WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:25:58.1680000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.390$ I. Good evening my friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:00:03.390 --> 00:00:05.864 Welcome, it says it's a special night

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:05.864 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.000$ for the Yale Pediatric Ethics program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.219$ We are hosting along with the yellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:10.219 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.610$ program for biomedical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:00:11.610 --> 00:00:12.922 A special session tonight

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:00:12.922 --> 00:00:14.234 with Doctor Rammers Kaiser.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.814$ My name is mark material and I am the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:00:16.814 --> 00:00:19.157 director of the Pediatric Ethics Program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.776$ an I welcome you on behalf of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.396$ as well as the program for biomedical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}00{:}24.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.384$ Are associates, directors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:00:25.384 --> 00:00:27.024 Jack Hughes and Serra Hall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.670$ and our manager, Karen Cove,

 $00:00:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.294$ who was sitting very still in the corner

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:31.294 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.968$ of frame of your of your zoom picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.650$ I. Of these these sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.673$ the way they go to remind you

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:38.673 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.438$ those of you who are new,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.337$ we will hear from our guest speaker

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:42.337 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.533$ for about 45 minutes, plus or minus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:44.533 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.988$ After her talk is over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}00{:}45.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.658$ I'd invite you to submit questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}00{:}47.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.736$ and will have what amounts to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:00:49.736 --> 00:00:51.246 conversation in the zoom aerials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.996$ Submit your questions in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}00{:}53.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.968$ I will take a look at those and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:54.968 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.862$ share those with amorous an also

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:00:56.862 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.542$ I'll be monitoring this session.

 $00:00:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.878$ I'll ask cameras and then she will respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.508$ Then we will have a bit of a conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.910$ That way there will be a hard stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.006$ At 6:30 I'm so I apologize if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:01:08.006 --> 00:01:10.009 don't get all your questions in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:01:10.010 --> 00:01:12.166 but I will stick to that agreement

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:01:12.166 --> 00:01:14.809 that we will end on time and that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:01:14.809 --> 00:01:16.825 may go very quickly 'cause I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:16.825 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.087$ it's going to be a very nice session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:01:19.087 --> 00:01:21.096 I want to introduce you an old

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}01{:}21.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.198$ friend of mine who is Doctor Amris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.868$ Kaiser Doctor Kaiser is an assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}01{:}24.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.319$ professor of Pediatrics in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:01:26.319 --> 00:01:27.754 division of Neonatology at Johns

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:01:27.754 --> 00:01:29.350 Hopkins University School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:29.350 \dashrightarrow 00:01:31.450$ She's also the medical director of the

 $00:01:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.238$ Neonatal Intensive Care Unit at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:33.238 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.912$ Bayview Medical Center at Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.096$ She received her undergraduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:36.096 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.860$ degree in the History of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.598$ At the University of Chicago and her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.544$ Anne and her medical degree from Mount Sinai.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:01:44.550 --> 00:01:46.022 She did pediatric residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:46.022 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.862$ at Vanderbilt and of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.715$ did a fellowship in neonatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}01{:}49.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.560$ per inatal medicine here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.405$ So this is her triumphant

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:53.405 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.250$ return to Yale well yell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}01{:}55.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.368$ She did some wonderful work over

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:01:57.368 --> 00:01:59.330 to school of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:01:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.200$ And in her research interests,

 $00:02:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.888$ which are her interests are in the perinatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:03.888 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.669$ Epidemiology and health disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.908$ where she works to elucidate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:07.908 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.400$ complex interactions between genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:02:09.400 --> 00:02:10.138 environmental context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:02:10.138 --> 00:02:12.352 maternal age and racial ethnic background

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:12.352 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.619$ that drive both outcomes and disparities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.895$ And so I've invited to amorous to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:16.895 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.926$ come here tonight and she kindly

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:18.926 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.912$ accepted to speak to what about

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}02{:}20.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.187$ those disparities in outcomes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:02:23.187 --> 00:02:25.063 maternal and neonatal patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:02:25.070 --> 00:02:27.646 And with that I want to turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}02{:}27.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.958$ the floor over to my friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:02:29.960 --> 00:02:30.815 From Johns Hopkins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:30.815 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.670$ and most importantly,

 $00:02:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.693$ this is the best part about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:33.693 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.652$ teaching that we do here and everywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:02:35.652 --> 00:02:37.860 is we get to see the students in

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.630$ the trainees that we work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00{:}02{:}39.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.360$ go off and do wonderful things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

00:02:41.360 --> 00:02:43.070 So we're very proud of Amerson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.870$ Very glad that she made time

NOTE Confidence: 0.900527834892273

 $00:02:44.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.070$ to speak with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.828510403633118

00:02:46.140 --> 00:02:47.911 for a bit. Deceiving Doctor Kaiser,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828510403633118

00:02:47.911 --> 00:02:49.710 please take it away. Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:02:49.710 --> 00:02:51.336 well Doctor Mario, thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:02:51.336 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.230$ much for such a warm welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}02{:}53.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.226$ Give me just a moment to share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}02{:}57.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.390$ Alright. So thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:03:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.960$ sending the opportunity for me to be

00:03:07.960 --> 00:03:10.186 here this evening and to talk with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}03{:}10.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.750$ folks a little bit about a topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:03:12.750 --> 00:03:15.252 that's near and dear to my heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:03:15.252 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.400$ which is disparities in maternal immune.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:03:17.400 --> 00:03:19.190 It'll outcomes by race, ethnicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:03:19.190 --> 00:03:21.815 and really trying to dig into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:03:21.820 --> 00:03:26.111 Whoops Hold on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:03:26.111 --> 00:03:30.666 I'm having some technical difficulties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:03:30.670 --> 00:03:37.582 There we go OK so just ship to frame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:03:37.590 --> 00:03:40.446 To frame our conversation this evening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}03{:}40.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.578$ I really thought about this more as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:03:43.578 --> 00:03:46.235 opportunity to provide some context and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:03:46.235 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.935$ background about kind of the general

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:03:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.338$ epidemiological trends in maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:03:51.338 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.248$ and neonatal adverse birth outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:03:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.922$ and with that context to try to engender

 $00:03:57.922 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.221$ a conversation as we move into thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:04:01.221 --> 00:04:04.095 about issues of disparities in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:04.095 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.539$ trends an in rates of things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:04:07.539 --> 00:04:09.366 maternal morbidity, maternal mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:04:09.366 --> 00:04:11.456 Preterm birth low birth weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:11.456 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.710$ and infant mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.906$ And so as a as I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:04:14.910 --> 00:04:16.278 I invite the conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:16.278 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.775$ but kind of is an overview and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}04{:}18.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.675$ preface to this conversation I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:20.675 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.590$ open with this statement that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.420$ compared to their white peers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}04{:}24.420 \to 00{:}04{:}26.250$ minority women, especially black women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.714$ by also including Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:04:27.714 --> 00:04:29.718 American Indian, an Alaskan native women,

 $00:04:29.718 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.165$ are two to three times more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}04{:}32.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.065$ to experience adverse maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:34.065 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.965$ and neonatal pregnancy outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717 00:04:35.970 --> 00:04:36.658 That is, NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:04:36.658 --> 00:04:39.066 there's a huge body of research that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:39.066 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.517$ has evolved and grown that demonstrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:04:41.517 --> 00:04:44.399 this time and time again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:04:44.400 --> 00:04:46.686 and it's a very interesting phenomenon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}04{:}46.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.896$ and we grapple with why that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.159$ So he in this talk I would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:52.159 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.502$ explore the literature that describes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:54.502 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.026$ epidemiological population level

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:56.026 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.506$ trends and disparities in maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:04:58.506 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.298$ morbidity and maternal mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:05:00.300 --> 00:05:01.900 Preterm birth, low birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}05{:}01.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.500$ weight and infant mortality.

 $00:05:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.540$ And then after we kind of lay the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}05{:}06.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.698$ groundwork with that for context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}05{:}08.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.004$ I want to talk a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:11.004 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.330$ about the hypothesis that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.425$ developed to begin to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:05:15.425 --> 00:05:17.865 why disparities by race ethnicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:05:17.865 --> 00:05:20.305 in these different outcomes exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.870$ And persist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.360$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.778$ so we're going to start out with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}05{:}26.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.242$ some contemporary statistics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.603$ We're going to start in the maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:31.603 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.577$ realm and then move into the infant room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.168$ Kind of follow it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:38.168 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.109$ Follow that process.

00:05:40.110 --> 00:05:42.948 So in terms of maternal mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}05{:}42.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.788$ so it is a devastating occurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:05:45.790 --> 00:05:48.150 It is a devastating outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.380$ an extremely upsetting and problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.350$ for all those who were involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717 00:05:53.350 --> 00:05:53.830 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:05:53.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.710$ it's important to think about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}05{:}56.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.595$ and contextualize it in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00{:}05{:}59.595 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}06{:}01.870$ its actual incidence and frequency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.748$ and thankfully it's a relatively rare event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717 00:06:05.750 --> 00:06:06.488 In 2018, NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:06.488 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.702$ the most recent year in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:08.702 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.469$ National Statistics were available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.430$ it was documented that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.998$ were 658 maternal deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.970$ which is concerning is upsetting.

 $00:06:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.106$ But that's also in the context of almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:19.106 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.857$ 3.8 million births in that same year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:06:21.860 --> 00:06:24.218 So again, the incidents is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717 00:06:24.220 --> 00:06:25.008 very low. NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

00:06:25.008 --> 00:06:26.190 It's high acuity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.319$ and there's a lot of implications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:29.319 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.339$ for the occurrence of a maternal death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717 00:06:32.340 --> 00:06:33.034 So then, NOTE Confidence: 0.83200991153717

 $00:06:33.034 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.116$ if the incidents is relatively low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:06:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.332$ why are we so compelled to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:06:37.332 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.842$ dig into it and figure out what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:06:39.842 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.074$ going on and what's driving it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}06{:}42.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.752$ Well, I think that there are a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:06:44.752 --> 00:06:46.960 of factors that that drive that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:06:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.934$ and one of the biggest factors is

 $00:06:48.934 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.543$ that rates of maternal mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:06:50.543 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.631$ in the United States are higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:06:52.631 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.959$ than in other developed countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:06:54.960 --> 00:06:56.336 Specifically, our return mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:06:56.336 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.400$ rate is 17.4 maternal deaths per

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:06:58.453 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.180$ 100,000 live births, and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.630$ that's the most recent statistics that were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.839$ Released in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}07{:}03.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{:}07{:}540$ But when you compare that rate to our peers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.580$ were doing a lot worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}07{:}09.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.040$ so we're anywhere from you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.896 \ 1 \ 1/2$ to five to six times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.140$ It's happening more frequently in

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:17.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.219$ the United States than it is in use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.856$ Other developed countries that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:21.856 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.310$ we consider to be our peers.

00:07:24.310 --> 00:07:27.166 And so thinking about that in looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:27.166 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.438$ at that, we know we can do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.359$ We rank 47 out of 184 countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.550$ At The Who derived internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:07:35.550 --> 00:07:37.740 mortality statistics back in 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.240$ and we have so much space to

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.959$ improve and we know we can do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.395$ The second consideration is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:07:47.395 --> 00:07:49.830 the rates and maternal mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.270$ in the United States appear to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.167$ increasing while concomitantly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:07:55.167 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.841$ rates in some of our peer countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}07{:}57.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.118$ have fallen and continue to fall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.899$ Here is a graph that just demonstrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:08:04.899 --> 00:08:07.289 overtime the maternal mortality ratios,

00:08:07.290 --> 00:08:10.258 and you can see that they certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}08{:}10.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.654$ do begin to rise in the early 2000s

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:13.654 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.769$ and continue to do so even today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.213$ I say that they appear to rise

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:19.213 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.478$ because there is some question as

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}08{:}21.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.131$ to whether or not this increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.020$ maternal mortality is driven more

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}08{:}27.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.268$ by data collection considerations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.315$ Back in 2003, there was consensus statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.456$ Look forward to change the death

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:34.456 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.896$ certificate in the way that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:36.896 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.788$ collected information about maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:38.788 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.117$ mortality to standardize the process

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:08:41.117 --> 00:08:43.337 and make the data more accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.821$ Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:43.821 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.707$ because that was rolled out and

00:08:46.707 --> 00:08:48.863 implemented across all 50 states

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:08:48.863 --> 00:08:51.089 over a span of almost 15 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.540$ there was a lot of variability in

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.502$ how the data was collected and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:56.502 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.244$ veracity of the data was questionable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:08:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.722$ So much so that the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:09:01.722 --> 00:09:03.370 Center for Health Statistics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}09{:}03.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.532$ I just stop calculating their maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}09{:}06.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09.993$ mortality statistics for a period of almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:09.993 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.759$ 10 years because of the issues with the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834 00:09:13.760 --> 00:09:14.412 So again, NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:14.412 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.716$ we see this statistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.360$ We want to address it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.736$ but there is a little bit of uncertainty

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:19.736 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.728$ as to whether or not maternal mortality

00:09:22.728 --> 00:09:24.592 ratios are actually increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}09{:}24.600 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.252$ But I would argue that most

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:27.252 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.020$ concerningly about our maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:29.102 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.754$ mortality rate is that when maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:09:31.754 --> 00:09:34.309 deaths are reviewed by external

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:09:34.309 --> 00:09:37.129 maternity mortality review committees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.630$ nearly 2/3 of those committees

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.630$ deemed the deaths preventable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.636$ and so if that's the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.488$ we have no excuse to not address this

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00{:}09{:}48.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52.637$ head on and to really find our way

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:52.637 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.189$ in helping to improve the lives of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.900$ Mothers and infants and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:09:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.526$ those around them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:10:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.805$ I also just want to make note

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

 $00:10:02.805 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.079$ as you may have suspected,

 $00:10:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.970$ that in terms of maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.837044298648834

00:10:06.970 --> 00:10:08.482 mortality rates and statistics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:10:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.136$ there are definitely wide racial ethnic gaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:10:11.140 --> 00:10:13.320 Unfortunately, minority women and black

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:10:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.514$ women are non Hispanic black women as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:10:16.514 --> 00:10:18.712 refer to them from this point forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:10:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.885$ having incredible burden placed upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}10{:}20.885 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.233$ them wherein they are up to three times

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:10:24.233 --> 00:10:26.816 as likely to die from privacy related

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}10{:}26.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.444$ cause as white women are and I've put

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:10:29.444 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.650$ some of the statistics down there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:10:31.650 --> 00:10:33.870 For you, this is very worrisome

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}10{:}33.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36.640$ that there is such a huge divide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}10{:}36.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.020$ and even though this is an event

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:10:39.020 --> 00:10:40.840 that doesn't occur frequently,

 $00:10:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.745$ the burden is really shouldered

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:10:42.745 --> 00:10:43.888 by certain groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:10:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.234$ and we owe it to them to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:10:46.234 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.425$ out what's driving the disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}10{:}48.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.975$ and to create interventions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:10:50.975 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.050$ are specifically tailored for them

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:10:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.732$ so that we can begin to close that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:10:55.732 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.406$ gap and assure good health for all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}10{:}58.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.606$ I think it's interesting to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:00.606 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.680$ also kind of in Devane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}11{:}02.680 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}11{:}05.235$ Differences by race in maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:11:05.235 --> 00:11:08.827 mortality that the top causes of death

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}11{:}08.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.659$ are not consistent across all women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.200$ Mini stratified by race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.510$ We find that non Hispanic black

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}11{:}15.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.140$ women that the top causes of death

00:11:18.140 --> 00:11:20.626 amongst that group is cardiomyopathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:20.626 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.609$ and cardiovascular conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:11:22.610 --> 00:11:25.238 However, among non Hispanic white women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:11:25.240 --> 00:11:27.228 mental health conditions account

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}11{:}27.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.790$ for the number one cause of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.566$ And I will take this moment to mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:33.566 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.845$ that this is such an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:35.845 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.101$ topic to study and to research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}11{:}38.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.798$ but it can be very challenging because

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}11{:}40.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.337$ the incidents is so low and because

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:43.337 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.800$ of that the sample sizes are small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.355$ it can be very difficult to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612 00:11:48.360 --> 00:11:48.703 Further, NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:48.703 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.418$ stratify your analysis to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:50.418 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.750$ what's going on within individual groups.

