WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:26:19.2170000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:00.867$ Good evening friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:00.867 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.890$ Welcome to the Yale School of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:02.947 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.637$ Program for Biomedical Ethics or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}04.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.989$ Evening Ethics seminar series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.026$ That slide of Sam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:00:07.026 --> 00:00:09.000 If you could put back the slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}09.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.184$ we were just looking at for a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:00:11.190 --> 00:00:13.404 I would like to just point out to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:13.404 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.492$ folks to night we have a terrific talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:00:15.492 --> 00:00:17.489 coming up from Sarah Hall the 1st,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.324$ just to give you guys a chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:19.324 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.510$ to take a look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.337$ We have a lot of old friends

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:22.337 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.339$ on this call and some new ones.

 $00:00:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.251$ We do these seminars once or twice

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}26.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.346$ a month in the evening and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:28.346 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.164$ are almost welcome to join us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.378$ And you can see here the upcoming talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:00:32.380 --> 00:00:33.695 They have several later added

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:33.695 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.010$ since we first published our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:35.059 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.239$ schedule some months back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.165$ and these will be of this schedule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.378$ Of course will be available on our website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}40.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.760$ Bio medical ethics at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:00:41.760 --> 00:00:43.416 But you can also see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.345$ We've got some wonderful talks coming up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}45.350 --> 00{:}00{:}46.725$ I'd like to now introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:00:46.725 --> 00:00:47.825 our speaker for tonight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.590$ but to let you know the way this lays out.

 $00:00:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.070$ For those of you who are new is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}53.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.555$ will have a talk for about 45 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:00:55.560 --> 00:00:57.768 plus or minus or speaker tonight after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:57.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.426$ which will have a Q&A portion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.166$ And I invite you at that point

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:01:01.166 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.520$ to submit your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:01:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.800$ Through the Q&A on the zoom and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}01{:}04.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.968$ I'll ask your questions to Doctor Hull

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:01:06.968 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.700$ and we will have a hard stop at 6:30.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:11.830 --> 00:01:13.550 I want to thank Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.926$ Hall for coming tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:14.930 --> 00:01:16.306 We've been looking forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:16.306 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.370$ to this talk for some time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.428$ so let me introduce her Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:20.430 --> 00:01:22.838 Sarah Hall is well, among other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.838$ one of the associate directors of

00:01:24.838 --> 00:01:26.620 the Program for Biomedical Ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}26.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.996$ Sarazen Assistant professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:27.996 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.716$ Clinical Medicine here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:29.720 --> 00:01:31.974 She's also one of the favorite instructors

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:31.974 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.190$ at the medical school in cardiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.934$ She teaches a course in the medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:36.940 --> 00:01:37.972 It's very popular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:37.972 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.348$ She's a gifted teacher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.348$ and she's also a wonderful bioethicist

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:41.348 --> 00:01:43.130 Senator undergraduate work in Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.095$ where she received an AB

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:45.095 --> 00:01:46.274 and biochemical Sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}46.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}48.338$ And then also studied some French.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.556$ She got her MD and her MBA or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:50.556 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.413$ Master of Biomedical Ethics at

00:01:52.413 --> 00:01:54.873 Penn and our ethics work there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.600$ She was mentored by our

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.976$ old friend are Kaplan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:57.980 --> 00:02:00.724 She then did her medical residency at Penn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.002$ came to Yale to do a cardiology fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}03.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04.815$ where she developed in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:04.815 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.179$ expertise in echocardiography and now she

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:07.179 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.327$ serves on our cardiology faculty here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}09.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.633$ In addition to her work in echocardiography

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:11.633 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.459$ in her teaching of cardiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}13.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.818$ she also serves as a consultant

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:15.818 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.914$ for the transplant team in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}17.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.690$ Advanced Heart failure team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}19.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.482$ So Sarah is an important part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:21.482 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.909$ the clinical endeavors here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:02:22.910 --> 00:02:24.150 She's also an important part

 $00:02:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.390$ of the bioethics program here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.490$ and I'm very pleased that she's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:27.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.338$ to speak to us tonight on some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:30.338 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.817$ ethical issues related to a plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716 00:02:32.820 --> 00:02:33.078 Vera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:33.078 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.884$ thank you so much for doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.366$ We are very grateful and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:02:36.366 --> 00:02:37.950 that I'm going to turn this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.300$ over to Doctor Sarah Home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734674

00:02:41.060 --> 00:02:43.100 Thank you so much Mark for that very

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734674

 $00{:}02{:}43.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.375$ kind introduction. I'm just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734674

 $00:02:44.375 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.160$ to go ahead and share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

00:02:50.160 --> 00:02:52.240 Alright, can everyone say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00{:}02{:}52.240 --> 00{:}02{:}55.360$ well, I guess you looks fine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00:02:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.508$ perfect alright so so as as Mark

 $00:02:58.508 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.595$ said today I would like to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00{:}03{:}01.595 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.767$ to you about some of the various

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00:03:05.767 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.359$ ethical considerations in nutrition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00:03:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.768$ specifically what I will argue are in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00:03:11.768 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.590$ the moral imperatives of transitioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

00:03:14.590 --> 00:03:17.720 Tord more plant based nutrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:03:20.210 --> 00:03:22.901 So I'm just going to start off by declaring

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:22.901 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.269$ that I have no conflicts of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}25.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.470$ although I should clarify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:03:26.470 --> 00:03:28.915 I'm sorry I'm just trying to set up

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}28.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30.679$ my screen here that despite having

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:03:30.679 --> 00:03:32.529 no financial conflicts of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}32.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35.114$ I do have a great deal of confluence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:35.114 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.588$ of interest in that area in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.646$ and what I mean by that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:39.646 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.345$ I'm deeply concerned about the

 $00:03:41.345 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.589$ welfare of both people and animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}43.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.504$ I'm passionate about heart health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:45.504 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.070$ I'm passionate about delicious food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.986$ So and I think all of these things are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}49.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.970$ Very relevant to the arguments

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}51.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.630$ that I'm going to make to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.382$ I should also note that when I speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:57.382 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.519$ about we on a societal level or society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:04:00.520 --> 00:04:01.908 unless I state otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}04{:}01.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.643$ I'm speaking from an American

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:04:03.643 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.669$ or Western frame of reference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:04:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.987$ but I will attempt to be as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}04{:}07.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.089$ clear as possible going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.568$ Alright, so first I'd like us to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:15.568 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.621$ of walk through some of the common

 $00:04:18.621 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.971$ philosophical arguments that I typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:21.048 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.358$ hear that argue in favor of continuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:23.358 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.235$ to eat a Western diet that is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

00:04:26.235 --> 00:04:28.120 heavy in animal product consumption,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.180$ and then try to show you why I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

00:04:31.180 --> 00:04:32.964 these arguments are fundamentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:32.964 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.135$ flawed and why we we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:36.225 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.899$ change the way that we eat first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}04{:}38.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.796$ Animals exist only as means to an end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.688$ That is to say our end as humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}04{:}44.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.102$ Because only humans are beings that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:47.102 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.612$ have possessed the sufficient dignity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:49.612 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.120$ warrant being considered ends in ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.225$ We evolved to eat animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:54.225 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.909$ and it's only natural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:04:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.566$ We need to eat meat to be healthy.

00:04:58.570 --> 00:05:00.665 And nutritional choices are purely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}05{:}00.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.170$ a matter of personal choice as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.198$ they do not affect other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.300$ So let's start with some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.700$ the animal rights arguments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

00:05:08.700 --> 00:05:11.150 As many of you probably already know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:11.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.586$ and this was touched upon during professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:13.586 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.349$ singers talk earlier this season,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}05{:}15.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.450$ and I do a pologize that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.850$ may be some overlap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.482$ but I also realize there may be people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

00:05:21.482 --> 00:05:23.750 here who didn't hear that talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}05{:}23.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.718$ The meat industry is really rife

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:25.718 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.476$ with examples of cruelty well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:27.476 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.996$ far beyond slaughter itself.

 $00:05:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.667$ I'd just like to post here a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}05{:}31.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.651$ trigger warning that I'm about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}05{:}33.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.925$ to show a few graphic images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.842$ So I just want to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:37.842 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.770$ everyone's adequately prepared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.381$ So the practice of debeaking is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:43.381 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.847$ that's widely done in the poultry industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.682$ You can see here it is. It's pretty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}05{:}48.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.537$ It's pretty grue some that basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:50.537 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.957$ the tips of the beaks of birds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}05{:}52.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.811$ used in poultry farming are cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:54.882 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.988$ off with a guillotine like device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.566$ and that's done primarily as a money

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:59.566 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.782$ saving endeavour because it because when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:01.782 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.882$ poultry are in crowded conditions they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:03.882 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.483$ get stressed and they fight and they can

 $00:06:06.483 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.990$ injure and even kill each other that way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}08.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.599$ and too and that causes financial loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.760$ And because the financial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.380$ Bottom line is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:15.380 --> 00:06:18.900 Really, the only bottom line in much of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}18.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.899$ the food and the factory farming industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:22.900 --> 00:06:24.916 That's why this procedure is done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.930$ but it's a very painful procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.600$ It often leads to disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}28.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.665$ and can sometimes even lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}30.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.305$ death in these birds actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}32.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.382$ But but since it leads to less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}34.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.000$ death than not debeaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.788$ it's done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:36.788 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.152$ even though again with no anesthesia

 $00:06:39.152 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.667$ or pain medication of any kind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.386$ Our check calling is another practice that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:44.386 --> 00:06:47.668 in the not so much the poultry industry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.238$ but the egg industry specifically because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:50.238 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.270$ only female chicks grow up to produce eggs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.270$ Male chicks are therefore useless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.470$ So in egg and in the egg industry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.870$ often the male chicks are killed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:07:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.470$ either shortly after birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:07:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.122$ either through suffocation by being placed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:07:05.122 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.870$ in a bag like you can see on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:07:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.598$ or by being ground up alive as there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:07:12.600 --> 00:07:13.640 Conveyed into a grinder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:07:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.200$ much like you see on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:07:15.262 --> 00:07:16.697 conveyor belt on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:07:16.700 --> 00:07:18.055 because it costs too much

 $00:07:18.055 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.700$ money to care for the man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:07:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.060$ it's cheaper to kill them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00:07:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.218$ Gestation crates are how many commercial

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

00:07:26.218 --> 00:07:29.030 South spend their lives in a crate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00:07:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.526$ often too small for them even to turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00:07:32.526 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.860$ around in, but with enough access

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00:07:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.550$ such that their piglets can can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00{:}07{:}39.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.902$ Can feed and so that they can grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00:07:41.902 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.468$ up and this is how many factory

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00:07:44.468 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.880$ pigs just spend their entire lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:07:49.350 --> 00:07:51.345 And cyclic pregnancy is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:07:51.345 --> 00:07:53.340 practice of the dairy industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}07{:}53.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.993$ A lot of people I think aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:07:55.993 --> 00:07:58.529 aware that just like most ma'am,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:07:58.530 --> 00:08:00.660 are all mammals really not female

 $00:08:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.920$ mammals just don't lactate indefinitely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}02.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.224$ Female mammals need to be pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}05.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.099$ and give birth in order to lactate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.100$ And cows are no exception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.935$ So in order for cows to produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:08:12.935 --> 00:08:14.889 an adequate amount of milk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.318$ there cyclically impregnated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:16.318 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.698$ typically about once a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}18.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.076$ And their babies are taken away

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:21.076 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.170$ from them shortly after birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.200$ Even though cows from very

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.230$ strong bond with their calves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}27.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.694$ So that because that that milk is valuable

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:30.694 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.124$ and it can be sold for money to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:08:34.130 --> 00:08:36.308 And again because maximizing profits is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:36.308 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.166$ that the number One Financial imperative of

00:08:39.166 --> 00:08:41.836 Agri business or factory farming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:08:41.840 --> 00:08:44.348 I should say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.300$ That that's how it's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:08:46.300 --> 00:08:49.164 And then this happens in a cycle until

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}49.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.877$ cows are considered spent and can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:08:51.877 --> 00:08:54.757 give anymore milk and then typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:54.833 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.498$ their their slaughtered after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.085$ So what are the relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:00.085 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.670$ ethical arguments at play here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.886$ I think very simply we can start from

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:09:04.886 --> 00:09:06.874 a welfare ethics framework applying

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:06.874 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.694$ the principle of nonmal eficence

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:08.694 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.687$ that that certainly those of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}09{:}10.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.668$ you in health care at this talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:09:12.668 --> 00:09:14.248 tonight have understand very well,

 $00:09:14.248 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.180$ but I think it's really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:16.238 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.758$ of intuitive for everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.992$ which is basically the idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:19.992 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.841$ since animals clearly have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:09:21.841 --> 00:09:23.913 ability to feel pain or suffer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:09:23.913 --> 00:09:26.339 not only is that just simply obvious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.050$ that's also been well documented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.440$ That,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.780$ based on this alone that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.140$ a strong argument to be made that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}09{:}33.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.890$ Their suffering should be minimized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:09:36.890 --> 00:09:40.266 I would also argue that going beyond that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.496$ animals have to some degree at

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:42.496 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.566$ least an intrinsic value rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:44.566 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.618$ than simply instrumental value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.548$ That is to say that their value will

 $00:09:49.548 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.246$ beans as ends in themselves worthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:09:52.246 --> 00:09:55.072 of dignity if not full autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.900$ rather than simply being things

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.720$ that are at our disposal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.827$ Because why is it that that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:02.827 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.897$ think that only humans are ends

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:04.897 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.357$ in itself in ourselves?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}06.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.704$ Is it based on our ability to form

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:10:08.704 --> 00:10:10.469 relationships and to express empathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:10:10.469 --> 00:10:12.334 by exhibiting pro social behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.776$ Is it based on our superior intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}14.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.449$ because it seems to me that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}17.449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.391$ the things that intuitively we used

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:10:19.391 --> 00:10:21.638 to argue for this sort of speciesism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.112$ where humans have have sort of these these

00:10:24.112 --> 00:10:26.618 rights that far extend beyond animal rights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.954$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:26.954 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.288$ well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:10:27.288 --> 00:10:29.626 I'm certainly not trying to argue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:29.626 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.666$ animals necessarily have to have an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:10:31.670 --> 00:10:34.127 Equal legal or moral standing to people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.559$ but that they are deserving of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}36.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.010$ recognition of intrinsic value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.418$ Based on the fact that they too

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:40.418 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.290$ share much of this in common with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}43.290 --> 00{:}10{:}45.050$ That's not just my feeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.018$ although I think that many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}47.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.774$ who know animals would understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:48.774 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.679$ this intuitively to be true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.435$ But this is actually been

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:10:52.435 --> 00:10:53.488 studied somewhat extensively.

 $00:10:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.272$ I'll be at less extensively in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:10:55.272 --> 00:10:57.303 farm animals because of some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:57.303 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.867$ the ethical controversies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:58.867 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.431$ creates then another intelligent

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:11:00.488 --> 00:11:01.700 animals like primates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:11:01.700 --> 00:11:02.380 For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:11:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.740$ and so this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.141$ this is a table from a review

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:06.141 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.819$ that was published in 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.860$ I don't expect you to read

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:09.860 --> 00:11:11.220 through the whole table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.398$ but it's just to illustrate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:13.398 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.850$ various physical cognitive capacities

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.755$ that several different farm animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:16.755 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.600$ have been demonstrated to possess.

00:11:18.600 --> 00:11:19.884 And more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:19.884 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.596$ this table here describes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:21.596 --> 00:11:24.116 some of the socio cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:24.116 --> 00:11:26.436 capacities that animals possess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.900$ including most notably the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.868$ to distinguish between between

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}11{:}30.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.808$ different and recognize humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:32.810 --> 00:11:36.240 Recognize other animals of their own species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.200$ The ability to communicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.670$ within their species,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.995$ and also with humans the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:42.995 --> 00:11:46.129 learn both from again other members

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:46.129 --> 00:11:49.357 of their species an from humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:49.360 --> 00:11:50.724 Um, and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:50.724 --> 00:11:53.657 these are the very things that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:53.657 --> 00:11:56.492 make us unique and special and worthy

00:11:56.492 --> 00:11:58.365 individuals as people and animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:58.365 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.248$ really share a lot of those traits with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:02.248 --> 00:12:04.036 Um, this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:04.040 --> 00:12:07.150 which was actually just published

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.200$ last month in a psychology Journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:11.200 --> 00:12:12.640 Demonstrated that pigs actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.220$ can be trained to play video games.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}15.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.410$ Moving a joystick with their snout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.056$ and I think that this quote is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:20.056 --> 00:12:21.596 really particularly salient to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:21.596 --> 00:12:23.606 to this argument that I'm making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.258$ so I'm going to read it to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}27.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.210$ Their high level there being the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}29.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.911$ pigs social motivation to perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:30.911 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.636$ the task was also noteworthy.

