19{:}48.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.225$ for both birthing person and baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}19{:}50{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52{.}414$ And what this study added was that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:19:52.414 \rightarrow 00:19:54.533$ when you control for other variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:19:54.533 - 00:19:56.428 like income level and education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:19:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.486$ this remains true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:19:57.486 --> 00:19:57.838 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}19{:}57.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}437$ The the mortality rates for black birthing

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:20:00.437 \rightarrow 00:20:02.945$ people in babies really far outstripped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:20:02.950 \rightarrow 00:20:05.659$ Goes up even like the lower lowest

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:20:05.659 \rightarrow 00:20:08.139$ income white birthing people and babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:20:08.140 --> 00:20:09.240 So understanding,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:20:09.240 --> 00:20:10.340 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:20:10.340 \rightarrow 00:20:12.820$ something like birthing mortality and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:20:12.820 \rightarrow 00:20:15.580$ perinatal mortality as a women's issue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:20:15.580 \rightarrow 00:20:15.802$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:20:15.802 --> 00:20:16.246 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}20{:}16.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.578$ it does pertain to women who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:20:17.578 --> 00:20:18.848 are birthing people like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:20:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.364$ but it might obscure the really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}20{:}21{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24{.}087$ disparate harms that are going on when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00:20:24.087 \rightarrow 00:20:26.289$ we then layer on racial difference.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:20:26.290 --> 00:20:28.030 And also it might exacerbate harms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- 00:20:28.030 --> 00:20:30.121 if you understand it as a women's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}20{:}30{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31{.}571$ issue in terms of understanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}20{:}31{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33{.}268$ that trans and nonbinary folks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333
- $00{:}20{:}33{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35{.}310$ Um have interests in and become
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

- 00:20:35.310 --> 00:20:38.030 pregnant and fair children, OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:20:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.610$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

00:20:39.610 --> 00:20:41.274 Couple more broadly feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:20:41.274 \rightarrow 00:20:43.354$ blends thoughts here just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00:20:43.354 \rightarrow 00:20:45.320$ motivate what what I'm saying and

NOTE Confidence: 0.758811964333333

 $00{:}20{:}45{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46{.}800$ then I'll move to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:20:46.871 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.267$ more specifically bioethical examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}259$ You know I'm coming a feminist bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}20{:}52{.}259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54{.}703$ from philosophy and feminist philosophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}20{:}54.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.965$ and feminist philosophy in part really

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}20{:}56{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59{.}084$ identifies the ways in which women

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}20{:}59{.}084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}809$ were left out of philosophizing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}21{:}00{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03{.}162$ And we're not understood to be moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

00:21:03.162 --> 00:21:04.986 agents in philosophical production such

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}21{:}04{.}986$ --> $00{:}21{:}07{.}266$ that their concerns and experiences were

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}21{:}07{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09{.}770$ not perhaps represented in ethical theory.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:21:09.770 --> 00:21:13.100 Right. And and in this way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:21:13.100 --> 00:21:16.538 I think feminism and feminist theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:21:16.540 \rightarrow 00:21:18.700$ not exclusively in philosophy or ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:21:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.596$ but in part right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00{:}21{:}20.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.966$ can be a methodological critique,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00{:}21{:}22{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25{.}609$ like about the exclusion of voices and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00{:}21{:}25{.}609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27{.}526$ experiences from theory that marginalized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00{:}21{:}27{.}526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30{.}398$ insights that could be drawn from and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:21:30.464 \rightarrow 00:21:32.839$ really enriched by diverse experiences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00{:}21{:}32{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34{.}478$ Again, not only the experiences of women.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:21:34.480 --> 00:21:37.245 I think, because I've hopefully made clear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00{:}21{:}37{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39{.}604$ feminist theory really is about a plural.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:21:39.604 \rightarrow 00:21:41.476$ Range of diverse experiences and not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:21:41.476 --> 00:21:43.771 just those of women even if that might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00{:}21{:}43.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.618$ be where it initially arose out of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}21{:}45.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.788$ And I think this critique you know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

00:21:47.788 --> 00:21:49.794 kind of critique at least introduces

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:21:49.794 \rightarrow 00:21:52.278$ ways to to modify existing theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

00:21:52.280 --> 00:21:54.818 So if we take you know the four principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:21:54.818 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.991$ in biomedical ethics and really their

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

00:21:56.991 --> 00:22:00.009 primacy in the field of biomedical ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03{.}109$ I think is an example if I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:03.109 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.924$ to bioethics is not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}22{:}04{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07{.}929$ like a rejection of or replacement

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:07.929 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.579$ of principalis approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}22{:}09{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10{.}392$ So it could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

00:22:10.392 --> 00:22:12.763 As much as it could be a corrective for

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:12.763 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.947$ how those principles could be better

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:14.947 \rightarrow 00:22:16.388$ conceptualized than applied right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

00:22:16.390 --> 00:22:17.686 and I think Beecham and Childress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:17.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.356$ and if this is too insider for

- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:19.356 \rightarrow 00:22:20.744$ the entire audience, right, them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:20.744 \rightarrow 00:22:23.103$ But who developed these four principles of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:23.103 \rightarrow 00:22:25.229$ biomedical ethics in a book by the same name?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:25.230 \rightarrow 00:22:27.330$ And the four principles being autonomy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00{:}22{:}27{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.698$ beneficence, non maleficence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:28.698 \rightarrow 00:22:30.034$ and justice, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:30.034 \rightarrow 00:22:31.730$ These children really acknowledge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.426$ that like feminist theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.606$ at least in the later editions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:22:34.610 --> 00:22:35.550 that I own right, like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:22:35.550 --> 00:22:39.244 enriches a view of autonomy and,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:39.244 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.820$ and sure, some feminist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:22:40.820 --> 00:22:42.110 Approaches are going to entail
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:22:42.110 --> 00:22:43.400 like a decentering of autonomy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- $00:22:43.446 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.590$ among the other principles,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:44.590 \rightarrow 00:22:46.088$ and that might be a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}22{:}46.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.728$ It might kind of put it back

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:47.728 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.350$ in its place rather than to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:49.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.834$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}22{:}49{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51{.}286$ autonomy being like understood as prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:51.286 \rightarrow 00:22:53.090$ or sort of above the other principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.446$ as it sometimes is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:22:54.446 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.141$ But right feminist theory has

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}22{:}56{.}141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58{.}149$ really helped to better theorize

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}22{:}58.149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.749$ autonomy by appreciating how

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}22{:}59.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01.950$ autonomy is social and relational,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:01.950 \rightarrow 00:23:04.950$ and it's complex in ways that are shaped

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:04.950 \rightarrow 00:23:08.032$ by power and oppression in terms of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:08.032 \rightarrow 00:23:11.069$ when if someone is or is not really a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:11.070 \rightarrow 00:23:14.854$ Assessed by others to be an autonomous agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

00:23:14.860 --> 00:23:15.271 right?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:23:15.271 --> 00:23:17.326 This is relational component of

00:23:17.326 --> 00:23:19.398 being recognized as autonomous as

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:19.398 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.243$ a relational process that isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:21.243 \rightarrow 00:23:23.020$ always equally available to all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}23{:}23{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25{.}968$ And so you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:25.970 \rightarrow 00:23:28.166$ Feminist methodology also like offers up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

00:23:28.170 --> 00:23:29.650 you know, raising up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.923$ centering, as I've said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:30.923 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.729$ diverse voices and experiences that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:32.729 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.750$ been previously marginalized as part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:34.750 \rightarrow 00:23:37.029$ ethical reasoning and as moral agents and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00{:}23{:}37{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}988$ and I think this connects to

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:39.988 \rightarrow 00:23:43.054$ narrative approaches and bases for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

00:23:43.054 --> 00:23:44.638 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235

 $00:23:44.638 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.430$ ethical.

- $00:23:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.783$ Uh.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:23:45.783 --> 00:23:47.195 Narrative approaches are the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.790482235
- 00:23:47.195 --> 00:23:48.960 basis for moral reasoning and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:23:49.020 --> 00:23:51.846 construct, and kind of contrast to abstract,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}23{:}51{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}078$ universal experience that only
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:23:54.078 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.258$ captures sort of certain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:23:56.258 --> 00:23:58.290 maybe typically dominant experiences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}23{:}58{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}946$ and takes them to be universal in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:01.946 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.626$ ways that feminist theory would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:03.626 \rightarrow 00:24:05.500$ will highlight as being harmful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}24{:}05{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07{.}579$ And then from the cereal so really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}24{:}07{.}579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}536$ recognizes a range of knowers and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:09.536 \rightarrow 00:24:11.216$ types of expression of knowledge.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}24{:}11{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12{.}774$ And we see this in bioethics and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:12.774 \rightarrow 00:24:14.600$ the ways in which rich experience,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:24:14.600 --> 00:24:16.460 you know, confers knowledge not

- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:16.460 \rightarrow 00:24:18.320$ only for the experienced clinician,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.042$ but also for patients and caregivers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:20.042 \rightarrow 00:24:21.840$ and their experiences and their bodies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.748$ their experiences of receiving
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}24{:}23.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.179$ or providing care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}24{:}25.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.065$ relevant knowledge that contributes to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:27.065 \rightarrow 00:24:29.680$ and is part of clinical experience.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}24{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31.283$ And I think this can lead to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:31.283 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.211$ attention at times, right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:24:32.211 --> 00:24:33.898 in terms of the sources of knowledge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:33.898 \rightarrow 00:24:35.448$ that are considered, you know?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:24:35.448 --> 00:24:37.194 Sort of valid, so to speak,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}24{:}37{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38{.}178$ in healthcare context.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:24:38.180 --> 00:24:39.692 And I'm not gonna go deeply
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:24:39.692 \rightarrow 00:24:40.448$ into examples here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:24:40.450 \rightarrow 00:24:42.410$ but want to flag this as something

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:24:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.605$ we can certainly come back to in

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

00:24:44.605 --> 00:24:45.897 questions if you're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:24:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.734$ And then I think the last sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}24{:}47{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49{.}139$ of broad brush stroke of feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

00:24:49.139 --> 00:24:51.113 methodology that I want to touch on

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52{.}790$ relates to what I've already said

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:24:52.790 \rightarrow 00:24:54.614$ about autonomy and how feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}24{:}54{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57{.}034$ ethics and bioethics pays attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}24{:}57{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58{.}970$ to relationships and understands

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

00:24:59.035 - 00:25:01.107 individuals as relational beings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

00:25:01.110 --> 00:25:01.549 right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}25{:}01{.}549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03{.}744$ with duties and identities shaped

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:25:03.744 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.500$ by relationships to others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}25{:}05{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07{.}492$ As well as relationships to social

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:25:07.492 \rightarrow 00:25:09.787$ and political systems and norms and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:25:09.787 --> 00:25:11.595 relationships to conceptual structures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}25{:}11.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.104$ So that's kind of a lot of background
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:25:14.104 \rightarrow 00:25:15.780$ that we're moving through.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:25:15.780 --> 00:25:18.796 I'm going to try to take us more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}25{:}18.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.869$ narrowly into bioethics here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:25:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.104$ And kind of drawing on this example
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:25:24.104 --> 00:25:25.886 of relationality, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}25{:}25{.}886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.026$ one outgrowth of relational ethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:25:28.026 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.310$ is care ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- 00:25:29.310 --> 00:25:30.858 I will admit that I sometimes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:25:30.858 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.632$ find care ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00{:}25{:}31.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.184$ and to be presumed in clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:25:34.184 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.379$ context to be something actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:25:36.379 \rightarrow 00:25:39.270$ much narrower than it in fact is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091
- $00:25:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.180$ as though it's you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:25:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.740$ Specifically about the ethics of

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}25{:}42.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.300$ like providing care for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:25:44.351 \rightarrow 00:25:45.845$ and This is why it's aligned

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:25:45.845 \rightarrow 00:25:47.209$ maybe with certain more caring

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}25{:}47.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.769$ roles in healthcare like nursing

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}25{:}48.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.448$ or bedside clinical roles that

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:25:50.448 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.016$ are are doing more of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}25{:}53{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}216$ And sort of the labor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:25:55.216 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.680$ routine care for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}25{:}56{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58{.}300$ But from a feminist theory standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}25{:}58{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}204$ like I think we really want to reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:00.204 \rightarrow 00:26:03.056$ on how you know care ethics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:03.056 \rightarrow 00:26:05.400$ care work right including nursing

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}26{:}05{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}200$ are frequently gendered and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.900$ the ethical implications of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:08.900 \rightarrow 00:26:10.742$ ethics kind of you know aligning

 $00:26:10.742 \rightarrow 00:26:12.540$ more clearly with nursing right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.256$ I think that that 's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:14.256 \rightarrow 00:26:15.400$ something feminist ethics would

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:15.447 \rightarrow 00:26:16.239$ want to challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.515$ So I'm just showing here on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

00:26:18.515 --> 00:26:19.936 screen one reader in biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}26{:}19{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21{.}908$ ethics that is an example of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}26{:}21{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23{.}546$ sometimes the ethics of care and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}26{:}23.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.055$ Feminist ethics get lumped together

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:25.055 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.855$ like this is the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

00:26:26.855 --> 00:26:28.283 the ethics of care and feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}26{:}28{.}283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29{.}490$ ethics in this textbook,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}26{:}29{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30{.}400$ which is which is fine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}26{:}30{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31{.}674$ you can't move through all the theories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}26{:}31.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.525$ but they're sometimes twins together

 $00:26:33.525 \rightarrow 00:26:36.609$ in ways that I think miss the insights

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00{:}26{:}36{.}609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38{.}943$ they both contribute and and confuses

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:38.943 \rightarrow 00:26:41.409$ ways that suggest that they're sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.643552300909091

 $00:26:41.409 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.419$ of commitment theories and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:26:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.020$ in fact they really aren't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

00:26:45.020 --> 00:26:47.055 So care ethics, you know, is rooted in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

00:26:47.055 -> 00:26:48.315 again this feminist insight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:26:48.320 \rightarrow 00:26:50.845$ like the historical separation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}26{:}50.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.760$ public and private spheres with men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

00:26:53.760 --> 00:26:55.365 Correctly, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:26:55.365 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.970$ realigning with reasoning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:26:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.466$ with a public sphere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:26:58.466 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.962$ with the space that

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

00:26:59.962 --> 00:27:01.590 philosophizing was happening in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}01{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04{.}668$ while women were relegated to a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:27:04.670 \rightarrow 00:27:07.778$ Admittedly understood as lesser but private

 $00:27:07.778 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.148$ domain of domesticity of care of emotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}11{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13{.}726$ And this meant that women and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:27:13.726 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.192$ experiences and relationships of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:27:15.192 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.010$ care that fell largely within you

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}17.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.036$ know the domain of woman's work

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:27:19.036 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.786$ were absent from ethical theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}20.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.254$ And so it care ethics actually takes

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}23{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24{.}971$ such relationships as foundational

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}24{.}971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27{.}192$ these caring relationships and

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:27:27.192 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.844$ and part of a relational theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}29{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}376$ of ethical obligation and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}32{.}376$ --> $00{:}27{:}34{.}788$ often modeled on apparent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}34.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.362$ Child relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}35{.}362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}650$ and this might be part of its historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}467$ it is part of its historical origins.

