WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:21:09" NOTE recognizability:0.804

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.400 All right, welcome. My name is Mark Mercurio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.332$  and this is the Program for Biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00{:}00{:}05{:}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.159$  Ethics at Yale School of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

00:00:07.160 --> 00:00:10.240 Evening Ethics Seminar series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

00:00:10.240 --> 00:00:12.676 And I'm very pleased tonight to welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00{:}00{:}12.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.948$  Brianna Moore from the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:14.948 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.160$  Texas Medical Branch at Galveston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

00:00:17.160 --> 00:00:20.232 Professor Moore is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:20.232 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.240$  associate assistant professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.599$  Excuse me, In the Department of Bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:23.600 \dashrightarrow 00:00:25.776$  What's that? You can give me a promotion up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

00:00:25.776 --> 00:00:27.156 We can right here. Show of hands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

00:00:27.156 --> 00:00:28.600 Wait. Wait till the end of the talk.

 $00:00:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.959$  Wait, not, not so fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.720$  The end of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00:00:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.748$  We'll decide about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.51192829

 $00{:}00{:}31.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.519$  associate professor thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:00:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.000$  Professor Moore is here for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:00:41.000 --> 00:00:42.600 couple of specific reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:00:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.640$  but she has a pH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:00:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.856$  D from Monash in Melbourne, Australia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}00{:}45.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.617$  She did fellowships at Children's

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:00:48.617 --> 00:00:51.359 Mercy as well as at Baylor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:00:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.992$  She is the chairman of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:00:52.992 --> 00:00:54.080 American Society of Bioethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:00:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.960$  the pediatric ethics affinity group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}00{:}56.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.535$  and she has particular expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:00:58.535 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.210$  in pediatric ethics, but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.035$  particular expertise and virtue ethics.

00:01:02.040 --> 00:01:02.920 And this is something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}02.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.490$  as a couple of the medical students

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.577$  have heard me say as we do that when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:06.577 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.117$  when we teach and talk about medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:08.117 --> 00:01:11.400 ethics in a more didactic fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.695$  this is something that I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:12.695 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.158$  gets as much attention as it should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.462$  And there's only so many hours in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:15.462 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.791$  the curriculum, we recognize that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:16.791 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.010$  But these evening seminars are a chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:19.072 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.880$  for us to do a little bit better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.238$  by all of us, by the students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}22.240 --> 00{:}01{:}22.452 \ certainly,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:22.452 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.360$  but by everyone else who comes to join us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.145$  So for that reason and knowing that

00:01:26.145 --> 00:01:27.880 Brianna had an expertise in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}27.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.458$  as well as knowing that she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:29.458 --> 00:01:31.159 already a member of the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:31.160 --> 00:01:33.380 essentially because of her work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.297$  Laurie's program this past summer

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:35.297 --> 00:01:37.157 across campus and other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:37.160 --> 00:01:37.822 I'm very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:37.822 --> 00:01:39.477 very pleased to welcome Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:39.477 --> 00:01:41.448 Brianna Moore to talk to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:41.448 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.676$  tonight about virtue ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:42.680 --> 00:01:44.320 This will work the way it usually works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.760$  which is to say we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.672$  a talk for about 45 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:47.672 --> 00:01:48.560 plus or minus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.236$  then we'll have an audience conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.400$  So I'll moderate folks who are on Zoom

 $00{:}01{:}53.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.584$  can send in questions via the Q& amp; A por-

tion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:01:55.584 --> 00:01:57.068 the Q&A function on Zoom and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00{:}01{:}57.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.606$  try to get to some of those questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:01:58.606 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.278$  as well as the questions in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:02:00.280 --> 00:02:02.719 And we'll go until 6:30 and then at 6:30,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:02:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.238$  we will call it a night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:02:04.240 --> 00:02:05.199 So thank you so much for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

 $00:02:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.719$  And especially thank you for coming up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75505835

00:02:06.720 --> 00:02:07.040 Brianna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6705972725

 $00:02:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.900$  no vote yet. No vote yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.6705972725

 $00:02:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.320$  about these. Thanks. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.730$  And the pressure just really has risen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.160$  Can everyone hear me OK? Yes. Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.414$  Doctor Mccario, thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:22.414 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.599$  for the invitation to come up.

