WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:27:03.9170000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.005$ Good evening, welcome to another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:05.005 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.219$ Session in our program for biomedical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:00:09.220 --> 00:00:11.740 Before I introduce our guest speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:00:11.740 --> 00:00:12.961 Doctor Matthews Camp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:12.961 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.743$ I want to remind you of that we will

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:16.743 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.718$ be having another session in two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.400$ Where are other associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:00:21.400 --> 00:00:23.080 director Doctor Sarah Camp?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.760$ Well, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.860$ my name is Jack Hughes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:26.860 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.308$ I'm going to associate director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00{:}00{:}29.308 \to 00{:}00{:}31.480$ the Program for Biomedical Ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.440$ Doctor Mercurio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.280$ Your usual host is unable to attend to night.

00:00:36.280 --> 00:00:39.087 In two weeks, Doctor Sarah Hall will

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:39.087 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.622$ be talking to us about the ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00{:}00{:}42.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.870$ ethical considerations in nutrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:00:44.870 --> 00:00:49.640 Are we what we eat two weeks after that on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.192$ well, actually a few weeks after

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:53.192 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.270$ that on March the 24th?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:00:56.270 --> 00:00:59.882 Max Jordan Tiako will be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:00:59.882 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.552$ to us about the how racism shapes

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:05.552 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.687$ undergraduate medical education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.533$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:09.533 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.434$ the ethical case for structural change so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.240$ Be sure to join us if you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00{:}01{:}18.240 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}01{:}20.340$ It is my pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.428$ tonight's speaker, Doctor Matthew Camp.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00{:}01{:}23.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.592$ Doctor camp Doctor Decamp is a

00:01:26.592 --> 00:01:28.700 graduate of Purdue University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:01:28.700 --> 00:01:31.418 He's a graduate of Duke University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.892$ where he obtained his medical degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:01:33.892 --> 00:01:36.410 and his doctorate in philosophy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00{:}01{:}36.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.675$ He trained in internal medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:38.675 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.940$ at the University of Michigan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.484$ He did a postdoctoral fellowship at

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:01:43.484 --> 00:01:45.920 Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.049$ He was a Greenwall fellow in bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:01:49.049 --> 00:01:51.350 and health policy in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:01:51.350 --> 00:01:52.320 internal medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.230$ and he is currently associate professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:01:55.230 --> 00:01:57.430 In the Center for Bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:01:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.630$ and Humanities and the Division

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:01:59.706 --> 00:02:01.870 of General Internal Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00{:}02{:}01.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.718$ He's a practicing internist.

 $00:02:03.718 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.490$ He's a health services researcher and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:02:06.568 --> 00:02:09.050 a philosopher, Doctor Camp employees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.050$ both empirical and conceptual

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.913$ methods to identify and solve cutting

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:02:13.913 --> 00:02:16.493 edge problems at the interface of

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:02:16.493 --> 00:02:18.459 healthcare policy and bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:02:18.460 --> 00:02:20.825 His research has emphasized specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:20.825 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.717$ engaging patients in healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:02:22.720 --> 00:02:24.175 organizational decision making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:24.175 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.060$ ethical decisions in the use of social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.580$ Big data and global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.056$ With a particular focus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00{:}02{:}34.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.770$ as will be evidenced to night on

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:37.881 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.746$ short term global health education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.450$ And the ethics of saying.

00:02:44.450 --> 00:02:44.837 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:44.837 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.546$ so he is currently a Co principal

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:47.546 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.350$ investigator on Greenwall Foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:02:49.350 --> 00:02:51.270 grant examining ethical issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.916$ arising in mass Drug Administration

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:53.916 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.869$ of antibiotics globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:02:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.209$ For the sake of reducing childhood mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333 00:02:59.210 --> 00:03:00.160 In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

00:03:00.160 --> 00:03:02.535 with funding from an NIH

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:02.535 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.485$ bioethics supplement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00{:}03{:}03.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.157$ he has served as ethics and engagement

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:06.157 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.007$ advisor for the Palliative Care Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:09.007 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.183$ Cooperative Group and conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:11.183 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.440$ research on engaging patients and families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.018$ and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:15.018 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.752$ End of life.

 $00{:}03{:}16.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.486$ Imperative care research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.710$ He is also an award winning teacher

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00{:}03{:}21.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.439$ and mentor as I think will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:25.439 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.254$ evidenced by his presentation tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.066$ All right, back to the camp.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.756$ We are delighted to have you

NOTE Confidence: 0.865993395833333

 $00:03:31.756 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.390$ all the way from Denver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85174125

00:03:35.500 --> 00:03:36.988 Take it away, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85174125

 $00:03:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.216$ Great thank you for that introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85174125

 $00:03:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.830$ I really appreciate the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85174125

 $00{:}03{:}40.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.804$ to talk to all of you to night about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85174125

 $00{:}03{:}43.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.540$ short-term global health ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85174125

 $00{:}03{:}45.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.786$ I'm going to. Share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81545746

 $00:03:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.594$ Get this going and swap it out for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:03:56.640 --> 00:03:58.360 So again, I really appreciate

 $00:03:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.080$ the opportunity over the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:00.080 \dashrightarrow 00:04:04.170$ I guess hour or so and prior to the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}04{:}04.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.655$ I hope that explore a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.614$ The past President,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:07.614 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.204$ future of ethics and short-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:09.204 --> 00:04:10.920 global health clinical experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.370$ and I really hope in this presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:13.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.108$ as a little something for everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:16.110 --> 00:04:17.670 You know, I hope there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:17.670 --> 00:04:18.918 something for philosophers who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}04{:}18.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.867$ are interested in conceptual work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:20.870 --> 00:04:21.890 empirical bioethics researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.570$ medical educators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.270$ social scientists, and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:24.270 --> 00:04:26.990 Hope to touch on a lot of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}04{:}26.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.300$ and I hope that we'll have a

 $00:04:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.978$ good amount of time for questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:31.978 --> 00:04:33.589 and answers afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:33.590 --> 00:04:35.054 Just some housekeeping issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:35.054 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.250$ no relevant active conflicts of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.218$ I did receive an honorarium for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}04{:}39.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.115$ work on a paper that appears in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:42.115 --> 00:04:44.377 presentation from the ACP on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:44.453 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.715$ topic and my global health research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:46.715 --> 00:04:49.328 has been funded by a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:04:49.328 --> 00:04:51.158 groups that death also mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.350$ I think in the intro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.289$ So what I want to do today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}04{:}56.290 --> 00{:}04{:}56.538 \ Well,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:56.538 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.274$ I'm hoping to give you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:04:58.274 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.444$ bit of a brief background on what

 $00:05:00.444 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.054$ short-term global health is or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:02.114 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.809$ how it's typically thought of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.095$ I'll describe how we got to where we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.592$ That is the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:05:08.592 --> 00:05:10.457 I'll spend some time talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:10.457 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.839$ about where we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.670$ especially in terms of ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:05:13.670 --> 00:05:15.495 education and our thinking around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}05{:}15.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.590$ short-term global ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.787$ And then Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:17.787 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.580$ hint at where we are and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:20.666 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.278$ we should be going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.900$ I just as a note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.520$ I'll frequently use the word

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.816$ trainees in this presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}05{:}26.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.698$ but this isn't really meant to

 $00{:}05{:}28.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30.336$ be only about undergraduate or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}05{:}30.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.996$ graduate medical trainees per say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:05:32.000 --> 00:05:33.975 Think it's important to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:33.975 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.555$ that in global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}05{:}35.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.145$ Even seasoned practitioners can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:38.145 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.692$ trainees in some sense that they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:41.692 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.320$ new to the global health setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:05:44.320 --> 00:05:46.468 So let's jump right in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:46.468 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.900$ talk about this concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}05{:}47.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.800$ Them short-term global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.700$ I think that classicly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.213$ we think of these activities as weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:05:54.213 --> 00:05:56.743 to months long trips from a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:56.743 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.813$ income country to a lower middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:05:58.888 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.028$ income country to provide care.

00:06:01.030 --> 00:06:03.718 It certainly I was first exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}06{:}03.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.938$ to short-term global health when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:05.938 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.353$ I went to Honduras back in 2001.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.944$ But I think if we look closely at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:10.944 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.030$ these terms and these concepts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}06{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.462$ reality is more complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:14.462 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.252$ So let's look at short-term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:06:16.260 --> 00:06:16.618 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:16.618 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.408$ there's no real standard definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:18.408 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.570$ of what counts is short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}06{:}20.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.360$ and in fact really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.224$ Lee, in some of the surveys we've done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.452$ if you ask people what counts as short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00{:}06{:}28.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.250$ the answer is basically whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.410$ is shorter than what I've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.290$ So if you've been abroad for a month,

 $00:06:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.438$ a couple weeks, a short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.870$ six months amonth is short term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.067$ A year, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:41.067 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.860$ six months is still a short term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:06:43.950 --> 00:06:46.119 global health experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.262$ Let's look at the next concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:48.262 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.333$ of global Global.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.424$ As you can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:50.424 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.550$ is also kind of hard to define

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.124$ because we might think about issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

00:06:55.124 --> 00:06:56.860 abroad versus underserved areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.032$ Locally that will come up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:06:59.032 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.888$ the presentation today and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:07:00.888 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.588$ mantra of local is global.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8648942

 $00:07:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.380$ How how global is global.

 $00:07:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.528$ When we talk about short-term global

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}07{:}06.528 \to 00{:}07{:}08.674$ health activities and Lastly, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}07{:}08.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.816$ health health is a complicated concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.610$ When we talk about engaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.684$ in healthy activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:07:13.690 --> 00:07:16.390 is it health care delivery only?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:07:16.390 --> 00:07:18.560 Is it public health versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.296$ education and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.470$ So a lot of complexity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.176$ I think around the definitions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}07{:}25.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.000$ short term global health experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.064$ Now, short term global health experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}07{:}30.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.316$ are sometimes in the news and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:32.316 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.942$ always for the best of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:33.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.917$ To give you a couple of news

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:35.917 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.018$ stories over the past several years.

00:07:38.020 --> 00:07:39.898 Here's a story about an American

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:39.898 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.150$ with no medical training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:07:41.150 --> 00:07:43.646 Ran a Center for malnourished kids in Uganda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.408$ and is accused in the story of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:46.408 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.329$ contributing to the deaths of around 105.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.718$ An article in the Scientific American

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:07:51.718 --> 00:07:54.204 about the trouble with medical voluntourism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:54.204 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.081$ one thing that sometimes one term that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:07:57.081 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.285$ is sometimes used to describe this activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:08:00.290 --> 00:08:01.154 Or Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}08{:}01.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.314$ this somewhat cheeky article called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:08:03.314 --> 00:08:04.178 #instagramming Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.340$ the narcissism of Global Voluntourism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.228$ and if you look closely at the lower right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.140$ lower right,

00:08:11.140 --> 00:08:13.870 you'll see that the Onion mocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}08{:}13.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.190$ voluntourism joking that a six day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}08{:}16.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.194$ visit to a rural African village

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:18.268 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.758$ can quote completely change a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:20.758 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.750$ woman's Facebook profile picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.030$ So a lot of controversies sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:08:25.030 --> 00:08:27.707 bubbling in to the popular press

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:08:27.707 --> 00:08:29.867 around short-term global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.090$ Activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.750$ If we look more academically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}08{:}33.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.025$ we can see that there's been somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:36.025 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.060$ of a meteoric rise of interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:38.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.052$ in this type of global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:40.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.746$ This is just a graph showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:41.746 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.744$ citations by year using the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:43.744 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.368$ subject heading medical missions.

 $00:08:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.694$ We might say this is an archaic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:08:47.694 --> 00:08:48.358 subject heading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}08{:}48.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.300$ but it is what it is and you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.206$ Great interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:53.206 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.471$ increasing interest in short term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:08:55.471 --> 00:08:57.999 global health over the years with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:57.999 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.829$ probably an interesting story to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:08:59.829 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.301$ be told about why there are these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:02.301 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.384$ blips around 1970 in the 1990s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:04.384 \dashrightarrow 00:09:06.868$ perhaps around HIV AIDS and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:06.868 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.228$ exploding into the 1st and 2nd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:09.228 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.968$ decade of the 21st century.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}09{:}10.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.098$ So a lot of interest in this topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:15.098 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.589$ interested appears to be growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:09:17.590 --> 00:09:19.798 And as many of us know,

 $00:09:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.834$ we know that many medical students

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}09{:}21.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.190$ continue to participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:23.246 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.578$ global health electives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.156$ even if we've seen a decline recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}09{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.542$ These are data from the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:29.542 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.733$ school Graduation Questionnaire

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}09{:}30.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32.896$ where there is a question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:09:32.896 --> 00:09:34.596 activities participated in one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:34.655 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.725$ which is a global health experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.299$ and you can see more than 30%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}09{:}39.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.768$ in 2015 reported participating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:40.768 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.603$ in a global health elective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:09:42.610 --> 00:09:44.818 Now that had been actually arise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.213$ an increase over the past five or ten years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.940$ In advance of 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.520$ and then we've actually seen a

00:09:52.607 --> 00:09:55.133 little bit of a decline since

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:55.133 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.940$ 2015 down to around one in four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:09:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.300$ Now of course, one in four is still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:10:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.311$ One in four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}10{:}01.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.996$ And that's a significant number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:10:02.996 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.230$ across the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:10:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.150$ emphasizing why we need to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}10{:}06.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.150$ about ethics in these activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:10:08.150 --> 00:10:10.298 Why we're seeing this trend reversal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:10:10.300 --> 00:10:12.436 I think it's hard to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}10{:}12.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.728$ We'll probably see, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:10:13.728 --> 00:10:16.136 a little bit of a decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00{:}10{:}16.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.788$ participation because of covid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

00:10:17.790 --> 00:10:20.880 and this is just something we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684685

 $00:10:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.940$ have to follow overtime.

00:10:22.940 --> 00:10:25.370 What about short-term global global health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.990$ By the broader numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.015$ who really lack precise estimates?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:10:29.020 --> 00:10:31.444 I think their challenge because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:31.444 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.660$ disseminated, unregulated nature

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:10:32.660 --> 00:10:34.685 of many global health activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}10{:}34.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36.680$ but still most people believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.670$ short-term global health activities are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}10{:}38.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.757$ completed by hundreds of thousands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.820$ if not millions of volunteers annually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.816$ They likely involve hundreds of millions or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:46.816 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.577$ even several billion dollars US each year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.268$ They frequently include research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:51.268 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.956$ and or service activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.590$ both clinical and non clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:10:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.694$ or public health alike.

 $00:10:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.608$ As I mentioned, they range in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}10{:}59.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.988$ duration from a few weeks to months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.566$ And they really are a global phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.778$ We're talking a lot about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:11:06.778 --> 00:11:08.928 US pier to night, but in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:11:08.928 --> 00:11:11.350 40% of medical students in the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:11.423 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.535$ Kingdom engage in this sort of an experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.508$ So it really is a global issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:11:17.508 --> 00:11:19.603 underscoring the importance of making

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}11{:}19.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}21.841$ sure we addressed ethics when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:11:21.841 --> 00:11:24.488 comes to short-term global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.940$ That's a super fast overview and summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}11{:}26.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.950$ of what short-term activities are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}11{:}28.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.670$ and I want to shift and talk about the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.406$ How do we get to where we are today

00:11:35.406 --> 00:11:38.594 in our thinking in our activities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}11{:}38.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.834$ around short-term global health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.035$ Well, we may see this as a new phenomenon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.648$ but in fact if we trace the history

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:11:46.648 --> 00:11:48.300 of short-term global health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.624$ we can quickly get back to medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:11:50.624 --> 00:11:52.559 missions of the 19th century.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:11:52.560 --> 00:11:54.690 And while I'm not a historian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}11{:}54.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.460$ you look at this literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.300$ I think there are three themes that emerged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:11:59.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.075$ One is that these activities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:12:01.075 --> 00:12:02.495 are frequently values driven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.154$ That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:03.154 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.443$ the work is often motivated by appeals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:05.443 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.727$ to ethical values like need for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}12{:}07.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.580$ duty or social justice, and so on.

