WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:28:42"

NOTE recognizability:0.984

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:00.936 Good evening friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:00.936 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.578$ This is a this is the kickoff evening

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}00{:}03.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.930$ ethics seminar for the program for

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}00{:}05{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}08{.}332$ Biomedical Ethics and the Yale Pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:08.332 \rightarrow 00:00:10.660$ Ethics program for this academic year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:00:10.660 --> 00:00:12.683 Hung those of you who have looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}00{:}12.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.441$ at the schedule online know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:14.441 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.380$ this was not the talk that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:16.442 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.325$ scheduled as of a few weeks ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}00{:}18.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.305$ We've had a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:00:20.305 --> 00:00:21.885 cancellations in in September,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:00:21.890 --> 00:00:22.676 some COVID related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:22.676 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.248$ and we're doing the best we

 $00:00:24.248 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.547$ can to respond to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}00{:}25{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27{.}134$ What we decided to do to night was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:27.134 \rightarrow 00:00:28.895$ address a very specific problem that's come

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:28.895 \rightarrow 00:00:30.690$ up in the newborn intensive care unit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:00:30.690 -> 00:00:33.035 Here at Yale, and I think other

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:00:33.035 --> 00:00:34.970 places all over the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}00{:}34{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37{.}553$ And and then we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:37.553 \dashrightarrow 00:00:40.119$ to assemble a wonderful panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:00:40.120 --> 00:00:42.025 Dr Zespri loyal doctor Naomi

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:00:42.025 --> 00:00:43.930 Laventhol and attorney Jay Sicklick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}00{:}43.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.938$ And you'll hear briefly from each of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:45.938 \rightarrow 00:00:47.967$ before we open this up for conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.114$ So I just want to let you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:50.114 \rightarrow 00:00:52.036$ that our evening ethics seminars

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}00{:}52.036$ --> $00{:}00{:}54.226$ happen about twice a month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:54.230 \dashrightarrow 00:00:57.219$ And this the schedule can be found

 $00:00:57.219 \dashrightarrow 00:00:59.015$ at biomedical ethics at yale.edu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:00:59.015 --> 00:00:59.840 On our website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:00:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.956$ you can always reach out to me or

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:01:01.956 --> 00:01:03.804 to Karen Kolb, our program manager,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:03.804 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.028$ with any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.762$ About these things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:01:05.762 --> 00:01:07.470 I'm delighted you're here with us tonight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:07.470 \rightarrow 00:01:10.430$ and I think it's time for me to get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:01:10.430 --> 00:01:12.187 So if I can share the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.254$ I'll begin the presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:13.254 \rightarrow 00:01:15.111$ I'm going to talk for about 1/2

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:01:15.111 --> 00:01:16.743 hour or so and then we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}01{:}16.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.516$ hear for a few minutes from each

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}01{:}18.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.864$ of our esteemed panelists before

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:19.864 \dashrightarrow 00:01:21.868$ we open it up for conversation.

 $00:01:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.197$ We will have a hard stop at 6:30.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}01{:}25{.}197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26{.}118$ So, as always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:01:26.118 --> 00:01:28.295 I apologize to whoever was had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:28.295 \rightarrow 00:01:30.213$ really good thing to say or question

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}01{:}30{.}213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31{.}967$ at 6:29 'cause we may not get to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:31.970 \rightarrow 00:01:34.168$ Everybody will see how the conversation goes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:01:34.170 --> 00:01:35.180 but I know that the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.388$ Your time is valuable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00{:}01{:}36{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38{.}550$ and we're not going to keep you

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.018$ any later than 6:30. Alright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:40.018 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.250$ With that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

 $00:01:41.250 \dashrightarrow 00:01:44.754$ let's see if I can get this going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:01:44.760 --> 00:01:46.416 All right, are we looking at my slides here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965131177241379

00:01:46.420 --> 00:01:49.020 uh? Sam, that's good, looks great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00{:}01{:}51{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54{.}838$ Here we go I already I'm a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:01:54.840 \rightarrow 00:01:56.536$ There we go, getting calls from the ICU.

 $00:01:56.540 \rightarrow 00:01:58.395$ OK, so tonight's presentation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

00:01:58.395 --> 00:02:00.250 on parental refusal of screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00{:}02{:}00{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{.}259$ tests and preventative treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}06$ --> $00{:}02{:}05{.}235$ The search for a fair and coherent

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00{:}02{:}05{.}235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07{.}510$ approach and where this comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.151$ is we faced problems like this for

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:10.151 \rightarrow 00:02:12.643$ many years in the world of Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:12.643 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.889$ in the world of neonatology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:14.890 \dashrightarrow 00:02:17.179$ But recently we had a child who

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:17.179 \rightarrow 00:02:19.489$ was expected to be born overnight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.822$ and it was anticipated for reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

00:02:20.822 --> 00:02:22.159 I don't need to get into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00{:}02{:}22{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25{.}324$ that the child's mother was going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00{:}02{:}25{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27{.}365$ decline some treatments that we felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00{:}02{:}27.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.520$ were indicated based on our protocols

 $00:02:29.520 \rightarrow 00:02:33.540$ for prevention of early onset sepsis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00{:}02{:}33{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34{.}548$ and I'll get into that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

00:02:34.548 --> 00:02:35.220 little bit more detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:35.220 \rightarrow 00:02:36.300$ So the question was, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.295$ what do we do if she refuses?

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

00:02:38.300 --> 00:02:40.262 Now we've had we've dealt with

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

00:02:40.262 --> 00:02:41.570 parent refusal and parenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:41.626 \rightarrow 00:02:42.810$ assistance for many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00{:}02{:}42.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.160$ and I want to talk about an overall approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:45.160 \rightarrow 00:02:46.852$ To that and then suddenly apply

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:46.852 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.433$ that approach to this specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.968619277142857

 $00:02:48.433 \dashrightarrow 00:02:50.388$ question about the screening tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989050581111111

 $00:02:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.308$ That's interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989050581111111

 $00:02:54.308 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.806$ let's see how we can do here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:02:58.610 --> 00:03:00.577 There we go. OK, nothing to disclose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:00.580 \rightarrow 00:03:03.639$ except that I steal pictures from Google.

 $00:03:03.640 \rightarrow 00:03:05.215$ So let me begin with the story of Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}03{:}05{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06{.}108$ Smith. Mrs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:06.108 \dashrightarrow 00:03:09.216$ Smith teaches at the local middle school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.030$ Everybody knows Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}03{:}10{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11{.}380$ Smith, she's a smart woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:03:11.380 --> 00:03:14.134 She's a nice woman and she's 28 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.939$ middle school teacher and one day she

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:15.939 \rightarrow 00:03:17.976$ gets uh, brought into the emergency

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:03:17.976 --> 00:03:19.492 department by ambulance because

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}03{:}19{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21{.}810$ she was in an automobile accident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:21.810 \rightarrow 00:03:25.780$ And the physicians in the ambulance say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}03{:}25.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.962$ should be the physicians in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:03:26.962 --> 00:03:27.790 emergency department. Say. Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:27.790 \dashrightarrow 00:03:29.510$ Smith, you know you're in a car accident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:03:29.510 --> 00:03:30.300 she said, yes, I know,

 $00:03:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.660$ and they say we have to take it

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}03{:}31{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}057$ to the operating room right away

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:03:33.057 -> 00:03:34.563 to take care of your injuries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:03:34.570 --> 00:03:36.972 She says, OK, let's go, and they say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:36.972 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.300$ well, also, we have to transfuse you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}03{:}39{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}798$ We have to give you some blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:40.800 \rightarrow 00:03:42.500$ And she says, absolutely not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.310$ and they say, but Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:03:43.310 --> 00:03:44.518 Smith, if we don't give you the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.048$ you could die.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}03{:}45{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46{.}510$ In fact, we think you probably will

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.150$ die if you don't get the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:03:48.150 -> 00:03:49.698 And she says, I understand that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:49.700 \dashrightarrow 00:03:51.293$ but I would rather die than get the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}03{:}51{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}144$ because I think it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:03:52.144 \rightarrow 00:03:52.777$ Offensive to God.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:03:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.100$ It's against my religion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- 00:03:54.100 --> 00:03:55.750 I don't want the blood.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:03:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.220$ Now, assuming for the sake
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:03:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.690$ of this discussion that Mrs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00{:}03{:}58.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.418$ Smith is felt to be of sound mind.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:04:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.539$ That that Mrs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00{:}04{:}03.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.777$ Smith's refusal as I think pretty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- 00:04:05.777 --> 00:04:07.762 much every American physician
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00{:}04{:}07.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.317$ knows Mrs Smith's refusal stands.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:04:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.944$ She has a right to refuse treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- 00:04:11.950 00:04:14.800 even lifesaving treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- 00:04:14.800 --> 00:04:17.400 And you know the old story was that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- 00:04:17.400 --> 00:04:19.678 if Jehovah's Witnesses didn't exist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00{:}04{:}19.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.515$ then by witnesses probably would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- 00:04:21.515 --> 00:04:23.825 have invented them for the purpose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:23.825 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.040$ of their lectures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.822$ So that may well be the point being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:27.822 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.126$ it's an exercise in recognizing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:30.126 \rightarrow 00:04:32.059$ patient autonomy of patients right

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:04:32.059 --> 00:04:34.794 to bodily integrity is such that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:04:34.794 --> 00:04:37.434 patient can refuse even lifesaving treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.720$ and this, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:38.720 \rightarrow 00:04:41.324$ is why pediatric ethics is harder

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}04{:}41{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43{.}434$ than adult ethics, because of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:43.434 \rightarrow 00:04:44.774$ just a few minutes later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.200$ another ambulance pulled up

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}04{:}46{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}975$ and the stretcher comes out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.960$ And who's on the ambulance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:04:48.960 --> 00:04:50.460 02 year old Boy, it's Jr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:04:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.170$ Smith and they say to Mrs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:04:52.170 --> 00:04:53.714 Smith look. It's Jr. Smith, your son.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:04:53.714 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.360$ She says, I know he was in the car.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:04:55.360 \rightarrow 00:04:56.946$ With me and they said well, Mr.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- 00:04:56.946 --> 00:04:58.376 Smith. He's had internal injuries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00{:}04{:}58{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00{.}260$ He needs to go to the operating room
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- 00:05:00.260 --> 00:05:03.298 right away and she says OK, go miss and Mrs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00{:}05{:}03{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}489$ Smith.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00{:}05{:}03.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.623$ We have to transfuse him were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:05:04.623 \rightarrow 00:05:06.000$ afraid if we don't transfuse him,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:05:06.000 \rightarrow 00:05:07.372$ he's going to die.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:05:07.372 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.340$ And she says absolutely not no transfusion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:05:10.340 \rightarrow 00:05:13.116$ Now I think every pediatrician on the call,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00{:}05{:}13.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.338$ and I suspect a lot of other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00:05:14.338 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.110$ people on the call,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- $00{:}05{:}15{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16{.}726$ also recognize that this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857
- 00:05:16.726 --> 00:05:17.938 is somehow different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}05{:}17{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18{.}268$ Mrs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:05:18.268 --> 00:05:20.236 Smith could refuse her own transfusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

00:05:20.240 --> 00:05:21.712 even if it's lifesaving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:05:21.712 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.184$ but she couldn't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:05:23.184 \dashrightarrow 00:05:25.367$ refuse the transfusion for her son.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}05{:}25{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26{.}560$ And it's a fascinating question

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:05:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.930$ as to why that should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00:05:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.715$ So we say that she can refuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.987530657142857

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30{.}480$ based on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:05:30.543 \rightarrow 00:05:32.668$ obligation to respect her autonomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:05:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.110$ The patient autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

00:05:34.110 - > 00:05:36.510 which literally means self rule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:05:36.510 \rightarrow 00:05:39.128$ Now some folks refer to parental autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

00:05:39.130 --> 00:05:41.236 but I would suggest it's parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}05{:}41.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.020$ autonomy is a nonsense term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:05:43.020 \rightarrow 00:05:44.376$ Autonomy means self rule.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- 00:05:44.376 --> 00:05:46.071 You can't really have self
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- 00:05:46.071 --> 00:05:47.548 rule over some
one else.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}05{:}47.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.238$ I don't think there's such a thing as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}05{:}50{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}392$ parental autonomy so much as parental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:05:52.392 \rightarrow 00:05:53.802$ authority and the parental authority,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:05:53.802 \rightarrow 00:05:55.428$ of course, is very strong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}05{:}55{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57{.}258$ But perhaps not as strong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:05:57.260 \rightarrow 00:06:00.067$ Definitely not as strong as patient autonomy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.126$ as illustrated by the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:01.126 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.446$ very extreme case of Mrs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.550$ Smith and Jr. Smith.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}06{:}03.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.980$ She has a right to refuse for herself,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:05.980 \dashrightarrow 00:06:08.269$ but we would say that she doesn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}06{:}08{.}269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}889$ have a right to refuse for her son.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:10.890 \rightarrow 00:06:12.154$ She is, of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:12.154 \rightarrow 00:06:13.418$ the surrogate decision maker

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

00:06:13.418 --> 00:06:14.690 in this situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}06{:}14.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.472$ and whenever someone is not capable

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:16.472 \rightarrow 00:06:17.660$ of deciding for themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.330$ we seek a surrogate decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.000$ maker who decides for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:21.000 \rightarrow 00:06:23.296$ This is commonly a member of the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:23.300 \rightarrow 00:06:25.799$ a spouse and adult son or daughter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.200$ In the case of adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:27.200 \rightarrow 00:06:28.340$ and in the case of children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.452$ it's usually the parents or one

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:30.452 \rightarrow 00:06:32.850$ of the parents are both parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}06{:}32.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.698$ And of course with all small children

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}06{:}34.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.580$ like Jr Smith and all the new borns

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:36.580 \rightarrow 00:06:38.600$ that we're here to talk about tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:06:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.865$ These people these kids can't

- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:40.865 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.224$ speak for themselves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.742$ So in medical ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:43.742 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.254$ when a surrogate decision
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:45.254 \rightarrow 00:06:46.749$ maker has to decide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:46.750 \rightarrow 00:06:48.920$ we suggest that the surrogate decision maker
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}06{:}48{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51{.}314$ I brought you a little bit of New Haven
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- 00:06:51.314 > 00:06:53.540 music here just to add to the flair of it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.258$ we suggest that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- 00:06:56.260 --> 00:06:56.920 The surge,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- 00:06:56.920 --> 00:06:58.900 its surrogate decision maker should decide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:06:58.900 \rightarrow 00:07:00.398$ not because what they think is best,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.840$ what they want for themselves,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}07{:}01{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03{.}732$ but by substituted judgment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}07{:}03.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.678$ which is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.726$ if I'm deciding for my father,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}07{:}06.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.917$ what would dad have wanted to do in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:07:08.917 \rightarrow 00:07:10.717$ situation based on my best understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:07:10.720 \rightarrow 00:07:12.580$ Maybe even left me written instructions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:07:12.580 \rightarrow 00:07:13.996$ but based on my best understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}07{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.018$ what would dad want me to do here now in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}07{:}17.018$ --> $00{:}07{:}18.719$ case of little kids in the case of babies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:07:18.720 \rightarrow 00:07:20.380$ we can't use substituted judgment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:07:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.406$ They've never formed

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}07{:}21.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.774$ opinions on these matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

00:07:22.780 --> 00:07:23.194 Consequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}07{:}23.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.678$ we fall to a different standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:07:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.776$ which is the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

00:07:26.776 --> 00:07:27.598 Best interest standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:07:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.330$ which is what is commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:07:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.368$ invoked in Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:07:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.602$ and this specifically refers

- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}07{:}31.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.142$ to our obligation to choose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:33.142 \dashrightarrow 00:07:34.869$ or the surrogates obligation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}07{:}34.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.878$ I should say to choose based on the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.785$ patient's best interest simply to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:39.785 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.338$ weigh the benefits of the proposed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}07{:}42.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.340$ treatment against the burdens or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.115$ risk of the proposed treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}07{:}46.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.492$ and then choose based on that whether
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:47.492 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.109$ or not it's in the patient's best
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:49.109 \dashrightarrow 00:07:50.579$ interest to get the proposed treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.806$ And that's how we like the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00:07:52.806 \dashrightarrow 00:07:54.850$ surrogate decision maker to decide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- 00:07:54.850 --> 00:07:55.684 But in truth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}07{:}55.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.352$ that's not exactly how it works.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333
- $00{:}07{:}57{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00{.}207$ In Pediatrics and it was now almost two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:08:00.207 \rightarrow 00:08:02.300$ decades ago that my friend Doug Diekema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}08{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.168$ well known voice in pediatric ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:08:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.031$ articulated something he

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:08:06.031 \rightarrow 00:08:07.179$ called the harm principle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:08:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.796$ and for those of you who studied philosophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:08:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.750$ this is something a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}08{:}09{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10{.}700$ bit different than the harm

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:08:10.735 \rightarrow 00:08:11.578$ principle in philosophy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00{:}08{:}11.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.518$ But what he said was

NOTE Confidence: 0.989739135333333

 $00:08:13.518 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.810$ that actually we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:08:14.884 \rightarrow 00:08:17.712$ require parents to choose what's in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:08:17.712 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.446$ child's best interest, as long as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:08:20.446 \dashrightarrow 00:08:22.720$ stay above some threshold of harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:08:22.720 \dashrightarrow 00:08:25.120$ So, for example, let's say that a family

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:08:25.120 \rightarrow 00:08:27.568$ lives in a town that has a really bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:08:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.045$ Public school and a really

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}08{:}29.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.930$ good private school.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:08:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.617$ Bye everybody's measure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:08:30.617 \dashrightarrow 00:08:32.220$ The public school is bad enough for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:08:32.264 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.446$ all the reasons you can decide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- 00:08:33.450 > 00:08:35.058 And the private school is really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}08{:}35{.}058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}152$ good and no one would argue that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:08:37.152 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.757$ it that everyone would agree.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:08:38.760 \dashrightarrow 00:08:39.999$ It's in the child's best interest to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:08:39.999 \dashrightarrow 00:08:41.764$ go to that private school, for example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:08:41.764 \rightarrow 00:08:44.510$ But and the parents have the money for it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- 00:08:44.510 --> 00:08:44.828 Nevertheless,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}08{:}44{.}828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47{.}054$ we don't require the parents to send
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:08:47.054 \dashrightarrow 00:08:48.928$ their child to that private school,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}08{:}48{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51{.}189$ even if by their lights and by our lights.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:08:51.190 \dashrightarrow 00:08:52.989$ That's what's in the child's best interest.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00{:}08{:}52{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}890$ We say, no, you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:08:53.890 \dashrightarrow 00:08:56.104$ You can send the child to the public school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00{:}08{:}56{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57{.}838$ You can send the channel to another school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:08:57.840 \dashrightarrow 00:09:00.000$ You could even homes chool this child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

00:09:00.000 --> 00:09:00.960 And then if the parents say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

00:09:00.960 --> 00:09:01.975 well, we're going to do is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:09:01.975 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.570$ not schooling at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:09:02.570 \rightarrow 00:09:04.310$ We're gonna let him watch television,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:09:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.421$ and then we'd say, well, hang on now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:09:06.421 \rightarrow 00:09:08.570$ you've fallen below some threshold to farm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:09:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.380$ So now indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:09:09.380 \rightarrow 00:09:11.540$ if this child is getting no education now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00{:}09{:}11.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.310$ the state will step in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:09:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.116$ So it's not exactly about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

00:09:15.116 --> 00:09:16.398 patients best interest, really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:09:16.398 \rightarrow 00:09:18.288$ but it's about staying above

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}09{:}18.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.800$ that threshold of harm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:19.800 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.739$ As long as the service decide above
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}09{:}21.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.920$ the threshold, the farm, and of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- 00:09:22.920 --> 00:09:25.200 that's a very subjective judgment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.370$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.730$ But if it's a little bit like this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:26.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.500$ and the parents choose something that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:28.500 \rightarrow 00:09:30.260$ not quite what's best in general.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}09{:}30{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32{.}180$ We tend to respect that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:32.180 \dashrightarrow 00:09:34.756$ Until we get to that threshold of harm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.884$ So what's our role in all this as clinicians?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.128$ Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}09{:}37.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.556$ the first thing I would suggest
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}09{:}38.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.970$ is that we're moral agents was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:39.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.782$ just to say that we should be able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

- $00:09:41.782 \rightarrow 00:09:43.067$ to discern right from wrong,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}09{:}43.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.520$ and that's not so easy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- 00:09:44.520 --> 00:09:46.218 But that's part of our job,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:46.220 \rightarrow 00:09:48.956$ and we're responsible for our actions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.640$ So what happens in that hospital?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:50.640 \rightarrow 00:09:52.090$ What happens in that nursery?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- 00:09:52.090 --> 00:09:54.070 What happens in that newborn
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- 00:09:54.070 --> 00:09:55.654 ICU under our direction?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:55.660 \dashrightarrow 00:09:57.750$ And we're responsible for that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:09:57.750 \rightarrow 00:10:00.081$ And if we say that parental authority
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:00.081 \rightarrow 00:10:02.550$ is not quite as strong as patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:02.550 \rightarrow 00:10:05.616$ Autonomy that it does have limits.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}10{:}05{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}414$ Then the rule of the clinician
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:07.414 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.008$ to a great extent is to find the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- 00:10:10.008 --> 00:10:11.344 limit of parental authority.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:11.350 \rightarrow 00:10:13.170$ Where exactly does it?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.445$ Does the parents reach that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:15.445 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.590$ threshold of harm?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.326$ Where we say no,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:17.326 \rightarrow 00:10:18.864$ we're not just going to do what you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}10{:}18{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20{.}229$ want us to do in this situation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}10{:}20{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}550$ There's a couple of nice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.874$ important landmarks on this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:23.874 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.529$ There was a Supreme Court
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}10{:}25{.}529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27{.}056$ case in 1947 or 1948.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}10{:}27.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.108$ It wasn't actually about health care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.158$ but that comments from it and Prince V.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}10{:}31.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.006$ Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00{:}10{:}32.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.698$ Related to the fact that parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:33.698 \rightarrow 00:10:35.019$ have a right to make martyrs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255
- $00:10:35.020 \rightarrow 00:10:35.821$ martyrs of themselves,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00:10:35.821 \rightarrow 00:10:37.423$ but that doesn't mean they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00{:}10{:}37{.}423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}568$ a right to make martyrs of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868345255

 $00{:}10{:}39.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.788$ children in similar circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:10:40.838 \rightarrow 00:10:42.854$ until such time as a child reaches an age

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}10{:}42.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.754$ where he or she can decide for themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:10:45.760 \dashrightarrow 00:10:47.904$ And that's of course related to the Jr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}10{:}47{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50{.}398$ Smith case. If you'd like the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52{.}393$ Academy of Pediatrics Committee on

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}10{:}52{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}747$ Bioethics now more than 20 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:10:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.046$ had a lovely policy which has

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}10{:}56.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.460$ since been updated in the wording,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:10:57.460 - 00:11:00.386 got lost, but the AP policy stated