 $00:27:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.360$ But it carried this is certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}41{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43{.}339$ now no longer exclusive to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:27:43.339 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.984$ of the parent child relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:27:44.990 \rightarrow 00:27:46.928$ It encompasses ways in which really

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}46{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48{.}583$ humans are dependent and interdependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

00:27:48.583 --> 00:27:50.746 and and even when I say humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}50{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53{.}284$ we need to make it even necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:27:53.284 \rightarrow 00:27:54.908$ that anthropocentric but right

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}54.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.788$ about dependence and interdependence

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:27:56.788 \rightarrow 00:27:58.668$ and care and relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

00:27:58.670 --> 00:27:59.023 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}27{:}59{.}023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01{.}494$ You know and feminist theory like also

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}28{:}01{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04{.}211$ can push back at sort of concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:28:04.211 \rightarrow 00:28:06.146$ about care ethics as potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:28:06.222 \rightarrow 00:28:08.498$ essentializing women as caregivers

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:28:08.498 \rightarrow 00:28:10.774$ essentializing women as mothers.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:28:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.125$ I think thoughtful care ethics really works
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}28{:}13.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.398$ well with through and with this tension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:28:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.225$ So for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- 00:28:16.225 --> 00:28:17.325 Virginia health you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:28:17.330 \rightarrow 00:28:19.661$ endorses what I think is really helpful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:28:19.661 \rightarrow 00:28:21.157$ normative rather than descriptive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:28:21.157 \rightarrow 00:28:23.779$ view of caring relationships and they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}28{:}23.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.414$ include familial relationships that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:28:25.414 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.276$ are not exclusive to them and how,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:28:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.830$ you know notes that relationships are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:28:29.830 \rightarrow 00:28:32.185$ Occasions for theorizing about care
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}28{:}32{.}185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34{.}990$ but are not prescriptive of care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}28{:}34{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}209$ And certainly we see scholars like Joan
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- 00:28:37.209 --> 00:28:39.538 Toronto, Cheryl Branson taking care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}28{:}39{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}546$ ethics into political domains,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

00:28:41.550 - 00:28:42.990 theorizing about the role of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}28{:}42{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45{.}580$ and deliberative democracy, for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:28:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.558$ And some of my own work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:28:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.842$ I've written about the need for an

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}28{:}48.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.982$ institutional ethic of care that centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}28{:}50{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}178$ the obligations of like systems and

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}28{:}53.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.894$ structures and collective action to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}28{:}54{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}176$ attend to care needs and trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00{:}28{:}57{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59{.}668$ move it away from understood as this

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:28:59.668 \rightarrow 00:29:02.729$ sort of interpersonal interrelational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:29:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.386$ Set of obligations, burdens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:29:05.386 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.986$ rights, duties, et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

00:29:06.986 --> 00:29:08.234 So I think again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

00:29:08.240 --> 00:29:09.084 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:29:09.084 \rightarrow 00:29:11.616$ thinking normatively about care not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806

 $00:29:11.616 \rightarrow 00:29:14.977$ as a familial or gender activist and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- 00:29:14.977 --> 00:29:17.913 also not only as a medical or clinical,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- 00:29:17.920 --> 00:29:18.794 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:29:18.794 \rightarrow 00:29:20.542$ care practice provided by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}29{:}20.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.904$ clinicians offers all kinds of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}29{:}22{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24{.}518$ opportunities for bioethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}29{:}24{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26{.}220$ And that's really including you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:29:26.220 \rightarrow 00:29:27.920$ know within clinical ethics domains,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- 00:29:27.920 --> 00:29:29.828 within patient care domains,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:29:29.828 \rightarrow 00:29:32.213$ within broader structural health domains.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:29:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.416$ I'm going to move through some of those next.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}29{:}34{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36{.}420$ And and so I hope we'll see that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:29:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.237$ Kara ethics is 1 foundation that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00:29:38.237 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.115$ can be drawn from feminist ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}29{:}40{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41{.}772$ but it's certainly not the only one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838200806
- $00{:}29{:}41.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.570$ for from a feminist standpoint.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}29{:}45.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48.240$ OK. So I want to talk again as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}29{:}48{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49{.}710$ I'm going to talk about clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:29:49.760 - 00:29:52.102 ethics example, a patient care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:29:52.102 \rightarrow 00:29:54.490$ policy example hopefully and the sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}29{:}54{.}567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57{.}004$ of a more structural example and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:29:57.004 \rightarrow 00:30:00.090$ This is a really busy chart, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.610$ So I put it up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:30:02.610 --> 00:30:04.035 It's from some work I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}04{.}035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05{.}460$ done with Uchenna and Addie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.952$ who is a neonatologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}06{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07{.}698$ and Vanderbilt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.612$ We've worked on this project related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:10.612 \rightarrow 00:30:13.410$ trauma informed ethics consultation together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.105$ and we conceptualize it as an extension

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}16.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.203$ of clinical ethics frameworks not

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:18.203 \rightarrow 00:30:20.831$ only espoused by the American Society

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166
- 00:30:20.831 --> 00:30:23.168 for Bioethics and Humanities or SH,

 $00:30:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.130$ but one that builds also on feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.350$ approaches to clinical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.617$ And so this is a chart we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:30:27.617 --> 00:30:28.340 developed a sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}28{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{.}30{.}130$ Understand some of the connections

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.920$ between maybe this more traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:31.972 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.200$ view of clinical ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:33.200 \rightarrow 00:30:35.176$ a feminist view that's in the middle column,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.394$ and then some of the trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}37{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38{.}870$ informed principles and practices

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}38{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40{.}070$ that we're highlighting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.295$ kind of where they align

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}42{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45{.}040$ in the right hand column.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:30:45.040 --> 00:30:46.756 But I'll just say, you know,

 $00{:}30{:}46.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.848$ I think that while I've sort of added

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}48.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.979$ on the trauma and form component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52{.}756$ which I do think is like a further

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:30:52.756 - 00:30:53.640 instantiation of feminist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.260$ you know, clinical ethics all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}55{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56{.}744$ I'll say a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:56.744 \rightarrow 00:30:58.009$ the feminist piece in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:30:58.010 \rightarrow 00:30:59.834$ And and recognizing that some folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}30{:}59{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02{.}416$ are not going to be as deeply enmeshed

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}31{:}02{.}416$ --> $00{:}31{:}04{.}378$ in clinical ethics practice or its

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}31{:}04{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06{.}720$ surrounding literature as perhaps I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.330$ As someone who does clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:31:08.330 --> 00:31:08.974 ethics consultation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.798$ I want to be clear that when I'm talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:10.798 \rightarrow 00:31:12.318$ about clinical ethics consultation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:12.320 \rightarrow 00:31:14.700$ I'm talking about a service that is.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166
- 00:31:14.700 --> 00:31:16.940 Rather than many hospitals and

 $00{:}31{:}16{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19{.}640$ health systems that generally it gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.831$ involved in questions or ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}31{:}21{.}831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24{.}216$ dilemmas arising and specifics patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:24.216 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.271$ care situations though clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:26.271 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.846$ ethics services often also provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:31:28.846 --> 00:31:30.391 organizational ethics support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:31:30.400 --> 00:31:31.554 policy support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:31.554 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.862$ formal and informal ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:33.862 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.016$ education etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}31{:}35{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37{.}365$ And and you know notably like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}31{:}37{.}365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}955$ models of ethics consultation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:38.955 \dashrightarrow 00:31:42.051$ the levels of ethics support and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:31:42.051 --> 00:31:43.599 ethics consultation utilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:43.668 \rightarrow 00:31:45.208$ really vary by setting.

00:31:45.210 --> 00:31:47.046 In terms of adult versus pediatric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:31:47.050 --> 00:31:48.490 rural, urban academic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.930$ non academic center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.315$ so I'm talking very broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}31{:}52{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54{.}223$ brush strokes and here I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:54.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.048$ I'm really going to mostly be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:31:56.048 --> 00:31:57.761 referencing the kinds of ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:57.761 \rightarrow 00:31:59.951$ consultation that's done that involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:31:59.951 \dashrightarrow 00:32:01.703$ independent ethicists who spend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}01{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04{.}198$ you know some or all of their time

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:32:04.198 \dashrightarrow 00:32:06.550$ engaged in ethics consultation work NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}06{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08{.}818$ at kind of higher volume healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}08{.}818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10{.}920$ hospitals that have higher utilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}10{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13{.}020$ of other consultation services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:32:13.020 --> 00:32:16.872 OK, so like on this a SBH, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:32:16.872 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.076$ model of ethics consultation,

00:32:18.080 --> 00:32:18.464 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:32:18.464 \rightarrow 00:32:20.384$ A consultant moves through several

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:32:20.384 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.653$ process steps that's reliant on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:32:22.653 \rightarrow 00:32:24.338$ core knowledge and ethical theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:32:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.034$ their ability to analyze and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}27.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.830$ knowing something about consultation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}28.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.014$ method and some core skills for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:32:31.014 \rightarrow 00:32:33.260$ facilitation as part of the process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}33{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34{.}772$ And so we can see that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

00:32:34.772 --> 00:32:36.908 all of these opportunities, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}36{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39{.}092$ related to process and knowledge for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:32:39.092 \rightarrow 00:32:41.438$ feminist theory and practice to intervene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00:32:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.136$ like ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}42{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43{.}528$ Saltation already really lends

NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166

 $00{:}32{:}43.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45.210$ itself to narrative approaches that,

- $00:32:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.722$ as I said, are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166
- 00:32:45.722 --> 00:32:45.978 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166
- $00:32:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.790$ not exclusive to feminist methodologies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88149166
- $00:32:48.790 \rightarrow 00:32:51.600$ but are developed sometimes within
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}32{:}51.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.876$ them. And within,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:32:52.876 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.508$ within bioethics in general.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:32:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.905$ And so feminist bioethics is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:32:55.905 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.630$ sort of a natural setting to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}32{:}57{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59{.}005$ be doing some narrative work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- 00:32:59.010 00:33:00.105 but feminist methodology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- 00:33:00.105 --> 00:33:02.096 really also affords, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}33{:}02.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.888$ new theoretical commitments and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}33{:}03{.}888 \dashrightarrow > 00{:}33{:}06{.}812$ frameworks that one could bring to that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:06.812 \rightarrow 00:33:08.936$ piece of the ethics analysis process
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:08.936 \rightarrow 00:33:11.196$ at the consult and paradigmatic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- 00:33:11.196 --> 00:33:13.936 you know, feminist approaches in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}33{:}13.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.812$ clinical ethics centers concerns
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- 00:33:15.812 --> 00:33:17.356 around power and oppression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:17.360 \rightarrow 00:33:19.280$ again relating turning to these themes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:19.280 \rightarrow 00:33:21.264$ of power and oppression within the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:21.264 \rightarrow 00:33:22.884$ space of the ethics consultation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.170$ Or the hospital setting and it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:25.170 \rightarrow 00:33:26.690$ brings an intersectional awareness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- 00:33:26.690 --> 00:33:28.027 And I think this attention is showing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.642$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:28.642 \rightarrow 00:33:30.784$ not only to the participants in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:30.784 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.427$ ethics consultation but to also the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:33.427 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.789$ organizational structures involved
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:34.789 \dashrightarrow 00:33:37.203$ in healthcare and to the systems
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}33{:}37{.}203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38{.}647$ of ethics complication itself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:33:38.650 \rightarrow 00:33:40.080$ So it's hopefully a somewhat,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

00:33:40.080 --> 00:33:40.740 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:33:40.740 \rightarrow 00:33:43.050$ self aware process when we engage in

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:33:43.050 \rightarrow 00:33:45.206$ these like feminist approaches and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:33:45.206 \rightarrow 00:33:47.330$ doctor Nanny and I have found that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:33:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.682$ while feminist approaches are

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}33{:}48.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.710$ diverse as I've tried to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}33{:}50{.}777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52{.}499$ clear rate some of the shared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}33{:}52{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54{.}032$ Central commitments and feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}33{:}54{.}032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}947$ methods for ethics consultation also

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}33{:}55{.}947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57{.}749$ share features that we've identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}33{:}57{.}749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}801$ of trauma informed care and bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:33:59.854 \rightarrow 00:34:01.302$ trauma informed principles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}34{:}01{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03{.}112$ practices to ethics consultation like

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:03.112 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.231$ is a concrete tool to build on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:06.231 \rightarrow 00:34:08.360$ enact certain feminist commitments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:08.360 \rightarrow 00:34:11.592$ particularly related to inclusion,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:11.592 \rightarrow 00:34:12.400$ empowerment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.708$ attention to historical,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- 00:34:13.708 --> 00:34:15.016 cultural, social difference,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:15.020 \rightarrow 00:34:16.760$ the need to respond to marginalization,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:16.760 \rightarrow 00:34:17.065$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}34{:}17.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18.895$ And these are parts of trauma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:18.895 \rightarrow 00:34:20.889$ informed care that align very closely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:20.889 \dashrightarrow 00:34:22.589$ with feminist practice and so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- 00:34:22.590 --> 00:34:26.170 You know Margaret urban Walker?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}34{:}26.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.738$ Philosopher and feminist scholar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:27.738 \dashrightarrow 00:34:30.090$ has written about like the ethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:30.151 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.347$ consultant as sort of this architect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.822$ architect of moral spaces,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}34{:}33{.}822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35{.}294$ this metaphor she uses.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:34:35.300 \rightarrow 00:34:37.320$ And inside an ethics consultation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.050$ you know, they're holding space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

00:34:39.050 - 00:34:40.884 And it's a metaphor that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:40.884 \rightarrow 00:34:42.982$ is not only useful to feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:42.982 \rightarrow 00:34:44.650$ or trauma informed approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.527$ but can be particularly apt to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}34{:}47{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49{.}426$ And that's because really this idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:49.426 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.702$ of the holding the space is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:51.702 \rightarrow 00:34:53.598$ prescriptive right for how the space

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}34{:}53{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55{.}617$ like will can ought to be shaped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}34{:}55{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58{.}761$ That needs to be filled out based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:34:58.761 \rightarrow 00:35:00.739$ particularities of the situation at hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:35:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.668$ And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}35{:}01{.}668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04{.}452$ feminist theory helps like engage with

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:35:04.452 \rightarrow 00:35:07.738$ some of those moral particularities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:35:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.736$ And when I started to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:35:08.736 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.400$ into them like this,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:09.400 \rightarrow 00:35:11.110$ like feminist clinical ethics example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}35{:}11{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14{.}048$ this is where I start to disagree a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:14.048 \rightarrow 00:35:16.052$ little bit with little Maggie little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:16.052 \dashrightarrow 00:35:18.338$ from the that I referenced earlier.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.080$ Because I want to under score
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:20.080 \dashrightarrow 00:35:21.539$ that feminist bioethics is not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:21.539 \rightarrow 00:35:23.219$ only a theory or set of theories,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:23.220 \dashrightarrow 00:35:24.960$ but it's also about practice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}35{:}24.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.255$ And I don't know that little would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:26.255 \rightarrow 00:35:27.180$ necessarily disagree with me on this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.164$ but I think in terms of that kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:29.164 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.026$ of framing that she gave about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:31.026 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.656$ feminist bioethics is theory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00{:}35{:}32{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34{.}220$ You know, theory can fall short,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909
- $00:35:34.220 \dashrightarrow 00:35:36.668$ it can be difficult to implement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:35:36.670 \rightarrow 00:35:39.730$ It takes work at the practice of enacting it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00{:}35{:}39{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41{.}704$ And I think when it's done well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:35:41.710 \rightarrow 00:35:43.030$ and I don't mean enacted well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:35:43.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.322$ but I mean when that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

00:35:45.322 --> 00:35:46.468 it's practiced well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822516339090909

 $00:35:46.470 \rightarrow 00:35:49.146$ recognizing where there are those limits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:35:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.054$ those where the theory falls short can

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:35:51.054 \rightarrow 00:35:53.267$ then lead to a revision of the theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:35:53.270 \rightarrow 00:35:56.138$ So ideally right, the relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:35:56.138 \rightarrow 00:35:58.498$ theory and practice is interdependent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:35:58.498 \dashrightarrow 00:36:02.082$ is relational and that's part of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:36:02.090 --> 00:36:04.062 feminist practice, practice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:36:04.062 --> 00:36:07.020 practice and and kind of methodology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:36:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.476$ Um, so let me let me give an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:36:08.476 --> 00:36:09.732 example just from, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:36:09.732 --> 00:36:10.976 feminist approaches to ethics

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:36:10.976 \rightarrow 00:36:12.487$ consultation that might make this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}36{:}12.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}13.819$ make a little bit more sense.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:36:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.698$ So one thing in the literature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:36:15.698 \dashrightarrow 00:36:17.691$ was this example that about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}36{:}17.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.376$ location of where the activities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- 00:36:19.376 --> 00:36:21.788 of the ethics consult occur, right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:36:21.788 \rightarrow 00:36:24.772$ and how they can perpetuate power dynamics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667
- $00:36:24.772 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.732$ And paying attention to this is a great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- 00:36:27.732 --> 00:36:29.456 suggestion, super important, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:36:29.456 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.516$ But the recommendation in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:36:31.516 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.605$ essay that that follows from it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:36:33.605 \rightarrow 00:36:35.780$ that I have in mind really says,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:36:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.682$ you know well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}36{:}37{.}682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40{.}178$ Consider moving a care conference or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667
- $00:36:40.178 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.480$ family meeting that is part of this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:36:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.068$ ethics consultation off-site from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00{:}36{:}44.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.842$ the hospital right in order to like

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

00:36:46.842 --> 00:36:48.647 level power dynamics and maximize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:36:48.650 --> 00:36:50.182 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00{:}36{:}50{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53{.}246$ inclusion and minimize marginalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:36:53.250 --> 00:36:55.506 Which, like, sounds great in theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00{:}36{:}55{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56{.}176$ but it's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:36:56.176 \rightarrow 00:36:58.410$ Maybe this is the limits of my imagination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:36:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.150$ It feels nearly impossible to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

00:37:00.150 --> 00:37:00.437 like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:37:00.437 --> 00:37:01.011 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00{:}37{:}01{.}011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02{.}733$ achieve that sort of off-site setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:37:02.733 \dashrightarrow 00:37:04.637$ and figure out how to remove all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00{:}37{:}04.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.304$ the barriers that would make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00{:}37{:}06{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07{.}996$ possible and then recognize that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:37:07.996 \rightarrow 00:37:09.784$ might create new barriers as well.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- 00:37:09.784 --> 00:37:11.146 And, and maybe like, I don't know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- 00:37:11.150 --> 00:37:12.590 maybe you're thinking, gosh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- 00:37:12.590 --> 00:37:12.950 Elizabeth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667
- 00:37:12.950 --> 00:37:13.482 you just,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667
- $00{:}37{:}13.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.078$ you really do lack the imagination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:37:15.078 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.630$ to imagine how this could work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:37:16.630 \rightarrow 00:37:19.060$ And you might be right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- 00:37:19.060 --> 00:37:21.419 But like I think that you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}37{:}21.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22.750$ while identifying an alternate location
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:37:22.750 \rightarrow 00:37:24.700$ for an ethics consult may be attractive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:37:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.338$ in theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667
- $00{:}37{:}25{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27{.}252$ again like the barriers might be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}37{:}27.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29.515$ too great and I don't think that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}37{:}29.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.080$ means we shouldn't pursue it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667
- $00:37:31.080 \dashrightarrow 00:37:32.432$ It's not what I want to apply like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:37:32.432 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.771$ but it is something that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:37:33.771 --> 00:37:35.168 in my work on trauma informed