 $00:11:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.305$ We see a perfect example of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:11:55.310 --> 00:11:55.676 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:11:55.676 --> 00:11:57.872 right here in that Hispanic women

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:57.872 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.340$ there is insufficient data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:11:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.316$ The numbers are too small for us to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}12{:}02.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.777$ Figure out with the top cause of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:04.777 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.329$ death for that an ethnic group is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}12{:}07.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.266$ Then I also want to mention that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:10.266 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.426$ leading causes of death we talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:12:12.426 --> 00:12:14.890 about top causes of death by race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.586$ but top causes of death also vary by

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:17.586 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.290$ the time at which the death occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.551$ So for mothers who passed away within

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:22.551 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.969$ the 1st two weeks after delivery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.130$ the top causes of death are

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:12:27.130 --> 00:12:27.850 postpartum hemorrhage.

 $00:12:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.963$ Hypertension in sepsis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612 00:12:28.963 --> 00:12:29.334 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:29.334 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.330$ for mothers who are able to make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.738$ through that immediate postpartum

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:33.738 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.896$ period go on to be discharged

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:35.896 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.936$ from the hospital and go home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.439$ The top cause of death among women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}12{:}40.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.939$ Seven days to one year after deliveries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}12{:}42.940 \to 00{:}12{:}44.782$ Cardiomyopathy and I just bring that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:44.782 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.178$ up to kind of further reinforce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:47.178 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.754$ idea that we need to understand what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:12:49.823 --> 00:12:52.217 going on with in different populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}12{:}52.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.523$ so that we can make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:54.523 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.952$ our screening counseling an

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:12:55.952 --> 00:12:57.464 interventions are really tailored

 $00:12:57.464 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.720$ and so in this particular case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:12:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.470$ to make sure that clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:13:01.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.870$ and other medical providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:13:02.870 --> 00:13:04.719 know what they're looking for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:13:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.412$ what their counseling mothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:13:06.412 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.950$ about to make sure that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}13{:}09.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.686$ interventions are the most effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:13:11.690 --> 00:13:15.138 So you talked a bit about maternal mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

00:13:15.140 --> 00:13:18.157 Now we're going to move into morbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00:13:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.405$ So the concept of severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.850371897220612

 $00{:}13{:}20.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.650$ maternal morbidity as an adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00{:}13{:}22.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.293$ pregnancy outcome is defined as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:13:25.293 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.072$ woman who receives a life threatening

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

00:13:28.072 --> 00:13:31.054 diagnosis or she needs to undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

00:13:31.054 --> 00:13:32.982 a lifesaving procedure during

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

00:13:32.982 --> 00:13:34.846 the delivery hospitalization in

00:13:34.846 --> 00:13:37.685 order to kind of standardized what

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

00:13:37.685 --> 00:13:40.373 that means a little bit further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:13:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.956$ the CDC has curated an maintains a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

00:13:42.956 --> 00:13:46.380 list now of 21 identifiers of severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:13:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.740$ maternal morbidity with associated ICD codes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:13:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.098$ So that it's a very easy to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:13:52.098 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.585$ what they are, and that there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00{:}13{:}54.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.200$ more standardization within the research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:13:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.272$ Severe maternal morbidity affects more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

00:13:59.272 --> 00:14:03.060 60,000 lumen per year in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:14:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.832$ and it's really kind of a warning

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

00:14:05.832 --> 00:14:09.002 sign prior to women have experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00{:}14{:}09.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10.898$ a maternal mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429 00:14:10.900 --> 00:14:11.808 So really, NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

00:14:11.808 --> 00:14:14.532 really important to kind of think

 $00:14:14.532 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.758$ about on that continuum of illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00{:}14{:}17.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.915$ It is associated with significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:14:20.915 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.808$ disability on cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:14:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.402$ Just to give you a sense of what kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:14:25.402 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.529$ of medical conditions and procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

00:14:27.529 --> 00:14:30.960 are used to indicate a severe morbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:14:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.608$ I've listed them here for you and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:14:33.608 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.543$ completely agree when when patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:14:35.543 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.548$ experience these conditions or have

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00:14:37.548 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.269$ need for these kinds of interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00{:}14{:}40.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.592$ the acuity and severity of illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.866304159164429

 $00{:}14{:}42.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.753$ is quite high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:14:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.718$ So just to talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:14:48.718 --> 00:14:50.407 about the general Epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:14:50.407 --> 00:14:52.847 of severe maternal morbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:14:52.850 --> 00:14:54.982 Unfortunately for all women,

 $00:14:54.982 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.658$ rates of severe morbidity are increasing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:14:58.658 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.818$ spend a little bit challenging to kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.935$ Cobble together and arrive population

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:03.935 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.854$ level estimates because there are so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:06.854 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.086$ kind of different fragmented data sources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.596$ but there are a couple of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:11.596 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.123$ that have come out that use

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:15:14.123 --> 00:15:15.955 the national inpatient sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.440$ which is a large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.660$ impatient database managed by the Agency

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:15:19.724 --> 00:15:22.019 for Healthcare Quality and Research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.519$ which its function is to serve as

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}15{:}24.519 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}15{:}26.541$ a representative sample of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}15{:}26.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.317$ population so they purposefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:15:28.317 --> 00:15:30.093 sample from different community.

 $00:15:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.928$ An urban hospitals across the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}15{:}32.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.171$ So that researchers are then able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:36.171 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.475$ calculate and extrapolate from that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:38.475 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.983$ smaller sample size to the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:40.983 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.439$ level to derive population level estimates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.036$ So from this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:46.036 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.430$ it was determined that the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:48.508 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.353$ rate of severe maternal morbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:51.353 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.629$ during a delivery hospitalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:53.629 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.056$ increased almost 200% over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:56.056 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.954$ time period from 1993 to 2014.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:15:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.218$ There is a complementary study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}16{:}02.218 \to 00{:}16{:}05.788$ was conducted by Callahan ET al that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:05.788 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.404$ found similar increases over a 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:08.404 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.602$ year period of 75% in the incidents

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:11.602 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.122$ of severe maternal morbidity's most

 $00:16:14.122 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.909$ frequent morbidity was a blood transfusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:16:16.910 --> 00:16:19.240 And it's really remarkable how

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.570$ much they increased it increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:21.644 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.500$ by almost 400% of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.960$ Really drove a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:16:25.960 --> 00:16:28.010 statistics that you're seeing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:16:28.010 --> 00:16:31.062 but it's also noteworthy to mention that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:31.062 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.780$ there were multiple other severe morbidities

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.226$ that increased by at least 75% over this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:37.226 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.942$ Same over that 10 year time period

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:16:39.942 --> 00:16:42.657 that included acute renal failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:16:42.660 --> 00:16:43.992 shock from botic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:16:43.992 --> 00:16:44.880 pulmonary embolisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}16{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.655$ AR DSQMI aneurysms in cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:47.655 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.320$ and pericardial surgeries.

 $00:16:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.630$ And then as severe morbidities as

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}16{:}51.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.318$ kind of harbingers or risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:54.318 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.013$ for eventual mortality for delivery

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:57.013 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.190$ hospitalizations where there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:16:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.130$ a severe complication that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:17:02.130 --> 00:17:04.075 hospital proportionate mortality ranged

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}17{:}04.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.875$ from not so much to upwards of 33%

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.575$ depending on the specific morbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}17{:}10.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.770$ that the mother was diagnosed with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:17:13.770 --> 00:17:16.461 So if we've gotten a little bit of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}17{:}16.461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.919$ lay of the land about how severe morbid

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:17:19.919 --> 00:17:21.960 morbidities are increasing overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:17:21.960 --> 00:17:23.520 many different factors could

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.080$ be contributing to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:17:25.080 --> 00:17:26.640 But it's very concerning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.980$ and certainly worthy of our attention.

 $00:17:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.017$ And now we're going to turn our

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}17{:}31.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.113$ attention a little bit to disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:33.113 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.423$ in the incidence of severe maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:35.423 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.585$ morbidity's during the delivery

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:37.585 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.729$ hospitalization specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.432$ So there's a very elegant study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:41.432 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.600$ was done in 2018 by M on colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.950$ That use the same national

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}17{:}46.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.300$ in patient sample but a smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.401$ Time period from 2012 2015 to specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:52.401 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.838$ generate population based estimates of #1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:17:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.930$ The prevalence of chronic physical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00{:}17{:}57.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.368$ behavioral health conditions among women

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:18:00.368 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.792$ who come in to deliver an and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:18:02.792 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.392$ to the incidence of severe maternal

 $00:18:05.392 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.002$ morbidity among those women as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:18:08.002 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.294$ This is really to understand how

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:18:10.294 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.309$ the extent of the problem of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:18:13.309 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.549$ issue and then Furthermore they

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:18:15.549 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.839$ stratified their estimates by race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:18:17.840 --> 00:18:19.990 ethnicity and group folks into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:18:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.510$ Five or six different categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

 $00:18:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.350$ for the purposes of this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859401047229767

00:18:23.350 --> 00:18:25.478 I will focus mostly on non Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:18:25.480 --> 00:18:28.186 white and non Hispanic black women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}18{:}28.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30.806$ So they hypothesize that the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:18:30.806 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.005$ of comorbid chronic conditions would

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:18:33.005 --> 00:18:34.925 be higher among minority women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:18:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.870$ and they found that to be true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:18:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.975$ and it was especially true

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:18:39.975 --> 00:18:42.080 for non Hispanic black women.

 $00:18:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.750$ They also hypothesize that the incidents

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:18:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.976$ of maternal severe morbidity would

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:18:46.976 --> 00:18:49.220 be higher among minority women women

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:18:49.220 --> 00:18:51.769 compared to non Hispanic white woman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:18:51.770 --> 00:18:53.862 an more pronounced among

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:18:53.862 --> 00:18:55.954 non Hispanic black women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:18:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.180$ So they did many fancy analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:18:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.550$ with lots of fancy statistics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.718$ but at the end of the day they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:03.718 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.073$ confirmed both of their hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}19{:}06.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.468$ and found that minority women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.806$ and specifically not has been in black women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.306$ have a higher burden of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.655$ as evidenced through higher prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:19:16.655 --> 00:19:19.000 of chronic conditions and higher

 $00:19:19.071 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.645$ incidence of maternal severe market is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}19{:}21.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.575$ And what's really interesting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:23.575 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.606$ they went on to do some additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:19:26.606 --> 00:19:29.171 calculations to figure out basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:29.171 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.230$ that the excess burden if you will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.384$ So if all women experience the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:19:34.384 --> 00:19:36.483 same rates of severe morbidities

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:19:36.483 --> 00:19:38.847 and chronic health conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.941$ then we would see a 28% reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:41.941 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.705$ in severe morbidities among

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}19{:}43.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.910$ racial and ethnic minority women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.794$ and that reduction would be even more

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:48.794 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.660$ pronounced for non Hispanic black women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:19:51.660 --> 00:19:55.898 As we've been talking about South 28% at 41%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:19:55.898 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.321$ and in addition for all women they

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:19:59.321 --> 00:20:02.036 would see about a 15% reduction

00:20:02.036 --> 00:20:04.380 in severe maternal morbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.272$ So it's it reinforces that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:07.272 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.200$ is a disparity there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:20:09.200 --> 00:20:12.084 but it's also motivating to see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:12.084 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.424$ much of a difference in how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:15.424 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.442$ of an impact working to mitigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.468$ disparity specifically can have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.964$ Suggested the summary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:22.964 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.952$ Overall trends in maternal morbidity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:25.952 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.840$ mortality are concerning on multiple levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}20{:}28.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.654$ One of the biggest challenges is

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:31.654 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.552$ assuring the quality of data that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}20{:}34.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.897$ being collected and towards that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:36.897 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.314$ end the CDC and other government

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:40.314 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.240$ institutions have really.

 $00:20:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.574$ Made a point of developing different

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:44.574 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.520$ programs to address that need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:20:46.520 --> 00:20:49.229 because if the quality of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:49.229 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.833$ is not sufficient then we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:51.833 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.103$ going to have accurate understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}20{:}54.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.510$ of what's going on around us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}20{:}56.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.246$ I think it also shows us that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:20:59.246 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.760$ is a need for immediate intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:21:02.760 --> 00:21:04.560 With respect to maternal mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.720$ because of the high percentage of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}21{:}06.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.520$ preventable deaths, if these desperate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:21:08.520 --> 00:21:09.240 deemed, preventable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.120$ then there should be no reason why we

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.637$ can't figure out how to prevent them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.895$ So that seems like relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:16.895 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.248$ low hanging fruit.