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:00.386 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.809$ that we believe all children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:11:02.810 --> 00:11:05.010 Are entitled to treatment likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:11:05.010 -> 00:11:06.770 to prevent significant harm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.823$ suffering or death,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:11:07.823 \rightarrow 00:11:10.719$ and I think every word in that in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:11:10.719 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.885$ that in that phrase is important,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- 00:11:12.890 --> 00:11:15.070 likely to prevent significant harm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}11{:}15{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16{.}174$ suffering, or death.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:11:16.174 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.382$ These may be ways that particular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- 00:11:18.382 --> 00:11:19.775 term from the AP, especially,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:11:19.775 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.620$ may be a way for us to help get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}11{:}21.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.553$ a handle on what the limits of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:11:23.553 \rightarrow 00:11:24.550$ parental authority should be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- 00:11:24.550 --> 00:11:25.756 Now we believe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- 00:11:25.756 --> 00:11:28.168 as pediatricians and shared decision making.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}11{:}28{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29{.}330$ This is how we like to do it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}11{:}29{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30{.}919$ We like to work with the parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}11{:}30{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32{.}589$ and reach a decision together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:11:32.590 \rightarrow 00:11:33.346$ That's our approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:33.346 \rightarrow 00:11:35.500$ I wanna make that clear from the outset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:11:35.500 --> 00:11:37.090 but there are some things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:37.090 \rightarrow 00:11:38.947$ we're going to feel we have to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:11:38.950 --> 00:11:40.756 Even if the parents don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:11:40.756 --> 00:11:42.210 to or we shouldn't do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}11{:}42{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44{.}009$ we will not do even if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:44.009 \rightarrow 00:11:45.547$ parents will want us to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:45.550 \rightarrow 00:11:47.350$ So we have to decide when that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.080$ How do we decide that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:49.080 \rightarrow 00:11:50.832$ And often how we decide anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}11{:}50.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.674$ in medicine is the standard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}11{:}52.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.210$ care and the standard of care can

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.770$ be defined a few different ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:55.770 \rightarrow 00:11:57.485$ It can just be with most reasonable

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:57.485 \rightarrow 00:11:59.208$ physicians would do in this circumstance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:11:59.210 \rightarrow 00:12:00.503$ With professional organizations,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:12:00.503 \rightarrow 00:12:02.227$ recommend what's commonly done
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- 00:12:02.227 --> 00:12:03.520 in your community.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}12{:}03.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.940$ There are different standards.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}12{:}04{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06{.}906$ Of care but and I don't want to get into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}12{:}06{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08{.}276$ the legal aspect of standard of care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}12{:}08{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11{.}920$ All except to say this that with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:12:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.400$ regard to standard of care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:12:14.400 \rightarrow 00:12:16.563$ I think that our obligation to adhere
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}12{:}16.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.140$ to a standard of care on a scientific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:12:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.509$ question and this analogy is not perfect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:12:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.632$ but I think it's helpful on a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}12{:}23.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.056$ scientific question which antibiotic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:12:25.056 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.749$ is should be used for which infection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}12{:}27.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.885$ I think if there's a standard of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}12{:}29.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.782$ care based on large randomized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:12:31.782 --> 00:12:34.057 trials and years of experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}12{:}34.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.588$ that in fact our obligation to adhere to

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:12:36.588 \rightarrow 00:12:38.749$ that standard of care is pretty strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:12:38.750 \rightarrow 00:12:40.646$ If in fact it stands on something less

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:12:40.646 - 00:12:42.859 if it stands on maybe smaller trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:12:42.860 --> 00:12:44.468 just one or two smaller trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:12:44.470 - 00:12:46.185 then our obligation maybe a bit less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}12{:}46.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.744$ If there is no actual evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:12:47.744 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.780$ that this is best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:12:48.780 \rightarrow 00:12:50.690$ but it makes sense physiologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:12:50.690 \rightarrow 00:12:51.902$ our obligations would hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:12:51.902 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.114$ that standard is less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00{:}12{:}53{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}936$ and if it's based on what's often based

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:12:54.936 \rightarrow 00:12:56.943$ on just this is kind of what we all do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

00:12:56.950 --> 00:12:58.726 This is, you know how I was taught.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667

 $00:12:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.050$ This is what we all do.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}13{:}00{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}940$ I think our obligations would here to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}13{:}01{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03{.}570$ a standard that's just standing on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:13:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.924$ that is less similarly with an ethics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:13:05.924 \rightarrow 00:13:07.940$ question with an ethical question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00{:}13{:}07{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}490$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:13:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.865$ when to overrule the parents.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:13:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.920$ Refusal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985800735666667
- $00:13:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.420$ I think that if our standard of care that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}13{:}14{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16{.}460$ come about comes from a careful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:13:16.460 --> 00:13:17.780 consideration of the data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:13:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.862$ a careful consideration of all the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:13:19.862 --> 00:13:21.600 rights and obligations at play,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}13{:}21.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.800$ and then an exchange of ideas and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:13:23.800 \rightarrow 00:13:25.900$ a consensus among professional leaders,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}13{:}25{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}818$ then I think our obligation to adhere
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00:13:27.818 \rightarrow 00:13:29.658$ to that standard may be significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00{:}13{:}29.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.016$ It's certainly higher than if it

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

00:13:31.016 --> 00:13:32.770 would just be all just kind of took

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00{:}13{:}32{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34{.}513$ a vote and decided this is what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00:13:34.513 \rightarrow 00:13:36.007$ going to do without any consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

00:13:36.007 --> 00:13:37.875 of all the different aspects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00:13:37.875 \rightarrow 00:13:39.695$ including the ethical arguments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00:13:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.518$ And so I put the Yale seal up there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00{:}13{:}41{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42{.}488$ Looks at Vera tos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

00:13:42.488 --> 00:13:44.675 Right, which the Latin scholars know

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00{:}13{:}44.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.190$ means it means because we say so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

00:13:47.190 --> 00:13:48.846 That's not actually what it means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00{:}13{:}48.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.290$ but sometimes that's what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00:13:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.730$ standard care comes down to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00:13:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.948$ Why is that? The standard of care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00:13:52.950 \rightarrow 00:13:53.895$ Well, we all got in the room,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:13:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.238$ and we decided this is what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:13:55.238 \rightarrow 00:13:56.130$ we're going to do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:13:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.068$ So that's the standard of care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:13:58.070 --> 00:13:59.290 I don't think really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}13{:}59{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}572$ that's the best way for us to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:01.572 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.100$ answer an ethical question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.420$ So how should we answer it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.744$ This is my suggestion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:05.744 \rightarrow 00:14:08.160$ This is something called the IPO scale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.449$ and for any treatment or or test or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:11.449 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.567$ screening tests we want to determine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}14{:}13.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.118$ if it is ethically impermissible,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:14:16.120 --> 00:14:17.620 permissible or obligatory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}14{:}17.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.120$ Any treatment can be defined,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.018$ described as one of those three things,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

- $00:14:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.040$ and within permissible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:24.040 \rightarrow 00:14:26.420$ There are some things that are inadvisable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:14:26.420 --> 00:14:27.760 We don't really recommend it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:27.760 \rightarrow 00:14:29.608$ but it's not to the point where it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:29.608 \rightarrow 00:14:31.077$ actually ethically impermissible to do it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.720$ There are some things that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.704$ are ethically advisable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:14:33.710 --> 00:14:33.892 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}14{:}33{.}892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35{.}530$ we think this is really what you should do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:35.530 \rightarrow 00:14:37.147$ but it hasn't really reached the threshold.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}14{:}37{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}020$ Those red vertical lines hasn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:39.020 \rightarrow 00:14:40.890$ really reached the threshold where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:40.956 \dashrightarrow 00:14:42.826$ we think is ethically obligatory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:14:42.830 --> 00:14:44.998 I mean a classic example of his visible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:14:44.998 --> 00:14:47.040 right now is childhood vaccinations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.754$ right we?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:47.754 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.539$ I think any pediatrician worth
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}14{:}49{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}498$ his or her salt strongly advises
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}14{:}52{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}316$ recommends those vaccinations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.494$ but we don't take away custody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:57.494 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.658$ and forced vaccinations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:14:58.660 \rightarrow 00:15:00.048$ If the parents refused,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:00.048 \rightarrow 00:15:02.430$ there's issues later on with school etc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.770$ But this is something we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:15:03.770 --> 00:15:04.842 feel as strongly advisable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:15:04.850 --> 00:15:06.618 But not necessarily obligatory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:06.618 \rightarrow 00:15:08.674$ And like fashion, there are some things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}15{:}08.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.160$ that we think it's not really advisable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:10.160 \dashrightarrow 00:15:11.546$ but if the parents really want to do it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}15{:}11{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13{.}430$ OK, you know we.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}15{:}13.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.310$ We can do that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

- $00:15:15.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.546$ So how do we do this?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:15:16.550 --> 00:15:16.806 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:15:16.806 --> 00:15:17.830 if it's ethically impermissible,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}15{:}17.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.078$ we shouldn't do it and we shouldn't offer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:20.078 \rightarrow 00:15:22.012$ to do it if it's ethically permissible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:22.012 \rightarrow 00:15:22.906$ We should offer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.235$ even if the parents aren't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:24.235 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.295$ smart enough to ask,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00{:}15{:}25{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26{.}878$ or savvy enough is a better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:26.878 \rightarrow 00:15:28.210$ word savvy enough to ask.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- 00:15:28.210 --> 00:15:29.590 And if it's ethically obligatory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.414$ we the clinicians should do it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:31.414 \rightarrow 00:15:33.470$ now we should insist if necessary,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:33.470 \rightarrow 00:15:35.426$ and rarely it comes to this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.630$ but we may get legal help,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:36.630 \rightarrow 00:15:37.944$ and we may even have to go to court

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703
- $00:15:37.944 \rightarrow 00:15:39.357$ in the rarest of circumstances when I

 $00{:}15{:}39{.}357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40{.}907$ think of the times I've done this as

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00:15:40.907 \rightarrow 00:15:42.846$ maybe two or three times in my career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986688703

 $00:15:42.846 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.534$ It in fact was about transfusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:15:45.540 \rightarrow 00:15:48.400$ So that remains an option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:15:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.930$ but this is basically, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:15:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.160$ this is what we do, so we could stop now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:15:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.576$ But we have some more time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:15:53.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.732$ So the real question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}15{:}54.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.336$ the real ethical work here is how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}233$ locate a given treatment on that line?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}15{:}59{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}820$ If there's a treatment in question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:16:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.242$ how do we decide if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:16:03.242 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.716$ ethically impermissible?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}16{:}03.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.128$ We're not doing it.

00:16:05.128 --> 00:16:06.536 If it's ethically permissible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:16:06.540 --> 00:16:07.920 we're willing to do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:16:07.920 --> 00:16:09.390 or if it's ethically obligatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}16{:}09{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11{.}735$ we insist ethically that whole range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:16:11.735 --> 00:16:13.406 ethical permissible is something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}16{:}13.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.548$ also been referred to as the zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}16{:}15{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16{.}474$ Of parental discretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:16:16.474 \rightarrow 00:16:18.630$ That's where we give parents a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:16:18.693 --> 00:16:20.604 of latitude in terms of what's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}16{:}20.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.024$ I think we located on the line

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}16{:}22.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.684$ based on the prognosis with and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}16{:}23.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.024$ without the proposed treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:16:25.030 --> 00:16:26.380 We weigh the benefits and burdens

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:16:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.932$ of the treatment in question or

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:16:27.932 \rightarrow 00:16:29.148$ the screening testing question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}16{:}29{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30{.}725$ and we consider the likelihood

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:16:30.725 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.985$ of those possible outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}16{:}31{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33{.}760$ So examples might be antibiotics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:16:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.477$ in a ventilator for a full term
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:16:36.477 \dashrightarrow 00:16:38.225$ baby with bacterial pneumonia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}16{:}38{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39{.}330$ I think mostly on it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- 00:16:39.330 --> 00:16:41.004 Ologists, myself included,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:16:41.004 \rightarrow 00:16:43.464$ would say that this is obligatory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- 00:16:43.464 --> 00:16:44.808 This is ethically obligatory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}16{:}44.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.010$ because frankly this there's a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:16:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.840$ There's a significant chance this channel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:16:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.670$ could die without those antibiotics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}16{:}49{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50{.}525$ and there's a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}16{:}50{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52{.}235$ Tremendous chance the child going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:16:52.235 \rightarrow 00:16:54.255$ survive and do fine with those antibiotics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}16{:}54{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56{.}378$ and so those differences in prognosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:16:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.730$ How about Jenna Meissen as

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:16:58.730 --> 00:17:00.140 treatment for cytomegalovirus?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}17{:}00{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01{.}952$ Now for those in the room

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:17:01.952 \rightarrow 00:17:03.160$ who aren't clinical US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:17:03.160 --> 00:17:04.882 gentamic
in doesn't work

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:17:04.882 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.030$ against cytomegalovirus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:17:06.030 --> 00:17:07.270 It will not help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:17:07.270 --> 00:17:09.830 and in fact it carries some toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:17:09.830 --> 00:17:11.321 in which case I would say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:17:11.321 \rightarrow 00:17:12.439$ gentamic in is treatment for settle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}17{:}12.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.720$ Megalo virus is ethically impermissible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}17{:}14.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.768$ There's clear risks and burdens to the child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:17:16.770 \dashrightarrow 00:17:18.085$ and there's no perceived benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:17:18.085 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.766$ of some parents that I read

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00{:}17{:}19.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.010$ an article about gentamic in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:17:21.010 --> 00:17:22.306 I want you to give it to my child.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- 00:17:22.310 --> 00:17:23.918 With CMV I think mostly only
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:17:23.918 \rightarrow 00:17:25.682$ challenges would see that as ethically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:17:25.682 \rightarrow 00:17:27.272$ impermissible even if they didn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:17:27.272 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.103$ use that language and say no.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:17:29.103 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.394$ Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}29{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31{.}489$ one thing to remember about this line
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}31{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33{.}526$ to recognize is that location on the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}33.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.677$ line for any the rapy is not static.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}35{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38{.}340$ It moves based on changing the prognosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}38{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.062$ So think about a few examples for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}40.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.777$ transposition of the great vessels for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}41.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.317$ transposition of the great arteries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:17:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.880$ There is a surgical procedure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.816$ called arterial switch.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}45.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.206$ Very high effectiveness,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

- $00:17:47.206 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.130$ very effective,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}48{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49{.}691$ and at this point the outcomes mean
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- 00:17:49.691 --> 00:17:51.415 more than 90% of those kids are going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}51{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53{.}424$ to survive and do well and without it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}17{:}53.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.014$ These kids could could die.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:17:55.020 \rightarrow 00:17:55.491$ Consequently,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:17:55.491 \rightarrow 00:17:58.317$ we would consider that ethically obligatory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:17:58.320 \rightarrow 00:18:00.480$ but I remember a time when that procedure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00{:}18{:}00{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02{.}656$ Brand new and when it first came out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- 00:18:02.660 --> 00:18:03.677 if you will.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- 00:18:03.677 --> 00:18:05.033 It wasn't immediately considered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- 00:18:05.033 00:18:06.338 ethically obligatory as we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:18:06.338 \rightarrow 00:18:07.724$ gathered more data and saw with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:18:07.724 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.159$ how good the outcomes were.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:18:09.160 \rightarrow 00:18:11.255$ Eventually it replaced the previous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:18:11.255 \rightarrow 00:18:13.730$ procedure that was more commonly used,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688
- $00:18:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.313$ so it moved from left to right

 $00{:}18{:}16{.}313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17{.}998$ from permissible to obligatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:18:17.998 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.518$ as more data became available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

 $00:18:20.520 \rightarrow 00:18:22.620$ Right now for hypoplastic left

NOTE Confidence: 0.98746688

00:18:22.620 --> 00:18:23.460 Heart syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}23.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.860$ there's a stage surgical repair done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}25{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28{.}101$ and this is a disease where the child will

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:18:28.101 \rightarrow 00:18:30.300$ die without this stage surgical repair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}30{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32{.}538$ unless there's a rare transplant done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}32{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}095$ Come and so some folks say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:18:35.095 \rightarrow 00:18:37.374$ the outcome is is poor enough still

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}37{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39{.}150$ that the parents should have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}39{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41{.}000$ option of comfort measures only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:18:41.000 \rightarrow 00:18:42.617$ We don't have to do the surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:18:42.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.740$ It's the stage sorted.

00:18:43.740 --> 00:18:45.334 It's a long, difficult treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:18:45.334 \rightarrow 00:18:47.596$ and many children don't survive it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}47.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.160$ Others would say that the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:18:49.160 \rightarrow 00:18:50.570$ have gotten better and better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}50{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52{.}454$ so it's crossed that line like

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}52{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53{.}710$ the arterial switch procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}18{:}53{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}062$ It's crossed that red line between

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:18:56.062 --> 00:18:57.238 permissible and obligatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:18:57.240 \rightarrow 00:18:59.616$ It's gone from advisable to obligatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:18:59.620 \rightarrow 00:19:02.596$ And therein lies a significant dispute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:19:02.600 --> 00:19:02.970 Right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}19{:}02{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04{.}265$ and the only tells you and you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}19{:}04.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05.532$ hear different things from

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:19:05.532 --> 00:19:06.226 different cardiologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:06.230 \dashrightarrow 00:19:07.730$ neonatologists and CT surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.980$ whether parents should still have the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00:19:10.035 \rightarrow 00:19:12.198$ option of comfort measures only if we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00{:}19{:}12.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.328$ think that surgical repair is obligatory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00{:}19{:}14.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.085$ We would then not offer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- 00:19:16.085 00:19:17.489 them comfort measures only.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- 00:19:17.490 --> 00:19:18.915 Apnea prematurity is another interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00:19:18.915 \rightarrow 00:19:20.922$ example where years ago it was treated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00:19:20.922 \rightarrow 00:19:22.805$ with supplemental oxygen and it worked there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00:19:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.614$ Apnea of prematurity where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00:19:24.614 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.967$ they stopped breathing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00:19:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.440$ actually decreases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00:19:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.338$ If you could put him in 100% oxygen so that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00{:}19{:}29{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31{.}418$ was an ethically permissible treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- 00:19:31.420 --> 00:19:32.700 Eventually more data became
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00{:}19{:}32{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34{.}300$ available and it became through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00:19:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.445$ that this run the risk of causing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:19:36.445 --> 00:19:37.625 blindness in premature babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:19:37.630 --> 00:19:38.080 Consequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.330$ this moved from permissible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.464$ impermissible as the burdens and

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:42.464 \rightarrow 00:19:43.862$ risks of the child increased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:43.862 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.110$ or I should say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47{.}480$ our awareness of them increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:19:47.480 --> 00:19:48.341 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:48.341 \rightarrow 00:19:49.776$ with regard to extreme prematurity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.304$ Here again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:50.304 \rightarrow 00:19:52.400$ we've got a we have a case where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.146$ at what gestational age at what

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:19:54.146 --> 00:19:55.596 prognosis do we resuscitate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:55.596 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.220$ we've all seen that move those of

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:57.220 \rightarrow 00:19:58.965$ us who've been at this for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:19:58.970 \rightarrow 00:20:01.119$ that as the outcomes get better and

 $00{:}20{:}01{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}520$ better for a given gestational age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.970$ Resuscitation at that just inhalation

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:05.970 \rightarrow 00:20:08.862$ gestational age moves left to right

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:08.862 \rightarrow 00:20:10.893$ on this scale, and for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:10.893 \rightarrow 00:20:12.137$ when I was training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}12.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.908$ I think most people would say that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:20:13.908 --> 00:20:15.628 child born at 25 weeks or many would,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:15.630 \rightarrow 00:20:16.950$ that that's something that we want to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.294$ But if the parents say absolutely not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.868$ He won't do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}18.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}120$ I think a lot on the Internet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}20{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.696$ Ologist would said no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}21.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.090$ At this point on the outcomes are so

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.108$ good that I think that, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}26{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28{.}936$ the AAP says and the and the.

 $00:20:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.088$ And and the British organization says

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:20:31.088 --> 00:20:32.983 in the Canadian Associ Academy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}32{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}609$ Pediatrics and others have said no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

00:20:34.610 --> 00:20:36.668 At that point, it's obligatory now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}36.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.855$ because the prognosis has moved with time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}39{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41{.}500$ So one important aspect of this is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}41.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.280$ can't make a decision about any treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:43.280 \rightarrow 00:20:44.448$ And then five years from now and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.590$ well, we already talked about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}45{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48{.}002$ We decided it may have moved on the line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.168$ We have to take another look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}180$ see what other data have become apparent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}52.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52.413$ Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:52.413 \rightarrow 00:20:53.578$ it's not just prognosis that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00{:}20{:}53.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.510$ going to determine that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333

 $00:20:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.478$ It's also feasibility, right?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00{:}20{:}56.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.954$ Medical and economic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- 00:20:57.960 --> 00:20:58.650 Interestingly enough,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986211588333333
- $00:20:58.650 \rightarrow 00:21:01.410$ if something can't be done that it can't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- 00:21:01.470 --> 00:21:03.030 become ethically obligatory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:03.030 \rightarrow 00:21:05.016$ there's an adage ought implies can,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.580$ which applies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:05.580 \rightarrow 00:21:07.540$ We can't say you're obligated to do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:07.540 \rightarrow 00:21:09.280$ something if you absolutely can't do it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00{:}21{:}09{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10{.}953$ either because you don't have the medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:10.953 \rightarrow 00:21:12.500$ resources or the economic resources.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- 00:21:12.500 --> 00:21:15.470 So what's ethically obligatory in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:15.470 \rightarrow 00:21:17.280$ some countries may be considered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- 00:21:17.280 --> 00:21:18.728 a not ethically obligatory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00{:}21{:}18.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.770$ and others depending on the availability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00{:}21{:}20.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.166$ of resources, including personnel and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

- $00:21:23.166 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.310$ Facilities etc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00{:}21{:}24{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25{.}591$ Prognosis and feasibility.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:25.591 \rightarrow 00:21:28.580$ And the third thing that locates things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:28.649 \rightarrow 00:21:30.980$ on that line of the relevant rights.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.330$ So let's think about this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:32.330 \rightarrow 00:21:34.549$ The parents have a right to decide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.815$ We recognize that I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:35.815 \rightarrow 00:21:36.827$ we should recognize that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00{:}21{:}36{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39{.}098$ Hence our goal of shared decision
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- 00:21:39.098 --> 00:21:41.089 making our acknowledgment of parental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:41.089 \rightarrow 00:21:43.549$ authority needs to be very respectful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- 00:21:43.550 --> 00:21:45.713 Parents have a right to decide almost
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:45.713 \rightarrow 00:21:47.908$ always what should happen to their child.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.318$ Our role as clinicians is to find
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:50.318 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.184$ that threshold where we should
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:21:52.184 \rightarrow 00:21:54.094$ seek to overrule their decision.

 $00:21:54.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.780$ So what right may Trump the

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

00:21:55.780 --> 00:21:56.900 parents right to decide?