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

00:37:35.168 - 00:37:35.968 ethics consultation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:37:35.970 --> 00:37:38.040 I really continue to puzzle over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:37:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.800$ which is that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00{:}37{:}38{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40{.}654$ if a principle is to foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:37:40.654 --> 00:37:41.581 physically and psychologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:37:41.581 - 00:37:43.320 safe spaces for all stakeholders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:37:43.320 \rightarrow 00:37:45.162$ anti oppressive spaces and as these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:37:45.162 \rightarrow 00:37:47.199$ things are in trauma informed care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00{:}37{:}47.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.079$ rate and feminist approaches like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:37:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.488$ How could, how could this be achieved?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:37:51.490 --> 00:37:52.070 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:37:52.070 \rightarrow 00:37:54.100$ I think feminist theory like also helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:37:54.100 \rightarrow 00:37:56.347$ us see like there's no neutral space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

 $00:37:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.934$ that dynamics of power and depression

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:37:57.934 \rightarrow 00:37:59.529$ are not going to be erased.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}37{:}59{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}666$ They might be able to be worked through.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- 00:38:01.670 --> 00:38:04.484 And there isn't an obvious or easy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:38:04.484 \rightarrow 00:38:06.314$ solution that doesn't create maybe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- 00:38:06.314 --> 00:38:07.738 new opportunities for oppression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667
- 00:38:07.740 --> 00:38:09.870 marginalization,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:38:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.530$ limits on feelings of safety.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- 00:38:11.530 --> 00:38:12.190 And I think, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}38{:}12.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13.894$ we've learned through the tech like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}38{:}13.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.705$ pandemic like technology might be one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:38:15.705 \rightarrow 00:38:16.965$ opportunity for remote participation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}38{:}16{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18{.}875$ And I'm able to participate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}38{:}18.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.018$ here remotely to night.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00{:}38{:}20{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21{.}716$ And and be able to do that and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667
- $00:38:21.716 \rightarrow 00:38:22.774$ maintain my care responsibilities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:38:22.774 \rightarrow 00:38:24.810$ in in my life right now, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037021286666667

00:38:24.810 --> 00:38:27.130 But like again I think that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:38:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.277$ just it's not an obvious solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:38:29.280 \rightarrow 00:38:31.736$ It it sort of gives us theory to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:38:31.736 \rightarrow 00:38:33.992$ think through new ways of imagining

NOTE Confidence: 0.803702128666667

 $00:38:33.992 \rightarrow 00:38:35.957$ some of these practices though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:38:35.960 \rightarrow 00:38:39.146$ OK, let me move on to this example then

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:38:39.146 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.377$ of feminist policy and patient care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00{:}38{:}42{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45{.}638$ And this is an example that I wrote up

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:38:45.640 \rightarrow 00:38:47.224$ that comes from a case I wrote up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

00:38:47.224 --> 00:38:48.930 some colleagues in Cincinnati Children's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:38:48.930 \rightarrow 00:38:51.128$ which was a case of a tracheostomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:38:51.128 \rightarrow 00:38:54.038$ dependent 5 year old who had been ventilator

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:38:54.038 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.280$ dependent continuously remained so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:38:56.280 \rightarrow 00:38:58.717$ Only nocturnally, whose mother brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:38:58.717 \rightarrow 00:39:00.712$ her into the emergency department

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}39{:}00{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02{.}470$ after accidental decannulation and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:39:02.470 \dashrightarrow 00:39:04.720$ the cannula was successfully replaced.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}39{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06.120$ But there were some concerns.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:39:06.120 \dashrightarrow 00:39:07.878$ That she needed a stoma revision,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:39:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.190$ and without it there might be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:39:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.730$ further complications or repeat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- 00:39:11.797 -> 00:39:13.439 decanonized decannulation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:39:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.018$ Uh wasn't so emergent that it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:39:15.018 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.070$ had to happen immediately.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:39:16.070 \rightarrow 00:39:17.495$ They were able to schedule
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- 00:39:17.495 --> 00:39:18.350 out this procedure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:39:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.444$ and it was going to require
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}39{:}20{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21{.}840$ an overnight hospital stay.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}39{:}21{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23{.}940$ But then prior to the overnight admission,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}39{:}23{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25{.}655$ like the team calls the patient's mom
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:25.655 \rightarrow 00:39:27.639$ to review the plans for this admission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:27.640 \rightarrow 00:39:30.320$ It was again during a phase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.204$ pandemic when there were COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

00:39:32.204 --> 00:39:33.980 specific visitor policies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00{:}39{:}33{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35{.}756$ COVID-19 precautions for testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:35.756 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.760$ prior to the procedure in place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00{:}39{:}37{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40{.}721$ and so reminded the mom that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:40.721 \rightarrow 00:39:43.700$ of the visitor policies was that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:43.700 \rightarrow 00:39:46.353$ Minor siblings can't stay in the hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:46.353 \rightarrow 00:39:48.488$ overnight with patients and in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

00:39:48.490 --> 00:39:49.375 due to COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

00:39:49.375 --> 00:39:51.440 minor siblings couldn't go to the hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.386$ at all at this period in the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00{:}39{:}53{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55{.}427$ And and the mom really expresses concern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.670$ she's a solo parent, she doesn't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:39:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.827$ extended family or friends in the area.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:39:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.410$ She doesn't have childcare for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.674$ the patients younger sibling.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:02.680 \rightarrow 00:40:04.138$ And you know, the team understands
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:04.138 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.330$ the challenge and they say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- 00:40:05.330 --> 00:40:07.087 like, you don't have to be on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}40{:}07.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.730$ you don't have to be in the hospital
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.620$ during this procedure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:09.620 \rightarrow 00:40:10.640$ You don't have to stay overnight.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}40{:}10.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.494$ It's OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:11.494 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.056$ We'll take care of the patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- 00:40:14.060 --> 00:40:15.760 And the moms like, yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}40{:}15.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.080$ I'm uncomfortable with that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}40{:}17.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.540$ though I understand that you're OK with it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- 00:40:19.540 --> 00:40:20.548 But the patient,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:20.548 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.220$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:40:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.398$ has some emotional and behavioral issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00{:}40{:}23.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.287$ that are such that she becomes really

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:40:26.287 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.115$ agitated and unfamiliar settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:40:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.818$ And Mom's worried that if she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:40:29.818 \rightarrow 00:40:31.709$ not there to help support her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

00:40:31.710 --> 00:40:34.083 she might become so agitated as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00{:}40{:}34.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.790$ cause unintentional harm to herself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:40:35.790 \rightarrow 00:40:36.790$ Make things essentially worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:40:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.550$ And so then the social worker says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

00:40:38.550 --> 00:40:38.835 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00{:}40{:}38.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41.115$ well we can connect you to some free

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:40:41.115 \rightarrow 00:40:42.542$ resources for temporary childcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00:40:42.542 \rightarrow 00:40:44.708$ with an agency we've worked with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

00:40:44.710 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:40:46.930 Mom says I appreciate the support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

 $00{:}40{:}46{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48{.}796$ I also really have concerns about

NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

00:40:48.796 --> 00:40:50.477 leaving my younger child with

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:50.477 \rightarrow 00:40:51.229$ unknown persons.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.500$ I'm concerned about creating additional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- 00:40:53.500 --> 00:40:55.770 COVID exposures for our family,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}40{:}55.770$ --> $00{:}40{:}57.387$ you know, and the patient's mom says.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- 00:40:57.390 --> 00:40:59.540 Can I request an exemption
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:40:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.260$ from the visitor policy?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:41:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.264$ The social worker is faced with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:41:03.264 \rightarrow 00:41:05.400$ reviewing this and and really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}41{:}05{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07{.}590$ empathizes with the burdens that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- 00:41:07.590 00:41:09.940 specific to this mom and this family,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}41{:}09{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12{.}356$ and also worries that it would be unfair
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:41:12.356 \rightarrow 00:41:14.188$ to other families and potentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}41{:}14.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.904$ unsafe to the patient sibling to grant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}41{:}16.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19.497$ an exception exemption in this situation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}41{:}19{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19{.}717$ And,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333

- 00:41:19.717 --> 00:41:20.151 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00{:}41{:}20{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22{.}075$ I think that looking at this case from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:41:22.075 \rightarrow 00:41:23.487$ a feminist bioethical perspective,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845387669333333
- $00:41:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.656$ we can notice a couple things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}41{:}25.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.412$ One is the way in which caretaking is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:41:27.412 \rightarrow 00:41:28.606$ certainly central to the case, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:41:28.606 \rightarrow 00:41:30.494$ The team's ability to care for the patient,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:41:30.500 00:41:32.486 the mom's ability to participate in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:41:32.486 --> 00:41:34.800 patient care and care for the sibling,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:41:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.208$ the structural like lack of adequate care
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:41:37.208 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.260$ resources that this family is facing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}41{:}39{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41{.}920$ the medical team's attempts to address them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}41{:}41{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43{.}698$ But I think another thing to notice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}41{:}43.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45.462$ are the concerns about fairness and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:41:45.462 \rightarrow 00:41:47.352$ how fairness and justice are construed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:41:47.360 --> 00:41:49.058 And and feminist bioethics, you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:41:49.060 \rightarrow 00:41:50.728$ doesn't again necessarily replace
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:41:50.728 \rightarrow 00:41:52.813$ other modes of bioethical analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}41{:}52.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.439$ but it can bring a critical lens to them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:41:55.440 \rightarrow 00:41:58.920$ And so here I think we might find.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:41:58.920 --> 00:42:00.720 Still find value in taking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:42:00.720 --> 00:42:01.800 a principled approach,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:42:01.800 --> 00:42:03.784 analyzing considerations of autonomy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:42:03.784 --> 00:42:05.130 beneficence, nonmaleficence, injustice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}42{:}05{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07{.}680$ But a feminist reading might update
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:42:07.680 --> 00:42:10.420 how we construe these concepts, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:42:10.420 --> 00:42:12.503 And so autonomy, you know, right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:42:12.503 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.567$ as we talked about is can do this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}42{:}15.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.390$ relational concept and justice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}42{:}17{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20{.}295$ in this case and and which cases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:42:20.295 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.018$ to treat alike or not alike,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:23.020 \rightarrow 00:42:25.522$ I think is where sometimes feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:25.522 \rightarrow 00:42:28.190$ attention to difference can really matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}42{:}28.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.970$ Right, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}42{:}28{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31{.}700$ So sometimes I hear the thought that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.467$ feminism is so concerned with particulars

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:34.467 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.540$ that it resists any applicability to policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:42:37.540 --> 00:42:42.750 Or it resists, resulting in a, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:42:42.750 --> 00:42:44.906 It it risks resulting, I should say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.860$ in a type of moral relativism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:46.860 \rightarrow 00:42:48.162$ And I think this is a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}42{:}48.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.370$ uncharitable idea of feminist theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.070$ I'm going to be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:50.070 \rightarrow 00:42:50.317$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:50.317 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.293$ I think that the issue is not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:52.293 \rightarrow 00:42:54.093$ justice is incompatible with paying

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:42:54.093 \rightarrow 00:42:55.968$ particular attention or incompatible with

- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:42:55.968 \dashrightarrow 00:42:58.048$ the focus on care and relationships.

00:42:58.050 --> 00:43:00.830 I think, like, more interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.254$ feminist theory adds sort of nuanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:03.254 \rightarrow 00:43:05.868$ concepts of justice and fairness, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:43:05.868 --> 00:43:06.504 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}43{:}06{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09{.}230$ I'm going to turn to Margaret Urban Walker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.015$ who argues that justice requires

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:12.015 \rightarrow 00:43:13.129$ adequate attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.034$ And to moral matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:15.034 \rightarrow 00:43:17.414$ And that following from adequate

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:17.414 \rightarrow 00:43:20.954$ attention is the ability to assess unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}43{:}20{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22{.}946$ circumstances with an understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:43:23.025 --> 00:43:26.057 that they may result in in a solution

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:43:26.057 --> 00:43:28.900 or conclusion or policy or outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.020$ that appears to be formally unequal

 $00:43:32.121 \rightarrow 00:43:34.824$ but needs to be in order to be equitable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:43:34.830 --> 00:43:35.101 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}43{:}35{.}101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36{.}998$ And so I think walkers be recognizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:36.998 \rightarrow 00:43:38.463$ that different needs starting points

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}43{:}38{.}463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40{.}203$ and hardships and whether they're due

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}43{:}40{.}203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44{.}318$ to like chance choice doesn't matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}43{:}44{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46{.}575$ Requires attention to difference and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:46.575 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.854$ and posits those sort of form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:43:49.854 --> 00:43:52.219 procedural justice to train adequate

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:43:52.219 \rightarrow 00:43:55.419$ attention and yield more equitable results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:43:55.420 --> 00:43:55.645 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}43{:}55{.}645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57{.}445$ And so I think in this case we

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00{:}43{:}57{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58{.}350$ could think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:43:58.350 --> 00:44:00.310 How policies could be influenced

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

 $00:44:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.270$ by feminist approaches that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077

00:44:02.336 --> 00:44:04.436 not going to yield some sort of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:44:04.436 --> 00:44:07.920 policy free world right, but could.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}44{:}07{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11{.}574$ Could take into account how they will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:44:11.574 --> 00:44:13.555 address difference and potential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:44:13.555 \rightarrow 00:44:15.980$ unintended harms and mitigate those.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}44{:}15{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16{.}964$ And so sort of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:44:16.964 --> 00:44:18.440 In my commentary on this case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:44:18.440 --> 00:44:20.368 I really drew on some work by Crystal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:44:20.368 --> 00:44:21.880 Brown and Georgina Campiglia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:44:21.880 \rightarrow 00:44:24.120$ who advocate for equity consultant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}44{:}24{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25{.}912$ committees and healthcare contexts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:44:25.920 \rightarrow 00:44:27.984$ And I take this to really be like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- 00:44:27.984 --> 00:44:29.799 a feminist procedural supplement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00:44:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.858$ to widen the frame for what's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}44{:}31.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34.205$ understood as risks and benefits in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804746916923077
- $00{:}44{:}34{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36{.}017$ situations and taking account,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:44:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.020$ you know, of the risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00{:}44{:}38{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40{.}045$ Of perpetuating inequity and burdens

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:44:40.045 \rightarrow 00:44:42.070$ already created by inequities as

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:44:42.131 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.098$ part of the sort of the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:44:44.098 \rightarrow 00:44:45.888$ calculus for how to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:44:45.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.750$ Good and bad outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00{:}44{:}47.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.540$ risk and benefits in clinical contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00{:}44{:}50{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52{.}668$ No, I mean in this case is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

00:44:52.668 --> 00:44:53.856 hospital's willingness to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:44:53.856 \rightarrow 00:44:55.361$ respite childcare for the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00{:}44{:}55{.}361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}123$ sibling like a sufficient accommodation

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

00:44:57.123 --> 00:44:59.535 such that it already addresses moms

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

00:44:59.535 --> 00:45:02.600 multiple caregiving responsibilities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

00:45:02.600 --> 00:45:06.024 I mean, I think you can argue this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:45:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.346$ You know, but I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:45:08.350 \rightarrow 00:45:09.939$ We might wonder about how the team

- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:45:09.939 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.366$ perceives a low clinical risk to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}45{:}11{.}366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12{.}990$ the patient if Mom is not present,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}45{:}12.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.945$ but Mom is really perceives
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}45{:}14{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17{.}456$ that her absence will lead to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:45:17.456 --> 00:45:19.368 potentially not only clinical,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:45:19.370 \dashrightarrow 00:45:21.170$ but psychological, emotional risks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:45:21.170 --> 00:45:22.070 And again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:45:22.070 \rightarrow 00:45:24.949$ taking into account this sort of political,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:45:24.949 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.408$ psychological,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}45{:}25{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28{.}162$ emotional harms that hey had clued
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:45:28.162 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.637$ us into at the beginning here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}45{:}30{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31{.}846$ as well as how, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:45:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.322$ accepting respite care might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}45{:}33{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35{.}162$ also involve these like clinical,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:45:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.583$ psychological,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

00:45:35.583 --> 00:45:37.648 and emotional risks of harm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

00:45:37.650 --> 00:45:37.990 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:45:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.710$ So I think that a feminist lens helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:45:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.769$ us just think more broadly about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:45:43.769 \rightarrow 00:45:46.549$ relevant landscape of risks and benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00{:}45{:}46{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48{.}366$ And then you know my my final example NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:45:48.366 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.004$ is going to be about feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00{:}45{:}50{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51{.}696$ insights into sort of broader public

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00{:}45{:}51{.}746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53{.}230$ health and structural matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:45:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.754$ And here I'm going to take COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58{.}698$ vaccine allocation in the early days

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:45:58.698 \rightarrow 00:46:01.089$ as this is sort of my case study

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:46:01.089 \rightarrow 00:46:02.969$ again in those early days of 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

00:46:02.970 --> 00:46:05.434 like COVID vaccines were on the horizon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:46:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.480$ they were going to be scarce,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:46:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.968$ there needed to be a careful

- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:46:08.968 \rightarrow 00:46:10.357$ rollout strategy and the national
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:46:10.357 --> 00:46:11.410 academies of Science,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:46:11.410 --> 00:46:13.190 Engineering and medicine produced really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:46:13.190 \rightarrow 00:46:14.614$ thoughtful document about guiding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}46{:}14.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.091$ allocation based on a risk based
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:46:16.091 \rightarrow 00:46:17.750$ approach that really did look at a broader.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:46:17.750 --> 00:46:21.040 Array of risks beyond just clinical ones,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:46:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.512$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}46{:}21.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.900$ It took into account relational risks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:46:24.900 --> 00:46:28.830 and and social inequities, right and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:46:28.830 --> 00:46:31.620 I think it embodied many feminist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:46:31.620 --> 00:46:34.009 theoretical objectives about understanding,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}46{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.710$ like risks and harms related
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}46{:}35{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37{.}410$ to social and economic factors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}46{:}37{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39{.}710$ racial and gender equity considerations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