 $00:12:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.196$ A second is the concept of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:12:14.196 --> 00:12:15.068 training involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:15.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.513$ really from the 19th century to HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:17.513 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.568$ aids the 20th students and trainees have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:20.568 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.988$ really always been participating in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:22.988 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.968$ these sorts of short-term global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:12:25.970 --> 00:12:27.706 Medical mission type activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:27.706 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.760$ So although we emphasize the numbers today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.180$ this really isn't a new phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:12:34.180 --> 00:12:34.880 And Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.980$ a theme of complex and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.270$ sometimes conflicting dynamics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:12:38.270 --> 00:12:40.874 This is a picture of Albert Schweitzer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.477$ well known for building hospitals in Gabon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.664$ But if you look closely at some

 $00:12:45.664 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.665$ of the writings of people around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

00:12:47.665 --> 00:12:49.657 this time in this history you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}12{:}49.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.346$ can see elements of service with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:52.346 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.636$ undercurrents of racism and colonialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.398$ And I circled this just to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:12:57.398 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.847$ it because we'll come back to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00{:}12{:}59.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.082$ Come back to these concepts later

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623422

 $00:13:02.082 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.198$ in the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}13{:}05.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.250$ Now when I summarize the pass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.614$ I actually like to think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}13{:}10.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.464$ I'd like to emphasize what I see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:13.464 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.444$ as evidence of moral progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.850$ A shift in how we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}13{:}17.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.050$ about short-term activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:13:19.050 --> 00:13:21.450 from altruism to charity, to justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.850$ Let's look at this in detail.

 $00:13:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.850$ Altruism. We often think of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.050$ is giving something away at cost to oneself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:13:29.050 --> 00:13:30.860 And that's really important right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.450$ to for something to be altruistic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.034$ it needs to come at cost to oneself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:13:37.040 --> 00:13:39.092 But when it came to short-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:13:39.092 --> 00:13:40.460 global health activities abroad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:13:40.460 --> 00:13:43.750 people started to realize wait a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.358$ Individuals benefit from these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:45.358 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.368$ activities from an education perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:13:47.368 --> 00:13:49.170 and experiential perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.565$ and attitudinal perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}13{:}50.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.820$ So maybe what this really is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:13:53.898 --> 00:13:56.406 is something more akin to charity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:13:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.114$ which we think of as giving something away.

 $00:14:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.775$ But then there's another pause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:14:02.775 --> 00:14:04.930 when we realized wait a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}14{:}04.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.655$ and some of these activities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:06.655 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.035$ as will soon learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.770$ Individuals can often cause harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.326$ You saw that in some of the news stories

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:14:12.326 --> 00:14:14.278 about voluntourism in the situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:14:14.278 --> 00:14:16.684 of the malnourished kids in Uganda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.766$ and as individuals can cause harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.846$ Maybe we need to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:20.846 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.230$ this a little differently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.408$ as something like justice that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:14:24.408 --> 00:14:26.720 giving to others what they are do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}14{:}26.720 --> 00{:}14{:}28.772$ And I hope to suggest over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:28.772 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.530$ the next slide or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.508$ Is it really?

 $00:14:31.508 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.790$ We really have made a shift from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}14{:}33.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.057$ altruism to charity to justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.657$ and I'll leave as a question mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:38.657 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.149$ food for the end of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.300$ what the next stage of moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.875$ progress might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:14:45.880 --> 00:14:46.242 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:46.242 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.138$ if I can convince you of this shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:14:49.138 --> 00:14:52.079 from charity to altruism to justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.546$ this actually could be pretty important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.028$ From the standpoint of philosophical ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:14:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.995$ because we think of obligations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}14{:}58.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.567$ of charity somewhat different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:00.567 --> 00:15:02.399 than obligations of justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:15:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.470$ obligations of charity are imperfect,

 $00:15:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.240$ that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}15{:}05.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.550$ they are not stringent for his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}15{:}07.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.829$ obligations of justice are perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:15:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.134$ That is, we're fully obliged to do them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:15:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.068$ We tend to have significant discretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:16.070 --> 00:15:17.838 Over obligations of charity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:17.838 --> 00:15:21.000 how much to give what to give,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:15:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.164$ but less discretion over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:15:23.164 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.787$ obligations of justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:24.790 --> 00:15:26.366 And then Lastly charity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}15{:}26.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.730$ It could be said sometimes sees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}15{:}28.807 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}31.045$ the recipient or the receiver as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:31.045 --> 00:15:33.317 an object of charity as opposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:15:33.317 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.077$ to a subject of justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:15:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.384$ Now here subject is being used

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:15:37.384 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.679$ in a slightly different way than

 $00:15:39.679 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.066$ we might think of as a research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:42.066 --> 00:15:43.840 subject in research ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:15:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.126$ This is subject as a stance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:46.126 --> 00:15:47.650 of subjects of justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:47.650 --> 00:15:51.410 which is different than being an object now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:51.410 --> 00:15:54.626 As you can imagine, philosophers disagree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:54.630 --> 00:15:57.590 About this good and my own PhD advisor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:57.590 --> 00:15:58.330 Alan Buchanan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:15:58.330 --> 00:16:00.550 Some have said that, in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:16:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.400$ if we institutionalized obligations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:16:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.990$ charity, they would be just as stringent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:16:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.580$ just as perfect as obligation of justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:16:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.711$ But of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:16:08.711 --> 00:16:11.650 that's not exactly the world we live in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:16:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.130$ and so this shift,

00:16:13.130 --> 00:16:13.870 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:16:13.870 --> 00:16:16.341 may be important in how we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:16:16.341 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.601$ about the ethics of global

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

00:16:18.601 --> 00:16:20.287 health short-term activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:16:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.901$ And I think one simple way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00{:}16{:}22.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.715$ viewing this distinction and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509695

 $00:16:24.715 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.203$ progress over the past 20 years is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

 $00:16:27.273 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.001$ rejection of the something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

 $00:16:29.001 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.729$ is better than nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

 $00:16:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.600$ Approach to global health ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

 $00{:}16{:}32.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.838$ I think a really important change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

 $00:16:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.604$ a change that I've seen when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

 $00{:}16{:}36.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.293$ I teach students and trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

 $00:16:38.293 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.105$ and offer training sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

00:16:40.105 --> 00:16:41.917 about short-term global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

 $00{:}16{:}41.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.293$ I feel like I've really seen this

 $00:16:44.293 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.605$ shift away from the idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911417

 $00{:}16{:}46.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.640$ something is better than nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:16:50.660 --> 00:16:53.159 How about a bit more of history

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:16:53.159 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.596$ and more descriptive history

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:16:54.596 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.916$ of how we got to where we are?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:16:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.740$ This is an abridged history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:16:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.156$ It's not complete, but I think in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:17:01.156 --> 00:17:03.517 early 1990s and early 2000s there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}17{:}03.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.755$ a clear recognition of ethics related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}17{:}05.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.165$ to short-term global health activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:17:08.170 --> 00:17:09.618 In especially this concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:17:09.618 --> 00:17:10.704 of unintended harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.614$ So if we look at the medical literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}17{:}13.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.324$ you'll see articles in JAMA in the New

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:16.324 \dashrightarrow 00:17:18.697$ England Journal articles I contributed to.

 $00:17:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.835$ Based on my experience in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}17{:}20.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.970$ Honduras and even Health Affairs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.826$ And I think this quote on the lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:17:25.826 --> 00:17:27.569 left illustrates it quite well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.048$ This is from the New England Journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.820$ There's profound need in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:17:31.820 --> 00:17:33.236 community or right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.358$ The vast amount of donated time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}17{:}35.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.298$ energy, and money does more to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:37.298 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.610$ Stoke the egos of the Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}17{:}39.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.380$ Clear expression of unintentional harms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.150$ Questionable motives when it comes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:17:43.150 --> 00:17:44.915 their short term global health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}17{:}44.915 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}17{:}46.327$ activities need were appearing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:17:46.330 --> 00:17:47.971 I think, importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:47.971 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.706$ in the mainstream medical literature.

 $00:17:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.174$ What issues were flagged?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:17:52.174 --> 00:17:52.540 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.102$ there were a lot of them differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:55.102 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.200$ in cultural norms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.355$ especially around gender and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:17:58.355 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.510$ those should be managed abroad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.240$ What does consent look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.278$ in different cultures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.730$ What are the unintentional harm such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.496$ side effects of medications we might give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:18:08.496 --> 00:18:10.974 when it's a clinical experience abroad?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.590$ Is it OK to do things abroad

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.890$ that you wouldn't do at home?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.378$ We'll talk about that a little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.977$ What are the burdens on the host?

00:18:18.980 --> 00:18:21.218 For example, the need for translation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.080$ the need for airport transportation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.677$ It could take away from the host,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.123$ usual obligations there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:27.123 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.047$ in the local community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.880$ What about this savior complex?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}18{:}30.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33.244$ The idea of saviors coming from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:33.244 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.967$ a high income country to a lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}18{:}35.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.109$ middle income country to save the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:38.109 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.395$ day and come in and swoop in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.657$ As some might see a savior complex to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:18:44.660 --> 00:18:45.719 How about sustainability?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:18:45.719 --> 00:18:48.190 Is this just a one time treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:48.253 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.808$ for a longer term problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.738$ What happens after the team leaves?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:18:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.828$ What about the fungibility of resources?

00:18:54.830 --> 00:18:57.606 Is it better that I went to Honduras,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}18{:}57.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.843$ or should my plane ticket had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:18:59.843 --> 00:19:02.404 spent instead on a donation to an NGO

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:02.404 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.431$ or a local organization that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:04.431 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.005$ provide services in the community already?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:19:07.010 --> 00:19:09.098 What about different standards of care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.970$ And so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.710$ So lots of issues being flagged

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:11.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.618$ in these anecdotal reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.630$ case level reports about the ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:19:15.630 --> 00:19:17.450 of short term global health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:17.450 \dashrightarrow 00:19:20.573$ and let's look at one of these in detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.040$ This issue of standards of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:19:23.040 --> 00:19:24.630 A year or two ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.254$ a friend of mine actually sent me a

 $00:19:27.254 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.037$ text message and this is the way it looked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:19:30.040 --> 00:19:32.266 But I tell you I'm in Ethiopia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:32.266 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.220$ when I respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:19:33.220 --> 00:19:35.439 No, he says anyway, Med group here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.318$ Wondering if we should use expired

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:19:37.318 --> 00:19:39.579 meds one month old we brought them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.458$ I'm sure you ethics guys have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:41.458 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.710$ some view on that and I respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:19:43.710 --> 00:19:44.664 So you're asking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

00:19:44.664 --> 00:19:46.890 is it OK to use expired medications?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00{:}19{:}46.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48.610$ You could imagine being abroad

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078874

 $00:19:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.330$ on the clinical outreach trip

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:19:50.396 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.656$ and you see a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:19:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.826$ Maybe they have a bacterial infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:19:53.830 --> 00:19:56.406 You only have one antibiotic with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:19:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.300$ but it's expired and the

 $00:19:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.190$ question here what are the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.862$ Yes, I'm using expired

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:01.862 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.534$ medications in this setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.075$ Is it only about whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:06.075 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.596$ it works technically?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.544$ Is only about whether the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:09.544 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.800$ is informed and as chosen or said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.176$ It's OK to use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:20:13.176 --> 00:20:16.520 Or is it about none of these things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.500$ Now this is a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:18.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.672$ case because I think it's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:20.672 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.024$ example of this pervasive problem of

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00{:}20{:}23.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.939$ defining standards in global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.130$ The historical legacy of HIV trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.594$ rotavirus vaccine treatment versus

00:20:28.594 --> 00:20:30.424 prevention of HIV in Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.351$ and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:31.351 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.193$ I think weigh heavily in how

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:20:33.193 --> 00:20:35.190 we think about standards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.320$ but there are also more recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.652$ debates about the use of less

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:39.652 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.320$ expensive or less effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.670$ medications to an article in The

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00{:}20{:}43.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.798$ Lancet from just a few years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.420$ Put on the spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.850$ No one hand bioethicist appeals to

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:49.932 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.674$ the greatest good for the greatest

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:52.674 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.030$ number and perhaps informed choice

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.180$ justifying the use of less expensive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:20:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.202$ less effective medications globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:00.202 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.446$ On the other hand,

00:21:02.450 --> 00:21:05.264 groups like MSF or Doctors Without Borders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00{:}21{:}05.270 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.882$ criticizing what they described

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:06.882 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.897$ as the ethics of resignation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:21:08.900 --> 00:21:11.948 that is being too quick to accept the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00{:}21{:}11.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.540$ status quo and not demand change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:21:14.540 --> 00:21:15.746 Asking more fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:21:15.746 --> 00:21:17.354 structural questions about well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00{:}21{:}17.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.360$ why is it the case that less effective?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00{:}21{:}21.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.313$ Less expensive medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:23.313 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.917$ are being used globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:21:25.920 --> 00:21:26.892 Now of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:26.892 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.828$ for some of us the concern is not is

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00{:}21{:}29.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.651$ just as much about how we come to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:21:32.651 --> 00:21:34.985 decision and what our actions mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.696$ not just what exactly they are.

00:21:37.700 --> 00:21:40.292 But if we go back to my friends initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:40.292 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.210$ question about expired medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.268$ it turns out that guidance has

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:21:44.268 --> 00:21:46.089 existed from the World Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:21:46.089 --> 00:21:47.999 Organization for many years on

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:21:47.999 --> 00:21:50.499 exactly this topic in this guidance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:21:50.500 --> 00:21:51.232 Generally speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:51.232 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.696$ says that expired medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:52.696 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.160$ should not be used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.110$ There are some possible exceptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00{:}21{:}56.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58.499$ here related to the specific health

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:21:58.499 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.384$ facilities being aware of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:00.384 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.579$ limited shelf shelf life and so on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.188$ but this is an example where in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:05.188 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.646$ ways there can be a disconnect between

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:07.646 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.630$ the way we think about things ethically,

00:22:10.630 --> 00:22:12.826 the way things are in practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.870$ and the fact that there actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.697$ has been guidance on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:22:16.697 --> 00:22:18.677 particular topic for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.180$ In some cases appealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.680$ to notions of justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:22:21.680 --> 00:22:21.995 Right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

00:22:21.995 --> 00:22:24.200 but it's just wrong to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.490$ double standard and to treat people

NOTE Confidence: 0.860554

 $00:22:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.480$ differently in this particular context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.926$ So let's go back to that story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.988$ That story of how we got

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:34.988 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.770$ to being where we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.202$ We moved from the 2000s into the 2010

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:39.202 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.660$ where we create now ethics guidance.