 $00:12:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.170$ Although food rewards associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.670$ the task were likely a motivating factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.590$ the social contact the pigs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.510$ experience with their trainer also

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:40.572 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.427$ appeared to be very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:42.430 --> 00:12:42.818 Occasionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}42.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.758$ equipment failures resulted in non

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:44.758 --> 00:12:46.310 reward following correct responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}46.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.280$ meaning that food was not given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.284$ On these occasions the pigs continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}51.284 \to 00{:}12{:}53.021$ to make correct responses when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:53.021 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.085$ rewarded only with verbal and tactile

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:55.085 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.550$ reinforcement from the experimenter

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}56.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.776$ who is also their primary caretaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.212$ So I think here you can see there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:01.212 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.047$ there's really quite a striking

00:13:03.047 --> 00:13:04.992 similarity between how pigs interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}04.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.228$ with their caretaker and how dogs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.932$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}07.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.336$ interact with their caretakers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}09.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.412$ Anyone who's had a dog here can can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:12.412 --> 00:13:14.526 understand that you know you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:14.526 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.184$ just have to give a dog treats to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:17.184 --> 00:13:19.896 to make it happier to make it obey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}19.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.100$ The dogs are very responsive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}22.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.300$ to verbal and tactile stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.254$ And it's it's one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:27.254 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.397$ that makes us value them so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}30.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.172$ and and indeed grant them a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:33.172 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.068$ degree of dignity as companion animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:36.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.378$ Because because dogs,

 $00:13:37.378 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.250$ another companion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:38.250 --> 00:13:40.360 animals actually do enjoy legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:40.360 --> 00:13:43.050 protections against cruelty in our country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.426$ and I would submit that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:45.426 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.466$ some degree of willful ignorance

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:47.466 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.084$ that we need to maintain in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:50.084 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.261$ to make this this really artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:53.261 --> 00:13:54.435 distinction between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.185$ Dogs and other companion animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:56.185 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.500$ and pigs and other farm animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.340$ And, you know, in in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.692$ In Western society we we just have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:02.692 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.588$ this task to understanding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:04.588 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.437$ it would be barbaric to treat dogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:07.437 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.569$ the way that we treat pigs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:14:09.570 --> 00:14:12.882 And so you know, it's hypocritical for us to,

00:14:12.890 --> 00:14:14.830 for Westerners to criticize other

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}14{:}14.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.150$ cultures for their treatment of dogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.327$ in cultures where they do eat dogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:19.327 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.587$ when factory farming is really quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}14{:}21.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.557$ problematic to animals that possess

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:23.557 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.172$ really remarkably similar traits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:14:25.172 --> 00:14:26.788 And capacities for intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}14{:}26.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.000$ and sophisticated emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:28.052 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.546$ relationships. And this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:29.546 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.636$ This paradox has prompted the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:14:31.636 --> 00:14:33.734 hashtag friends, not food movement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:33.734 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.660$ that some people may be familiar with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}14{:}36.660 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}14{:}39.156$ but it's just again to illustrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:39.156 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.400$ that these these rich relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.895$ can happen with both companion

 $00:14:43.895 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.580$ animals and with farm animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.261$ Aren't so some of you are undoubtedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:49.261 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.174$ saying look Doc, that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:51.174 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.084$ You're obviously this bleeding heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.764$ Animal lover, which OK guilty as charged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:14:55.770 --> 00:14:57.685 But you know that's humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:57.685 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.600$ are supposed to eat meat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.218$ We have canine teeth and incisors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:02.218 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.958$ you know that that's how we evolve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.880$ We're supposed to eat meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.554$ and so I'm going to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.464$ So let me take you through some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:12.464 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.130$ my counter arguments to that to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.039$ you why that line of thinking is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.400$ Is a little bit problematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.158$ So first of all, humans evolved.

 $00{:}15{:}22.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.328$ Humans are not carnivores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:23.328 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.496$ We evolved as omnivores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.544$ So yes, we did evolve eating meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.136$ although we evolved eating a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:29.136 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.579$ of plant based matter as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.330$ Another something that I hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.380$ commonly is well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.130$ caveman didn't get heart attacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:15:36.130 --> 00:15:38.550 and they hate meat, so it's fine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.590$ and that's often the justification for

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:15:40.590 --> 00:15:43.476 the so called paleo diet or Paleolithic diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.207$ which is a diet that is that is typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}15{:}46.207 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}15{:}48.729$ as practiced very meat heavy diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}15{:}48.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.998$ That's sort of promoted as a as

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:50.998 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.165$ an ancestral diet of sorts that

00:15:53.165 --> 00:15:55.030 that is choose processed foods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:15:55.030 --> 00:15:57.830 which in that sense it's a good thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:15:57.830 --> 00:16:00.980 but really tends to be quite heavy on meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.416$ but that's that, really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:16:02.416 --> 00:16:04.570 Looking to a Paleolithic way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:04.643 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.761$ eating really is not applicable in

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:06.761 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.270$ the modern world for several reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636 00:16:09.270 --> 00:16:09.590 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}09.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11.190$ humans evolved under the pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:16:11.190 --> 00:16:12.150 of food scarcity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.030$ so we evolved where food was hard to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.230$ We had to spend a lot of energy getting food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}18.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.518$ We now do not live in an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:20.518 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.750$ of food scarcity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:16:21.750 --> 00:16:23.990 We live in an environment of food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636 00:16:23.990 --> 00:16:24.590 Sir plus,

 $00:16:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.690$ and in fact we often have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}26.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.470$ actively avoid eating too much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:16:28.470 --> 00:16:29.922 Whether that's you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:29.922 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.723$ the coworker pressuring us to have a cookie

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:16:32.723 --> 00:16:35.190 that you know that he or she brought in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.584$ not getting snacks that are being hawked

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:37.584 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.058$ when you go to the movie theater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636 00:16:40.060 --> 00:16:40.470 Really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:40.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.290$ where where?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}41.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.340$ There are constant pressures to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.850$ eat and eat more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.015$ and so that's not really applicable here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636 00:16:49.020 --> 00:16:49.355 Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}49.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.695$ the Hunter gatherer lifestyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:16:50.695 --> 00:16:52.370 required a significant amount of

00:16:52.422 --> 00:16:53.807 physical effort to obtain food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.082$ particularly to obtain meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:55.082 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.672$ so you know when I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.120$ when I see the paleo diet is expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.458$ by eating two hamburgers and no bun,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84434933636363600:17:01.460 --> 00:17:02.130 that's not. NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}17{:}02.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.805$ That's not really a Paleolithic

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:03.805 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.290$ way of eating at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636 00:17:05.290 --> 00:17:05.908 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:05.908 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.762$ I don't think you can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}17{:}07.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.414$ argue that you're eating meat

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:17:09.414 --> 00:17:11.668 in an ancestral way in any way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.854$ In less you are hunting and butchering

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:13.854 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.732$ any meat that you eat yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:17:15.732 --> 00:17:17.790 or with a family member or close

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.010$ friend using only Paleolithic tools.

00:17:20.010 --> 00:17:20.696 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:20.696 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.097$ I just must stress that the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:17:23.097 --> 00:17:25.036 of physical activity it required

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:25.036 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.333$ in order to eat meat at all is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:17:28.333 --> 00:17:30.538 not at all present in modern day

NOTE Confidence: 0.84400034

 $00:17:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.295$ meeting, so that's not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84400034

 $00:17:32.295 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.699$ a fair comparison either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:17:36.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.706$ Furthermore, prehistoric humans were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00{:}17{:}37.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.751$ mainly threatened by predators and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:17:39.751 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.214$ infectious diseases which typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:17:41.214 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.218$ killed them well before they could

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00{:}17{:}43.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.603$ develop atherosclerotic cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

00:17:44.603 --> 00:17:47.471 disease and cancer, which of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:17:47.471 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.263$ are the number one killers today of Americans

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:17:51.263 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.798$ and in the Western world in general.

 $00:17:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.700$ This so evolutionary pressure therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:17:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.469$ led us to develop very robust immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:17:59.469 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.863$ systems in order to promote effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:18:01.863 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.627$ wound healing and to help us fight infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:18:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.918$ Because these were our

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00{:}18{:}06.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.634$ main evolutionary threats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

00:18:08.640 --> 00:18:09.143 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

00:18:09.143 --> 00:18:11.658 the modern consequences of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00{:}18{:}11.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14.306$ is a significant propensity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

00:18:14.306 --> 00:18:15.438 chronic inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00{:}18{:}15.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.620$ which incidentally is a major.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:18:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.768$ Risk factor in the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:18:21.768 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.879$ coronary disease as well as cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.643$ So I think if you're going to really make

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:28.643 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.489$ an evolutionary argument for eating meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:31.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.244$ even if it is really eating in the way

 $00:18:34.244 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.543$ that our Paleolithic ancestors did I

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}18{:}36.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.819$ think you also have to ask yourself what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.630$ What are my life goals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.802$ Because if your goal really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:18:43.802 --> 00:18:45.250 purely an evolutionary goal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:18:45.250 --> 00:18:46.662 your evolutionary telos, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:18:46.662 --> 00:18:49.205 Your purpose is simply to live long

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:18:49.205 --> 00:18:51.025 enough such that your offspring

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:18:51.025 --> 00:18:52.481 can survive past childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.974$ And now you've successfully

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:53.974 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.458$ passed on your genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:55.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.335$ You fulfilled your genetic destiny

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}18{:}57.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.899$ and you don't need to live anymore

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:18:59.899 --> 00:19:02.363 so you know you have most of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:02.435 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.379$ kids by the time you're 2025,

 $00:19:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.116$ then by the time you're 40 or 45,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.806$ they're adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:07.806 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.864$ They can have their own kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.899$ You are done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:10.899 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.300$ You don't need to live any longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:13.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.680$ Setting aside the fact that very rarely

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.779$ are 20 year olds even close to being well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.028$ I shouldn't say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:20.028 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.738$ But 20 year olds are rarely in fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:22.738 --> 00:19:24.820 independent in modern life, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.020$ But even more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.484$ that that's that's not most people's goals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.260$ When people come to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.910$ they're not looking to survive

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.959$ just long enough such that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}19{:}34.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.664$ offspring can survive an their

 $00{:}19{:}36.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.670$ genetic destiny can be fulfilled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}19{:}38.670 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}19{:}40.788$ People want to live well past

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:40.788 --> 00:19:41.847 their reproductive years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.610$ double that time at least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:43.610 --> 00:19:45.375 and not just living past

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:45.375 --> 00:19:46.434 their reproductive years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.876$ but living with a really robust functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:48.876 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.670$ status both physically and mentally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:50.670 --> 00:19:53.134 So I would argue that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:53.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.834$ looking tored,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}19{:}53.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.569$ an evolutionary pattern of eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:55.569 --> 00:19:57.459 really is not applicable in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:57.460 --> 00:19:58.832 In the modern world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:58.832 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.204$ with our modern invite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}20{:}00.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.460$ Mental food abundance and with

 $00:20:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.421$ our modern goal of living well

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:05.421 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.929$ past our evolutionary imperatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.055$ So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:09.055 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.930$ let's turn to talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:10.930 --> 00:20:12.368 cardiovascular health and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:12.368 --> 00:20:14.228 shifting toward a plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:14.228 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.517$ is really crucial for promoting that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:16.520 --> 00:20:18.310 which again, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:18.310 --> 00:20:20.095 is features very largely in

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:20.095 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.523$ my confluence of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.220$ As of course, a cardiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.116$ So we know that the consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:26.116 --> 00:20:27.872 of animal based foods strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:27.872 --> 00:20:29.588 strongly promotes Atheros sclerosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:29.588 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.157$ or plaque deposition in the arteries

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:32.157 --> 00:20:34.663 of the heart and frankly in the

00:20:34.663 --> 00:20:36.421 arteries everywhere else as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:36.421 --> 00:20:38.850 And we know that this is mediated

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:38.928 --> 00:20:41.462 not just by high levels of kolesov

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:41.462 --> 00:20:44.187 of high intake of saturated fat which

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:44.187 --> 00:20:46.911 promotes high levels of LDL or bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:46.911 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.137$ cholesterol which leads to plaque deposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:49.140 --> 00:20:51.000 But it also promotes inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.560$ which is another key factor in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.839$ the progression of atherosclerotic plaque.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}20{:}55.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.348$ It's these two things working together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}20{:}58.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.120$ That that really accelerate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.300$ growth and the instability of black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}21{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.558$ And we also know that a whole food plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:05.558 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.755$ based diet and by this I mean whole food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.840$ meaning unprocessed or

 $00:21:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.920$ minimally processed foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:21:10.920 --> 00:21:13.125 So I do not mean vegan sugar

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}21{:}13.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.359$ cookies when I talk about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:21:15.359 --> 00:21:17.374 plant based diet going forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.809$ this is actually the only diet that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:19.809 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.380$ been shown not only to prevent or

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.200$ slow the progression of black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.035$ but actually to reverse plaque

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:26.035 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.270$ and in certain cases when it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:28.270 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.556$ Adhere to very strictly and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}21{:}30.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.080$ is a diet and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:21:32.163 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.725$ I will make references to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:21:34.730 --> 00:21:36.750 You know, throughout my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:21:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.578$ this is a diet that is predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:21:39.578 --> 00:21:41.194 composed of fruits, vegetables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:21:41.194 --> 00:21:42.810 whole grains, nuts, seeds,

 $00:21:42.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.638$ beans and legumes among other food sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}21{:}45.640 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}21{:}48.070$ And really minimizes, if not excludes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:21:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.040$ animal based sources of food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:21:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.880$ So let's talk about inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:21:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.184$ So as I said, the whole food plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:21:55.184 --> 00:21:58.209 based or a whole food plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:21:58.209 --> 00:22:00.272 offers many anti inflammatory benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:00.272 --> 00:22:03.149 beyond its effects on the lipid profile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}03.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.130$ meaning effects on lowering cholesterol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.821$ So the whole whole food plant based diet has

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:08.821 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.437$ been shown to decrease insulin resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.918$ So why do we care about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}13.920 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.550$ So insulin resistance is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:15.550 --> 00:22:17.810 a hallmark of type 2 diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.872$ which is quite.

 $00:22:18.872 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.996$ It's really an epidemic in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}20.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.385$ Western world and it's mostly it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}23.385 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.710$ typically a lifestyle disease and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.719$ feature of it is unlike type one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.370$ diabetes where you don't make

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}29.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.620$ enough insulin with type 2 diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.618$ Typically the problem isn't so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:33.618 --> 00:22:35.869 that you're not making enough insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.606$ although that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:36.606 --> 00:22:38.814 It can progress to issues like

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:38.814 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.530$ that in later stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.588$ but is that your body itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:42.588 --> 00:22:44.913 you're the cells of your body

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}44.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.108$ aren't responsive to the insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}47.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.045$ and so with decreased insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}49.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.206$ resistance comes hyperglycemia,

00:22:50.210 --> 00:22:52.526 because despite the secretion of insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}52.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54.240$ your blood glucose levels are too

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:54.240 --> 00:22:56.452 high and we know that hyperglycemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:56.452 --> 00:22:58.476 is proinflammatory and causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:58.476 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.488$ arterial inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}59.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.250$ which again is a critical factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.780$ in the development of plaque.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.083$ We also know that a whole food

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:07.083 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.299$ plant based diet promotes a healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:09.299 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.609$ body weight which in turn also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:11.609 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.610$ helps to lower inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:13.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.740$ obesity or the accumulation of fat

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}15.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.019$ cells is not simply this passive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:23:18.019 --> 00:23:19.989 process where you're just storing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:19.989 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.798$ extra fat but actually fat cells are

 $00:23:22.798 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.738$ very metabolically active and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}24.738 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}23{:}27.968$ be and have been implicated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:27.968 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.860$ secretion of inflammatory cytokines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.546$ A whole food plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:23:31.546 --> 00:23:33.668 also is of course associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:33.668 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.069$ increased fiber intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.974$ Fiber is only found in plant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:36.974 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.420$ food as well as phytonutrients or plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}39.420 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}23{:}41.385$ based nutrients that have antioxidant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:41.385 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.520$ and anti-inflammatory properties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:23:43.520 --> 00:23:44.164 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:44.164 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.096$ a plant based diet has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:46.096 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.290$ shown to have really salubrious

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:23:48.290 --> 00:23:50.755 interactions with the gut microbiome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.483$ which is has become a pretty hot

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}53.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55.706$ topic in medicine over the past

 $00:23:55.706 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.988$ decade or so in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}58.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.918$ gut brain connection and the gut

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:00.918 --> 00:24:03.266 body connection and ascentia Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:03.266 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.388$ the eating eating a plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:06.388 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.514$ promotes healthier gut flora that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:08.514 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.830$ are less inflammatory as opposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.746$ a diet high in meat which has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.500$ Associated with gut flora that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.250$ promote higher levels of inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}19.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.162$ So this is a diagram from a systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:22.162 --> 00:24:24.201 review that that summarizes some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:24.201 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.673$ of the findings that we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:26.680 --> 00:24:28.960 I'm not going to take everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:28.960 --> 00:24:30.980 through this this whole thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.676$ but suffice it to say that there is

 $00:24:33.676 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.178$ there is a very complex interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:36.178 --> 00:24:38.800 between the gut and the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:38.800 --> 00:24:39.582 Hormonal regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:39.582 --> 00:24:41.146 regulation of inflammatory factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:41.150 --> 00:24:42.275 regulation of metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}42.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.150$ and this has really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:44.150 --> 00:24:46.178 effects on overall health outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:46.178 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.399$ as summarized here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}47.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.630$ including decreasing all cause mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:24:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.340$ Decrease in risk for obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}24{:}51.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.050$ cardiometabolic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:24:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.825$ diabetes, and a decreased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:24:53.825 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.429$ for certain types of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:24:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.573$ And, of course, these are the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:24:58.573 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.370$ associated with a plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}01.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.663$ To go into a little bit more detail here.