- $00:46:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.840$ caregiving, and obligations to others.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:46:42.840 --> 00:46:45.000 Umm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:46:45.000 \rightarrow 00:46:46.554$ And they write to the set of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}46{:}46{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47{.}512$ recommendations that were not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:46:47.512 --> 00:46:48.500 based on identity markers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}46{:}48{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50{.}558$ but did sort of take this composite
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:46:50.558 --> 00:46:52.360 view of risk such that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:46:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.076$ anticipated that historically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}46{:}54.076$ --> $00{:}46{:}56.364$ and presently marginalized groups
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:46:56.364 \rightarrow 00:46:59.360$ might have higher vaccine priority.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}46{:}59{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01{.}346$ For many of the reasons related
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:47:01.346 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.080$ to social determinants of health
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}47{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.640$ and disparate exposures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:47:04.640 --> 00:47:05.680 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:47:05.680 \rightarrow 00:47:09.286$ that people might have due to who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:47:09.286 --> 00:47:11.310 provides essential public facing

- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}47{:}11.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.460$ work and risks of transmission
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:47:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.100$ due to care obligations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:47:15.100 --> 00:47:16.510 Um.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:47:16.510 00:47:17.818 Many states took parts of this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:47:17.818 --> 00:47:19.265 guidance and not others when they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:47:19.265 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.317$ actually rolled out vaccines.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:47:20.320 --> 00:47:21.430 And yes, I know, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:47:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.080$ allocation schemes had to work fast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:47:23.080 \rightarrow 00:47:25.656$ and on a large scale, consider feasibility,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:47:25.656 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.828$ among many other things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00:47:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.562$ That said, I do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- 00:47:28.562 --> 00:47:28.928 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}47{:}28{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31{.}740$ I worry a bit that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}47{:}31.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.819$ That the idea that speed and scale
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626
- $00{:}47{:}33.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.417$ require deference to some sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

00:47:35.417 --> 00:47:37.037 of more basic ethical framework,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:47:37.040 \rightarrow 00:47:38.370$ or that public health emergencies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

00:47:38.370 -> 00:47:39.696 as we sometimes heard, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:47:39.700 \rightarrow 00:47:41.465$ require a normative framework like

NOTE Confidence: 0.891586626

 $00:47:41.465 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.230$ utilitarianism as the only one

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:47:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.030$ that is appropriate or feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:47:45.030 --> 00:47:47.856 And I think even if we hold that view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}47{:}47{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50{.}760$ I think that a feminist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:47:50.760 \rightarrow 00:47:52.715$ Approach to utilitarian framework really

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:47:52.715 \rightarrow 00:47:54.670$ does invite questions about again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:47:54.670 \rightarrow 00:47:56.590$ what are the benefits being maximized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:47:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.270$ what are the harms minimized?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}47{:}59{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02{.}396$ Are those balances and distributions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}02{.}396$ --> $00{:}48{:}06{.}308$ burdens and harms being equally or equitably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:06.310 \rightarrow 00:48:09.135$ you know felt who is facing them, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:09.135 \rightarrow 00:48:10.920$ I think that again an anti oppressive

 $00{:}48{:}10{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12{.}930$ set of considerations can and should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}12{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15{.}210$ still part of an analysis even if we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:15.210 \rightarrow 00:48:17.030$ going to take sort of that utilitarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:17.030 \rightarrow 00:48:20.735$ framework and you know like as I said, like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}20.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.290$ Feminist theory doesn't just apply to issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:23.290 \rightarrow 00:48:25.939$ that are obviously pertaining to women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:48:25.940 --> 00:48:27.795 but, you know, although COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}27.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.490$ has impacted women in all sorts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}30{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}32{.}319$ interesting and and troublesome ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:48:32.319 --> 00:48:34.058 we've, you know, read the news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:34.058 \rightarrow 00:48:35.414$ I'm sure we we're familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:35.414 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.939$ some of these stories related to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:48:36.940 --> 00:48:38.350 you know, work and school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:38.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.664$ healthcare access, childcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:39.664 \rightarrow 00:48:41.416$ all sorts of things.

 $00:48:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.058$ But from a vaccine allocation standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}43.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.957$ like I'm really interested in sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}44{.}957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47{.}129$ these two examples that stand out to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:47.129 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.823$ about attention to oppression and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:48.823 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.478$ it yields considerations that aren't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}50{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}51{.}836$ you know, specific to women's oppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}51{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55{.}251$ And so one is a critique of age based

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:55.251 \rightarrow 00:48:57.098$ stratification for vaccine access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:48:57.100 --> 00:48:57.537 right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}48{:}57{.}537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59{.}722$ and the allocation of COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:48:59.722 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.824$ vaccine COVID-19 vaccines by age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:49:01.824 --> 00:49:04.980 according to which after we got through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:49:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.160$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}49{:}06.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07.930$ certain frontline healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}49{:}07{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10{.}192$ workers and certain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}49{:}10.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}13.536$ People with limited comorbidities.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00:49:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.250$ People were then had access
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00:49:15.250 \rightarrow 00:49:17.280$ based on sort of descending age,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- 00:49:17.280 --> 00:49:19.210 like older to younger, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00:49:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.274$ But in using age,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00:49:20.274 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.870$ like in the absence of meeting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00{:}49{:}21.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}23.657$ sort of these other criteria is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00:49:23.657 \rightarrow 00:49:25.480$ the only metric once allocation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00:49:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.562$ you know, moved to this broader
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00{:}49{:}27.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.928$ public did mean that white, white,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00:49:29.928 \rightarrow 00:49:32.976$ older adults who have lower risks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- 00:49:32.980 --> 00:49:36.010 access vaccines before, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- 00:49:36.010 -> 00:49:38.060 black adults with higher risks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00:49:38.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.010$ but who were younger and had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- 00:49:40.010 --> 00:49:42.246 higher risks often due to deep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333
- $00{:}49{:}42.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43.986$ histories of systemic oppression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:49:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.710$ And racism in the US and and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:49:45.710 \rightarrow 00:49:47.297$ know that the burdens of COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:49:47.300 - 00:49:48.776 including death rates from the virus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:49:48.780 \rightarrow 00:49:49.668$ have been disproportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:49:49.668 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.444$ experienced by black as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:49:51.444 \rightarrow 00:49:52.949$ Hispanic and Native American groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:49:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.582$ And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:49:53.582 \rightarrow 00:49:55.794$ I think this feminist analysis helps us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:49:55.800 \rightarrow 00:49:57.940$ although not exclusively a feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}49{:}57{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}080$ analysis helps us train adequate

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}50{:}00{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}234$ attention on the problem and aim

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:02.234 \rightarrow 00:50:04.609$ to provide a procedure that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:04.609 \rightarrow 00:50:06.457$ adjust for structural difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:06.460 \rightarrow 00:50:08.460$ Lots of debates on how to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:50:08.460 --> 00:50:09.780 most of which remain, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:09.780 \rightarrow 00:50:11.228$ hypothetical and theoretical because

 $00:50:11.228 \rightarrow 00:50:13.038$ they weren't put into practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.640$ At least in this example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:50:14.640 --> 00:50:15.412 In a similar vein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:15.412 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.798$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}50{:}15{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17{.}960$ I've done some work advocating for

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:50:17.960 --> 00:50:19.400 earlier prioritization of incarcerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}50{:}19{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}21{.}257$ individuals to receive access to vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:21.257 \rightarrow 00:50:23.299$ that I think aligns with bioethical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}50{:}23.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.068$ feminist bioethical commitments around

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:50:25.068 --> 00:50:26.836 addressing vulnerability and oppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:50:26.840 --> 00:50:28.304 So in Ohio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:50:28.304 --> 00:50:30.256 incarcerated individuals were initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:30.256 \rightarrow 00:50:32.538$ prioritized for vaccines and pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:32.538 \rightarrow 00:50:34.418$ high in the allocation scheme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}50{:}34{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36{.}445$ but then when the rollout

00:50:36.445 --> 00:50:37.660 actually took place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

00:50:37.660 --> 00:50:39.070 they incarcerated individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.420$ did not appear in any

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00:50:41.420 \rightarrow 00:50:43.508$ prioritization and only had access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}50{:}43{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46{.}435$ Based on meeting either specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.849838113333333

 $00{:}50{:}46{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48{.}775$ comorbidity requirements or age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:50:48.780 --> 00:50:51.165 right. And this really perpetuated

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:50:51.165 \rightarrow 00:50:53.073$ vulnerability due to oppressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:50:53.073 \rightarrow 00:50:54.920$ conditions of carceral contacts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:50:54.920 --> 00:50:56.875 And again, feminist analysis can

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}50{:}56{.}875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59{.}376$ disclose the oppressive as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:50:59.376 --> 00:51:01.284 relational dynamics really relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}01{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03{.}192$ to mass incarce ration within

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:03.192 \rightarrow 00:51:04.960$ healthcare settings as well as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:04.960 \rightarrow 00:51:07.696$ you know, in communities in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:07.696 \rightarrow 00:51:09.520$ crucial carceral settings exist

 $00{:}51{:}09{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11{.}536$ and in sort of relationships for

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:11.536 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.471$ those who are in relational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:51:13.471 --> 00:51:16.426 You know, engagements as family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:16.430 \rightarrow 00:51:18.842$ as, etcetera with community

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}18.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.254$ members who are incarcerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:51:21.260 --> 00:51:22.236 OK, so, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}22{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24{.}393$ I hope to have sort of shown that

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:24.393 \rightarrow 00:51:26.889$ feminist bioethics is and not only a set

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}26{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29{.}520$ of theoretical commitments and approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}29{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31{.}300$ but also entails engagement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:31.300 \rightarrow 00:51:33.080$ practices that confront the moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}33.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34.840$ dilemmas we face in healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}34{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36{.}550$ many of which are often upstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}36{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38{.}179$ and downstream from the immediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:38.179 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.478$ provision of healthcare.

 $00:51:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.629$ And this isn't to say that bio

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:41.629 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.067$ that feminist bioethics like

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:43.067 \rightarrow 00:51:44.887$ necessarily demands radical action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}44{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47{.}578$ And I think that sort of 1 concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:51:47.578 --> 00:51:49.565 sometimes raised in response in two

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:51:49.565 --> 00:51:51.840 feminist bioethics is that what are the? NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:51.840 \rightarrow 00:51:53.821$ Two seems to fall outside the scope

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:53.821 \rightarrow 00:51:55.649$ of healthcare or its institutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}51{:}55{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58{.}460$ or outside the clinical encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:51:58.460 \rightarrow 00:52:00.400$ And maybe so right like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:52:00.400 - 00:52:02.465 Or maybe feminist theory like

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}02{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04{.}117$ combined with other theoretical

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}04{.}117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06{.}011$ approaches which with which it

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}06{.}011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}07{.}786$ really shares affinities can help

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:07.786 \rightarrow 00:52:10.023$ disclose why this kind of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}10.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.475$ also can perpetuate oppression.

 $00:52:11.480 \rightarrow 00:52:14.616$ And that practices of care and attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.492$ including healthcare and healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:16.492 \rightarrow 00:52:18.832$ attention necessarily occur within systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:18.832 \rightarrow 00:52:21.415$ and structures that demand a wider lens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.569$ Right to not only address abstract social

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}23.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.356$ problems related to equity, inclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:25.356 \rightarrow 00:52:27.180$ anti impression anti oppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}27{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}28{.}284$ Because those are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:52:28.284 --> 00:52:29.388 Things we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.478$ but because those social

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:31.478 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.566$ problems inhibit good healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}33{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35{.}642$ So I wanted to just kind of anticipate

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}35{.}642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37{.}367$ a couple concerns and then I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}37{.}367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}39{.}089$ stop talking for a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}39{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40{.}908$ so we can get to some questions.

 $00{:}52{:}40{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42{.}710$ So sometimes the critique levied at

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:52:42.710 --> 00:52:44.925 feminist theory is that it isn't a

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}44{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46{.}205$ comprehensive theory that provides

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:46.205 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.183$ a clear procedure or standards for

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}48.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49.783$ analyzing ethical matters doesn't kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}49{.}783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51{.}790$ of have this clear ethical program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}51{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54{.}744$ and I want to push the idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}52{:}54{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}56{.}700$ that's a shortcoming. Right, like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.481$ Maybe it's a feature, not a bug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:52:58.481 \rightarrow 00:53:01.660$ So in her book no longer a patient right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:53:01.660 - 00:53:03.403 feminist bioethics scholars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:53:03.403 - 00:53:05.146 Susan Sherwood writes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.340$ and I have this quote up here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}53{:}06{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08{.}195$ I do not envision feminist ethics to

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:08.195 \rightarrow 00:53:09.902$ be a comprehensive theory that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:09.902 \rightarrow 00:53:11.612$ be expected to resolve every moral

 $00:53:11.612 \rightarrow 00:53:13.297$ question with which it is confronted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}53{:}13{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14{.}664$ It's a theoretical perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}53{:}14.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.710$ that must be combined with other

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}53{:}16.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.696$ considerations to address the multitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:53:18.696 --> 00:53:21.379 of moral dilemmas that confront human beings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.556$ And this seems right to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:22.560 \rightarrow 00:53:22.910$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.660$ Feminist methods should reject the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}53{:}24.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.970$ idea of a totalizing ethical theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}53{:}26{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29{.}350$ One that could dominate an all and

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.331$ any situation actually seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00{:}53{:}31{.}331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33{.}119$ like inherently opening the door for

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

00:53:33.119 --> 00:53:36.030 a kind of oppression that that cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.726$ accommodate the moral particularity

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:37.730 \rightarrow 00:53:39.258$ of circumstances and situations,

 $00:53:39.258 \rightarrow 00:53:39.640$ right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.640$ I think like a feminist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.960$ bioethics works in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.940$ with with what we might call

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:44.001 \rightarrow 00:53:45.849$ more mainstream ethical theories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:45.850 \rightarrow 00:53:48.244$ adding layers of nuance to their analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:48.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.942$ as well as in a dialectical

NOTE Confidence: 0.737952355384615

 $00:53:49.942 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.788$ relationship with other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

 $00:53:50.790 \dashrightarrow 00:53:52.415$ you know, critical approaches to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

 $00:53:52.415 \rightarrow 00:53:54.040$ ethics and bioethics like disability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

 $00{:}53{:}54{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58{.}320$ bioethics, black bioethics, etcetera. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

 $00{:}53{:}58{.}320$ --> $00{:}54{:}00{.}098$ Amia Austrina Hassan and her her recent NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

 $00:54:00.098 \rightarrow 00:54:01.657$ book that's called the Right to sex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

00:54:01.660 --> 00:54:04.096 feminist Feminism in the 21st century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

 $00{:}54{:}04{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07{.}130$ addresses ways in which feminism may

NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

 $00:54:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.920$ yield contradictions and lack cohesion.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- 00:54:09.920 --> 00:54:11.439 And you know, she says of this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.834$ and here's a quote from her
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:13.834 \rightarrow 00:54:15.430$ feminism cannot indulge fantasy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- 00:54:15.430 00:54:16.722 that interests always converge,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:16.722 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.660$ that our plans will have no
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}18.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20.688$ unexpected undesirable consequences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- 00:54:20.690 --> 00:54:22.666 Um, you know, notably,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}22.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.148$ Srinivasan understands feminism
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:24.148 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.630$ as inherently political.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:25.630 \rightarrow 00:54:27.646$ She says feminism is not a philosophy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:27.646 \rightarrow 00:54:29.986$ or a theory or even a point of view.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}29{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31{.}019$ And here I take her to mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.346$ you know, not a singular one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}32{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34{.}513$ And certainly I've talked about many theories
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}34{.}513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}229$ and philosophies that it does contain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

- $00:54:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.742$ But rather, she says,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}37.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40.010$ it's a political movement to transform
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:40.080 \dashrightarrow 00:54:41.908$ the world beyond recognition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- 00:54:41.910 --> 00:54:43.050 Further, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- 00:54:43.050 00:54:45.330 she suggests that she doesn't know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- 00:54:45.330 --> 00:54:47.274 and feminism doesn't know what it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}47{.}274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49{.}219$ would be to transform the world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:49.220 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.536$ But it offers this invitation to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}51{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55{.}140$ as she says, try and see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:54:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.731$ So with that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}55{.}731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57{.}415$ I really want to thank you for your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}57{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}921$ time and attention to night and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00{:}54{:}58{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00{.}669$ Yale program and biomedical ethics for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:55:00.669 \rightarrow 00:55:02.553$ creating and holding this space together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:55:02.560 \rightarrow 00:55:03.680$ I want to recognize that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- 00:55:03.680 --> 00:55:04.124 you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151
- $00:55:04.124 \rightarrow 00:55:05.234$ many feminist scholars came before

 $00:55:05.234 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.259$ me and paved the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

 $00{:}55{:}06{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07{.}598$ and many will come after and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853503151

 $00:55:07.598 \rightarrow 00:55:09.000$ look forward to your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:12.730 \rightarrow 00:55:15.684$ That was marvelous. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}55{:}15.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}17.589$ I've got a I've got a whole mess of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}55{:}17{.}589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}19{.}788$ things I'm scribbling here like crazy as

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:19.788 \rightarrow 00:55:21.633$ you're talking because there's so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}55{:}21.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23.641$ wonderful ideas and so much to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:23.650 \rightarrow 00:55:26.122$ But I want to start by inviting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}55{:}26{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28{.}490$ folks in the every body at the conference

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}55{:}28{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}040$ here to to submit questions or comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}55{:}31.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33.898$ via the Q and a portion of the zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

00:55:33.898 --> 00:55:35.026 the Q&
amp;
A function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:35.030 \rightarrow 00:55:38.070$ I'm going to get rid of this for a second.