 $00:02:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.390$  And thank you to Karen as well for all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:25.442 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.234$  your help with the with the arrangements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.728$  Yeah. These things take a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:28.728 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.938$  of time and energy to arrange.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

00:02:30.938 --> 00:02:32.684 I appreciate it. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:32.684 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.672$  So quickly, this is a comic by

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

00:02:34.672 --> 00:02:37.119 one of my favorite comic artists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

00:02:37.120 --> 00:02:38.960 If you had a little giggle at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.640$  Jake likes onions, it's fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

00:02:42.640 --> 00:02:44.020 On my question here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.400$  I said we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.646$  It was really exciting to me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00{:}02{:}46.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.236$  come back and get a chance to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

 $00:02:48.236 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.246$  about virtue ethics because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

00:02:49.291 --> 00:02:50.677 don't really talk about it as much.

00:02:50.680 --> 00:02:52.577 I think having grown up and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.754494366

00:02:52.577 --> 00:02:54.040 of trained in Australia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

 $00:02:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.952$  we talk about it a little bit more there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

 $00:02:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.155$  I think culturally we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

 $00:02:59.155 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.560$  about it less in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

 $00:03:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.720$  which is just an interesting place to start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

00:03:04.720 --> 00:03:05.628 I'm a humanity scholar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

 $00:03:05.628 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.272$  No one wants to give us any

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

 $00:03:07.272 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.437$  money or glory or riches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

 $00:03:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.920$  So no, never. Any conflicts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

 $00:03:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.168$  I hope to one day have

NOTE Confidence: 0.897407811111111

 $00:03:11.168 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.000$  a conflict to disclose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81488624285714

 $00:03:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.517$  These are our learning objectives for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853482986666667

 $00{:}03{:}18.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.920$  That third one's a little fuzzy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853482986666667

00:03:19.920 --> 00:03:21.502 I kind of had a case carefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.853482986666667

 $00:03:21.502 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.319$  written up and I thought we'd

 $00:03:23.319 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.759$  systematically apply virtue ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853482986666667

 $00{:}03{:}24.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.960$  And then I was sitting there last night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853482986666667

 $00:03:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.800$  I thought, I hate that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853482986666667

 $00:03:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.880$  I don't want to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.668$  And a virtual ethicist

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

00:03:31.668 --> 00:03:32.953 wouldn't approach it that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.820$  So I thought, no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.417$  So I'm just going to give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00{:}03{:}35.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.959$  some stories a little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.730$  That's our application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.405$  So I'm going to start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:40.405 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.273$  a question for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00{:}03{:}41.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.516$  And when you want to think about someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.794$  it can be anyone in your life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.334$  It can be someone in a professional

 $00:03:48.334 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.860$  setting who you look up to and

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00{:}03{:}50.860 --> 00{:}03{:}52.560$  I if anyone's feeling brave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.556$  brave enough to share,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:53.556 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.277$  who is it and why do you look up to them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:03:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.240$  Who is someone that that you respect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

00:03:58.240 --> 00:04:00.600 that you that you admire in your life?

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:04:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.680$  It can be for any reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:04:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.400$  What is it about them

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667

 $00:04:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.424$  that so impresses you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.758243946666667 00:04:05.424 --> 00:04:05.680 Diane

NOTE Confidence: 0.72514245

 $00{:}04{:}09.880 \to 00{:}04{:}11.998$  Hoffman at the University of Maryland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72514245

00:04:12.000 --> 00:04:18.320 She is a genius but is always put

NOTE Confidence: 0.72514245

 $00:04:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.480$  together incredibly hospitable. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.360$  OK, so we had someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.960$  a mentor or colleague who was both and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.672$  yeah, kind hospitable, always put together.

00:04:33.672 --> 00:04:35.288 Just generous, generosity of spirit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00{:}04{:}35.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.515$  Kind of. Yeah. OK, good. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

00:04:38.515 --> 00:04:41.548 Anyone else want to share? Who is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:41.548 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.200$  And what do you admire about them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.480$  Yeah. Marty Samuels is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

00:04:46.480 --> 00:04:48.280 neurologist recently passed away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.640$  He's famous for going to major

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.500$  national lectures and giving talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:04:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.733$  about mistakes he made lessons you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00{:}04{:}55.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.888$  drew from the mistakes he made. Yep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

00:04:57.888 --> 00:05:00.192 So in case anyone online couldn't hear that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:05:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.726$  we had a wonderful example just then