 $00:25:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.355$ There are several different outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:07.355 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.715$ that have been shown to be improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:09.715 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.857$ with the whole food plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:25:11.860 --> 00:25:14.110 For example, a vegetarian diet which

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.469$ does allow for dairy and egg but

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:16.469 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.710$ not meat has been shown in a meta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.666$ Recent meta analysis has been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:20.666 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.620$ to confer a 25% relative risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:22.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.245$ reduction in ischemic heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.880$ which is heart disease from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.184$ blockages in the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:25:27.190 --> 00:25:28.490 arteries or coronary disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.770$ as well as an 8% relative risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.045$ reduction in total cancer of vegan diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:25:33.050 --> 00:25:35.722 In this same meta analysis was shown to

 $00:25:35.722 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.068$ confer actually 15% relative risk reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:38.068 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.496$ In total cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.840$ Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.560$ a whole food plant based diet has also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.783$ been associated with neuroprotection that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:44.783 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.513$ it may confer against cognitive aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.728$ So talking about living well into old age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:25:50.730 --> 00:25:52.730 not just being physically well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.537$ cancer free and free of heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.545$ but actually being more robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:25:57.545 --> 00:25:59.149 from a cognitive standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.556$ I, I think, is very appealing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.088$ Probably for most people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:03.088 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.616$ and certainly for most

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:04.616 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.370$ people in this audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.380$ And it's thought that that's

00:26:08.380 --> 00:26:09.988 primarily mediated through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}09.988 \to 00{:}26{:}11.749$ consumption of monounsaturated fat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:26:11.750 --> 00:26:13.846 Which is the kind of fat that's found

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:13.846 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.858$ in plant based foods such as nuts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.260$ avocados and olive oil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:26:18.260 --> 00:26:20.348 So here's some data and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.710$ the charts are small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.180$ I don't expect you to read them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.609$ I'm going to summarize them for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}26.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.058$ but this is a snippet of data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:26:29.058 --> 00:26:31.139 the Harvard Women's Health Study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.168$ They did a cognitive substudy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:33.168 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.960$ individuals age 65 and older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.700$ which ended up being slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.744$ over 6000 women,

 $00:26:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.838$ and they found that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:39.838 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.230$ people with the highest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.162$ the women with the highest two

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:43.162 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.936$ quintiles of saturated fat consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:44.936 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.510$ and the lowest two quintiles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}47.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.797$ monouns aturated fat consumption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.710$ These individuals were found to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.484$ have increase or have found to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:53.484 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.064$ accelerated decline in cognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:55.064 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.470$ and memory when they were studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.598$ over four years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.998$ Conversely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:58.998 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.988$ those women with the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:27:00.988 --> 00:27:02.580 quintile of monounsaturated fatty

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:27:02.641 --> 00:27:03.997 acid consumption actually had

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}27{:}03.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.031$ the best verbal memory and global

00:27:06.089 --> 00:27:07.219 cognitive trajectory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}27{:}07.220 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.470$ So eating plant based diet is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:09.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.930$ not just good for your heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.222$ but it is good for your mind as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:17.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.315$ Alright, so that's all well and good, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:20.315 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.625$ But then of course the questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:27:22.630 --> 00:27:25.339 Well, what about when I'm not getting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.814$ Don't I need to eat meat to be healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:27:28.814 --> 00:27:31.514 because I need it for protein, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}27{:}31.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.818$ That's that's what I that's what

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:33.818 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.370$ I hear a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.370$ There's a very common misconception

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}27{:}37.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.388$ that a plant based diet does not have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:40.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.706$ cannot allow for adequate protein intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:42.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.254$ That's simply not true.

00:27:44.254 --> 00:27:44.640 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.220$ there's a widespread misconception

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.195$ that we need to consume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.706$ Far more protein than we actually do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.592$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:51.592 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.797$ the recommendation is only .8

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:27:53.797 --> 00:27:55.819 grams per kilogram per day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.352$ Which comes out to about 45

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:27:58.352 --> 00:28:00.040 grams of protein for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:00.135 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.070$ 125 pound woman and 80

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}28{:}02.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.450$ grams for a 220 pound man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}28{:}04.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.616$ So many plant based foods contain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:06.616 --> 00:28:08.060 significant amounts of protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}28{:}08.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.226$ and I'm going to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:10.226 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.670$ just some examples here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:11.670 --> 00:28:13.545 Tofu or edamame soy products

 $00:28:13.545 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.420$ they contain anywhere from 11:50

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}28{:}15.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.450$ grams of protein per 3.5 ounces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.610$ and that's a very small serving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:19.610 --> 00:28:22.004 Again, these are all small servings that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:22.004 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.939$ have pretty significant protein content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.189$ lentils or 18 grams for just one cooked Cup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:27.190 --> 00:28:29.356 A Cup is typically 8 ounces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.160$ not huge beans and chickpeas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:31.160 --> 00:28:32.644 So think hummus, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:32.644 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.499$ Delicious spread goes great on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:34.500 --> 00:28:35.505 Pretty much everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:35.505 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.850$ 15 grams of protein per cooked Cup,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.520$ nutritional yeast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.195$ some personal favorite of mine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.210$ It's inactivated yeast and it kind

 $00:28:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.218$ of has a nutty, cheesy taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:44.218 --> 00:28:46.556 Very, very umami that that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:46.556 --> 00:28:48.686 again put on almost anything that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:48.686 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.920$ contains 14 grams of protein per oz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.272$ Nuts and seeds 5 to 7 grams

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:53.272 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.600$ of protein per oz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:54.600 --> 00:28:57.280 So, and this is just a small sampling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.581$ really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:57.581 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.290$ There are quite a lot of plant based foods

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:00.351 --> 00:29:02.637 that contain more than enough protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:02.640 --> 00:29:04.320 and it adds up quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.370$ so that's really that's that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:06.370 --> 00:29:08.358 As long as you're eating a somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:08.358 --> 00:29:10.605 varied diet and not eating the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:10.605 --> 00:29:12.275 thing everyday in tiny quantities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.370$ usually protein is not a

 $00:29:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.960$ concern with a plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.750$ There are some other nutrients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.032$ that that often you hear people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:21.032 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.147$ expressed concern about as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}23.150 --> 00{:}29{:}23.537 \ \mathrm{Iron}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:23.537 --> 00:29:26.610 It is true that excuse me, heme iron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:26.610 --> 00:29:30.120 which is the type of iron found in meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.208$ is more easily absorbed than non heme iron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.306$ which is the type that's found in plants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:36.310 --> 00:29:37.858 Unfortunately heme iron also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:37.858 --> 00:29:39.406 it has proinflammatory effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.629$ so going back to those effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}41.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.050$ increasing risk of cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}44.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.020$ disease and cancer problem and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:46.020 --> 00:29:47.596 vegans can easily compensate.

00:29:47.600 --> 00:29:50.022 By eating a higher quantity of iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:50.022 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.428$ when it's in its non heme form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.845$ and this can be found in tofu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.230$ lentils, beans, nuts and seeds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.210$ Calcium is another concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}58.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.769$ If I don't drink milk, I'm not getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:00.769 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.098$ Calcium not true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:02.100 --> 00:30:05.640 Calcium is actually abundant in soy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:05.640 --> 00:30:08.000 \ lentils, \ beans, \ nuts, \ seeds,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.770$ grains, leafy greens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.720$ and my personal favorite cruciferous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:12.720 --> 00:30:15.080 vegetables, which includes broccoli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.260$ kale, cauliflower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.708$ arugula and Brussels sprouts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:18.708 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.544$ Omega-3 fatty acids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.070$ We typically think of fish when we

00:30:23.070 --> 00:30:26.358 think of a mega threes, but actually LA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:26.358 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.288$ which is a building block,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.834$ is found in flaxseed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:29.834 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.705$ chia seeds, walnuts in soybeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:31.705 --> 00:30:34.260 and you can take an algae oil

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:34.339 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.188$ supplement to get dij and EPA directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:37.190 --> 00:30:37.570 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.850$ I should mention that B12 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.839$ absent in strict vegan diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.612$ so that must be supplemented and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:44.612 --> 00:30:47.691 can either be done through a multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:47.691 \dashrightarrow 00:30:50.397$ vitamin or through a fortified foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}30{:}50.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.075$ And is typically not difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:54.075 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.280$ in today's environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.072$ So alright,

 $00:30:57.072 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.844$ what about then effects on other people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:59.850 --> 00:31:01.835 Because I've taken you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:01.835 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.423$ the effects on animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:31:03.430 --> 00:31:05.018 I've taken you through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:31:05.018 --> 00:31:06.209 hopefully debunking notions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:31:06.209 --> 00:31:07.400 about evolutionary imperatives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.668$ and hopefully I've made a compelling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:09.668 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.067$ argument about the health benefits of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:12.067 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.533$ predominantly whole food plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:31:14.540 --> 00:31:16.616 But even if you don't find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:16.616 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.000$ any of those things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:31:18.081 --> 00:31:20.895 compelling, you know you don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:20.895 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.880$ concerns about your own health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:31:22.880 --> 00:31:25.256 You know animals don't really just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:31:25.260 --> 00:31:28.440 You're not convinced by that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:31:28.440 --> 00:31:32.598 But you do care about other people

 $00:31:32.598 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.409$ and justice and the planet well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.700$ The the factory farming industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.532$ The meat industry actually has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:41.532 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.929$ lot of really significant effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:43.929 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.918$ on other people and on the planet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.016$ So first I'd like to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:50.016 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.342$ consuming animal products leaves a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:52.342 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.306$ very significant carbon footprint

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:54.306 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.765$ and I've taken this figure from The

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}31{:}57.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.669$ Economist which just illustrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:59.669 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.470$ the carbon footprint of the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.770$ American diet and notes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.539$ if vegetarian diet cuts it by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.980$ Let's say 40% and a 2/3 vegan diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.864$ which they indicate here is going

 $00:32:11.864 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.948$ vegan for about 2/3 of your meal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.310$ So even if going all the way vegan

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:16.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.519$ is sounds like a stretch for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.045$ which that is admittedly hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:20.045 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.570$ in our current food system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.910$ But even eating vegan most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.007$ the time or becoming vegetarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:26.007 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.749$ has it has an enormous impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}32{:}28.750 --> 00{:}32{:}31.072$ And you can see here even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:31.072 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.970$ if you're not ready to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.890$ even if the environment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.426$ your number one concern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:32:36.430 --> 00:32:39.118 and you're not ready to be vegetarian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.450$ look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.430$ this is just a comparison of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.960$ the different carbon footprints

00:32:42.960 --> 00:32:44.880 of eating different products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.178$ both animal based and plant base,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.959$ and you can see the just absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:49.959 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.307$ profound carbon footprint of beef eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:52.307 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.479$ compared to really any other foods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.659$ including other meats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:32:55.659 --> 00:32:58.820 And that's from a number of factors from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}32{:}58.820 \to 00{:}33{:}01.970$ Land use change to farming practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.596$ you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608 00:33:02.596 --> 00:33:02.909 I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}33{:}02.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.563$ I think that most in less you been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}33{:}05.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.849$ living under a Rock You you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}33{:}07.849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.679$ heard about the accelerating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:09.679 --> 00:33:12.127 deforestation in the Amazon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:12.130 --> 00:33:12.544 Basically,

 $00:33:12.544 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.958$ rainforests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:12.958 \dashrightarrow 00:33:16.270$ like the Amazon are being burned to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:16.342 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.876$ way for more cattle ranching to satisfy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:18.876 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.587$ the ever growing human appetite for beef.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:21.590 --> 00:33:23.560 And so it's not again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:23.560 --> 00:33:26.101 not just affect on people through climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:26.101 --> 00:33:28.289 change and adverse planetary health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.255$ but also effects on biodiversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:30.255 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.434$ and other wildlife.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.220$ So its effect is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}33{:}34.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.444$ quite far reaching profound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.546$ And you know a lot of times when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:38.546 --> 00:33:40.478 you hear about climate change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}33{:}40.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.030$ It seems like an incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:42.030 --> 00:33:43.580 daunting problem where it's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.130$ how can I personally make

 $00:33:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.680$ an effect make a change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:46.680 --> 00:33:48.850 That's going to have a significant effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.250$ while the biggest thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.570$ you can do is not have children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.442$ or have or have one fewer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:54.442 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.289$ child than you wanted to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.150$ 'cause as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.700$ that's fewer humans that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.250$ consuming resources on this planet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:34:01.250 --> 00:34:02.490 But short of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.040$ looking at some other options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.645$ eating a plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:05.645 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.250$ actually has a huge impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:34:07.250 --> 00:34:08.338 On your carbon footprint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:08.338 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.551$ it's it's close to double the impact of

00:34:10.551 --> 00:34:12.399 replacing a typical car with a hybrid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.758$ which is kind of a people think of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:34:14.758 --> 00:34:17.274 is a really big deal and a great step,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.659$ and it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:19.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.529$ But you can really have a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:34:21.529 --> 00:34:22.744 impact again by transitioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:22.744 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.489$ to a plant based diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.324$ even if even if not all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:34:26.324 --> 00:34:28.418 way like I showed you before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:34:28.420 --> 00:34:30.784 Even if it's just cutting beef

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}34{:}30.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33.350$ that makes a huge difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.806$ So what about other effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:36.806 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.110$ others besides climate change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:39.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.010$ So the current meat industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.521$ has a lot of really problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:43.521 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.171$ practices that go well beyond

 $00:34:46.171 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.010$ animal welfare and animal cruelty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.125$ It's been well documented as in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:34:52.125 --> 00:34:54.785 Atlantic article shown here and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:54.785 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.275$ others that the farm industry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:57.275 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.641$ factory farming have a longstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:34:59.641 --> 00:35:03.053 issue of poor treatment of factory workers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:03.053 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.465$ who incidentally are disproportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}05.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.480$ people of color and immigrants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.245$ It's been documented that they have high

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:11.245 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.752$ rates of injury and infection because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:13.752 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.248$ just like animals that are packed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:16.248 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.209$ and overcrowded in these factory farms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}19.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.270$ workers are packed and overcrowded

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.838$ in the production lines in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:23.838 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.594$ factories not given adequate

00:35:25.594 --> 00:35:27.789 time even for bathroom breaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}27.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29.514$ rushed injuries happen with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:29.514 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.238$ cutting infection spread easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:35:31.238 --> 00:35:33.359 because even before the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.982$ people were in really close quarters

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:35.982 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.589$ with each other and it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.260$ It's it's a real problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.479$ Adding to these problems is that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}43.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.900$ the problem of antibiotic resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.462$ so it's common practice in the farm

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}48.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.550$ industry to feed farm animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.656$ Low doses of antibiotics in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:52.656 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.810$ to both to prevent infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.150$ because in those crowded living conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.278$ that they live in in order to save

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:00.278 \dashrightarrow 00:36:02.153$ money infection spread very easily.

 $00:36:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.918$ And it's also been shown that antibiotics

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}04.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.190$ can increase the rate of growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.808$ It's thought that that's also due due

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:36:09.808 --> 00:36:12.219 to interactions with the gut microbiome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}12.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.800$ and altering the gut flora that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.098$ Promote that tend to have pro

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:17.098 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.864$ obesity effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.755$ But unfortunately that just promotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}20.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.063$ the development of antibiotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}23.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.362$ resistant organisms that can then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}25.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.822$ spread and make their way into

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:27.902 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.408$ the food chain and affect us and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}30.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.739$ Infectious disease specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:31.739 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.954$ will tell you that antibiotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:33.954 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.157$ resistance is a major threat to us,

00:36:36.160 --> 00:36:39.598 and if we don't change our ways and practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}39.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.516$ better stewardship of antibiotics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:41.516 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.878$ it's going to become a much bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:44.878 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.326$ issue than than it already is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405 00:36:47.330 --> 00:36:47.628 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:47.628 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.012$ I'm not going to spend a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:50.012 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.980$ time on this because everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.368$ is sick of hearing about covid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}54.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.386$ but it does bear repeating that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:56.386 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.204$ the practices of crowding animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}58.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.264$ very tightly together increases the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:00.264 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.570$ risk of zoonotic pandemics and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.340$ just things like kovid that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.920$ have been potentially linked to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.570$ wet market practices where animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}37{:}07.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.150$ are also crowded together.