 $00:22:41.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.748$ So go back to those cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}22{:}43.750 --> 00{:}22{:}45.542$ Many of the anecdotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:45.542 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.782$ say here are the issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.980$ We need ethics guidance to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.363$ us think through how to manage

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:22:52.363 --> 00:22:54.794 these issues abroad, and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:54.794 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.346$ the academic community responded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:22:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.558$ so there was guidance promulgated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}22{:}58.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01.019$ Pinto and Upshur on Global Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.910$ Ethics for students will talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}23{:}02.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.300$ about that a little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.598$ Ethics and best practice guidelines for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:23:07.598 --> 00:23:09.570 training experiences in global health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.772$ otherwise commonly known as the weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:11.772 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.676$ guidelines and then a publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:13.676 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.914$ I recently contributed to as well

 $00:23:15.914 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.259$ so the academic community responds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.594$ And create ethics guidance to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:20.594 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.215$ us for how to conduct ourselves in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:24.215 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.855$ short-term global health activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:26.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.430$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.425$ it maybe got to a point where

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:29.425 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.798$ we had too much of a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.520$ So in this review of 27 Yes 27

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:23:34.520 --> 00:23:36.080 published ethics guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.282$ there was actually need to distill

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:38.282 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.246$ all the guidelines down into

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:40.246 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.527$ five basic principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.246$ and this is last year at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.578$ and you can see them here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.136$ Appropriate recruitment and preparation

00:23:48.136 --> 00:23:50.470 host partner that defines the program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}23{:}50.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.518$ including the need to be addressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.951$ Sustainability and continuity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:54.951 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.336$ Respect for governance and legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:57.336 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.112$ standards and regular evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:23:59.112 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.386$ of program impact on the host.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.561$ So five sort of core meta level

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:04.561 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.578$ principles that came out of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}24{:}07.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.670$ 27 published ethics guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.390$ Now we can go into these in detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}24{:}12.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.770$ Maybe we will on the Q&A period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:24:14.770 --> 00:24:16.470 But just to point out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.510$ I think if you look closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:24:18.510 --> 00:24:19.530 at these principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.197$ you see that they look a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:24:22.197 --> 00:24:24.259 more like obligations of justice.

 $00:24:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.198$ As I described them earlier and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:24:26.198 --> 00:24:28.030 they do obligations of charity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.965$ so again hoping to convince

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:29.965 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.440$ you that there's been a shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.696$ Positive shift in how we think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:35.696 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.210$ ethics of global health in the short term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.230$ Setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.934$ So that's sometimes men

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:42.934 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.638$ talking about the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}24{:}44.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.601$ And now I want to shift gears and talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:47.601 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.920$ about the present and and describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}24{:}49.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.847$ a bit more about where I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}24{:}52.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.045$ are now in our thinking and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:24:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.200$ education about short-term global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034 00:24:58.200 --> 00:24:58.676 Well,

00:24:58.676 --> 00:25:00.580 Fortunately building on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.960$ ethics guidance documents there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:03.035 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.110$ now widespread recognition of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.054$ need to prepare and prepare for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:08.054 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.044$ ethics in short term experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.970$ This is the World Medical Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}25{:}11.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.442$ which a few years ago landmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:14.442 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.694$ statement encouraging and recommending

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:25:16.694 --> 00:25:18.383 appropriate predeparture briefings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.650$ which include training and ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.861$ and lots of other issues now stated

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:25:23.861 --> 00:25:26.041 very clearly as a requirement

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

00:25:26.041 --> 00:25:28.289 for programs in short term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.798$ Well will help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.740$ So the training now exists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.844$ but we might ask, well, does it work?

 $00:25:35.844 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.396$ So one systematic review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}25{:}37.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.249$ A few years ago identified 17 ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:40.249 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.532$ training resources and it found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:42.532 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.540$ most of these eight use case based learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:45.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.480$ Some of the others included

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.644$ were just guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.590$ There is really no evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.530$ above Level 3 evidence which

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00:25:52.604 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.714$ they described as non randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.86555034

 $00{:}25{:}54.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.824$ case series or cross sectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}25{:}56.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59.680$ studies. And they noted there was an

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:25:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.539$ ethics emphasis on the following themes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}26{:}02.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03.848$ beneficence and nonmal eficence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:26:03.848 --> 00:26:06.028 with the example being exceeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:06.028 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.649$ one's level of training.

 $00:26:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.674$ A theme of respect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}26{:}09.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.698$ avoiding posting photographs online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:26:11.700 --> 00:26:13.884 Adapting to local culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:13.884 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.614$ example being inappropriate dress or

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:16.614 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.327$ different cultural norms around dress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:26:19.330 --> 00:26:21.346 Issues of justice such as Manageing

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:21.346 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.180$ donated resources that I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.325$ related to drug donation guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}26{:}25.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.830$ So there's a systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.705$ review of some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}26{:}28.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.240$ These ethics training

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.790$ resources that are out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.880$ Now, our own systematic qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:26:34.880 --> 00:26:36.552 synthesis identified 14 primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:36.552 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.757$ research studies that examined what

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:38.757 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.489$ trainees actually experience abroad.

 $00:26:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.486$ So here the question is slightly different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.903$ The question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:26:44.903 --> 00:26:49.309 how much do we really know and from whom?

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.459$ In our study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:50.459 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.865$ In total there were less than 200 medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:26:53.865 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.060$ trainees represented in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:26:57.060 --> 00:26:59.580 Think back to how many hundreds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:26:59.580 --> 00:27:00.114 thousands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:00.114 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.250$ 10s of thousands people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.326$ Participate in these sorts of activities

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}27{:}04.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.370$ and the whole literature appears to

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.170$ be less than 200 medical trainees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}27{:}08.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.108$ and there's an asterisk on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:27:10.108 --> 00:27:12.106 bullet because I think this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:27:12.106 --> 00:27:13.721 changing and it's changing rapidly

00:27:13.721 --> 00:27:16.395 as we start to learn more about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:16.395 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.040$ types of ethics challenges that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:27:18.040 --> 00:27:19.324 trainees experience abroad that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:27:19.324 --> 00:27:21.989 at least at this time of very narrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.414$ I would say snapshot of who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:24.414 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.030$ represented in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:27:26.030 --> 00:27:26.423 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:26.423 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.388$ while many ethics themes were

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}27{:}28.388 --> 00{:}27{:}29.174 \ \mathrm{well \ represented},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.150$ inconsistent with some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}27{:}31.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.173$ training resources, others were not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:33.173 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.228$ Sustainability was a concern that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:27:35.228 --> 00:27:37.969 tends to be hard to direct to

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}27{:}37.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.814$ address in an education program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.820$ Issues of moral distress were

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}27{:}41.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.820$ trainees know the right thing

 $00:27:43.896 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.726$ to do while they're abroad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:27:45.730 --> 00:27:48.322 but because of internal or external

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}27{:}48.322 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.921$ constraints or unable to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:50.921 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.901$ right thing and they experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:52.901 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.608$ distress at that time and afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:27:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.438$ Then Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:27:56.438 --> 00:27:58.508 managing issues of social hierarchy

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:27:58.508 --> 00:28:00.606 are real challenge for trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:28:00.606 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.186$ and unfamiliar environments is

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:28:02.186 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.257$ how to manage social hierarchies

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:28:04.257 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.937$ while they are abroad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:28:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.136$ So a suggestion here that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}28{:}08.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.674$ literature is not built on a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

00:28:10.674 --> 00:28:12.334 robust evidence based when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00:28:12.334 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.647$ comes to understanding the precise

 $00:28:14.647 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.751$ challenges that trainees may

NOTE Confidence: 0.869249

 $00{:}28{:}16.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.150$ experience while they are abroad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}28{:}21.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23.858$ Now I want to take a moment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:23.858 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.700$ highlight what I see as three recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:26.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.428$ efforts around evaluating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:28:28.428 --> 00:28:30.198 effectiveness of ethics training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.492$ and I'm going to start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:32.492 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.020$ the Wisconsin sugar program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}28{:}34.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.772$ This is part of the simulation used for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:36.772 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.359$ global away rotations or sugar program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}28{:}39.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.395$ These educators develop 8 paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:41.395 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.023$ based simulations that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:43.023 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.088$ used in two half day sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.463$ They evaluated it with 51 medical residents

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:47.463 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.679$ who found the simulations useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.860$ You can see scores of.

00:28:51.860 --> 00:28:54.303 Nearly four and a half out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:28:54.303 --> 00:28:57.327 five on a 5 point Likert scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:28:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.886$ they believed the simulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:28:58.886 --> 00:29:00.442 would change their preparation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:00.442 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.745$ plans and they were reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:02.745 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.215$ experiencing significant emotions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:29:04.220 --> 00:29:06.950 I think echoing that concept of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.756$ moral distress in this case the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}29{:}09.756 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.438$ program was framed around 4 core

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:29:12.438 --> 00:29:15.359 emotions or four FS of frustration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:29:15.360 --> 00:29:17.436 floundering, futility, and failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}29{:}17.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.884$ So here's an example of a valuating a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:21.884 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.984$ ethics education program for resonance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.318$ Second example comes from the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:27.318 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.570$ of California at San Francisco.

 $00:29:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.985$ Here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:29.985 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.060$ following a modified current methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.140$ the educators developed simulation training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.045$ These were 10 minute cases involving actors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.962$ and they had them in a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:39.962 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.210$ different case scenarios.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.902$ Scope of practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:29:42.902 --> 00:29:45.158 Responding to corruption being

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}29{:}45.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48.680$ privacy in the setting of HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:29:48.680 --> 00:29:50.996 and obtaining informed consent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}29{:}51.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53.560$ this evaluation involved 53

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.760$ participants in 2013 and 14.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.806$ Who believed the simulations were effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:29:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.166$ thought they were used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:00.166 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.170$ Colon made them more aware of ethics issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.444$ They reported that simulation training was

 $00:30:05.444 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.950$ likely more effective than other approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.446$ and they perceived interesting Lee that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:30:10.446 --> 00:30:13.119 the scenarios didn't teach right or wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.724$ but instead were helping them think

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:15.724 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.123$ through a process of decision making rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:19.123 \dashrightarrow 00:30:22.279$ than teaching one single right answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.506$ Our third example comes from Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.742 \text{ I don't know if Tracy is}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:26.742 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.230$ on the seminar today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:28.230 \dashrightarrow 00:30:30.690$ but want to highlight Yale activities

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.331$ in this regard because it is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:33.331 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.487$ of the three I think major examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:35.557 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.790$ in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.202$ So in this situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:38.202 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.548$ a pre post evaluation was done of a 90

 $00:30:41.548 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.858$ minute pace based ethics workshop involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:30:43.860 --> 00:30:46.22582 medical students who undertook

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:46.225 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.117$ electives at 16 different

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:30:48.117 --> 00:30:50.217 international sites from 2012 to 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.780$ What did they find?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.730$ Well improve self reported outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.262$ such as a sense of preparedness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:30:56.262 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.744$ ability to find ethics domains to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}30{:}58.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.159$ some one they could contact and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.260$ Nearly 2/3 of those who took the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}31{:}03.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.084$ Post Trip survey reported having

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:05.084 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.800$ actually experienced an ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.010$ dilemma while they were abroad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:31:09.010 --> 00:31:10.222 Now, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:10.222 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.646$ some of us look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:12.646 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.847$ data and suggest is 2/3.

 $00{:}31{:}14.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.878$ The right number of people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}31{:}16.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.230$ should report having experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:18.284 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.709$ a dilemma should be higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.278$ Should it be lower or what do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:22.278 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.249$ really expect that answer to be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:31:24.250 --> 00:31:25.910 But nevertheless, 2/3 reported,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:31:25.910 --> 00:31:27.985 having experienced in it dilemma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:27.990 \dashrightarrow 00:31:30.480$ And finally, after this ethics training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}31{:}30.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.555$ students felt they were primed

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:32.555 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.215$ to recognize ethics dilemmas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}31{:}34.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.524$ So three important examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:36.524 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.828$ there in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00{:}31{:}38.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.092$ No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:39.092 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.664$ I'm going to share with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:40.664 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.299$ something that we haven't published

 $00:31:42.299 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.279$ about our own evaluation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

00:31:44.279 --> 00:31:45.467 ethics and global health.org,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.462$ which is a case based series

NOTE Confidence: 0.84986573

 $00:31:47.462 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.790$ that I helped develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:31:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.358$ And this is because it's a cautionary tale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:31:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.200$ Here are some domains we evaluated in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:31:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.500$ pre post format related to this curriculum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

00:31:58.500 --> 00:32:01.110 Confidence strategies and knowledge and let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.220$ look closely at these answers pre to post.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

00:32:04.220 --> 00:32:06.265 You can see participants really

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}32{:}06.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08.310$ felt an increase in confidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.158$ I know how to deal with ethical issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

00:32:11.158 --> 00:32:14.037 if they arise nearly doubles right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.396$ Pre to post.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:15.396 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.108$ Almost everyone feels like they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:18.108 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.031$ confidence in dealing with ethical issues if

 $00:32:21.031 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.590$ they arise during a global health experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.012$ Look closely below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:26.012 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.942$ Look at the percentage correct on a five

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:29.942 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.050$ question ethics test that we created.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:33.050 \dashrightarrow 00:32:36.047$ Ethics tests are forced hard to create and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:36.047 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.095$ can debate what counts as ethics knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

00:32:39.100 --> 00:32:42.116 But in any case, in our own evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.776$ no change in knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

00:32:43.776 --> 00:32:46.877 So what did our curriculum appear to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}32{:}46.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49.029$ do radically increased confidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}32{:}49.030 \to 00{:}32{:}52.285$ Didn't change knowledge and that caused us

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:52.285 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.887$ to really stop and think what's the goal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:32:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.557$ What exactly are we trying to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}32{:}58.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00.505$ We expect confidence to decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

00:33:00.505 --> 00:33:03.310 after a simple 10 case curriculum.

 $00:33:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.050$ And knowledge to increase or

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}33{:}05.050 --> 00{:}33{:}05.746 \ \mathrm{remain} \ \mathrm{unchanged}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}33{:}05.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.838$ What really should be the goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:07.838 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.230$ of our ethics training?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.280$ What domains matter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.380$ How do we measure them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.748$ what should we see?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.382$ So really cause us to pause and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}33{:}17.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.210$ thinking about our own case series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

00:33:20.210 --> 00:33:23.036 So what are the gaps that I think come

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:23.036 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.529$ about based on where we are now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:25.529 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.149$ where we are now in ethics training?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.428$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:28.428 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.096$ I still think it's true that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:30.096 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.806$ limited numbers of trainees have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:31.806 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.450$ informed the existing literature,

 $00:33:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.874$ particularly in light of the many

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}33{:}35.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.290$ thousands to go abroad each year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

00:33:38.290 --> 00:33:40.474 I think that you probably notice

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:40.474 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.452$ most of the evaluations involve

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}33{:}42.452 \longrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.727$ self reported or perceived outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:44.727 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.547$ rather than hard outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.035$ Do people perceive that they will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:49.035 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.919$ able to manage ethics strategies better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.980$ Do they like the curriculum?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.000$ And so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.040$ These are the types of outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.079$ we've seen in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:33:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.950$ And as I mentioned there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.194$ at the end there may actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:03.194 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.128$ be some ambivalence about what

 $00:34:05.128 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.288$ we should be seeing as outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}34{:}07.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.677$ Do we want more or less confidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:09.677 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.039$ as a result of the curricula that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:13.039 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.900$ develop related to short-term global health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.377$ So I would say that at best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.246$ if we took a step back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.749$ And thought about the levels of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:21.749 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.539$ of where we are in ethics education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.918$ training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

00:34:24.918 --> 00:34:27.186 I think we're pretty low level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.860$ probably around the level of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}34{:}29.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31.640$ case series regarding ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:31.719 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.019$ challenges in their management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}34{:}34.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36.365$ So although to this point in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:36.365 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.088$ story I do want you to remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:39.090 \dashrightarrow 00:34:41.617$ I think significant progress has been made.