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}21{:}56{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58{.}700$ I think two come to mind for me and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:21:58.748 \rightarrow 00:22:00.414$ may be others that you would consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}180$ One is the child's right to treatment if

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}02{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03{.}986$ the parents are refusing a treatment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

00:22:03.986 --> 00:22:05.560 is likely to prevent significant harm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}05{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07{.}568$ suffering or death that I think that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}07.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.098$ fact as a clinician I would seek to overrule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:10.100 \rightarrow 00:22:11.684$ In that case our rights based

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}11.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.509$ analysis tells me this is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:13.509 \rightarrow 00:22:15.289$ that should be ethically obligatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}15{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16{.}450$ The other thing is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}16.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.910$ called the child's right to mercy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}17{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19{.}614$ Which you know we wrote about from here,

 $00:22:19.620 \rightarrow 00:22:21.222$ but in fact this is not a new concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.310$ just new wording for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.221$ Perhaps in the idea that the child

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:24.221 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.081$ should not be made to undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}26.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.706$ treatment that is painful or

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}27.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29.372$ difficult or invasive that offers

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}29{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.605$ no chance of benefit to that child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.170$ No significant benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.210$ So sometimes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:34.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.752$ as we've seen in the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

00:22:35.752 --> 00:22:36.780 of newborn intensive care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.892$ parents ask us to do things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}38{.}892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}165$ think are just going to hurt the child

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:41.165 \rightarrow 00:22:43.410$ and offer no chance to help the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}22{:}43.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.447$ I would say in that setting some

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:22:45.447 \rightarrow 00:22:46.708$ things become ethically obligatory

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:22:46.708 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.040$ based upon the child.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:22:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.450$ Right to mercy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:22:49.450 \rightarrow 00:22:53.410$ and sometimes clinicians should say no.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:22:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.508$ And there may be other rights
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:22:54.508 \rightarrow 00:22:55.329$ involved here too, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:22:55.329 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.674$ The families best interest and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:22:56.674 \rightarrow 00:22:58.314$ the other members of the family
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- 00:22:58.314 --> 00:22:59.844 are affected by decisions we make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:22:59.850 \rightarrow 00:23:01.210$ other members of society society
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:23:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.295$ as a whole because of how we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:23:03.295 \rightarrow 00:23:04.639$ distribute our limited resources,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- 00:23:04.640 --> 00:23:05.262 distributive justice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00:23:05.262 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.884$ of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00{:}23{:}05{.}884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08{.}125$ refers to a fair allocation of limited
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385
- $00{:}23{:}08{.}125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10{.}051$ resources and the healthcare dollar is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:23:10.051 \rightarrow 00:23:12.015$ a limited resource that we don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:23:12.015 \rightarrow 00:23:13.756$ live that way often in this country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:23:13.756 \rightarrow 00:23:16.600$ but more and more we're going to need to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:23:16.600 \rightarrow 00:23:18.456$ Other rights may come into play as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}23{:}18{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20{.}362$ I'm not sure for this discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:23:20.362 \rightarrow 00:23:22.102$ about parents refusing a screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:23:22.102 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.750$ test that it's necessarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:23:23.750 \rightarrow 00:23:25.110$ On relevant to the discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00:23:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.466$ but something to be thought of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

00:23:26.470 --> 00:23:29.186 This is all part of a rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

00:23:29.186 --> 00:23:31.310 based analysis which is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}23{:}31{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33{.}926$ to help locate any treatment or

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36{.}310$ screening test on that IPO scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988840224615385

00:23:36.310 --> 00:23:40.930 Prognosis, feasibility and relevant rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:23:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.908$ And something else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:23:41.908 \rightarrow 00:23:43.864$ and so get to something else.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:23:43.870 --> 00:23:46.094 I want to tell you a brief story.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}23{:}46.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.924$ If I could please some years back when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:23:49.924 \rightarrow 00:23:52.220$ extra corporeal membrane oxygenation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:23:52.220 \rightarrow 00:23:54.516$ essentially heart lung bypass,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}23{:}54{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}650$ became a treatment more often used
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:23:56.650 00:23:59.190 in newborns who had a problem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:23:59.190 --> 00:24:00.768 called pulmonary hypertension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.190$ Basically we could not get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.326$ enough auction their blood,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.190$ even if you used a ventilator
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.054$ at 100% auction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}24{:}06{.}054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07{.}518$ we still were not getting enough
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:07.518 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.007$ oxygen in their blood and constantly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}24{:}09{.}007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}423$ they risk death or brain damage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:10.423 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.687$ or other end organ damage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}24{:}11.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.794$ If they survive and so these kids would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:24:14.794 \rightarrow 00:24:18.185$ be put on bypass if you will on ECMO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:24:18.190 --> 00:24:20.682 One thing I recall for when ECMO

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:24:20.682 \rightarrow 00:24:22.272$ first became available for newborns

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}24{:}22{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24{.}390$ it was more and more commonly used

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}056$ is that it was considered that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:24:26.056 --> 00:24:28.860 wouldn't do it in cases of trisomy 21,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:24:28.860 --> 00:24:31.020 also known as Down syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:24:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.348$ They weren't considered candidates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:24:32.348 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.008$ and you'll hear that phrase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}24{:}34.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35.208$ a lot in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}24{:}35{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36{.}954$ and we have to be careful about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:24:36.954 \rightarrow 00:24:38.198$ circular arguments are not candidates

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}24{:}38{.}198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39{.}722$ 'cause it's not indicated it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:24:39.722 \rightarrow 00:24:41.278$ indicated because they're not candidates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}24{:}41{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42{.}368$ and the candidates 'cause

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:24:42.368 --> 00:24:44.000 it's not the standard of care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}24{:}44.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.456$ and it's not the standard of care
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}24{:}45{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46{.}343$ because they're not candidates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:46.343 \rightarrow 00:24:47.855$ in and around and around it goes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.980$ The fact of the matter is in the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:24:48.980 --> 00:24:52.236 Early days of ECMO kids with trisomy 21.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:24:52.240 --> 00:24:55.340 It was I saw were were rarely put on ECMO,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.480$ even if they otherwise met.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.350$ The indications in the early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:24:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.220$ part of the 21st century.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:25:00.220 \rightarrow 00:25:02.116$ In the first years it became,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}25{:}02{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04{.}613$ I thought I noticed and I spoke to my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}25{:}04.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.516$ colleagues here on the ECMO team that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}25{:}06{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}223$ there was more and more willingness
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:25:08.223 \rightarrow 00:25:10.337$ to now put these kids on ECMO.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:25:10.340 --> 00:25:12.428 So we did a survey of ECMO centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}25{:}12.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.538$ all over the country and overseas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:25:14.540 --> 00:25:15.308 Rachel Chapman, you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:25:15.308 \rightarrow 00:25:16.800$ is the first author of our service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}25{:}16.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.292$ She was the head of our ecmel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:25:19.292 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.360$ program here then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:25:20.360 --> 00:25:21.344 And we asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:25:21.344 \rightarrow 00:25:22.984$ assuming the patient meets the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}25{:}22{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24{.}379$ respiratory criteria and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00{:}25{:}24.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.755$ are no other contraindications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:25:25.760 \rightarrow 00:25:28.976$ Would you offer ECMO in the presence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:25:28.976 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.558$ trisomy 21 and the choices were never?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:25:31.560 --> 00:25:34.100 Rarely, sometimes, usually or always.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:25:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.956$ And to say we would offer it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:25:35.956 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.418$ opposed to insist or refuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:25:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.850$ suggests that we see it as

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:25:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.660$ ethically permissible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:25:40.660 --> 00:25:41.328 Now again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:25:41.328 --> 00:25:44.000 I think if we've done this much earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:25:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.380$ we would have seen that that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:25:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.560$ relatively few centers do this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:25:46.560 \rightarrow 00:25:47.944$ That was my experience,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:25:47.944 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.421$ but when we did this in 2008 and 2009,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}25{:}51{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53{.}289$ we saw that most.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}25{:}53{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}236$ At most centers usually are always.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:25:56.240 > 00:25:59.957 Offer FMO in children with trisomy 21.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:25:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.016$ And that fascinated me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:26:01.016 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.318$ Why did that change?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- 00:26:02.320 --> 00:26:04.093 Why did it seem to move on that line?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00{:}26{:}04{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05{.}276$ And no one spoke in terms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667
- $00:26:05.276 \rightarrow 00:26:06.270$ that scale in those days?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:26:06.270 --> 00:26:08.419 But why did our approach to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:26:08.419 \rightarrow 00:26:10.450$ change to the prognosis change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:26:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.880$ Now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:26:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.792$ It really didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:26:11.792 \rightarrow 00:26:13.616$ That were the things about trisomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:26:13.616 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.700$ 21 that changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:26:14.700 --> 00:26:15.294 Not really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:26:15.294 \rightarrow 00:26:17.373$ so we have to ask ourselves what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:26:17.373 \rightarrow 00:26:19.499$ changed and if it wasn't the prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

 $00:26:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.072$ Maybe it had to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896850666666667

00:26:21.072 --> 00:26:22.120 our attitudes or values

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:22.182 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.298$ about the procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}26{:}23.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.850$ perhaps was it seen as something

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:25.850 \rightarrow 00:26:28.098$ that was really experimental or

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:28.098 \rightarrow 00:26:30.478$ extremely novel or extraordinary care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:30.480 \rightarrow 00:26:32.200$ A term that many ethicists,

00:26:32.200 --> 00:26:34.650 myself included, try very hard to avoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}26{:}34.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.510$ but that our attitude about ECMO

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:36.510 \rightarrow 00:26:38.949$ changed as I become more commonly used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:38.950 \rightarrow 00:26:41.127$ Or wasn't our attitudes about the patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.377$ Do we see newborns as perhaps having

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:43.377 \rightarrow 00:26:45.559$ fewer rights or lesser rights than

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

00:26:45.559 --> 00:26:47.863 older children or adults or newborns?

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.422$ Specifically with trisomy 21?

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:49.422 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.750$ Was it our attitudes or values

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:51.822 \rightarrow 00:26:53.830$ about people with disabilities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:53.830 \rightarrow 00:26:55.891$ We all know that all children with trisomy 21

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:26:55.891 \rightarrow 00:26:58.035$ are going to have some cognitive disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}26{:}58.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00.504$ Is that why it was felt they shouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}27{:}00{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02{.}716$ be candidates in the early days of ECMO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}27{:}02{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04{.}169$ there was no evidence to show that

 $00:27:04.169 \rightarrow 00:27:05.808$ they were less likely to survive ECMO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}27{:}05{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08{.}169$ All the information we had was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:27:08.169 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.050$ as likely to help them as a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:27:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.486$ who was otherwise normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

00:27:12.490 --> 00:27:13.870 Our attitudes about disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}27{:}13.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.905$ was our attitude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:27:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.390$ perhaps about the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:27:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.870$ rights at his side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

00:27:17.870 --> 00:27:18.755 I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

00:27:18.755 --> 00:27:20.230 but I think our attitudes

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}27{:}20{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21{.}908$ and values influence where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

00:27:21.908 --> 00:27:23.608 locate things on that line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}27{:}23.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.507$ and that should come with a caution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}27{:}25{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27{.}838$ And it should come with a caution because

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}27{:}27{.}838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29{.}793$ sometimes our attitudes and values are

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:27:29.793 \rightarrow 00:27:31.761$ also really our biases or prejudices,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.522$ and some of them are enlightened
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:33.522 \rightarrow 00:27:35.229$ and some of them are not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.040$ So we have to be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.881$ We have to really stop and ask
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00{:}27{:}37.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.865$ ourselves if I don't consider this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00{:}27{:}39.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.127$ person a candidate for this treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.387$ but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00{:}27{:}42.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.929$ This other person is what's the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:43.929 \rightarrow 00:27:45.981$ difference and is it a morally relevant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:45.981 \rightarrow 00:27:47.516$ difference between these two people?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- 00:27:47.520 --> 00:27:48.420 And I think in general,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.820$ if we're trying to find the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.420$ difference largely it should
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00{:}27{:}52{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54{.}566$ be a difference in prognosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00{:}27{:}54.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.746$ OK, prognosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

- $00:27:55.746 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.334$ feasibility,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:27:56.334 \rightarrow 00:27:58.900$ relevant rights and also with a caution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00{:}27{:}58{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01{.}492$ Our attitudes and values will locate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:01.492 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.664$ where a proposed treatment or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00{:}28{:}03.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.896$ screening test falls on that scale.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:05.900 \rightarrow 00:28:07.250$ How might we get it wrong?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:07.250 \rightarrow 00:28:08.797$ We might get it wrong when we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:08.797 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.970$ not honest with ourselves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- 00:28:09.970 --> 00:28:11.770 This is, of course, William Shakespeare,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:11.770 \rightarrow 00:28:13.525$ who in one of his plays when the old
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00{:}28{:}13.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.380$ man sends his son out into the world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.910$ He says this above all else
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.449$ to thine own self be true.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.256$ The one Shakespeare play or read in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:20.256 \rightarrow 00:28:22.268$ high school to the known self be true.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846
- $00:28:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.516$ Then it must follow as the night.

00:28:23.520 --> 00:28:24.990 Today though cannot be false

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.166$ to any other man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}801$ So we've got to be honest about

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:27.801 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.268$ what the data show and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:29.268 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.684$ the limits of the data are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:30.690 \rightarrow 00:28:32.260$ First, we're honest with ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

00:28:32.260 - 00:28:33.556 Do we really know what would

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

00:28:33.556 --> 00:28:35.042 happen if we gave this treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:35.042 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.740$ if we didn't give this treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

00:28:36.740 --> 00:28:38.696 do we really know the likelihood

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:38.696 \rightarrow 00:28:39.348$ of catastrophe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

00:28:39.350 --> 00:28:40.660 If we don't do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:40.660 \rightarrow 00:28:43.140$ we gotta be honest with ourselves about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}28{:}43{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44{.}904$ We also have to think about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:44.904 \rightarrow 00:28:46.614$ risk for injustice that could come

 $00:28:46.614 \rightarrow 00:28:48.109$ from the savviness requirement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00{:}28{:}48.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49.820$ by the sadness requirement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:49.820 \rightarrow 00:28:52.016$ What I mean is that if we say well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:52.020 \rightarrow 00:28:53.360$ I'm willing to offer something

NOTE Confidence: 0.980288926153846

 $00:28:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.109$ to someone if they ask for it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}024$ but I would never offered to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:28:57.024 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.119$ them if they don't ask for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:28:59.120 \rightarrow 00:29:00.772$ That means that the people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}00{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02{.}378$ savvy enough to know as an option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.820$ perhaps they have a relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}03.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.260$ in the health care business.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:29:05.260 --> 00:29:06.700 Perhaps they're very skilled

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}06{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07{.}780$ with the Internet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:07.780 \rightarrow 00:29:09.412$ They're given more options than people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}09{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11{.}326$ who don't have friends or family in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:11.326 \rightarrow 00:29:13.139$ healthcare and aren't good with the Internet,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00:29:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.498$ and that seems an injustice to me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}14.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.570$ To treat these people differently,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- 00:29:15.570 -> 00:29:17.290 to give them different options
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}17{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19{.}700$ based on their degree of savviness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- 00:29:19.700 --> 00:29:21.884 This is a couple of ways we could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}21.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.058$ get this ethical reasoning wrong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00:29:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.684$ But how we do this and how we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}25.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.027$ create these sorts of things is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}27.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.653$ that we need to function as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}28.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.099$ team and think ahead when we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}30{.}099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31{.}386$ deciding about a specific treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}31{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33{.}332$ and so I would say we need
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00:29:33.332 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.810$ to understand the data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}34.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.868$ including all the failures of the data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00{:}29{:}36{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38{.}179$ and we've done this in our division
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}38{.}179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39{.}577$ on a couple different issues and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}39{.}577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41{.}210$ takes a long time and it's hard work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.464$ We need to get together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:42.464 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.490$ we don't just say OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}43{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44{.}764$ So what do we want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:44.764 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.749$ about children at 22 weeks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:29:45.750 - 00:29:47.397 What do we want to do about trisomy 13?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:47.400 \rightarrow 00:29:48.569$ Let me see you show a hands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}48.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.730$ OK, this is what we're going to do now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.210$ I don't think that's adequate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.398$ I think we need to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:29:53.398 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.190$ work through the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}54{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56{.}272$ Strengths and weaknesses we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}56{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58{.}055$ consider the relevant rights and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}58.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59.730$ obligations and the relevant laws

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}29{:}59{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01{.}770$ we need to make a fair and feasible

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00:30:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.789$ plan prior to the crisis or conflict.

 $00:30:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.369$ We don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}04{.}369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05{.}527$ Wait till it's midnight and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:05.527 \rightarrow 00:30:06.589$ mother is refusing something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}06{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09{.}037$ We need to make a plan ahead of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}09{.}037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11{.}480$ And we need to recognize the need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.664$ for consensus, which is to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:13.664 \rightarrow 00:30:14.748$ we can't say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:30:14.750 --> 00:30:16.970 Well, you know on Tuesday night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.834$ Steve would say he has to get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.704$ But on Wednesday night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}19{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21{.}000$ Mark would say the kid doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.640$ have to get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:30:21.640 --> 00:30:23.120 And then on Thursday morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:30:23.120 --> 00:30:23.384 uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692 00:30:25.232 --> 00:30:26.928 now the kid should get it. NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:30:23.384 --> 00:30:25.232 now Naomi comes in and she says

 $00{:}30{:}26{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28{.}962$ Should we can't function like that as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:28.962 \rightarrow 00:30:31.468$ team if we're all sharing the same patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.716$ We've got to get ourselves on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:30:32.716 --> 00:30:34.084 same page and we've got to agree NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:30:34.084 --> 00:30:35.266 that we're going to work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}35{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36{.}494$ to come to some consensus about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:36.494 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.343$ what our approach is going to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}38{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40{.}098$ whether it's vitamin K administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}40{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42{.}315$ Or resuscitation at 22 weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:42.315 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.530$ or whatever the question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}44{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46{.}266$ We also have to see that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}46.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.496$ occasionally a need to deviate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:47.496 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.216$ from the plan once we make a plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:49.220 \rightarrow 00:30:51.380$ Sometimes we may want to deviate from it,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692
- $00:30:51.380 \rightarrow 00:30:53.984$ but again, there's a risk for injustice.

 $00{:}30{:}53{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54{.}890$ Here's what we're going to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.638$ but you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:55.638 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.199$ In this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}30{:}56{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}57{.}982$ I'm going to give these parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:57.982 \rightarrow 00:30:59.424$ more latitude. Well, why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:30:59.424 \rightarrow 00:31:00.971$ Is it because they look more like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00:31:00.971 \rightarrow 00:31:02.357$ my family than someone else is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:31:02.360 --> 00:31:02.591 Family?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

 $00{:}31{:}02{.}591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03{.}977$ Is it because these parents seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876692

00:31:03.977 --> 00:31:05.340 to me to be very kind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:05.340 \dashrightarrow 00:31:06.770$ whereas other parents were rude?

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.218$ So that doesn't mean that the parents who I

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:09.218 \rightarrow 00:31:11.277$ perceive as rude should have fewer options

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.485$ so we can call an audible if you will.

 $00:31:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.780$ But we have to be careful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.372$ Because there's a real

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

00:31:16.372 --> 00:31:17.964 risk for injustice there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.275$ Well, this is the fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:19.275 \dashrightarrow 00:31:21.229$ question I want to talk about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:21.230 \rightarrow 00:31:22.718$ This is where this is where we're going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.360$ What about newborn screening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00{:}31{:}24{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26{.}410$ We screen these children for

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00{:}31{:}26{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28{.}622$ rare but potentially serious

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:28.622 \rightarrow 00:31:30.350$ potentially lethal disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00{:}31{:}30{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32{.}450$ What about vitamin K administration?

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:32.450 \rightarrow 00:31:35.294$ We give vitamin K to every baby to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:35.294 \rightarrow 00:31:37.020$ hemorrhagic disease of the newborn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

00:31:37.020 --> 00:31:38.780 Sometimes parents refuse it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.980$ Jasprit may comment on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:31:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.560$ Others may comment on it.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:31:42.560 00:31:44.260 What about a sepsis evaluation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:31:44.260 --> 00:31:45.280 for risk factors?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:31:45.280 \rightarrow 00:31:47.530$ This is really interesting because.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:31:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.060$ This is what provoked this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:31:49.060 --> 00:31:50.284 conversation to begin with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:31:50.290 \dashrightarrow 00:31:51.766$ where we have a child who,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:31:51.770 --> 00:31:52.796 by our calculations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}31{:}52.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.601$ the risk of early onset seps is high
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:31:55.601 \rightarrow 00:31:58.155$ enough that we want to draw blood culture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:31:58.160 --> 00:31:58.281 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:31:58.281 \rightarrow 00:31:59.007$ what does it have to be?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}31{:}59{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01{.}458$ It has to be better by our protocol and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:01.458 \rightarrow 00:32:03.716$ commonly accepted protocols in the country.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.483$ If the chance of sepsis is greater than 1000,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:06.490 \rightarrow 00:32:07.606$ we're going to draw blood culture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:07.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.068$ If it's greater than three and

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:09.068 \rightarrow 00:32:10.532$ 1000 and someone correct me if

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

00:32:10.532 --> 00:32:11.637 I've got these numbers wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:11.640 \rightarrow 00:32:12.750$ we're going to give antibiotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:12.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.286$ We get the blood culture and

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00{:}32{:}14.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.647$ give antibiotics for a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

00:32:15.647 -> 00:32:17.327 days and watch this kid closely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:17.330 \rightarrow 00:32:18.400$ Now it's an interesting question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00{:}32{:}18{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20{.}066$ If there's a three and 1000 chance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:20.070 \rightarrow 00:32:21.210$ what if the parents say no?

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:21.210 \dashrightarrow 00:32:23.466$ I don't want those antibiotics for my child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.590$ and we say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.578$ this is serious business right there as

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:26.578 \rightarrow 00:32:28.349$ early onset sepsis can kill a child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00{:}32{:}28{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29{.}565$ We've seen it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:32:29.565 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.780$ We know this.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.328$ This is a very high degree
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:32:32.328 --> 00:32:33.880 of harm if it occurs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:32:33.880 --> 00:32:36.706 but there's a low risk of the adverse event,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.970$ and that's what makes it tricky.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.784$ When we were questioning people about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}32{:}40.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42.300$ antibiotics, I mean the thing we got.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:32:42.300 --> 00:32:42.543 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}32{:}42{.}543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44{.}244$ you're trying to prevent a very serious,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.282$ potentially fatal illness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:32:45.282 --> 00:32:45.626 Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}32{:}45.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}48.339$ but at some point at some point does
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}32{:}48{.}339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}50{.}376$ the low risk of that adverse event
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:50.376 \rightarrow 00:32:52.510$ way into our decision about whether
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:52.510 \rightarrow 00:32:54.518$ it should be advisable or obligatory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:54.518 \rightarrow 00:32:55.963$ We're trying to find that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

- $00:32:55.963 \rightarrow 00:32:57.230$ now highlighted in yellow,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}32{:}57{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}930$ that threshold between advisable
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:58.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.780$ and obligatory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:32:59.780 \rightarrow 00:33:01.556$ Certainly we all advise vitamin K.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:33:01.560 --> 00:33:02.784 Prophylaxis for hemorrhagic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:33:02.784 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.416$ disease of the newborn.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:33:04.420 --> 00:33:04.972 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}33{:}04{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06{.}684$ fewer than 1% of those kids are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}33{:}06{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08{.}010$ going to get hemorrhagic disease of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:33:08.060 \rightarrow 00:33:10.040$ the newborn if they don't get it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:33:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.240$ but we feel that that risk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:33:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.768$ you know, should we.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- 00:33:12.768 00:33:14.296 Should it be obligatory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:33:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.988$ we've got to try and define what that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00{:}33{:}16.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.871$ threshold should be for these things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:33:18.871 \rightarrow 00:33:21.339$ and for any other tests we want to do.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396
- $00:33:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.600$ So that's the simple man.