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00{:}05{:}01.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.279$  of some one who owns their mistakes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:05:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.720$  who was comfortable talking about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:05:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.140$  We might call that something

 $00:05:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.571$  like humility and honesty. Yep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

00:05:07.571 --> 00:05:09.317 Yep. Anyone else want to share?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912395284

 $00:05:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.000$  We'll do one more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

 $00:05:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.760$  I I think Jackie is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

 $00:05:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.100$  sitting right next to him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

 $00:05:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.400$  I I noticed that I was a A

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

 $00{:}05{:}20.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.512$  fellow and I've always seen him

NOTE Confidence: 0.389730565

 $00:05:22.512 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.118$  with somebody who has devoted

NOTE Confidence: 0.841753266666667

 $00:05:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.664$  his life to medical education

NOTE Confidence: 0.841753266666667

 $00:05:26.664 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.080$  and medical care. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.30386541

 $00{:}05{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.079$  this is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.303$  Yep. Yep. So again, a beautiful example

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}05{:}32.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.038$  and a nice one from in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.395$  Also, just feeling like there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:36.395 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.186$  someone in your life that you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:38.186 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.800$  up to who's devoted to what they do,

 $00:05:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.880$  who shows up for people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.608$  who shows up every day. Yeah, began.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:05:43.608 --> 00:05:45.088 I heard, like, generosity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:45.088 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.927$  dedication and integrity. Yeah, good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:48.927 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.240$  So you can all see where I'm going with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.437$  It wasn't kind of a big giveaway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:05:51.440 --> 00:05:52.640 Right? But you've naturally started

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:05:52.640 --> 00:05:54.080 framing things in terms of like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:05:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.280$  qualities that people have that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:05:56.280 --> 00:05:57.274 you know, don't just make them like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:05:57.280 --> 00:05:58.720 oh, they're an OK person to hang with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:05:58.720 --> 00:06:01.357 but like really kind of move us to have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}01.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.088$  like, respect and admiration for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}03.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.820$  like these exemplars in our lives who

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.480$  we sort of look up to and and try,

 $00:06:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.576$  I think a lot of the time to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:09.576 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.208$  of take a little bit from and carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}11.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.478$  it forward in our own practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:06:12.480 --> 00:06:15.455 So there's my unsubtle Segway

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:15.455 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.835$  into the virtue ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.624$  Has anyone in the room ever taken a

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

00:06:19.624 --> 00:06:21.317 philosophy class or an intro to ethics class?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.280$  And we're getting lots of nods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.805$  I'm guessing that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333 00:06:22.805 --> 00:06:22.980 Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00{:}06{:}22.980 \to 00{:}06{:}23.680$  Most of you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.520$  So you've probably heard about

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.360$  consequentialism and the the ontology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.000$  the ontological ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.836$  the three main branches of sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:31.836 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.754$  of normative ethics.

 $00:06:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.330$  The branch of ethics that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772788975333333

 $00:06:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.320$  interested in what we should do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:06:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.960$  are typically held support

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:06:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.400$  to be like consequentialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:06:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.296$  Ontology, and then virtue ethics is

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:06:44.296 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.799$  kind of the the murky third branch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:06:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.400$  So as you all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}06{:}47.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.285$  consequentialism holds that an action

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:06:50.285 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.600$  is right if and only if it produces

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}06{:}53.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.040$  the best possible consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:06:55.040 --> 00:06:57.710 So utilitarianism is the most well

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}06{:}57.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.066$  known form of consequentialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}06{:}59.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.576$  So maximize the greatest good

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:00.576 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.000$  for the greatest number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.368$  Basically, the the consequences,

 $00:07:03.368 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.078$  the outcomes of our actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:07:05.080 --> 00:07:06.400 are really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:07:06.400 --> 00:07:08.160 perhaps the most decisive

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.944$  moral or ethical factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:09.944 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.728$  It's sort of contrasted

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:07:11.728 --> 00:07:13.160 with deontological ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.517$  which holds that an action is right if and

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:07:16.517 --> 00:07:19.874 only if it adheres with the relevant rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:07:19.874 --> 00:07:20.308 obligations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:20.308 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.478$  or duties that someone has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}07{:}22.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.164$  And it differs from consequentialism

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:26.164 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.521$  in that it says that sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:07:28.521 --> 00:07:29.928 there are just going to be things

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:29.928 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.160$  that we absolutely can't do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.340$  regardless of how good the

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.520$  consequences of that thing are.