 $00:34:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.398$ I think there are some significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}34{:}44.398 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}34{:}46.250$ questions remaining that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:46.328 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.418$ would would help to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.948$ And that's where I want to go in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:50.948 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.074$ this third and last section is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:53.074 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.640$ the future we started in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.710$ We said where we are now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.774$ The question is where are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00:34:59.774 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.150$ going in this section?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}35{:}01.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.034$ I want to describe may be three

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}35{:}03.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.739$ challenges for the future of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89615124

 $00{:}35{:}04.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.307$ short-term global health ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}35{:}08.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.300$ Challenge number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.820$ What counts is success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.508$ At the moment, I think there's actually

 $00:35:15.508 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.952$ little consensus on what would count

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}35{:}17.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}19.987$ as success for ethics evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.010$ Is it ethics knowledge?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.030$ How we describe knowledge?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.458$ What about attitudes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}35{:}25.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.314$ What about behaviors do we expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:28.314 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.037$ to see different observed behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

00:35:31.037 --> 00:35:34.283 among trainees at home or abroad?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}35{:}34.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36.880$ Are we trying to teach substantive right

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.804$ or wrong or moral decision process like

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}35{:}39.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42.844$ a process of decision making that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:42.844 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.336$ see in The Who Drug Donation program?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.380$ Or maybe it's something bigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.250$ Maybe what we want are actual outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.492$ of programs that we'd like to think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:35:53.492 \dashrightarrow 00:35:56.330$ ethics training means that a short term,

 $00:35:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.802$ global health or a global health

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}35{:}58.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.742$ program in general turns out to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:01.742 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.767$ more successful or more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

00:36:03.767 --> 00:36:06.099 at improving global HealthEquity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

00:36:06.100 --> 00:36:07.453 Unfortunately, at present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:07.453 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.257$ there's actually little evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:09.257 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.610$ beyond case reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}36{:}10.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12.925$ An important individual case examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}36{:}12.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.240$ that short-term global health actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:15.306 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.376$ results in improved global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}36{:}17.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.630$ This is a systematic review

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.430$ from several years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}36{:}21.430 --> 00{:}36{:}22.432 \text{ or in fact},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:22.432 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.436$ this was the finding that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:24.436 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.986$ was little evidence that short-term

 $00:36:26.986 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.656$ medical service trips contributed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00{:}36{:}29.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32.257$ longer term global health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.355$ Again to note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:33.355 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.545$ There are exceptions and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:35.545 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.320$ all think of programs that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.718$ been highly successful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.560$ but this is of course a systematic review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79387087

 $00:36:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.038$ It's occurring more at that aggregate level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}36{:}47.540 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}36{:}49.892$ Now about 10 years ago there was active

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:36:49.892 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.650$ debate in medical ethics and professionalism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:36:52.650 --> 00:36:54.725 Many of you probably recall

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:36:54.725 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.800$ this generally about whether we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:36:56.876 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.126$ should be focusing on behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:36:59.130 --> 00:37:00.382 Or character or education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:00.382 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.575$ or apprenticeship, is the focus of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}37{:}02.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.140$ ethics to promote certain behaviors.

 $00:37:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.324$ Or is it character? And so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.367$ This slide I have some articles on

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:08.367 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.897$ one side of the line that are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:37:10.897 --> 00:37:13.182 behavioral and on the right side of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:13.182 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.401$ the line or some articles pushing back

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:15.401 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.006$ and saying no medical ethics really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:37:18.006 --> 00:37:20.627 about character and I think this debate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:20.627 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.433$ turns out to be relevant for the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:23.433 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.876$ we think of ethics and global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.428$ So in some ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:27.428 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.976$ the classical bioethics approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:37:28.980 --> 00:37:30.144 I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}37{:}30.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.472$ can be a little bit behavioral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.576$ and we see this in the weight guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.842$ We see this in the position

00:37:37.842 --> 00:37:40.239 paper that I helped Co author,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.445$ where we implement or operationalize

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:42.445 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.027$ these bioethics principles of respect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:37:45.027 --> 00:37:47.218 beneficent and a justice of both types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.240$ distributive and procedural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.280$ We tend to think of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.879$ as behavioral outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.208$ as what is being done maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:54.208 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.610$ a little bit about how it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.428$ Being done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:57.428 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.882$ but certainly around what are risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:37:59.882 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.093$ and benefits way our benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}38{:}02.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03.849$ maximized and risk minimize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.980$ Are we obtaining informed consent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.780$ How informed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}38{:}06.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}08.780$ understanding and so on sort

 $00:38:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.670$ of a behavioral approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:38:10.670 --> 00:38:14.078 I would suggest to the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:14.078 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.350$ we think through ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.204$ This is different than what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:18.204 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.220$ would describe as this alternative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:38:20.220 --> 00:38:21.536 more character based approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:21.536 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.800$ and in fact we see this in that publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.858$ Global health ethics for students by

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:26.858 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.386$ Pinto and Upshur in within the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}38{:}29.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.558$ stages of guidelines for short term

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}38{:}31.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.668$ global health training and some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:33.668 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.230$ us have started to question this as

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}38{:}36.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39.275$ well in recent writings of our own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}38{:}39.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41.254$ You can see that the principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:41.254 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.116$ here or I should say the concepts

00:38:44.116 --> 00:38:46.076 here are different introspection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}38{:}46.080 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}38{:}48.396$ Humility in solidarity and so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:48.396 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.279$ questions that are asked are also different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:38:51.280 --> 00:38:54.144 Here the question is why am I engaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:54.144 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.752$ in this global health research or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:38:56.752 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.480$ service program versus what am I going to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}39{:}00.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.670$ A why question versus a what

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.880$ question or issue of humility?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.280$ What are my own personal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.080$ professional limitations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:39:08.080 --> 00:39:10.740 And am I exceeding them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.860$ In this particular context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:39:12.860 --> 00:39:14.980 Or issues of solidarity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:39:14.980 --> 00:39:17.116 How do my actions demonstrate unity

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:39:17.116 --> 00:39:18.980 independent of their tangible impact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.468$ Now I said that some of us have

 $00:39:21.468 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.297$ started to explore what would

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00{:}39{:}23.297 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}39{:}25.936$ it look like to integrate a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:26.018 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.943$ character based approach to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:27.943 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.670$ way we tend to think about ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.470$ particularly those of us trained in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.777$ principle based approach to bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.416$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:36.416 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.006$ we've wondered if these two

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:38.006 \dashrightarrow 00:39:40.080$ approaches may be stronger together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.340$ Do we may?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:41.340 \dashrightarrow 00:39:43.860$ We may need concepts of introspection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:43.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.184$ humility and so on in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:46.184 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.819$ understand how to apply these basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:48.819 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.419$ bioethics principles in unfamiliar places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.100$ So in other words,

 $00:39:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.620$ the below concepts may be foundational

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

00:39:55.696 --> 00:39:57.946 to how we interpret the above

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:39:57.946 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.340$ principles and let me illustrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:40:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.092$ This with an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900513

 $00:40:02.092 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.720$ I mentioned that performing outside the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:40:04.796 --> 00:40:07.492 scope of training is one of the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:07.492 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.223$ issues that trainees of all types

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:40:10.223 --> 00:40:12.199 report having experienced abroad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:40:12.200 --> 00:40:14.230 and I think this example of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:40:14.230 --> 00:40:17.088 asked to do things outside one scope

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:40:17.088 --> 00:40:19.488 illustrates nicely how important humility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.022$ introspection and so on

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:21.022 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.554$ are to ethical analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:40:22.560 --> 00:40:24.480 particularly when if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.016$ at those ethics guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.318$ they will say something like this.

00:40:28.320 --> 00:40:32.776 It's usually not best to exceed your scope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.580$ You might wonder exactly how

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.380$ helpful is that as guidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.468$ but when a principle says what

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:38.468 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.830$ are the risks and benefits of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:40:40.830 --> 00:40:43.060 me performing outside my scope?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.466$ Was the individual aware or informed

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:45.466 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.968$ of my skills when I did that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}40{:}47.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.720$ Or am I applying my decision the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}40{:}49.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51.314$ same in all circumstances when

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:51.314 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.274$ the principals say that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:40:53.274 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.440$ character break based approach says

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}40{:}55.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.296$ something different and emphasizes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:40:57.300 --> 00:41:01.296 Am I being humble in assessing my own skills?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.491$ Or the power imbalance is minimized as

00:41:03.491 --> 00:41:06.127 a matter of solidarity thinking of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571 00:41:06.130 --> 00:41:06.758 not them. NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:41:06.758 --> 00:41:09.764 Nor am I the right person to be making

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:09.764 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.260$ this decision in the 1st place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:41:12.260 --> 00:41:13.528 My introspectively thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:41:13.528 --> 00:41:16.368 why is it I'm in the situation I am,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:41:16.370 --> 00:41:18.629 and why do I think I should be making

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:18.629 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.874$ a decision about whether to exceed

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:41:20.874 --> 00:41:23.320 my scope of practice abroad or not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:41:23.320 --> 00:41:24.900 So again, I think these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.908$ but these other concepts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:41:26.908 --> 00:41:27.410 Introspection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.119$ humility and so on may be fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:30.119 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.194$ to the way we should interpret some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:34.194 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.454$ those classic bioethics principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}41{:}36.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38.716$ They shall not highlight this problem.

00:41:38.720 --> 00:41:40.945 This challenge of performing outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}41{:}40.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43.766$ the scope of training abroad I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:41:43.766 --> 00:41:46.342 part of a group that did a study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:46.342 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.868$ more than 200 global health individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.932$ Remember that asterisk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:49.932 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.056$ I said about minimal numbers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:52.056 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.129$ trainees represented in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.386$ Here's a case where one study

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:56.386 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.514$ nearly doubled that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.858$ but it's in this study more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:41:59.858 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.769$ 200 global health individuals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:01.769 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.277$ were diverse in terms of training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:42:04.280 --> 00:42:05.784 They were students, residents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:05.784 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.723$ postdocs, and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:42:07.723 --> 00:42:10.849 They recruited by Snowball nearly half.

00:42:10.850 --> 00:42:13.388 Reported being asked to do something

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}42{:}13.388 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}42{:}15.555$ outside their scope of practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:15.555 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.530$ and 2/3 actually did it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.505$ What reasons were offered as to why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.054$ Whether it could be a mismatch

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:23.054 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.326$ with host expectations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.180$ suboptimal supervision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.880$ inadequate preparation was noted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.010$ An absence of alternative options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:42:29.010 --> 00:42:31.335 and sometimes the perception that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}42{:}31.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34.197$ a situation was truly an emergency

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:42:34.197 --> 00:42:36.891 and there was no alternative but

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:36.891 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.768$ to exceed the scope of practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.105$ Now really interesting Lee trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:42.105 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.471$ in this sample were twice as likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:42:45.471 --> 00:42:47.846 to report performing outside their

 $00:42:47.846 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.110$ scope compared to licensed practitioners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}42{:}51.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}54.006$ I think we have to interpret this cautiously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571 00:42:54.010 --> 00:42:54.424 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:54.424 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.322$ It could be that the issue is

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:57.322 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.620$ licensed practitioners perceive

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:42:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.605$ their scope to be bigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:43:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.296$ And so less things are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:43:02.296 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.420$ to fall outside it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:43:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.130$ but it did seem like trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}43{:}05.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07.065$ could be at least more susceptible

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:43:07.065 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.885$ to this sort of activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:43:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.284$ This is a phenomenon that was observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00{:}43{:}11.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.522$ in the study for both clinical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:43:14.522 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.666$ nonclinical individuals indecisions alike.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:43:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.915$ So for example,

 $00:43:17.915 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.405$ a public health person or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

00:43:20.405 --> 00:43:22.516 education person could perceive

NOTE Confidence: 0.862887471428571

 $00:43:22.516 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.206$ themselves to be making decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

 $00:43:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.600$ They were outside their scope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

00:43:26.600 --> 00:43:28.256 Maybe it's not a clinical situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

 $00:43:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.342$ but it's a decision that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

 $00:43:30.342 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.460$ beyond the scope of practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

00:43:32.460 --> 00:43:35.246 And then, like I hinted at before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

 $00:43:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.650$ some of the qualitative findings from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

00:43:37.650 --> 00:43:39.800 our open ended questions suggested

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

 $00:43:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.220$ the potential for lasting distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

00:43:42.220 --> 00:43:44.468 Lasting moral distress after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

00:43:44.468 --> 00:43:46.154 individuals have performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8847372

 $00:43:46.154 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.820$ outside their scope of practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:43:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.810$ So I talked a little bit about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00{:}43{:}54.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56.629$ challenge of defining success.

 $00:43:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.701$ Would outcomes matter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:43:57.701 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.486$ How might we measure them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:43:59.490 --> 00:44:01.996 How are we thinking about the ethics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.499$ I want to talk about challenge #2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.852$ which is who decides and this is I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:06.852 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.159$ think it related ethics question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.760$ Who decides between these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.360$ competing conceptions of ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.104$ or of what matters most when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:44:15.104 --> 00:44:16.979 comes to outcomes and evaluation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.423$ Here the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:18.423 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.828$ but perhaps not all programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:44:20.830 --> 00:44:22.194 That's true too infrequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:44:22.194 --> 00:44:23.899 asked what local priorities are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.189$ but if we look closely at some

 $00:44:26.189 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.639$ of the examples that are in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:44:28.639 --> 00:44:30.379 literature major just a few,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.545$ I think there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:32.545 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.277$ interesting findings to consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:44:34.280 --> 00:44:36.520 So again, here the evidence is sparse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:44:36.520 --> 00:44:38.770 but I think there's a signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.640$ in the literature that how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.860$ Is just as important as what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00{:}44{:}42.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.450$ So in a study we did in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:44:45.450 --> 00:44:46.560 the Dominican Republic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00{:}44{:}46.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.265$ we would ask local community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:44:48.265 --> 00:44:50.442 members what do you like most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:50.442 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.477$ about this particular short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.165$ global health activity and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:54.165 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.285$ was interesting is that the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:44:56.285 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.170$ responses from individuals was not

 $00:44:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.250$ always about the tangible benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00{:}45{:}00.250 --> 00{:}45{:}02.470$ If you look at these quotes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.800$ I think you can see that the third quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.260$ because you are friends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.870$ you are treating me all this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.456$ I'm with you so appealing not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:45:12.460 --> 00:45:15.316 To the tangible benefits of the program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.948$ not to the medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:16.948 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.576$ not to the education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.236$ not to the services,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:20.236 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.335$ but instead to how those services and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:23.335 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.015$ how those activities aren't delivered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.364$ Similarly, in a survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00{:}45{:}28.364 \rightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.708$ Asking host communities what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:30.708 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.300$ competency is most important?