 $00:21:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.406$ And then I would argue that further

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:20.406 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.820$ because of the persistent disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.424$ there is a need for the interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:21:25.424 --> 00:21:27.403 to decrease mortality and morbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:27.403 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.388$ to specifically be geared towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:29.388 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.730$ black and other minority women to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.890$ make sure that we optimize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.393$ efficacy of the interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}21{:}35.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.679$ and in so doing mitigate disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.728$ we want to have good health for all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}21{:}40.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.010$ And this is 1 Avenue of doing so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:21:45.010 --> 00:21:46.825 So we've talked again about

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00{:}21{:}46.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.640$ mothers and their adverse outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:21:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.416$ and now we're going to move into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:21:51.416 --> 00:21:53.968 infant realm and talk about birth

 $00:21:53.968 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.644$ outcomes and trans in statistics overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:21:56.650 --> 00:21:58.799 So because we're going to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

00:21:58.799 --> 00:22:00.689 about this for a little while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:22:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.538$ wanted to run through a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.870102167129517

 $00:22:02.538 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.770$ of definitions as in

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:22:03.834 --> 00:22:05.018 unitologist pre term birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:05.018 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.220$ is near and dear to my heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.565$ Makes up a large contingent of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}22{:}09.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.270$ patient population that I care for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:22:11.270 --> 00:22:13.382 This refers to infants who are born at

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}22{:}13.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.375$ less than 3637 weeks gestation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:15.375 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.487$ the normal gestation lasting 40 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.308$ Low birth weight infants are those

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}22{:}19.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.219$ born at less than 2500 grams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:22:21.220 --> 00:22:23.374 which is about 5 pounds, 8 ounces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:23.374 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.790$ and the infant mortality is the number of

00:22:25.852 --> 00:22:28.460 infant deaths per live per 1000 live births.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.728$ And those deaths occur prior to

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:30.728 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.240$ the infant's first birthday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.656$ So prior to 365 days of age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.862$ So you've got all these definitions

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:37.862 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.980$ and think about birth outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:22:39.980 --> 00:22:43.124 What's the big deal about pre term birth?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.021$ Well, I think that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:46.021 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.239$ kind of contextualize it as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}22{:}48.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.000$ Pre term birth is the number one cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:22:51.000 --> 00:22:53.738 of infant mortality as it accounts for

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:22:53.738 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.212$ about 1/3 of infant deaths annually

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}22{:}56.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58.332$ while low birth weight doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}22{:}58.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.422$ have quite the same contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:23:00.422 --> 00:23:02.774 to our impact on infant mortality,

 $00:23:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.162$ it still is a significant risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:23:05.162 --> 00:23:06.750 factor for infant mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.174$ An an importantly survivors of preterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:09.174 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.597$ birth and low birth weight are at risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:23:12.597 --> 00:23:14.762 for complications in early childhood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262 00:23:14.770 --> 00:23:15.191 adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:15.191 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.138$ and offload all throughout the life course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.654$ So it's very exciting to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}23{:}21.660 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}23{:}23.820$ Decreasing rates of pre term

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.980$ birth to mitigating disparities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}23{:}26.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.456$ preterm birth and low birth weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:23:28.460 --> 00:23:30.836 Because in so doing will directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:30.836 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.560$ drive down your infant mortality rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.876$ But Furthermore you are working towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:35.876 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.425$ improving the health of individuals not

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:38.425 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.785$ just during the birth hospitalization,

00:23:40.790 --> 00:23:43.340 not just during infancy and childhood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.460$ but across the entire life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:23:45.460 --> 00:23:48.355 Course is very very important pursuit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:23:48.355 --> 00:23:51.832 Just a little bit of the Epidemiology of pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:51.832 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.745$ term birth over the last couple of decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.566$ so we've made strides chipped away at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.330$ But starting in the 1990s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:23:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.442$ they rated pre term birth began to slowly

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:02.442 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.015$ rise and it did so for about 16 or 17 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.042$ We saw a 20% rise over

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:08.042 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.890$ that time point in 2006.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.711$ It peaked and then from that point

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}24{:}12.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.460$ forward began to decline and is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.960$ over the next eight years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.056$ It's worth mentioning that it's a

00:24:21.056 --> 00:24:23.556 pretty significant decrease in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:23.556 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.332$ rate of pre term birth that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:24:26.332 --> 00:24:29.180 just around 2006 and that was driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.994$ in very large part by a concentrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:31.994 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.984$ effort within the acceptable community

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:24:33.984 --> 00:24:36.213 to change their practices regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:36.213 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.949$ elective Caesarean sections prior to term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262 00:24:38.950 --> 00:24:39.375 Previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:24:39.375 --> 00:24:41.925 the kind of prevailing thought and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:41.925 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.851$ feeling had been all the baby at 35 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}24{:}44.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.088$ 36 weeks is close enough to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:47.088 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.685$ if we need to schedule a C-section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.480$ An non medically indicated see

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.270$ section A little bit early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.286$ It will be fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:24:54.286 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.297$ The baby will be fine but not

 $00:24:56.297 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.969$ completely recognizing that although

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}24{:}57.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.515$ the chances of survival were excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

00:25:00.515 --> 00:25:02.843 at that gestation elhage that pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:25:02.843 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.982$ maturity brings with it its own

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:25:04.982 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.926$ set of morbidities and that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:25:06.926 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.439$ not something to be taken lightly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:25:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.692$ That intervention and practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00{:}25{:}10.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.944$ change was very successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:25:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.008$ You can see that reflected on

NOTE Confidence: 0.841591954231262

 $00:25:14.008 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.380$ a national level by

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.180$ decreases in the rate of preterm birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:18.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.735$ We have had success for a time for time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}25{:}21.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.908$ but since 2014 you can see at the rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}25{:}24.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.921$ is slowly starting to rise and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:27.921 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.270$ done so over these past six years and

 $00:25:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.968$ we're now back to pre 2010 levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:33.968 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.614$ So at its peak around 2006 2007

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:36.614 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.508$ pre term birth rate was around 12%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.275$ We driven it down to around 9,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.315$ maybe slightly below that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:25:44.315 --> 00:25:47.029 now we're kind of coming back up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.770$ 2 into the time range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.710$ And then we start to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}25{:}53.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.315$ disparities in rates of pre term

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:25:56.315 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.835$ birth so that there certainly are

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:25:58.835 --> 00:26:01.205 African American women have 1 1/2

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:26:01.205 --> 00:26:03.890 to two times the risk of preterm

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.760$ birth as do non Hispanic white women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.220$ Even when you adjust for multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.040$ socio environmental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.300$ Another confounding factors.

 $00:26:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.812$ So part so big part over 2 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:26:14.812 --> 00:26:17.257 you're trying to understand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}26{:}17.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.600$ drives these disparities and how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.358$ How do how does the magnitude of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:22.358 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.240$ those disparities change overtime?

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.826$ So this graph demonstrates over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:26.826 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.199$ same time period as we look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:30.199 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.100$ trends and overall rates of preterm birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:26:33.100 --> 00:26:35.175 Looking at the difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}26{:}35.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.742$ rates in non Hispanic black and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:37.742 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.430$ non Hispanic white infants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:26:39.430 --> 00:26:40.222 So similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}26{:}40.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42.202$ so thankfully the risk difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:42.202 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.110$ has been slowly declining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.050$ Since about 2006 and it looks like

00:26:47.050 --> 00:26:50.273 there's actually been a very nice steady

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:50.273 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.105$ downward trajectory of that risk difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.889$ so that gap was bit by bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:55.889 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.080$ coming closer was narrowing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:26:58.080 --> 00:26:59.920 But unfortunately it started

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:26:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.220$ to rise in about 2013.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.419$ This is kind of concomitant with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:05.419 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.118$ overall trend in uptick of pre term births.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.800$ An there is difference has now surpassed 5%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:27:12.800 --> 00:27:16.232 which is above where it was kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:27:16.232 --> 00:27:19.239 during the height of its decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977 00:27:19.240 --> 00:27:20.413 If you will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:20.413 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.759$ So that is something concerning an

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:22.759 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.759$ warrants further investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.550$ Overtime to make sure that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.945$ trend doesn't continue.

 $00:27:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.412$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}27{:}29.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32.184$ so you've talked about pre term

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:32.184 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.224$ birth and we're going to transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:35.224 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.296$ to low birth weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.213$ So the trends that kind of overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:27:41.213 --> 00:27:43.993 epidemiological trends in low birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:27:43.993 --> 00:27:46.508 weight has been somewhat similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:27:46.508 --> 00:27:49.678 to pre term birth in that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:49.678 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.521$ rose for a period of 15 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:52.521 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.449$ give or take an again by that 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:56.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.960$ mark they peaked around 2006.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:27:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.390$ Plateaued and then began to

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}28{:}02.390 --> 00{:}28{:}05.820 \ decrease \ an \ natured \ in \ 2012.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:28:05.820 --> 00:28:08.040 But I think the biggest thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.940$ to note is that the.

 $00:28:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.940$ The the magnitude of that change is much

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}28{:}13.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.866$ smaller than what we saw in pre term birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:28:17.870 --> 00:28:20.918 However, similarly to pre term birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.782$ we are now seeing that rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:23.782 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.510$ of low birth weight rising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.681$ It's risen 4% in the last seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:29.681 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.110$ years and is currently at 8.28%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.350$ which is higher than it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:28:36.350 --> 00:28:38.910 At its peak in 2006,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.850$ before falling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977 00:28:39.850 --> 00:28:40.790 So again, NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.610$ something that we need to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:43.695 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.271$ to follow and see how this evolves

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:46.271 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.029$ and see what that tells us about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:28:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.568$ The nature of drivers of disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

00:28:51.570 --> 00:28:53.880 I will also mention on that you

 $00:28:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.766$ can you can see that the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}28{:}56.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.031$ difference between non Hispanic white

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00{:}28{:}59.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.914$ and non Hispanic black low birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.834864795207977

 $00:29:01.914 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.259$ weight rates is fairly constant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:29:04.260 --> 00:29:07.636 Starks to kind of narrow a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:29:07.640 --> 00:29:12.216 but we're starting to see widening that risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:12.216 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.219$ difference in these in the last few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}29{:}16.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.988$ This is kind of a recapitulation of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:29:19.990 --> 00:29:22.104 OK, so we talk through kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:22.104 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.689$ trends in preterm birth and low birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:29:24.689 --> 00:29:26.301 weight Epidemiology that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}29{:}26.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.932$ not exactly the same similar to one

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}29{:}28.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.692$ another in their overall trends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}29{:}30.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.485$ Over time, the infant mortality has

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:32.485 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.270$ followed quite a different trend.

 $00:29:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.502$ First and foremost is worthwhile to

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:29:36.502 --> 00:29:39.037 note that the amount of data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:39.037 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.987$ the timeline over which that data

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:40.987 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.542$ was collected is a lot more robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:43.542 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.694$ and extensive than what we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:29:45.694 --> 00:29:47.479 especially your pre term birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.280$ because the statistics have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.720$ captured for so long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.149$ So we have good statistics starting at

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:53.149 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.879$ the turn of the 20th century actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}29{:}55.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.297$ show the rates for non Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:29:58.300 --> 00:29:59.712 non Hispanic black infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:29:59.712 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.347$ and so that we can get a great sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:03.347 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.001$ what the trends have done overtime and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:06.001 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.906$ what the risk difference has been overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.840$ So the overall happy story.

00:30:10.840 --> 00:30:13.688 Over the entire course of the 20th century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.625$ we see a continual decline

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:30:15.625 --> 00:30:17.560 in infant mortality rate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:17.636 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.676$ both black and white infants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246 00:30:19.680 --> 00:30:20.225 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:20.225 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.040$ what is striking is that the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.037$ difference really didn't budg with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:30:27.037 --> 00:30:30.580 entire first half of the 20th century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.694$ and it may have widened at

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:33.694 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.770$ subsequent to that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.948$ so there is 2 fold greater infant

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:38.948 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.623$ mortality rate for black newborns

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}30{:}41.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.067$ compared to white newborns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.154$ and it has really maintained that

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:30:47.154 --> 00:30:50.329 magnitude of difference if not widened.

 $00:30:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.305$ Despite advances in the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}30{:}52.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.280$ and prevention of disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:30:54.347 --> 00:30:56.311 advances in sanitation, housing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:30:56.311 --> 00:30:57.874 public health interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:30:57.874 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.007$ and that trend continues even to the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:02.007 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.797$ recent statistics published in like 2017.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:31:04.800 --> 00:31:06.063 So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:31:06.063 --> 00:31:08.589 thinking about trends in birth outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:31:08.590 --> 00:31:11.116 unadjusted trends in pre term birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:31:11.120 --> 00:31:12.380 low birth weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}31{:}12.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.900$ an infant mortality rate are similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.584$ but they're not interchangeable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:16.584 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.847$ As we discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}31{:}17.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.960$ I think really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.180$ what what always strikes me is

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}31{:}21.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23.504$ how little variability there is

 $00:31:23.504 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.849$ in low birth weight overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:31:25.850 --> 00:31:27.534 How much more variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:31:27.534 --> 00:31:28.797 comparatively infrequent birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.556$ there has been overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:30.556 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.190$ and while both preterm birth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.766$ low birth weight look like they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:35.766 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.510$ starting to tick up a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00{:}31{:}38.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.408$ Infant mortality rate continues to decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.948$ As we noted that despite overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

00:31:43.948 --> 00:31:46.075 decreases in the crude rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.828729689121246

 $00:31:46.075 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.865$ of all three of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:31:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.260$ Disparities persist across all three

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00{:}31{:}53.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.231$ birth outcomes and the magnitude of

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:31:56.231 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.697$ the difference between rates by race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

00:31:58.700 --> 00:32:00.095 Ethnicity hasn't changed

00:32:00.095 --> 00:32:01.955 meaningfully over that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.103$ at all, so we've done well with

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:05.103 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.560$ improving health kind of globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.890$ But we've not done well in

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.110$ addressing this persistent disparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

00:32:13.110 --> 00:32:15.160 And as I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.015$ it's interesting to note that

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:17.015 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.870$ the disparity persists even after

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00{:}32{:}18.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}21.287$ adjustment for traditional risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.750$ and So what that brings to

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.572$ mind for me is to say, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:26.572 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.548$ so if we adjust for all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00{:}32{:}29.548 --> 00{:}32{:}31.883$ different risk factors and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:31.883 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.228$ doesn't make a difference that

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:34.228 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.647$ there's still this excess risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

00:32:36.650 --> 00:32:38.636 There is unmeasured risk we haven't

 $00:32:38.636 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.439$ been able to account for it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.752$ so our job really is to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00{:}32{:}42.752 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44.775$ figure out what is that unmeasured

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:44.775 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.390$ risk and how do we account for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

00:32:47.390 --> 00:32:50.868 But because it's kind of a. So complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:50.868 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.772$ The greater question to ask is

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:53.772 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.409$ how do we conceptualize the risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:32:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.609$ Do we think about risk as individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.450$ individually identifiable risk factors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.210$ Do we think about combinations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

00:33:05.280 --> 00:33:07.468 risk factors? Groupings of exposures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:07.468 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.204$ Are we thinking about social context?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.522$ Are we thinking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

00:33:12.522 --> 00:33:13.678 Biologic influences?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.628$ Or are we thinking?