00:33:23.600 --> 00:33:24.371 A simple question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

00:33:24.371 --> 00:33:26.198 and here's what I think I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00{:}33{:}26.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.350$ as we as we move across that line

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

00:33:28.419 --> 00:33:30.279 from advisable to obligatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:33:30.280 \dashrightarrow 00:33:32.464$ that's going to be determined by the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00:33:32.464 \dashrightarrow 00:33:34.516$ or burden of the screen or treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

00:33:34.520 --> 00:33:34.858 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988557396

 $00{:}33{:}34.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.900$ if it's a new born screen or a sepsis screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:33:37.900 --> 00:33:39.192 or giving vitamin K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}33{:}39{.}192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42{.}350$ If there's a risk or burden to the treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}33{:}42.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.250$ the more the risk gets, the less likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:33:44.250 - 00:33:46.410 it is that it's going to be obligatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}33{:}46{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47{.}940$ The severity of harm if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}33{:}47{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49{.}839$ don't do it as that goes up,

 $00:33:49.840 \rightarrow 00:33:51.720$ that's going to move things to the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}33{:}51.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.648$ OK, and of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:33:52.648 \dashrightarrow 00:33:54.340$ as the severity of harm gets work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:33:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.367$ it goes to the right and X&Y.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:33:56.367 --> 00:33:57.849 I mean, you could just say

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:33:57.849 \rightarrow 00:33:59.498$ if we looked at this simply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:33:59.500 - 00:34:02.034 we'd say well, how obligatory is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:34:02.040 \rightarrow 00:34:04.850$ Well, that comes with an answer of y -- X.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:34:04.850 \rightarrow 00:34:07.460$ If this is really bad harm that could come,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:34:07.460 -> 00:34:09.630 then it becomes more obligatory if

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}34{:}09{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11{.}520$ the risk or burden of the screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:34:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.959$ or treatment is really high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:34:12.960 --> 00:34:14.380 It becomes less obligatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:34:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.510$ but importantly in the thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}34{:}16{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18{.}496$ gets lost in these conversations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:34:18.500 - 00:34:19.976 Is there something else,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:34:19.976 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.821$ and that's the likelihood of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:34:21.821 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.407$ harm if we don't do it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}34{:}23{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26{.}245$ Consider if I have a screening test for a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}34{:}26{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28{.}774$ disorder and if I find it I can prevent it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}34{:}28.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30.420$ and if I told you that if I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:34:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.687$ could prevent it if I find it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:34:31.690 \rightarrow 00:34:33.930$ If I do the screen test one out of 10 kids,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}34{:}33{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35{.}690$ I'm going to find a fatal problem and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}34{:}35{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37{.}355$ I'm going to be able to prevent it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- 00:34:37.360 --> 00:34:38.809 I think you would say to me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:34:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.256$ wow, you should have to do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}34{:}40{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41{.}360$ Even if parents refuse it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:34:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.005$ One of the ten chance you could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- 00:34:43.005 --> 00:34:44.692 save this kid's life by doing this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:34:44.692 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.548$ screen you should do it one at a 10.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:34:46.548 --> 00:34:46.720 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:34:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.480$ so now if I say as a one out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:34:48.543 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.217$ 10 million chance that I could

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:34:50.217 \rightarrow 00:34:52.283$ save this child by doing this now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:34:52.283 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.252$ does that affect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}34{:}53.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.190$ I think that affects where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}34{:}55{.}257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56{.}747$ locate this on the line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:34:56.750 \rightarrow 00:34:58.654$ We're going to try and find some kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}34{:}58.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01.058$ of a threshold now as a case in point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:01.060 \rightarrow 00:35:03.376$ we went through this analysis here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}35{:}03{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05{.}170$ A few of us here not long ago and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:05.225 \dashrightarrow 00:35:07.019$ published it just earlier this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.464$ The Journal of Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}35{:}08{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11{.}590$ Babies who are at risk for hypoglycemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:35:11.590 --> 00:35:12.331 low blood sugar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:12.331 \rightarrow 00:35:14.424$ and they can be at risk because the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:35:14.424 \rightarrow 00:35:15.944$ mother had gestational diabetes or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}35{:}15{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17{.}993$ because the kids are very small or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- 00:35:17.993 > 00:35:19.406 very large, or some other things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}35{:}19{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21{.}629$ Kids who are at risk but are asymptomatic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:35:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.407$ they look beautiful,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:35:22.407 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.961$ but we know they're at higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- 00:35:23.961 --> 00:35:25.069 risk for hypoglycemia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:35:25.070 \rightarrow 00:35:28.045$ We advise we advise a specific screening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- 00:35:28.050 00:35:29.676 We check their blood sugar hourly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:35:29.676 \rightarrow 00:35:31.350$ or periodically as we go along
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:35:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.690$ we monitor their blood sugar.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:35:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.908$ We poke their heal a little bit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00:35:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.734$ we sample some blood and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}35{:}35{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36{.}950$ check their blood sugar.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692
- $00{:}35{:}36{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39{.}274$ The question was raised now last year.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

00:35:39.280 --> 00:35:39.657 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:39.657 \rightarrow 00:35:41.542$ what happens when parents refuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:41.542 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.272$ that screen and so we did?

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:43.272 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.758$ An analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:43.760 \dashrightarrow 00:35:45.416$ Let's really take a look at the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:45.420 \rightarrow 00:35:47.002$ How likely is it that we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:47.002 \rightarrow 00:35:47.680$ to prevent harm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:47.680 \rightarrow 00:35:49.726$ We all know that severe hypoglycemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00{:}35{:}49.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51.600$ can lead to brain damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:51.600 \rightarrow 00:35:52.952$ seizures, and brain damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:52.952 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.966$ That's serious business.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:53.970 \rightarrow 00:35:54.143$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985690532307692

 $00:35:54.143 \rightarrow 00:35:55.700$ how likely is it going to be if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:35:55.745 \rightarrow 00:35:57.065$ have an asymptomatic newborn that

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:35:57.065 \rightarrow 00:35:58.820$ we're going to prevent that you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:35:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.710$ How good is our screen?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.200$ How good is our treatment?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:02.200 \dashrightarrow 00:36:04.279$ We went through all these data and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:04.279 \rightarrow 00:36:05.800$ essentially what we determined in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:05.800 \rightarrow 00:36:07.240$ analysis and it's published in JPS.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- 00:36:07.240 --> 00:36:10.050 If you'd like to read it was you know what?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:10.050 \rightarrow 00:36:12.250$ Then the screening for hypoglycemia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.738$ This reaches what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:13.738 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.226$ consider to be advisable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.546$ Yeah, we think it's a good idea.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.366$ We recommend it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- 00:36:17.366 --> 00:36:19.610 This is our standard care in our unit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00{:}36{:}19.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21.360$ and I think pretty much throughout the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00:36:21.360 \dashrightarrow 00:36:23.297$ country for kids at risk for hypoglycemia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- 00:36:23.300 --> 00:36:23.975 But in fact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727
- $00{:}36{:}23.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.550$ if you really look at the data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:25.603 \rightarrow 00:36:27.328$ and consider the relevant rights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}36{:}27{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28{.}930$ which is to say at some point the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.283$ parents have a right to control

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:30.283 \rightarrow 00:36:31.418$ what happens to their child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

00:36:31.420 --> 00:36:31.946 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}36{:}31{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33{.}787$ there's not enough data to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:33.787 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.568$ this should be able to get or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:35.568 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.411$ in the case of neonatal screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}36{:}37{.}411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39{.}341$ for neonatal hypoglycemia in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}36{:}39{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41{.}128$ asymptomatic baby, I want to emphasize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}36{:}41.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.201$ This isn't a child who's jittery or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:43.201 \rightarrow 00:36:44.979$ is having seizures or something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:44.980 \dashrightarrow 00:36:46.500$ This account is perfectly looks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.202$ looks perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:47.202 \rightarrow 00:36:50.008$ We would say that yes, it's advisable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:50.008 \rightarrow 00:36:51.580$ but not obligatory.

 $00{:}36{:}51{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52{.}760$ That's one case in point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

00:36:52.760 -> 00:36:54.176 Now you could look at the same data

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}36{:}54{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55{.}627$ and come to a different conclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:36:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.306$ Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}36{:}56{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58{.}334$ it's invariably has to be subjective

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}36{:}58{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}554$ 'cause we have to figure out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:00.554 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.835$ that threshold is going to be or

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:02.835 \rightarrow 00:37:04.299$ advisable and obligatory cross.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}04{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05{.}872$ And I think that should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:05.872 \dashrightarrow 00:37:07.564$ determined by the risk or burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:07.564 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.019$ of the Screener treatment X.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

00:37:09.020 --> 00:37:11.856 The severity of harm if not done, why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}11.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.600$ And the likelihood of harm if not done so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

00:37:15.600 --> 00:37:17.136 It's not just how bad is

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}17.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.620$ hemorrhagic disease of the new born,

 $00:37:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.668$ but how likely is it if we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

00:37:20.668 --> 00:37:22.578 give vitamin K are or how not just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:22.578 \rightarrow 00:37:24.629$ question of how bad is early onset sepsis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.932$ But how likely is it if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:25.932 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.169$ don't give the blood culture?

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}27{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29{.}122$ If we don't do the blood culture and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}29{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31{.}228$ give the antibiotics and a final point

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:31.228 \rightarrow 00:37:34.660$ about this is the importance of coherence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}34.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.130$ And as we think about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.340$ rather than think about each

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

00:37:38.340 --> 00:37:39.666 specific screen independently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.462$ I think we should start by having

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:41.462 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.000$ a more global conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}43.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.241$ And and what I mean by coherence is if

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}45{.}241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47{.}544$ we say if we have one thing that's got

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}47{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50{.}074$ a 100 chance of preventing disaster and

 $00:37:50.074 \rightarrow 00:37:53.316$ we and we allow the parents to opt out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.520$ But we have something else

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.113$ that's got a one in 1000%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}37{:}56.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.078$ one in a thousand chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:58.078 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.257$ of preventing disaster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:37:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.725$ And we don't allow parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:38:00.725 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.190$ to opt out of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}38{:}02{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03{.}910$ That does not seem coherent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:38:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.464$ I think we've got to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:38:05.464 \rightarrow 00:38:07.165$ get a handle roughly on where

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:38:07.165 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.465$ that threshold should be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:38:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.120$ and then apply that thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}38{:}10{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11{.}770$ to each screen in turn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

00:38:11.770 --> 00:38:13.560 Because it seems unfair to

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}38{:}13.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.350$ say to one parent listen,

 $00{:}38{:}15{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16{.}582$ we have to do this because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00{:}38{:}16{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18{.}096$ a one in 1000 chance it's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:38:18.096 \rightarrow 00:38:19.613$ help your kid and to say to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984230612272727

 $00:38:19.613 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.845$ parent in the next room while we

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}38{:}20.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.872$ recommend we do this 'cause there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:38:22.872 --> 00:38:24.750 a 100% chance it could save your kid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:38:24.750 --> 00:38:26.450 but we're not going to do it if you insist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:26.450 \rightarrow 00:38:29.404$ if you refuse, will respect that refusal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}38{:}29{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32{.}170$ We need coherence in our approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:38:32.170 --> 00:38:33.380 So what do you think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:33.380 \dashrightarrow 00:38:35.830$ What other factors have I not considered?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.460$ Who should participate in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.090$ discussion in the decision tonight?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:38:39.090 - 00:38:40.674 I want all of you to participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}38{:}40.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.124$ the discussion because this is a real

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:42.124 \rightarrow 00:38:43.404$ problem that we're trying to sort

 $00{:}38{:}43{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44{.}760$ through the the clinical leadership of

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}38{:}44.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.675$ our NICU here at Yale and Casey guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:38:46.675 --> 00:38:48.925 on Steve Peterich are the ones who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:48.925 \rightarrow 00:38:50.520$ who have instigated this conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:50.520 \rightarrow 00:38:52.424$ largely and and we want to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}38{:}52{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54{.}733$ to at some point give some guidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:54.733 \rightarrow 00:38:57.238$ to the whole neonatology division.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.996$ And what else?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:57.996 \rightarrow 00:38:59.508$ What else should go into this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:38:59.510 \rightarrow 00:39:01.568$ UM, who should be making this decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:01.570 \rightarrow 00:39:04.906$ and what else should we be thinking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}04{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06{.}524$ Aside from just thinking that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:06.524 \dashrightarrow 00:39:08.539$ all wish we were on Block Island,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}08{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12{.}108$ I guess that a final point I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}12.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.656$ make is that we are moral agents.

 $00:39:15.660 \rightarrow 00:39:18.558$ We are responsible for what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:39:18.558 --> 00:39:20.007 in our hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}20.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23.130$ And sometimes we have to insist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.726$ And sometimes we have to refuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.630$ which is to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}25{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26{.}980$ sometimes we have to seek to

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:27.030 \dashrightarrow 00:39:28.580$ over rule what the parents want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.400$ And I think that sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}30{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31{.}128$ that's appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}31{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33{.}354$ But it needs to be based on something

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:33.354 \rightarrow 00:39:35.154$ more than because we say so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}35{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37{.}450$ We need to do better than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}37{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{.}39{.}536$ So with that I want to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:39.536 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.430$ this wonderful panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.050$ We've managed to assemble here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:39:43.050 - 00:39:45.570 so I'm going to stop sharing this.

00:39:45.570 --> 00:39:48.384 And introduce you to my friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}48{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51{.}077$ and colleague Dr Jaspreet loyal a

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:51.077 \rightarrow 00:39:53.226$ jaspreet is a pediatric hospital is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:39:53.226 \rightarrow 00:39:54.802$ associate professor in the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}54.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.916$ of Pediatrics at Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}56{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58{.}776$ She has served in the past as medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}39{:}58.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00{.}319$ director for our well new born unit

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}134$ here at the L Children's Hospital and

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:40:02.134 --> 00:40:03.990 for the past four years she's been the

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:40:03.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.650$ medical director of the inpatient unit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00:40:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.685$ She's currently the chief of

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}40{:}08.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.313$ our Hospital Medicine division.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:40:10.320 --> 00:40:12.605 She's a graduate of Saint

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

00:40:12.605 --> 00:40:14.433 Catherine University in Minnesota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429

 $00{:}40{:}14.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.095$ Received a degree in epidemiology

- $00:40:16.095 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.757$ at Stanford.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429
- $00{:}40{:}16.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.932$ She graduated the Medical College of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429
- $00{:}40{:}18{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21{.}295$ Wisconsin and did her residency at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429
- 00:40:21.295 --> 00:40:23.196 Weill Cornell, New York Presbyterian.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429
- $00{:}40{:}23.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.660$ Jasprit knows a thing or two about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429
- $00{:}40{:}25.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}27.579$ many things to do with babies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429
- $00:40:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.212$ and so I really appreciate her
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429
- 00:40:29.212 --> 00:40:30.876 thoughts on what I just said
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429
- $00:40:30.876 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.418$ and on the problem at hand.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987056658571429
- $00:40:32.420 \rightarrow 00:40:35.170$ So with that jaspreet, I turn it over to you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- 00:40:37.510 --> 00:40:40.318 Thanks, Mark, thank you so much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00:40:40.318 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.158$ for the invitation and it's very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00:40:43.158 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.780$ difficult to follow you and I.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- 00:40:45.780 --> 00:40:48.120 I really appreciate you setting up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00{:}40{:}48{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50{.}736$ the framework I I think what I have to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00:40:50.736 \rightarrow 00:40:52.569$ offer here is a different perspective.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00:40:52.570 \rightarrow 00:40:56.626$ As someone who's not an expert in bioethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00{:}40{:}56.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.388$ nor is a neonatologist my I've been.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- 00:41:00.388 --> 00:41:02.390 I've been at Yale for 10 years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00:41:02.390 \rightarrow 00:41:05.506$ and my first five years I was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00:41:05.506 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.396$ the medical director of the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- 00:41:07.400 -> 00:41:09.572 Newborn nursery and which is now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00:41:09.572 \rightarrow 00:41:11.928$ in the very capable hands of my.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- 00:41:11.930 --> 00:41:16.690 My UM. Colleague Dr Arizona.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00{:}41{:}16.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20.047$ And what I wanted to share with you was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00:41:20.050 \rightarrow 00:41:22.822$ my my personal journey through dealing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00:41:22.822 \rightarrow 00:41:25.890$ with some of these refusal issues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00{:}41{:}25{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29{.}466$ that in part began with my role here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00{:}41{:}29{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}477$ as the the nursery Medical director.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- 00:41:33.480 --> 00:41:35.252 So as Mark said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364
- $00{:}41{:}35{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37{.}024$ I did my training.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

00:41:37.030 --> 00:41:38.920 In Pediatrics in New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:41:38.920 \rightarrow 00:41:41.986$ and that will become relevant momentarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}41{:}41{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44{.}816$ and I first encountered vitamin K

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:41:44.816 \rightarrow 00:41:48.128$ refusal in the newborn nursery at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:41:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.225$ And I had many mentors in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:41:52.225 \rightarrow 00:41:55.450$ section of general Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:41:55.450 \rightarrow 00:41:58.714$ A couple of them were sort of instrumental

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:41:58.714 \rightarrow 00:42:02.350$ in pushing me to investigate this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}42{:}02{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05{.}490$ Observation one was Brian Forsythe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}42{:}05{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09{.}482$ who told me to be curious and investigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}42{:}09{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12{.}620$ what bothered me and eat Colson who

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:12.620 \rightarrow 00:42:16.220$ told me that the nursery is my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:16.220 \rightarrow 00:42:18.988$ So that's where I started and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}42{:}18{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21{.}118$ I know that there's there's a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:21.118 \rightarrow 00:42:23.706$ folks in the audience who are neonatologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.086$ but the bulk of newborns are in the well,

 $00:42:26.090 \rightarrow 00:42:30.696$ newborn nursery and across our health system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.998$ In four of our main sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:33.000 \rightarrow 00:42:35.920$ In a year, there's approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.840$ 10,000 newborns that are delivered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:38.840 \rightarrow 00:42:41.780$ So when I started it at in my role at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

00:42:41.780 --> 00:42:44.428 I saw Vitamin K refusal here and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}42{:}44{.}428$ --> $00{:}42{:}46{.}701$ and it was extremely stressful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}42{:}46.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.067$ me as well as the nurses and took a

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

00:42:50.067 --> 00:42:52.384 lot of time sometimes over an hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

00:42:52.390 --> 00:42:54.730 and I felt inadequately prepared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

00:42:54.730 --> 00:42:55.510 Part of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}42{:}55{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}070$ and I'm hoping that we'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.630$ about this with the panelists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:42:58.630 \rightarrow 00:43:00.574$ is that in the state of New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}00{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03{.}088$ there is a state mandate that

00:43:03.088 --> 00:43:04.990 you cannot refuse vitamin K,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}04{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07{.}006$ and that is not the case in Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

00:43:07.010 --> 00:43:10.370 And maybe that's why I saw more of it here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.370$ So my first call of action was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}13.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.995$ determine how big of a problem is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:15.995 \rightarrow 00:43:18.810$ and so just like any other researcher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}18{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20{.}994$ Did a chart review of our new borns

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:20.994 \rightarrow 00:43:23.160$ to determine the extent of refusals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.920$ because every one felt like a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

00:43:25.920 --> 00:43:28.405 But when you actually looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

00:43:28.405 --> 00:43:32.205 data at Yale of all of our deliveries

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}32{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35{.}881$ about point path .5% of parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}35{.}881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38{.}589$ refused intramuscular vitamin K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:38.590 \rightarrow 00:43:40.526$ So I thought this is a problem just

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:40.526 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.270$ at Yale or it's just more pervasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.914$ And again,

 $00:43:43.914 \rightarrow 00:43:45.524$ with the tremendous mentor ship

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:45.524 \rightarrow 00:43:46.920$ in the Department of Gen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:46.920 \rightarrow 00:43:48.732$ Peeves and some nudging from my

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:48.732 \rightarrow 00:43:51.088$ friend out of Fenwick by out did the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}51.088$ --> $00{:}43{:}53.413$ same study in a network of newborn NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00:43:53.413 \rightarrow 00:43:55.517$ nurseries through the Academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}55{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57{.}621$ Pediatric Association and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}57{.}621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59{.}944$ that their refusal rate was not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}43{:}59{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02{.}412$ different than what I found at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984875364

 $00{:}44{:}02{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03{.}810$ It was around

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:44:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.959$.6%, but in some places as high as 2%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

00:44:07.960 --> 00:44:11.356 So. Again, going back to Ventureship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:44:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.894$ which is so critical in in everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00{:}44{:}13.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16.573$ that we do, EVE suggested that

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

00:44:16.573 --> 00:44:19.228 I do some qualitative research,

 $00:44:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.100$ so one of my first one of my first studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

00:44:23.100 --> 00:44:24.312 In qualitative research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:44:24.312 \rightarrow 00:44:27.140$ was in the newborn nursery where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:44:27.212 \rightarrow 00:44:29.626$ interviewed mothers of infants who did

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:44:29.626 \rightarrow 00:44:32.430$ not get vitamin K and to ask them why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00{:}44{:}32{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34{.}590$ And I partnered with a colleague at UC NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00{:}44{:}34{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36{.}709$ Davis who did the same in California.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:44:36.710 \rightarrow 00:44:39.447$ Together we found four main themes when

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:44:39.447 \rightarrow 00:44:42.950$ speaking with these families first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:44:42.950 \rightarrow 00:44:46.046$ The this idea of the risk benefit ratio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:44:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.264$ where the perceived risk to their

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

00:44:48.264 --> 00:44:50.614 newborn in many cases was greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00{:}44{:}50{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53{.}428$ than the benefit and the risk from

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:44:53.428 \rightarrow 00:44:55.353$ preservatives from the dose being

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:44:55.353 \rightarrow 00:44:57.525$ high to some parents thinking that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:44:57.530 \rightarrow 00:44:59.906$ pain from the injection would cause