 $00:45:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.442$ For trainees that come from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00{:}45{:}35.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37.969$ high income country to a low and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:45:37.969 --> 00:45:40.027 middle income country to visit you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.614$ what competency matters most?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:41.614 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.990$ Look at the ones that come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:44.063 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.689$ to the top of the list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00{:}45{:}45.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.050$ Humility and being respectful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.486$ far down the list is the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:49.486 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.484$ in this case to conduct or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:51.484 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.120$ assist in research programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00{:}45{:}53.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.649$ So I think if we look here we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:55.649 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.429$ see less emphasis on the tangibles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:45:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.908$ but I always say we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:46:00.908 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.970$ interpret this cautiously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:46:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.220$ We had to be careful because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:46:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.495$ Of power imbalances of structural

 $00:46:06.495 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.770$ imbalances that can affect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:46:08.849 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.529$ way people answer questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:46:10.529 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.049$ that we shouldn't move too far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:46:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.480$ From a focus on real tangible

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

00:46:15.480 --> 00:46:17.810 outcomes and equity focused outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570075

 $00:46:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.274$ even if I think these findings are important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.760$ And there's a third challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.330$ This is really a methodological

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}46{:}27.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.730$ challenge in my mind, and that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.010$ question of whom should we evaluate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}46{:}32.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.260$ A recognized need, or the obligation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.760$ to evaluate program outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}46{:}35.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.635$ which is now widely recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:37.635 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.760$ is really complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.914$ In methodological terms by some of

 $00:46:40.914 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.890$ these issues we discussed earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.565$ Remember early in the presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}46{:}44.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.733$ I said there are these challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:46.733 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.888$ around what counts as short-term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.390$ What counts as global?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:50.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.890$ What counts is health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:51.890 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.140$ So there's a lack of consensus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.640$ around these key definitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:46:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.408$ and this results in the absence of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:46:58.408 --> 00:47:00.508 clearly defined population of study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.158$ You need to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:47:02.158 --> 00:47:05.228 NGOs, charities, academic centers and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}47{:}05.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08.744$ How do we draw boundaries around a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:47:08.744 --> 00:47:11.717 study population in order us for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:11.717 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.447$ us to do a rigorous evaluation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.653$ It's also complicated,

00:47:15.653 --> 00:47:17.658 oftentimes by lack of funding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}47{:}17.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.467$ and as I'll describe in a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:47:20.470 --> 00:47:22.418 the pressure to sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:22.418 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.879$ localize the global.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.214$ So it's not surprising that many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:26.214 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.549$ evaluations have tended to be limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:28.549 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.673$ to some of these single offices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.326$ Single site single programs, and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}47{:}33.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36.146$ It's not surprising to me that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:36.146 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.377$ where we are when it comes to evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}47{:}39.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42.000$ Because of these methodological challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.160$ in finding a population of study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.965$ What do I think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:46.965 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.687$ measuring effectiveness?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:47.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.224$ These are just some thoughts for discussion,

 $00:47:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.678$ maybe something we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:47:51.678 --> 00:47:53.850 talk about more in the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.660$ I think whatever approach to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.470$ measurement of effectiveness we choose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:47:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.198$ it should be developmental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}47{:}59.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.170$ meaning that no one size fits all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}48{:}02.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.780$ And here it's important to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.849$ that if we emphasize only successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}48{:}07.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09.200$ Idealized long-term partnerships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.000$ This will inevitably leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.059$ some places and people out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.524$ and that is itself an issue of justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:48:16.530 --> 00:48:16.969 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:48:16.969 --> 00:48:19.603 If we demand sustainability from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:19.603 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.740$ outset long-term from the outset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.042$ and so on,

00:48:23.042 --> 00:48:26.693 there will be places and people that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}48{:}26.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.326$ be left out of this particular activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:48:30.330 --> 00:48:32.986 I think our approach has to be collaborative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.983$ or more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:33.983 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.638$ decided within by local communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.796$ I think it needs to be progressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:37.796 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.944$ where we need to emphasize specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}48{:}39.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.254$ timelines and benchmarks and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:42.254 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.020$ a bit of a nod to the human rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:48:45.020 --> 00:48:46.806 contexts and where human rights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:46.806 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.816$ concepts of timelines and benchmarks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:48.816 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.260$ really could inform the way we evaluate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.992$ Then Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:51.992 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.188$ evaluation needs to be non exploitative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:48:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.020$ We have to avoid benefiting

 $00:48:56.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.118$ at others expense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}48{:}57.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01.458$ I want to double click on this concept for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}49{:}01.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.537$ just a moment in a bit of an interlude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.340$ Well sometimes see in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.020$ literature this idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.335$ The description that have time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:49:12.335 --> 00:49:14.187 abroad can improve knowledge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.038$ skills and attitudes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}49{:}16.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.886$ trainees as important outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:17.890 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.505$ even leading them to careers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:20.505 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.597$ in serving underserved and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}49{:}22.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.740$ marginalized populations at home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.642$ And we might all agree that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}49{:}26.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.910$ similar ethics concepts apply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.086$ but I think this raises far more questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00{:}49{:}31.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}33.065$ Then it does justify short-term

 $00:49:33.065 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.040$ global health questions like these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.600$ Why should we go abroad?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.140$ To learn these lessons lessons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:40.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.012$ Can or should we learn them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:49:42.012 --> 00:49:43.260 in our own communities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

00:49:43.260 --> 00:49:44.466 Or, more provocatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040952

 $00:49:44.466 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.280$ is this really a subtle or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

00:49:47.361 --> 00:49:50.216 bizarre form of exploitation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

 $00{:}49{:}50.216 \rightarrow 00{:}49{:}52.500$ uniquely global communities where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

00:49:52.500 --> 00:49:54.757 exploitation is this idea of making

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

 $00:49:54.757 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.246$ use of a situation to gain unfair

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

00:49:57.246 --> 00:50:00.400 advantage for oneself, and I I, really?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

 $00:50:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.593$ You describe it as somewhat bizarre, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

 $00:50:03.593 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.624$ The idea being that even if underserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

00:50:06.624 --> 00:50:08.680 communities are benefiting here,

00:50:08.680 --> 00:50:11.662 locali from the types of training

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

00:50:11.662 --> 00:50:14.830 we do globally is that still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

 $00:50:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.776$ A subtler, bizarre form of exploitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634468

 $00:50:17.776 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.650$ of a uniquely global community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}50{:}24.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.895$ So I mentioned before this idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:50:26.895 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.965$ rethinking principles or adding even

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:50:28.965 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.225$ more character based approaches of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:50:31.225 --> 00:50:34.044 introspection and humility to our more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:50:34.044 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.306$ fundamental bioethics principles, and here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:50:36.306 --> 00:50:39.337 But here I want to ask another

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:50:39.337 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.851$ question that is, is thinking beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:50:41.851 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.559$ these principles radical enough?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:50:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.975$ Remember, I showed this graphic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}50{:}45.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49.399$ and I said what's the next step?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:50:49.400 --> 00:50:51.944 In moral progress, if we've gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:50:51.944 --> 00:50:54.539 from altruism to charity to justice,

 $00:50:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.032$ what exactly is next?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}50{:}56.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59.359$ And here I want to explore the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:50:59.359 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.379$ of decolonizing global health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.366$ or decolonization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}51{:}02.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.817$ as a possible next step with somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:05.817 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.739$ radical implications for the way we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:51:08.739 --> 00:51:11.089 think about global health ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.106$ So recently there has been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:13.106 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.952$ building movement under this rubric

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:51:14.952 --> 00:51:16.700 of decolonizing global health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.454$ and I think we have to ask what would

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:19.454 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.308$ this mean for short-term global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}51{:}22.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23.806$ Really exciting, energized movement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:23.806 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.928$ often of students?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:51:24.930 --> 00:51:27.914 If you look at this snapshot from Twitter,

 $00:51:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.785$ look at the comment underneath

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:29.785 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.904$ the Twitter handle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}51{:}30.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.773$ It says we're bored of the Gates

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:33.773 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.520$ Foundation telling us what to do with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:51:36.520 --> 00:51:36.965 Interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:36.965 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.190$ cheeky response that only students

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:39.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.360$ probably can get away with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.166$ But it could be an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:43.166 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.848$ concept for us to explore in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:45.848 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.255$ hallmarks of decolonization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.850$ If we go into the decolonization literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.690$ had to do with things

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.794$ like self determination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}51{:}52.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55.626$ number one in a political sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:51:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.276$ And then #2 eliminating other structures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}51{:}58.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00.490$ financial structures and so on

 $00:52:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.700$ that contribute to NEO colonialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.584$ That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:03.584 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.678$ colonialism that exists even when an entity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.635$ or when a state is politically independent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}52{:}10.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.000$ And I think we should ask what would

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:14.000 \dashrightarrow 00:52:15.679$ decolonizing global health mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:15.679 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.353$ for ethics concepts that we see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:18.353 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.839$ some of these ethics guidelines?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:52:20.840 --> 00:52:22.540 Terms like mutual benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.665$ sustainability and dare we say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.370$ even those fundamental Western

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:52:26.370 --> 00:52:27.220 bioethics principles?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.460$ What would it mean to apply

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.250$ decolonization to some of these concepts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.450$ We should also remember what

 $00:52:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.770$ decolonization is not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}52{:}37.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}39.120$ Decolonizing short-term global

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:52:39.120 --> 00:52:41.370 health does have rhetorical appeal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.874$ but we must be clear about what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:43.874 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.112$ means in the rich literature around

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:47.112 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.014$ decolonization and neocolonialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.720$ So decolonizing is not, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.520$ merely merely political independence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:52:53.520 --> 00:52:54.870 As I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:52:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.306$ neocolonialism teaches us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}52{:}57.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59.742$ financial and other institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:52:59.742 --> 00:53:02.155 structures can matter just as

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:02.155 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.745$ much as far as control and power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:53:04.750 --> 00:53:06.450 It's also not about disengagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.490$ It's not leaving a place where

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.850$ we created a mess.

 $00:53:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.595$ We have to still allow for the right kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:12.595 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.548$ of partnerships and engagement to exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:53:15.550 --> 00:53:16.717 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:16.717 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.051$ it's not what some described as

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:19.051 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.378$ fake decolonizing, that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:21.378 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.548$ restoring ones image without addressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:23.548 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.919$ seriously the structural power imbalances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.670$ Again, that we've often helped create.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.460$ So indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.830$ if we take this concept seriously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}53{:}32.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.805$ it might mean rethinking what

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:34.805 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.385$ our traditional principles mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}53{:}36.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37.966$ or rethinking them altogether.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:37.966 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.360$ Here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.944$ I'm showing you again,

 $00:53:39.944 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.528$ those five consensus principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}53{:}41.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43.542$ from the Lasker Review around

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}53{:}43.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}45.467$ preparation needs and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.997$ In the middle is a lens of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:47.997 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.069$ decolonizing as a new ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:50.069 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.226$ lens that we would apply to these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

00:53:53.226 --> 00:53:55.736 principles and then we have to ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00:53:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.948$ does it reshape them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86697394

 $00{:}53{:}57.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.260$ This preparation mean educating and analyzing

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.320$ cases with attention to colonialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}54{:}04.320 \to 00{:}54{:}06.791$ We have to make sure we're eliminating

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:06.791 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.570$ power and structural imbalances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:54:08.570 --> 00:54:09.480 Equalized partnerships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.210$ We have to think about sustainability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:54:12.210 --> 00:54:12.988 Important though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:12.988 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.322$ it is that being separate from

 $00:54:15.322 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.990$ dependence or independence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:16.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.294$ What does it mean to promote

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:19.294 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.446$ local legal standards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.280$ not merely replicate northern ones?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.805$ How should evaluation be led

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:24.805 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.330$ and driven by local media?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.075$ So here really I'm asking this as a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.520$ Again, something we might discuss in the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:54:31.520 --> 00:54:33.650 What would it look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.390$ To take decolonization seriously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}54{:}35.390 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}54{:}38.983$ And they use it as a lens to

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:38.983 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.228$ rethink some of our principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}54{:}41.230 \to 00{:}54{:}42.970$ Important though they are accepted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.925$ though they are at the present time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.540$ This is just about where I'm going to stop

 $00:54:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.299$ and again look forward to some discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}54{:}52.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56.250$ I'll offer three tentative conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:54:56.250 --> 00:54:57.642 While much work remains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:57.642 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.730$ I think it's reasonable to suggest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:54:59.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.202$ So we made progress in the policy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:55:02.202 --> 00:55:04.089 practice of short-term global health

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:55:04.089 --> 00:55:06.489 programs over the past 20 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.962$ Progress that sees this is through

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}55{:}08.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11.310$ the ethics lens of justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:55:11.310 --> 00:55:12.258 I think Secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}55{:}12.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}14.154$ there's a real need to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:14.154 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.735$ the effectiveness of ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:15.735 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.135$ training with a critical eye toward

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:18.135 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.087$ whether we have the ethics right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.190$ And that's again where concepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}55{:}22.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}24.290$ of decolonization might come in.

00:55:24.290 --> 00:55:24.954 And arguably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:24.954 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.946$ perhaps the eventual goal is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:26.946 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.167$ no longer need short-term global

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:55:29.167 --> 00:55:30.159 health activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.528$ at least not as they are now conceived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.302$ In two additional thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:36.302 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.960$ Medical schools do have a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.539$ role to play as leaders and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:55:41.539 --> 00:55:43.476 have to recognize the trickle

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:55:43.476 --> 00:55:45.834 down effect of their own policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:45.840 \dashrightarrow 00:55:48.052$ So one thing that just ever colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:48.052 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.353$ might come to know over the years

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}55{:}50.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}52.285$ is emphasized as a concern about

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:52.351 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.725$ unintentionally encouraging premed

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:55:53.725 --> 00:55:56.473 undergrads to do things that make

 $00:55:56.473 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.036$ their applications stand out globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:55:59.036 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.656$ Do we inadvertently reward things

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:01.656 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.727$ like performing outside the scope of

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:04.727 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.869$ training only reward applicants who tell us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:07.870 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.085$ Somewhat grandiose stories of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:56:10.085 --> 00:56:12.664 they've done abroad that arguably they

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:12.664 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.024$ would never be allowed to do at home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.960$ Another major concern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:56:15.960 --> 00:56:18.130 but I haven't talked about is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:56:18.187 --> 00:56:20.347 advent of so called predatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}56{:}20.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22.075$ global health opportunities within

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:22.075 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.916$ organizations that have variable

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:23.916 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.720$ financial and charitable efficiencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.716$ So that concern here being that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:28.716 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.100$ are actually some money makers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:56:31.100 --> 00:56:32.954 Out there in short term global

 $00:56:32.954 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.114$ health that verge on being predatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:56:35.114 --> 00:56:37.264 towards students to again give

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:56:37.264 --> 00:56:39.350 them these opportunities that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.170$ make their application stand out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

00:56:41.170 --> 00:56:43.744 And what's our responsibility in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:43.744 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.379$ medical school environment to correct that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.764$ Let's try to close.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:47.764 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.494$ These are just some ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:49.494 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.520$ education resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.520$ If anyone wants to learn more about ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.400$ education, and short-term global health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.280$ I sure appreciate your time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00{:}56{:}57.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59.534$ And I hope that we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333

 $00:56:59.534 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.420$ time here for discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831724775333333 00:57:01.420 --> 00:57:01.800 thanks.

 $00:57:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.470$ Set of issues around short-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

00:57:16.470 --> 00:57:18.894 education and global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00{:}57{:}18.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21.305$ We certainly want to entertain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:21.305 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.233$ questions we have at least 1/2

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:24.233 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.837$ an hour to to provide questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.034$ If you will submit your questions to the Q&A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.336$ type them out and we will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.773$ And we will. And I can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:38.773 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.777$ I will read them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.271$ I wanted to take the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00{:}57{:}41.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43.127$ share their to have the first question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:43.127 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.210$ every couple questions already, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.941$ I'm I'm interested that you you talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:49.941 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.174$ about the possibility that we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:57:53.174 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.252$ be dealing with forms of exploitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

00:57:56.260 --> 00:57:58.876 And if you phrase that I took it

 $00:57:58.876 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.769$ that you phrased that as a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00{:}58{:}01.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04.461$ so I want to ask you what can you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:58:04.461 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.953$ unpack that a little further and let

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:58:06.953 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.738$ me further ask is it almost isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:58:09.738 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.908$ it almost inevitable that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

00:58:11.908 --> 00:58:13.875 going to be some exploitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:58:13.875 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.335$ in arrangements like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:58:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.584$ where there is all these various

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762254

 $00:58:17.584 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.380$ asymmetry's that you've pointed out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:58:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.120$ Well, see you know, cheer ladder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:58:24.120 \dashrightarrow 00:58:27.036$ Question about the inevitability of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

00:58:27.040 --> 00:58:31.696 Um? They could seem that way. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00{:}58{:}31.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34.774$ there are certainly views out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

00:58:34.780 --> 00:58:36.510 That would suggest, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:58:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.534$ that if we create an ethics calculus.