00:33:15.628 --> 00:33:19.465 Are we taking a step back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00{:}33{:}19.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.862$ thinking about frameworks

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

00:33:21.862 --> 00:33:25.058 for conceptualizing the risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.657$ And so that's what we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

00:33:27.657 --> 00:33:30.959 talk about a little bit more right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.858$ so we're now going to move in now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:33.858 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.401$ we've kind of explored a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:36.401 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.955$ the existence of an persistence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:38.955 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.565$ disparities in poor birth outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.976$ Overtime we're going to talk through

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00{:}33{:}43.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.976$ the different hypothesis that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:45.976 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.648$ been put forth to try to explain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00{:}33{:}48.648 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} > 00{:}33{:}50.365$ contextualize these disparities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:50.365 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.565$ adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555

 $00:33:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.730$ With the focus is really on my birthday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873050808906555 00:33:55.730 --> 00:33:56.170 Pumps

 $00:33:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.402$ So there are many, many of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:01.402 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.159$ come from the social Sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.842$ and many of them have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:06.842 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.300$ around for many many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.716$ and so that's where I'm going to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:12.716 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.159$ with weathering hypothesis that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:34:15.159 --> 00:34:18.165 originally developed in the late 70s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}34{:}18.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.978$ early 80s by Doctor Arlene Geronimus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:34:20.980 --> 00:34:24.249 who is at the University of Michigan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.064$ Ann. She was trying to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:27.064 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.940$ about an explanation for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.066$ 4 two kind of simultaneous observations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}34{:}32.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.214$ One was the excess in fant mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}34{:}35.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38.351$ rate among black infants and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:38.351 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.183$ other was why the teenage pregnancy

 $00:34:41.183 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.871$ rate among non Hispanic black

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:34:43.871 --> 00:34:46.626 teenagers was markedly higher than

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:46.626 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.524$ among non Hispanic white teenagers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:49.524 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.710$ The prevailing thought at the time was

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:53.805 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.165$ that teenagers have an increased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:34:57.165 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.545$ of poor birth outcomes that included

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:35:00.545 --> 00:35:04.178 pre term birth and low birth weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:04.180 \dashrightarrow 00:35:07.408$ which then kind of are perpetuated

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:35:07.408 --> 00:35:10.233 into infant mortality that within

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:10.233 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.143$ the African American community there

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}35{:}13.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.584$ was a higher incidence or higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:35:16.584 --> 00:35:19.139 percentage of women of childbearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:19.139 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.267$ age who were teenagers having babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:22.267 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.970$ That it was these teenagers who accrued

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:26.055 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.121$ the excess risk an who were really

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:29.121 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.562$ driving the excess infant mortality

 $00:35:31.562 \dashrightarrow 00:35:35.209$ rate because of because of the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:35.209 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.160$ associated with with teenage birth. She.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.989$ Did not buy that an actually thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.889$ Quite the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:46.889 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.054$ She was struck by the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552 00:35:50.060 --> 00:35:50.630 Perpetual. NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:35:50.630 --> 00:35:52.910 Social disadvantage that many

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.190$ women within African American

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:35:55.190 --> 00:35:57.189 community we're experiencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.990$ and she thought that it was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:35:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.873$ the older women and the oldest women

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}36{:}02.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.273$ and not the younger or youngest

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}36{:}05.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.942$ women who were accruing the increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:07.942 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.085$ risk of poor birth outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:10.085 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.745$ which was then translating

00:36:11.745 --> 00:36:13.405 into excess infant mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.242$ and that was older women that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:16.242 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.130$ were driving that disparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.812$ So her original hypothesis was at

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:20.812 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.841$ the health status of black women

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:23.841 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.157$ begins to deteriorate earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:36:26.160 --> 00:36:28.224 Specifically, in young adulthood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:28.224 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.320$ as a consequence of prolonged exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}36{:}31.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.327$ to social and environmental disadvantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.410$ Because or or in concert with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}36{:}37.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.860$ earlier deterioration of health status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.868$ Reproductive health also suffers

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:41.868 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.378$ and begins to deteriorate earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:36:44.378 --> 00:36:47.397 as well as more rapidly among black

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:47.397 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.540$ women compared to white women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}36{:}49.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.450$ And this in turn results importer

 $00:36:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.893$ birth outcomes at relatively earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:54.893 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.833$ ages for black but not white women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:36:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.342$ and that this then propagates a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:00.342 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.146$ widening of disparities in poor birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:03.146 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.258$ outcomes with advancing maternal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.678$ Age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:05.678 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.604$ Now keep in mind that the original

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:08.604 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.894$ application in the original outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:10.894 \dashrightarrow 00:37:13.636$ in this study was infant mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:13.636 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.034$ and the original population to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}37{:}16.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.359$ which it was being applied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}37{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.874$ Was African American women and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}37{:}20.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23.639$ this was the hypothesis to explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}37{:}23.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.169$ disparities in infant mortality rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.526$ It's a fascinating hypothesis.

 $00:37:28.526 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.060$ There's a lot of investigators who

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}37{:}32.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}34.874$ have taken it an investigated it

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:34.874 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.778$ and applied it to different datasets

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:37.778 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.264$ an it's really come into its own

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:41.264 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.540$ and to be accepted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:37:43.540 --> 00:37:44.720 Mainstream hypothesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.734$ but in in that process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:37:48.734 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.810$ evaluation it has been expanded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}37{:}52.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.685$ From From an explanation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:37:56.685 --> 00:37:59.785 disparities in infant mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00{:}37{:}59.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02.844$ to be applied as an explanation

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:38:02.844 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.607$ for differences in any health

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:38:05.607 --> 00:38:08.099 outcome by race ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:38:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.850$ So instead of justice African

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

00:38:10.850 --> 00:38:13.600 American women experiencing this now

NOTE Confidence: 0.849872887134552

 $00:38:13.688 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.438$ it's any African American person.

 $00:38:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.628$ With any health outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:18.628 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.363$ where there is a disparity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}38{:}21.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.900$ Anet has Furthermore been more

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.102$ broadly generalized to apply to any

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:27.102 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.042$ marginalized or minority population to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:30.042 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.087$ explain disparities in health outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:33.087 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.147$ compared to the majority population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.510$ So it's interesting to see that evolution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}38{:}39.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.108$ but I think it it does beg the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.110$ Is this hypothesis inappropriate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}38{:}49.110 --> 00{:}38{:}51.110 \ {\rm Explanation \ for}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}38{:}51.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.710$ The existence of health disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.784$ in in all of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:54.784 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.238$ contexts and applied to all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:38:57.238 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.465$ these different populations.

00:38:58.470 --> 00:39:02.484 Or do we need to be very thoughtful about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.034$ Evaluating two what populations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:06.034 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.578$ This can be applied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.240$ So her moving kind of from from

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.672$ the social Sciences a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:14.672 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.090$ into the Biological Sciences and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}39{:}17.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19.810$ start to talk about allost atic load.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}39{:}19.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22.266$ I like to talk about this next because

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:39:22.266 --> 00:39:25.058 it's kind of the biological objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:39:25.058 --> 00:39:27.170 measurement counterpart to weathering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}39{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29.306$ So the concept of allost atic load

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:29.306 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.153$ is that this is this concept is

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:32.153 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.953$ representative of the cumulative

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:33.953 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.977$ wear and tear on the body's systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.906$ That is 02 repeated adaptation to stressors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.591$ I do want to emphasize that this

 $00:39:42.591 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.878$ is wear and tear that is due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:45.878 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.923$ not to the stressor itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.408$ but to the body's reaction to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:50.408 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.740$ adaptation to this Dressler stressor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.056$ We think about Al static load

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:55.056 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.600$ as the physiological burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:56.667 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.359$ that's imposed by stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:39:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.719$ and it can be kind of quantified

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}40{:}00.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.794$ and indicated by thinking bout

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:02.794 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.770$ two categories of biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:40:04.770 --> 00:40:07.206 Do you get your primary mediators or

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:07.206 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.580$ biomarkers in your secondary mediators?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.122$ The primary media?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:11.122 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.692$ Are biomarkers are physical substances

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:40:13.692 --> 00:40:17.330 at the body releases in response to

 $00:40:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.860$ stress and the secondary mediators

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:19.941 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.347$ are the effects that the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.485$ Feels from the release of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:24.485 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.766$ those primary mediators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.374$ so the example of being a primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:40:28.374 --> 00:40:29.976 mediator might be epinephrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:29.976 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.430$ that your body is releasing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.190$ response to a stressful situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.455$ and the secondary mediator would

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:37.455 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.304$ be an elevated blood pressure in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}40{:}40{:}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.348$ response to epinephrine release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86288535594940200:40:42.350 --> 00:40:43.180 Outside it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.085$ load is operationalized as a numerical score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:40:46.090 --> 00:40:48.586 Some scale of zero to 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.046$ a score of three to four correlates with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}40{:}52.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.828$ increased risk of morbidity and mortality.

00:40:54.830 --> 00:40:56.910 An, as I mentioned previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.990$ this this approach is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:40:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.238$ able to quantify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:41:00.240 --> 00:41:03.145 I would argue the concept of weathering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:41:03.150 --> 00:41:05.230 There's no built into weathering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:41:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.310$ There was no objective measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:41:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.080$ There's no way to measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86288535594940200:41:10.080 --> 00:41:11.062 Its effect, NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:41:11.062 --> 00:41:12.044 its extent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:41:12.044 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.654$ but being able to develop the outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:41:15.654 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.609$ load score as kind of the numerical

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:41:19.609 --> 00:41:21.860 representation of weathering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}41{:}21.860 --> 00{:}41{:}22.322 \ \mathrm{OK}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}41{:}22.322 \rightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.556$ So will then move into thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:41:25.556 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.539$ the life course perspective as a

 $00:41:29.539 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.499$ framework for understanding disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}41{:}32.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.516$ and this one has really come into its

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:41:35.516 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.769$ own in the last couple of decades is

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:41:38.769 --> 00:41:42.270 really kind of an integrative approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:41:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.342$ so the the idea of a life course

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:41:45.342 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.662$ perspective is that early life

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:41:47.662 --> 00:41:50.112 experiences have the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:41:50.112 --> 00:41:53.382 shape health not only in the moment

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:41:53.382 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.587$ but across the entire lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

00:41:55.590 --> 00:41:57.574 And potentially across generations

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00{:}41{:}57.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00.054$ within the life course perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:42:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.024$ there is an emphasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:42:02.024 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.479$ The timing and duration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:42:04.479 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.960$ experiences and exposures and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:42:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.810$ importance of when things happen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862885355949402

 $00:42:09.895 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.595$ that is because kind of thinking.

 $00:42:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.240$ A development in a developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}42{:}15.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.880$ context that there are critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:42:17.962 --> 00:42:20.338 periods of development in the lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:42:20.338 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.653$ of all of us and they coincide with

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:42:23.653 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.599$ times when there's a lot of growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:42:26.599 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.944$ development, and activities so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:42:28.944 --> 00:42:31.697 things like during fetal life during

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:42:31.697 --> 00:42:34.175 the first months, two years of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:42:34.175 --> 00:42:35.450 Infancy and childhood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:42:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.991$ when the rate of growth and formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:42:37.991 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.159$ of GNU connections and all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:42:40.159 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.844$ different things are going on

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}42{:}41.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.335$ and then we have another period

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}42{:}44.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.083$ during a dolescence and puberty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:42:46.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.710$ When that happens again.

 $00:42:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.140$ So the the purpose of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}42{:}50.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.592$ particular attention to the timing

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:42:52.592 --> 00:42:55.462 and duration of these early life

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:42:55.462 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.562$ experiences is that the magnitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:42:57.562 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.106$ of the effect of the experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:43:00.106 --> 00:43:01.390 positive or negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.808$ can change based on whether it

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}43{:}03.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.938$ happens during a critical period

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}43{:}05.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08.614$ of development or outside of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:43:08.614 --> 00:43:11.277 period of development and the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}43{:}11.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.779$ of these different experiences is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}43{:}13.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.908$ change the health trajectory over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:15.908 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.470$ So thinking about the health trajectory's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.035$ As I've malleable and responsive

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:21.035 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.888$ entity that will change in reaction to

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:24.888 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.888$ different stressors and exposures an

 $00:43:27.888 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.288$ it's this conception that really helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}43{:}31.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}34.816$ us to think about a dult health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:43:34.820 --> 00:43:38.593 ANAN Health later in life as being

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:38.593 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.977$ intimately connected with the early life

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:41.977 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.319$ experiences and the importance of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:45.319 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.026$ back when you are trying to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.574$ Why there might be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:43:51.574 --> 00:43:54.118 Differences or disparities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}43{:}54.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.636$ outcomes that present in older age

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:43:57.636 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.846$ that that you were risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:44:00.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.512$ Protective factor could be very remote from

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}44{:}05.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09.769$ the the manifestation of health or illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929 00:44:09.770 --> 00:44:10.518 In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929 00:44:10.518 --> 00:44:11.266 of course, NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

11012 communication 01001012000010020

00:44:11.266 --> 00:44:12.762 protective also explicitly considers

 $00:44:12.762 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.539$ the role of social context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:44:14.540 --> 00:44:17.124 not just at one point in time but

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:44:17.124 --> 00:44:19.781 overtime and how the how that ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:44:19.781 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.255$ context and changes in context can

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:44:22.255 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.600$ shape and shift health trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

00:44:24.600 --> 00:44:28.040 And this is again particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:44:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.792$ relevant when we're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:44:30.792 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.018$ about the childhood or early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:44:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.474$ Early shaping of health and disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00:44:36.474 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.591$ trajectories over the life course

NOTE Confidence: 0.881542980670929

 $00{:}44{:}38.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}41.075$ and the incidence of chronic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:44:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.504$ So we kind of talked about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:44:46.504 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.741$ different frameworks that really come

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:44:48.741 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.417$ out of the social Sciences tradition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:44:51.420 --> 00:44:53.958 We haven't talked as much about

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}44{:}53.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57.525$ the hard Sciences and so one of the

 $00:44:57.525 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.313$ additional considerations that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:44:59.313 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.831$ wanted to be sure to bring into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:02.831 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.338$ conversation when we start to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:05.338 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.762$ about how do we frame explorations

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:07.762 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.589$ for understanding disparities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:09.589 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.954$ health outcomes is genetic factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.576$ Now I know that there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}45{:}14.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.190$ Strong opinions on both sides

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}45{:}17.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.800$ about where genetic factors lie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.904$ but I think that there is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:22.904 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.707$ strong argument to consider genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:45:25.707 --> 00:45:27.648 contribution when evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}45{:}27.648 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}45{:}30.236$ disparities in health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}45{:}30.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.372$ An for me, birth outcomes specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:33.372 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.460$ to disparities in reset.

 $00:45:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.651$ Pre term birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:36.651 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.430$ we know that there is variation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:39.509 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.724$ certain characteristics like broccoli and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:42.724 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.939$ just stational age between populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.496$ And at this May in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:48.496 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.774$ influence birth timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:45:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.606$ In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}45{:}50.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.497$ we know that there's a a genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}45{:}53.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.983$ component or a strong genetic component

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:45:55.983 --> 00:45:59.259 to birth timing in that a prior history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}45{:}59.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00.908$ either personal or familial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:00.908 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.380$ of pre term birth increases risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}46{:}03.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.096$ So if a mother has had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:46:06.096 --> 00:46:07.910 previous pre term birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:46:07.910 --> 00:46:10.382 she's at increased risk for having

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:46:10.382 --> 00:46:12.030 a subsequent preterm birth,

 $00:46:12.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.090$ and similarly if she herself

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.738$ was born pre term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.705$ she has an increased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:17.705 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.270$ of having a pre term birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.678$ Or if she has a family member such

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:23.678 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.648$ as the sister who was born pre term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.386$ she also has an increased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:30.386 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.720$ of delivering a preterm baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386 00:46:32.720 --> 00:46:33.652 In addition, NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:33.652 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.982$ heritability studies of pre term

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:35.982 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.790$ birth have demonstrated that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:37.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.289$ 20 to 40% of the variability in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}46{:}40.289 \to 00{:}46{:}43.327$ preterm birth can be attributed to genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.454$ so it seems like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:46.454 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.140$ For looking looking at associations between.

 $00:46:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.040$ Genetics and pre term birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}46{:}53.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.824$ Broadly that there is a strong case to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:55.824 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.719$ be made that genetics play a factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:46:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.636$ So if we think that you guys can play

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:01.636 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.535$ a factor in birth timing and pre term birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.850$ could it also play a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.773$ drive in driving disparities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:47:09.773 --> 00:47:12.353 pre term birth and birth timing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}47{:}12.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.640$ It's interesting to note that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.595$ Genome wide Association studies when

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}47{:}16.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.430$ they've been stratified by race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:47:18.430 --> 00:47:21.470 ethnicity and and there is an analysis of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.306$ Which single nucleotide polymorphism's

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:23.306 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.601$ or which genetic variants are

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:47:25.601 --> 00:47:27.290 associated with pre term birth?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.058$ Those may or may not be the same

 $00:47:30.058 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.840$ for different populations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.365$ are defined by race ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:47:34.370 --> 00:47:36.086 It's been challenging though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:36.086 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.660$ because the results have been very

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:38.736 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.016$ difficult to reproduce and we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.666$ We are understanding more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:47:43.666 --> 00:47:45.430 that individual variance produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:45.504 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.676$ a very small magnitude of affect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.478$ so you may need to have a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}47{:}51.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.179$ A lot of variance to have to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:55.179 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.530$ manifestation of a very small effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:47:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.836$ And then there's also the additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00{:}48{:}00.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.723$ challenge of the skepticism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:48:03.723 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.099$ sufficient homogeneity among self

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:48:06.099 --> 00:48:09.069 reported African Americans in in

00:48:09.158 --> 00:48:11.422 conducting these genetic studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:48:11.422 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.120$ and how much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:48:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.340$ Heterogeneity is there due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:48:16.340 --> 00:48:19.560 mixing between folks of African

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:48:19.673 --> 00:48:22.949 ancestry and European ancestry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:48:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.580$ So all that being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

 $00:48:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.496$ I think it makes the case to say yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878303468227386

00:48:27.500 --> 00:48:29.130 we should consider genetic factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:48:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.040$ but it's not a guarantee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:48:32.040 --> 00:48:36.996 So. With all of that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}48{:}37.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.938$ where do we find ourselves right

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:48:38.938 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.828$ now in terms of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}48{:}40.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.928$ and evaluating disparities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:48:42.928 --> 00:48:45.553 health outcomes in birth outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:48:45.560 --> 00:48:49.096 And how can we leverage what we talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:48:49.096 --> 00:48:52.277 about today to make forward progress?