 $00:37:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.166$ But we've also seen epidemics of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:11.166 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.310$ bird flu and swine flu coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:37:13.310 --> 00:37:15.488 from poultry farms and pig farms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.286$ so this is again not just theoretical, it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:18.286 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.302$ It's an actual issue that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:20.302 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.260$ affects other people very adversely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:24.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.356$ And finally, I'd like to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:27.356 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.483$ some some social justice arguments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:29.483 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.164$ in favor of moving as a society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.900$ Tord more plant based nutrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}37{:}35.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.444$ So we know and again this has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:38.444 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.787$ very well documented that insufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:40.787 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.045$ education about access to and affordability

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:44.045 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.289$ of healthy foods disproportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:46.289 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.547$ affects people of color and individuals

 $00:37:49.547 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.926$ of low socioeconomic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:37:51.926 --> 00:37:54.830 For example, in this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.070$ that that's referenced below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.070$ And this is actually reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.670$ that quoted this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.358$ I should say, looked at the distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:03.358 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.469$ of food deserts in Baltimore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.350$ So food deserts are typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:07.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.009$ defined as areas where there is no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:10.009 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.145$ grocery store nearby that with fresh

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}12.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.291$ produce only convenience stores were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:14.291 --> 00:38:17.015 typically you can only access really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:17.015 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.195$ processed foods that have low nutrient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}20.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.920$ density and high caloric density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.758$ That they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:23.758 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.272$ This study found that there was

00:38:26.272 --> 00:38:27.825 high availability of healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:27.825 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.570$ foods in 68% of predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:29.570 --> 00:38:31.130 white neighborhoods in Baltimore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.476$ but in only 19% of predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:33.476 --> 00:38:34.258 black neighborhoods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.148$ So this is just one example of too

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:37.148 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.503$ many to count of the structural racism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:40.503 --> 00:38:43.820 that currently exists in our society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:43.820 --> 00:38:46.767 And we know that that nutritious food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.378$ or the lack thereof,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:48.378 --> 00:38:51.400 is a major social determinant of health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.244$ and this explains many disparities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:54.244 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.790$ terms of both cardiovascular disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.090$ and cardiovascular risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.351$ For those of you in the audience

 $00:39:01.351 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.020$ who are healthcare providers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.880$ I think what this really means

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.846$ is that we have a duty to counsel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:07.846 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.088$ our patients accordingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.064$ and it can seem very daunting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39{:}11.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.231$ because living in a system where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:13.231 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.795$ you don't have access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.278$ it's it's hard to know how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:17.278 \dashrightarrow 00:39:19.079$ impact our counseling will have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.870$ But at the very least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}39{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23.240$ our counseling patients can at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.830$ start to overcome that education gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:25.830 --> 00:39:28.126 However, that's not going to be enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.434$ and society at large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:29.434 --> 00:39:30.086 I argue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.714$ has a duty to ensure better early education,

 $00:39:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.177$ because this needs to happen in childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:35.177 --> 00:39:37.638 and it's it's not just going to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:37.640 --> 00:39:38.266 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:38.266 --> 00:39:39.518 adult cardiologists like me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:39.518 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.165$ talking to patients they should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:41.165 --> 00:39:42.890 be getting education much earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.210$ because that's when those healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.066$ behaviors should be starting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.554$ We need to ensure lifelong access

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:49.554 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.029$ to healthy plant based food and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:52.029 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.249$ that that's going to mean more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.650$ more pointed and mindful public advocacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}39{:}56.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.278$ including pushing back against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:58.278 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.313$ the heavy lobbying currently from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.050$ The meat and dairy industry, for example.

 $00:40:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.326$ And you know a lot of times I hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:07.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.150$ Well, what about personal responsibility?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.242$ Personal responsibility is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:10.242 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.970$ extremely important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:10.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.422$ I'm not arguing against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}12.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}13.874$ personal responsibility at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:40:13.880 --> 00:40:14.590 You know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:40:14.590 --> 00:40:14.945 Ultimately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}14.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.968$ it is up to us what we put in our mouths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}18.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.210$ But I think that we also have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}21.210 \to 00{:}40{:}22.781$ understand that personal responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:40:22.781 --> 00:40:25.156 is predicated on resource equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.197$ and it's it's really hard to call

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:40:27.197 --> 00:40:29.687 a poor diet a problem of personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:29.687 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.627$ responsibility in someone who does

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}31.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.020$ not have access to transportation.

 $00:40:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.844$ Or access to healthy food and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:35.844 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.858$ has not been educated about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:37.858 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.330$ importance of healthy food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.900$ So that's really the responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.569$ of those of us who do have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:43.569 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.289$ power and privilege to overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:45.289 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.977$ those systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.89438033

 $00{:}40{:}46.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.956$ barriers to do to healthy eating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:40:50.080 --> 00:40:53.176 And in that vein, I would argue therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:40:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.679$ that nutrition equity really is a moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}40{:}55.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.430$ imperative from adjusted standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}40{:}57.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.699$ And from the standpoint of a fair

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:00.699 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.489$ distribution of resources in in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}41{:}03.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.229$ society where structural racism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:05.229 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.809$ continues to be a huge problem.

00:41:07.810 --> 00:41:10.048 Alright, So what is stopping us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.623$ I think many of us have heard talks about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:13.623 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.540$ current problems in in the way our system

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.778$ is set up in the way society set up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.209$ We've all heard about problems and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:22.209 --> 00:41:24.270 haven't heard enough about solutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.010$ so I'm certainly not proposing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:26.010 --> 00:41:28.380 that I can fix this problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:28.380 --> 00:41:30.988 but I do want to propose how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:30.988 --> 00:41:33.238 can think about this problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.562$ how we can frame reframe this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:35.562 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.239$ problem and how we can start to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.875$ Taking steps together to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:40.875 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.456$ address this problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.636$ So I would argue that one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:44.636 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.483$ things that keeps us from enacting

 $00:41:46.483 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.794$ change on a personal end of societal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:48.794 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.128$ level is simply inertia and denial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:51.130 --> 00:41:52.126 People saying, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:52.126 --> 00:41:54.450 you know what Doc I've been meaning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:54.515 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.229$ eating meat all my life, and I'm healthy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:58.230 --> 00:42:01.510 That you know, again, anecdote is not data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:42:01.510 --> 00:42:02.454 Winston Churchill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:02.454 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.230$ It's well known that he smoked all his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:06.317 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.296$ life and he lived to a ripe old age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.862$ but no one would argue that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:11.862 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.422$ means that smoking is not incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:14.422 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.270$ detrimental to lung health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.446$ to heart health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}42{:}17.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}19.798$ and and is incredibly dangerous from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:42:19.798 --> 00:42:21.944 the standpoint of markedly increasing

 $00:42:21.944 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.289$ your risk of a large number of cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.495$ Just because people are healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:27.495 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.818$ at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.788$ The point in time in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:30.788 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.772$ are counseling them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.425$ but that doesn't mean that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:42:33.425 --> 00:42:35.070 they don't have fatty streaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.382$ and atherosclerotic plaques that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:36.382 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.366$ are building up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.340$ and in fact if they eat a western diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.306$ they probably do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:41.306 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.560$ And so I think it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:43.625 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.880$ to overcome that cognitive barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.425$ I think another cognitive barrier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:47.425 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.317$ that that I encounter is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:49.317 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.469$ this idea of nihilism,

 $00:42:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.758$ which is or the sense that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:42:52.758 --> 00:42:55.057 what it doesn't matter what I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:42:55.060 --> 00:42:57.083 because it's not going to make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:57.083 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.338$ difference in the grand scheme of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.056$ I'm just one person and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:01.056 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.710$ fair in and of itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.681$ But I think we have to keep in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:04.681 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.932$ that society does not change unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:06.932 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.512$ individuals within society change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.356$ So if you're going to stick

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:10.356 --> 00:43:11.580 with this nihilistic point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.416$ then you also have to concede

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:13.416 --> 00:43:14.640 that voting doesn't matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}14.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.146$ and I hope this last election has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:17.150 --> 00:43:19.005 Showing people that voting really

00:43:19.005 --> 00:43:21.589 really matters and it's and it doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.229$ It's not so much that any one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:24.229 --> 00:43:26.030 person's vote matters so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.880$ but when enough people vote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.730$ it does make a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:29.730 --> 00:43:32.010 And So what I'm asking you to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.867$ is to vote with your wallet and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:34.867 --> 00:43:37.831 vote with your plate when it comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}37.831 \to 00{:}43{:}39.719$ to making nutritional changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:39.720 --> 00:43:40.464 cognitive dissonance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:40.464 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.068$ or the idea that people find it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:43.068 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.405$ easier to when people's beliefs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}45.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.300$ their behaviors have a conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:47.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.292$ People are more likely to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:49.292 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.054$ their beliefs to suit their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:51.054 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.268$ behaviors than the other way around.

 $00:43:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.020$ Or as I stated here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:43:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.780$ you know what I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:43:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.620$ I'm a good person and I eat meat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:43:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.036$ and therefore it can't be that bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.800$ And you know, that's fair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.068$ I I get that and I think that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:06.068 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.578$ clinicians it's especially problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:07.578 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.978$ because I think sometimes that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:09.978 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.220$ prevent us from counseling effectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00{:}44{:}12.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.712$ Because if in our heads we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00{:}44{:}14.712 \longrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.559$ believe that we can change and we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.582$ Such a degree of cognitive dissonance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

00:44:19.582 --> 00:44:22.041 that it's not a problem I'm I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:22.041 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.135$ concerned that that may prevent us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:24.135 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.589$ from counseling patients effectively.

00:44:26.590 --> 00:44:28.914 And taking this even one step further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.420$ do we have a duty as those of us who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:31.489 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.919$ are physicians or other clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.240$ other health care workers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.938$ Do we have a dirt duty to serve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:37.938 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.907$ as role models?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.698$ And that's not to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:40.698 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.904$ we have to be perfect, but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:42.904 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.908$ at least to undertake some movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

00:44:44.910 --> 00:44:45.576 Tord eating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

00:44:45.576 --> 00:44:47.574 a healthier diet or having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

00:44:47.574 --> 00:44:48.899 healthier lifestyle in general?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

00:44:48.900 --> 00:44:49.755 Such that, where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:49.755 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.040$ where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.233$ on the same page is our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:52.233 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.897$ one where counseling them?

 $00:44:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.808$ We don't want to counsel people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:55.808 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.833$ to do something that we ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:57.833 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.217$ aren't willing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00:45:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.804$ So how can we do better at this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00{:}45{:}05.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08.843$ I'm going to suggest that we need a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00:45:08.843 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.082$ of a reframe in order to address this

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00:45:12.082 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.299$ very real issue of cognitive dissonance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00{:}45{:}15.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.659$ And first I would just say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00:45:17.659 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.757$ I think we need to accept that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00:45:20.757 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.257$ imperfection is the human condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00{:}45{:}23.260 --> 00{:}45{:}26.193$ I don't say that as an excuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

00:45:26.193 --> 00:45:27.450 to avoid accountability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00{:}45{:}27.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.794$ but rather as a motivation to spur change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}45{:}32.980 --> 00{:}45{:}35.128$ Discipline is not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:35.128 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.276$ same thing as masochism.

 $00:45:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.478$ So what do I mean by that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

00:45:39.480 --> 00:45:41.901 I think a lot of people think that eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

00:45:41.901 --> 00:45:44.497 a healthy diet is all about willpower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.691$ and willpower is going to be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:46.691 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.900$ sometimes, but willpower is not a strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

00:45:48.900 --> 00:45:51.203 A strategy is setting up an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:51.203 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.102$ where the default is healthy eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:53.102 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.490$ and it's easier to do the right thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.742$ Whether that's for yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:56.742 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.994$ or for other people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}45{:}58.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.920$ so it's about setting yourself up

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.088$ for success and what that means is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

00:46:02.090 --> 00:46:03.590 you know, buying healthier food

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}46{:}03.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.875$ to keep it home so that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:46:05.875 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.675$ not tempted by unhealthy food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:46:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.315$ Whether that's changing the food

 $00:46:09.315 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.950$ that served at our conferences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}46{:}10.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.245$ or at least the food that was served at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:46:13.245 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.527$ our conferences before this pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.554$ I think we need to reject this

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:19.554 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.726$ false dichotomy of healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:20.726 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.166$ food versus delicious food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.983$ I hear this a lot from patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:23.983 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.020$ as well that you know the choices

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}46{:}26.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.820$ are you either eat healthy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:46:27.883 --> 00:46:29.466 it's boring, and it's bland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:29.466 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.218$ and it's rabbit food and doc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:46:31.220 --> 00:46:33.848 I don't want to do that or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}46{:}33.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.894$ I just really like food and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:46:35.894 --> 00:46:37.650 good food isn't good for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:37.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.645$ And and I think nothing could be

00:46:39.645 --> 00:46:41.728 further from the truth I posted here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.946$ A picture of my my one of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:46:43.946 --> 00:46:44.950 favorite Thanksgiving dishes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.410$ which is a cashew cheese,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.120$ stuffed butternut squash topped with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.551$ Walnuts and cranberries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}46{:}49.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51.936$ It's absolutely delicious and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:51.936 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.385$ of my loved ones who may be in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:55.385 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.380$ audience have made this before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.061$ But suffice it to say that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}00.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.430$ you can have comfort food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.540$ You can have nourishing food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:04.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.988$ You can have delicious food that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:06.988 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.555$ is also healthy food and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:09.555 --> 00:47:11.700 it's not about denying yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.738$ It's not about asceticism or masochism.

00:47:15.740 --> 00:47:16.558 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:16.558 --> 00:47:19.421 I'd like to exhort everyone to embrace

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:19.421 --> 00:47:22.230 a model of incremental change and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.249$ not making perfect the enemy of good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}25.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.067$ So what are the things that we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:28.067 --> 00:47:31.276 to move toward this incremental change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:31.280 --> 00:47:32.968 Again implementing slow changes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:32.968 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.500$ So lots of people when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:35.571 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.396$ want to change their diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.478$ It's going to be really hard for most people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}40.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42.135$ my patients or otherwise friends

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}42.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.198$ colleagues to go from a standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}44.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.256$ omnivore Western diet to a fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:46.256 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.999$ whole food plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.504$ So there are slow steps that people can

 $00:47:50.504 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.128$ take to move toward a healthier diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:53.130 --> 00:47:53.814 Meatless Monday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:53.814 --> 00:47:54.156 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:54.156 --> 00:47:56.900 Just going vegetarian one day of the week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:56.900 --> 00:47:58.946 eating normal diet every other day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.106$ just trying it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:00.106 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.378$ Dipping your toe in the water because

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:02.378 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.266$ it's too intimidating otherwise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}04.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06.874$ Fine flexitarian eating a plant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:06.874 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.523$ diet when it's possible and practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:48:09.523 --> 00:48:12.456 and when it's not not Pasco vegetarian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.872$ so I think that's a diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:14.872 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.200$ that's quite easy to follow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}17.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.484$ That's basically a vegetarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:19.484 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.910$ diet that also allows for fish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.681$ And free hint is the term that

 $00:48:24.681 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.500$ I've heard more recently and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}26.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27.840$ basically describes that's less

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.105$ of a health initiative and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:30.105 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.705$ of an environmental initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.054$ But the idea of not eating meat and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:48:34.054 --> 00:48:36.926 less it's otherwise going to be thrown away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.560$ And because there's a carbon

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}38.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.190$ footprint involved in food waste,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.815$ people who are freegan typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:41.815 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.115$ endorse a vegan lifestyle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:48:43.120 --> 00:48:46.054 except if food is going to be thrown out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.342$ so there's not one way to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:48.342 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.320$ make dietary changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.270$ and these are just some ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.240$ but the point is, what?

 $00:48:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.986$ What works for one person won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:54.986 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.859$ work for another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:48:55.860 --> 00:48:58.079 and being creative and being on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:48:58.079 --> 00:49:00.270 same page can be really helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:49:00.270 --> 00:49:00.800 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.655$ don't make perfect the enemy of good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:02.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.614$ If it's too hard, try something easier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:49:05.620 --> 00:49:06.481 I think again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:06.481 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.203$ those of us that are clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:08.203 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.419$ and I do apologize for some degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:10.419 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.355$ of clinician bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.719$ but I think that our obligations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:49:13.719 --> 00:49:15.332 clinical as clinicians are higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}49{:}15.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.476$ so that's why I do keep coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:17.534 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.632$ to this. But I think that we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}49{:}19.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}21.437$ need to recommit ourselves to patient

 $00:49:21.437 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.435$ counseling to overcome the education gap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}49{:}23.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.692$ particularly in those groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:24.692 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.908$ that are at higher risk of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:26.908 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.564$ less education at an early age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.598$ I actually had an epiphany about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}49{:}30.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}33.022$ this maybe a year and a half ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:33.022 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.608$ at the I think the one of the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}49{:}35.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.636$ conferences I went to before the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.208$ The pandemic hit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.378$ And you know it was just talk after talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}49{:}43.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.642$ Just presenting such compelling data about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:45.642 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.308$ the health benefits of a plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.046$ Ann and I realized I really had not been

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:51.046 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.586$ spending enough time counseling my patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.702$ Not to say that I didn't

00:49:55.702 --> 00:49:57.110 counsel patients about diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}49{:}57.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59.216$ but it wasn't always a priority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:49:59.220 --> 00:50:00.147 I know, doc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:00.147 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.001$ Mercurio has mentioned this at at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:02.001 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.117$ Pryor talks and you know he and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:50:04.117 --> 00:50:06.109 are working on a project looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:06.109 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.069$ at time allocation in physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:50:08.069 --> 00:50:10.055 workflow because I think one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:10.055 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.662$ main barriers to this is insufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:11.662 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.417$ time because counseling does take

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}13.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.545$ time and I think allocating more

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:15.545 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.215$ physician time for counseling is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:50:17.215 --> 00:50:19.392 going to be crucial in this effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:19.392 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.190$ But I also think we need to realize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:50:22.190 --> 00:50:24.458 it's not going to be just physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.644$ it has to be a whole team.