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00:44:59.906 \rightarrow 00:45:01.995$ their child to develop depression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00:45:01.995 \rightarrow 00:45:04.155$ or anxiety as young adults.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00:45:04.160 \rightarrow 00:45:07.552$ The second was this idea of having a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00{:}45{:}07{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10{.}144$ naturalistic approach and if the mother
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00:45:10.144 \rightarrow 00:45:13.250$ has eight more alfalfa then the baby
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00{:}45{:}13.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.890$ would get vitamin K through the breast milk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- 00:45:16.890 --> 00:45:19.710 Uhm, or taking oral vitamin K,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00:45:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.998$ which is something that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00{:}45{:}20{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22{.}930$ done in Europe all the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00{:}45{:}22{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25{.}458$ So what was what made the standard of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00:45:25.458 \rightarrow 00:45:27.429$ care different in the United States?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00{:}45{:}27{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29{.}586$ Where in Europe or al Vitamin K was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00{:}45{:}29{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31{.}990$ was part of the standard of care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00{:}45{:}31{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34{.}650$ and babies did fine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00{:}45{:}34{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}093$ The third was this idea of placement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

00:45:37.093 - 00:45:38.860 of trust and mistrust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:45:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.150$ where there was mistrust of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

00:45:41.150 -> 00:45:42.206 medical establishments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

00:45:42.206 --> 00:45:43.262 Pharmaceutical companies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:45:43.262 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.430$ trust of self and like minded

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00{:}45{:}46{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48{.}568$ people and the complexity here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00{:}45{:}48.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.762$ Sometimes those like minded

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:45:50.762 \rightarrow 00:45:53.502$ people were pediatricians who also

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00{:}45{:}53.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.786$ felt that the parents autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:45:55.786 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.438$ in this case should be respected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00{:}45{:}58{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00{.}798$ And then the last one was

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:46:00.798 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.370$ being informed by experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:46:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.840$ So are our process here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

00:46:04.840 --> 00:46:05.223 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00{:}46{:}05{.}223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07{.}138$ when I when intramuscular vitamin

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:46:07.138 \rightarrow 00:46:08.670$ K is is administered,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00:46:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.707$ is no one really asks the parent,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00{:}46{:}10.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.660$ it's more of an opt out if you if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00{:}46{:}12.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.750$ come in with a birth plan and you know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00:46:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.774$ that you don't want it for your child,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00:46:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.704$ you'll tell somebody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00{:}46{:}17.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19.860$ But otherwise the baby is born and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00:46:19.915 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.619$ they get their eyes and thighs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00:46:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.708$ which is the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00:46:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.214$ Iris Formation ointment in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00:46:25.214 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.094$ the eye and the injection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00:46:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.782$ Hepatitis B is a different conversation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00{:}46{:}29.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.581$ where verbal consent is obtained and is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00{:}46{:}32{.}581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35{.}550$ a little bit more of more of a process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00{:}46{:}35{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37{.}406$ The next thing I did was ask clinicians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556
- $00{:}46{:}37{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}41{.}362$ so would you give oral vitamin K knowing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:46:41.362 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.610$ that intramuscular is work better

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00{:}46{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.081$ and so we surveyed our own hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:46:46.081 \rightarrow 00:46:48.896$ is here and and neonatologist as well NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:46:48.896 \rightarrow 00:46:51.420$ as at a couple of other institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00{:}46{:}51{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54{.}806$ And we found that over 50% felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

00:46:54.806 --> 00:46:57.946 comfortable prescribing oral vitamin K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00{:}46{:}57{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00{.}610$ The pediatric community is divided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:47:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.045$ Some folks feel that intramuscular

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00{:}47{:}03.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.299$ vitamin K or nothing and others feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00{:}47{:}06{.}299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08{.}897$ that something is better than nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00{:}47{:}08{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12{.}512$ And across all this is maintaining the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00:47:12.512 \rightarrow 00:47:14.980$ therapeutic relationship with the family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

00:47:14.980 --> 00:47:16.877 I was also interested in so I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:47:16.877 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.373$ meeting families for the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632082555556

 $00{:}47{:}18.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.938$ time as a pediatric hospitalist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556

 $00:47:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.908$ but who gets who meets with

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986320825555556
- $00:47:21.908 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.220$ them way before I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:47:23.299 00:47:25.302 do the obstetrician, gynecologist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}47{:}25{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29{.}096$ midwives, and so there is an association
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:47:29.096 --> 00:47:31.770 between going to a midwife and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:47:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.585$ increased refusal rates of standard
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}47{:}34.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36.837$ of common new born interventions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:47:36.840 --> 00:47:40.886 So my colleagues in Iowa and Michigan,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}47{:}40.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42.775$ and I interviewed midwives in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:47:42.775 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.310$ our States and ask them what he?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:47:45.310 --> 00:47:46.780 What do you think? How do you?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:47:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.040$ How do you handle parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}47{:}49{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51{.}300$ who don't want to come?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}47{:}51{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53{.}772$ Do the routine standard of care and so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}47{:}53.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.390$ it was really interesting and what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}47{:}56.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59.051$ found and this is sitting in the with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}47{:}59{.}051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}557$ the Journal of Maternal Child Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:00.560 \rightarrow 00:48:02.674$ For six months we'll see what happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.412$ but they, the midwives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:04.412 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.010$ told us that for them supporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:07.094 \rightarrow 00:48:09.959$ parent decision making authority was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}48{:}09{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11{.}570$ Prime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.186$ They felt that their low intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:14.186 \rightarrow 00:48:15.930$ philosophy attracted certain parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}48{:}15{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17{.}922$ so it wasn't that the midwives

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:17.922 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.250$ were promoting non adherence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

00:48:19.250 --> 00:48:20.770 They were attracting parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.670$ who wanted to do less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}48{:}22.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.650$ Another important theme was was

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:24.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.234$ lack of positive relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:26.234 \rightarrow 00:48:28.218$ between midwives and pediatricians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:48:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.740$ where the midwives felt that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:48:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.260$ pediatricians were all or nothing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:48:31.260 --> 00:48:32.636 If you don't want to vaccinate your child,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}48{:}32{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34{.}048$ don't come to us.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:48:34.048 --> 00:48:36.160 We're not going to meet you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:48:36.238 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.348$ in that that halfway point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:48:38.350 --> 00:48:39.510 And then to Mark's point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:48:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.550$ refusals don't stop at vitamin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:48:41.550 --> 00:48:43.489 K1 of auto repair. My sin does.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}48{:}43{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46{.}353$ Does it make it less problematic that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:48:46.353 \rightarrow 00:48:49.546$ that that is refused for most of us?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:48:49.550 -> 00:48:50.182 I don't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:48:50.182 --> 00:48:52.394 I don't die on that sword because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:48:52.394 \rightarrow 00:48:54.928$ most moms are screened for gonorrhea,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}48{:}54{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55{.}790$ not all.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:48:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.370$ And that's really important to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

00:48:58.370 - > 00:49:01.236 mindful of as gonorrhea rates are

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}49{:}01{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04{.}110$ increasing and moms are screened are

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}49{:}04{.}197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07{.}529$ based on risk behavior in some instances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

00:49:07.530 --> 00:49:09.650 Hepatitis B vaccine is interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:49:09.650 \rightarrow 00:49:12.009$ and my approach with trainees is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}49{:}12.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.289$ are three buckets of approach to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}49{:}14.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.666$ One that the family doesn't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:49:16.666 \rightarrow 00:49:18.530$ what hepatitis B vaccine is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}49{:}18.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.020$ and it's an opportunity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:49:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.212$ us to counsel them to their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}49{:}22{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25{.}188$ They want the hepatitis B vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:49:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.947$ They just don't want it in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:49:26.947 \rightarrow 00:49:28.675$ newborn nursery and they want it

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:49:28.675 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.907$ at their pediatricians office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

00:49:29.910 --> 00:49:31.740 Some folks in my group feels

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:49:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.669$ strongly one way or the other,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:49:33.670 --> 00:49:35.278 but that's one that I don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}49{:}35{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37{.}071$ feel as strongly about the third
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:49:37.071 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.067$ and more problematic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:49:38.070 \rightarrow 00:49:40.430$ Bucket is I don't want hepatitis B vaccine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}49{:}40{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}42{.}663$ I don't want any vaccine and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:49:42.663 --> 00:49:44.377 have a pediatrician that aligns
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:49:44.377 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.107$ with me and that's harder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- 00:49:46.110 --> 00:49:47.738 In addition to hypoglycemia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:49:47.738 \rightarrow 00:49:49.773$ refusal that Mark mentioned there's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:49:49.773 \rightarrow 00:49:51.758$ others that are more problematic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:49:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.284$ so critical congenital
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:49:53.284 \rightarrow 00:49:54.808$ heart screening refusal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}49{:}54.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57.026$ so this is a pre and post ductal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00{:}49{:}57.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59.044$ pulse ox pulse oximeter that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769
- $00:49:59.044 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.900$ we place that's noninvasive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:50:00.900 \rightarrow 00:50:03.366$ a little sticker on the baby's hand and foot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}50{:}03.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05.890$ and parents will refuse that

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:50:05.890 \rightarrow 00:50:08.356$ transcutaneous bilirubin measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

00:50:08.356 --> 00:50:10.000 Hearing screams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}50{:}10.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.842$ I have a colleague at Dartmouth

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}50{:}11.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.875$ who tells me that parents refused

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:50:13.875 \rightarrow 00:50:16.095$ the hearing test because they feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:50:16.095 \rightarrow 00:50:18.049$ that the government is putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}50{:}18.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.969$ things in the baby's brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:50:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.270$ The one particularly challenging one

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:50:22.270 \rightarrow 00:50:24.570$ that my colleague Electrosurgery Kez,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}50{:}24{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26{.}098$ has to deal with when she was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

00:50:26.098 --> 00:50:27.208 medical director at Saint Rayfield,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}50{:}27{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30{.}180$ was refusal of the birth certificate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00{:}50{:}30{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31{.}500$ In that scenario,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984885249230769

 $00:50:31.500 \rightarrow 00:50:34.580$ we involved our social worker DCF team.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00:50:34.580 \rightarrow 00:50:37.638$ And. We let the family go home,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00{:}50{:}37.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.803$ but it was a very very challenging
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00:50:39.803 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.160$ situation that I don't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- 00:50:41.160 --> 00:50:43.015 I still don't feel very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00:50:43.015 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.660$ great about what happened.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00:50:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.358$ And then finally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00:50:47.358 \rightarrow 00:50:50.194$ my colleague at UCSD Michelle lesson.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- 00:50:50.194 -> 00:50:52.750 I just published her a review
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00{:}50{:}52{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55{.}222$ on refusals in the clinics with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00:50:55.222 \rightarrow 00:50:57.166$ parents perinatology and talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00:50:57.166 \rightarrow 00:50:59.110$ about the rapeutic hesitancy and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00{:}50{:}59{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01{.}578$ what we proposed is there is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00{:}51{:}01{.}578$ --> $00{:}51{:}03{.}459$ spectrum of hesitancy and refusal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00{:}51{:}03{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05{.}060$ and it takes a skill set on this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00{:}51{:}05{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07{.}972$ Decide on the side of the provider that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:51:07.972 \rightarrow 00:51:10.350$ includes high emotional intelligence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}51{:}10{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13{.}698$ listening and a lot of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:51:13.700 \dashrightarrow 00:51:16.787$ So a couple of strategies that we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}51{:}16.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20.507$ We propose one is MRQ alluded to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

00:51:20.510 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:51:22.687 This was the the the critical piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}51{:}22.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.658$ of building alliance and how do you NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}51{:}24.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26.486$ do this as a hospitalist when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:51:26.486 \rightarrow 00:51:28.579$ meeting a family for the first time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}51{:}28{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31{.}960$ Thinking about standard scripting and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}51{:}31{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33{.}685$ There's a lot of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}51{:}33.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.065$ in the vaccine literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}51{:}35{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36{.}243$ Hesitancy literature around

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:51:36.243 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.198$ higher success with opt in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}51{:}38{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39{.}880$ which is what we do with vitamin K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:51:39.880 \rightarrow 00:51:42.544$ It's time for your child's vitamin

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

00:51:42.544 --> 00:51:45.358 K injection versus do you want it?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075
- $00:51:45.360 \rightarrow 00:51:48.480$ Also important is displaying curiosity.

 $00:51:48.480 \rightarrow 00:51:51.210$ Tell me why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:51:51.210 \rightarrow 00:51:53.870$ And determining who is the decision maker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:51:53.870 \rightarrow 00:51:54.918$ Sometimes it's some other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:51:54.918 \rightarrow 00:51:56.490$ and sometimes there are other people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:51:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.970$ so you have to determine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

00:51:59.970 --> 00:52:03.438 Who's going to make that decision?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}03.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04.754$ Make the recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:04.754 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.382$ Meet the family where they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}07{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09{.}760$ but the other important thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:09.760 \dashrightarrow 00:52:12.298$ persistence and the value of the nudge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}12{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14{.}748$ I felt like at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}14.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.900$ almost distraught and defeated when

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}16{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20{.}034$ a family refused Vitamin K and I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}20{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22{.}498$ felt like I failed the the child.

 $00{:}52{:}22{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25{.}092$ But what I felt what I know now

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:25.092 \rightarrow 00:52:27.696$ after years is by planting that seed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

00:52:27.700 --> 00:52:28.900 And going in the next day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:28.900 \rightarrow 00:52:31.028$ I may have opened the door a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}31{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}715$ bit for the next person that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:32.715 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.850$ comes in to talk to the family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.550$ When does refusal cause harm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.196$ And parents have the right to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}40{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41{.}960$ decline many medical interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}42.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}43.477$ and and we love them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:43.480 \rightarrow 00:52:46.420$ The challenge and the challenge around

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}46{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}50{.}215$ laws and and that and mandates in states NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

00:52:50.215 --> 00:52:53.980 is tricky because as I said in New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.364$ Vitamin K is mandated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}52{:}55{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}909$ but I have colleagues there who deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:52:57.909 \dashrightarrow 00:52:59.889$ with this problem still because.

00:52:59.890 --> 00:53:01.978 Do you report to DCF and is DCF going

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}01{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04{.}196$ to remove the child just because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

00:53:04.196 --> 00:53:06.700 family didn't get the vitamin K injection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:53:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.540$ And then the last point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:53:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.102$ I'll say two quick points why we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}10.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.958$ did develop a refusal form at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:53:12.960 \rightarrow 00:53:15.408$ Does that actually change parents mind?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:53:15.410 \rightarrow 00:53:16.184$ In my opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}16.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.442$ no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}16{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19{.}574$ I think we do it more to document that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}19{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22{.}440$ done the education and there's value in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}22{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24{.}246$ But the other important piece is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}24{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25{.}809$ we're training the next generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}25{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28{.}000$ So thinking about how we handle

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:53:28.000 \rightarrow 00:53:29.970$ refusals and how we teach,

 $00:53:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.986$ how we teach our trainees to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}31{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34{.}384$ talk to families, develop trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:53:34.384 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.460$ This is key,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:53:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.086$ so I'll stop there and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

00:53:38.086 --> 00:53:39.590 just one last point again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:53:39.590 \rightarrow 00:53:40.340$ my my colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

00:53:40.340 --> 00:53:41.090 Like I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

00:53:41.090 --> 00:53:43.620 Elena Eragon and Alexis Rodriguez

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00{:}53{:}43.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46.764$ developed a really nice tool kit

NOTE Confidence: 0.99107075

 $00:53:46.764 \rightarrow 00:53:50.106$ that they disseminated at a workshop

NOTE Confidence: 0.988653350769231

 $00{:}53{:}50{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53{.}274$ this year and that is available which

NOTE Confidence: 0.988653350769231

 $00:53:53.274 \rightarrow 00:53:56.590$ mark we will share with you. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

00:53:59.200 --> 00:54:00.440 Thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00:54:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.719$ jaspreet, that was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

00:54:01.719 --> 00:54:03.334 I want to introduce now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00{:}54{:}03{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05{.}152$ Please doctor Naomi Laventhol

 $00:54:05.152 \rightarrow 00:54:07.417$ from the University of Michigan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00:54:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.060$ She's a clinical associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00{:}54{:}09{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10{.}700$ in the Department of Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

00:54:10.754 --> 00:54:12.008 and Communicable diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00{:}54{:}12{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13{.}977$ and she's a member of the Division

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00{:}54{:}13.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.330$ of Neonatal Perinatal Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00:54:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.970$ They only is an accomplished

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00{:}54{:}17{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19{.}920$ neonatologist a product of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

00:54:19.920 --> 00:54:21.340 University of Chicago system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00:54:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.695$ who was an important figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00:54:22.695 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.050$ in the world of neonatology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00{:}54{:}24.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26.500$ but also in the world of pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00{:}54{:}26{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28{.}180$ ethics on their helmets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00{:}54{:}28.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.460$ Got research interests in neonatal bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136

 $00:54:30.460 \rightarrow 00:54:31.846$ She's one of the leaders nationally.

- 00:54:31.850 --> 00:54:32.486 In fact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- 00:54:32.486 --> 00:54:34.394 she's currently the chair of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- 00:54:34.394 --> 00:54:35.901 American Academy of Pediatrics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- 00:54:35.901 --> 00:54:37.119 Committee on Bioethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00{:}54{:}37{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41{.}285$ which is the policy writing arm of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00{:}54{:}41{.}285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44{.}239$ the bioethics efforts at the AP.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- 00:54:44.240 --> 00:54:46.337 And so I reached out to Naomi to think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00:54:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.438$ what can we do about this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00{:}54{:}47{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48{.}868$ and what can we sort out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00:54:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.930$ and I'm very interested in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00:54:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.778$ Naomi's thoughts on this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00{:}54{:}50{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}766$ as both an accomplished neonatologist and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00{:}54{:}52{.}766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55{.}528$ a leader of the world of neonatal ethics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00:54:55.528 \rightarrow 00:54:55.916$ So,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00{:}54{:}55{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56{.}692$ with that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97383136
- $00:54:56.692 \rightarrow 00:54:58.244$ Naomi take it away.

00:54:58.900 --> 00:55:01.406 Well, Mark, that was a really generous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.522$ Introduction thank you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:02.522 \rightarrow 00:55:04.190$ thank you for having me here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.990$ It's really. It's really a pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.130$ to get to do this and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}08{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10{.}706$ I think for a neonatologist it's for some one

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:10.706 \rightarrow 00:55:12.846$ whose primary job really is in the nick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.310$ You taking care of babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.634$ This is so close.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}15{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}18{.}947$ This is so kind of personal for us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:18.947 \rightarrow 00:55:21.449$ and that distress that Zespri describes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}21{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23{.}234$ I think we've all felt that of sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}23{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}24{.}958$ of what are my obligations to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}24{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26{.}910$ child in the face of this refusal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.149$ and this takes a lot of time.

 $00:55:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.888$ It takes a lot of sweat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}28{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30{.}726$ equity and so so this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}30{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32{.}140$ I think this is personal for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.596$ For for people who care for these infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}34{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36{.}262$ I'm I'm going to confess upfront

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:36.262 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.742$ that my remarks, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}37{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39{.}668$ are going to be less beautifully

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}39{.}668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41{.}464$ structured and follow less of

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}41{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42{.}916$ a really admirably productive

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:42.916 \dashrightarrow 00:55:44.556$ research arc compared to jas preet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}44{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46{.}066$ And one thing for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:46.066 \rightarrow 00:55:47.440$ who don't realize this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:47.440 \rightarrow 00:55:49.336$ having dabbled in research in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}55{:}49{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51{.}918$ area is what a leader does pre Disney

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:51.918 \rightarrow 00:55:54.180$ in this and how few people are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:54.180 \dashrightarrow 00:55:55.780$ getting into the evidence about this.

 $00{:}55{:}55{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57{.}820$ There's not a lot written about

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:55:57.820 \rightarrow 00:56:00.100$ refusal of these newborn interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:00.100 \dashrightarrow 00:56:02.244$ and I think that in and of itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:02.250 \rightarrow 00:56:03.912$ Is really telling and I'm really

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:03.912 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.350$ grateful that she's built this

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}56{:}05{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07{.}012$ community of folks who are committed

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:07.012 \rightarrow 00:56:08.900$ to advancing our knowledge about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:08.900 \rightarrow 00:56:11.024$ 'cause 'cause you know in the later in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

00:56:11.030 --> 00:56:11.325 UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:11.325 \rightarrow 00:56:13.980$ as my my late mentor Bill Meadow would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:13.980 \rightarrow 00:56:15.660$ good ethics start with good facts

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}56{:}15.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.830$ and so I think that information to

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.838$ inform this is is so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

00:56:19.840 --> 00:56:22.228 So thank you for that work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}56{:}22{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23{.}826$ My remarks are going to be,

 $00{:}56{:}23.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.468$ I think a bit more structured around

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}56{:}25{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26{.}805$ responding to some of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}56{:}26.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}28.177$ that marks that and a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:28.221 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.571$ around some of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}56{:}29{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30{.}926$ J aspreet said and I've sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:30.926 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.264$ frantically taking notes to try to. NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

00:56:32.270 --> 00:56:34.070 Put some structure to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}56{:}34.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35.950$ but the first thing I want to set

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}56{:}35{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38{.}408$ up is that I do think there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

00:56:38.408 --> 00:56:40.010 dramatic differences in the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.823$ this plays out for a baby who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:41.823 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.285$ sort of been sort of like reached

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}56{:}44.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46.581$ the clutches of a neonatologist as

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:46.581 \rightarrow 00:56:48.625$ opposed to a child is being cared

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:48.625 \rightarrow 00:56:51.109$ for in the normal newborn nursery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:56:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.374$ And there's some really

- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00:56:52.374 \rightarrow 00:56:53.006$ philosophical differences,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- 00:56:53.010 --> 00:56:54.975 I think that come into play and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00:56:54.975 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.250$ some of that has to do with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00:56:57.250 \rightarrow 00:57:00.280$ I think a appropriate response to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00:57:00.280 \dashrightarrow 00:57:02.720$ the resurgence and interest and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00{:}57{:}02.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.215$ A naturalistic and holistic birth
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00{:}57{:}04{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}06{.}048$ experience and when you think about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- 00:57:06.048 --> 00:57:07.758 infants in a normal newborn nursery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00:57:07.760 \rightarrow 00:57:10.288$ your infants were born at home with midwives.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00:57:10.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.120$ Is the assumption that most babies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00:57:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.026$ when they're born,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00{:}57{:}13.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.838$ are healthy and you know millennia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00:57:14.838 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.680$ of humanity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00{:}57{:}15.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17.432$ Support the fact that most babies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572
- $00:57:17.432 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.910$ are healthy when they're born.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}57{:}18{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21{.}031$ And so I think that that sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:57:21.031 \rightarrow 00:57:22.980$ of setting of really emphasizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:57:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.395$ the maternal infant dyad and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

00:57:25.400 --> 00:57:26.132 you know, I realized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:57:26.132 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.864$ that's actually kinda dated

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00{:}57{:}26.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27.788$ way to talk about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

00:57:27.790 --> 00:57:28.280 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975333091428572

 $00:57:28.280 \rightarrow 00:57:29.750$ we talk about pregnant people now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.665$ not pregnant woman in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:30.665 \rightarrow 00:57:31.397$ world that has changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}57{:}31{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}33{.}440$ When you think about this family

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.673$ structure and the sanctity of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:35.673 \rightarrow 00:57:37.693$ family structure that the contemporary

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:37.693 \rightarrow 00:57:40.003$ hospital normal newborn nursery has where

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:40.003 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.079$ we kind of prioritize non intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:42.079 \rightarrow 00:57:43.621$ and prioritize non separation.