 $00:58:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.750$ Of weighing the good and

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:58:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.960$ the bad of these activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:58:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.630$ that things like magical educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:58:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.766$ benefits to our trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

00:58:48.770 --> 00:58:52.670 Don't count. Or they can't count

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:58:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.080$ because that's exploitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

00:58:54.080 --> 00:58:56.129 They're getting educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

00:58:56.129 --> 00:58:59.544 benefit at someone elses expense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

00:58:59.550 --> 00:59:01.140 That's one I think extreme view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:59:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.524$ and I'm not sure I would actually go

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:59:03.524 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.108$ that far to say that they don't count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:59:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.102$ But I think we have to be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:59:10.102 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.180$ careful in how we evaluate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63689524

 $00:59:13.180 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.720$ Those risks and benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

00:59:16.850 --> 00:59:18.407 To unpack exploitation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:18.407 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.537$ You know the example I gave is this idea

 $00:59:23.537 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.037$ that justifying short-term global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00{:}59{:}27.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30.960$ On the basis of benefit to underserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.171$ communities locally is could be seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

00:59:34.171 --> 00:59:37.045 as a subtle form of exploitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.616$ Then I don't know what people are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.316$ Not everyone may agree with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.406$ But it it certainly starts to seem like it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.077$ Um, I think we all hope that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.428$ in an ideal world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:52.428 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.985$ and maybe it's years in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:54.985 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.751$ that there aren't power imbalances and

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $00:59:57.751 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.459$ things don't come at other peoples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01{:}00{:}00.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}04.156$ Fences and so on. But it does raise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01{:}00{:}04.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}06.386$ I think this other question because

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

01:00:06.386 --> 01:00:08.860 if we think about exploitation,

 $01:00:08.860 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.160$ we think about benefiting at others expense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01{:}00{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}16.040$ That requires us to really know

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:16.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.310$ what the others expense is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:18.310 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.783$ Unfortunately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

01:00:18.783 --> 01:00:22.094 Those of us who have engaged in

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:22.094 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.513$ these kinds of activities are

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:24.513 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.285$ often in the least best position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:27.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.888$ To understand what those expenses might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:30.890 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.170$ So when we talk about benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:33.170 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.690$ and burdens really do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:34.690 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.590$ short-term activities will often talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01{:}00{:}36.590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}39.638$ draw analogies from full cost accounting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:39.640 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.033$ Right in the nature of we have to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01{:}00{:}43.033 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}45.180$ understand what all those potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:45.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.529$ burdens and expenses might be to even know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:48.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.669$ Whether or not.

 $01:00:50.670 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.968$ There are keruing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:52.970 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.928$ And maybe that's the first step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

01:00:55.930 --> 01:00:57.074 You know, I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

01:00:57.074 --> 01:00:58.218 I can say personally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:00:58.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.392$ that when I went to Honduras

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:01:00.392 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.750$ you know now 20 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:01:02.750 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.640$ I probably didn't even see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01{:}05.640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}09.966$ The burden of the airport transfers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647 01:01:09.970 --> 01:01:10.837 And so on, NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:01:10.837 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.542$ going back and forth to the site I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:01:13.542 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.966$ in the least best position to see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:01:15.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.853$ And I think until we can understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:01:17.853 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.650$ what those expenses and burns might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:01:19.650 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.228$ it's hard to even have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01:01:21.228 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.017$ conversation about exploitation.

01:01:22.020 --> 01:01:24.116 I don't know if that answers the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855473094117647

 $01{:}01{:}24.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}26.224$ but those are just some thoughts on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

 $01:01:27.170 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.398$ That's what we wanted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

 $01:01:30.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.672$ Now let me we we have other questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

01:01:33.672 --> 01:01:37.386 coming into only from one from one of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

 $01{:}01{:}37.386 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}40.430$ colleagues from the Public Health school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

 $01:01:40.430 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.040$ Thank you for a wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

 $01:01:43.040 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.410$ How does the presence or absence

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

 $01{:}01{:}45.410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}46.990$ of an established long-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

01:01:47.057 --> 01:01:49.262 partnership between the Hi C&LMIC

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

 $01{:}01{:}49.262 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}51.467$ institutions impact the ethics of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

01:01:51.535 --> 01:01:53.507 short-term global health work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

01:01:53.510 --> 01:01:57.090 And if you will?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

 $01:01:57.090 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.325$ Unpaid tell us the meaning

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

 $01:01:59.325 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.560$ of Hi C&LMIC for everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78215796

01:02:01.560 --> 01:02:02.450 Oh sorry,

 $01:02:02.450 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.671$ so high income country versus lower middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:06.671 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.491$ income country tends to rely on World

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:10.491 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.750$ Bank definitions about Ian and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:13.750 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.618$ The question about long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

01:02:15.620 --> 01:02:18.360 long term partnerships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

01:02:18.360 --> 01:02:19.910 You know it does change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:19.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.010$ I think the way we we think about the ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:23.010 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.314$ Most people will say that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:25.314 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.300$ longer term partnerships are ideal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

01:02:27.300 --> 01:02:29.568 We all say, let me let me add this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:29.570 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.250$ by the way, when I said that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:31.250 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.783$ our approach to evaluating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:32.783 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.658$ ethics needs to be developmental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:34.660 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.490$ I'll also be upfront that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8062485

 $01:02:36.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.559$ really relies in some respects on

 $01:02:38.559 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.529$ my original experience in Honduras.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:02:42.720 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.338$ There if you, if I looked only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:02:45.338 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.579$ at my experience 20 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:02:47.580 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.150$ that was not a long term partnership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:02:51.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.100$ Lot of the activities from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:02:53.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.050$ the outside probably could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:02:55.126 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.010$ looked at as unsustainable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:02:57.010 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.850$ But that was the start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01{:}02{:}59.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}01.630$ And overtime because the organizers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

01:03:01.630 --> 01:03:03.410 were committed to longer term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01{:}03{:}03.469 --> 01{:}03{:}04.839 \ {\rm sustainable \ partnerships},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:04.840 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.696$ it's now grown and developed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:07.696 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.529$ such a way that it's entirely run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

01:03:11.530 --> 01:03:13.858 In Honduras by people in Honduras,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:13.860 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.062$ in short term groups come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:16.062 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.813$ from time to time as part of

 $01:03:18.813 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.207$ what we would describe as more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

01:03:21.207 --> 01:03:23.290 mutually beneficial exchange.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:23.290 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.915$ For a program that really is lead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:25.920 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.132$ By people in Honduras.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

01:03:28.132 --> 01:03:30.344 That looks ethically very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:30.344 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.458$ different than something where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:32.458 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.664$ a group comes in for two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:35.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.645$ Does a lot of service activities or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:38.645 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.843$ clinical care activities and leaves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:40.843 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.968$ and there's nothing else there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

01:03:42.970 --> 01:03:44.194 So in a way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01{:}03{:}44.194 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}47.609$ I would we want it to be that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:47.609 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.579$ is a long term partnership and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:50.579 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.772$ short-term activities almost become an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:53.772 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.022$ increasingly small or different part.

 $01:03:57.030 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.670$ Of the whole picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:03:59.670 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.620$ In fact, in that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:04:01.620 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.960$ go back to the first question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:04:03.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.046$ In that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:04:05.046 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.580$ it may actually be more justifiable that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8899073

 $01:04:07.650 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.200$ the main benefit there is educational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

 $01:04:12.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.254$ Because the way that program looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

 $01:04:14.254 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.793$ as a whole lot less about quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

 $01{:}04{:}16.793 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}18.813$ delivering benefits to the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

01:04:18.813 --> 01:04:21.488 abroad or benefiting at their expense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

 $01{:}04{:}21.490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}24.150$ So I think it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

01:04:24.150 --> 01:04:25.290 Long term sustainable

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

 $01:04:25.290 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.810$ partnerships are often idealized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

 $01:04:26.810 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.470$ We shouldn't expect them in all cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

 $01:04:29.470 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.370$ We maybe expect that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85750735

 $01:04:31.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.270$ grow to that point overtime.

01:04:36.170 --> 01:04:40.280 Alright, thank you. Another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

01:04:40.280 --> 01:04:42.608 How is it possible to quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

01:04:42.608 --> 01:04:43.772 demonstrate solidarity through

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

01:04:43.772 --> 01:04:45.579 global health activities without

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

01:04:45.579 --> 01:04:47.387 having a measurable impact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

 $01:04:47.390 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.475$ Don't outcomes matter more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

01:04:49.475 --> 01:04:51.143 both character and actions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

 $01:04:51.150 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.748$ Isn't the point of global health

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

 $01{:}04{:}53.748 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}56.332$ activities improving the health of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

 $01:04:56.332 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.666$ communities where they are carried out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

 $01:04:58.670 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.178$ If these activities do not help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90605656

 $01:05:01.180 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.848$ what is the point?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01{:}05{:}02.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}06.586$ Yeah, good. This is a question from some one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:06.586 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.369$ who who is a hardcore outcomes person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:10.370 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.486$ Um? Maybe? I agree.

01:05:14.486 --> 01:05:16.326 Um, although I probably think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:05:16.326 --> 01:05:18.399 that there's still room for both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:18.400 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.190$ and if I put on my philosophy hat and came

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:21.260 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.918$ up with a philosophy thought experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:23.920 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.336$ I would say that we could certainly imagine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:27.340 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.928$ A top down program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01{:}05{:}29.928 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}32.516$ That's implemented from above.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:05:32.520 --> 01:05:34.962 And could be very efficient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01{:}05{:}34.962 \to 01{:}05{:}37.450$ heavy handed at achieving an outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:05:37.450 --> 01:05:40.066 But it's not been done through a process

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01{:}05{:}40.066 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}42.392$ of decision making that's engaged or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:05:42.392 --> 01:05:44.402 involved with the local community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:44.402 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.664$ where that community is helped define

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:46.664 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.368$ the needs and shapes them overtime and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:49.368 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.000$ really kind of leaves it on their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:53.000 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.574$ So I still think that some

 $01:05:55.574 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.290$ of these process oriented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:05:57.290 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.390$ Outcomes for lack of a better word

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:05:59.390 --> 01:06:01.190 can really matter, and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:06:01.190 --> 01:06:02.690 if we thought about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:02.690 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.928$ we probably could come up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:04.928 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.047$ ways to measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:06:06.050 --> 01:06:09.098 Sentiments around solidarity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:09.100 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.968$ Sentiments around respect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:11.970 \longrightarrow 01:06:13.830$ So again, that's that's that's an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:13.830 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.310$ I will say that is something we've heard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:06:16.310 --> 01:06:17.550 We've done, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01{:}06{:}17.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}18.790$ research with local communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:18.790 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.960$ They seem also to care about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:06:20.960 --> 01:06:21.580 Now again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:21.580 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.510$ we don't know.

 $01:06:22.510 \longrightarrow 01:06:25.527$ I wouldn't go too far in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:25.527 \longrightarrow 01:06:26.389$ direction either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:06:26.390 --> 01:06:29.140 But I wouldn't go so far as to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:29.220 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.106$ only these more process or decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:32.106 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.030$ process oriented metrics matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:06:34.030 --> 01:06:36.973 We still want to have the outcomes in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

01:06:36.980 --> 01:06:38.792 but I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:38.792 \longrightarrow 01:06:43.020$ If we listen to people from abroad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:43.020 \longrightarrow 01:06:45.276$ And listen to the people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:45.276 \longrightarrow 01:06:46.404$ we've talked to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:46.410 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.755$ At least they seem to suggest that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:48.755 \longrightarrow 01:06:51.354$ some of these more character based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:51.354 \longrightarrow 01:06:53.386$ treatment based process based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01{:}06{:}53.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}55.476$ Concepts matter just as much to them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:55.480 \longrightarrow 01:06:57.864$ and I guess for me I just take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711523

 $01:06:57.864 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.460$ that seriously.

 $01{:}07{:}00.900 \to 01{:}07{:}05.202$ Before I want to follow up a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

01:07:05.210 --> 01:07:08.304 By asking I, I think it's admirable

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

01:07:08.304 --> 01:07:11.896 that that you and your group have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

 $01:07:11.896 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.606$ have actually listened to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

01:07:14.606 --> 01:07:17.586 recipients of some of these programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

 $01:07:17.590 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.560$ and it strikes me that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

 $01:07:20.560 \longrightarrow 01:07:22.540$ would should be essential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

 $01{:}07{:}22.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}25.085$ How regularly does that happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

01:07:25.085 --> 01:07:27.121 that people actually seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

01:07:27.121 --> 01:07:29.590 feedback from the communities that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87353325

 $01:07:29.590 \longrightarrow 01:07:31.940$ they have been engaged with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:07:32.520 \longrightarrow 01:07:34.865$ Yeah, I think it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01{:}07{:}34.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}38.227$ It would be hard to make a statement of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:07:38.227 \longrightarrow 01:07:40.577$ percentages partly going back to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:07:40.580 \longrightarrow 01:07:43.541$ Issue that it's such a disk unregulated

 $01:07:43.541 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.924$ space of charities and NGOs that it's hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:07:46.924 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.480$ to know exactly what's happening where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:07:49.480 \longrightarrow 01:07:52.804$ I think that there is increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:07:52.804 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.210$ recognition of the need to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

01:07:56.210 --> 01:07:58.499 So I think it's happening more often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

01:07:58.500 --> 01:08:01.620 This is a place where I think academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:08:01.620 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.470$ institutions can probably lead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

01:08:03.470 --> 01:08:04.794 Right, because academic institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01{:}08{:}04.794 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06.449$ have lead in creating some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:08:06.449 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.089$ of these guidance documents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01{:}08{:}08.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}10.075$ evaluation and understanding the local

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01{:}08{:}10.075 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}12.456$ community are clearly parts of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01{:}08{:}12.456 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}15.128$ documents and this is a case where a cademic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:08:15.128 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.960$ programs really can take the lead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

 $01:08:16.960 \longrightarrow 01:08:18.352$ So it is happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8936046

01:08:18.352 --> 01:08:20.092 I think it's happening more

 $01:08:20.092 \longrightarrow 01:08:22.288$ and needs to happen even more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

 $01{:}08{:}24.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}28.564$ Thank you. Here's another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

01:08:28.564 --> 01:08:31.070 I recently had a large grant frozen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

 $01:08:31.132 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.208$ by Yale because they argue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

 $01:08:33.208 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.340$ running a medical training program in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

01:08:35.340 --> 01:08:37.398 East Africa is currently too risky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

01:08:37.400 --> 01:08:40.824 quote due to covid. At the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

 $01{:}08{:}40.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}42.086$ we're allowing medical training

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

 $01:08:42.086 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.970$ programs in the US to continue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

 $01:08:43.970 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.880$ I personally think this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

 $01:08:45.880 \longrightarrow 01:08:47.408$ neither fair nor reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165445

 $01:08:47.410 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.720$ Would be interested to hear your thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018896

 $01{:}08{:}51.920 --> 01{:}08{:}55.628$ Right now I don't know the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018896

01:08:55.630 --> 01:08:58.970 Yell environment that well but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018896

01:08:58.970 --> 01:09:01.189 Yeah, if we abstract from that to

 $01:09:01.189 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.088$ the general question, I would say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

01:09:06.130 --> 01:09:08.170 It's and it is an example of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

01:09:08.170 --> 01:09:11.160 question of standards, isn't it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

01:09:11.160 --> 01:09:14.152 You know that the way we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:14.152 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.781$ risk and the way institutions often

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:16.781 \longrightarrow 01:09:20.056$ think about risk as well is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:20.056 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.044$ purely based on some objective number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:23.050 \longrightarrow 01:09:25.690$ Right that we somehow think that risk is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01{:}09{:}25.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}28.097$ different in one setting versus another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:28.100 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.410$ and we're more tolerant of risk in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:30.410 \longrightarrow 01:09:32.440$ this setting versus another setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:32.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:35.088$ so I don't think I can come out

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:35.088 \longrightarrow 01:09:37.488$ whether it's precisely fair or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:37.490 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.202$ other than to say that I can at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:41.202 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.780$ least understand that in my view.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

01:09:43.780 --> 01:09:46.496 I don't see risk of a purely

01:09:46.496 --> 01:09:49.070 objective matter. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:49.070 \dashrightarrow 01:09:51.702$ Certainly I see that as a clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:51.702 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.060$ where patients view risk differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

01:09:54.060 --> 01:09:57.500 Institutions view risk differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:57.500 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.650$ That said, it's a tough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86560816

 $01:09:58.650 \longrightarrow 01:09:59.800$ That is a tough situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9364162

 $01:10:01.850 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.420$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654639

 $01:10:04.860 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.870$ Here's another, rather briefer question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654639

 $01:10:06.870 \longrightarrow 01:10:10.566$ Do you have an example of a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654639

 $01{:}10{:}10.566 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}13.282$ program that comes the closest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8654639

 $01:10:13.282 \longrightarrow 01:10:16.350$ to being anti colonial? Who?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182294

 $01:10:20.610 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.312$ And you can. You can take that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182294

 $01{:}10{:}23.312 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}26.164$ question in any direction you like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8182294

01:10:26.164 --> 01:10:28.298 I I examples you like

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:10:28.300 \longrightarrow 01:10:30.007$ you know that.