 $00:50:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.130$ It has to be nurses and aips and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}29.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31.283$ dietitians and to that end I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:31.283 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.113$ actually been working with teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:50:33.120 --> 00:50:33.944 At Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}33.944 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}36.828$ both on the inpatient side and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}36.828 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}50{:}39.694$ outpatient side about setting up plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:39.694 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.064$ based nutrition programs here such

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.297$ that we can provide patients both with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:50:45.297 --> 00:50:48.585 better education and and with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:48.585 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.810$ better options about about healthier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}51.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}53.532$ Healthier eating options

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:53.532 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.828$ on an inpatient level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.056$ I think that all of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.146$ to the extent that we are able

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:00.146 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.511$ need to commit to a greater degree

00:51:02.511 --> 00:51:04.266 of nutrition advocacy in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}04.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.485$ to increase the availability and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:06.485 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.735$ the affordability of plant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:08.735 --> 00:51:10.750 Whole Foods for all communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.310$ particularly those that historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.377$ have less access to healthy food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.143$ And I think we'll need to look to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:17.143 --> 00:51:19.389 field of behavioral economics to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}19.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.539$ out how to do this most effectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.910$ Behavioral economics basically relies on an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:24.910 --> 00:51:26.890 Tweaking a system potentially by by

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:26.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.045$ moving things to a different default

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:29.045 --> 00:51:31.355 state such that you're more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:31.355 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.658$ to achieve the desired outcome by

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:33.658 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.860$ lowering the activation energy to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.600$ desired outcome and increasing the

 $00:51:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.880$ barriers to the less desirable outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}39.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42.428$ That's this is not about controlling people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.620$ This is not about Big Brother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.080$ This is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.810$ no one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:46.810 --> 00:51:49.762 AOC is not coming to take away your

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:49.762 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.762$ hamburger that you know there's plenty of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:52.762 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.760$ political rhetoric that loves to make this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:55.760 --> 00:51:58.496 Really a lot more outrageous than it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:58.500 --> 00:51:59.532 but it's simply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:59.532 --> 00:52:00.220 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:52:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.656$ whether this is going to be subsidies

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}52{:}02.656 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}52{:}04.695$ for healthier food and communities that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:52:04.695 --> 00:52:07.295 don't have access to it or or taxing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:52:07.295 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.167$ unhealthy food as unpopular as that has been,

 $00:52:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.906$ is there a better way to do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:52:12.910 \dashrightarrow 00:52:14.968$ Some economists have suggested carbon taxing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:52:14.970 --> 00:52:15.999 not just food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:52:15.999 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.028$ but all products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:52:17.030 --> 00:52:18.785 arguing that the market really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:52:18.785 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.540$ doesn't recognize the carbon costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:52:20.595 --> 00:52:21.911 and therefore really isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:21.911 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.885$ operating as a true market should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}23.890 \to 00{:}52{:}26.389$ And that carbon footprint costs should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:52:26.390 --> 00:52:28.798 Should be factored into all market pricing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}28.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.208$ I'm not an economist so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.436$ I think that that we should partner

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:33.436 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.679$ with with others in different fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.668$ And you know, for those of you who know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:38.668 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.185$ politicians you know we should be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}41.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}43.249$ to our politicians about this too,

 $00:52:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.880$ because this needs to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:52:45.880 --> 00:52:47.984 a priority for everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.046$ I think finally we do need to realize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:50.046 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.295$ that there's going to be an ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:52.295 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.635$ gradient of personal responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:53.635 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.579$ that's commensurate with our personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:55.579 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.524$ resources and with our circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:52:57.530 --> 00:52:58.517 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:52:58.517 --> 00:53:00.820 either eating a plant based diet yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}00.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02.722$ or advocating for change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}02.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.100$ system may or may not be possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:05.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.396$ depending on a number of different factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}07.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.072$ So you know there are people with medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:10.072 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.666$ issues who aren't able to eat this diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.400$ People with food allergies or GI conditions

 $00:53:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.009$ for whom it's simply not possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:18.010 --> 00:53:19.980 And there are people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.768$ financial limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.952$ It's not reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:21.952 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.528$ Like I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.630$ to expect somebody who does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.510$ have access to easy transportation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:27.510 --> 00:53:30.387 and who lives miles away from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}30.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32.588$ grocery store with fresh produce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.815$ It's it's not reasonable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}34.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37.762$ expect that person to take as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:37.762 --> 00:53:40.073 action as it is to you, know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:40.073 --> 00:53:42.038 expect certainly someone like me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:42.040 --> 00:53:44.404 or like many of the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:44.404 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.586$ on this conference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:45.590 --> 00:53:48.418 And so, again, I would argue that.

00:53:48.420 --> 00:53:50.510 The more privilege you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}50.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}52.946$ the more you're obligated to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:52.946 --> 00:53:55.529 an effort to move the needle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.702$ and to that end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:57.702 --> 00:54:00.960 I'm going to close this with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}00.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03.848$ With a sort of task to every one listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:03.848 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.127$ that I would like to challenge everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:07.130 --> 00:54:09.194 To make one small inward change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:09.194 --> 00:54:11.531 and one small outward change based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:11.531 --> 00:54:13.307 on this information to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.774$ And it can be based on whichever

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:15.774 --> 00:54:18.319 argument you find the most compelling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.819$ but making one small change in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}20.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.060$ per sonal practices to move toward a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.905$ more plant based nutritional approach

 $00:54:24.905 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.587$ and one change small change outwardly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}27.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.130$ Whether that's counseling a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:29.130 --> 00:54:30.285 patient more extensively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.606$ whether that's talking to your neighbor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:32.610 --> 00:54:34.198 who's a local politician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:34.198 --> 00:54:36.183 you know whether that's starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:36.183 --> 00:54:38.339 an initiative where you work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:38.340 --> 00:54:41.204 To have healthier food in your break room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:41.210 --> 00:54:42.650 something but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.010$ again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:43.010 --> 00:54:45.754 where we're only going to start moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:45.754 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.196$ the needle as a society when we all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:49.196 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.381$ start moving our individual needles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.014$ And with that I'm just going to leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:54.014 --> 00:54:56.485 you this with this diagram showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:56.485 --> 00:54:58.660 the value of incremental change

 $00:54:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.054$ and basically the idea is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:01.054 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.766$ know if we if we expect to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:03.766 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.950$ a two abrupt changes too quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.498$ All of these tasks are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:08.498 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.590$ seem really daunting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.840$ but if we accept that small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:55:11.840 --> 00:55:13.590 incremental changes overtime add up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.201$ I really do believe that overtime we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:16.201 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.477$ will make progress toward a healthier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:18.477 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.340$ and more just form of nutrition in our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}55{:}21.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}22.404$ Profession in our country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:22.404 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.936$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

00:55:26.230 --> 00:55:29.298 Sarah, this was superb.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00:55:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.309$ This talk is strikes me as the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00:55:31.309 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.929$ to the question what happens when

00:55:32.929 --> 00:55:34.840 you get someone who is an excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00{:}55{:}34.899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36.819$ teacher and a cardiologist and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00{:}55{:}36.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38.420$ bioethicist and has expertise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00:55:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.340$ nutrition and you find one person

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00:55:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.585$ like that and get her to give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00:55:42.585 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.639$ an hour and a half of her time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

00:55:44.640 --> 00:55:46.614 This is obviously a very well prepared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00:55:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.478$ very compelling arguments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}55{:}49.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.618$ And there was a lot of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:55:51.618 --> 00:55:53.369 questions that I want to get to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}55{:}53.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55.498$ but but I had some of my own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}55{:}55.500 \to 00{:}55{:}57.903$ but I'm going to get to the others first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:55:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.498$ It strikes me that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.354$ And I wonder if you would comment on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.809$ I will. I will make one comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.545$ I think that.

00:56:04.545 --> 00:56:06.015 So often when we make these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}06.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07.815$ when arguments are made as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:07.815 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.310$ as yours have been presented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.810$ that what we do in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.818$ what we do is human beings in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.682$ I do think it's related to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:14.682 --> 00:56:15.759 point about cognitive dissonance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:15.759 --> 00:56:17.583 is we have a fear of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.240$ If the data suggests that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:19.240 --> 00:56:20.610 current practice is not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.108$ right thing to do what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.818$ Even within medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:22.818 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.870$ I mean I know this with an ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}24.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.319$ When I say here's all the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:26.319 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.920$ that show that we should treat

 $00:56:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.465$ these this particular class of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}29.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30.639$ patients differently than we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.644$ People with this diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:31.644 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.899$ different than we do often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:32.900 --> 00:56:34.460 The solution is I don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.500$ to hear those data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.330$ Just keep quiet about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.542$ That we fear the date and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:40.542 --> 00:56:42.959 part of this is just facing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.620$ just facing facing this fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}44.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46.534$ What I've not heard when I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:46.534 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.458$ heard people talk about this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:48.458 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.222$ anyone say that the issues related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:50.222 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.347$ to the carbon footprint from beef

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:52.347 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.549$ consumption are not true or anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:54.550 --> 00:56:56.800 suggest that these animals don't

 $00:56:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.520$ have the pathways to feel pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.585$ So that that the arguments are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:01.585 --> 00:57:03.629 compelling and the data are compelling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.465$ but you know it gets to a comment by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}06.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08.675$ I wish I remember the exact quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.766$ Of course when we talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:10.766 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.790$ moral status of animals and well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.322$ some people say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:14.322 --> 00:57:16.620 animals count less than humans and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}16.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.111$ therefore one makes the leap that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:19.111 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.269$ therefore they don't count at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.690$ And you know it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}22.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.388$ I think it was Jeremy Bentham,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}24.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.378$ right, who said the question is not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:26.380 --> 00:57:27.800 you know, kind of thing.

 $00:57:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.932$ Or can it reason?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:28.932 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.064$ But can it suffer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.774$ And there's no question that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:31.774 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.626$ animals can suffer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:32.630 --> 00:57:34.590 What's interesting to me is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.602$ have pointed out that, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:36.602 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.880$ in addition, they can also think and reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.012$ Many of them can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:40.012 --> 00:57:41.144 and that's been shown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:41.150 --> 00:57:41.684 of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:41.684 --> 00:57:43.286 so many different ways in so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:43.286 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.270$ many different species,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.980$ including some of the farm animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:45.980 --> 00:57:48.272 You rightly point out our hypocrisy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:48.272 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.625$ when we criticize those who eat dogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:50.625 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.850$ as we line up for our pork chops.

 $00:57:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.458$ We just have to, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.730$ Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.350$ we might want to face it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.326$ but we have to face that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:58.326 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.145$ strong analogy here and that that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:00.145 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.270$ approach this may indeed be be hypocritical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:02.270 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.866$ but but I want to get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:03.866 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.220$ some of these questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.493$ Sarah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}58{:}05.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07.131$ because there there's some of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:07.131 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.783$ are really very insightful and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:08.783 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.596$ range that they have a wide range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}58{:}10.600 --> 00{:}58{:}14.114$ So I want to get to 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.962$ That was one of the later

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:15.962 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.570$ questions which I thought was

00:58:17.570 --> 00:58:19.478 was very good and very practical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.050$ so this is terrific and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:21.050 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.306$ I'm closer than before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00{:}58{:}22.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24.122$ I assume I'm closer than before

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:24.122 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.089$ going to a plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.242$ My questions number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:27.242 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.707$ This reminds me of the whole thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:29.707 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.073$ physicians smoking and then we stop smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.117$ It became better role models and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

00:58:34.117 --> 00:58:36.566 we got the hospital to do the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:36.566 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.690$ smoking not allowed in the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.867$ How can we move the hospital system

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:40.867 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.919$ to presenting the whole food plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:42.919 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.327$ based diet in cafeterias?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

00:58:44.330 --> 00:58:45.502 And for patient meals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:45.502 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.967$ that was one question is,

 $00:58:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.430$ can we and should we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.188$ How do we move the hospital?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.827$ I mean not the hospitals all over the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

00:58:52.830 --> 00:58:54.874 How about our hospital to start with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.345$ And there's a second question

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:56.345 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.517$ of laziness which was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

00:58:57.520 --> 00:58:58.915 Has anyone looked at Speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:58.915 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.670$ of health at the salt content

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:59:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.494$ of whole food plant based diet?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:59:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.836$ So how do we change the hospital system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00{:}59{:}04.840 --> 00{:}59{:}06.466$ And can you talk briefly to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:59:06.466 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.060$ the question of salt content?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.698$ So thank you. First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:11.700 --> 00:59:13.877 thank you so much for your very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:13.877 --> 00:59:16.094 kind words and also thank you

 $00:59:16.094 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.714$ for this fabulous question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:17.720 --> 00:59:19.480 because it's a really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:19.480 --> 00:59:22.353 one and you know one of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:22.353 --> 00:59:24.088 epiphanies I had about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.379$ maybe even before the epiphany I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:26.379 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.174$ at this nutrition conference was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:28.174 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.799$ when I was rounding on the inpatient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:30.799 --> 00:59:32.938 cardiology service and I realized that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00{:}59{:}32.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}35.010$ you know, these these patients after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:35.010 --> 00:59:37.189 having heart attacks the next morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00{:}59{:}37.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}39.120$ There's there's a sausage and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.026$ Bacon or on their tray, Anan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:41.026 --> 00:59:42.290 It's just you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:42.290 --> 00:59:43.880 it's infuriating because it's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.232$ well, why don't we just give them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:46.232 --> 00:59:48.858 a cigarette and tell him to go take

 $00:59:48.858 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.020$ a smoke break outside and and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:51.020 --> 00:59:53.388 can say Oh well, it's not the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59{:}53.388 \dashrightarrow 00:59{:}55.290$ I think it's actually quite similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.634$ and I do think you're the smoking is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:57.634 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.550$ also a really. It's a really good analogy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:00:00.550 --> 01:00:01.414 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:00:01.414 --> 01:00:03.430 Doctor Smoke until we until we didn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}00{:}03.430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}05.480$ and you know you can go to a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:00:05.547 --> 01:00:07.593 cardiology dinners and it's not whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:07.593 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.937$ food plant based for the most part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:09.937 \dashrightarrow 01:00:11.779$ that you're seeing on the plates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:11.780 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.220$ And I think we need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:13.220 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.360$ We need to change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}00{:}14.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}16.070$ you know ourselves and I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:16.138 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.830$ saying we need to be perfect,

 $01:00:17.830 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.266$ but we need to at least start

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}00{:}20.266 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}22.504$ working on doing better if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:22.504 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.724$ expect other people to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:24.730 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.166$ There have been programs that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:27.166 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.630$ implemented whole food plant based menus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:00:29.630 --> 01:00:32.703 I actually think one person I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:00:32.703 --> 01:00:35.193 doctor Michelle Mcmaken is on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:35.193 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.377$ we binar tonight and she's at NYU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:37.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.828$ You and she has done that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:39.830 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.870$ She's a role model it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}00{:}41.870 --> 01{:}00{:}44.318$ In that way Dr Rob Ostfeld

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:44.318 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.950$ Montefiore has done that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:45.950 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.255$ He's another role model of mine and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:50.260 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.256$ He's he's actually counseled me on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:53.256 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.648$ tips and some of my colleagues as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:56.650 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.770$ And I'm on a group actually on a

01:00:59.770 --> 01:01:01.990 committee that's working on bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}01{:}01{:}01{:}090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}05{.}161$ a whole food plant based menu option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:05.170 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.300$ two to the inpatient nutrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:07.300 --> 01:01:09.855 services at Yale, and Justin Charles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:09.855 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.130$ who's a resident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:11.130 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.542$ has been absolutely critical in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:13.542 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.250$ effort in terms of mobilizing us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:16.250 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.075$ So we absolutely have an obligation to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668 01:01:20.080 --> 01:01:20.371 It's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:20.371 --> 01:01:22.408 It's unacceptable, actually that I and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:22.410 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.125$ I'm not saying that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:24.125 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.500$ force people to eat plants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:25.500 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.016$ We're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:26.016 --> 01:01:27.564 We're not talking about forcing people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:27.570 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.112$ but we need to make the

 $01:01:29.112 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.883$ default option healthy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:29.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.946$ And then patients can opt out of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:31.950 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.396$ So the default diet after you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}01{:}33.396 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}35.050$ get admitted with a heart attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:35.050 --> 01:01:36.598 At least let's start with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:36.600 --> 01:01:38.136 not even talking about other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:38.140 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.981$ But the default diet after you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}01{:}39.981 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41.554$ admitted hard with a heart attack

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}01{:}41.554 \longrightarrow 01{:}01{:}43.560$ should be a whole food plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:43.560 --> 01:01:45.108 Now we shouldn't be springing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}01{:}45.108 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}46.920$ on patients. It's not fair for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}01{:}46.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}48.492$ Know the food service worker coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:48.492 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.638$ in with the tray to be the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:50.638 --> 01:01:52.210 one who's breaking into the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:52.210 --> 01:01:54.498 And the patients like what is this like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:54.500 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.644 \text{ I don't want this.}$

01:01:55.644 --> 01:01:55.930 No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:55.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.890$ that needs to be the medical team

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:57.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:58.730$ that meaning you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

01:01:58.790 --> 01:02:00.394 know, the attending the resident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:00.394 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.960$ the nurse everyone has has a duty

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:02.960 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.016$ here and again I think largely and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:05.078 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.078$ and I have talked about this a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}07.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}08.823$ I think there's so much time pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:08.823 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.792$ in the way that modern medicine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}10.792 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}12.538$ practiced that we just feel that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}12.592 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}14.428$ we can't counsel adequately to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

01:02:14.428 --> 01:02:15.946 certain extent that's very true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:15.946 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.376$ But on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:17.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.067$ it doesn't take that long to at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:19.067 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.520$ least start the conversation.