 $00{:}57{:}43.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}46.840$ The minute if babies sort of in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.066$ ICU we've upended that whole thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:49.070 \rightarrow 00:57:51.620$ and suddenly this strong strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}57{:}51{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54{.}000$ Emphasis on this very kind of holistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.247$ and family unit centered care goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:56.247 \rightarrow 00:57:58.177$ away and the baby's condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}57{:}58{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59{.}090$ There's some reason that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:57:59.090 \rightarrow 00:58:00.352$ baby is in the NICU, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:58:00.352 --> 00:58:01.760 So in my world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:58:01.760 - 00:58:03.264 most babies aren't healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:03.264 \rightarrow 00:58:05.520$ because healthy babies are in my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}05{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07{.}350$ And so I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}07{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08{.}862$ appreciating that difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}08{.}862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12{.}390$ and that that that that jarring mindset

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}12{.}463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}14{.}695$ that that puts families through even

 $00:58:14.695 \rightarrow 00:58:17.648$ if the baby is not fully admitted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}17.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}18.780$ but someone called the neonatologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.160$ to come and see the baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:58:20.160 --> 00:58:20.545 Suddenly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}20{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}23{.}240$ we've challenged this idea that this baby

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}23{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}25{.}684$ is healthy and I think that that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:25.684 \rightarrow 00:58:28.080$ whole new stressor and pressure on families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}28{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}30{.}060$ particularly families who seek to avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}30.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32.140$ a lot of intervention around birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.752$ And suddenly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:58:32.752 --> 00:58:33.364 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:33.364 \rightarrow 00:58:35.200$ it's beyond just the birth plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:58:35.200 --> 00:58:35.920 And sort of being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:35.920 \rightarrow 00:58:37.250$ you know asked what do you want?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}37{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}38{.}202$ And giving an answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}38{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}39{.}154$ but there's I think,

 $00:58:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.008$ and among a lot of families a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:58:41.008 --> 00:58:42.002 more apprehension about you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:58:42.002 --> 00:58:43.442 already trying to take away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:43.442 \rightarrow 00:58:45.020$ me what I wanted for my person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}45{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}46{.}934$ And so I think that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:46.934 \rightarrow 00:58:48.210$ contrast is really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}48{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}51{.}202$ The other thing I want to contrast is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:51.202 \rightarrow 00:58:53.461$ interventions that we the preventative

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}58{:}53{.}461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}55{.}385$ or screening interventions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:58:55.385 --> 00:58:57.430 uniformly offered to everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:58:57.430 --> 00:58:58.594 versus risk based strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:58:58.594 \rightarrow 00:59:00.980$ because I think there are some differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:00.980 \dashrightarrow 00:59:02.460$ they're not entirely different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:59:02.460 --> 00:59:04.680 but if you think about vitamin

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:04.744 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.820$ K for every one uh,

 $00:59:05.820 \rightarrow 00:59:07.830$ erythromycin eye ointment for everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}07{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}09{.}916$ as opposed to a risk based this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:59:09.916 --> 00:59:11.869 baby had this well appearing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:59:11.870 --> 00:59:14.978 Baby has identified risk factors for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:59:14.978 --> 00:59:17.210 sepsis and I think there's a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}17{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}19{.}321$ of important symbolic act when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:19.321 \rightarrow 00:59:21.596$ move out of universal recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:59:21.596 --> 00:59:22.961 versus personalized recommendations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}22{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}24{.}926$ and that might not be rational,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.778$ but I think that it's there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.125$ And so by the time we're being

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:29.125 \dashrightarrow 00:59:31.618$ asked to consider early onset sepsis

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:31.618 \rightarrow 00:59:33.973$ evaluation for well appearing baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.804$ I think to many of us that feels

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}35{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37{.}307$ different than the refusal of vitamin

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:37.307 \dashrightarrow 00:59:39.078$ K that we recommend to every infant

 $00:59:39.078 \rightarrow 00:59:41.175$ who I want to say walks through the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.268$ But that analogy is called fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}43{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}45{.}587$ So I think that that contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00:59:45.587 \rightarrow 00:59:47.601$ is really important to the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}47.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}49.196$ different groups think about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}49{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}51{.}439$ and has contributed to the difficulty

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}51{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}53{.}755$ in finding a coherent and uniform

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

00:59:53.760 - 00:59:55.495 strategy in that the philosophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.988704291111111

 $00{:}59{:}55{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}56{.}883$ of care for infants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}56{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}58{.}048$ So different when you think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.98870429111111

 $00{:}59{:}58{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{.}59{.}588$ them in the in the normal new born

NOTE Confidence: 0.982381775

 $00{:}59{:}59{.}600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00{.}720$ nursery versus the Nikki.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}00{:}02.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}03.304$ There are a few other things

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}00{:}03{.}304 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}04{.}599$ that I really want to highlight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}00{:}04.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}06.840$ One is, I think, to be really clear.

 $01{:}00{:}06.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}08.370$ One of the things that I work on a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:00:08.417 \rightarrow 01:00:09.937$ when I teach ethics is to be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:00:09.937 \rightarrow 01:00:11.367$ clear about what we're talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}00{:}11{.}370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13{.}434$ and I think in this situation a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}00{:}13.434 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}15.459$ of the time we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}00{:}15{.}459 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17{.}631$ the bundle of preferences that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}00{:}17.696 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}20.230$ become kind of an architect and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:00:20.230 \rightarrow 01:00:21.678$ non interventional list family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}00{:}21.678 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}22.752$ particularly around birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}00{:}22.752 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}26.038$ and we think of when I think about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:00:26.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.576$ as in unitologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}00{:}27.576 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.028$ and this reveals my own bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:00:30.028 \rightarrow 01:00:32.590$ But these are people who don't want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

01:00:32.590 --> 01:00:34.283 Any of what I'm selling, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:00:34.283 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.307$ I have a bundle of stuff and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:00:36.307 \rightarrow 01:00:37.890$ regardless of what their plans were,

 $01:00:37.890 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.172$ they didn't involve me and it didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

01:00:40.172 --> 01:00:41.650 involve my artificial chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

01:00:41.650 --> 01:00:42.958 plastic wrapped stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:00:42.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.881$ My disposable diapers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:00:43.881 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.716$ my bottles with rubber ******* like

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:00:45.716 \rightarrow 01:00:47.788$ none of that is what they wanted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:00:47.790 \rightarrow 01:00:51.734$ And I think that that group has dominated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}00{:}51.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}53.708$ I think our modern discussion about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}00{:}53.708 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}56.068$ this and our anguish about this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:00:56.068 \rightarrow 01:00:58.364$ been what my colleague tree begins order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:00:58.370 \rightarrow 01:00:59.980$ Who writes really eloquently about

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}00{:}59{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}02{.}199$ this in the lay press talks at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:02.199 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.554$ the cult of the natural?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}01{:}03.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}07.536$ Right, but not every parent who refuses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:01:07.540 \rightarrow 01:01:10.108$ One or more of these interventions

 $01:01:10.108 \rightarrow 01:01:12.648$ is is of that philosophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

01:01:12.648 --> 01:01:15.081 and sometimes parents say, listen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

01:01:15.081 - 01:01:16.686 I'll take anything you recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

01:01:16.686 --> 01:01:18.360 if it makes sense to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:18.360 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.691$ but a one in a thousand chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:01:20.691 \rightarrow 01:01:22.459$ of substance just like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

01:01:22.460 --> 01:01:23.364 You know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:23.364 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.816$ for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}01{:}23.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}25.920$ the example of that would be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:25.920 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.480$ mark talked about savviness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}01{:}27{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}29{.}412$ but the student physician who says

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:29.412 \rightarrow 01:01:31.067$ everything I've ever learned says

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:31.067 \rightarrow 01:01:32.627$ that exposure to broader spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:32.627 \rightarrow 01:01:34.490$ antibiotics is bad for microbiome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:01:34.490 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.158$ and this risk seems very low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}01{:}36.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38.430$ and I'll accept rational recommendations.

01:01:38.430 --> 01:01:41.070 But I don't think this one is rational,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:41.070 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.324$ and so I think being really precise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}01{:}43.324 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}46.152$ in what we talk about and not UN

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

01:01:46.152 --> 01:01:47.718 inappropriately reducing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:47.718 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.076$ to a sort of war with the with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:52.076 \rightarrow 01:01:54.881$ the naturalist family is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:01:54.881 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.440$ important so that our our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

01:01:57.440 --> 01:01:57.812 R.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}01{:}57{.}812 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}00.044$ Rubric that we develop policies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

01:02:00.044 --> 01:02:02.308 guidelines and I agree with Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:02:02.310 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.948$ I think at least within institutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}02{:}03.950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}05.220$ we need to be specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}02{:}05{.}220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07{.}800$ But what that is isn't a sort of war with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}02{:}07.868 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}10.388$ a type and that we're really staying in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}02{:}10.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}12.166$ like what is reasonable that for

 $01:02:12.166 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.889$ parents while we're on on marks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

01:02:13.890 --> 01:02:16.730 Beautiful spectrum of impermissible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:02:16.730 \dashrightarrow 01:02:18.860$ permissible and obligatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}02{:}18.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}21.149$ Does this fit that should be about

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}02{:}21{.}149 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}23{.}296$ what's right for the baby and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:02:23.296 \rightarrow 01:02:25.675$ what we feel about a group of parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}02{:}25.675 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}28.095$ who parent in a particular way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

01:02:28.095 --> 01:02:30.765 has become kind of an archetype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}02{:}30.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}32.624$ There's a few more things I want to mention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:02:32.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.541$ If Mark will indulge me and one he

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01{:}02{:}35{.}541 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}37{.}683$ alluded to and Jaspreet alluded to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:02:37.690 \rightarrow 01:02:39.540$ but I really like to call this out by name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01:02:39.540 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.304$ because this is such a source of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667

 $01{:}02{:}41{.}304 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}42{.}649$ misconception and a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:02:42.649 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.369$ work that I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667

 $01:02:43.370 \rightarrow 01:02:44.862$ particularly with house officers,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667
- $01:02:44.862 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.767$ and that has to do with who owns
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667
- $01:02:47.767 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.890$ the outcome of refusal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9840427916666667
- 01:02:49.890 --> 01:02:51.515 And Mark talked really nicely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984042791666667
- 01:02:51.515 --> 01:02:53.140 about us being moral agents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}02{:}53{.}140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}55{.}128$ and I think one of the distinct
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:02:55.128 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.980$ features of Pediatrics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:02:55.980 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.390$ If you think about marks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:02:57.390 \rightarrow 01:03:01.037$ example of the woman who is an emic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}03{:}01{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}03{.}308$ After a car accident and would make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}03{:}03{.}308 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}05{.}677$ an informed choice to risk death as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:03:05.677 \rightarrow 01:03:07.342$ opposed to getting a transfusion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}03{:}07{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}09{.}958$ we have both legal and I think moral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:03:09.958 \rightarrow 01:03:12.151$ mechanisms by which we don't have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:03:12.151 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.996$ moral culpability in her death.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:03:14.000 01:03:15.696 Now, that doesn't mean we don't feel it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:15.700 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.364$ and that we don't feel bad about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:17.370 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.598$ but we're well set up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:20.598 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.212$ make competent adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:22.220 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.832$ Morally responsible for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

01:03:23.832 --> 01:03:25.444 outcomes of their choices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01{:}03{:}25{.}450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}27{.}637$ and that is not a luxury in Pediatrics that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:27.637 \rightarrow 01:03:29.718$ not in doubt that we have in Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:29.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.232$ particularly for infants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

01:03:31.232 --> 01:03:35.754 And so if if I abide apparent not refusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:35.754 \rightarrow 01:03:39.346$ any form of vitamin K for an infant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:39.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.075$ and that baby has hemorrhagic

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:41.075 \rightarrow 01:03:42.455$ disease of the newborn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:42.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.080$ I'm neither morally nor legally

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:44.080 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.057$ absolved by the fact that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:46.057 \rightarrow 01:03:47.857$ were willing to own that choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:03:47.860 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.712$ The parent might say,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:03:48.712 --> 01:03:49.777 I'm really knowing that choice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:03:49.780 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.319$ but that's not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:03:51.319 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.858$ That's not OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}03{:}52{.}860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}54{.}708$ Relevant mechanism for us and the way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}03{:}54{.}708 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}56{.}796$ I boil this down to trainees all the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:03:56.796 --> 01:03:58.970 time is AMA or against medical advice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}03{:}58{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}01{.}930$ There's no AMA for kits and a mechanism
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:04:01.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.965$ of absolving ourselves of that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:04:03.965 --> 01:04:06.807 culpability by virtue of sort of thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:04:06.810 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.330$ I am willing to take on the risks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:04:08.330 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.019$ of this that's not available to us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}04{:}10.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}12.984$ and I'm I'm alarmed at how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:04:12.984 \rightarrow 01:04:15.890$ many people are fuzzy on that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:04:15.890 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:04:17.650 And I think that really does come to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}04{:}17.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}19.577$ play with some of what the mechanisms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01{:}04{:}19{.}577 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}21{.}012$ that would jaspreet talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01{:}04{:}21.065 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}22.547$ with the sort of refusal forms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01{:}04{:}22.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}24.162$ And stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

01:04:24.162 --> 01:04:24.968 I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01{:}04{:}24{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}26{.}924$ My interpretation of things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01{:}04{:}26{.}924 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}29{.}240$ these informed refusal forms is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01{:}04{:}29{.}307 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}31{.}297$ those are our choice architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:04:31.300 \rightarrow 01:04:32.251$ Those are nudges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

01:04:32.251 --> 01:04:34.831 Those are to sort of use anything we

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

01:04:34.831 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:04:37.215 have to get what people to make the

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:04:37.215 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.010$ decisions that we think are good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:04:39.010 \rightarrow 01:04:41.146$ but those are not releases of either moral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

01:04:41.150 --> 01:04:42.350 moral or legal culpability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:04:42.350 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.850$ and they won't protect you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01:04:43.850 \rightarrow 01:04:45.154$ And I don't want to get in trouble

NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

 $01{:}04{:}45{.}154 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}46{.}411$ 'cause we have a lawyer following me

- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:04:46.411 --> 01:04:47.859 so I won't speak more about the law,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:04:47.860 --> 01:04:49.708 but I just want to be really clear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:04:49.708 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.381$ about what those refusal forms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}04{:}51{.}381 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}53{.}123$ should mean symbolically, does.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:04:53.123 --> 01:04:53.706 Uhm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}04{:}53.706 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}57.204$ two other comments and I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:04:57.204 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.180$ I'll probably stop after that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}00{.}180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}03{.}596$ Had to do with the scope of parental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}03.596 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}05{.}740$ authority and also fairness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}05{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}08{.}309$ So one thing that Mark mentioned that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:05:08.309 \rightarrow 01:05:10.295$ I've always found really interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}10.295 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}13.144$ is this idea that people should have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}13.144 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}15.758$ equal or fair access to bad decisions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}15{.}760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}18{.}014$ And that's a hard that for me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:05:18.020 \rightarrow 01:05:19.640$ That's kind of an interesting contradiction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848

- $01:05:19.640 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.271$ but it does come up in terms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}21{.}271 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22{.}640$ of that savviness requirement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:05:22.640 \rightarrow 01:05:23.900$ And when we think historically about,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:05:23.900 \rightarrow 01:05:25.060$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:05:25.060 \rightarrow 01:05:27.380$ who has refused vaccination?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:05:27.380 --> 01:05:27.696 Uhm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}27.696 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}30.224$ so this idea that if we're going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}30{.}224 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}32{.}712$ allow a certain kind of savvy user
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}32.712 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}35.043$ to demand something or that demand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:05:35.043 \rightarrow 01:05:38.032$ something that we don't recommend or refuse,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:05:38.040 \rightarrow 01:05:39.615$ something that we do recommend
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- 01:05:39.615 -> 01:05:41.577 that we maybe then should make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01{:}05{:}41{.}577 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}43{.}182$ those same bad choices available
- NOTE Confidence: 0.987627848
- $01:05:43.182 \rightarrow 01:05:44.466$ to people who don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01{:}05{:}44{.}528 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}46{.}390$ have the savviness to ask for it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:05:46.390 \rightarrow 01:05:47.930$ There's a purity of that argument that

 $01:05:47.930 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.960$ I can't that I can't deny. It's there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

01:05:49.960 --> 01:05:52.128 And and you know, this comes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}05{:}52{.}128 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}54{.}325$ For example, in our world about offering

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:05:54.325 \rightarrow 01:05:57.050$ comfort care at 24 weeks of gestation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}05{:}57{.}050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}59{.}342$ Where at my institution the well

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:05:59.342 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.441$ counseled family that has repeatedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:01.441 \rightarrow 01:06:03.946$ incoherently said that understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:03.946 \rightarrow 01:06:06.939$ outcome statistics as we provided them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:06.940 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.410$ they would like to pursue comfort care

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}08{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}10{.}204$ for the 24 week baby in some situations

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:10.204 \rightarrow 01:06:11.839$ will have a heavy heart about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}11.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}12.972$ but will allow it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:12.972 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.670$ And then that begs the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:14.670 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.385$ if I'll allow it in one family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}16.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}19.678$ Join my obligated to offer it to every.

 $01:06:19.680 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.464$ And so I think that this idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}22.464 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}24.869$ equal access to inferior medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:24.869 \rightarrow 01:06:27.579$ choices is compelling and important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:27.580 \longrightarrow 01:06:27.978$ Important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}27{.}978 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}30{.}366$ but to me also deeply troubling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}30{.}370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31{.}812$ And the flip side of that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:31.812 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.780$ when we think again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:32.780 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.180$ for examples of examples like

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}35{.}180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}38{.}234$ vaccine refusal and not just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}38{.}234 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}40{.}486$ savviness requirement but the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:40.490 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.800$ The privilege to be able to refuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}42.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}45.366$ some of these interventions and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}45{.}366 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}47{.}876$ relative safety of refusing those

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}47.876$ --> $01{:}06{:}50.599$ interventions for well resourced families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}06{:}50{.}600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}52{.}721$ If you have access to a pediatrician

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:06:52.721 \rightarrow 01:06:54.444$ who answers your calls 24 hours

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:06:54.444 \rightarrow 01:06:55.694$ a day and a vehicle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:06:55.700 \longrightarrow 01:06:57.188$ and the ability to park and the ability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:06:57.188 \rightarrow 01:06:58.838$ to have child care to bring your child,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:06:58.840 \rightarrow 01:07:00.940$ and you can respond to illness differently,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01{:}07{:}00{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}03{.}754$ and so as refusal of these interventions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01{:}07{:}03.754 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}06.080$ sort of disseminates and becomes more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:07:06.080 \rightarrow 01:07:08.523$ broad in a society where people with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:07:08.597 \rightarrow 01:07:11.369$ really little advantage and few resources.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:07:11.370 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.350$ I think the potential for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:07:13.350 \rightarrow 01:07:14.622$ consequences of that, UM,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01:07:14.622 \longrightarrow 01:07:15.186$ are different,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01{:}07{:}15{.}186 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}16{.}878$ and I just think that's something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01{:}07{:}16.878 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}17.690$ really important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01{:}07{:}17.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}19.965$ Think about you know what will be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741
- $01{:}07{:}19.965 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}22.141$ the consequences on the children of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01{:}07{:}22.141 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}24.421$ the most disadvantaged families as as

NOTE Confidence: 0.988051750740741

 $01:07:24.421 \rightarrow 01:07:26.479$ refusing these things becomes more popular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

01:07:29.010 --> 01:07:30.666 Briefly, and you could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01:07:30.666 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.150$ we could fill hours on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01{:}07{:}33.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}36.454$ Mark and I have talked offline about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01{:}07{:}36{.}460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}38{.}756$ Whether and how this is different for

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01{:}07{:}38.756 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}40.669$ infants compared to older children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

01:07:40.670 - 01:07:42.560 and whether that's right or wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01{:}07{:}42.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}44.720$ and I think he and I both Lynn on the on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01:07:44.720 \rightarrow 01:07:46.827$ The decision that it's probably not right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01{:}07{:}46.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}48.470$ but I think it's there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

01:07:48.470 --> 01:07:50.180 And when you know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01:07:50.180 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.320$ think about it visually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01{:}07{:}51{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}54{.}758$ the marks visual is so helpful of of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

 $01{:}07{:}54.758 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}57.747$ falls in the spectrum of permissible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229

01:07:57.750 --> 01:07:59.310 I think implicitly,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01:07:59.310 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.950$ as a society that range is wider
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01{:}08{:}03{.}059 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}05{.}480$ for for a parental infant dyad,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01:08:05.480 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.550$ for newborns, and it is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01:08:06.550 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.125$ For older children,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01:08:08.125 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.275$ and I think that we afford.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01:08:11.280 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.025$ It's a extended parental authority
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01:08:13.025 \longrightarrow 01:08:14.421$ or extended parental rights
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01:08:14.421 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.459$ in the newborn period that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- 01:08:16.459 --> 01:08:18.094 wouldn't afford to older children,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01:08:18.100 \longrightarrow 01:08:19.066$ and I think that's been shown in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01:08:19.066 \rightarrow 01:08:20.299$ a lot of really elegant studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- 01:08:20.300 --> 01:08:22.556 I think Andy Johnny is the hero of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01{:}08{:}22.556 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}25.390$ that of talking about about how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01{:}08{:}25{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}29{.}350$ somehow or another we don't afford.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986445229
- $01{:}08{:}29{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}31{.}280$ Infants the same moral status
- NOTE Confidence: 0.981586966

 $01:08:31.290 \rightarrow 01:08:32.400$ as we do. Older children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:33.300 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.276$ and I think that that implicitly has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:35.276 \rightarrow 01:08:37.710$ lot to do with why we have historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:37.710 \longrightarrow 01:08:39.300$ allowed people to refuse fund.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01{:}08{:}39{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}41.676$ Some of these things when in a comparable

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:41.676 \rightarrow 01:08:43.707$ situation an older child we might not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01{:}08{:}43.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}46.572$ and I think this this now in a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:46.572 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.000$ of cultural way, has a lot to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:49.000 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.369$ with this right to have a birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:50.370 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.350$ How you want it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:51.350 \longrightarrow 01:08:53.233$ And this the birth that a family

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01{:}08{:}53{.}233 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}55{.}008$ and visions for themselves and

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01{:}08{:}55{.}008 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}56{.}855$ their infant takes some moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:56.855 \rightarrow 01:08:58.660$ priority over the absolute medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:08:58.660 \longrightarrow 01:09:00.382$ best interest of that nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01{:}09{:}00{.}382 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}01{.}742$ And I think that contradiction