00:48:52.280 --> 00:48:57.448 So I think. At this point in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:48:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.338$ based on the events of these past months

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:01.338 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.095$ and years and all of the work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:49:05.095 --> 00:49:08.847 has gone into helping us to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:49:08.847 --> 00:49:11.947 what could be driving disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.600$ it's undeniable that structural racism

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.914$ an other social determinants of health

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}49{:}17.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.819$ are significant drivers of disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.816$ We know that the legacy of slavery

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:23.816 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.291$ is still active in our day to day

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}49{:}27.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.391$ lives and still influencing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}49{:}29.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.655$ informing health all and it has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}49{:}32.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35.340$ per vasive in the insidious and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.540$ challenging to identify an address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.726$ But it must be addressed in

 $00:49:40.726 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.850$ order to improve health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:42.850 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.114$ So we understand that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:47.114 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.246$ External factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.475$ an environment and greater societal

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:52.475 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.055$ structure plays a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:49:55.060 --> 00:49:59.071 However, it's not clear that that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:49:59.071 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.190$ only driver an is there still space for

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:50:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.120$ other contributors to be investigated more?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:50:08.120 --> 00:50:10.949 Thoroughly and rigorously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:50:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.562$ So I just wanted to talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}50{:}14.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.030$ little bit about the concept of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:50:18.030 --> 00:50:20.390 Genetics, genomics and personal biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}50{:}20.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}23.222$ When it comes to conversations about

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}50{:}23.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.530$ race and disparities in outcomes by race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:50:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.890$ so time and time again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:50:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.658$ we hear that race is a social construct.

 $00:50:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.020$ And so because of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:50:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.405$ there's no biological underpinning or

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:50:37.405 --> 00:50:40.210 no genetic underpinning to that idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:50:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.107$ and there's kind of an 1 one side people

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}50{:}44.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.284$ who feel that race is a social construct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:50:48.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.698$ That's all it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:50:49.698 --> 00:50:53.039 An can't be can't ever be anything more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:50:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.648$ And then there are kind of a contingent

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:50:56.648 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.032$ of folks who see race as a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:01.032 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.288$ genetic or biological construct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:51:03.290 --> 00:51:04.763 Slightly different perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:04.763 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.736$ So if we dig into this idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}51{:}08.736 --> 00{:}51{:}10.956 \ {\rm race \ is \ a \ social \ construct},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.676$ what it means is that conceptually it

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:13.676 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.171$ is fluid and it can change based on

 $00:51:17.171 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.970$ socially derived definitions is not fixed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.362$ It's not in nate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:21.362 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.146$ and because of that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:24.146 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.639$ no genetic basis to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:51:26.640 --> 00:51:27.448 And Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:27.448 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.872$ some would argue that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:29.872 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.391$ no relationship between race and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:51:32.391 --> 00:51:34.029 innate biological characteristics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.726$ instead arguing that we are all one race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.122$ We are all human.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:40.122 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.566$ And so there are 4, four that viewpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:44.566 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.940$ There are a few reasons that commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:48.026 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.218$ genetics is rejected as an explanation

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:51.218 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.180$ for disparities in health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:51:54.180 --> 00:51:56.718 One common one is the observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:51:56.718 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.734$ of the statement that there is

 $00:51:59.734 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.226$ greater genetic variability within

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:02.226 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.718$ populations than between populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.786$ and this was really this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:07.786 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.530$ of came to the fore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.660$ It was popularized by Richard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.692$ We want him back in the 70s who

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

00:52:15.692 --> 00:52:18.418 was looking at variation in blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:18.418 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.580$ group proteins an he found that 85%

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.914$ of the variation in blood protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:23.914 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.508$ types could be accounted for by

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}52{:}26.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}27.957$ variation within populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.930$ An races and only 15% by variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00{:}52{:}30.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33.480$ across them. So he had taken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.090$ He had a large contingent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912489473819733

 $00:52:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.727$ subjects who he divided into races

 $00:52:38.727 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.757$ and then look into see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:52:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.790$ Ann. If there was a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:52:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.760$ What the genetic variability was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:52:47.760 --> 00:52:48.945 And not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:52:48.945 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.710$ based on that methodology came up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}52{:}51.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.546$ these results and concluded that most

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:52:54.546 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.007$ variation between humans because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:52:57.007 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.203$ differences between individuals and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}52{:}59.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01.399$ not differences between populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.780$ So we have that is 1 Parliament.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.835$ Another reason for the rejection

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:05.835 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.423$ of genetics as an explanation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:53:08.423 --> 00:53:11.114 disparities in health outcomes, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:11.114 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.450$ is a very real and well founded fear

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.520$ that history will repeat itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}53{:}17.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22.584$ In the past, medicine and science

 $00:53:22.584 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.779$ has been used and manipulated too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.230$ Create, maintain an propagate hierarchies

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.675$ of worth and lend credence to them from

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:53:37.675 --> 00:53:40.820 a scientific standpoint or endorsed

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.319$ by scientific principles objective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:53:44.320 --> 00:53:50.080 Objective principles And that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.752$ And as has happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:53:51.752 --> 00:53:54.260 not only was kind of science

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:54.347 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.480$ and medicine manipulated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.426$ but then once that manipulation happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:53:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.226$ there is complicity on the part

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:02.226 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.774$ of the scientific and medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:04.774 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.320$ establishment to stand up against that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:54:08.320 --> 00:54:10.810 Miss Construction of of results

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.169$ are of the science and a concern

 $00:54:14.169 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.265$ that if we start to go down this

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:54:17.362 --> 00:54:20.734 path way of thinking about genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:20.734 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.445$ as drivers of disparities in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:54:23.445 --> 00:54:26.175 Outcomes by race that we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:26.175 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.130$ find ourselves back there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.866$ And that also in so doing there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}54{:}33.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}38.080$ D humanization that then results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.978$ In or allows for the justification

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}54{:}40.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42.910$ to perpetuate social inequality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:42.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.650$ which is what we are all fighting so hard to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}54{:}48.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.906$ Get ourselves. Out of and on better footing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.908$ And then the last concern is

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:55.908 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.502$ that there is a fear that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:54:58.502 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.866$ characterization of race as a fixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:00.866 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.608$ or innate characteristic that cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:03.608 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.448$ be changed absolves responsibility on

 $00:55:06.448 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.796$ the part of medical professionals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:08.796 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.016$ Investigators on the other stakeholders

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:55:11.016 --> 00:55:13.612 in society to intervene to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:13.612 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.446$ the disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.635$ They thought that if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:55:16.635 --> 00:55:17.946 a genetic predisposition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.995$ there's nothing we can do about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.087$ So why try or the idea that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.209$ Biology is destiny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:25.209 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.873$ Ann and I just bring this up because in

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:28.873 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.288$ in the conversations and interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:31.288 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.045$ that I've had in conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}55{:}34.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36.505$ about disparities and understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}55{:}36.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39.427$ drivers of disparities and talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:55:39.427 --> 00:55:42.626 with folks who come more from a

 $00:55:42.626 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.289$ social Sciences training background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:55:45.290 --> 00:55:47.840 There definitely is push back

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.370$ against that idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.780$ and these are some of the concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.979$ or objections that are cited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201 00:55:56.980 --> 00:55:57.346 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:55:57.346 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.274$ I think there are also reasons to accept

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:00.274 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.445$ genetics as a potential explanation

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}56{:}02.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.620$ for disparities in health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.077$ I think it's it's very the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}56{:}07.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10.099$ that people of the same race self

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:10.099 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.464$ identified race share common genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:12.464 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.846$ traits or variance is valid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:14.846 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.482$ that has to some extent been born

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:56:17.482 --> 00:56:19.487 out in the scientific literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.814$ and I think we also can't ignore

 $00:56:21.814 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.386$ the fact that when we adjust for

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:24.386 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.261$ multiple con founders who try

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:26.261 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.809$ and understand this relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.300$ And that adjustments fails to account

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.209$ for the disparities in outcomes by race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:34.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.586$ It opens up the possibility that

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:36.586 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.170$ there's an underlying predisposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}56{:}38.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.017$ towards developing the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00{:}56{:}40.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}42.282$ That is biologic that is genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:42.282 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.231$ as not to say that that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:45.231 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.486$ entire T of the explanation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.560$ but to refuse to entertain

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

 $00:56:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.216$ that as a possibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73415225148201

00:56:51.220 --> 00:56:53.948 I think is short sighted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:56:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.482$ I would Furthermore going to say

 $00:56:56.482 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.185$ that in there been some challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:56:59.185 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.975$ in growing pains in the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.988$ there was alot around the time that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:04.988 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.199$ human genome was sequenced completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:07.199 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.971$ and there was all this excitement

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:09.971 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.450$ about the endless capabilities of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.870$ genome candidate gene studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00{:}57{:}14.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.533$ Genome wide Association studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:16.533 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.270$ In being able to finally help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:57:19.347 --> 00:57:22.305 understand the kind of biologic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00{:}57{:}22.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.277$ mechanistic underpinnings of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.968$ There was a lot of confidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.700$ perhaps misplaced over confidence in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:57:29.700 --> 00:57:32.808 ability of variation at the genome level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.900$ to explain disparities in health outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:35.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.938$ and overtime as it has become clear that.

 $00:57:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.526$ The extent to which genetic variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00{:}57{:}42.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}44.779$ do not independently cause complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:44.779 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.491$ disease is very great that investigators

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:57:47.491 --> 00:57:50.051 have been humbled and more willing

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:50.051 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.313$ and more accepting and more excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:57:52.313 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.090$ to try to take a step back and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:57:56.090 --> 00:57:59.037 OK, well if it's not this one

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:57:59.037 --> 00:58:01.618 genetic variant in and of itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:58:01.620 --> 00:58:03.415 how do I evaluate combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:03.415 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.846$ between different parts of the genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:58:05.846 --> 00:58:07.568 between different modifications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:58:07.570 --> 00:58:09.294 protein expression, etc etc?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00{:}58{:}09.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}11.880$ How do I evaluate interactions with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.976$ The environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:12.976 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.812$ How do we kind of look for

 $00:58:16.812 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.929$ those higher level?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.640$ Interactions an and higher level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:58:23.640 --> 00:58:25.092 Associations between different

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:58:25.092 --> 00:58:27.512 factors that individually may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:27.512 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.507$ give us the answer we're looking for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.008$ but collectively may get us there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:58:34.010 --> 00:58:36.446 I think that there's no more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00.58:36.446 \longrightarrow 00.58:39.319$ an emphasis on the biology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:39.319 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.509$ individual as dynamic and influenced

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:58:41.509 --> 00:58:44.149 by the surrounding environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00{:}58{:}44.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47.069$ and there's less emphasis on a fixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:47.069 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.611$ genetic code that there are fixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:49.611 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.425$ parts and there are mobile parks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:58:52.506 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.915$ we need to investigate both pieces and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:58:55.915 --> 00:58:58.232 that Furthermore genetic factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00{:}58{:}58.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00.362$ the contribution of genetic factors

 $00:59:00.362 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.057$ to disparities can now be interpreted

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:03.057 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.763$ more broadly and conceptualize more broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:59:05.770 --> 00:59:09.100 Not just the genetic code itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.328$ but all of these other again modifiable

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:13.328 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.300$ factors that downstream impact how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.720$ That impacted the interactions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:59:18.720 --> 00:59:21.140 reactions with this surrounding environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.521$ so that includes studies of the genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:24.521 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.462$ epigenome, the metabolome proteome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:26.462 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.164$ transcriptome microbiome, etc etc.

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.852860033512115 \\ & 00:59:29.164 --> 00:59:30.898 \text{ Multi omics.} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.206$ So just a couple of parting thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00{:}59{:}36.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}38.135$ Through the process of preparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:38.135 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.675$ this presentation and thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:39.675 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.120$ about these disparities,

00:59:41.120 --> 00:59:43.836 I was struck again by the thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:43.836 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.947$ that complex diseases really are

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:59:45.947 --> 00:59:47.695 multifactorial and variation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

00:59:47.695 --> 00:59:51.057 risk is unlikely to be caused by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:51.057 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.977$ single factor acting in isolation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.428$ So whether that's a single exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.480$ whether that's a single snook,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $00:59:57.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.609$ it's unlikely that that one piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:00.609 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.866$ will account for the entire T of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:03.866 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.080$ we're seeing in terms of the disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:07.080 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.876$ There are lots of different hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:09.876 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.274$ for understanding disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

01:00:11.274 --> 01:00:13.717 in pregnancy and birth outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:13.720 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.336$ and it's important to remember that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

01:00:16.336 --> 01:00:18.930 they are not mutually exclusive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:18.930 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.765$ so there is no need to necessarily

01:00:21.765 --> 01:00:25.089 discount any of these hypothesis upfront,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

01:00:25.090 --> 01:00:27.940 but rather to think through them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

01:00:27.940 --> 01:00:28.980 entertain them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:28.980 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.100$ evaluate them and really try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

01:00:32.100 --> 01:00:34.676 figure out does this hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:34.676 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.550$ fit in the context of this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

01:00:37.550 --> 01:00:39.366 Relationship and this outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:39.366 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.636$ that I'm trying to examine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01{:}00{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}44.848$ And then I also just wanted to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:44.848 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.146$ mention of the fact that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852860033512115

 $01:00:48.146 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.546$ United States self identified race

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:00:50.638 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.220$ is reasonable proxy for genetic ancestry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:00:54.220 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.284$ and I think that is the direction

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:00:57.284 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.388$ where folks are moving who really are

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:00.388 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.398$ interested in cultivating a multi multi

 $01:01:03.398 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.086$ faceted multidisciplinary approach to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01{:}01{:}06.086 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}08.774$ evaluating disparities in outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

01:01:08.780 --> 01:01:11.135 While it's true that race

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

01:01:11.135 --> 01:01:13.019 is a social construct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:13.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.375$ differences in genetic ancestry track

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:15.375 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.730$ reasonably well alongside those constructs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:17.730 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.210$ So in the absence of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:21.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.370$ readily available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01{:}01{:}22.370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}25.212$ Gene typing for all it seems a

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

01:01:25.212 --> 01:01:27.739 reasonable proxy to use for race

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01{:}01{:}27.739 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}30.175$ as a proxy for genetic ancestry

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01{:}01{:}30.175 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}32.408$ while recognizing its limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

01:01:32.408 --> 01:01:35.303 and the absence of absolutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01{:}01{:}35.310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38.148$ And it's interesting to note also

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:38.148 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.563$ that self identified African Americans

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:40.563 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.215$ in the United States derive about

 $01:01:43.215 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.933$ on average 80% of their genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:45.933 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.777$ ancestry from enslaved Africans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:47.780 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.014$ So although there is a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

01:01:51.014 --> 01:01:52.400 conversation about admixture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

01:01:52.400 --> 01:01:54.260 and certainly that percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:54.260 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.655$ changes and fluctuates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

01:01:55.660 --> 01:01:58.384 Based mostly on geography and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:01:58.384 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.940$ in the United States you are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:00.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.160$ Again it lends additional credence

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01{:}02{:}03.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}05.882$ to the idea that self identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:05.882 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.822$ race can be used as a reasonable

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:08.822 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.730$ proxy for genetic against ancestry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:11.730 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.628$ And ultimately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01{:}02{:}12.628 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}15.771$ that by staying open to all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

01:02:15.771 --> 01:02:17.733 different possibilities and new

01:02:17.733 --> 01:02:19.645 ideas as a researcher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:19.650 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.230$ you have the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:22.230 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.281$ leverage all of this knowledge and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

01:02:25.281 --> 01:02:27.567 all of this power to help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:27.570 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.235$ to disentangle in understand drivers

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:30.235 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.900$ of disparities in birth outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:32.900 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.368$ Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583

 $01:02:35.370 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.920$ Wow, Amherst, that was it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890774071216583 01:02:36.920 --> 01:02:37.540 That was

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

01:02:37.540 --> 01:02:39.710 an amazing talk. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01{:}02{:}39.710 --> 01{:}02{:}41.570$ I'm sure there's going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:02:41.570 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.225$ There's already some questions lined

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

01:02:43.225 --> 01:02:45.910 up and I'm sure if you want to ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:02:45.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.933$ but I'd like to take my moderators

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

01:02:47.933 --> 01:02:49.845 prerogative and ask the first question

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:02:49.845 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.485$ if I understood you correctly.