 $00:55:38.070 \rightarrow 00:55:40.086$ You know that on the the Q and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

00:55:40.086 --> 00:55:42.019 Q&A function not the chat function

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:42.019 \rightarrow 00:55:43.669$ just to remind you folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:43.670 \rightarrow 00:55:46.190$ And while while we're working on that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.324$ got a couple of thoughts to share with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:49.330 \dashrightarrow 00:55:51.822$ And you know when we were talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}55{:}51{.}822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54{.}094$ beforehand I mentioned that that I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:54.094 \rightarrow 00:55:56.390$ may have a principled approach to things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}55{:}56{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57{.}993$ And I and I realize that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:57.993 \rightarrow 00:55:58.680$ not exactly true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:55:58.680 \rightarrow 00:56:00.168$ but I did quote one of my mentors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

00:56:00.170 -> 00:56:02.048 my major, my primary mentor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

00:56:02.048 --> 00:56:03.990 grad school who was Ross Ladd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}56{:}03{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05{.}478$ who I think would probably identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}56{:}05{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07{.}858$ as a as a feminist ethics and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:07.858 \rightarrow 00:56:09.513$ would refer to the principles.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- 00:56:09.520 --> 00:56:12.790 Approach as cookie cutter ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- 00:56:12.790 --> 00:56:15.569 And and your talk I think really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:56:15.569 \rightarrow 00:56:18.040$ brought that brought that into focus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:56:18.040 \rightarrow 00:56:19.531$ So a couple of image well images
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00{:}56{:}19{.}531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21{.}037$ come to mind well while others
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:56:21.037 \dashrightarrow 00:56:22.675$ maybe think about what they think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:56:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.381$ So first of all let me say that that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:56:24.381 \rightarrow 00:56:26.038$ in terms of visuals and I don't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:56:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.480$ I forgot already who said it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- 00:56:27.480 --> 00:56:28.440 So I'm going to just distribute
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:56:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.840$ it to you for the rest of my life.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00{:}56{:}29{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}32{.}016$ The notion of the folks all in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00{:}56{:}32.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}34.121$ room standing on each on the shoulders
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:56:34.121 \rightarrow 00:56:36.310$ starting with those who have everything,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00{:}56{:}36{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38{.}032$ so many forms of oppression for various
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:38.032 \rightarrow 00:56:39.909$ things up until the one has only one

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}56{:}39{.}909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41{.}263$ and then there's the ceiling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:41.263 \rightarrow 00:56:42.747$ the people who have none of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:42.750 \rightarrow 00:56:43.742$ Stand on that ceiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

00:56:43.742 --> 00:56:45.230 And I was trying to think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:45.230 \rightarrow 00:56:46.330$ well, who's above that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.430$ Who's above that ceiling?

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.350$ And I was thinking, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:48.350 \rightarrow 00:56:49.580$ that's a really interesting question

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:49.580 \rightarrow 00:56:51.130$ because one could start by saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}56{:}51{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52{.}522$ well, it's all men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:52.522 \rightarrow 00:56:54.530$ Well, it's obviously not all men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:54.530 \rightarrow 00:56:55.390$ Or we could say, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.840$ so that's half the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

00:56:56.840 --> 00:56:58.134 And we say, well, OK, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:56:58.134 \rightarrow 00:57:00.262$ we're going to say men from primarily

- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- 00:57:00.262 --> 00:57:01.520 European ancestry, OK, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00{:}57{:}01{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03{.}470$ that's going to narrow that significantly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:57:03.470 \rightarrow 00:57:04.421$ And then we might say, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- 00:57:04.421 --> 00:57:08.180 in terms of a person, say, OK, maybe only.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- 00:57:08.180 --> 00:57:11.588 Heterosexual binary men of European ancestry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:57:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.800$ That's OK what about old?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:57:12.800 \rightarrow 00:57:13.370$ Well, they're vulnerable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:57:13.370 \rightarrow 00:57:13.560$ OK?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- 00:57:13.560 --> 00:57:15.210 So now I know that take away the old,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:57:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.294$ take away the kids,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:57:16.294 \rightarrow 00:57:17.649$ and this gets narrow and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00{:}57{:}17.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.257$ narrow until who's above there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00{:}57{:}19{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21{.}668$ But the one group that occurs to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00{:}57{:}21.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.385$ me that that comes down to it is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286
- $00:57:24.385 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.759$ also in that group was the sick.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:57:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.252$ Included in that group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:57:28.252 \rightarrow 00:57:31.080$ below that ceiling is anybody who is sick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}57{:}31.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}33.258$ Because you talked about the focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:57:33.258 \rightarrow 00:57:35.942$ of feminist ethics on a a recognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00:57:35.942 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.720$ of oppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

00:57:36.720 --> 00:57:38.616 And also, you know, it translates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909261485714286

 $00{:}57{:}38{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39{.}790$ I think, to a recognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

00:57:39.790 --> 00:57:41.785 recognition of vulnerability

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}57{:}41.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43.780$ and vulnerable populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:57:43.780 \rightarrow 00:57:45.600$ And this, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:57:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.420$ is where healthcare lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:57:47.420 \rightarrow 00:57:49.415$ This is why feminist ethics and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}57{:}49{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51{.}031$ every Ethics Committee needs to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:57:51.031 \rightarrow 00:57:52.980$ someone who is so well schooled in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:57:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.315$ hopefully several people

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:57:54.315 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.540$ who are but but healthcare.

 $00:57:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.584$ Ethics is has to be about largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}57{:}58{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00{.}259$ in the hospital setting about

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:00.259 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.473$ the sick who are by definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:02.473 \rightarrow 00:58:04.619$ vulnerable people and they're often

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}58{:}04{.}619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}06{.}854$ vulnerable for many other reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}58{:}06{.}854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08{.}215$ including socioeconomic factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

00:58:08.215 --> 00:58:08.680 Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}58{:}08{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}11{.}532$ So a recognition of that it it

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:11.532 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.359$ strikes me that there are so few

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:13.359 \rightarrow 00:58:14.935$ people above that ceiling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}58{:}14{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16{.}575$ there there are more and more of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}58{:}16.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}18.208$ who are higher higher up perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.630$ but to to actually be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.457$ And if if you are above that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

00:58:21.457 --> 00:58:22.240 ceiling you know,

 $00:58:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.976$ and you've got no sources of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}58{:}24{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26{.}344$ vulnerability or oppression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:26.350 \rightarrow 00:58:28.400$ I guess the only thing I could say to you is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

00:58:28.400 --> 00:58:28.786 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:28.786 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.751$ pay more attention to people

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:29.751 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.819$ who are below the ceiling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}58{:}30{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32{.}700$ but also if you're above that ceiling now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.150$ just stay tuned because soon

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00{:}58{:}36{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}38{.}728$ enough you'll fall in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91845758

 $00:58:38.728 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.440$ So I guess that that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}58{:}42{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43{.}881$ What it what it seems to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

00:58:43.881 -> 00:58:45.164 down to me and I want want you

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:58:45.164 \rightarrow 00:58:46.369$ to comment on this if you could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:58:46.370 \rightarrow 00:58:50.024$ Is it so much of this seems to be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}58{:}50{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}52{.}406$ As you were going into this in various

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:58:52.406 \rightarrow 00:58:54.712$ angles it struck me and you used the

 $00{:}58{:}54{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}58{.}112$ term a couple time about the you said

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}58{:}58{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}01{.}504$ the feminist theory engages with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}01{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04{.}074$ moral particularities and and it's about

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:04.074 \dashrightarrow 00:59:06.870$ taking you know the time to look deeper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}06{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08{.}830$ That there are more factors going into

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}08{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}11{.}052$ this than just a simple assessment of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:11.052 \rightarrow 00:59:12.984$ know quick look on this scale benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}12{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15{.}184$ burdens done go or quick on the scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

00:59:15.190 --> 00:59:18.102 autonomy that that as someone once said

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}18.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}23.390$ long ago God is in the details. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

00:59:23.390 --> 00:59:25.630 It's so much about having the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:25.630 \dashrightarrow 00:59:26.992$ having the insight to realize we

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}26{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}28{.}630$ should look at those vulnerabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.870$ But taking the time to look at that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}30{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}33{.}110$ I mean when you use another phrase

 $00:59:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.630$ about the nuance is recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}34{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}36{.}310$ the nuance and all these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:36.310 \rightarrow 00:59:38.368$ So applying our principle is to approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.754$ if you will, to the nuances in your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}41{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}42{.}972$ I'm getting, I am,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00{:}59{:}42.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}44.869$ I promise I'm getting my question then

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:44.869 \rightarrow 00:59:46.889$ I'm going to go to other questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

00:59:46.889 --> 00:59:48.808 that folks have but in your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

00:59:48.810 --> 00:59:51.170 Professional landfill in your work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:51.170 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.480$ particularly in the clinical setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

00:59:53.480 --> 00:59:56.400 Do you find a challenge?

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:56.400 \rightarrow 00:59:58.290$ With finding the time to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.235$ into those nuances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874563886363636

 $00:59:59.240 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.700$ take it into those particularities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

01:00:01.440 --> 01:00:03.064 Well, you know, I mean it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

 $01:00:03.064 \rightarrow 01:00:04.463$ great question and I think like

- NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208
- 01:00:04.463 --> 01:00:05.982 I when I enter a clinical space,

 $01{:}00{:}05{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}07{.}600$ I'm doing so as a clinical ethicist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

01:00:07.600 --> 01:00:09.400 I mean I, I am really

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

 $01:00:09.400 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.955$ philosopher by training, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

 $01:00:10.955 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.885$ And so I think that one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

 $01{:}00{:}14.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}16.875$ One feature and sometimes one

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

01:00:16.875 --> 01:00:19.200 value of clinical ethics can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

 $01:00:19.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.755$ that we are people whose job it

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

 $01:00:21.755 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.146$ is to spend the time and maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

 $01:00:24.146 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.186$ that depends on the setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

01:00:25.190 --> 01:00:26.324 You know, I trained in a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838190208

 $01{:}00{:}26.330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}27.260$ very busy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01{:}00{:}29.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}31.020$ Consult service that was receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01{:}00{:}31.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}32.728$ many consults today and you may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

01:00:32.728 --> 01:00:34.366 don't have the time and attention you

01:00:34.366 --> 01:00:36.672 want to spend always. And I, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01:00:36.672 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.460$ work in a pediatric setting now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01:00:38.460 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.764$ And and for those who similarly are

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

01:00:41.764 --> 01:00:43.706 familiar with pediatric medical centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01:00:43.706 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.271$ you you may know that there is an overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01{:}00{:}46.271$ --> $01{:}00{:}48.665$ lower volume of clinical ethics consultation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01{:}00{:}48.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}49.935$ which means that sometimes you

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01:00:49.935 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.610$ actually do have the time, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

01:00:51.610 --> 01:00:53.660 Like we're not getting demands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01{:}00{:}53.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}55.935$ You know, I'm not getting 3 consults

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01{:}00{:}55{.}935 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}58{.}748$ a day and so there is that ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807245122272727

 $01:00:58.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.918$ Sometimes I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01{:}01{:}03.270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}04.896$ Whether or not there's an obvious

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01{:}01{:}04{.}896 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06{.}510$ ethical dilemma in this situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:06.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.280$ the value sometimes I can bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

01:01:08.280 --> 01:01:10.100 as the clinical ethicist is I can

 $01:01:10.100 \rightarrow 01:01:11.816$ go in the room and spend the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:11.816 \rightarrow 01:01:13.306$ that other people don't have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:13.310 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.318$ And it's not that I may have like

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

01:01:15.318 --> 01:01:16.961 magical skills of eliciting values

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01{:}01{:}16.961 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}18.405$ and preferences and understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:18.405 \rightarrow 01:01:20.411$ a situation any better than anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:20.411 \rightarrow 01:01:22.427$ else's role except that my role like

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:22.430 \rightarrow 01:01:24.866$ creates that space and sometimes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:24.866 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.240$ the ethics consult like the process

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

01:01:27.240 --> 01:01:29.613 of doing it again drawing on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

01:01:29.613 --> 01:01:32.026 walkers kind of concept of of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01{:}01{:}32.026 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}34.156$ an architect and moral spaces it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01{:}01{:}34.156 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}36.544$ Creates and holds spaces to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01{:}01{:}36.544 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}37.773$ conversations that otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

01:01:37.773 --> 01:01:39.969 sometimes you can't slow down and

 $01:01:39.969 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.710$ ask about and hold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:41.710 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.760$ And make the time for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

01:01:44.760 --> 01:01:45.775 How do you really curious

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:45.775 \rightarrow 01:01:46.790$ like what other you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

01:01:46.790 --> 01:01:49.410 I mean I don't know do you have the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.878704189090909

 $01:01:49.484 \rightarrow 01:01:51.980$ and you're kind of clinical experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

01:01:52.330 --> 01:01:54.610 sure. So I mean I'll tell you I

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

 $01{:}01{:}54.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}56.954$ had a a fascinating experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

 $01:01:56.954 \rightarrow 01:02:00.106$ recently which was? That uh, I, I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

 $01:02:00.106 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.290$ I'm not on the clinical service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

01:02:02.290 --> 01:02:04.288 but an ethics consult came in and I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

 $01{:}02{:}04.288 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}06.268$ helping this family deal with the situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

 $01{:}02{:}06{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}09{.}299$ And so I was in a position to to sit

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

01:02:09.299 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:02:11.026 there and spend a fair amount of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

 $01:02:11.026 \rightarrow 01:02:12.562$ with these folks and they started

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

 $01:02:12.562 \rightarrow 01:02:13.875$ asking me some neonatology questions

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:02:13.875 --> 01:02:16.105 and we got into a lot of that stuff,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:02:16.105 --> 01:02:17.890 which is my clinical work is something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}17.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}19.415$ expert and I tried to differentiate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:02:19.415 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.861$ more than a couple of times.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:02:20.870 --> 01:02:22.310 You know, just so we're clear,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}22{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}23{.}546$ here's the answer to your question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}23.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}25.542$ but you really need to be talking to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:02:25.542 \rightarrow 01:02:26.860$ your neonatologist about this stuff.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:02:26.860 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.504$ They'll talk to you then they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:02:28.504 \rightarrow 01:02:29.326$ will the neonatologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:02:29.330 --> 01:02:30.070 I mean they're wonderful,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}30{.}070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}30{.}810$ they make the time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:02:30.810 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.966$ but the truth is that the neonatologist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}32.966 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}35.772$ may have 15 or even 25 patients that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:02:35.772 \rightarrow 01:02:37.890$ that that individual is responsible for,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

- $01:02:37.890 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.106$ whereas in ethics Council
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}39{.}106 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}40{.}626$ I clearly have more time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:02:40.630 --> 01:02:43.454 So I do think that our Ethics Committee,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:02:43.460 --> 01:02:46.620 particularly our pediatric Ethics Committee.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}46.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}48.349$ Finds the time and has the time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:02:48.349 --> 01:02:50.583 I would say and I'm I I welcome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:02:50.583 \dashrightarrow 01:02:52.018$ the father's disagree with me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}52{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}54{.}798$ I think our adult Ethics Committee
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}54.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}56.936$ makes time and finds time beautifully.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}56{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}59{.}005$ But it's because the volume of consults
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}02{:}59{.}005 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}01{.}046$ that they're brought in on is so much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:01.046 \rightarrow 01:03:02.469$ higher that that's they're a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:02.469 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.324$ bit more pressed for time that way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}03{:}04{.}324 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}06{.}280$ than perhaps the pediatric committee is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:06.280 \rightarrow 01:03:08.055$ But certainly the Clinical Ethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:08.055 \rightarrow 01:03:09.520$ Committee in general committees in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:09.520 \rightarrow 01:03:11.640$ general have a lot more time than the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:03:11.640 --> 01:03:13.560 clinicians and that's all I'll say as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:13.560 \rightarrow 01:03:15.880$ physician that's a little bit of too bad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:03:15.880 --> 01:03:16.508 Because you're,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}03{:}16.508 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}18.706$ you're you're teaching us here that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}03{:}18.706 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}20.903$ need to find the nuances and of course
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:20.903 \rightarrow 01:03:22.720$ we're not going to find the nuances
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}03{:}22.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}24.958$ unless we take the time to look into this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- 01:03:24.958 --> 01:03:26.238 But that's half the half
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:26.238 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.818$ the job is taking the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:27.820 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.128$ The other half is having the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:29.130 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.720$ the the insight to know which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01:03:30.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.640$ of these nuances are leading to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}03{:}32{.}640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}34{.}252$ increased vulnerabilities are leading
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933
- $01{:}03{:}34{.}252 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}36{.}256$ to increased power dynamics are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

 $01:03:36.256 \rightarrow 01:03:37.951$ different power dynamics that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

 $01:03:37.951 \rightarrow 01:03:39.784$ really didn't appreciate to begin with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80044933

01:03:39.784 --> 01:03:40.258 You know

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:03:40.590 \rightarrow 01:03:41.190$ well, and I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

01:03:41.190 --> 01:03:42.510 I mean I want to add you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:03:42.510 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.158$ I think to your point, but that