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:09:01.742 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.025$ is really hard, for,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:09:03.025 \longrightarrow 01:09:04.420$ for for obstetricians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:09:04.420 \rightarrow 01:09:06.280$ infinite ologist and family,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:09:06.280 --> 01:09:07.080 family medicine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:09:07.080 \rightarrow 01:09:09.480$ docs and and normal newborn attendings
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:09:09.480 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.970$ is this idea that somehow or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}09{:}11{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}14{.}000$ another that parental authority the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:09:14.000 \rightarrow 01:09:16.187$ purview of that parental authority?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}09{:}16{.}190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}17{.}930$ Contracts as that child nears the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:09:17.930 \longrightarrow 01:09:19.760$ door and then gets discharged.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:09:19.760 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.550$ But in the newborn period we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:09:22.550 --> 01:09:24.410 somehow haven't quite granted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:09:24.494 \longrightarrow 01:09:26.418$ personhood to that info.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:09:26.420 --> 01:09:26.672 Uhm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}09{:}26.672 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}28.688$ the final thing I want to say gets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

01:09:28.688 --> 01:09:30.561 just gets back to a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

01:09:30.561 --> 01:09:32.670 what I was talking about with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:32.670 \longrightarrow 01:09:33.654$ the culpability issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:33.654 \rightarrow 01:09:35.294$ And who owns the outcome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01{:}09{:}35{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}36{.}848$ Because this is another thing I

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01{:}09{:}36.848 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}38.236$ think where people get derailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:38.236 \dashrightarrow 01:09:40.078$ sometimes and it's important not to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:40.080 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.724$ Whoever his feet were going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01{:}09{:}41{.}724 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}44{.}285$ hold to the fire for the burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:44.285 \rightarrow 01:09:46.035$ refusing one of these interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:46.040 \longrightarrow 01:09:47.724$ whether it's the clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:47.724 \rightarrow 01:09:50.250$ whether it's the parent that culpability

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:50.321 \longrightarrow 01:09:52.116$ doesn't hinge on the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01{:}09{:}52{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}53{.}528$ So what and what I mean by that

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:53.528 \rightarrow 01:09:55.057$ is that I sometimes get question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

 $01:09:55.060 \rightarrow 01:09:55.910$ you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}09{:}55{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}58{.}885$ if a parent refuses an MMR vaccine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}09{:}58.885 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}01{.}789$ and the child gets the measles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:01.790 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.836$ Or is that parents somehow more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:03.836 \longrightarrow 01:10:05.589$ culpable than when they they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}10{:}05{.}589 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}07{.}521$ refused the memory and the child
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:10:07.521 --> 01:10:09.470 didn't get the results and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}10{:}09{.}470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}11{.}598$ the the thing that the refuser or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:11.600 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.526$ the abider of the Refuser owns
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:13.526 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.460$ is the decision not to do it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:15.460 \longrightarrow 01:10:17.032$ not the outcome?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}10{:}17.032 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}20.047$ And so I I think that we should be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:10:20.047 --> 01:10:21.714 careful about getting into the logic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:10:21.714 --> 01:10:23.846 or we say that whether or not you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:10:23.846 --> 01:10:25.670 have moral or legal culpability for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:10:25.670 --> 01:10:28.474 a bad outcome doesn't depend on on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143

- $01:10:28.474 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.300$ whether how it comes to fruition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:31.300 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.930$ So the. This sort of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:33.930 \rightarrow 01:10:36.555$ The moral wrong innocence is not doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:10:36.555 01:10:38.509 what's best interest in the baby,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:38.510 \longrightarrow 01:10:40.204$ not whether or not the one in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}10{:}40.204 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}41.390$ 1000 steps section happens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:10:41.390 --> 01:10:41.666 I don't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:10:41.666 --> 01:10:42.494 I don't know that I've explained
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:42.494 \longrightarrow 01:10:43.369$ that as well as I wanted to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:43.370 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.540$ but I think that people get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}10{:}44{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}45{.}809$ derailed with that a little bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- 01:10:45.810 --> 01:10:49.540 So I just I just wanted to make that point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}10{:}49{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}51{.}234$ I think that's about what I have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}10{:}51{.}234 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}53{.}296$ to say and I'm really curious to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01{:}10{:}53.296 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}55.158$ to hear our third panelist and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986918862857143
- $01:10:55.158 \longrightarrow 01:10:56.880$ what this audience has to say.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848
- 01:10:57.960 --> 01:10:59.950 Thank you so much, Naomi.

01:10:59.950 --> 01:11:02.926 I now want to return to Jay Sicklick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:02.930 --> 01:11:05.177 Attorney cyclic began as a staff attorney

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01{:}11{:}05{.}177 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}07{.}905$ in the Center for children's Advocacy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01{:}11{:}07{.}905$ --> $01{:}11{:}10{.}461$ founded the first collaborative medical legal NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01:11:10.524 \rightarrow 01:11:12.855$ partnership in the country back in 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01:11:12.860 \longrightarrow 01:11:15.070$ Uhm, and prior to that he was on the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:15.120 --> 01:11:17.276 at University of Connecticut School of Law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:17.280 --> 01:11:19.121 He served as a senior staff attorney

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:19.121 --> 01:11:21.307 at the Legal Aid Society in the Bronx.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01:11:21.310 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.500$ He's currently an adjunct professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01{:}11{:}23.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}25.940$ law at the University of Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:25.940 --> 01:11:27.602 He's a clinical instructor in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:27.602 --> 01:11:29.389 Department of Pediatrics at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:29.389 --> 01:11:30.974 of Connecticut School of Medicine.

01:11:30.980 --> 01:11:33.479 Mr. Cyclic is a graduate of Colgate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:33.479 --> 01:11:35.829 University in Boston College Law School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01{:}11{:}35{.}830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}38{.}308$ He's the author of several publications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:38.310 --> 01:11:40.788 and he's spoken at numerous conferences

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:40.790 --> 01:11:44.380 on hospital, hospital related issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01:11:44.380 \longrightarrow 01:11:46.424$ legal health related topics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01{:}11{:}46{.}424 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}49{.}554$ and so he's a wonderful person to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01{:}11{:}49{.}554 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}51{.}720$ and speak to us about this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

01:11:51.720 --> 01:11:53.385 So Jay, I want to thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01:11:53.385 \longrightarrow 01:11:54.844$ for doing this with us and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796848

 $01:11:54.844 \rightarrow 01:11:55.900$ turn it over to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

01:11:57.740 --> 01:12:00.588 Thanks Mark, I appreciate it and it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01{:}12{:}00.588 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}03.866$ hard to back clean up in this line up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:03.866 \rightarrow 01:12:06.970$ There are some impressive heaters before me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:06.970 \longrightarrow 01:12:09.090$ I hope I'm not like the Yankees and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:09.149 \rightarrow 01:12:11.368$ perpetually strike out every game at the

01:12:11.368 --> 01:12:14.080 number 4 slot, but we'll do our best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

01:12:14.080 --> 01:12:15.320 I'm going to be brief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:15.320 \rightarrow 01:12:17.480$ I promise 'cause I know there are probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:17.480 \longrightarrow 01:12:20.093$ a lot of questions and a lot of thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:20.093 \rightarrow 01:12:23.310$ folks who have opinions on the clinical side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:23.310 \longrightarrow 01:12:25.692$ I'm going to be really brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:25.692 \rightarrow 01:12:27.710$ about the legal perspective here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:27.710 \longrightarrow 01:12:29.229$ One of my favorite quotes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:29.229 \rightarrow 01:12:30.729$ law not being novel about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:30.730 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.620$ but most folks probably have heard it

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

01:12:32.620 --> 01:12:34.758 is from Oliver Wendell Holmes, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:12:34.758 \longrightarrow 01:12:38.532$ life of the law has not been logic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

01:12:38.532 --> 01:12:40.432 It has been experience, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

01:12:40.432 --> 01:12:42.340 The life of the law has not been logic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

01:12:42.340 --> 01:12:44.148 It has been experience.

- 01:12:44.150 --> 01:12:47.642 Blog doesn't use logic as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:12:47.642 \rightarrow 01:12:50.707$ fundamental paradigm like the wonderful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:12:50.707 --> 01:12:52.490 paradigm you set up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:12:52.490 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.835$ mark and the different issues that your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:12:54.835 \rightarrow 01:12:56.629$ colleagues here have talked about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:12:56.630 \longrightarrow 01:12:58.930$ That is why mandates exist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:12:58.930 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.311$ But they exist from a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:13:00.311 --> 01:13:01.193 legislative perspective.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:13:01.193 --> 01:13:03.398 If you break this down
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:03.398 \rightarrow 01:13:05.630$ into kind of three pockets,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}13{:}05{.}630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}07{.}386$ there are legislative mandates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}13{:}07{.}386 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}10{.}536$ What are the consequences of not following
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:10.536 \rightarrow 01:13:12.731$ those mandates that require certain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}13{:}12.731 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}15.869$ type of testing or care and treatment?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:15.870 \rightarrow 01:13:17.985$ We don't have a lot of those in Connecticut.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:17.990 \rightarrow 01:13:20.450$ There aren't a lot nationwide,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:20.450 \rightarrow 01:13:23.378$ I think that you know doctor loyal talked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:13:23.378 --> 01:13:26.629 about the vitamin K requirement in New York,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:26.630 \longrightarrow 01:13:28.315$ but she also implied what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:28.315 \longrightarrow 01:13:29.326$ are the consequences?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:29.330 \longrightarrow 01:13:32.348$ Of not adhering to that mandate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:32.350 \rightarrow 01:13:35.974$ the second category is has the refusal to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}13{:}35{.}974 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}39{.}996$ a pink care reached a level of neglect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:40.000 \rightarrow 01:13:41.353$ specifically medical neglect?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:41.353 \rightarrow 01:13:43.608$ What does that mean though?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:43.610 \longrightarrow 01:13:45.596$ Does it mean there's going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:45.596 \rightarrow 01:13:46.920$ be some further consequences,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:46.920 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.831$ or does it mean that there's just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}13{:}48.831 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}50.658$ an issue of medical neglect and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:13:50.658 --> 01:13:52.863 we're going to let it live there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:52.931 \longrightarrow 01:13:54.567$ without any further action?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

- $01:13:54.570 \rightarrow 01:13:55.330 \text{ In } \#3,$
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:55.330 \longrightarrow 01:13:56.510$ there's the idea.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}13{:}56{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}58{.}510$ Have you crossed the threshold
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:13:58.510 \longrightarrow 01:13:59.710$ into what constitutes?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:13:59.710 --> 01:14:01.924 Obligatory care as Mark talked about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}14{:}01{.}924 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}03{.}889$ talked about that the consequences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}14{:}03.889 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}06.409$ of failure to treat could result
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:14:06.409 --> 01:14:08.120 in some catastrophic event,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}14{:}08{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}10.608$ and he crossed over into what I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:14:10.608 --> 01:14:13.328 we would call the idea that there is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:13.328 \longrightarrow 01:14:15.191$ a requirement of state intervention
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:15.191 \longrightarrow 01:14:17.825$ into this particular issue or into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:17.825 \rightarrow 01:14:20.430$ the greater issue or the larger issue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:20.430 \rightarrow 01:14:22.350$ of should we be requiring mandates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:22.417 \rightarrow 01:14:24.301$ for these types of screenings or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:24.301 \rightarrow 01:14:25.980$ these types of blood tests,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:25.980 \longrightarrow 01:14:27.730$ or these types of treatments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:27.730 \longrightarrow 01:14:29.410$ and that is the ultimate question here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:14:29.410 --> 01:14:29.767 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:29.767 \rightarrow 01:14:32.266$ What is the ultimate end game in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}14{:}32.266 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}35.150$ terms of the law and how does that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:35.150 \longrightarrow 01:14:37.669$ work from a practical point of view?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}14{:}37.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}40.057$ In terms of what constitutes the legal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:14:40.057 --> 01:14:42.890 right of a parent to make decisions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:42.890 \rightarrow 01:14:44.930$ the last always extraordinarily
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:44.930 \longrightarrow 01:14:47.990$ on the backs of the parent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01:14:47.990 \longrightarrow 01:14:49.530$ The presumption is that parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}14{:}49{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}51{.}539$ get to make health care decisions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- 01:14:51.539 --> 01:14:52.757 for their children.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}14{:}52{.}760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}54{.}769$ It is rooted in the 14th amendment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333
- $01{:}14{:}54{.}770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}57{.}598$ is rooted in the due process clause.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:14:57.600 \rightarrow 01:14:59.928$ If the parents overstep their bounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

01:14:59.930 --> 01:15:01.886 like in that famous Prince case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:15:01.890 \rightarrow 01:15:04.050$ which ironically is Mark brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.984826715333333

 $01:15:04.050 \rightarrow 01:15:06.210$ up involved the Jehovah's Witnesses

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}15{:}06{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}08{.}544$ family who? Or attempting to require

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:15:08.544 \rightarrow 01:15:10.890$ their child to sell religious literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}15{:}10.954 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}12.758$ in violation of Massachusetts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:15:12.760 \longrightarrow 01:15:14.664$ Log this back in the mid 40s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}15{:}14.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}16.546$ the Supreme Court waited and said no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}15{:}16{.}550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}19{.}273$ the parents can't require their child to

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:15:19.273 --> 01:15:21.929 violate child labor laws under the auspices

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:15:21.929 \rightarrow 01:15:24.720$ of the First Amendment right of religion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}15{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}27.300$ Even the auspices of the parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:15:27.300 \longrightarrow 01:15:29.772$ right to care for their children

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:15:29.772 \longrightarrow 01:15:31.384$ under the 14th amendment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}15{:}31{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}34{.}141$ But we have made these decisions along

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- 01:15:34.141 01:15:37.140 the way under this notion of parents.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- 01:15:37.140 --> 01:15:39.939 Patri I that the law does get to intervene.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:15:39.940 \longrightarrow 01:15:41.740$ The state gets to intervene.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:15:41.740 \longrightarrow 01:15:43.125$ The state entity gets to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}15{:}43{.}125 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}45{.}046$ intervene if that line is crossed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}15{:}45{.}046 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}47{.}065$ and that's what I think we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:15:47.065 \rightarrow 01:15:48.034$ talking about here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- 01:15:48.040 --> 01:15:50.060 We've made mandates for vaccines,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}15{:}50{.}060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}51{.}957$ but the penalty is that your child
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- 01:15:51.957 --> 01:15:54.419 can't go to school or can't go to camp,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:15:54.420 \rightarrow 01:15:56.240$ or can't go to preschool,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}15{:}56{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}58{.}627$ but we haven't taken away the parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}15{:}58.627 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}00.819$ right to refuse that vaccination.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}16{:}00.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}03.316$ To the extent that it requires
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:16:03.316 \longrightarrow 01:16:05.465$ state intervention in terms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:16:05.465 --> 01:16:07.500 removing a child and requiring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:07.500 \rightarrow 01:16:09.348$ That vaccine we look at it along

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:09.348 \longrightarrow 01:16:11.303$ the lines of the threshold that

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:11.303 \longrightarrow 01:16:12.775$ Mark talked about before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:16:12.780 --> 01:16:15.114 If with holding care is in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}16{:}15{.}114 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}17{.}442$ going to create some catastrophic

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:17.442 \rightarrow 01:16:19.670$ event if it is lifes aving care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:19.670 \longrightarrow 01:16:20.570$ which is necessary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}16{:}20.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}23.078$ then the state has the right to intervene

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}16{:}23.078$ --> $01{:}16{:}25.472$ and we're all familiar with the Cassandra

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:16:25.472 --> 01:16:28.219 C case that occurred about 5-6 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:28.220 \longrightarrow 01:16:30.764$ which resulted in state intervention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:30.764 \rightarrow 01:16:33.200$ forced medical treatment for a teenager.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:33.200 \longrightarrow 01:16:35.569$ So we know there is a line

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:35.569 \rightarrow 01:16:36.884$ that needs to be crossed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:16:36.890 \longrightarrow 01:16:37.649$ but the law.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- 01:16:37.649 --> 01:16:38.408 As I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:16:38.410 \longrightarrow 01:16:40.601$ does not work with the same precision
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:16:40.601 \rightarrow 01:16:42.890$ and this is one of these paradigms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:16:42.890 \longrightarrow 01:16:44.810$ that would allow us to say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:16:44.810 \longrightarrow 01:16:45.133$ well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:16:45.133 \rightarrow 01:16:47.717$ we're going to make a line in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}16{:}47.717 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}50.490$ s and rule that will in fact require
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- 01:16:50.490 --> 01:16:53.180 state intervention at a certain point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:16:53.180 \longrightarrow 01:16:55.514$ which would then take those rights
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:16:55.514 \rightarrow 01:16:58.303$ away from the parent as a as important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01:16:58.303 \rightarrow 01:17:01.057$ as they may be to allow refusal of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}17{:}01{.}057 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}03.894$ treatment or denial of particular care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}17{:}03.894 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}05.534$ But there is a line.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- $01{:}17{:}05{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}06{.}910$ It is a moving line.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667
- 01:17:06.910 --> 01:17:07.922 It's not a static.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:17:07.922 --> 01:17:10.170 Long as technology improves

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}17{:}10{.}170$ --> $01{:}17{:}11{.}362$ and that's the decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:17:11.362 --> 01:17:13.805 I think that has to be brought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:17:13.805 \rightarrow 01:17:16.141$ bear on the folks who make the laws

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}17{:}16.209 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}18.369$ in each jurisdiction to determine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:17:18.370 --> 01:17:21.094 Has this line reached the point

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:17:21.094 \rightarrow 01:17:22.910$ where state intervention probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:17:22.983 --> 01:17:25.288 should be necessary and required?

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:17:25.290 \longrightarrow 01:17:27.156$ If that line has been met,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:17:27.160 \longrightarrow 01:17:28.402$ I'm going to stop there because

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:17:28.402 --> 01:17:29.769 I know we're up against it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

01:17:29.770 --> 01:17:31.260 Mark and I'm going to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:17:31.260 \longrightarrow 01:17:31.854$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:17:31.854 \rightarrow 01:17:34.230$ keep the lines open for questions on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}17{:}34{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}35{.}590$ I could probably talk for a lot longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}17{:}35{.}590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}38{.}020$ but I do want to give folks in the audience.

 $01{:}17{:}38.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}40.099$ And your colleagues at a chance to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01:17:40.100 \rightarrow 01:17:42.284$ If further the discussion along the

NOTE Confidence: 0.988124054166667

 $01{:}17{:}42.284 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}44.909$ the premise that you that you provided

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

01:17:45.370 --> 01:17:47.260 thank you. Thank you so much Jake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

01:17:47.260 --> 01:17:49.528 Now just very quickly 'cause we have a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

 $01{:}17{:}49.528 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}51.396$ minutes left for a for a few questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

01:17:51.400 --> 01:17:53.456 I want to introduce Sarah Hall who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

 $01{:}17{:}53.456 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}55.531$ the associate director of the Program for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

 $01{:}17{:}55{.}531 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}57{.}699$ Biomedical Ethics here to School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

01:17:57.700 --> 01:17:59.482 Given that some of the questions may be to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

 $01{:}17{:}59{.}482 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}01{.}492$ me and the well known fact that I can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

 $01:18:01.492 \rightarrow 01:18:03.308$ actually walk and chew gum at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

 $01{:}18{:}03{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}05{.}284$ I will not be moderating this discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

01:18:05.290 --> 01:18:06.740 But Doctor Hall will Sarah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97415496

 $01{:}18{:}06{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}08{.}028$ I thank you so much for this.

 $01:18:08.030 \longrightarrow 01:18:08.996$ And I turn it over to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98139468375

01:18:10.090 --> 01:18:11.630 Thank you so much, Mark and thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.98139468375

01:18:11.630 --> 01:18:13.568 you to all of our panelists for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98139468375

 $01:18:13.568 \rightarrow 01:18:15.560$ this really fascinating discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97355721

01:18:15.910 --> 01:18:18.210 And it is true. And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97355721

01:18:18.210 --> 01:18:20.630 I can say this as a
s an adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.97355721

 $01{:}18{:}20{.}630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}23{.}100$ cardiologist that that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.978654028

 $01:18:23.100 \longrightarrow 01:18:25.050$ as ethically fraught as a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.978654028

01:18:25.050 --> 01:18:27.054 adult medicine can be, pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.978654028

01:18:27.054 --> 01:18:29.090 medicine has so many more wrinkles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.978654028

 $01{:}18{:}29{.}090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}32{.}160$ and these are some really tough decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.978654028

 $01:18:32.160 \longrightarrow 01:18:34.088$ So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.978654028

 $01:18:34.088 \longrightarrow 01:18:35.680$ since we don't have a ton of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989263845

01:18:36.370 --> 01:18:38.440 let me just start out question

NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625

 $01{:}18{:}38{.}450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}41{.}114$ one. In addition to the role of prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625

 $01:18:41.120 \longrightarrow 01:18:42.470$ Feasibility and rights.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01:18:42.470 \longrightarrow 01:18:44.060$ Does the caregiving burden on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01:18:44.060 \longrightarrow 01:18:45.400$ the child's family or parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01:18:45.400 \longrightarrow 01:18:46.600$ affect the analysis at all?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01{:}18{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}48.107$ This was this question was asked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- 01:18:48.107 --> 01:18:50.549 during marks portion of the talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01:18:50.550 \longrightarrow 01:18:52.742$ or is this something that gets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01:18:52.742 \longrightarrow 01:18:53.980$ factored into the question of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01{:}18{:}53{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}55{.}730$ feasibility and again that was a lot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01{:}18{:}55{.}730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}57{.}450$ so I'm just going to repeat that in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01:18:57.450 \rightarrow 01:18:59.280$ addition to the role of prognosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- 01:18:59.280 --> 01:19:01.130 feasibility and rights,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01{:}19{:}01{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}02.872$ does the caregiving burden on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01:19:02.872 \longrightarrow 01:19:04.387$ the child's family or parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- 01:19:04.387 --> 01:19:06.072 affect the analysis at all or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625
- $01:19:06.072 \longrightarrow 01:19:07.564$ is that just something that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98724116625

 $01:19:07.564 \longrightarrow 01:19:08.568$ factored into the feasibility?