 $00:07:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.850$  Some things are just absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}07{:}37.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.412$  prohibited or or obligatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:39.412 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.776$  right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:39.776 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.596$  And then there's virtue ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.554$  sort of the weird cousin that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:44.554 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.758$  don't really like to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:07:46.760 --> 00:07:49.600 And I'm looking at my my buddy James,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.317$  who I Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:07:52.317 --> 00:07:55.813 And because in 2015 I have this very

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:55.813 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.133$  distinctive memory of sitting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:07:58.133 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.512$  a lecture theater as a student in

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}00.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.802$  Yale Summer Institute and bioethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}01.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.119$  program and listening to Shell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.440$  the wonderful Shelley Kagan give

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.760$  a lecture on normative ethics.

00:08:05.760 --> 00:08:08.196 And he didn't once James is laughing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.084$  now mentioned character or

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:10.084 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.439$  dispositions or kind of motives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:08:12.440 --> 00:08:13.320 And I remember, like me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.517$  a little grad student at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:08:14.520 --> 00:08:16.230 popping my hand up and saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.424$  not once mentioned virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:18.424 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.280$  and him saying it's not ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.384$  And then James found me afterwards

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:22.384 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.120$  and he was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}23.120 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.653$  shout out to virtue ethics and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:24.653 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.040$  we decided we'd be best friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.756$  But this was back in 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.404$  So not everyone thinks of virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:29.404 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.157$  ethics as a kind of normative

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}31.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.939$  ethics because it does have a

 $00:08:32.939 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.879$  little bit of a different project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}08{:}34.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38.100$  It's not sort of a systematic moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:08:38.100 --> 00:08:40.405 theory that's trying to explain right

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:40.405 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.080$  action in the same way that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.960$  other branches of normative ethics are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.198$  What is it trying to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:08:49.200 --> 00:08:50.492 So it's starting point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:50.492 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.517$  And what I mean by that is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:53.517 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.539$  the the framework through which

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:55.539 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.480$  it looks at like ethical questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:08:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.958$  and sort of just orients itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:01.958 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.440$  to ethical problems and conflicts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}09{:}04.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.816$  is to start first with character

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:06.816 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.400$  as central to morality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.320$  It's sort of the guiding thing.

00:09:10.320 --> 00:09:12.077 So instead of focusing on right action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:09:12.080 --> 00:09:13.064 we should think about what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:13.064 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.959$  of people we want to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.680$  what kind of character we want to cultivate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:09:16.680 --> 00:09:18.360 And maybe right action comes from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}09{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.824$  but it just sort of has a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:09:20.824 --> 00:09:23.633 starting point than something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:23.633 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.557$  consequentialism or deontological ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:09:26.560 --> 00:09:27.532 As I said it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:27.532 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.990$  the slogan is typically that its

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00{:}09{:}29.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.835$  focus is more in being than doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:09:30.840 --> 00:09:31.792 That's a little simplified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:31.792 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.743$  but it can be a helpful way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:33.743 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.679$  sort of remembering it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

00:09:34.680 --> 00:09:36.185 And most people attribute virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.66074981

 $00:09:36.185 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.690$  ethics back to Aristotle and

 $00:09:37.745 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.080$  kind of the ancient Greeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833788446666667

 $00:09:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.103$  And you can Machan ethics is sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.833788446666667

 $00:09:41.103 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.028$  of typically thought of as like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.833788446666667

00:09:43.028 --> 00:09:44.640 main text on virtue ethics, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:09:46.800 --> 00:09:49.440 sort of ancient Eastern Chinese philosophy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:09:49.440 --> 00:09:50.932 Also, Confucius famously did

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:09:50.932 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.797$  talk about virtues as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8164651333333333

 $00{:}09{:}52.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.132$  particularly familial virtues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:09:54.132 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.836$  sort of what it meant to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:09:56.836 --> 00:09:58.436 virtuous actor within your family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8164651333333333

00:09:58.440 --> 00:10:03.180 But Aristotle is really kind of the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.600$  sort of white male philosopher associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00{:}10{:}06.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.240$  with this particular branch of ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.576$  And I'm not going to say them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:10:10.576 --> 00:10:11.677 Greek because I don't know how to.