 $01:10:30.007 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.990$ I don't know that a perfect example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:10:33.990 \longrightarrow 01:10:38.288$ Comes to mind. But that's partly a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:10:38.288 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.548$ reflection of my own limitations in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:10:42.550 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.772$ Not being sure that we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:10:45.772 \longrightarrow 01:10:48.640$ know what anti colonial means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:10:48.640 \longrightarrow 01:10:52.850$ More its implications for ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

01:10:52.850 --> 01:10:55.524 So I think partly my inability or

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

01:10:55.524 --> 01:10:58.359 my reluctance to highlight any one

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01{:}10{:}58.359 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}01.034$ program reflects that own uncertainty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

01:11:01.040 --> 01:11:04.526 In my thinking about what decolonizing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01{:}11{:}04.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}06.025$ Activities really can or should

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

01:11:06.025 --> 01:11:07.970 mean you as part is preparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:11:07.970 \longrightarrow 01:11:09.905$ this and then thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

01:11:09.905 --> 01:11:12.356 decolonizing you know there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:11:12.356 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.036$ rich literature around decolonization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:11:14.040 \longrightarrow 01:11:15.608$ From the 50s, sixties,

 $01:11:15.608 \longrightarrow 01:11:19.257$ 70s like and it's a lot to get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:11:19.257 \longrightarrow 01:11:22.410$ and I'm excited to learn more about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01:11:22.410 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.840$ But probably it's that feeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

01:11:23.840 --> 01:11:26.191 like I only have that tip of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

01:11:26.191 --> 01:11:27.621 ice
berg that prevents me from

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433908

 $01{:}11{:}27.621 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}29.358$ saying more about that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

01:11:31.000 --> 01:11:34.980 Thank you. As it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

01:11:34.980 --> 01:11:37.880 Tracy Raven is in attendance and she has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:11:37.880 \longrightarrow 01:11:39.332$ She has commented here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

01:11:39.332 --> 01:11:42.260 Thanks so much for a fantastic talk Matt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:11:42.260 \longrightarrow 01:11:44.030$ I really appreciate the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:11:44.030 \longrightarrow 01:11:46.133$ you laid out the issues with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01{:}11{:}46.133 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}48.273$ the evidence based around his

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:11:48.273 \longrightarrow 01:11:49.557$ predeparture ethics training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

01:11:49.560 --> 01:11:51.425 I completely agree with your

 $01:11:51.425 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.917$ schematic describing the evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:11:52.917 \longrightarrow 01:11:54.667$ from altruism to charity etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:11:54.670 \longrightarrow 01:11:57.771$ And I also agree that the decolonization

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:11:57.771 \longrightarrow 01:11:59.979$ movement is the next step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

01:11:59.980 --> 01:12:01.784 Harriet my younger mckeary

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

01:12:01.784 --> 01:12:03.137 Michelle Berry Ann.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:12:03.140 \longrightarrow 01:12:06.311$ I just published a piece in academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

01:12:06.311 --> 01:12:08.584 medicine last month arguing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:12:08.584 \longrightarrow 01:12:11.475$ the halt in travel due to COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01{:}12{:}11.475 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}14.785$ his coincided well with the rise of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01{:}12{:}14.785 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}17.592$ this new consciousness and gives a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:12:17.592 \longrightarrow 01:12:19.436$ great opportunity for educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:12:19.436 \longrightarrow 01:12:22.199$ programs to reevaluate the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:12:22.199 \longrightarrow 01:12:24.329$ they interact with global partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01:12:24.330 \longrightarrow 01:12:27.240$ Have you seen examples of institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.92360294

 $01{:}12{:}27.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}29.180$ grappling with these issues

 $01:12:29.254 \longrightarrow 01:12:30.650$ over the past year?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:12:32.150 \longrightarrow 01:12:34.350$ I, I think it's the truth as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:12:34.350 \longrightarrow 01:12:36.265$ Thanks for the comments to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:12:36.265 --> 01:12:38.890 Tracy and Glad I sorta see you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:12:38.890 \longrightarrow 01:12:40.378$ Glad you saw me like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:12:40.380 \longrightarrow 01:12:43.600$ I can see you but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:12:43.600 --> 01:12:46.449 I think institutions are grappling with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:12:46.450 \longrightarrow 01:12:49.450$ and I'll say this this slide in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:12:49.450 \longrightarrow 01:12:52.147$ comments about what decolonizing is not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:12:52.150 \longrightarrow 01:12:55.168$ I think it's also really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:12:55.170 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.127$ I I always have fears that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:01.127 \longrightarrow 01:13:05.250$ concepts become rhetorically appealing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01{:}13{:}05.250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}08.995$ We fail. The scrutinize what they mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:09.000 \longrightarrow 01:13:11.892$ or we pick and choose the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:11.892 \longrightarrow 01:13:14.859$ parts of it that we like.

 $01:13:14.860 \longrightarrow 01:13:17.732$ And so to give a historical example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:17.732 \longrightarrow 01:13:20.878$ that in the decolonization literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01{:}13{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}24.024$ there was a very clear concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:24.024 \longrightarrow 01:13:26.120$ where when decolonizing meant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:13:26.120 --> 01:13:28.334 Giving political independence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:28.334 \longrightarrow 01:13:31.286$ The former colonial states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:31.290 \longrightarrow 01:13:33.060$ What actually happened?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:13:33.060 --> 01:13:34.830 Was it justified?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01{:}13{:}34.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}38.620$ Colonial powers leaving that state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:38.620 \longrightarrow 01:13:40.213$ While continuing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:13:40.213 --> 01:13:41.806 extract natural resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:13:41.810 --> 01:13:43.046 economic resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:43.046 \longrightarrow 01:13:47.372$ and financial gain now from a former

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:13:47.372 --> 01:13:51.336 colony over which or for which they

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:13:51.336 \longrightarrow 01:13:53.990$ had zero responsibility at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:13:53.990 --> 01:13:56.120 And that was picking and choosing

 $01:13:56.120 \longrightarrow 01:13:57.540$ a particular we liked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01{:}13{:}57.540 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>01{:}13{:}59.694$ The part of decolonization that takes

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:13:59.694 --> 01:14:01.799 us politically out of the state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:01.800 \longrightarrow 01:14:04.537$ so long as the financial structures and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:04.537 \longrightarrow 01:14:07.193$ other parts of the global institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:07.193 \longrightarrow 01:14:10.483$ context allow us to continue to benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:14:10.490 --> 01:14:13.514 So I would say that's probably my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:13.520 \longrightarrow 01:14:14.594$ Caution about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:14.594 \longrightarrow 01:14:17.816$ Decolonizing is for us not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:17.816 \longrightarrow 01:14:21.230$ pick and choose just the parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01{:}14{:}21.230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}23.477$ That we like that if we're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:14:23.477 --> 01:14:26.079 going to take decolonizing seriously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:14:26.080 --> 01:14:29.368 I think it it means scrutinizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:14:29.368 --> 01:14:31.012 every last part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:31.020 \longrightarrow 01:14:33.364$ Of our activities and I maybe didn't say

 $01:14:33.364 \longrightarrow 01:14:35.560$ it clearly enough in the presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:35.560 \longrightarrow 01:14:39.606$ but that also includes the ethics principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:14:39.610 --> 01:14:42.480 That in many ways we have exported

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:42.480 \longrightarrow 01:14:43.710$ Western bioethics principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:14:43.775 --> 01:14:45.683 into places where I'm not quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:14:45.683 --> 01:14:47.420 sure that was the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:47.420 \longrightarrow 01:14:51.506$ or is the right thing to do it maybe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

01:14:51.510 --> 01:14:53.330 But it may not be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:53.330 \longrightarrow 01:14:55.059$ And so I do think institutions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:55.059 \longrightarrow 01:14:56.310$ starting to grapple with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:56.310 \longrightarrow 01:14:57.857$ We just have to be careful with

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:57.857 \longrightarrow 01:14:59.528$ the way we apply the concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76122576

 $01:14:59.530 \longrightarrow 01:15:00.518$ It's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911493

01:15:02.560 --> 01:15:03.340 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589165

 $01:15:05.770 \longrightarrow 01:15:07.624$ Now the question so many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589165

 $01:15:07.624 \longrightarrow 01:15:09.649$ your points or comment so many

 $01{:}15{:}09.649 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}11.803$ of your points resonate with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589165

 $01{:}15{:}11.803 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}13.580$ experience working on a micro edit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589165

01:15:13.580 --> 01:15:15.484 Microcredit project in Kenya.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589165

 $01:15:15.484 \longrightarrow 01:15:19.332$ In 2000. I return to the US with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589165

 $01:15:19.332 \longrightarrow 01:15:21.260$ many complicated feelings about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589165

 $01:15:21.350 \longrightarrow 01:15:24.700$ International Development. Hang on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:15:27.990 \longrightarrow 01:15:30.000$ Such as you describe about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:15:30.000 \longrightarrow 01:15:31.206$ the medical missions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

01:15:31.210 --> 01:15:32.710 You see this research applying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:15:32.710 \longrightarrow 01:15:34.925$ in the same way to broader

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01{:}15{:}34.925 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}36.857$ International Development projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:15:36.860 \longrightarrow 01:15:38.870$ there. That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01{:}15{:}38.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}41.345$ There are really interesting areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01{:}15{:}41.345 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}44.040$ of overlap. Sometimes when I talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:15:44.040 \longrightarrow 01:15:46.165$ to students about short-term global

 $01:15:46.165 \longrightarrow 01:15:48.656$ health or to audiences that are less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:15:48.660 \longrightarrow 01:15:51.096$ Maybe less engaged with short term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:15:51.096 \dashrightarrow 01:15:53.649$ global health then say it clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:15:53.650 \longrightarrow 01:15:56.050$ Audience. I will say actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:15:56.050 \longrightarrow 01:15:59.161$ the way we think about short-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01{:}15{:}59.161 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}02.875$ global health ethics is a microcosm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:02.880 \longrightarrow 01:16:05.358$ Could be seen as a microcosm for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

01:16:05.358 --> 01:16:07.359 global health ethics more broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

01:16:07.360 --> 01:16:09.880 And so these concepts are just examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:09.880 \longrightarrow 01:16:12.428$ This is 1 setting where we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

01:16:12.428 --> 01:16:13.840 to wrestle with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

01:16:13.840 --> 01:16:17.039 but in fact it has intersections and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:17.039 \longrightarrow 01:16:18.990$ connections to humanitarian ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:18.990 \longrightarrow 01:16:20.616$ Developmental, a ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:20.616 \longrightarrow 01:16:22.784$ and oftentimes unfortunately these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:22.784 \longrightarrow 01:16:25.468$ groups don't talk to each other.

01:16:25.470 --> 01:16:28.806 It's some extent it could be a criticism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:28.810 \longrightarrow 01:16:32.572$ in fact of the short term of that history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:32.580 \longrightarrow 01:16:35.660$ I told you of short term global health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:35.660 \longrightarrow 01:16:38.010$ and development of ethics guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:38.010 \longrightarrow 01:16:40.395$ I'm sure there are developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:40.395 \longrightarrow 01:16:42.780$ there aid workers and humanitarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:42.860 \longrightarrow 01:16:44.498$ organizations that say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:44.500 \longrightarrow 01:16:46.936$ Why did it take short-term global health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

 $01:16:46.936 \longrightarrow 01:16:49.463$ ethics this long to come to these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

01:16:49.463 --> 01:16:51.233 principles that we've been thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778475

01:16:51.233 --> 01:16:53.550 about in the aid context for decades?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

01:16:55.670 --> 01:16:58.136 As an example, as a concrete example of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01{:}16{:}58.140 --> 01{:}17{:}01.310$ one of the best. Crazy fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:01.310 \longrightarrow 01:17:04.790$ but one of the frameworks that's out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:04.790 \longrightarrow 01:17:07.532$ To help understand exceeding scope of

 $01:17:07.532 \longrightarrow 01:17:10.238$ practice and a decision framework for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

01:17:10.238 --> 01:17:13.414 with whether or how it can be justified

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

01:17:13.498 --> 01:17:16.198 is actually found from Matthew Hunt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:16.200 \longrightarrow 01:17:18.424$ Lisa Schwartz and colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:18.424 \longrightarrow 01:17:21.204$ in the humanitarian aid world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:21.210 \longrightarrow 01:17:23.810$ Where in humanitarian disasters this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:23.810 \longrightarrow 01:17:26.410$ exact situation often comes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

01:17:26.410 --> 01:17:28.858 And so there are ways in which there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:28.858 \longrightarrow 01:17:30.844$ should be connection between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:30.844 \longrightarrow 01:17:33.009$ short term global health context

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:33.009 \longrightarrow 01:17:34.880$ and these other domains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01{:}17{:}34.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}38.160$ including I would say locally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

01:17:38.160 --> 01:17:40.776 Right, it is not uncommon for areas in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

 $01:17:40.776 \longrightarrow 01:17:43.528$ our own communities for us to experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8942185

01:17:43.528 --> 01:17:46.339 some of these same kinds of issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405134

 $01:17:49.720 \longrightarrow 01:17:50.890$ Alright, great.

01:17:54.570 --> 01:17:58.354 Another question. Which should

NOTE Confidence: 0.89664537

01:17:58.354 --> 01:18:00.796 give you some room to two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598668

 $01:18:02.930 \longrightarrow 01:18:05.720$ Two to move. What are your thoughts on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598668

01:18:05.720 --> 01:18:08.315 ethics of remote based global health work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:18:10.650 \longrightarrow 01:18:15.186$ So that that's an interesting question too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

01:18:15.190 --> 01:18:19.134 Yeah, the first thought that comes to mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

01:18:19.140 --> 01:18:22.598 of course, is that many remote technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:18:22.600 \longrightarrow 01:18:25.540$ AI mobile technologies and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:18:25.540 \longrightarrow 01:18:28.480$ on have have been justified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:18:28.480 \longrightarrow 01:18:31.540$ Buy the potential to leapfrog

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01{:}18{:}31.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}34.600$ things like the digital divide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:18:34.600 \longrightarrow 01:18:37.484$ Or leapfrog some of the challenges that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01{:}18{:}37.484 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}40.869$ we have in delivering global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:18:40.870 \longrightarrow 01:18:43.666$ Education, health, education and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:18:43.670 \longrightarrow 01:18:47.873$ But the question here is a little bit more.