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:03:44.158 \rightarrow 01:03:45.950$ yes it is about taking the time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:03:46.004 \rightarrow 01:03:47.839$ looking at that moral particularity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:03:47.840 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.868$ But that there might then need

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01{:}03{:}49.868 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}51.605$ to be more structural approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:03:51.605 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.454$ to how to do that, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

01:03:53.454 --> 01:03:55.372 Like I think that just tasking clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01{:}03{:}55{.}372 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}57{.}269$ with one more thing to do in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:03:57.269 \rightarrow 01:03:58.603$ day that is already overburdened

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:03:58.603 \rightarrow 01:04:00.259$ isn't necessarily fair either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

01:04:00.260 --> 01:04:01.396 I mean, I think we want to be

- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:01.396 --> 01:04:02.359 thinking about fairness and equity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:02.360 --> 01:04:04.208 not just two of the patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:04.208 --> 01:04:05.132 but two caregivers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:05.140 --> 01:04:06.700 And we know that we're experiencing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:06.700 --> 01:04:07.174 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01{:}04{:}07{.}174 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}08{.}596$ huge burnout and turnover in healthcare
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:08.596 --> 01:04:10.236 for all sorts of reasons right now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:10.240 --> 01:04:11.640 And so I think thinking,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01{:}04{:}11{.}640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}13{.}537$ you know about how to turn attention
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01:04:13.537 \rightarrow 01:04:15.429$ also on those providing care and what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01:04:15.429 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.830$ they need to be able to provide better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01{:}04{:}17.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}18.085$ There.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:18.085 --> 01:04:20.125 And I think that again might start to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:20.125 --> 01:04:21.832 look at like upstream considerations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01:04:21.832 \rightarrow 01:04:23.926$ around how much time clinicians get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:04:23.980 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.956$ to spend in the room with the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01{:}04{:}25{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}26{.}870$ how many patients are on

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:04:26.870 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.780$ their caseload for the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:04:27.780 \rightarrow 01:04:29.796$ like what would be some structural solutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:04:29.800 \rightarrow 01:04:31.056$ thinking about alternatives like

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01{:}04{:}31{.}056 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}32{.}940$ you know equity committees or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01{:}04{:}32{.}992 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}34{.}792$ resources that could be tapped into

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01{:}04{:}34{.}792 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}36{.}287$ using your ethics consultants or

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:04:36.287 \rightarrow 01:04:38.215$ resources that you do have at your disposal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

01:04:38.220 --> 01:04:39.360 I mean as you're talking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01{:}04{:}39{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}41{.}495$ I was thinking about just the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:04:41.495 \rightarrow 01:04:43.789$ of equity of attention in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:04:43.790 \rightarrow 01:04:47.017$ Like, who gets the ethicists attention even,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

01:04:47.020 --> 01:04:48.072 right? I'm, I wonder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01{:}04{:}48.072 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}50.366$ I don't know that I always am equitably

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:04:50.366 \rightarrow 01:04:52.669$ spending my attention when I think about,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01:04:52.670 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.025$ like all of the stakeholders
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01:04:54.025 \rightarrow 01:04:55.109$ involved in a console,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01{:}04{:}55{.}110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}57{.}531$ I might end up spending an hour in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01:04:57.531 \rightarrow 01:04:59.318$ patient's room and not talk nearly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:59.320 --> 01:04:59.742 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:04:59.742 --> 01:05:01.008 I'm not going to necessarily be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:05:01.008 --> 01:05:02.386 able to spend an hour talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01{:}05{:}02.386 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}03.754$ to the bed side provider and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01:05:03.801 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.046$ social worker and the fellow.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:05:05.050 --> 01:05:05.464 And you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01{:}05{:}05{.}464 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}06{.}760$ I mean you can't do that for every one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01{:}05{:}06{.}760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}10{.}496$ And so there is sort of this disparate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01{:}05{:}10.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}11.523$ Distribution of attention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- 01:05:11.523 --> 01:05:14.242 Sometimes that's OK because maybe I need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667
- $01{:}05{:}14.242 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}16.418$ spend more time and train my attention again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

01:05:16.420 --> 01:05:19.000 like on a particular stakeholder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

01:05:19.000 --> 01:05:20.750 for good reason and doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:05:20.750 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.500$ need to be formally equal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:05:22.500 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.760$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

01:05:22.760 --> 01:05:24.320 But I think you're you're also

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:05:24.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.355$ introducing questions for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.787958434166667

 $01:05:25.355 \rightarrow 01:05:26.385$ about my own practice here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01{:}05{:}27{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}30{.}510$ Sure. So I. There's there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01{:}05{:}30{.}510$ --> $01{:}05{:}32{.}430$ lots more I want to say and ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01{:}05{:}32{.}430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}33{.}774$ but I wanted this as a question that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:05:33.774 \rightarrow 01:05:35.206$ come up so I wanted to refer to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:05:35.210 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.146$ So I'll read this to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

01:05:36.150 --> 01:05:38.362 Please can you speak a bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:05:38.362 \longrightarrow 01:05:40.314$ on how feminism and feminist

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:05:40.314 \rightarrow 01:05:42.639$ approaches to moral philosophy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:05:42.639 \rightarrow 01:05:44.909$ bioethics can benefit all genders?

01:05:44.910 --> 01:05:46.486 I know you touched on this a bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:05:46.490 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.984$ but I would love to hear more on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:05:47.990 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.294$ I think there is such a strong tendency

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01{:}05{:}50{.}294 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}52{.}982$ for many to dismiss these as in quotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:05:52.982 \rightarrow 01:05:54.770$ solely women's concerns and quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01{:}05{:}54{.}770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}57{.}451$ when in fact it seems that rejecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01{:}05{:}57{.}451 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}59{.}052$ rigid gender dichotomies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:05:59.052 \rightarrow 01:06:00.987$ recognize the importance of both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01{:}06{:}00{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}03{.}010$ General ethical principles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:06:03.010 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.525$ specific relational concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.785009853333333

 $01:06:04.525 \rightarrow 01:06:07.240$ really stands to benefit everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:10.000 --> 01:06:13.017 I think the question is spot on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:13.020 --> 01:06:15.558 I mean yes, right and I think that's exactly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:15.560 --> 01:06:19.900 that's exactly it is, is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:06:19.900 \rightarrow 01:06:21.836$ There are examples, right?

01:06:21.836 --> 01:06:24.725 OK, you know. So I said I wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:24.725 --> 01:06:25.890 going to use abortion as an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:06:25.890 \longrightarrow 01:06:27.456$ but it's an easy one for me right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:27.460 --> 01:06:29.364 So I'm going to to use this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:06:29.364 \longrightarrow 01:06:31.356$ it's certainly in in our news and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:31.356 --> 01:06:32.717 our awareness in clinical settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01{:}06{:}32.717 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}34.357$ and in non clinical settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01{:}06{:}34{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}36{.}298$ But like we know that abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01{:}06{:}36{.}298 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}38{.}224$ access is coming under fire right

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01{:}06{:}38{.}224 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}40{.}226$ now in the US and depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:40.226 --> 01:06:41.978 where you live you have very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:06:41.980 \rightarrow 01:06:45.210$ very different access to abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:06:45.210 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.232$ care fair state by state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:06:47.232 \rightarrow 01:06:49.784$ And a lot of things we're hearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:06:49.784 \rightarrow 01:06:52.274$ right are about the impacts on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:52.280 --> 01:06:54.326 On women and and women seeking,

01:06:54.330 --> 01:06:56.995 you know, having control over

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:56.995 --> 01:06:58.594 their reproductive choices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:06:58.600 --> 01:07:01.340 on women encountering all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:07:01.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.266$ these healthcare, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:07:03.266 \rightarrow 01:07:04.918$ catastrophes when they're having,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:07:04.920 --> 01:07:05.660 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01{:}07{:}05.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07{.}140$ routine pregnancies that encounter

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01{:}07{:}07{.}140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}08.620$ these complications that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01:07:08.677 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.650$ require an abortion procedure, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:07:10.650 --> 01:07:14.080 And I think that it's helpful to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:07:14.080 --> 01:07:15.680 you know, remember that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

01:07:15.680 --> 01:07:17.244 this is an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01{:}07{:}17.244 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}18.417$ That impacts women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01{:}07{:}18{.}420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}21{.}748$ and that the fact that it impacts women

NOTE Confidence: 0.713268052857143

 $01{:}07{:}21.748 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}24.629$ probably speaks to why it continues to be.

- $01:07:26.750 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.549$ Address the way it is in our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:07:28.549 \longrightarrow 01:07:29.457$ political context, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:07:29.457 --> 01:07:31.779 And and the reasons of misogyny
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:07:31.779 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.760$ and all sorts of things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}07{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}35.360$ But again, I think it's one of those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:07:35.360 \rightarrow 01:07:36.850$ cases where it's really been helpful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}07{:}36.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}39.363$ I think that we recognize how not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:07:39.363 --> 01:07:41.722 only women get pregnant, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:07:41.722 --> 01:07:44.382 Again, thinking about gender inclusivity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}07{:}44.382 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}47.020$ and identity with the way that trans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:07:47.020 \rightarrow 01:07:48.590$ and nonbinary folks become pregnant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:07:48.590 \rightarrow 01:07:50.570$ can become pregnant, can have abortions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}07{:}50.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}52.270$ may need abortions, that's important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:07:52.270 \longrightarrow 01:07:54.360$ But also that this really.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}07{:}54.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}57.042$ Impacts how all people and all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:07:57.042 \rightarrow 01:07:59.371$ family structures and units are

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:07:59.371 --> 01:08:01.828 going to experience, you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:01.828 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.498$ Child rearing and when and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:04.498 \longrightarrow 01:08:07.050$ when to become parents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:08:07.050 --> 01:08:08.905 if their partners, if it's not them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:08.910 \longrightarrow 01:08:10.886$ if their partners or their loved ones or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}08{:}10.886 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}12.912$ their mother or their child can get adequate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:12.912 \rightarrow 01:08:14.790$ access to health care when they need it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:14.790 \longrightarrow 01:08:15.722$ I mean this isn't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:08:15.722 --> 01:08:17.113 it's not a women's issue, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:08:17.113 --> 01:08:18.228 I mean it, it isn't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:18.230 \longrightarrow 01:08:18.764$ It isn't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}08{:}18.764 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}21.150$ And I think that that's part of it is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:21.150 \rightarrow 01:08:24.606$ are all, are all people equally oppressed by,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:24.610 \longrightarrow 01:08:25.446$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:25.446 \rightarrow 01:08:28.790$ limits on access to safe and legal abortions?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889

- 01:08:28.790 --> 01:08:31.030 No. And we know that, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}08{:}31{.}030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}32{.}530$ for example, women of color.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:32.530 \rightarrow 01:08:34.660$ Are particularly oppressed by that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:08:34.660 --> 01:08:35.830 So again, this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:35.830 \longrightarrow 01:08:38.170$ this intersectional framework helps us think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:38.170 \rightarrow 01:08:40.514$ through some of the unique kinds of harm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:40.520 \longrightarrow 01:08:41.894$ but it's certainly not a harm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:41.894 \rightarrow 01:08:43.319$ that is exclusive to women and,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:08:43.320 --> 01:08:44.676 and I think that, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}08{:}44{.}680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}47{.}812$ if we could have better conversations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:47.812 \rightarrow 01:08:50.522$ about how everyone is potentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}08{:}50{.}522 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}54{.}770$ harmed by lack of access to abortion care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:08:54.770 --> 01:08:55.398 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:08:55.398 --> 01:08:57.596 maybe we would have more political consensus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:08:57.600 --> 01:08:58.208 you know?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:08:58.208 --> 01:08:58.816 So again,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:08:58.816 \rightarrow 01:09:00.336$ this is the healthcare stuff,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:09:00.340 \longrightarrow 01:09:02.349$ I think inevitably does connect to politics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:09:02.349 \rightarrow 01:09:04.907$ in ways that feminism makes kind of apparent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:09:04.910 \longrightarrow 01:09:06.032$ And it's hard to have these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:09:06.032 --> 01:09:06.820 conversations and say, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:09:06.820 \longrightarrow 01:09:07.700$ we're only doing theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:09:07.700 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.868$ we're only doing healthcare.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- 01:09:08.868 --> 01:09:10.929 Sometimes we might have to do politics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:09:10.929 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.985$ or we might have to do some activism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}09{:}12{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}14{.}904$ whether it's around policies in our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01:09:14.904 \rightarrow 01:09:16.180$ healthcare institutions or policies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858061518888889
- $01{:}09{:}16.227 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}17.707$ in our communities or government.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913073121538462
- $01{:}09{:}18{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}20{.}718$ Thank you. The next question please
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913073121538462
- $01:09:20.718 \rightarrow 01:09:23.119$ is how do we get the caregivers?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913073121538462
- $01:09:23.120 \dashrightarrow 01:09:25.024$ This gets back to our issue with time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913073121538462

 $01:09:25.030 \rightarrow 01:09:27.766$ How do we get the caregivers to spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.913073121538462

 $01:09:27.766 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.542$ the time and seek the nuance if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.913073121538462

 $01:09:30.542 \longrightarrow 01:09:32.614$ do have the time instead of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.913073121538462

 $01:09:32.614 \rightarrow 01:09:34.636$ leaving a little early for the day?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

01:09:36.770 --> 01:09:39.990 I mean, again, I'd like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:09:39.990 \rightarrow 01:09:41.310$ Yeah, sometimes we have to leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:09:41.310 \longrightarrow 01:09:42.544$ early for the day. That's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

01:09:42.544 --> 01:09:43.783 I don't want to say you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:09:43.790 \dashrightarrow 01:09:45.406$ you you must be putting in more time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:09:45.410 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.770$ I I think that it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

01:09:48.770 --> 01:09:51.230 Hopefully about sort of training

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01{:}09{:}51{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}53{.}690$ attention on to questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:09:53.772 \longrightarrow 01:09:56.346$ might not be obvious in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:09:56.346 \rightarrow 01:09:58.930$ settings right some of the issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:09:58.930 \longrightarrow 01:10:00.570$ So I think about this in the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:00.570 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.380$ and some of it again could be I

- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- $01:10:02.380 \longrightarrow 01:10:04.314$ think helpful to think about how to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- $01:10:04.314 \rightarrow 01:10:05.626$ standardize or procedure realize.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:10:05.630 --> 01:10:06.915 It's funny because I'm talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:10:06.915 --> 01:10:07.429 about particularities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:10:07.430 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:10:09.712 But I also think that systems and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:10:09.712 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:10:11.510 standardization can really help us here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:10:11.510 --> 01:10:13.295 in making things have it or making
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- $01:10:13.354 \rightarrow 01:10:15.794$ things routinely part of what is being asked.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- $01:10:15.800 \longrightarrow 01:10:16.672$ So like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:10:16.672 --> 01:10:17.980 Now, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- $01:10:17.980 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.768$ you go to a pediatrician's office
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- $01{:}10{:}19{.}768 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}21{.}979$ and they ask you questions about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- $01:10:21.980 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.004$ If you have a gun in the hall,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:10:23.010 --> 01:10:23.207 right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:10:23.207 --> 01:10:24.980 And there's a lot of like and it doesn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:25.024 \rightarrow 01:10:26.656$ seem obviously like a medical question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:26.660 \longrightarrow 01:10:29.090$ but that's part of something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:29.090 \rightarrow 01:10:30.305$ pediatricians have increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:30.305 \rightarrow 01:10:32.399$ adopted and is endorsed right by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

01:10:32.399 --> 01:10:34.166 AP around gun safety and understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01{:}10{:}34.166 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}36.038$ it as a child health issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

01:10:36.040 --> 01:10:38.674 You know my hospital has some

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:38.674 \rightarrow 01:10:39.991$ standardized questions around

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:39.991 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.297$ around food equity or food access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:42.300 \rightarrow 01:10:45.980$ sorry like whether you are you know food

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01{:}10{:}45{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}47{.}720$ secure because these are health issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:47.720 \longrightarrow 01:10:50.624$ but it also helps get at maybe under

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01{:}10{:}50.624 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}52.660$ identified or under appreciated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:52.660 \rightarrow 01:10:53.140$ Exclusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:53.140 \rightarrow 01:10:55.540$ marginalization that families are facing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396

 $01:10:55.540 \rightarrow 01:10:57.710$ and ways in which health care actually

- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- $01:10:57.710 \longrightarrow 01:11:00.049$ can connect them to resources that would.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:11:00.050 --> 01:11:01.681 You know not only improve their health
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- 01:11:01.681 --> 01:11:03.350 but would also improve their health,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.817845396
- $01:11:03.350 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.720$ right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- 01:11:04.030 --> 01:11:06.310 Right. But that that question doesn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- 01:11:06.310 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:11:08.995 get asked if I'm if I am a wonderful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- $01:11:08.995 \rightarrow 01:11:10.837$ physician and I really understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- 01:11:10.837 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:11:13.197 Physiology and I'm explaining the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- 01:11:13.197 --> 01:11:15.301 importance of fruits and vegetables
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- $01{:}11{:}15{.}301 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}17{.}765$ into a good diet and and I'm not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- $01:11:17.770 \longrightarrow 01:11:19.989$ aware that some of my patients live
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- $01{:}11{:}19{.}989 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}22{.}578$ in what we call food deserts, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- 01:11:22.578 --> 01:11:24.218 Don't have access such easy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- 01:11:24.218 --> 01:11:26.230 access to these as other people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125
- $01:11:26.230 \rightarrow 01:11:28.442$ might have if I'm not aware that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125

 $01:11:28.450 \longrightarrow 01:11:30.050$ So there's there's two parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125