 $01:02:51.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.416$ You spoke about how the usual

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01{:}02{:}53.416 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}55.077$ demographic things that we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:02:55.077 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.967$ to try and explain the disparity

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:02:56.967 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.237$ didn't pan out as the cause of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:02:59.240 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.410$ If we control for things like income,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:01.410 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.036$ education, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:02.036 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.914$ That that we still see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:03.914 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.820$ disparity that was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:04.820 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.460$ That was your point, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:06.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.230$ What an and in in putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01{:}03{:}08.230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}10.019$ together all the things you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:10.020 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.510$ it leads me to wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01{:}03{:}11.510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}13.286$ can we control for other things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:13.290 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.366$ We talk about the influence, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:15.366 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.831$ of stressors of environmental stressors

01:03:16.831 --> 01:03:18.639 of the history of racism attrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

01:03:18.640 --> 01:03:20.416 Is there way to control some

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:20.416 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.304$ measure for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:21.310 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.350$ Which makes me wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:22.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.310$ how does the United States in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

01:03:24.310 --> 01:03:26.060 disparity that black white disparity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

01:03:26.060 --> 01:03:27.545 How does the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:27.545 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.733$ compared to other countries?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

01:03:28.740 --> 01:03:31.710 Have you know we saw a lot of of usdata,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:31.710 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.523$ but I wonder if other countries that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01{:}03{:}33.523 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}35.510$ perhaps have a different history or

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:35.510 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.050$ a different current environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

01:03:37.050 --> 01:03:39.330 Um, if their disparity is higher or lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827258288860321

 $01:03:39.330 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.470$ or how that looks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

01:03:41.260 --> 01:03:43.885 That's a fantastic question. I have two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:03:43.890 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.224$ so it's something that I'm interested

01:03:46.224 --> 01:03:48.760 in really getting into in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

01:03:48.760 --> 01:03:51.147 but I have to admit I haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01{:}03{:}51.147 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}53.088$ looked at those statistics in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:03:53.088 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.552$ a really long time, so I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

01:03:55.552 --> 01:03:57.886 I don't know that I have a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

01:03:57.886 --> 01:04:00.382 answer for you about kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:00.382 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.194$ contemporary outcomes and disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:02.194 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.890$ in places like written, for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:04.890 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.890$ so I'm not I'm not sure off hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:07.890 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.265$ I do recall from way back that there was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

01:04:11.270 --> 01:04:14.768 A study that was looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:14.768 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.940$ risk of preterm birth among.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01{:}04{:}17.940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}21.585$ Among parents who were not of the same race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:21.590 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.073$ so they looked at the risk of pre term

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

01:04:25.073 --> 01:04:28.897 birth for a black mother and a black father,

 $01:04:28.900 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.939$ a black father and a white mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:32.940 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.764$ A black mother and a white father and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:35.764 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.596$ a white mother and a white father.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:38.600 \longrightarrow 01:04:41.015$ And they found that the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:41.015 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.727$ preterm birth was the highest for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:43.727 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.133$ couple where both parents were black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

01:04:46.140 --> 01:04:48.234 The lowest where both parents were

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01{:}04{:}48.234 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}50.502$ white and for the two interracial

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01{:}04{:}50.502 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}52.914$ couples the risk was in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:52.920 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.970$ The risk was higher when the mom was black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:56.970 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.378$ And when the mom was white and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:04:59.378 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.414$ believe that that study was either

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:05:01.414 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.999$ done primarily or there was a like a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878355801105499

 $01:05:04.000 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.360$ Kind of a validation study that was done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871606230735779

 $01:05:08.430 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.401$ It was not in this country, but I can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871606230735779

 $01:05:11.401 \dashrightarrow 01:05:14.410$ I can't remember if it was Northern Africa.

 $01:05:14.410 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.106$ I think it may have been Northern Africa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:17.170 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.338$ This is fascinating stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:18.338 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.506$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:19.510 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.268$ I'm going to get to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:21.268 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.440$ of these questions here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

01:05:22.440 --> 01:05:24.784 I'd invite you folks to put your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

01:05:24.790 --> 01:05:26.834 I see summer in chat in summer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:26.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.300$ in Q&A, so going forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:28.300 \longrightarrow 01:05:30.644$ go ahead and put your questions in chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:30.650 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.946$ but I'm going to look and see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:32.946 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.747$ stuff that's in the Q&A portion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:34.750 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.918$ So amorous someone asks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

01:05:35.918 --> 01:05:36.794 excuse my ignorance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:36.800 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.558$ but what are some examples of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:38.558 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.437$ the environmental disadvantages?

01:05:39.440 --> 01:05:41.030 I think this question came through

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01{:}05{:}41.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}42.454$ relatively early in your talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:42.454 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.730$ about the disadvantages that

NOTE Confidence: 0.838320434093475

 $01:05:43.730 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.006$ could influence the disparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

01:05:45.560 --> 01:05:48.524 Absolutely, so it's it's many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

01:05:48.524 --> 01:05:51.537 the things that we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01:05:51.537 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.906$ a lot these days, so it's poverty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01:05:54.906 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.890$ intergenerational poverty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

01:05:55.890 --> 01:05:57.858 its lack of education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01:05:57.858 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.810$ lack of access to medical care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01{:}06{:}00.810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}03.978$ lack of access to healthy foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01:06:03.980 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.720$ All all those kinds of socio

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01{:}06{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}10.300$ environmental challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01:06:10.300 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.840$ Concerns about personal safety like

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

01:06:11.840 --> 01:06:14.429 are you live in a safe environment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

01:06:14.430 --> 01:06:16.838 Are you able to get to work?

 $01:06:16.840 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.898$ Is that in a safe environment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01:06:18.900 \longrightarrow 01:06:21.273$ Do you have what kind of transportation

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01:06:21.273 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.716$ do you have access to? Is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

01:06:23.716 --> 01:06:25.774 Are you relying on public transportation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01:06:25.780 \longrightarrow 01:06:29.220$ An it is stressful to be able to manage that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

 $01:06:29.220 \longrightarrow 01:06:31.476$ So it's all these kind of different different

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

01:06:31.476 --> 01:06:33.349 levels and different considerations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508 01:06:33.350 --> 01:06:34.282 and it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508

01:06:34.282 --> 01:06:36.146 Yeah, I'll stop there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886445999145508 01:06:36.150 --> 01:06:36.500 Thank NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:06:36.500 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.724$ you, so here's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:06:37.724 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.310$ It's more of an observation and suggestion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01{:}06{:}40.310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}42.040$ Thank you for this overview.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01{:}06{:}42.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}43.942$ Doctor Kaiser, I would suggest that

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

01:06:43.942 --> 01:06:46.036 instead of genetics at the focus

01:06:46.036 --> 01:06:47.568 on researching perinatal health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:06:47.570 \longrightarrow 01:06:49.250$ disparities should be on epigenomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:06:49.250 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.930$ on how the adverse exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:06:50.990 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.394$ influence gene expression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:06:52.394 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.149$ you actually commented on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

01:06:54.150 --> 01:06:56.220 Briefly, talk about genomics as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:06:56.220 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.428$ And also there are genetic methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:06:58.428 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.593$ and platforms to determine ancestry so

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:07:00.593 \longrightarrow 01:07:02.798$ we can control for that in studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:07:02.800 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.236$ even among racial ethnic groups in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:07:05.240 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.656$ Things to my something I thought of when

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

01:07:07.656 --> 01:07:09.718 you mentioned you want is work in 1972.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

01:07:09.720 --> 01:07:10.904 I couldn't help wondering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:07:10.904 \longrightarrow 01:07:12.988$ I wonder what level of sophistication he

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:07:12.988 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.773$ had for looking at the genetic variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.812438368797302

 $01:07:14.773 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.719$ both within groups and between groups etc.

01:07:17.330 --> 01:07:20.870 Absolutely. Absolutely thank you for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863000243902207

 $01{:}07{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}22.710$ I appreciate that feedback. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:22.710 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.178$ now here's some more

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:24.178 \longrightarrow 01:07:25.646$ thoughts from your audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:25.650 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.170$ Can you comment on Elizabeth how's work

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

01:07:28.170 --> 01:07:30.650 in New York City that showed that both

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:30.650 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.039$ black and white women were at higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01{:}07{:}33.039 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}35.443$ risk for morbidity and mortality at

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:35.443 \longrightarrow 01:07:37.427$ primarily black serving hospitals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:37.430 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.174$ suggesting that a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

01:07:39.174 --> 01:07:40.918 tributed contributed to disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:40.918 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.808$ is poor quality of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:42.810 \longrightarrow 01:07:44.538$ Similarly, could you comment on why

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:44.538 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.514$ outcomes in the US are substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:46.514 \longrightarrow 01:07:48.800$ worse than other high income countries?

 $01:07:48.800 \longrightarrow 01:07:50.465$ How are the health systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:50.465 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.797$ in those countries different?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

01:07:51.800 --> 01:07:54.416 How do they do it better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:54.420 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.772$ ETC so the first part of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:07:56.772 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.662$ question related to white women

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

01:07:58.662 --> 01:08:00.692 and the morbidity mortality being

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:08:00.692 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.396$ cared for it in hospitals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.844646811485291

 $01:08:03.396 \longrightarrow 01:08:05.756$ primarily sort of black communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:06.450 \longrightarrow 01:08:09.826$ Absolutely, so I have read her work an

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:09.826 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.392$ she's got kind of a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01{:}08{:}13.392 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}15.755$ facets to this specific questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:15.755 \longrightarrow 01:08:20.510$ that she asks in answers. It does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:20.510 \longrightarrow 01:08:23.534$ Begin to speak to quality of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:23.540 \longrightarrow 01:08:25.862$ but I think that there's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:25.862 \longrightarrow 01:08:27.978$ there also issues of residential

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:27.978 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.900$ segregation that may be at play.

 $01:08:30.900 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.158$ To be honest, I would have to go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:34.158 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.546$ to that specific article and read a

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:37.546 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.858$ little bit more about what her like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:40.860 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.030$ how the analysis was structured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01{:}08{:}43.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}45.900$ but I appreciate the comment and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:45.900 \longrightarrow 01:08:48.128$ think that must absolutely there

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:48.128 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.320$ there is embedded in all of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:51.320 \longrightarrow 01:08:52.772$ Quality of care access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:52.772 \longrightarrow 01:08:54.587$ If care and variability in

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:54.587 \longrightarrow 01:08:55.760$ outcomes by hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

01:08:55.760 --> 01:08:58.720 that's its own kind of its own contingent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:08:58.720 \longrightarrow 01:09:00.940$ An area of research as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:09:00.940 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.106$ And it sounds like this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:09:03.106 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.010$ falls into that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:09:05.010 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.340$ So yes, that's most likely a part of it,

 $01:09:08.340 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.436$ but how it fits into the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:09:11.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:13.210$ The entire T of the context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:09:13.210 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.005$ The broader context is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:09:15.005 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.800$ little bit challenging to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888244807720184

 $01:09:16.800 \longrightarrow 01:09:18.280$ At this point, thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.820562198048546

01:09:18.280 --> 01:09:20.458 you. Emirates to these studies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820562198048546

01:09:20.458 --> 01:09:22.375 disparity control for the higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.820562198048546

 $01:09:22.375 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.370$ incidence of hypertension and obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.820562198048546

 $01:09:24.370 \longrightarrow 01:09:29.460$ in Blacks compared to whites. Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781315624713898

 $01:09:29.460 \longrightarrow 01:09:31.890$ so great that. Here's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:09:31.890 \longrightarrow 01:09:32.847$ question for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01{:}09{:}32.847 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}35.440$ Here's a question for the modern very nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:09:35.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:37.125$ Will this presentation be available

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:09:37.125 \longrightarrow 01:09:38.990$ after the live web and R?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:09:38.990 --> 01:09:41.574 And the answer is thanks to our friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:09:41.580 --> 01:09:42.498 Doctor Kaiser? Yes,

 $01:09:42.498 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.640$ this will be available on the website

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01{:}09{:}44.698 \to 01{:}09{:}46.738$ for the program for biomedical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:09:46.740 --> 01:09:49.647 If you just go to biomedical ethics at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:09:49.650 \longrightarrow 01:09:52.499$ you will find it there very soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:09:52.500 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.661$ OK, another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:09:53.661 --> 01:09:55.596 I think for our speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:09:55.600 \longrightarrow 01:09:57.440$ if there is a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:09:57.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.544$ epigenetic component to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:09:58.544 --> 01:09:59.850 disproportionate black mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:09:59.850 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.214$ how can you disentangle that from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:10:02.214 --> 01:10:04.607 structural racism and the history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:10:04.607 \longrightarrow 01:10:07.204$ anti black racism in the United States?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01{:}10{:}07.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}09.950$ So there's a pretty easy question so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:10:09.950 --> 01:10:10.922 But I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:10:10.922 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.190$ I'll put an important one affair question.