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01:19:09.330 \rightarrow 01:19:11.690$ So I would say I think it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

01:19:11.690 --> 01:19:13.335 And I would say remember I talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01:19:13.335 \rightarrow 01:19:14.814$ about relevant rights and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01:19:14.814 \rightarrow 01:19:16.029$ rights that they possibly consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01:19:16.029 \rightarrow 01:19:17.420$ would be the families rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

01:19:17.420 --> 01:19:18.948 So this is where I would consider it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01:19:18.950 \rightarrow 01:19:21.713$ So at some point should the burden to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

01:19:21.713 --> 01:19:23.906 family be considered in this equation

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01{:}19{:}23.906 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}26.013$ and and the short simple answer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01{:}19{:}26.013 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}28.191$ that this is supposed to be about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01:19:28.191 \rightarrow 01:19:30.528$ benefits and burdens to the child in reality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

01:19:30.530 --> 01:19:33.010 At some level we do allow some consideration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01{:}19{:}33.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}34.490$ I mean different different ethicists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01:19:34.490 \longrightarrow 01:19:36.338$ Don't different level that at some point

NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

 $01:19:36.338 \rightarrow 01:19:38.118$ the parents burden should be considered,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01:19:38.120 \longrightarrow 01:19:39.845$ but for the things we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01:19:39.845 \longrightarrow 01:19:41.225$ talking about and this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01{:}19{:}41{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}42{.}938$ this gets in a different direction about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- 01:19:42.940 --> 01:19:45.065 For example, care of children
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- 01:19:45.065 --> 01:19:46.765 who have significant disability,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01{:}19{:}46.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}49.562$ but for this when it comes to these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01:19:49.562 \rightarrow 01:19:51.953$ screening procedures that we wish to do that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01:19:51.953 \rightarrow 01:19:54.971$ the burden to the family is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- 01:19:54.971 --> 01:19:55.977 actually negligible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01:19:55.980 \rightarrow 01:19:57.404$ There may be some burden of the child,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01:19:57.410 \longrightarrow 01:19:57.998$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- 01:19:57.998 --> 01:19:59.468 exposure to the antibiotics or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01:19:59.468 \longrightarrow 01:20:00.999$ the pain of the needle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01{:}20{:}01{.}000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}02{.}380$ but the burdens others for this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01:20:02.380 \longrightarrow 01:20:03.620$ question is went out there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326

- $01:20:03.620 \rightarrow 01:20:05.036$ But for other questions we face.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01{:}20{:}05{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}06{.}150$ Yeah, that's a fair point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01{:}20{:}06.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}07.110$ And that's something that has to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984160326
- $01:20:07.110 \longrightarrow 01:20:07.430$ be considered.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- $01{:}20{:}08.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}13.037$ Mark can I take a slightly opposing view,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- 01:20:13.040 --> 01:20:16.312 please? So I think I I can't argue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- $01:20:16.312 \longrightarrow 01:20:18.987$ with the fact that it is considered,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- $01:20:18.990 \rightarrow 01:20:21.006$ and when parents are taking on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- 01:20:21.010 --> 01:20:24.636 I'd say sort of permissible but not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- $01:20:24.636 \rightarrow 01:20:26.190$ obligatory intervention decisions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- 01:20:26.190 --> 01:20:27.708 How this will affect their lives,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- 01:20:27.710 --> 01:20:29.390 their jobs, their home life,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- $01:20:29.390 \longrightarrow 01:20:30.490$ the health and well being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- $01{:}20{:}30{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}31{.}370$ of their other children.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- 01:20:31.370 --> 01:20:33.226 I think parents think about all of that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- $01:20:33.230 \rightarrow 01:20:35.228$ and that's what good parents do.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.99194
- $01:20:35.230 \rightarrow 01:20:37.195$ However, remember that that our

 $01:20:37.195 \longrightarrow 01:20:39.160$ framework of ethics that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

01:20:39.228 --> 01:20:41.559 practice in is that above all else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01:20:41.560 \rightarrow 01:20:44.343$ we don't use people as a means to enact and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01:20:44.343 \longrightarrow 01:20:49.090$ That we should be at the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01{:}20{:}49.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}50.575$ really thinking about what's right

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01{:}20{:}50{.}575 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}52{.}419$ for that child and not whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01:20:52.419 \longrightarrow 01:20:54.261$ what's right for that child is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01{:}20{:}54{.}261 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}56{.}166$ convenient for other people and one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01:20:56.166 \rightarrow 01:20:58.014$ and I understand I'm saying convenient

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01:20:58.014 \rightarrow 01:20:59.910$ to be a little provocative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

01:20:59.910 --> 01:21:01.238 but I think again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

01:21:01.238 --> 01:21:03.230 going back to that personhood thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01:21:03.230 \longrightarrow 01:21:05.386$ I think we're more willing to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01:21:05.386 \rightarrow 01:21:07.130$ about caregiver burden Fernand fence.

 $01:21:07.130 \longrightarrow 01:21:08.614$ Then we are for an older child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01{:}21{:}08.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}10.988$ and I think this idea that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01{:}21{:}10.988 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}13.029$ that child the infants still somehow

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01:21:13.029 \rightarrow 01:21:16.119$ morally are viewed more as an appendage then?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01{:}21{:}16.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}17.432$ As a free standing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

01:21:17.432 --> 01:21:19.072 Individual human with sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01{:}21{:}19.072 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}20.676$ ethical standing and the right not

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194

 $01:21:20.676 \longrightarrow 01:21:22.867$ to be used as a means to an end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01{:}21{:}23.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}25.320$ You and I are on the same page on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01:21:25.361 \rightarrow 01:21:26.726$ they only accepted very well is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

01:21:26.726 --> 01:21:28.457 I think it comes down to moral status

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01:21:28.457 \rightarrow 01:21:29.844$ and moral standing that we afford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01{:}21{:}29{.}844 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}32{.}116$ We accord a lower moral status to new borns

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01{:}21{:}32.116 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}34.484$ at some in our minds that older children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01:21:34.490 \longrightarrow 01:21:36.848$ and so I don't see that as a morally

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01{:}21{:}36.848 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}38.750$ relevant difference that kids two days

01:21:38.750 --> 01:21:41.318 old versus two years old or 12 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01:21:41.320 \longrightarrow 01:21:42.464$ So I'm with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

01:21:42.464 --> 01:21:44.180 I think those things get considered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01:21:44.180 \longrightarrow 01:21:45.890$ but ultimately it has to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

 $01:21:45.890 \longrightarrow 01:21:47.460$ what's best for the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

01:21:47.460 --> 01:21:47.992 Yes, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982561448333333

01:21:47.992 --> 01:21:48.790 Sarah, I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988813723333333

 $01:21:49.520 \rightarrow 01:21:51.908$ don't be sorry that was excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988813723333333

01:21:51.910 --> 01:21:53.950 Is the factual basis for

NOTE Confidence: 0.988813723333333

 $01:21:53.950 \rightarrow 01:21:56.180$ parents refusal ever relevant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.988813723333333

 $01:21:56.180 \longrightarrow 01:21:58.105$ If the refusal is based on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.988813723333333

 $01{:}21{:}58{.}105 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}59{.}180$ demonstrably false belief such

NOTE Confidence: 0.988813723333333

01:21:59.180 --> 01:22:00.584 as the vaccine autism link

NOTE Confidence: 0.988813723333333

 $01:22:00.584 \rightarrow 01:22:02.360$ that's been debunked, perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988813723333333

 $01{:}22{:}02{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}04{.}226$ does that alter its moral standing

- $01:22:04.260 \rightarrow 01:22:05.970$ or our obligations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986983213333333
- $01:22:05.980 \longrightarrow 01:22:06.550$ to respect it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988208645
- 01:22:12.670 --> 01:22:13.950 Anyone wanna take that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988208645
- $01:22:13.950 \longrightarrow 01:22:15.870$ You want me to take it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988208645
- $01{:}22{:}15.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}18.560$ I think it's a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988208645
- 01:22:18.560 --> 01:22:19.268 Go ahead Jeffrey.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986798593125
- 01:22:20.470 --> 01:22:22.514 Well I I think it's it's very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986798593125
- $01{:}22{:}22{.}514 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}24{.}392$ difficult because we can't force the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986798593125
- $01:22:24.392 \longrightarrow 01:22:26.560$ vaccine on them, even if they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986798593125
- $01:22:26.560 \longrightarrow 01:22:29.212$ if their beliefs are are wrong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986798593125
- $01{:}22{:}29{.}212 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}32{.}754$ So what we attempt to correct those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.986798593125
- $01:22:32.754 \longrightarrow 01:22:35.088$ beliefs, guide them and nudge.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984626898333333
- $01{:}22{:}37{.}290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}40{.}030$ But it's it's a great question. Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- 01:22:41.040 --> 01:22:42.188 I I was doing please I I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:22:42.188 \longrightarrow 01:22:43.279$ would say that for me it is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01{:}22{:}43{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}44{.}912$ It would be based on the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:22:44.912 \longrightarrow 01:22:45.728$ things these refusals,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:22:45.730 \longrightarrow 01:22:47.605$ whether or not something is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- 01:22:47.605 --> 01:22:48.730 obligatory versus advisable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:22:48.730 \longrightarrow 01:22:51.142$ For me it's got to do with the prognosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01{:}22{:}51{.}142 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}53{.}590$ with and without the treatment and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:22:53.590 \rightarrow 01:22:55.165$ consideration of relevant rights etc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:22:55.170 \rightarrow 01:22:56.730$ So that's what you're determined,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:22:56.730 \longrightarrow 01:22:57.730$ not what the parents are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:22:57.730 \longrightarrow 01:22:58.762$ Reasoning is if this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:22:58.762 \rightarrow 01:23:00.595$ If the data suggests that this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01:23:00.595 \rightarrow 01:23:02.419$ going to do enough to prevent the harm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01{:}23{:}02{.}419 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}04{.}553$ that it's that we are justified and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01{:}23{:}04{.}553 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}06{.}040$ overriding the parents decision whether
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- $01{:}23{:}06{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}07{.}540$ that decision was based on religious.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429
- 01:23:07.540 --> 01:23:09.192 Police philosophical beliefs of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429

 $01:23:09.192 \rightarrow 01:23:11.257$ false understanding of the science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429

01:23:11.260 --> 01:23:12.166 In any case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429

01:23:12.166 --> 01:23:14.280 if I think if the data suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429

 $01:23:14.280 \rightarrow 01:23:15.816$ that the benefits outweigh the risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429

 $01{:}23{:}15.816 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}17.708$ for the child to such a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429

 $01:23:17.708 \rightarrow 01:23:19.605$ extent that we would do it regardless

NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429

 $01:23:19.656 \longrightarrow 01:23:21.060$ of the source of the parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429

 $01{:}23{:}21.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}23.316$ I think regards to the source of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.983094098571429

 $01:23:23.316 \longrightarrow 01:23:24.950$ parents opinion or judgment on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:23:25.740 \rightarrow 01:23:27.747$ And connect it if you don't mind me jumping

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01{:}23{:}27.747 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}29.633$ in for one second mark, I would leave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

01:23:29.633 - 01:23:33.636 I would say that the you know parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:23:33.640 \longrightarrow 01:23:36.790$ incorrect assumptions or their factual

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01{:}23{:}36{.}790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}39{.}429$ miss apprehension of the of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:23:39.429 \rightarrow 01:23:41.761$ would be directly relevant to whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

01:23:41.761 - 01:23:44.750 or not a judge might intervene and say,

 $01:23:44.750 \longrightarrow 01:23:46.374$ you know the facts of this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:23:46.374 \longrightarrow 01:23:47.820$ are based on the evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:23:47.820 \rightarrow 01:23:49.656$ not what your perceptions are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:23:49.660 \rightarrow 01:23:51.394$ whether you believe you have moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:23:51.394 \rightarrow 01:23:53.180$ standing which can be considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:23:53.180 \longrightarrow 01:23:54.979$ If there's some kind of religious objection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:23:54.980 \longrightarrow 01:23:56.356$ but not for the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01{:}23{:}56{.}356 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}59{.}142$ I dea that there is imminent harm to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01{:}23{:}59{.}142 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}01{.}403$ child and there has to be intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

01:24:01.403 --> 01:24:03.980 in order to invoke immediate care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:24:03.980 \longrightarrow 01:24:09.510$ so that may have some more kind of looser.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

01:24:09.510 --> 01:24:11.736 Interpretation in terms of moral relevance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01:24:11.740 \longrightarrow 01:24:13.558$ but in terms of legal relevance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

01:24:13.560 --> 01:24:15.912 it would be it wouldn't be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429

 $01{:}24{:}15{.}912 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}18{.}458$ as in as nearly as important,

- $01:24:18.460 \longrightarrow 01:24:19.100$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429
- $01{:}24{:}19{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}21{.}340$ as the evidence and the factual data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429
- $01:24:21.340 \longrightarrow 01:24:23.466$ that supports the decision to intervene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429
- $01{:}24{:}23.466 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}26.478$ or to make a declaration of neglect that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985152458571429
- $01:24:26.478 \longrightarrow 01:24:28.478$ would then require state intervention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9872471
- $01:24:30.770 \longrightarrow 01:24:33.112$ Well, and the converse is just if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9872471
- $01:24:33.112 \rightarrow 01:24:35.010$ reasonable people can really disagree.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982136748571429
- 01:24:35.010 --> 01:24:36.258 We probably shouldn't do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982136748571429
- $01:24:36.258 \longrightarrow 01:24:37.502$ it as obligatory, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982136748571429
- $01:24:37.502 \rightarrow 01:24:38.710$ If there are strong,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982136748571429
- $01:24:38.710 \longrightarrow 01:24:40.534$ well founded, rational reasons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982136748571429
- $01:24:40.534 \rightarrow 01:24:42.814$ why an informed parent would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982136748571429
- $01:24:42.814 \longrightarrow 01:24:44.979$ not want that intervention,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982136748571429
- 01:24:44.980 --> 01:24:47.266 it's a weaker case for saying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982136748571429
- $01:24:47.266 \rightarrow 01:24:49.390$ they shouldn't have a choice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.982136748571429
- $01:24:49.390 \longrightarrow 01:24:50.080$ That's actually

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9695885375
- $01:24:50.090 \longrightarrow 01:24:51.138$ a great segue into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990060733333333
- $01:24:51.150 \longrightarrow 01:24:53.474$ the next question. If we if you don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990060733333333
- $01:24:53.474 \longrightarrow 01:24:57.140$ mind if I squeak it in an which is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98921115625
- $01{:}24{:}57{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}00{.}130$ how do we reconcile the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98921115625
- $01{:}25{:}00{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}01{.}774$ treatments and interventions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958147585
- $01{:}25{:}00{.}110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}00{.}638$ to a mother and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9856700125
- $01:25:00.650 \longrightarrow 01:25:01.770$ labor may violate her
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980492045454546
- $01:25:01.780 \longrightarrow 01:25:03.718$ autonomy and in fact be subject
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980492045454546
- $01{:}25{:}03.718 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}05.290$ to practice patterns which vary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980492045454546
- $01:25:05.290 \longrightarrow 01:25:07.360$ widely across obstetricians.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980492045454546
- $01:25:07.360 \rightarrow 01:25:09.058$ A single obstetrician can always say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980492045454546
- $01{:}25{:}09{.}058 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}10{.}374$ that he or she thinks the caesarean
- NOTE Confidence: 0.980492045454546
- $01:25:10.380 \longrightarrow 01:25:11.616$ is in the baby's best interest,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9919439325
- $01{:}25{:}11.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}14.220$ but nine other obstetricians may disagree.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990093645
- $01:25:14.220 \rightarrow 01:25:15.883$ In addition, much of what we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.990093645

 $01:25:15.883 \rightarrow 01:25:16.546$ introduced, his interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.98933823125

 $01:25:16.560 \longrightarrow 01:25:17.748$ in labor units over the decades

NOTE Confidence: 0.98933823125

 $01{:}25{:}17.748 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}19.698$ has not improved neonatal outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98933823125

 $01:25:19.700 \rightarrow 01:25:21.807$ So perhaps we have lost parental trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

01:25:26.980 --> 01:25:29.508 Uhm? Well, I I think given the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}25{:}29{.}508 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}32{.}274$ that that I would just point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:25:32.274 \rightarrow 01:25:34.300$ what's done during pregnancy is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:25:34.300 \longrightarrow 01:25:35.640$ very different than what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}25{:}35{.}640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}37{.}319$ done after the child is born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:25:37.320 \longrightarrow 01:25:39.228$ From an ethical point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}25{:}39{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}40{.}890$ which is to say that that when that baby is

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:25:40.932 \longrightarrow 01:25:42.480$ born and they only look at this as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}25{:}42{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}44{.}237$ But now we have a separate human

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:25:44.237 \rightarrow 01:25:46.013$ being who I believe has rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:25:46.013 \rightarrow 01:25:47.618$ as a human being separate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}25{:}47.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}49.879$ and that we can do things to this human

- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:25:49.879 \longrightarrow 01:25:52.190$ being to this child without having to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:25:52.190 \rightarrow 01:25:54.479$ violate the bodily integrity of anybody else.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:25:54.480 \longrightarrow 01:25:55.330$ But we may have to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:25:55.330 \rightarrow 01:25:56.996$ We may have to go against some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:25:56.996 \rightarrow 01:25:58.570$ parents opinion but during pregnancy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- 01:25:58.570 --> 01:25:59.446 I don't think I may have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:25:59.450 \longrightarrow 01:26:01.530$ I I didn't know if I caught the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:26:01.530 \longrightarrow 01:26:03.435$ whole question but but during
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- 01:26:03.435 --> 01:26:04.317 pregnancy obstetricians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- 01:26:04.320 --> 01:26:04.972 I believe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:26:04.972 \longrightarrow 01:26:06.646$ and I think most bioethicists
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01{:}26{:}06.646 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}07.744$ and most obstetricians.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01{:}26{:}07{.}744 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}10{.}492$ Now we believe that options are not in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01{:}26{:}10.492 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}12.468$ a position to do anything to a pregnant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01{:}26{:}12{.}531 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}14{.}736$ woman of soundmine that she doesn't want.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:26:14.740 \longrightarrow 01:26:17.043$ So she has a right to refuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:26:17.043 \rightarrow 01:26:18.706$ anything and everything even even

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:26:18.706 \longrightarrow 01:26:20.530$ if there is something that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:26:20.530 \longrightarrow 01:26:22.847$ if the fetus is at risk of death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}26{:}22.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}24.838$ that I think if if someone thinks

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

01:26:24.838 --> 01:26:26.395 that C-section needs to be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

01:26:26.395 --> 01:26:27.480 to save a baby's life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}26{:}27{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}28{.}720$ to save a fetus's life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:26:28.720 \rightarrow 01:26:31.160$ Future baby's life and the mother says no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}26{:}31{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}33{.}524$ the C-section shouldn't happen that her

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

01:26:33.524 --> 01:26:36.319 right to bodily integrity ultimately Trump's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}26{:}36{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}38{.}072$ That wasn't always that way and

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

01:26:38.072 --> 01:26:39.240 obstetrics in United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:26:39.240 \longrightarrow 01:26:40.812$ but certainly in the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01:26:40.812 \rightarrow 01:26:41.598$ couple of decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889

 $01{:}26{:}41.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}43.355$ I think we see more and more that that.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:26:43.360 \longrightarrow 01:26:44.815$ That holds sway and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:26:44.815 \longrightarrow 01:26:45.979$ think appropriately so so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01{:}26{:}45{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}47{.}600$ The obstetricians aren't in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01:26:47.600 \rightarrow 01:26:49.220$ position to say something so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01{:}26{:}49{.}284 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}51{.}019$ obligatory to a pregnant woman.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952776863888889
- $01{:}26{:}51{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}51{.}698$ In my opinion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983622177142857
- 01:26:53.900 --> 01:26:55.209 I think if I if I may,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983622177142857
- $01:26:55.210 \longrightarrow 01:26:56.981$ one piece of Jessica brings up in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983622177142857
- $01:26:56.981 \longrightarrow 01:26:58.608$ that question is is around trust,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983622177142857
- $01{:}26{:}58{.}610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}01{.}350$ which I do think is is a theme and at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983622177142857
- $01:27:01.428 \rightarrow 01:27:04.460$ least my experience is a lot of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983622177142857
- 01:27:04.460 --> 01:27:06.950 refusals are based on his perception
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983622177142857
- $01{:}27{:}06{.}950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}09{.}970$ or an experience that caused a family
- NOTE Confidence: 0.983622177142857
- $01{:}27{:}09{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}12{.}460$ to not trust the medical community.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.991616415
- $01:27:18.500 \rightarrow 01:27:21.844$ Well, perhaps. Sarah is editor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.991616415

- $01:27:21.844 \longrightarrow 01:27:23.980$ we are. We at the hour we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988245839
- $01:27:23.990 \longrightarrow 01:27:24.862$ are at the hour.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988245839
- $01:27:24.862 \longrightarrow 01:27:26.170$ We do have some more questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966197802222222
- $01:27:26.180 \longrightarrow 01:27:28.250$ but we I know we have a hard rule
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950935754
- $01:27:28.260 \longrightarrow 01:27:30.731$ of a of a hard stop at 6:30.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950935754
- 01:27:30.731 --> 01:27:32.440 So I wanted to give you a chance to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950935754
- 01:27:32.440 --> 01:27:34.290 provide a few concluding remarks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- 01:27:35.690 --> 01:27:36.682 Thank you so much,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01{:}27{:}36.682 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}38.790$ Doctor Hall and thank you all for coming,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:27:38.790 \rightarrow 01:27:40.594$ especially to our panelists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- 01:27:40.594 --> 01:27:42.154 A doctor, loyal Doctor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- 01:27:42.154 --> 01:27:43.462 Leventhal, and attorney cyclic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01{:}27{:}43.462 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}45.499$ and all of you who came up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:27:45.500 \rightarrow 01:27:46.949$ You can find that we're doing this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:27:46.949 \rightarrow 01:27:48.269$ twice a month in the evening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01{:}27{:}48{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}50{.}321$ We have some some seminars that I

- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:27:50.321 \rightarrow 01:27:52.198$ hope are interest to you biomedical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:27:52.198 \rightarrow 01:27:54.155$ ethics at yale.edu and if you reach
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:27:54.155 \rightarrow 01:27:56.318$ out to us through there will get you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:27:56.318 \rightarrow 01:27:58.110$ on the mailing list so that you'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:27:58.170 \rightarrow 01:28:00.186$ get notified of each of these things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01{:}28{:}00{.}190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}01{.}948$ Many people than Medical Center are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- 01:28:01.950 --> 01:28:03.231 I also saw the names of some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:28:03.231 \longrightarrow 01:28:04.050$ of the folks here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01{:}28{:}04{.}050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}05{.}947$ Some pediatricians I've known over the years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- 01:28:05.950 --> 01:28:08.070 You are absolutely gold standard.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- 01:28:08.070 --> 01:28:09.295 The kind of people that I would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:28:09.295 \longrightarrow 01:28:10.529$ go to with these questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01:28:10.530 \longrightarrow 01:28:12.406$ So if you have advice on this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01{:}28{:}12{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}13{.}346$ if you have thoughts on this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333
- $01{:}28{:}13.350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}15.468$ you didn't get to bring here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333

 $01{:}28{:}15{.}470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}17{.}094$ My I can be reached and I'd be

NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333

 $01:28:17.094 \longrightarrow 01:28:18.149$ interested in your opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.979093420833333

01:28:18.150 --> 01:28:18.400 I'm at

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

 $01:28:21.020 \longrightarrow 01:28:22.464$ mark.mercurio@yale.edu and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

 $01:28:22.464 \longrightarrow 01:28:24.630$ find me also through that website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

 $01{:}28{:}24.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}27.033$ so I'm this is a real issue we're facing

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

01:28:27.033 --> 01:28:29.547 and I'm happy to have anybody's opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

 $01:28:29.550 \rightarrow 01:28:30.642$ particularly pediatricians that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

 $01{:}28{:}30.642 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}32.544$ have known and respected for so long

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

 $01:28:32.544 \rightarrow 01:28:34.084$ who were kind enough to come tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

01:28:34.090 --> 01:28:36.066 Sarah, thank you so much for doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

01:28:36.070 --> 01:28:38.334 And come with that, I will say goodnight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921526778

 $01:28:38.340 \longrightarrow 01:28:38.940$ Thank you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98513945

 $01:28:39.600 \longrightarrow 01:28:42.000$ Thank you everyone.