01:11:30.050 --> 01:11:31.440 One is a willingness to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125

 $01:11:31.440 \longrightarrow 01:11:32.274$ pursue that information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125

 $01:11:32.280 \longrightarrow 01:11:34.450$ but that's got to be preceded by

NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125

01:11:34.450 --> 01:11:36.280 an understanding of that inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125

 $01:11:36.280 \longrightarrow 01:11:36.886$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77704366125

 $01{:}11{:}36.886 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}38.704$ a recognition of knowledge of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

01:11:39.910 --> 01:11:41.830 100%, But again this is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

01:11:41.830 --> 01:11:43.196 I think sometimes encouraging

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:11:43.196 \rightarrow 01:11:45.226$ some things being part of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

01:11:45.230 --> 01:11:47.126 like formalized processes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445

01:11:47.126 --> 01:11:50.470 intakes or well checks or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445

01:11:50.470 --> 01:11:51.982 helps that you don't have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445

 $01{:}11{:}51{.}982 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}53{.}698$ remember or you don't have to like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445

01:11:53.700 --> 01:11:55.156 Try to use your own biases or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01{:}11{:}55{.}156 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}56{.}380$ preconceptions about a patient and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01:11:56.380 \longrightarrow 01:11:57.958$ how they're presenting, how they look,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01:11:57.958 \rightarrow 01:11:59.680$ what other identity markers they might have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01:11:59.680 \longrightarrow 01:12:01.560$ But. Should that flag that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01:12:01.560 \rightarrow 01:12:03.440$ they might be food insecure?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445
- $01:12:03.440 \longrightarrow 01:12:04.791$ No, I don't think they seem like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01:12:04.791 \longrightarrow 01:12:06.282$ the kind of person who lives in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01:12:06.282 \longrightarrow 01:12:07.572$ a food desert for XYZ reason.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01:12:07.580 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.450$ Like, I don't think that's what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445
- $01:12:08.450 \longrightarrow 01:12:09.260$ we want to be doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01{:}12{:}09{.}260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}10{.}820$ I think we want to be thinking about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01:12:10.820 \longrightarrow 01:12:12.665$ how to make this part of routine care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- 01:12:12.670 --> 01:12:14.539 I mean, this is actually where a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01{:}12{:}14.539 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}16.905$ lot of my work starting in feminist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- 01:12:16.905 --> 01:12:19.784 bioethics has has kind of moved toward
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445
- $01{:}12{:}19.784 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}21.794$ trauma informed care that thinks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

01:12:21.794 --> 01:12:23.834 about ways of thinking about trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

01:12:23.834 --> 01:12:25.780 informed care is like a universal precaution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

01:12:25.780 --> 01:12:28.496 So we want to understand what's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:28.496 \longrightarrow 01:12:31.795$ on for people and try to be attentive to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

01:12:31.800 --> 01:12:33.168 Biases stereotype exclusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01{:}12{:}33{.}168 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}35{.}904$ whether they are safe and secure

NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445

01:12:35.904 --> 01:12:37.349 in all settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

01:12:37.350 - 01:12:39.814 not just when we have a flag concern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:39.820 \longrightarrow 01:12:40.864$ but with every patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:40.864 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.908$ And think about mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:41.908 \longrightarrow 01:12:43.059$ to help enhance that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:43.060 \rightarrow 01:12:44.140$ I don't have all the solutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445

 $01{:}12{:}44{.}140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}45{.}316$ but I think those are the directions

NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445

 $01:12:45.316 \rightarrow 01:12:46.617$ we need to be moving in ideally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445

01:12:46.620 --> 01:12:48.180 And actually I think like trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:48.180 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.820$ informed Care offers a really nice sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:50.820 \rightarrow 01:12:53.190$ supplement to feminist ethics in that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:53.190 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.410$ That also already aligns with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:54.410 \longrightarrow 01:12:56.056$ sort of practices that are being

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:12:56.056 \rightarrow 01:12:57.556$ increasingly adopted into healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857374224444445

 $01:12:57.560 \rightarrow 01:13:00.568$ So I think there's a nice synergy there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85737422444445

 $01:13:00.570 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.818$ An opportunities to build on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

 $01:13:02.520 \longrightarrow 01:13:05.350$ Thank you. Here's a here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

 $01:13:05.350 \longrightarrow 01:13:07.048$ an interesting question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

 $01:13:07.050 \rightarrow 01:13:09.535$ There are something that's changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

01:13:09.535 - 01:13:11.523 significantly over the years

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

 $01:13:11.523 \longrightarrow 01:13:13.818$ and something that hasn't and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

 $01{:}13{:}13{.}818 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}16{.}850$ potentially your your take on on both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

 $01{:}13{:}16.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}19.010$ So I will tell you that over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

01:13:19.010 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:13:20.681 last I've been hanging around

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

 $01{:}13{:}20.681 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}23.159$ hospitals now for a very long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

- 01:13:23.160 --> 01:13:23.970 And it wasn't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- 01:13:23.970 --> 01:13:26.268 it wasn't that long ago in the grand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:26.268 \rightarrow 01:13:28.356$ scheme of things when the physicians
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:28.356 \rightarrow 01:13:30.988$ were almost all men or certainly mostly men.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:30.988 \longrightarrow 01:13:32.673$ There's been a while now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- 01:13:32.680 --> 01:13:33.940 It's been a long time they've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:33.940 \longrightarrow 01:13:34.780$ been and now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- 01:13:34.780 --> 01:13:36.390 I mean half of our medical school
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:36.390 \longrightarrow 01:13:37.958$ class roughly is going to be women.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- 01:13:37.960 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:13:39.694 And and while there's some certain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01{:}13{:}39{.}694 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}41{.}306$ specialties where where women are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01{:}13{:}41{.}306 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}43{.}245$ still under represented, by and large,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:43.245 \rightarrow 01:13:45.495$ that tremendous difference that we saw,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:45.500 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.960$ you know, 40 years ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:46.960 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.408$ we don't see now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:48.408 \rightarrow 01:13:50.580$ The physicians were mostly all men

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:50.652 \rightarrow 01:13:53.228$ and the nurses were mostly all women.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:53.230 \rightarrow 01:13:55.870$ We've seen that change for physicians.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- 01:13:55.870 --> 01:13:58.089 I think we've seen a change relatively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:13:58.089 \rightarrow 01:13:59.710$ little with regard to nursing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- 01:13:59.710 --> 01:14:00.960 I don't know what percentage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:14:00.960 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.566$ of the nurses in this hospital
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:14:02.566 \longrightarrow 01:14:04.066$ or your hospital are women.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:14:04.070 \longrightarrow 01:14:05.880$ I would suspect it's still
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01{:}14{:}05{.}880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}07{.}810$ over 90% based on what I see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- 01:14:07.810 --> 01:14:09.035 I wonder if you have any thoughts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:14:09.035 \rightarrow 01:14:09.950$ on why that might be,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:14:09.950 \longrightarrow 01:14:11.054$ why these two,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:14:11.054 \rightarrow 01:14:12.894$ these the two primary in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:14:12.894 \longrightarrow 01:14:13.630$ hospital professions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875
- $01:14:13.630 \longrightarrow 01:14:15.140$ one has evolved significantly in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81946122875

 $01:14:15.140 \longrightarrow 01:14:17.329$ that regard and the other very little?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8267624466666667

01:14:19.130 --> 01:14:20.210 That's a great, I mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.8267624466666667

 $01{:}14{:}20{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}21{.}074$ that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

 $01{:}14{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}25.306$ I I don't have an answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

 $01:14:25.310 \longrightarrow 01:14:26.680$ I only have speculation right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

 $01{:}14{:}26.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}30.980$ but I do think that that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

 $01{:}14{:}30{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}35{.}894$ Some of the has sort of historical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

 $01{:}14{:}35{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}38{.}690$ Connections between women and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

01:14:38.690 --> 01:14:42.321 caring are maintained and how we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

01:14:42.321 --> 01:14:44.350 that women are overrepresented in

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

01:14:44.350 --> 01:14:47.080 nursing professions in in teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

01:14:47.080 --> 01:14:49.852 you know K through 12 education

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

 $01:14:49.852 \rightarrow 01:14:51.584$ typically in home health workers

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

 $01:14:51.584 \longrightarrow 01:14:54.290$ right and in some in many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

 $01:14:54.290 \rightarrow 01:14:58.640$ roles where people are doing white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333

 $01:14:58.640 \rightarrow 01:15:02.360$ Physical or intimate or you know or care.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333
- $01:15:02.360 \longrightarrow 01:15:04.508$ They tend to be women and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333
- $01:15:04.508 \longrightarrow 01:15:07.950$ and they often tend, I mean.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333
- $01:15:07.950 \longrightarrow 01:15:09.216$ Tends to be,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333
- 01:15:09.216 --> 01:15:11.326 I think maybe under compensated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333
- $01:15:11.330 \rightarrow 01:15:13.030$ all sort of goes together, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822923133333333
- $01:15:13.030 \longrightarrow 01:15:14.650$ And kind of this gendered and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704975737
- $01{:}15{:}15{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}16{.}336$ compensated because it's done
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704975737
- $01:15:16.336 \rightarrow 01:15:18.100$ primarily by women is your point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- 01:15:18.110 --> 01:15:19.700 Yeah, exactly. And I think that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:15:19.700 \longrightarrow 01:15:20.780$ I mean that's a problem.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- 01:15:20.780 --> 01:15:22.013 I think I don't have a solution for it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:15:22.020 \longrightarrow 01:15:22.670$ but I think that's right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01{:}15{:}22.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}24.518$ I think that that sort of feminist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- 01:15:24.518 --> 01:15:25.967 analysis and certainly care theory in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:15:25.967 \rightarrow 01:15:28.000$ some this is I think why some care theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:15:28.000 \rightarrow 01:15:30.178$ some resist care theory because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01{:}15{:}30{.}178 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}32{.}554$ returns to this sort of centralized

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01{:}15{:}32{.}554 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}34{.}679$ connection of women with care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:15:34.680 \longrightarrow 01:15:36.740$ That also has harmful ramifications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01{:}15{:}36{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}37{.}823$ right, that care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01{:}15{:}37{.}823 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}39{.}267$ Continues to be undervalued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01{:}15{:}39{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}42{.}130$ I mean we saw it in politically all

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:15:42.130 \longrightarrow 01:15:44.950$ these moves to create more care

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01{:}15{:}44.950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}47.558$ infrastructure as part of some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01{:}15{:}47.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}49.100$ Legislative bills recently and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:15:49.100 \longrightarrow 01:15:51.025$ all of the care infrastructure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:15:51.030 \rightarrow 01:15:52.650$ parts of the infrastructure largely fell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:15:52.650 \longrightarrow 01:15:53.474$ fell to the wayside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:15:53.474 \longrightarrow 01:15:54.710$ There's a recognition that we need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:15:54.710 \longrightarrow 01:15:56.314$ you know, more childcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:15:56.314 \rightarrow 01:15:57.985$ more preschool teachers, more,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- 01:15:57.985 --> 01:16:00.310 you know, home health workers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- 01:16:00.310 --> 01:16:01.720 better funding for those jobs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:16:01.720 \longrightarrow 01:16:02.848$ like preschool teachers are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:16:02.850 \longrightarrow 01:16:04.426$ you know, under compensated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01{:}16{:}04.426 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}07.303$ I mean arguably nurses for the volume
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01{:}16{:}07{.}303 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}09{.}949$ of work they're doing probably under
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01{:}16{:}09{.}949 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}11{.}966$ compensated largely in terms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:16:11.966 \longrightarrow 01:16:14.150$ the demands on that of that work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:16:14.150 \longrightarrow 01:16:17.108$ And and that traditional gendered alignment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:16:17.108 \rightarrow 01:16:21.299$ I think is still harmful and still present.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- 01:16:21.300 --> 01:16:21.746 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- 01:16:21.746 --> 01:16:23.530 I think people should go into nursing if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01{:}16{:}23.581 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}25.275$ they want to have any gender identity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:16:25.280 \longrightarrow 01:16:26.360$ I think it should be really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708
- $01:16:26.360 \longrightarrow 01:16:27.296$ really highly valued.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

01:16:27.296 --> 01:16:28.796 You want a good, thoughtful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

01:16:28.796 --> 01:16:30.272 well compensated nurse caring for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:16:30.272 \longrightarrow 01:16:31.937$ if you need to be in the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

01:16:31.940 --> 01:16:34.520 I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01{:}16{:}34{.}520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}35{.}920$ So I think there's work to be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:16:35.920 \longrightarrow 01:16:37.580$ on a societal level there really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

01:16:37.580 --> 01:16:38.996 Again going back to the systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.85676708

 $01:16:38.996 \longrightarrow 01:16:39.468$ and structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

 $01:16:39.620 \longrightarrow 01:16:41.363$ Absolutely. And I think you're on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

 $01:16:41.363 \rightarrow 01:16:43.038$ something with regards specifically to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

01:16:43.040 --> 01:16:45.956 Because if we look at it in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

 $01{:}16{:}45{.}956 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}48{.}040$ undervalued or underpaid professions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

01:16:48.040 --> 01:16:48.805 high school teachers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

01:16:48.805 --> 01:16:50.335 I know there's one high school

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

 $01{:}16{:}50{.}335 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}51{.}809$ teacher on this call will appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

 $01{:}16{:}51{.}809 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}53{.}224$ me that someone throwing a mention

- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01:16:53.224 \longrightarrow 01:16:54.424$ of the shout out to them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01:16:54.430 \longrightarrow 01:16:56.344$ But high school teachers an example
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01{:}16{:}56{.}344 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}57{.}620$ that's that's undervalued and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01:16:57.670 \rightarrow 01:16:59.217$ underpaid by the lights of many of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01:16:59.217 \longrightarrow 01:17:00.958$ us and yet high school teachers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- 01:17:00.960 --> 01:17:02.724 I think men are
are much more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01:17:02.724 \rightarrow 01:17:04.309$ represented among high school teachers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01:17:04.310 \longrightarrow 01:17:05.720$ Than they are about nurses when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01:17:05.720 \rightarrow 01:17:07.002$ they're and there are similarities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01{:}17{:}07{.}002 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}08{.}784$ these are these are professions that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01:17:08.784 \rightarrow 01:17:10.425$ require a college education and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- 01:17:10.425 --> 01:17:12.070 know and a great deal of dedication
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- 01:17:12.070 --> 01:17:13.687 and there are different form of caring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01{:}17{:}13.687 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}15.339$ as you say the physical intimacy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769
- $01:17:15.339 \rightarrow 01:17:16.849$ perhaps related to some nursing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

 $01:17:16.849 \rightarrow 01:17:18.755$ makes that still seem like it's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

01:17:18.755 --> 01:17:20.395 appropriate in the minds of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

 $01:17:20.395 \longrightarrow 01:17:22.705$ to one gender more than another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706390169230769

01:17:22.710 --> 01:17:23.478 I'm not sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8183247106666667

 $01{:}17{:}24{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}26{.}310$ and like I don't want to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8183247106666667

01:17:26.310 --> 01:17:27.638 physicians aren't caring for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.818324710666667

 $01{:}17{:}27.638 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}29.423$ patients they are but I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8183247106666667

 $01:17:29.477 \longrightarrow 01:17:31.332$ certainly are but you know 12 hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.818324710666667

 $01{:}17{:}31{.}332 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}34{.}140$ shifts of carrying the bed side is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8183247106666667

 $01:17:34.140 \longrightarrow 01:17:35.118$ Different, all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8183247106666667

 $01{:}17{:}35{.}118 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}36{.}096$ It's a different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8183247106666667

 $01{:}17{:}36{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}37{.}888$ it's a different kind of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714

01:17:39.010 --> 01:17:40.045 Undoubtedly our next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714

01:17:40.045 --> 01:17:41.683 Another question for you, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714

 $01:17:41.683 \longrightarrow 01:17:43.454$ We got all the big questions for

NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714

 $01{:}17{:}43{.}454 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}44{.}972$ you here today. Professor lamphier.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- $01:17:44.972 \longrightarrow 01:17:46.898$ I know you referred to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- $01:17:46.898 \longrightarrow 01:17:48.747$ issues of social stratification
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- 01:17:48.747 --> 01:17:50.466 and financial vulnerability,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- $01:17:50.470 \longrightarrow 01:17:52.185$ which seemed to have so much to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- 01:17:52.185 --> 01:17:53.871 do with the ultimate determination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- $01:17:53.871 \rightarrow 01:17:56.106$ of vulnerability in our society.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- $01:17:56.110 \longrightarrow 01:17:58.948$ Is it our moral obligation to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- 01:17:58.948 --> 01:18:00.840 push for income redistribution?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- 01:18:00.840 --> 01:18:01.980 You didn't want to get political,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- $01:18:01.980 \longrightarrow 01:18:04.090$ but you know that we're,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771798514285714
- $01:18:04.090 \longrightarrow 01:18:05.670$ we're, we're taking you here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- 01:18:05.710 --> 01:18:06.938 I know. Well, yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- 01:18:06.938 --> 01:18:08.473 You can't not be political.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- 01:18:08.480 --> 01:18:10.700 I just don't. I don't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- 01:18:10.700 $-\!>$ 01:18:13.060 I don't worried about the sound bite on the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.729438284444444

01:18:13.060 --> 01:18:15.274 you know, Yale YouTube page if

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

 $01:18:15.274 \rightarrow 01:18:17.120$ depending on what I say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

01:18:17.120 --> 01:18:19.208 I mean, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