 $01:02:20.520 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.236$ We don't have to finish it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:22.240 \longrightarrow 01:02:24.178$ we have to plant the seed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:24.180 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.231$ And it does not take that long

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:26.231 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.520$ to plant the seed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:27.520 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.350$ and so having a short conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

01:02:29.350 --> 01:02:31.485 changing the default such that and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:31.485 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.907$ start start with like the low hanging fruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:33.910 \dashrightarrow 01:02:35.728$ Start with the Post heart attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

01:02:35.730 --> 01:02:38.050 There's no reason why you know there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

01:02:38.050 --> 01:02:40.289 should be the default and their needs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}40.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}41.994$ and it needs to trigger conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:41.994 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.072$ an that should be part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:44.072 \dashrightarrow 01:02:45.252$ cardiovascular care that's given

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

01:02:45.252 --> 01:02:46.980 at Yale New Haven Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:46.980 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.798$ because that that's how we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:48.800 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.630$ That's how we promote heart health.

01:02:50.630 --> 01:02:51.539 And it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01{:}02{:}51.540 \to 01{:}02{:}54.480$ just admitting, or Sir, or just admitting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:02:54.480 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.544$ Did me saying things to people that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:02:56.544 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.668$ don't think they necessarily want to hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:02:58.670 --> 01:03:00.494 I mean, I mean, I can recall years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:00.494 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.623$ ago when I was telling a new mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:02.623 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.457$ that that breast feeding was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:04.457 --> 01:03:06.197 healthier for her premature baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:06.200 --> 01:03:08.209 her milk was better for premature baby

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:08.209 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.097$ than the formulas that that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:10.100 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.074$ one of the more traditional nurse is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:12.074 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.010$ telling me that this is really bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:14.010 --> 01:03:15.978 'cause you're going to make her feel guilty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:15.978 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.199$ if she then doesn't doesn't provide milk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:18.200 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.874$ And this is before we had

 $01:03:19.874 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.990$ donor milk available etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:20.990 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.214$ But even still the notion is so there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:23.220 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.308$ Therefore, should I not tell her?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:25.310 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.500$ I mean, the notion is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:26.500 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.201$ you know this may be something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:28.201 --> 01:03:29.579 some people don't want to hear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:29.580 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.074$ but fact is it's valuable information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:31.074 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.126$ and so that that we we should tell him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:33.130 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.789$ even if they don't want to hear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:34.790 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.212$ just like if we see somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:36.212 --> 01:03:36.686 who's overweight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:36.690 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.433$ even if we recognize that it's unlikely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:38.433 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.480$ they're going to be able to lose that weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:40.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.055$ it's unlikely that change the lifestyle that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:42.055 --> 01:03:43.558 doesn't absolve us of the responsibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:43.560 --> 01:03:44.034 I think,

 $01:03:44.034 \longrightarrow 01:03:45.930$ to make it clear that by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:45.930 \longrightarrow 01:03:47.175$ this would include your health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:47.175 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.683$ in a positive direction if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:48.683 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.955$ were able to make this change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:49.960 --> 01:03:50.641 or that change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:50.641 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.230$ and I think I think that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:52.281 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.745$ an analogy there with that too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:53.750 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.437$ that that we have kind of responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:55.437 --> 01:03:56.520 to tell people things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:56.520 --> 01:03:57.868 Sometimes they don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:03:57.870 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.574$ to hear, and I think that's absolutely true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}00.580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}03.433$ and I will also add that I'm very mindful

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}03.433 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}06.310$ of that and I try to be very explicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:06.310 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.028$ when I'm counseling to also say look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:09.030 --> 01:04:12.063 you know this is this is not just I'm,

01:04:12.070 --> 01:04:14.098 you know, with smoking as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:14.100 --> 01:04:15.790 This isn't a moral failing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:15.790 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.818$ you know this this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:17.818 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.170$ this is granted societally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:19.170 --> 01:04:21.866 I think that that we are morally failing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:21.870 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.250$ but this is not on one individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:24.250 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.929$ person who's doing it wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:25.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.782$ We live in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}26.782 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}28.486$ In a system that really promotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:28.486 --> 01:04:30.140 unhealthy eating and unjust eating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:30.140 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.926$ as I've argued,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}30.926 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}33.391$ and so I tried to make it very clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:33.391 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.639$ that that I'm on the same team as

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}35.711 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}37.811$ the patient and that you know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:37.811 --> 01:04:39.660 is an uphill battle in many ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:39.660 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.132$ and that we live in a system that does

01:04:42.132 --> 01:04:44.142 not promote making the right choices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:44.150 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.214$ and that we need to make the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:46.214 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.149$ choices we can within that system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:48.150 \longrightarrow 01:04:50.438$ And we do that by by small steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:50.440 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.560$ So I'm very sensitive to the guilt issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:52.560 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.454$ and I try to make it very clear when I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:55.454 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.586$ counseling that again we're the same team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:57.590 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.798$ This is not me, lecturing you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:59.800 --> 01:05:01.696 It is hard and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:01.700 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.518$ so I think that's important just

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:03.518 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.436$ quickly to answer the second question

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:05.436 --> 01:05:08.036 about salt content for a plant based diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:08.040 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.625$ whole food plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:09.625 --> 01:05:11.210 has very low sodium content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:11.210 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.478$ so processed plant based

 $01:05:12.478 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.380$ foods can be high in sodium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:14.380 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.870$ so a lot of times the question I get is like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:17.870 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.138$ well, what about those?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:19.138 --> 01:05:20.089 Like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:20.090 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.986$ meatless burgers like an impossible whopper?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}21.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}24.798$ Or that that really would not fall under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:24.798 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.380$ rubric of true whole food plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:27.380 --> 01:05:27.711 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}27.711 --> 01{:}05{:}30.028$ you know it's still an impossible whoppers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:30.030 \longrightarrow 01:05:31.402$ Probably still better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:31.402 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.117$ than a real beef whopper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:33.120 --> 01:05:34.488 From a nutritional standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:34.488 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.514$ Albiet somewhat marginally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:35.520 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.368$ But it's certainly a lot better

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:37.368 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.290$ from an animal welfare standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:39.290 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.010$ From a carbon footprint standpoint,

 $01:05:41.010 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.746$ it is going to be high in sodium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}43.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}46.510$ but you know again in terms of not

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:46.510 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.547$ making perfect the enemy of good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:48.550 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.344$ For some people moving toward a

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}50.344 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}52.631$ plant based diet is going to mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:52.631 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.336$ searching for alternatives as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:54.336 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.205$ make that transition because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}56.205 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}58.837$ not just going to start eating quinoa

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}58.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}00.898$ bowls and avocado on sprouted to ast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:06:00.900 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.880$ As delicious as that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:06:02.880 --> 01:06:03.376 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:06:03.376 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.360$ and and so I think we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:06:05.428 --> 01:06:07.414 be creative and use all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:06:07.414 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.738$ available tools we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:06:08.740 --> 01:06:09.010 Sarah

 $01:06:09.010 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.914$ best beautiful. Now I'm going to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:10.920 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.838$ We got a lot of people coming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:12.840 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.016$ chiming in with questions here on the train.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:15.020 \longrightarrow 01:06:17.196$ Get to some through and some of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:17.200 \longrightarrow 01:06:19.336$ Some of these you could give a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:19.336 --> 01:06:21.298 hour lecture on if you're not careful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:21.300 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.183$ but but there's some of these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:23.183 --> 01:06:24.569 really interesting and very basic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}24.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}26.208$ practical and some are more philosophical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:26.210 --> 01:06:28.260 But let me get a little bit deeper here to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:28.309 \dashrightarrow 01:06:30.309$ witness both philosophical and practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:30.310 \longrightarrow 01:06:31.670$ Very sympathetic to your arguments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:31.670 \longrightarrow 01:06:33.040$ against an animal based diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:33.040 --> 01:06:33.586 particularly environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:33.586 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.678$ and animal based arguments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:34.680 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.320$ One challenge to animal rights

 $01:06:36.320 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.632$ based arguments is differentiating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:37.632 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.050$ between the moral weight of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:39.050 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.350$ animals and humans with similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:40.350 --> 01:06:44.170 Or lesser capacity to those animals, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}44.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}45.850$ A singer others assigned moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:45.850 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.994$ weight to animals based on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:47.994 --> 01:06:49.598 capacity to experience pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:49.600 --> 01:06:50.322 suffering, pleasure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:50.322 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.683$ happiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:50.683 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.127$ another morally relevant capacities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:52.130 --> 01:06:55.973 How do you assign moral weight to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:55.973 --> 01:06:57.620 account or pig?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:57.620 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.175$ To hold power pig based on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:07:00.175 \longrightarrow 01:07:02.256$ various cognitive and social capacities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:07:02.256 --> 01:07:04.551 without denying equal or hopefully

 $01:07:04.551 \longrightarrow 01:07:06.949$ greater to this speakers mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:07:06.950 \longrightarrow 01:07:09.320$ Hopefully greater moral weight to humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:07:09.320 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.610$ who permanently lack those capacities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:13.160 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.178$ Yeah, that's that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:16.180 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.348$ So you know, I, I think that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01{:}07{:}19.348 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}21.020$ there's something to be said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:21.020 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.988$ I think a lot of people would argue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:23.990 --> 01:07:25.850 Certainly the disability rights community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:25.850 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.302$ and but but I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:27.302 --> 01:07:30.320 I think most people tacitly agree with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:30.320 --> 01:07:32.917 even if they don't explicitly argue it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:32.920 --> 01:07:35.896 that that humans by very nature of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:35.896 --> 01:07:38.496 humans, by by nature of being people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:38.500 --> 01:07:40.726 have intrinsic value, or they have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:40.730 \longrightarrow 01:07:43.334$ you know, they're they're of infinite worth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:43.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.200$ They are ends in themselves.

01:07:45.200 --> 01:07:47.125 You know, using the content

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:47.125 --> 01:07:47.895 categorical imperative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:47.900 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.448$ Or any number of other frameworks

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:49.448 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.654$ that you want to use to argue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:51.654 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.049$ and being disabled doesn't take

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:53.049 --> 01:07:54.827 that away like you're born human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:54.830 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.492$ and that that is part

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:56.492 \longrightarrow 01:07:57.600$ of the human condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:57.600 --> 01:07:58.985 much like being imperfect is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:58.985 --> 01:08:00.370 part of the human condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:08:00.370 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.026$ so so is that intrinsic value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:08:02.030 --> 01:08:03.410 You're an end in yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:08:03.410 --> 01:08:05.349 you're human and you have satisfied that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01{:}08{:}05{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}07{.}296$ and I think you know what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:08:07.296 --> 01:08:08.680 arguing about is not not.

 $01:08:08.680 \longrightarrow 01:08:10.342$ I'm not trying to take away

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:08:10.342 --> 01:08:11.450 moral weight from anybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:08:11.450 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.375$ I'm trying to add moral weight too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:08:13.380 --> 01:08:15.048 I'm trying to widen the net,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:08:15.050 --> 01:08:15.878 not narrow it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:08:15.878 --> 01:08:17.258 so I'm also not suggesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824 01:08:17.260 --> 01:08:17.540 as NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

01:08:17.540 --> 01:08:18.383 I understand it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

 $01:08:18.383 \longrightarrow 01:08:21.289$ 'cause this to get to get to that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

01:08:21.290 --> 01:08:23.576 Is you're not suggesting, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

01:08:23.580 --> 01:08:25.866 a pig who has, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

 $01:08:25.870 \longrightarrow 01:08:27.067$ significant cognitive abilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

 $01:08:27.067 \longrightarrow 01:08:29.062$ and there are severely disabled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

 $01:08:29.062 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.969$ humans who have lesser cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

 $01:08:30.969 \longrightarrow 01:08:32.369$ abilities than that pig.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

 $01:08:32.370 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.280$ You're you're suggesting that simply

01:08:34.280 --> 01:08:36.190 by virtue of species identification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

 $01:08:36.190 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.734$ the human nevertheless retains

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

 $01:08:37.734 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.050$ a higher moral status by your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121

 $01:08:40.117 \longrightarrow 01:08:41.917$ calculus than the pig would?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:08:43.420 --> 01:08:47.210 Yes I would, but but that's not to say I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:08:47.210 \longrightarrow 01:08:48.726$ I think that's almost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:08:48.726 --> 01:08:51.000 I understand that the theoretical concern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:08:51.000 --> 01:08:53.576 but I I don't exactly see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:08:53.576 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.308$ that how that bears out in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:08:56.310 --> 01:08:58.524 I could see how that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:08:58.524 \longrightarrow 01:09:00.480$ be expanded in other ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:09:00.480 --> 01:09:02.694 but I really don't think treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}02.694 --> 01{:}09{:}05.629$ this as sort of a 0 sum game

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:05.629 \longrightarrow 01:09:07.705$ where giving more moral weight to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:07.784 \longrightarrow 01:09:10.220$ some is going to therefore take

 $01:09:10.220 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.245$ moral weight away from others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:12.245 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.010$ I think that. That we have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:15.010 \longrightarrow 01:09:17.390$ We have to agree that that humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:17.390 \longrightarrow 01:09:19.787$ have a moral weight that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:19.787 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.957$ distinct because of our species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:21.960 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.156$ Our ability to reason our abilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:09:24.156 --> 01:09:26.590 that do really exceed other species,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:26.590 \longrightarrow 01:09:28.828$ however, that we that doesn't mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}28.828 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}31.574$ that we can't grant some degree of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:09:31.574 --> 01:09:33.926 moral weight or dignity or autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:33.930 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.240$ I think, is the wrong word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:36.240 \longrightarrow 01:09:38.746$ but it's it's sort of almost along

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:38.746 \longrightarrow 01:09:40.880$ that spectrum to other animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:40.880 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.080$ and I think you can almost apply like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:44.080 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.155$ A sliding scale, just like you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:09:49.160 --> 01:09:51.071 A plot like I talked about a

 $01:09:51.071 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.291$ sliding scale or an ethical gradient

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:53.291 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.626$ of moral responsibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:54.630 \longrightarrow 01:09:56.676$ I think there's almost a gradient

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:56.676 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.475$ of weight accorded to animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:58.475 \longrightarrow 01:10:00.659$ based on their ability to suffer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:00.660 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.185$ And based on their intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:02.185 --> 01:10:03.710 or ability to form relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:03.710 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.150$ and I think that that can be argued,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:06.150 \longrightarrow 01:10:07.968$ and I think that reasonable people

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:07.968 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.809$ could could disagree on that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:09.810 --> 01:10:12.258 But the only point that I that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:12.258 --> 01:10:14.215 would strongly stand by is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}10{:}14.215 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}16.129$ point that it's that moral weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:16.199 --> 01:10:18.343 isn't a 0 sum game that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:18.350 \longrightarrow 01:10:20.583$ I'm not arguing that by extending moral

01:10:20.583 --> 01:10:22.711 weight to other animals that in any

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:22.711 \longrightarrow 01:10:25.369$ way that should take it away from any humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:25.370 --> 01:10:26.282 And I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:26.282 \longrightarrow 01:10:28.106 \text{ I don't think it needs to}$.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:28.110 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.099$ I don't think it has two and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:31.100 --> 01:10:33.668 I actually think that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:33.668 --> 01:10:35.956 will that treating animals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:35.956 \longrightarrow 01:10:39.386$ compassion will make us as humans more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}10{:}39.386 \to 01{:}10{:}41.897$ compassionate toward each other as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:41.900 --> 01:10:43.250 Compassion begets compassion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

01:10:43.250 --> 01:10:45.860 gotcha. OK, next question Doctor Hall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

01:10:45.860 --> 01:10:47.474 one of the most important questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

 $01:10:47.474 \longrightarrow 01:10:49.326$ on this subject that you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

 $01:10:49.326 \longrightarrow 01:10:50.770$ haven't been satisfactory answered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

 $01:10:50.770 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.718$ Asked another way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

01:10:51.718 --> 01:10:53.614 Are there any studies that you'd

 $01:10:53.614 \longrightarrow 01:10:55.544$ like to see done that you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

01:10:55.544 --> 01:10:57.210 think have yet been conducted?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

01:10:57.210 --> 01:10:59.973 So you've presented us with a lot of great

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

01:10:59.973 --> 01:11:01.820 information to back up your arguments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

 $01:11:01.820 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.752$ but is there are some important

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

 $01:11:03.752 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.663$ studies that are missing that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.839973116666667

01:11:05.663 --> 01:11:07.343 think really needs to be done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:09.740 \longrightarrow 01:11:11.378$ Well, that's the way it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:11.380 \longrightarrow 01:11:12.750$ Is the question about studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:12.750 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.120$ about health or studies about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:14.120 \longrightarrow 01:11:15.490$ Well, I think that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

01:11:15.490 --> 01:11:16.876 you you made the argument based

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

01:11:16.876 --> 01:11:18.440 on health based on kindness to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

01:11:18.440 --> 01:11:19.608 animals based on environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:19.608 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.520$ impact based even on social justice.