 $01:10:13.190 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.080$ This is this is hard stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:10:15.080 \longrightarrow 01:10:17.079$ to China on sort of stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:10:17.080 --> 01:10:18.838 It's also a little bit frustrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:10:18.838 --> 01:10:20.715 how with all the genetic technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:10:20.715 \longrightarrow 01:10:22.969$ and data available as well as all

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:10:22.969 --> 01:10:24.729 the demographic data available that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:10:24.729 \longrightarrow 01:10:27.102$ we still don't have a better answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:10:27.102 --> 01:10:29.216 for why this disparity or a complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:10:29.216 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.328$ answer for why this disparity exists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:10:31.330 --> 01:10:33.010 But perhaps you'd like to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01{:}10{:}33.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}34.520$ Poverty caused by structural racism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

01:10:34.520 --> 01:10:35.788 All that I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01:10:35.788 \longrightarrow 01:10:38.026$ That's the next question should be so

NOTE Confidence: 0.83316171169281

 $01{:}10{:}38.026 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}39.930$ the last question will get to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:10:39.930 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.850$ in a moment. Netex from structure isn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:10:42.850 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.923$ I mean, I think that's a really

 $01:10:45.923 \longrightarrow 01:10:48.503$ excellent question and I think we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:10:48.503 --> 01:10:51.240 at the point where we're only just

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:10:51.321 --> 01:10:53.955 beginning to delve into and beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:10:53.955 \longrightarrow 01:10:57.352$ to ready to here to really hear what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:10:57.352 \longrightarrow 01:10:59.800$ how pervasive and what the extent

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:10:59.881 \longrightarrow 01:11:02.146$ of structural racism has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:02.150 --> 01:11:06.440 So, I mean, we can point to examples of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:06.440 --> 01:11:08.200 but really, understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:11:08.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:11.589$ entire T of it is going to take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:11.590 --> 01:11:14.425 A long time and a lot of work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:14.425 --> 01:11:17.605 I don't know how from where I sit

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:11:17.605 \longrightarrow 01:11:20.868$ right now to begin to dis entangle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:20.870 --> 01:11:22.262 Epigenetics are from structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:22.262 --> 01:11:23.306 racism per say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:23.310 --> 01:11:26.442 It's going to have to be attention to detail,

01:11:26.450 --> 01:11:28.690 attention to the subtlety Anna lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:11:28.690 \longrightarrow 01:11:30.931$ thought about how you structure your

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:30.931 --> 01:11:33.428 analysis, an what data set you're using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:11:33.430 \longrightarrow 01:11:35.880$ and how you handle that data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:11:35.880 \longrightarrow 01:11:39.450$ It's a great question, but I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:11:39.450 \longrightarrow 01:11:40.970$ I don't know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:11:40.970 \longrightarrow 01:11:42.870$ I mean there's like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:11:42.870 \longrightarrow 01:11:44.960$ That literature is growing so

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:44.960 --> 01:11:47.784 rapidly and it's so bulky to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:47.784 --> 01:11:50.087 able to delve into it process it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

 $01:11:50.090 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.780$ synthesize it, and then take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:52.780 --> 01:11:58.549 From that What you want to apply to inform?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:11:58.550 --> 01:12:01.415 Analysis about epigenetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322

01:12:01.415 --> 01:12:04.280 It's monumental undertaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845977246761322 01:12:04.280 --> 01:12:04.600 Here's NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:04.600 --> 01:12:06.980 a question. I think you that you

01:12:06.980 --> 01:12:08.927 you're talking touched on to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

 $01:12:08.927 \longrightarrow 01:12:10.655$ point in answer to some extent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:10.660 --> 01:12:13.522 but but I'm curious on your thoughts on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:13.530 --> 01:12:15.914 Do you think poverty and low income are

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:15.914 --> 01:12:17.997 the underlying causes of the disparities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:18.000 --> 01:12:19.908 Now you based on my understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:19.910 --> 01:12:22.350 you pointed out that if you control for

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

 $01:12:22.350 \longrightarrow 01:12:24.698$ income that you still see the disparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:24.700 --> 01:12:26.996 But there was an interesting aspect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

 $01:12:26.996 \longrightarrow 01:12:28.846$ this question which is. For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:28.846 --> 01:12:30.436 microbiome is influenced by environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:30.440 --> 01:12:31.536 the diet, stress etc,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

 $01:12:31.536 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.906$ and those who experience poverty

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

 $01:12:32.906 \longrightarrow 01:12:34.270$ are impacted tremendously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:34.270 --> 01:12:36.566 But if poverty caused by structural racism.

 $01:12:36.570 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.218$ Then we need to address racism as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

 $01{:}12{:}39.220 --> 01{:}12{:}39.882 \ {\rm Question \ mark},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

 $01:12:39.882 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.537$ but you're saying that poverty

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

01:12:41.537 --> 01:12:43.556 if you control for poverty you

NOTE Confidence: 0.809897661209106

 $01:12:43.556 \longrightarrow 01:12:44.848$ still see the difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903273642063141

 $01:12:45.460 \longrightarrow 01:12:49.450$ I am and this is. This is kind of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903273642063141

01:12:49.450 --> 01:12:51.622 A tricky question, and when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.903273642063141

 $01:12:51.622 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.458$ begin to see how everything is so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903273642063141

01:12:54.460 --> 01:12:57.634 Intertwined and I guess that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.903273642063141

 $01:12:57.634 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.793$ what really what I'm saying is

NOTE Confidence: 0.903273642063141

 $01{:}13{:}00.793 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}03.625$ from where we stand right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903273642063141

01:13:03.630 --> 01:13:05.494 I wouldn't discount anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903273642063141

01:13:05.494 --> 01:13:08.290 I think that's really the message

NOTE Confidence: 0.903273642063141

 $01:13:08.366 \longrightarrow 01:13:10.655$ that I wanted to get across is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824338495731354

01:13:12.840 --> 01:13:18.460 I yeah. I wouldn't discount anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824338495731354

 $01:13:18.460 \longrightarrow 01:13:20.210$ I think that that all of these

 $01:13:20.210 \longrightarrow 01:13:21.640$ approaches are legitimate possibilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824338495731354

01:13:21.640 --> 01:13:23.368 But to Opry Ori say no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824338495731354

 $01:13:23.370 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.810$ it can't be one thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824338495731354

01:13:24.810 --> 01:13:27.330 It can only be the other thing I think is

NOTE Confidence: 0.824338495731354

 $01:13:27.393 \longrightarrow 01:13:29.724$ is a disservice is doing a disservice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824338495731354

 $01:13:29.730 \longrightarrow 01:13:30.594$ Well, I appreciate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824338495731354

 $01:13:30.594 \longrightarrow 01:13:32.034$ I appreciate that sentiment in

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:13:32.040 --> 01:13:34.287 particular. It may be 'cause I actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01{:}13{:}34.287 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}36.389$ had written down some questions as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:13:36.389 \longrightarrow 01:13:38.597$ went along in the talk was so nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01{:}13{:}38.597 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}40.445$ that as you went along you answered

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:13:40.445 \longrightarrow 01:13:42.150$ my questions and stuff, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01{:}13{:}42.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}43.851$ But when I wanted to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:13:43.851 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.131$ one of my questions was is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:13:46.131 --> 01:13:47.615 actually a political price?

 $01:13:47.620 \longrightarrow 01:13:51.365$ For endorsing or not endorsing a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:13:51.365 --> 01:13:54.730 genetic role in disparities and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:13:54.730 \longrightarrow 01:13:58.660$ I think that you've kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:13:58.660 --> 01:13:59.488 Well, I'll ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:13:59.488 \longrightarrow 01:14:01.768$ ask you to speak to that because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:01.768 \longrightarrow 01:14:03.742$ think that you imply that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:03.742 \longrightarrow 01:14:05.662$ some people who feel stronger than

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:05.662 \longrightarrow 01:14:07.600$ we shouldn't go in that direction

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:07.600 \longrightarrow 01:14:09.686$ for all the reasons you talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:09.690 \longrightarrow 01:14:10.954$ And by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:10.954 \longrightarrow 01:14:12.850$ the history of eugenics in medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:12.918 \longrightarrow 01:14:14.158$ is not a subtle one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:14:14.160 --> 01:14:15.948 In New Haven's role and major

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:14:15.948 --> 01:14:17.140 academic institutions all over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:17.140 \longrightarrow 01:14:18.892$ including Yale's role in eugenics in

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:18.892 \longrightarrow 01:14:21.009$ the earlier part of the 20th century.

01:14:21.010 --> 01:14:22.792 In we carry this legacy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:14:22.792 --> 01:14:23.683 us as physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:14:23.690 --> 01:14:23.986 unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01{:}14{:}23.986 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}25.762$ and his academics so that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:25.762 \longrightarrow 01:14:26.970$ caution is certainly there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:26.970 \longrightarrow 01:14:28.830$ But your message seems to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:14:28.830 --> 01:14:31.399 Let's keep every door open and let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:31.399 \longrightarrow 01:14:33.822$ look everywhere we can amass strikes me

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01{:}14{:}33.822 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}36.509$ as as as something that strikes me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:14:36.510 --> 01:14:37.707 Amerson, you concomitant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

01:14:37.707 --> 01:14:40.918 you seem less married to a specific answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162

 $01:14:40.918 \longrightarrow 01:14:43.375$ then you are to solving the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856106340885162 01:14:43.380 --> 01:14:44.210 I agree, NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01{:}14{:}44{.}210 \longrightarrow 01{:}14{:}47{.}108$ I think that in my in my short career

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:14:47.108 --> 01:14:49.769 just reading and talking and networking

 $01:14:49.769 \longrightarrow 01:14:53.015$ and being exposed to all different ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:14:53.015 --> 01:14:56.172 in many different contexts has I can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:14:56.180 \longrightarrow 01:14:59.071$ I can see how it shifted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:14:59.071 \longrightarrow 01:15:01.678$ refined my view. And so I I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:01.678 \longrightarrow 01:15:04.307$ I come to this question with thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:15:04.307 --> 01:15:07.744 about how I'd like to investigate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:07.750 \longrightarrow 01:15:10.634$ An roads I'd like to go down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:10.640 \longrightarrow 01:15:13.356$ but there's so many twists and turns

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:13.356 \longrightarrow 01:15:17.578$ and it's so complex. That I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:15:17.580 --> 01:15:19.130 Said saying at the outset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:19.130 \longrightarrow 01:15:21.506$ this is what it must be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:15:21.510 --> 01:15:24.219 An I reject everything else has the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:24.219 \longrightarrow 01:15:26.750$ has the potential to actually further

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:26.750 \longrightarrow 01:15:29.767$ undermine and hurt the very people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:15:29.843 --> 01:15:32.777 you're trying to help by excluding

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:32.777 \longrightarrow 01:15:35.143$ them from potentially benefiting from

01:15:35.143 --> 01:15:38.367 something like I think about it in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:38.367 \longrightarrow 01:15:41.521$ similar way to the lack of inclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:41.521 \longrightarrow 01:15:44.311$ of African American folks in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:44.311 \longrightarrow 01:15:47.089$ trials in vaccine trials like it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:47.090 \longrightarrow 01:15:48.419$ In my mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:48.419 \longrightarrow 01:15:51.520$ it seems very clear that there was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:15:51.520 --> 01:15:54.100 Kind of a dual mistrusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:54.100 \longrightarrow 01:15:55.648$ the medical institution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:55.650 \longrightarrow 01:15:59.255$ but also maybe not wanting to two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:15:59.260 \longrightarrow 01:16:02.473$ Go out of one's way to bring in members

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:16:02.473 --> 01:16:05.448 from this group that has a history

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:16:05.448 --> 01:16:08.684 of being a manipulated by or taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01{:}16{:}08.684 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}11.606$ advantage of by the medical establishment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:16:11.610 \longrightarrow 01:16:14.226$ They were not included and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:16:14.226 \longrightarrow 01:16:17.289$ did not have the opportunity to.

 $01:16:17.290 \longrightarrow 01:16:18.802$ Benefit from discoveries that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:16:18.802 \longrightarrow 01:16:20.314$ may have been made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:16:20.320 --> 01:16:23.344 So I'm not saying that's what would happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

01:16:23.350 --> 01:16:25.245 but that's that's my worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:16:25.245 \longrightarrow 01:16:27.140$ that if we say upfront,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:16:27.140 \longrightarrow 01:16:27.494$ no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:16:27.494 \longrightarrow 01:16:30.326$ this can't be that you have denied the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:16:30.326 \longrightarrow 01:16:32.450$ opportunity to benefit from something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862392723560333

 $01:16:32.450 \longrightarrow 01:16:35.096$ If it turns out to be there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

01:16:35.800 --> 01:16:38.300 Thank you. Another question, please,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

 $01{:}16{:}38.300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}40.682$ are you aware of quality improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

 $01:16:40.682 \longrightarrow 01:16:42.270$ initiatives or other interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

01:16:42.329 --> 01:16:44.520 that have shown the ability to narrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

01:16:44.520 --> 01:16:46.469 the disparities in maternal neonate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

01:16:46.470 --> 01:16:48.320 Allowed comma and black women?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

 $01:16:48.320 \longrightarrow 01:16:50.180$ Better access to care, etc.

01:16:50.180 --> 01:16:52.434 Are you aware of research that looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

01:16:52.434 --> 01:16:53.876 specifically at adverse childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

 $01:16:53.876 \longrightarrow 01:16:55.966$ events and racial disparities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.886369776725769

 $01:16:55.966 \longrightarrow 01:16:57.970$ maternal neonatal outcomes? So two

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:16:57.970 \longrightarrow 01:16:59.233$ somewhat different questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:16:59.233 \longrightarrow 01:17:02.618$ so I am aware of broadly research in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:02.618 \longrightarrow 01:17:04.796$ both of those domains because it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:04.800 \longrightarrow 01:17:09.030$ That's not the space that I work in as much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:09.030 \longrightarrow 01:17:11.680$ I haven't really been in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:11.680 \longrightarrow 01:17:13.850$ An exploring that literature recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

01:17:13.850 --> 01:17:15.770 But there's certain there certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:15.770 \longrightarrow 01:17:17.690$ is a robust literature about

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:17.750 \longrightarrow 01:17:19.910$ average early childhood experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01{:}17{:}19.910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}22.070$ aces, and how those experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

01:17:22.070 --> 01:17:23.366 can drive disparities,

 $01:17:23.370 \longrightarrow 01:17:25.540$ and in terms of interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01{:}17{:}25.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}28.612$ I come across them as I'm kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:28.612 \longrightarrow 01:17:31.598$ looking for articles on other topics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

01:17:31.600 --> 01:17:35.056 so I know that certainly they do exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:35.060 \longrightarrow 01:17:37.270$ and there have been some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:37.270 \longrightarrow 01:17:39.310$ Strides need an people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

01:17:39.310 --> 01:17:41.779 putting their work out there so

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

01:17:41.779 --> 01:17:43.879 you know that that does exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:43.880 \longrightarrow 01:17:48.000$ but it hasn't been in kind of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

01:17:48.000 --> 01:17:52.837 A sweeping manner that's been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:52.837 \longrightarrow 01:17:57.508$ kind of infiltrate on a national scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649

 $01:17:57.510 \longrightarrow 01:17:59.730$ Practice patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851955533027649 01:17:59.730 --> 01:18:00.840 Here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

01:18:00.840 --> 01:18:02.644 a provocative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:02.644 \longrightarrow 01:18:07.154$ Point that must be raised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:07.160 \longrightarrow 01:18:08.164$ Door we didn't open.