 $01:18:47.880 \longrightarrow 01:18:52.060$ I think service directed. Anne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

01:18:52.060 --> 01:18:53.970 It's probably familiar in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:18:53.970 \longrightarrow 01:18:56.549$ mind is probably a case by case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:18:56.550 \longrightarrow 01:19:00.554$ Sort of. Analysis For that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:19:00.554 \longrightarrow 01:19:03.422$ Certainly some of the same principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01{:}19{:}03.422 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}06.258$ about making sure that the remote

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

01:19:06.258 --> 01:19:09.010 technology meets a community to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

01:19:09.010 --> 01:19:11.775 need are still going to apply right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01{:}19{:}11.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}14.216$ One of the challenges that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:19:14.216 \longrightarrow 01:19:16.868$ one of the ways that challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01{:}19{:}16.868 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}20.144$ in global health can be framed is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:19:20.144 \longrightarrow 01:19:22.999$ the idea that we're providing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:19:23.000 \longrightarrow 01:19:24.740$ A type of assistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

01:19:24.740 --> 01:19:26.480 Not because it's needed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80774856

 $01:19:26.480 \longrightarrow 01:19:28.226$ but because we can provide it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

 $01:19:30.330 \longrightarrow 01:19:33.309$ And I think that comes up in the remote

01:19:33.309 --> 01:19:35.384 global health context too, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

 $01{:}19{:}35.384 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}37.512$ I mean, is it that the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

01:19:37.512 --> 01:19:39.506 really needs remote phone based

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

01:19:39.506 --> 01:19:41.274 diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

 $01:19:41.280 \longrightarrow 01:19:43.471$ Or is it because we on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

01:19:43.471 --> 01:19:45.322 gadgets developed a really cool

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

01:19:45.322 --> 01:19:47.010 app for diabetic retinopathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

01:19:47.010 --> 01:19:49.310 and think they should have it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

01:19:49.310 --> 01:19:51.500 And that's what those are two?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

 $01:19:51.500 \longrightarrow 01:19:54.040$ Those look very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85379094

01:19:54.040 --> 01:19:56.580 Ethically great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77388334

 $01:19:59.110 \longrightarrow 01:20:00.786$ And here's a comment from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77388334

 $01{:}20{:}00.786 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}02.880$ Tom Duffy your description of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.807904681666667

 $01:20:02.950 \longrightarrow 01:20:05.617$ we should behave in these encounters travels

NOTE Confidence: 0.807904681666667

 $01:20:05.617 \longrightarrow 01:20:08.259$ in the territory of Virtue and Medicine.

01:20:08.260 --> 01:20:10.126 Prudence, Justice, Temperance

NOTE Confidence: 0.807904681666667

 $01:20:10.126 \longrightarrow 01:20:12.614$ and Fortitude are enchantment

NOTE Confidence: 0.807904681666667

 $01:20:12.614 \longrightarrow 01:20:15.474$ with principle based ethics moves

NOTE Confidence: 0.807904681666667

 $01:20:15.474 \longrightarrow 01:20:18.222$ such an ethical engagement to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807904681666667

 $01:20:18.222 \longrightarrow 01:20:21.089$ sidelines or even out of the picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807904681666667

01:20:21.090 --> 01:20:21.830 Could you come in?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

 $01:20:22.810 \longrightarrow 01:20:27.674$ I think that I appreciate the comment and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

01:20:27.680 --> 01:20:29.692 Yeah, maybe it wasn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

 $01{:}20{:}29.692 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}34.030$ Clear enough, but I I'm hoping to provide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

 $01:20:34.030 \longrightarrow 01:20:36.238$ Or at least explore a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

 $01:20:36.238 \longrightarrow 01:20:38.220$ of a corrective to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

01:20:38.220 --> 01:20:40.278 With that, that's where I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

01:20:40.278 --> 01:20:44.250 that some of these. Concepts of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

 $01{:}20{:}44.250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}45.663$ Introspection and humility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

 $01:20:45.663 \longrightarrow 01:20:48.018$ And in also in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989297

01:20:48.018 --> 01:20:50.260 article is social justice.

 $01:20:52.410 \longrightarrow 01:20:54.990$ Do you have a different?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:20:54.990 \longrightarrow 01:20:58.564$ A different tenor. And a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:20:58.564 \longrightarrow 01:21:02.110$ impact on the way we think about ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

01:21:02.110 --> 01:21:05.980 Um, and to me it just really is encapsulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:05.980 \longrightarrow 01:21:10.345$ in that distinction of the questions between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

01:21:10.350 --> 01:21:14.706 What is being done? Versus why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

01:21:14.710 --> 01:21:18.782 Or how? So I I agree with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01{:}21{:}18.782 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}22.410$ comment and I'm hoping to think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:22.410 \longrightarrow 01:21:24.086$ About how we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:24.086 \longrightarrow 01:21:27.630$ Together promote that kind of a corrective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01{:}21{:}27.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}30.710$ Certainly plenty of of critics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:30.710 \longrightarrow 01:21:33.790$ of the principles out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

01:21:33.790 --> 01:21:35.505 I know that the authors of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:35.505 \longrightarrow 01:21:36.833$ principles think that the principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:36.833 \longrightarrow 01:21:38.447$ capture a common morality and we

01:21:38.447 --> 01:21:39.901 could get into a philosophical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:39.901 \longrightarrow 01:21:41.341$ discussion about whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

01:21:41.341 --> 01:21:43.817 that you know is or is not the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:43.820 \longrightarrow 01:21:46.025$ but I think I think in the real world

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:46.025 \longrightarrow 01:21:48.145$ there should be space for these other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

01:21:48.150 --> 01:21:49.776 These other concepts and other principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

01:21:49.780 --> 01:21:50.864 so I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302508

 $01:21:50.864 \longrightarrow 01:21:52.219$ I agree with the comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9373139

 $01:21:53.610 \longrightarrow 01:21:54.060$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630987

01:21:56.530 --> 01:21:58.198 Given global travel restrictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630987

 $01{:}21{:}58.198 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}00.700$ many global health programs are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630987

 $01:22:00.758 \longrightarrow 01:22:02.648$ on standby or have been shut down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630987

 $01:22:02.650 \longrightarrow 01:22:05.530$ How and when do you think such programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630987

01:22:05.530 --> 01:22:08.410 can resume in a safe and acceptable way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630987

01:22:08.410 --> 01:22:10.699 And who will issue guidance on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630987

 $01:22:10.699 \longrightarrow 01:22:12.730$ counts as safe and acceptable?

 $01:22:13.790 \longrightarrow 01:22:16.230$ Yeah, this I probably in that as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:22:16.230 \longrightarrow 01:22:18.505$ factor them as a fact of the matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:22:18.510 \longrightarrow 01:22:20.550$ A lot of these programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:22:20.550 --> 01:22:22.795 That are institutionally based will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01{:}22{:}22.795 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}25.040$ be decided based on institutional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:22:25.040 \longrightarrow 01:22:26.366$ Policies and procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:22:26.366 \longrightarrow 01:22:28.576$ may be informed by you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:22:28.580 \longrightarrow 01:22:30.850$ Know national level CDC type

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01{:}22{:}30.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}33.440$ guidance around travel and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:22:33.440 --> 01:22:36.068 We certainly talk enough to people

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01{:}22{:}36.068 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}38.771$ in other countries where you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:22:38.771 --> 01:22:40.946 vaccines are just not happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:22:40.950 --> 01:22:43.602 You know, talk about a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:22:43.602 --> 01:22:44.928 ethics issue right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:22:44.930 \longrightarrow 01:22:47.576$ As much as we want vaccine.

01:22:47.580 --> 01:22:50.406 For the US, you know we could have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01{:}22{:}50.406 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:}> 01{:}22{:}53.139$ whole conversation about global vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:22:53.139 \longrightarrow 01:22:56.643$ distribution and the fairness or unfairness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:22:56.650 \longrightarrow 01:22:58.794$ Of the way the vaccines have been purchased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:22:58.800 \longrightarrow 01:23:00.130$ but certainly talking to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:23:00.130 \longrightarrow 01:23:01.760$ of the global partners we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:23:01.760 \longrightarrow 01:23:04.400$ there are just no vaccines there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:23:04.400 \longrightarrow 01:23:06.776$ But I suspect that would be a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01{:}23{:}06.776 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}08.724$ barrier and a question of fairness

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:23:08.724 --> 01:23:11.230 when it comes to things like vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:23:11.230 --> 01:23:13.285 passports and so on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:23:13.285 --> 01:23:14.518 institutions won't like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:23:14.520 \longrightarrow 01:23:16.435$ Travelers going to places where

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:23:16.435 --> 01:23:17.967 there's not been vaccinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:23:17.970 --> 01:23:20.268 I hesitate to make a prediction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:23:20.270 \longrightarrow 01:23:23.210$ but gosh, it sure seems like.

 $01:23:23.210 \longrightarrow 01:23:25.177$ Start imagine some of these programs being

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01{:}23{:}25.177 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}27.158$ back to normal in this calendar year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:23:27.160 \longrightarrow 01:23:28.852 \text{ I don't know whether other folks}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:23:28.852 \longrightarrow 01:23:31.580$ may think differently, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

 $01:23:31.580 \longrightarrow 01:23:35.972$ Be hard to imagine before the end of 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85988855

01:23:35.980 --> 01:23:36.450 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

 $01:23:36.450 \longrightarrow 01:23:39.747$ well let me ask one last question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

 $01:23:39.750 \longrightarrow 01:23:42.458$ You have indicated your

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

 $01:23:42.458 \longrightarrow 01:23:44.489$ ambivalence about whether.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

01:23:44.490 --> 01:23:45.590 Western ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

01:23:45.590 --> 01:23:48.890 or our exporting of our ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

 $01{:}23{:}48.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}51.276$ principles are Western ethics was

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

 $01{:}23{:}51.276 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}55.118$ a good idea is a good idea I I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

01:23:55.118 --> 01:23:57.513 learned from Michelle Berry many

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

01:23:57.513 --> 01:24:00.396 years ago that it's very different

01:24:00.396 --> 01:24:03.288 dealing with the community in Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

 $01:24:03.290 \longrightarrow 01:24:06.524$ for example, where there is that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

 $01:24:06.524 \longrightarrow 01:24:09.480$ is understood that the decisions will

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

01:24:09.480 --> 01:24:12.444 be made by the Community leaders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

 $01:24:12.450 \longrightarrow 01:24:14.170$ The Chieftains and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

01:24:14.170 --> 01:24:16.750 Those it's not only in Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

 $01:24:16.750 \longrightarrow 01:24:21.412$ but in been in a number of of societies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871664

01:24:21.420 --> 01:24:25.515 Is that how does one deal with all that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88872594

 $01:24:29.080 \longrightarrow 01:24:30.310$ Just to finish up on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75219715

 $01:24:31.640 \longrightarrow 01:24:33.480$ Just just a simple question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75219715

 $01:24:33.480 \longrightarrow 01:24:34.938$ If yes, yes, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9089496

 $01:24:37.650 \longrightarrow 01:24:41.370$ There is certainly is room for a correction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:24:43.460 \longrightarrow 01:24:46.308$ And I'll see why I maybe I wouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:24:46.308 \longrightarrow 01:24:49.395$ go out like we have to be mindful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:24:49.400 \longrightarrow 01:24:52.844$ I think of the potential to become.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:24:52.850 \longrightarrow 01:24:54.227$ Moral relativists right?

 $01:24:54.227 \longrightarrow 01:24:57.890$ Most of us don't especially like for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:24:57.890 \longrightarrow 01:25:00.842$ like part of my background and my PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

01:25:00.842 --> 01:25:03.850 training in philosophy was in human rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:03.850 \longrightarrow 01:25:05.794$ And so we'd like to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:05.794 \longrightarrow 01:25:07.090$ that it's some point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:07.090 \longrightarrow 01:25:10.506$ At some level there is a substantive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

01:25:10.510 --> 01:25:11.620 Moral principle right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:11.620 \longrightarrow 01:25:13.840$ And so we don't want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:13.840 \longrightarrow 01:25:16.060$ When we say we decolonize global

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:16.060 \longrightarrow 01:25:18.735$ health ethics, we maybe don't want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:18.735 \longrightarrow 01:25:22.710$ go so far as to become relativists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:22.710 \longrightarrow 01:25:25.326$ About it on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01{:}25{:}25.330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}27.647$ back to this notion of a corrective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:27.650 \longrightarrow 01:25:30.970$ It certainly seems to me like there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:30.970 \longrightarrow 01:25:34.418$ a there is room for correcting that.

01:25:34.420 --> 01:25:36.540 I'll say I've been sceptical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:36.540 \longrightarrow 01:25:37.266$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:37.266 \longrightarrow 01:25:40.170$ as a concrete example by the ways in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:40.248 \longrightarrow 01:25:43.412$ which we have tended to export the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

01:25:43.412 --> 01:25:45.440 Institutional Review Board process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

01:25:45.440 --> 01:25:48.331 and of course its reliance on Belmont

NOTE Confidence: 0.88286746

 $01:25:48.331 \longrightarrow 01:25:50.110$ principles for analyzing ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:25:52.210 \longrightarrow 01:25:53.338$ Even if we don't go so

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:25:53.338 \longrightarrow 01:25:54.740$ far as to be relativist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:25:54.740 \longrightarrow 01:25:56.858$ there would be much to gain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:25:56.860 \longrightarrow 01:26:00.190$ From learning about and understanding and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

01:26:00.190 --> 01:26:03.390 thinking through ethics problems differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:26:03.390 \longrightarrow 01:26:06.300$ Through lenses that are different than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01{:}26{:}06.300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}07.476$ The principles of bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:26:07.476 \longrightarrow 01:26:08.358$ or biomedical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:26:08.360 \longrightarrow 01:26:09.548$ As we've learned them,

 $01:26:09.548 \longrightarrow 01:26:12.519$ so I guess if I if I had to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

01:26:12.519 --> 01:26:14.053 my money down, so to speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:26:14.053 \longrightarrow 01:26:16.787$ I see it as that kind of a corrective as

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

01:26:16.787 --> 01:26:19.237 a new way of learning and understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

01:26:19.240 --> 01:26:21.060 only because in the back of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

01:26:21.060 --> 01:26:23.060 head is this human rights world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:26:23.060 \longrightarrow 01:26:25.418$ and there may be some substantive

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

01:26:25.418 --> 01:26:27.830 principles that are so important that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

 $01:26:27.830 \longrightarrow 01:26:30.504$ we would actually hold on to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

01:26:30.510 --> 01:26:32.950 And if I had a whole another hour and a half,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87801665

01:26:32.950 --> 01:26:34.276 I would actually answer your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890027

 $01:26:36.640 \longrightarrow 01:26:39.352$ Well, we will try to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890027

 $01{:}26{:}39.352 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}41.970$ you get another hour and a half

NOTE Confidence: 0.890027

 $01:26:41.970 \longrightarrow 01:26:45.089$ sometime in the not too distant future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890027

 $01:26:45.090 \longrightarrow 01:26:47.005$ Thank you for a superb

01:26:47.005 --> 01:26:48.537 presentation and talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890027

 $01:26:48.540 \longrightarrow 01:26:51.258$ So this is just been most

NOTE Confidence: 0.890027

 $01{:}26{:}51.258 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}53.070$ informative an most enjoyable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890027

 $01{:}26{:}53.070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}55.020$ Thank you again and take care

NOTE Confidence: 0.890027

 $01:26:55.020 \longrightarrow 01:26:57.650$ and we do want you to come back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8624559

 $01{:}26{:}59.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}03.916$ Thank you thank you alright bye bye.