 $01:11:21.520 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.170$ So I would say I would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01{:}11{:}23.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}25.760$ I would open up and say in any of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:25.835 \longrightarrow 01:11:28.099$ areas and it puts you on the spot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:28.100 \longrightarrow 01:11:29.588$ 'cause I think you so there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:29.588 \longrightarrow 01:11:31.389$ good data in all those already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:31.390 \longrightarrow 01:11:32.760$ But what's the study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:32.760 \longrightarrow 01:11:34.130$ that's taken to be done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:35.360 --> 01:11:37.952 So I mean it's I have some degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:37.952 --> 01:11:41.002 of bias here because I find the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:41.002 --> 01:11:43.739 compelling in all of those domains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01{:}11{:}43.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}46.460$ but I think right now what I'd really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:46.460 --> 01:11:49.230 like to see is more data on on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:49.230 --> 01:11:51.759 we can make systemic change with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:51.759 --> 01:11:54.404 buy in from different stakeholders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:11:54.410 \longrightarrow 01:11:57.010$ So you know, I'd like to see actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:57.010 --> 01:11:59.747 more more studies on efforts to bring

01:11:59.747 --> 01:12:02.657 healthy whole food plant based nutrition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01{:}12{:}02.657 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}05.562$ communities that don't have access to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:05.570 \longrightarrow 01:12:07.285$ I'd like to see it studied how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:07.285 \longrightarrow 01:12:09.129$ we can do that effectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:12:09.130 --> 01:12:10.750 You know, from an education standpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01{:}12{:}10.750 \longrightarrow 01{:}12{:}12.400$ and from an access standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:12:12.400 --> 01:12:14.192 I'd like to see sort of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:12:14.192 --> 01:12:16.026 practical on the ground studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:12:16.026 --> 01:12:18.036 how we can actually implement this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:18.040 \longrightarrow 01:12:19.912$ because I think we have enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:19.912 \longrightarrow 01:12:22.197$ data to know that we need to act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:22.200 \longrightarrow 01:12:23.976$ I think how exactly we act,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:23.980 \longrightarrow 01:12:25.172$ I've presented some broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:25.172 \longrightarrow 01:12:25.768$ strokes suggestions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:25.770 \longrightarrow 01:12:27.849$ but I'd love to see more data.

01:12:27.850 --> 01:12:28.340 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:28.340 \longrightarrow 01:12:30.300$ in terms of how we can act in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:12:30.365 --> 01:12:31.997 a maximally effective way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:32.000 \longrightarrow 01:12:33.188$ I think that's brilliant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:33.190 --> 01:12:34.680 I mean, I think that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:34.680 \longrightarrow 01:12:36.430$ for example, if someone said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:36.430 --> 01:12:37.876 We could spend money on figuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:37.876 --> 01:12:39.420 out whether smoking is bad for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:39.420 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.016$ or we could spend that same money

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:41.016 --> 01:12:42.410 on figuring how to get people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:42.410 \longrightarrow 01:12:44.250$ Make it easier for people to stop smoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:44.250 --> 01:12:45.630 or more likely to stop smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:45.630 --> 01:12:46.320 I say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:46.320 \longrightarrow 01:12:47.930$ save the nickel in the first one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:47.930 --> 01:12:49.323 Let's talk about what we can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:49.323 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.919$ do to help people change behavior.

01:12:50.920 --> 01:12:54.260 So here's a question for you, my friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01{:}12{:}54.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}55.520$ Arguments or even strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:55.520 --> 01:12:57.095 among those you have outlined,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:57.100 --> 01:12:59.305 do you think will resonate most strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:59.305 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.542$ with the public and have the greatest

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:13:01.542 \longrightarrow 01:13:03.396$ traction in getting us to change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:13:03.400 --> 01:13:04.408 Our feeding behaviors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:13:04.408 \longrightarrow 01:13:06.088$ Will government restrictions and regulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:13:06.088 \longrightarrow 01:13:07.810$ be necessary all that scary business?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:13:07.810 \longrightarrow 01:13:10.008$ No giant sodas in NYC and such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:13:10.010 \longrightarrow 01:13:11.585$ Do you think the government's

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:13:11.585 --> 01:13:13.531 going to get involved in place

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:13:13.531 \longrightarrow 01:13:15.673$ restrictions on us to get this done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:17.100 \longrightarrow 01:13:18.918$ Do I think the government is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:18.918 \longrightarrow 01:13:21.079$ going to need to get involved?

 $01:13:21.080 \longrightarrow 01:13:22.740$ Absolutely. Do I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:22.740 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.400$ we need to place restrictions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:24.400 --> 01:13:26.398 No, I am not about restrictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:26.400 --> 01:13:28.584 but I am about leveling the playing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:28.584 --> 01:13:30.964 field because we don't have a leveling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:30.964 --> 01:13:32.694 level playing field right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:32.700 --> 01:13:35.598 So again, and I think that's part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:35.598 \longrightarrow 01:13:38.348$ problem of a lot of the political rhetoric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:38.350 \longrightarrow 01:13:40.303$ Now is this idea that when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:40.303 --> 01:13:41.914 actually just trying to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:41.914 \longrightarrow 01:13:43.346$ make behavioral economics changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:43.346 \longrightarrow 01:13:45.236$ and change defaults that somehow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:45.236 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.836$ gets marketed as restrictions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:46.840 --> 01:13:49.600 And when in fact that's not the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:49.600 --> 01:13:52.372 so no one saying you can't, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:52.372 --> 01:13:55.470 Well, let's leave the soda thing out of it,

01:13:55.470 --> 01:13:58.230 because that that ended up being a debackle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}13{:}58.230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}59.950$ but I'm not suggesting banning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:59.950 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.308$ so I'm suggesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:14:02.310 --> 01:14:04.245 Pricing things that are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:04.245 \longrightarrow 01:14:06.180$ more reflective of the health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:06.243 \longrightarrow 01:14:08.083$ costs of the environmental costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:08.083 \longrightarrow 01:14:10.315$ and just of the production costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:10.315 \longrightarrow 01:14:12.604$ because the you know the meat there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:12.610 \longrightarrow 01:14:14.794$ There are a lot of subsidies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:14.794 \longrightarrow 01:14:17.051$ that are currently given to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:17.051 \longrightarrow 01:14:18.866$ industries and not to others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:18.870 \longrightarrow 01:14:19.938$ And you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:19.938 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.430$ And in terms of the way food

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:14:22.522 --> 01:14:24.387 is taxed or not taxed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:24.390 \longrightarrow 01:14:27.326$ what are ways that we can change the

 $01:14:27.326 \longrightarrow 01:14:29.939$ pricing schemes of food and then also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:29.939 \longrightarrow 01:14:32.170$ the distribution of food such that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:32.170 \longrightarrow 01:14:34.996$ It can be allocated in a more just way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:35.000 \longrightarrow 01:14:36.570$ That's where I'm I'm not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:14:36.570 --> 01:14:37.826 I'm not saying restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:37.826 \longrightarrow 01:14:39.710$ I'm not saying you can't sell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:39.768 \longrightarrow 01:14:40.650$ this stuff here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:40.650 \longrightarrow 01:14:42.582$ but I'm saying how can we ensure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:42.582 \longrightarrow 01:14:44.190$ that in these food deserts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:44.190 \longrightarrow 01:14:45.990$ that grocery stores are open?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:45.990 \longrightarrow 01:14:48.204$ Are there are there in monetary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:48.204 \longrightarrow 01:14:50.404$ incentives that you can give franchisers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:50.404 \longrightarrow 01:14:53.028$ to open up a grocery store in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:14:53.104 --> 01:14:55.516 underserved area and sell this food?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:14:55.520 --> 01:14:57.120 You subsidize it that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:57.120 \longrightarrow 01:14:59.304$ What are ways that we can make

 $01:14:59.304 \longrightarrow 01:15:01.280$ this food cheaper in other areas?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:01.280 \longrightarrow 01:15:03.200$ How can we change the default

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:03.200 \longrightarrow 01:15:04.480$ choices so I don't?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:04.480 --> 01:15:05.660 I don't want restrictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:05.660 \longrightarrow 01:15:08.005$ but I do think that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}15{:}08.005 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}09.776$ change the way make it the path

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:09.776 \longrightarrow 01:15:12.085$ of least resistance to eat the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:12.085 --> 01:15:14.075 healthiest food rather than currently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:14.080 --> 01:15:15.040 As it stands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:15.040 --> 01:15:17.280 it's the path typically of most resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}15{:}17.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}20.160$ and I think that's how it needs to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:20.160 --> 01:15:21.660 I don't think again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}15{:}21.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}23.910$ to paraphrase what we heard on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:23.984 \longrightarrow 01:15:26.406$ Fox News with the Green New Deal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:26.410 --> 01:15:27.965 Alexandria Ocasio Cortez is not

 $01:15:27.965 \longrightarrow 01:15:29.867$ coming to take away your hamburgers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:29.867 --> 01:15:31.601 like if you want a hamburger

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:31.601 \longrightarrow 01:15:33.189$ you can have a hamburger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:33.190 --> 01:15:33.485 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:33.485 \longrightarrow 01:15:35.255$ understand that you know maybe under

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:35.255 \longrightarrow 01:15:37.496$ a more just scheme the price of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:37.496 \longrightarrow 01:15:39.206$ hamburger will be a little higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:39.206 --> 01:15:40.996 because maybe the beast industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:40.996 --> 01:15:42.428 isn't getting Subs subsidies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:42.430 \longrightarrow 01:15:44.065$ Maybe they're not allowed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}15{:}44.065 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}45.373$ practice these inhumane practices

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}15{:}45.373 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}47.317$ in their factory farms and in on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:47.317 --> 01:15:48.752 the factory line where workers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:48.752 \longrightarrow 01:15:50.427$ are being mistreated in crowded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:50.430 \longrightarrow 01:15:52.418$ You know we have to have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:52.418 --> 01:15:54.267 higher standards there that in and

 $01:15:54.267 \longrightarrow 01:15:55.827$ of itself having higher standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:55.827 \longrightarrow 01:15:57.600$ for factory farms and factories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:15:57.600 \longrightarrow 01:15:58.587$ Farm factory workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:15:58.587 --> 01:16:00.561 That's going to raise prices because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:16:00.561 \longrightarrow 01:16:02.567$ the price is currently that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:16:02.567 \longrightarrow 01:16:04.187$ are are charged are artificially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:16:04.241 \longrightarrow 01:16:05.931$ low because of really cruel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:16:05.931 \longrightarrow 01:16:06.945$ and inhumane practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:06.950 \longrightarrow 01:16:08.620$ Tord Animals and Tord people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:08.620 --> 01:16:10.588 and so already if you just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:10.588 \longrightarrow 01:16:12.300$ change that and nothing else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:12.300 \longrightarrow 01:16:14.239$ prices are probably going to go up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01{:}16{:}14.239 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}16.276$ and then combine that with subsidies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:16.276 \longrightarrow 01:16:18.181$ and financial incentives to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:18.181 \longrightarrow 01:16:20.310$ healthier food in food deserts already,

01:16:20.310 --> 01:16:22.176 that that in and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:22.176 --> 01:16:23.990 itself makes a huge change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:23.990 \longrightarrow 01:16:25.898$ And and that just seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:25.898 --> 01:16:27.660 me like it's common sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:27.660 \longrightarrow 01:16:29.748$ and that that really shouldn't be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:29.750 --> 01:16:31.290 A controversial point, but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:31.290 --> 01:16:33.006 realizing that I have a bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:33.006 --> 01:16:34.970 if not a conflict of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:34.970 --> 01:16:36.806 it's my confluence of interests that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:36.810 --> 01:16:38.665 you know, I I find all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01{:}16{:}38.665 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}40.190$ these reasons very compelling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01{:}16{:}40.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}42.638$ and I think you know it's it's well past

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:42.638 --> 01:16:44.789 time to make these changes alright?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:44.790 --> 01:16:45.410 Well, here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.854234

01:16:45.410 --> 01:16:47.348 a suggestion from someone that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.854234

 $01:16:47.348 \longrightarrow 01:16:49.980$ will get us to change our behavior.

01:16:49.980 --> 01:16:52.710 Do you think images of animal slaughter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854234

 $01:16:52.710 \longrightarrow 01:16:54.615$ similar to cancer pictures on

NOTE Confidence: 0.854234

 $01:16:54.615 \longrightarrow 01:16:56.520$ cigarette cartoons would be effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.854234

 $01:16:56.578 \longrightarrow 01:16:58.170$ in altering consumer habits?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:16:59.610 \longrightarrow 01:17:03.426$ I do and I will say that as someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:03.426 \longrightarrow 01:17:06.908$ for whom that's been effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:06.910 --> 01:17:09.439 You know, I've I've had a sort of slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:09.439 \longrightarrow 01:17:11.578$ progress tored a more plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:11.580 --> 01:17:14.118 As I've gotten older and you know we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:14.118 --> 01:17:16.245 talking about this a little bit before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:16.250 \longrightarrow 01:17:18.590$ but you know, I I when I was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:18.590 \longrightarrow 01:17:21.075$ middle school I had that that dog pig

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:21.075 --> 01:17:23.537 epiphany and I was like wait a minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:23.537 \longrightarrow 01:17:26.186$ like this is this is not OK I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:26.186 \longrightarrow 01:17:28.553$ you know totally in love with my with

 $01:17:28.553 \longrightarrow 01:17:31.361$ my Westie and I just one day is like I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:31.361 --> 01:17:33.769 can't eat other mammals and so I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:33.770 \longrightarrow 01:17:35.594$ stopped eating mammals and because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:35.594 \longrightarrow 01:17:37.979$ that was where the connection was made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:37.980 \longrightarrow 01:17:40.689$ And I actually I had thought about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:40.689 --> 01:17:43.098 not eating poultry for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:43.100 \longrightarrow 01:17:45.669$ but I sort of didn't stop really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:45.670 \longrightarrow 01:17:47.500$ mostly not to inconvenience other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:47.500 \longrightarrow 01:17:50.062$ people and not to be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:50.062 \longrightarrow 01:17:51.160$ the quote difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:51.160 --> 01:17:53.792 And I actually saw some some pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:53.792 --> 01:17:56.700 of poultry and and it was just one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:56.700 --> 01:17:58.840 picture in particular an it just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:58.840 --> 01:17:59.938 It was heartbreaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:59.938 \longrightarrow 01:18:02.456$ I was like that's it, I'm out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:18:02.456 \longrightarrow 01:18:05.200$ And then I became PESCO vegetarian and it

 $01:18:05.273 \longrightarrow 01:18:08.087$ was only recently that I've moved toward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:18:08.090 \longrightarrow 01:18:09.705$ Predominantly vegan or vegan at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:18:09.705 \longrightarrow 01:18:11.320$ home and an otherwise vegetarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:18:11.371 --> 01:18:13.100 and experience so so that to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:18:13.100 \longrightarrow 01:18:14.350$ to the questions directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:14.350 \longrightarrow 01:18:15.980$ Though because those pictures you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:15.980 \longrightarrow 01:18:18.270$ know in the consumer spaces are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:18.270 \longrightarrow 01:18:20.356$ very very start so you think when

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:20.356 \longrightarrow 01:18:22.510$ someone goes to buy a couple of pounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:22.510 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.630$ of ground beef that on the back of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:24.630 \longrightarrow 01:18:26.590$ the package or the front of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:26.590 \longrightarrow 01:18:28.515$ package there should be a picture of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01{:}18{:}28.515 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}31.040$ a cow in the slaughter house. Sorry I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01{:}18{:}31.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}32.455$ didn't I misunderstood the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:32.455 \longrightarrow 01:18:34.545$ I thought you meant just more in

01:18:34.545 --> 01:18:36.095 general are those pictures compelling?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

01:18:36.100 --> 01:18:37.288 Like in the pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

01:18:37.290 --> 01:18:38.855 are certainly compelling, but they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:38.855 \longrightarrow 01:18:40.420$ talking about the cancer pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:40.420 \longrightarrow 01:18:42.664$ On cigarette carton, so I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:42.664 \longrightarrow 01:18:44.697$ the analogy would be slaughter

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:44.697 \longrightarrow 01:18:46.977$ pictures or be King pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

01:18:46.980 --> 01:18:48.231 on meat packaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

 $01:18:48.231 \longrightarrow 01:18:51.490$ I mean you know that that stuff do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

 $01:18:51.490 \longrightarrow 01:18:54.360$ I think that that would be affective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

 $01:18:54.360 \longrightarrow 01:18:56.784$ Absolutely do I think that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

01:18:56.784 --> 01:18:58.400 would be incredibly polarizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

 $01:18:58.469 \longrightarrow 01:19:00.097$ Ann and might ultimately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:02.220 \longrightarrow 01:19:04.495$ Hurt the movement more than help it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:04.500 \longrightarrow 01:19:06.456$ I don't know. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:06.460 \longrightarrow 01:19:10.420$ I mean, I think that's a great idea.