 $01:18:08.164 \longrightarrow 01:18:09.670$ We talked about leaving all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:09.727 \longrightarrow 01:18:11.351$ doors open to try and get to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:11.351 \longrightarrow 01:18:12.728$ answer to make things better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:12.730 \longrightarrow 01:18:15.022$ The door we didn't open was

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

01:18:15.022 --> 01:18:16.550 physician behavior in practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:16.550 \longrightarrow 01:18:18.460$ and that's the one thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:18.460 \longrightarrow 01:18:19.988$ we can always control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01{:}18{:}19.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}22.280$ We really perpetuated a lack

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01{:}18{:}22.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}23.654$ of physician accountability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:23.660 \longrightarrow 01:18:25.085$ To a group of learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:25.085 \longrightarrow 01:18:26.225$ medical providers no less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

01:18:26.230 --> 01:18:27.946 That's the common on this thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01:18:27.950 \longrightarrow 01:18:30.238$ so a lack of position to kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

 $01{:}18{:}30.240 \to 01{:}18{:}32.528$ I don't know if that's been perpetuate it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

01:18:32.530 --> 01:18:34.792 but I have to say I

 $01:18:34.792 \longrightarrow 01:18:35.923$ found this traumatizing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850763525281634

01:18:35.930 --> 01:18:37.659 Do you want to comment on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

01:18:39.560 --> 01:18:42.220 What was traumatizing, I'm sorry, well I get

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:18:42.220 \longrightarrow 01:18:44.700$ the sense from the from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:18:44.700 \longrightarrow 01:18:46.649$ question that that the concern here

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

01:18:46.649 --> 01:18:48.970 was that we didn't talk about physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:18:48.970 \longrightarrow 01:18:51.483$ accountability and that this is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01{:}18{:}51.483 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}54.262$ this has been raised but we didn't talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01{:}18{:}54.262 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}56.324$ specifically about what there may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

01:18:56.324 --> 01:18:58.208 a physician's role in this disparity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

01:18:58.210 --> 01:19:00.534 because as I know you know well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:19:00.540 \longrightarrow 01:19:02.574$ amorous that there have been studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:19:02.574 \longrightarrow 01:19:04.951$ that show that even among those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

01:19:04.951 --> 01:19:07.191 are quite sure we're doing it right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:19:07.200 \longrightarrow 01:19:08.436$ In fact, we're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:19:08.436 \longrightarrow 01:19:10.660$ Can not always everyone all the time,

 $01:19:10.660 \longrightarrow 01:19:12.760$ but in fact there are certainly disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:19:12.760 \longrightarrow 01:19:14.889$ in the way we care for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:19:14.890 \longrightarrow 01:19:18.178$ Do you do you want to comment on

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

01:19:18.178 --> 01:19:20.890 how physician action activity may?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

01:19:20.890 --> 01:19:22.875 Be impacting that disparity specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.849719047546387

 $01:19:22.875 \longrightarrow 01:19:24.860$ in maternal and neonatal outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

01:19:27.020 --> 01:19:32.519 So it is always a challenge because I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

 $01:19:32.520 \longrightarrow 01:19:38.460$ In the. Nick, you it can feel like we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

 $01:19:38.460 \longrightarrow 01:19:40.700$ More control over the immediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

 $01:19:40.700 \longrightarrow 01:19:42.492$ environment of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

 $01{:}19{:}42.492 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}44.640$ because they were there with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

 $01:19:44.640 \longrightarrow 01:19:50.048$ And we don't. Necessarily recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

 $01{:}19{:}50.048 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}54.692$ in ourselves when perhaps we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

 $01:19:54.692 \longrightarrow 01:19:58.398$ perpetuating some of the disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

 $01:19:58.400 \longrightarrow 01:19:59.980$ I guess I'm not really.

 $01:19:59.980 \longrightarrow 01:20:02.180$ I mean, it's it's true, it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90438574552536

01:20:02.180 --> 01:20:03.440 It is a huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

 $01:20:07.250 \longrightarrow 01:20:09.642$ It is a topic that is right for

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

01:20:09.642 --> 01:20:11.859 discussion that needs to be discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

 $01:20:11.859 \longrightarrow 01:20:13.415$ and should be incorporated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

01:20:13.420 --> 01:20:16.300 I will say I didn't really incorporate it

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

 $01:20:16.300 \longrightarrow 01:20:19.276$ into this conversation as much because I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

01:20:19.280 --> 01:20:22.990 I'm thinking more at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

 $01{:}20{:}22.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}26.700$ population level and it's very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

01:20:26.700 --> 01:20:30.040 If it's a challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

 $01{:}20{:}30.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}32.505$ It's a challenging realization to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

 $01{:}20{:}32.505 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}36.439$ have that you may be perpetuate ING.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910863816738129

 $01:20:36.440 \longrightarrow 01:20:40.208$ Stereotypes in situations where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857612729072571

01:20:42.380 --> 01:20:44.339 You're propagating disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857612729072571

01:20:44.339 --> 01:20:47.830 but I'm not. I guess I don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:20:47.830 \longrightarrow 01:20:49.054$ know what to say.

 $01:20:49.054 \longrightarrow 01:20:50.890$ I know I appreciate that Emerson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01{:}20{:}50.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}52.941$ I appreciate that went through a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:20:52.941 --> 01:20:55.168 of things and you didn't you didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:20:55.170 --> 01:20:57.618 You didn't in this talk at the cover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:20:57.620 --> 01:20:59.150 every possible aspect of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:20:59.150 \longrightarrow 01:21:00.836$ but there was an awful lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:00.836 \longrightarrow 01:21:02.520$ of things that were covered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:21:02.520 --> 01:21:04.350 but certainly our role as physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:21:04.350 --> 01:21:05.782 our complicity as physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:05.782 \longrightarrow 01:21:07.572$ and perhaps contributing to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:07.572 \longrightarrow 01:21:09.558$ disparities are something we need to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:09.560 \longrightarrow 01:21:11.090$ And this is where again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01{:}21{:}11.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}12.968$ I think the comparison to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:12.968 \longrightarrow 01:21:14.809$ countries where perhaps the racism is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:14.810 \longrightarrow 01:21:16.847$ And other settings where racism is different,

 $01:21:16.850 \longrightarrow 01:21:18.262$ perhaps less, perhaps more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:18.262 \longrightarrow 01:21:20.380$ How all these things could compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:20.438 \longrightarrow 01:21:22.086$ to see to see how we do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:21:22.090 --> 01:21:23.830 Again, I think the ultimate goal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:23.830 \longrightarrow 01:21:25.345$ and I appreciate the sentiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:21:25.345 --> 01:21:27.770 you're trying to do is to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:27.770 \longrightarrow 01:21:29.456$ find out what's causing the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:29.456 \longrightarrow 01:21:31.396$ so that you can make it better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:31.400 \longrightarrow 01:21:33.381$ You and a lot of other smart

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:21:33.381 --> 01:21:35.180 people trying to sort this out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01{:}21{:}35.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}37.508$ and no doubt I shouldn't say no doubt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:37.510 \longrightarrow 01:21:39.822$ I think most of us would agree that

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:39.822 \longrightarrow 01:21:41.042$ physician behavior is certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:41.042 \longrightarrow 01:21:42.218$ part of the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:21:42.220 --> 01:21:43.984 And this is something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:43.984 \longrightarrow 01:21:46.415$ have to keep our eyes open to

01:21:46.415 --> 01:21:47.600 another question please,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:47.600 \longrightarrow 01:21:50.280$ I'm wondering if you can comment on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:21:50.280 --> 01:21:51.958 relationship between low birth weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:21:51.960 --> 01:21:53.304 preterm birth and racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:53.304 \longrightarrow 01:21:53.640$ i.e.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

01:21:53.640 --> 01:21:55.320 Through mechanisms like increased cortisol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:55.320 \longrightarrow 01:21:57.651$ are there studies that have looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:57.651 \longrightarrow 01:21:59.712$ perceived racism and how that itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.828336596488953

 $01:21:59.712 \longrightarrow 01:22:01.704$ is associated with low birth weight?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:02.560 \longrightarrow 01:22:06.208$ So there are studies that have looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:06.208 \longrightarrow 01:22:10.299$ that the results are somewhat conflicting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:10.300 \longrightarrow 01:22:13.618$ Ann are not the most robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

01:22:13.620 --> 01:22:17.604 I think some of the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:17.604 \longrightarrow 01:22:20.740$ interesting studies have looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:20.740 \longrightarrow 01:22:23.278$ Have looked at women who underwent.

01:22:23.280 --> 01:22:26.430 I'd like a an acute stressor during

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:26.430 \longrightarrow 01:22:29.324$ the pregnancy and then followed them

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

01:22:29.324 --> 01:22:32.228 to see what happened with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:32.228 \longrightarrow 01:22:35.099$ babies and there was an increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

01:22:35.100 --> 01:22:38.420 Risk or rate of very low birth weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

01:22:38.420 --> 01:22:40.264 I'm thinking specifically about

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:40.264 \longrightarrow 01:22:43.454$ kind of a cross sectional study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:43.454 \longrightarrow 01:22:45.883$ was done in Iowa before an after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:45.890 \longrightarrow 01:22:49.210$ I believe it was an ice raid for to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

01:22:49.293 --> 01:22:52.527 for illegal immigrants and deport them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:52.530 \longrightarrow 01:22:54.646$ and they looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:54.646 \longrightarrow 01:22:57.820$ They looked at the at the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:57.820 \longrightarrow 01:22:59.560$ Rate of low birth weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:22:59.560 \longrightarrow 01:23:02.108$ kind of in the year before that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:02.108 \longrightarrow 01:23:04.345$ happened and then in the nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:04.345 \longrightarrow 01:23:06.837$ months to a year after that happened

 $01:23:06.919 \longrightarrow 01:23:08.999$ in compared between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:09.000 \longrightarrow 01:23:14.047$ But in terms of in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:14.050 \longrightarrow 01:23:16.554$ That that relationship with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:16.554 \longrightarrow 01:23:19.684$ With how with experienced racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:19.690 \longrightarrow 01:23:21.840$ it's it's often self report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

01:23:21.840 --> 01:23:24.224 I just have I think that we that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:24.224 \longrightarrow 01:23:27.458$ we are still struggling to find a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01{:}23{:}27.458 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}29.506$ good measurement measurement tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:29.506 \longrightarrow 01:23:32.160$ that's more accurate and reliable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

01:23:32.160 --> 01:23:33.672 But the court,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

01:23:33.672 --> 01:23:35.688 like the correlation doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:35.688 \longrightarrow 01:23:37.940$ is not that robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815

 $01:23:37.940 \longrightarrow 01:23:40.508$ From the few studies I've seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841551423072815 01:23:40.510 --> 01:23:40.990 There are NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:23:40.990 \longrightarrow 01:23:42.355$ a couple other questions which

 $01:23:42.355 \longrightarrow 01:23:43.720$ are interesting which I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

01:23:43.769 --> 01:23:45.085 going to have time to get to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:23:45.090 \longrightarrow 01:23:46.553$ but I'm going to encourage the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:23:46.553 \longrightarrow 01:23:48.404$ who ask him one related to the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:23:48.404 \longrightarrow 01:23:50.163$ role of doulas in reducing stress is

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:23:50.163 \longrightarrow 01:23:51.777$ another about policy actions that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

01:23:51.777 --> 01:23:53.390 might recommend so I would I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:23:53.390 \longrightarrow 01:23:54.780$ ask those individuals if you send

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:23:54.780 \longrightarrow 01:23:56.418$ something to me through that website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

01:23:56.420 --> 01:23:58.100 I mentioned to biomedical ethics at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

01:23:58.100 --> 01:23:59.654 I'm if you reach out to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:23:59.654 \longrightarrow 01:24:00.999$ to Karen who's our manager.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:24:01.000 \longrightarrow 01:24:02.632$ I'll see that these questions get

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

01:24:02.632 --> 01:24:04.413 to Doctor Kaiser and if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:24:04.413 \longrightarrow 01:24:05.897$ a minute or two to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:24:05.947 \longrightarrow 01:24:07.255$ it to respond to an email.

01:24:07.260 --> 01:24:09.116 If you want amorous but I knew I

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:24:09.116 \longrightarrow 01:24:10.818$ wouldn't be able to get everything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01{:}24{:}10.818 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}13.058$ now we only have a minute or two left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

01:24:13.060 --> 01:24:15.100 But I want to leave it with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:24:15.100 \longrightarrow 01:24:17.019$ chance for you to share with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

01:24:17.020 --> 01:24:19.492 Any final thoughts you have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:24:19.492 \longrightarrow 01:24:21.615$ suggestions you have about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

01:24:21.615 --> 01:24:23.625 direction this work should go?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

01:24:23.630 --> 01:24:24.780 I want you to just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:24:24.780 \longrightarrow 01:24:26.604$ We've got just a minute or two left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:24:26.610 \longrightarrow 01:24:28.482$ I want you to have the final word on

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01:24:28.482 \longrightarrow 01:24:30.269$ this on whatever topic you want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837317883968353

 $01{:}24{:}30.270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}31.866$ However you want to address the topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:24:32.400 \longrightarrow 01:24:34.224$ I think it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

01:24:34.224 --> 01:24:36.504 It's a hard topic there.

 $01:24:36.510 \longrightarrow 01:24:38.414$ Challenging conversations to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:24:38.414 \longrightarrow 01:24:40.318$ It's really exciting because

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

01:24:40.318 --> 01:24:42.908 of the opportunity to work in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:24:42.910 \longrightarrow 01:24:44.734$ uh, in multidisciplinary teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:24:44.734 \longrightarrow 01:24:47.940$ This problem. This issue is so complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

01:24:47.940 --> 01:24:50.964 It's so multi layered everything is

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:24:50.964 \longrightarrow 01:24:54.139$ so entangled that you really need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

01:24:54.140 --> 01:24:57.908 Awareness of the research and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01{:}24{:}57.908 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}01.995$ ideas that are being discussed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:25:01.995 \longrightarrow 01:25:06.357$ other realms outside of the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:25:06.360 \longrightarrow 01:25:08.370$ That that will enrich the work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:25:08.370 \longrightarrow 01:25:10.715$ but I guess what I'm left with

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:25:10.715 \longrightarrow 01:25:11.720$ is the importance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:25:11.720 \longrightarrow 01:25:13.688$ the necessity of working in teams

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:25:13.688 \longrightarrow 01:25:16.079$ so that you can amass the team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:25:16.080 \longrightarrow 01:25:18.054$ That's going to have the expertise

 $01:25:18.054 \longrightarrow 01:25:20.893$ to be able to delve into all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01{:}25{:}20.893 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}22.708$ these different realms and begin

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:25:22.708 \longrightarrow 01:25:24.788$ to make sense of all of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

01:25:24.790 --> 01:25:28.399 and to make sense of how it fits together

NOTE Confidence: 0.877221047878265

 $01:25:28.399 \longrightarrow 01:25:32.179$ so that we understand where we go next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722104787826501:25:32.180 --> 01:25:32.520 Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

01:25:32.520 --> 01:25:33.804 you very much Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

 $01:25:33.804 \longrightarrow 01:25:35.730$ Amorous casual this has been an

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

 $01{:}25{:}35.799 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}37.679$ extraordinary 90 minutes and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

 $01:25:37.679 \longrightarrow 01:25:40.242$ very grateful for your time and your

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

 $01{:}25{:}40.242 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}42.405$ expertise and we look forward to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

 $01:25:42.405 \longrightarrow 01:25:45.100$ next visit in person back home to Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

 $01{:}25{:}45.100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}47.820$ Thank you all very much for attending an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

 $01:25:47.820 \longrightarrow 01:25:49.220$ We're getting nice comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

 $01:25:49.220 \longrightarrow 01:25:51.320$ here from folks who very much

 $01{:}25{:}51.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}53.160$ appreciate the talk amorous I owe

NOTE Confidence: 0.769068837165833

 $01{:}25{:}53.160 \to 01{:}25{:}55.640$ you 1 this was terrific. Thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932667255402

 $01{:}25{:}55.640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}58.165$ so much. Goodnight folks. Here.