 $01:18:19.210 \longrightarrow 01:18:21.770$ I don't have theories about

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

 $01:18:21.770 \longrightarrow 01:18:22.794$ economic redistribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

01:18:22.800 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:18:24.789 I will say that I think that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

 $01:18:24.789 \rightarrow 01:18:26.978$ good reasons to think that programs like

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

01:18:26.978 --> 01:18:28.769 Universal basic income and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

 $01:18:28.770 \longrightarrow 01:18:30.324$ what we saw with like the child

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

 $01:18:30.324 \rightarrow 01:18:31.630$ tax credit during the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

01:18:31.630 --> 01:18:33.856 forms of universal basic income during

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

 $01:18:33.856 \rightarrow 01:18:35.704$ the pandemic definitely raised people

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

 $01:18:35.704 \rightarrow 01:18:37.546$ up to better standards of living.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

01:18:37.550 --> 01:18:40.292 It, you know, removed children and

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

 $01:18:40.292 \rightarrow 01:18:42.650$ adults from food insecurity and poverty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444

01:18:42.650 --> 01:18:44.054 I think that that's going to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- $01:18:44.054 \longrightarrow 01:18:44.990$ have good health outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- $01:18:44.990 \longrightarrow 01:18:46.390$ Like, I think there's a whole bunch
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- $01:18:46.390 \longrightarrow 01:18:47.899$ of reasons if we just look from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- $01:18:47.899 \rightarrow 01:18:48.984$ a health perspective that yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- $01:18:48.990 \longrightarrow 01:18:50.010$ we want to think about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- 01:18:50.010 --> 01:18:51.890 People having more stable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- $01:18:51.890 \longrightarrow 01:18:53.384$ secure, predictable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- $01:18:53.384 \rightarrow 01:18:57.480$ less precarious financial situations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- 01:18:57.480 --> 01:18:59.538 Whether I don't know what form
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- $01:18:59.538 \rightarrow 01:19:02.780$ that's going to take, but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72943828444444
- $01:19:02.780 \longrightarrow 01:19:03.000$ Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777794155714286
- 01:19:05.390 --> 01:19:07.420 But yes, that's that's what I'll say.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286
- 01:19:07.630 --> 01:19:09.513 Appreciate it. Now I've got a comment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286
- $01{:}19{:}09{.}513 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}11{.}609$ and then a question for you here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286
- $01:19:11.610 \longrightarrow 01:19:12.870$ So here's, here's the comment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

 $01:19:12.870 \longrightarrow 01:19:14.130$ was on the conversation we

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

 $01:19:14.178 \rightarrow 01:19:15.528$ were just having a minute ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

01:19:15.530 --> 01:19:17.666 It is worth noting that more than 40%

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

 $01:19:17.670 \rightarrow 01:19:20.466$ of nurse anesthetists identify as men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

01:19:20.470 --> 01:19:23.104 The difference between them say floor

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

 $01:19:23.104 \rightarrow 01:19:25.657$ nursing and certain types of advanced NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

01:19:25.657 --> 01:19:28.553 practice nursing may be an effect of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

 $01{:}19{:}28.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}31.206$ general time and energy tax on women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

01:19:31.210 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:19:32.365 That's an observation from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

 $01:19:32.365 \rightarrow 01:19:34.150$ of our one of our guests here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

01:19:34.150 --> 01:19:36.730 And now a specific question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

 $01:19:36.730 \longrightarrow 01:19:39.448$ how does the ethics of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.752876220714286

 $01{:}19{:}39{.}450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}41{.}258$ deal with Maga people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862428841428571

 $01{:}19{:}45{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}47{.}053$ I think this is a political philosophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.862428841428571

 $01:19:47.053 \longrightarrow 01:19:48.639$ talk that you want to go to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862428841428571

 $01:19:48.640 \longrightarrow 01:19:51.028$ I really curious what the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862428841428571

 $01:19:51.028 \longrightarrow 01:19:54.720$ they mean by that exactly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862428841428571

01:19:54.720 --> 01:19:56.648 You know, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862428841428571

01:19:56.650 --> 01:19:58.282 I don't want to assume too

NOTE Confidence: 0.862428841428571

 $01:19:58.282 \rightarrow 01:19:59.370$ much about the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01:20:02.110 \longrightarrow 01:20:04.550$ And if the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01:20:04.550 \longrightarrow 01:20:07.262$ Is trying to get at like how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01{:}20{:}07{.}262 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}09{.}080$ show attention or care for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01:20:09.080 \longrightarrow 01:20:10.730$ maybe with whom we disagree or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01{:}20{:}10.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}12.170$ have different political values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

01:20:12.170 --> 01:20:13.790 I mean, I think that partially

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

01:20:13.790 --> 01:20:15.770 gets into some of the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01{:}20{:}15.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}21.595$ actually more around. Ohh, my gosh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01{:}20{:}21{.}595 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}24{.}055$ Civic friendship and political

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01{:}20{:}24.055 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}26.270$ polarization and trying to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01:20:26.270 \longrightarrow 01:20:27.002$ you know, hold space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

- 01:20:27.002 --> 01:20:28.598 But there. But there is again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:28.598 \rightarrow 01:20:30.133$ there's just like small literature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01{:}20{:}30{.}133 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}32{.}050$ around that connects some care ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:32.050 \longrightarrow 01:20:32.420$ Sure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- 01:20:32.420 --> 01:20:33.530 As I mentioned,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:33.530 \rightarrow 01:20:35.010$ deliberative democracy and thinking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01{:}20{:}35{.}010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}37{.}607$ about the role of care and deliberative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:37.607 \rightarrow 01:20:39.080$ democracy and the kinds of deliberations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- 01:20:39.080 --> 01:20:41.112 that take place and and the place of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:41.112 \rightarrow 01:20:42.284$ vulnerability in those deliberations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01{:}20{:}42.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}45.254$ So may be there's something in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:45.254 \rightarrow 01:20:46.884$ that kind of Sheryl Branson,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:46.890 \longrightarrow 01:20:49.320$ Joan Toronto work that could be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:49.320 \longrightarrow 01:20:50.516$ Useful to the questioner,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:50.516 \longrightarrow 01:20:52.310$ and if that's a direction they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:52.365 \rightarrow 01:20:54.312$ going to think about for themselves,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166
- $01:20:54.312 \dashrightarrow 01:20:57.574$ because I'm not exactly sure how I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

 $01:20:57.580 \longrightarrow 01:20:58.750$ Address that, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87425166

01:20:59.050 --> 01:21:00.970 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

01:21:00.970 --> 01:21:03.602 Well, I wonder if improving clinician skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

01:21:03.602 --> 01:21:05.735 and feminist ethics and intersectionality

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

 $01:21:05.735 \longrightarrow 01:21:08.085$ can actually cut through barriers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

01:21:08.090 --> 01:21:09.866 improve doctor patient relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

01:21:09.866 --> 01:21:12.086 and ultimately serve to save

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

 $01:21:12.086 \longrightarrow 01:21:13.850$ time and speed and speed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

 $01:21:13.850 \longrightarrow 01:21:15.638$ Better care? Excuse me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

01:21:15.638 --> 01:21:17.873 Embedded routine questions may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

01:21:17.873 --> 01:21:21.078 be translated into patient care if

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

 $01{:}21{:}21{.}078 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}23{.}226$ organizational values don't shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

01:21:23.230 --> 01:21:25.718 We can't all be ethicists as we sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

 $01:21:25.718 \rightarrow 01:21:28.009$ need and we sure need ethicists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765

- 01:21:28.010 --> 01:21:30.150 but we must not marginalized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765
- $01:21:30.150 \longrightarrow 01:21:31.434$ basic ethics skills.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765
- 01:21:31.440 --> 01:21:33.870 As the province of a specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797895239411765
- $01:21:33.870 \longrightarrow 01:21:35.490$ or a specialty group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868122533333333
- $01:21:37.610 \longrightarrow 01:21:38.666$ It's hard to argue with that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:21:38.990 \longrightarrow 01:21:39.942$ I think that's right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- 01:21:39.942 --> 01:21:41.132 I mean hopefully your ethicists
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:21:41.132 \longrightarrow 01:21:42.288$ can help do some of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- 01:21:42.290 --> 01:21:44.090 You know, you, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:21:44.090 \longrightarrow 01:21:45.890$ like I know we're talking about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- 01:21:45.890 --> 01:21:46.790 particulars versus universals,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- 01:21:46.790 --> 01:21:48.338 but like cross institutional,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:21:48.338 \longrightarrow 01:21:50.273$ cross organizational education and be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01{:}21{:}50{.}273 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}52{.}485$ part of those efforts because I agree.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- 01:21:52.490 --> 01:21:54.898 Like, you know one colleague I know talks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:21:54.898 \rightarrow 01:21:56.894$ about sometimes preventative ethics, right.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:21:56.894 \rightarrow 01:21:58.538$ Like we talked about sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- 01:21:58.538 --> 01:22:00.409 other kinds of preventative care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:22:00.410 \longrightarrow 01:22:02.314$ the preventive ethics is right like this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01{:}22{:}02{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}06{.}288$ this sense of anticipating the needs and so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01{:}22{:}06{.}290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}09{.}026$ I think there are opportunities to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- 01:22:09.026 --> 01:22:10.850 think about changing organizational
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:22:10.915 \longrightarrow 01:22:13.265$ culture or infusing it with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.779432904666667
- $01{:}22{:}13.265 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}15.145$ awareness to feminist considerations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- 01:22:15.150 --> 01:22:16.722 Intersectionality, as I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:22:16.722 \longrightarrow 01:22:18.687$ trauma informed care might be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01{:}22{:}18.687 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}20.668$ another framework to do that work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- 01:22:20.670 --> 01:22:21.618 And maybe you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01{:}22{:}21.618 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}23.375$ I think that like things like cultural
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01{:}22{:}23.375 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}25.342$ competency are already kind of part of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667
- $01:22:25.342 \rightarrow 01:22:27.407$ the lingua franca of healthcare settings.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.779432904666667

 $01:22:27.410 \longrightarrow 01:22:30.126$ I think this might be an expansion

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01:22:30.126 \longrightarrow 01:22:32.390$ on that or help build out some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01:22:32.390 \rightarrow 01:22:33.270$ those initiatives that already

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01:22:33.270 \rightarrow 01:22:34.448$ take place in health systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

01:22:34.450 --> 01:22:35.800 And so opportunities to build

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01:22:35.800 \longrightarrow 01:22:37.530$ and and grow rather than try

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01:22:37.530 \longrightarrow 01:22:38.850$ to like necessarily overturn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

01:22:38.850 --> 01:22:40.852 we could have a whole debate about

NOTE Confidence: 0.779432904666667

01:22:40.852 --> 01:22:42.519 incremental change versus like revolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01:22:42.520 \rightarrow 01:22:45.460$ But I think probably more realistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01:22:45.460 \rightarrow 01:22:48.055$ incremental changes is feasible and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01:22:48.055 \rightarrow 01:22:51.420$ thinking about how to layer on some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01:22:51.420 \longrightarrow 01:22:53.985$ Concepts and and teachings to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

01:22:53.985 --> 01:22:56.882 existing frameworks as I think ripe

NOTE Confidence: 0.7794329046666667

 $01{:}22{:}56.882 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}58.874$ for the for the the development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:22:59.270 \rightarrow 01:23:01.020$ So with that in mind the layering

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:01.020 \longrightarrow 01:23:02.837$ on it strikes me as as we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:02.837 \longrightarrow 01:23:04.330$ kind of wrapping up the session.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01{:}23{:}04{.}330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}06{.}899$ It strikes me Elizabeth that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:06.899 \rightarrow 01:23:09.590$ layering on is a wonderful way to frame
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:09.590 \longrightarrow 01:23:12.403$ it which is to say I think feminist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01{:}23{:}12{.}403 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}14{.}738$ ethics if I were going to give a title
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01{:}23{:}14.738 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}17.026$ to this talk or if I was going if I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- 01:23:17.026 --> 01:23:19.426 were to or I think moving ahead as I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:19.426 \rightarrow 01:23:20.898$ teach ethics as they were advertising.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- 01:23:20.898 --> 01:23:22.637 This is I wouldn't say. And I say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:22.637 \rightarrow 01:23:24.170$ this is this is the advanced course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:24.170 \longrightarrow 01:23:25.402$ This is advanced ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:25.402 \rightarrow 01:23:28.460$ OK. So we start saying, OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01{:}23{:}28{.}460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}30{.}049$ justice is one of our four principles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:30.050 \longrightarrow 01:23:32.105$ So treat equals equally and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

01:23:32.105 - 01:23:33.749 don't treat unequals equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

01:23:33.750 --> 01:23:34.830 All right, there you go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

01:23:34.830 --> 01:23:35.601 Now let's take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:35.601 \rightarrow 01:23:37.143$ Now let's take a deeper look

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:37.143 \longrightarrow 01:23:38.769$ as as feminist ethics says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01{:}23{:}38{.}770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}40{.}230$ let's look at the nuances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:40.230 \longrightarrow 01:23:41.770$ Let's look at the inequities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:41.770 \rightarrow 01:23:43.205$ So we think these people are equal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01{:}23{:}43{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}44{.}116$ we should treat them the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:44.120 \longrightarrow 01:23:45.570$ But actually there may be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01{:}23{:}45{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}46{.}875$ And, and we worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:46.875 \rightarrow 01:23:47.658$ treating people differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:47.660 \rightarrow 01:23:49.586$ We worry about treating equals unequally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:49.590 \longrightarrow 01:23:50.960$ but on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:50.960 \longrightarrow 01:23:52.163$ ignoring the vulnerabilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:23:52.163 \rightarrow 01:23:53.366$ Some people face,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:53.370 \rightarrow 01:23:54.840$ ignoring the oppression that some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:54.840 \rightarrow 01:23:56.870$ people face is itself an injustice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:23:56.870 \longrightarrow 01:23:57.650$ This is not,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01{:}23{:}57{.}650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}59{.}902$ I think this is not in conflict with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01{:}23{:}59{.}902 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}01{.}727$ a basic principle list approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:24:01.730 \longrightarrow 01:24:03.430$ This is the advanced course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:24:03.430 \longrightarrow 01:24:04.977$ I think that your lecture here for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01{:}24{:}04.977 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}06.649$ us has been the advanced course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- 01:24:06.650 --> 01:24:07.570 To look a little deeper,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:24:07.570 \longrightarrow 01:24:09.374$ consider the nuance layer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01:24:09.374 \rightarrow 01:24:10.727$ that feminist ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- 01:24:10.730 --> 01:24:11.921 not just theory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- 01:24:11.921 --> 01:24:13.906 but approach but viewpoint onto
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01{:}24{:}13.906 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}16.389$ the basics that we teach every body.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619
- $01{:}24{:}16{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}18{.}505$ I think that it's incumbent on all of us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:24:18.505 \rightarrow 01:24:20.629$ who teach medical ethics to bring this

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01{:}24{:}20.629 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}22.759$ at this advanced level of the feminist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:24:22.760 \longrightarrow 01:24:24.670$ Ethics to what we teach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01{:}24{:}24{.}670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}26{.}950$ I I think it's been a marvelous session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

01:24:26.950 --> 01:24:28.582 I'd be happy for any final

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

01:24:28.582 --> 01:24:30.010 comment you'd like to make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01{:}24{:}30{.}010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}31{.}000$ and I don't mean to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:24:31.000 \longrightarrow 01:24:32.130$ you on the spot with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01{:}24{:}32{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}33{.}930$ So I'll mumble here for 10 or 15 seconds

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01:24:33.930 \rightarrow 01:24:35.849$ in case you want to think of something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01{:}24{:}35{.}850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}37{.}188$ But it's been a terrific session

NOTE Confidence: 0.839273429047619

 $01{:}24{:}37{.}188 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}38{.}740$ and I thank you so very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429

 $01:24:39.090 \longrightarrow 01:24:40.728$ It's been a pleasure to be here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429

 $01:24:40.730 \longrightarrow 01:24:42.380$ and I've really enjoyed this

NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429

 $01{:}24{:}42{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}43{.}700$ conversation and the questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429

 $01{:}24{:}43.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}47.508$ And I agree that hopefully that we can

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429
- $01{:}24{:}47.508 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}49.962$ make feminist ethics and bioethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429
- 01:24:49.962 --> 01:24:53.552 part of sort of the, as you said.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429
- $01{:}24{:}53{.}552 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}55{.}622$ Layered advanced approach to biomedical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429
- $01{:}24{:}55{.}622 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}57{.}829$ care and and biomedical ethics and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429
- $01:24:57.829 \longrightarrow 01:25:00.400$ I'm happy to be a part of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858378488571429
- 01:25:00.400 --> 01:25:01.220 Thank you so much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578
- $01:25:01.230 \longrightarrow 01:25:02.926$ I think we took a step in that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578
- $01{:}25{:}02{.}926$ --> $01{:}25{:}04{.}634$ direction to night. Thanks to you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578
- $01{:}25{:}04{.}634 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}05{.}918$ Professor Elizabeth Lanphier.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578
- 01:25:05.920 --> 01:25:07.256 Thank you very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578
- $01:25:07.256 \longrightarrow 01:25:09.260$ Thanks every body for joining us to night
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578
- $01{:}25{:}09{.}321 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}11{.}050$ and we'll be back on March 1st.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578
- $01:25:11.050 \longrightarrow 01:25:11.958$ You'll be getting the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578
- 01:25:11.958 --> 01:25:13.093 notification about that and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578
- $01{:}25{:}13.093 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}14.459$ hope our paths cross again soon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78961578

 $01{:}25{:}14.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}16.960$ Elizabeth. Goodnight folks.