01:19:10.420 --> 01:19:13.578 But I I think I, you know, I almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01{:}19{:}13.578 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}15.870$ wonder in our hyper polarized environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:15.870 \longrightarrow 01:19:17.842$ What kind of backlash

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:17.842 \longrightarrow 01:19:20.307$ that might create and I?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

01:19:20.310 --> 01:19:23.982 I can't help but think I'd I'd rather I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:23.982 \longrightarrow 01:19:27.376$ rather use more carrots and fewer sticks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

01:19:27.380 --> 01:19:29.668 And in that sense, but you know honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:29.670 \longrightarrow 01:19:31.380$ I need to think about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:31.380 \longrightarrow 01:19:34.440$ I don't know. I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

01:19:34.440 --> 01:19:38.659 I think it would be effective, but is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

01:19:38.660 --> 01:19:40.124 I just don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:40.124 \longrightarrow 01:19:42.850$ I'd love to see data on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:42.850 \longrightarrow 01:19:44.382$ Now you got the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:44.382 \longrightarrow 01:19:45.300$ Now you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86461115

01:19:45.300 --> 01:19:46.218 this study. OK,

 $01:19:46.218 \longrightarrow 01:19:47.748$ let's go to another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86461115

 $01:19:47.750 \longrightarrow 01:19:49.580$ Do you have any thoughts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86461115

 $01:19:49.580 \longrightarrow 01:19:50.190$ regenerative agriculture?

NOTE Confidence: 0.69117457

 $01:19:51.820 \longrightarrow 01:19:55.700$ Oh mean meaning. Cell based

NOTE Confidence: 0.69117457

 $01:19:55.700 \longrightarrow 01:19:57.170$ meat is that you know, I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:19:57.170 --> 01:19:58.430 sure if that's what they mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:19:58.430 --> 01:19:59.738 but I'm gonna I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01:19:59.738 \longrightarrow 01:20:02.400$ assume that's what's meant. Here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:02.400 --> 01:20:05.120 Because I think this mean that term also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:05.120 --> 01:20:07.927 you know to some brings to mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:07.927 --> 01:20:10.869 you know top cell regeneration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:10.870 --> 01:20:12.160 Water cycling and such,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01:20:12.160 \longrightarrow 01:20:14.811$ but but I think that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:14.811 --> 01:20:16.898 the cell based meat, the plant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01:20:16.898 \longrightarrow 01:20:19.142$ you know that these laboratory based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01{:}20{:}19.142 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}21.696$ meat etc that that Bill Gates has

01:20:21.696 --> 01:20:24.382 recently been talking to us about even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01{:}20{:}24.382 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}26.577$ the laboratory based yogurts right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01:20:26.580 \longrightarrow 01:20:28.480$ I think that's that's the area

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:28.480 --> 01:20:30.670 perhaps that the question and I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:30.670 --> 01:20:32.518 mean to try and reach someone else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01:20:32.520 \longrightarrow 01:20:33.708$ am I think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:33.710 --> 01:20:35.190 perhaps what they're referring to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:35.190 --> 01:20:37.674 OK, I mean, I, I think that sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}20{:}37.674 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}39.946$ similar to the plant based meat there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:39.950 --> 01:20:41.138 There's some, certainly it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:20:41.138 \longrightarrow 01:20:42.920$ helps with some of the issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:20:42.920 \longrightarrow 01:20:45.584$ So in terms of animal welfare and excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:45.590 --> 01:20:47.075 climate change, I think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:20:47.075 \dashrightarrow 01:20:48.560$ definitely makes a huge difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:20:48.560 \longrightarrow 01:20:50.639$ And if that's going to be a

 $01:20:50.639 \longrightarrow 01:20:51.530$ necessary stepping stone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}20{:}51.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}53.371$ and I do think that we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:53.371 --> 01:20:54.935 to be multi pronged approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:54.935 --> 01:20:57.065 because some people are just not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:20:57.065 \longrightarrow 01:20:59.369$ going to be willing to give it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:59.370 --> 01:21:01.864 I think that's a great idea, but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:01.864 \longrightarrow 01:21:03.736$ going back to a health standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:03.740 --> 01:21:05.300 putting on my cardiologist hat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}21{:}05.300 \longrightarrow 01{:}21{:}07.166$ taking off the animal lover hat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:07.170 --> 01:21:09.347 you know that's really going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:09.350 \longrightarrow 01:21:11.228$ If it truly is lab based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:11.230 \longrightarrow 01:21:13.096$ you know regenerated cell based meat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:13.100 --> 01:21:14.790 Presumably it will be nutritionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}21{:}14.790 \longrightarrow 01{:}21{:}16.839$ equivalent to eating meat from a cow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:16.840 \longrightarrow 01:21:19.056$ and so you know if you if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:19.056 --> 01:21:20.700 don't care about your health

 $01{:}21{:}20.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}23.073$ and you're like you know a doc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:23.080 --> 01:21:25.574 I hear you, but I'm healthy, I'm good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:25.574 --> 01:21:28.070 I mean, I want to keep eating meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:28.070 --> 01:21:29.670 but I'm very concerned about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:29.670 \longrightarrow 01:21:30.950$ animals on the planet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:30.950 --> 01:21:31.508 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:31.508 \longrightarrow 01:21:33.182$ for someone for whom those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:33.182 --> 01:21:34.340 more compelling priorities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:34.340 \longrightarrow 01:21:36.492$ I think cell based or lab based meat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:36.492 \longrightarrow 01:21:38.650$ is going to be helpful alternative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:38.650 --> 01:21:39.380 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:39.380 \longrightarrow 01:21:41.570$ I I think realizing that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:41.570 \longrightarrow 01:21:43.548$ going to have to sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:43.550 \longrightarrow 01:21:45.210$ Attack this on multiple fronts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:45.210 \longrightarrow 01:21:47.190$ I think that's a fantastic idea.

01:21:47.190 --> 01:21:49.181 Something I'm going to, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:49.181 \longrightarrow 01:21:51.167$ I have no intention of eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:51.167 --> 01:21:52.160 plant based meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:52.160 \longrightarrow 01:21:53.815$ but that doesn't mean that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:53.815 \longrightarrow 01:21:55.139$ other people people shouldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:55.140 \longrightarrow 01:21:56.795$ It's just that that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:56.795 \longrightarrow 01:21:58.780$ appealing to me at all gotcha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:21:58.780 \longrightarrow 01:22:00.435$ OK, so here's a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:00.435 \longrightarrow 01:22:02.090$ for a cardiologist as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

01:22:02.090 --> 01:22:04.738 perhaps is there a way to promote plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01{:}22{:}04.738 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}07.422$ based plant based diets in a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

01:22:07.422 --> 01:22:09.698 is congruent with health at every size?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:09.700 \longrightarrow 01:22:11.495$ Another fat liberation movements that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:11.495 \longrightarrow 01:22:13.740$ seek to separate weight and health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

01:22:13.740 --> 01:22:16.218 I mean, I, I think that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:16.220 \longrightarrow 01:22:19.944$ as a cardiologist, I think the the.

01:22:19.950 --> 01:22:22.038 An effort to to play down body shaming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

01:22:22.038 --> 01:22:24.167 I think is a very worthwhile effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:24.170 \longrightarrow 01:22:26.546$ On the other hand, I think is a cardiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

01:22:26.550 --> 01:22:28.302 Perhaps you might want to tell us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:28.302 \longrightarrow 01:22:29.935$ we can't completely separate size and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:29.935 \longrightarrow 01:22:32.255$ health or weight and health that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

01:22:32.255 --> 01:22:33.935 not totally distinct concepts as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:33.940 \longrightarrow 01:22:35.788$ but but the question wants to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:35.790 \longrightarrow 01:22:37.930$ is there a way to do this to promote the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:37.990 \longrightarrow 01:22:40.174$ plant based diet in a way that's congruent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

01:22:40.174 --> 01:22:42.390 with the health at every size movement?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:22:43.050 \longrightarrow 01:22:46.490$ Yeah, so you know, I think your comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:22:46.490 \longrightarrow 01:22:49.500$ Actually, you're you summed it up perfectly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:22:49.500 \longrightarrow 01:22:52.425$ Which is to say that I don't think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}22{:}52.425 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}55.514$ you can fairly completely D couple

 $01:22:55.514 \longrightarrow 01:22:57.662$ weight and cardiovascular health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}22{:}57.670 --> 01{:}23{:}00.190$ I just think that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:23:00.190 --> 01:23:02.400 data would not support that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:02.400 \longrightarrow 01:23:04.500$ However, you know that that's there

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:04.500 \longrightarrow 01:23:06.985$ other things that are more directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:06.985 \longrightarrow 01:23:08.518$ correlated with cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:23:08.518 --> 01:23:10.562 disease specific risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:10.570 \longrightarrow 01:23:13.396$ although many of those risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:23:13.400 --> 01:23:15.310 Are also correlated with obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:23:15.310 --> 01:23:18.730 but I think that we really that being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:23:18.730 --> 01:23:19.759 you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:23:19.759 --> 01:23:22.160 While I don't think that we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:22.238 \longrightarrow 01:23:24.548$ be disingenuous and sort of actively

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:23:24.548 --> 01:23:27.499 state that that we see no correlation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:27.500 \longrightarrow 01:23:30.160$ where in fact there is a correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:30.160 \longrightarrow 01:23:32.589$ But certainly I don't think that should

01:23:32.589 --> 01:23:35.498 be the focus of healthy eating programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}23{:}35.500 \to 01{:}23{:}37.780$ whether it's plant based or otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:37.780 \longrightarrow 01:23:40.230$ where you know the the primary concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:40.230 \longrightarrow 01:23:43.444$ should not be weight so much as other

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:23:43.444 --> 01:23:45.120 metabolic indices that actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:45.120 \longrightarrow 01:23:46.029$ Are better correlated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:46.029 \longrightarrow 01:23:49.330$ So what do I mean by those metabolic indices?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:49.330 \longrightarrow 01:23:50.032$ Waist circumference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:50.032 \longrightarrow 01:23:52.138$ which again does correlate with weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:52.140 \longrightarrow 01:23:54.246$ But we know that that central

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}23{:}54.246 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}55.650$ obesity or central adiposity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:55.650 \longrightarrow 01:23:58.458$ Those fat cells that deposit on our organs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:58.460 \longrightarrow 01:23:59.864$ also called visceral fat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:23:59.864 \longrightarrow 01:24:01.970$ That's a lot more metabolically active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:01.970 \longrightarrow 01:24:04.394$ And subcutaneous fat and so measuring

01:24:04.394 --> 01:24:06.383 someone's waist circumference may actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:06.383 \longrightarrow 01:24:08.574$ give you a lot more information than

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:08.574 \longrightarrow 01:24:10.739$ than just putting them on the scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:10.740 --> 01:24:13.169 But even that is if that's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:13.169 \longrightarrow 01:24:15.130$ to be too difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:15.130 --> 01:24:17.174 You know, let's look at blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:17.180 --> 01:24:18.650 Let's look at hemoglobin A1C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:18.650 \longrightarrow 01:24:20.414$ which is a measure of long-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}24{:}20.414 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}21.927$ blood glucose control that's used

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:21.927 \longrightarrow 01:24:23.607$ to measure people with diabetes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}24{:}23.607 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}25.379$ pre diabetes and how well they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:25.379 --> 01:24:26.555 doing on their regiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:26.560 \longrightarrow 01:24:28.020$ Let's look at cholesterol panels

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:28.020 \longrightarrow 01:24:29.827$ like there are lots of metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:29.827 \longrightarrow 01:24:31.829$ indices that that we can look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:31.830 \longrightarrow 01:24:33.588$ We can look at CR P,

 $01:24:33.590 \longrightarrow 01:24:35.634$ which is a measure of of inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:35.640 --> 01:24:37.976 So so I I I don't think again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:37.980 \longrightarrow 01:24:39.884$ I think this is not exclusive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:39.884 \longrightarrow 01:24:41.698$ plant based we we shouldn't be

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:41.698 \longrightarrow 01:24:43.253$ in the business of shaming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:43.260 --> 01:24:45.900 And again, it sort of goes toward the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:45.900 \longrightarrow 01:24:47.790$ Let's I want us to focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:47.790 \longrightarrow 01:24:49.080$ carrots more than sticks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}24{:}49.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}51.672$ I want this to be as inclusive as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:51.680 \longrightarrow 01:24:53.664$ I think that you know we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}24{:}53.664 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}55.265$ realize that there are some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:55.265 \longrightarrow 01:24:57.251$ who are going to find the health

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}24{:}57.251 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}59.477$ arguments compelling but not the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:59.480 \longrightarrow 01:25:01.896$ Some that are going to find the animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:01.896 \longrightarrow 01:25:03.528$ arguments compelling but not the others.

 $01:25:03.530 \longrightarrow 01:25:05.155$ The climate arguments compelling we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}25{:}05.155 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}06.780$ I wanted inclusive movement that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:06.835 \longrightarrow 01:25:07.579$ not about 0 sum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:25:07.580 --> 01:25:09.596 You know, if you're not with us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:09.600 \longrightarrow 01:25:11.328$ you're against note like that there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:25:11.330 --> 01:25:12.725 There's something in plant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:12.725 \longrightarrow 01:25:14.799$ nutrition for for most if not everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:25:14.800 --> 01:25:15.852 And so I I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}25{:}15.852 \longrightarrow 01{:}25{:}18.191$ I don't think that you know a myopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:18.191 \longrightarrow 01:25:20.255$ focus on weight is is helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}25{:}20.255 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}22.111$ or necessary without explicitly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:25:22.111 --> 01:25:24.299 decoupling the link between

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:24.299 \longrightarrow 01:25:26.487$ weight and cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:26.490 \longrightarrow 01:25:28.896$ because I do think that's disingenuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:29.910 \longrightarrow 01:25:32.080$ You know, so there's a whole bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:32.080 \longrightarrow 01:25:34.250$ more questions, and our time is up.

 $01:25:34.250 \longrightarrow 01:25:35.490$ There was tremendous influence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01{:}25{:}35.490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}37.350$ Obviously tremendous interest in the talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:37.350 \longrightarrow 01:25:39.436$ The talk stimulated a lot of great

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:39.436 \longrightarrow 01:25:41.017$ questions and conversations which will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:41.017 \longrightarrow 01:25:42.925$ hopefully carry forward to beyond tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

01:25:42.930 --> 01:25:44.764 Doctor Who I want to thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:44.764 \longrightarrow 01:25:46.340$ for a tremendous presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01{:}25{:}46.340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}48.615$ I want to a pologize to those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01{:}25{:}48.615 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}50.679$ ask questions that I didn't get to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01{:}25{:}50.680 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>01{:}25{:}52.230$ but I've promised you all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:52.230 \longrightarrow 01:25:53.780$ the hard stop at 6:30,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:53.780 \longrightarrow 01:25:56.260$ which is about to happen any second now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:56.260 \longrightarrow 01:25:59.590$ It's 629, so I am going to stop it here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

01:25:59.590 --> 01:26:01.222 I'm going to thank you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:26:01.222 \longrightarrow 01:26:03.000$ thank you all for attending.

 $01{:}26{:}03.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}05.480$ We look forward to seeing you at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:26:05.480 \longrightarrow 01:26:07.555$ next program when in a couple weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01{:}26{:}07.555 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}09.674$ and you can check our schedule on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:26:09.674 \longrightarrow 01:26:11.984$ the on the website and Doctor Hall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:26:11.990 \longrightarrow 01:26:12.610$ Wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:26:12.610 \longrightarrow 01:26:13.850$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:26:13.850 \longrightarrow 01:26:17.112$ Thank you and thank you everyone for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

01:26:17.112 --> 01:26:19.215 Good I thought.