00:10:11.680 --> 00:10:15.280 But he had three guiding concepts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00{:}10{:}15.280 \to 00{:}10{:}17.560$  They were sort of excellence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.156$  human flourishing and practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:19.156 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.948$  wisdom that he used to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:10:21.948 --> 00:10:23.916 Orient his idea of the virtues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.000$  what counted as a virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.760$  what didn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:10:25.760 --> 00:10:28.339 how it was virtuous and human flourishing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8164651333333333

00:10:28.339 --> 00:10:31.570 Really kind of is I think the main one

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:10:31.570 --> 00:10:33.992 for us to potentially talk about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8164651333333333

 $00{:}10{:}33.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.908$  idea that morally valuable traits were

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:10:35.908 --> 00:10:38.438 the ones that helped you live a good life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.772$  that helped you live a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8164651333333333

 $00:10:39.772 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.438$  human flourishing life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.370$  There are all kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.114$  problems associated with that.

 $00:10:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.440$  We can dig into them a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.595$  and the idea is that the virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333 00:10:45.600 --> 00:10:46.052 sort of, NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:46.052 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.956$  for Aristotle at least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.520$  work together in a big web.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.235$  So you can't just kind of think

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:50.235 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.719$  about 1 virtue in isolation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00{:}10{:}51.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.712$  It's all sort of cultivating a

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:10:54.712 --> 00:10:56.872 range of virtues and sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:10:56.872 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.062$  to the different situations you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8164651333333333

 $00:10:59.062 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.750$  in and sort of how to exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00{:}11{:}01.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.238$  different virtues and balance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8164651333333333

 $00{:}11{:}03.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.513$  them in this nice kind of web.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:11:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.624$  And that was what he thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:11:06.624 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.360$  of as practical wisdom,

 $00:11:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.753$  this idea that you would sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00{:}11{:}08.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.256$  know how to balance and weigh

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

 $00:11:10.256 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.681$  and act virtuously in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:11:11.681 --> 00:11:12.925 situations by practicing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:11:12.925 --> 00:11:14.480 getting it wrong sometimes right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:11:14.480 --> 00:11:15.713 And the idea was to live a good life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:11:15.720 --> 00:11:17.896 you have to really kind of strive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.816465133333333

00:11:17.896 --> 00:11:19.400 cultivate habitually virtuous qualities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00{:}11{:}27.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.740$  There's a nice little quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00{:}11{:}28.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.960$ here from Rosalind Hurst House.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00{:}11{:}29.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.878$  She says excellences of character,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

00:11:34.880 --> 00:11:36.320 qualities that help us to notice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

00:11:36.320 --> 00:11:38.368 expect, value, feel, desire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00:11:38.368 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.280$  choose, act and react in

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

00:11:41.280 --> 00:11:43.080 certain characteristic ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00:11:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.992$  and that phonesis.

00:11:43.992 --> 00:11:46.280 In short, practical wisdom is needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00{:}11{:}46.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.364$  to apply duty's rules or principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00:11:48.364 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.520$  in in in a morally sensitive way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00:11:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.168$  There are also non Aristotelian branches

NOTE Confidence: 0.769705967

 $00:11:54.168 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.839$  of virtue ethics famously attributed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.426301813333333

 $00:12:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.919$  Soccer Christine Swanton.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091

00:12:03.960 --> 00:12:05.592 They're not committed to any kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091

 $00{:}12{:}05.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.434$  of idea of human flourishing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091

 $00:12:07.434 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.522$  the same way that Zionists or

NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091

 $00{:}12{:}09.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.040$  Aristotelian virtue ethicists are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091

00:12:11.040 --> 00:12:13.480 They talk much more just

NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091

00:12:13.480 --> 00:12:15.432 generally about moral exemplars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825378890909091

00:12:15.440 --> 00:12:17.120 target based virtues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.970$  They just kind of have a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.558$  of a broader lens on things.

00:12:23.560 --> 00:12:25.810 They're not trying to explain the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}12{:}25.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.656$  the value of of virtues in relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:28.656 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.971$  to some other like thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:12:31.971 --> 00:12:33.653 it's value that virtues, values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:33.653 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.318$  derivative of like human flourishing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.425$  It's just sort of how are they valuable in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:38.425 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.357$  other situations or roles that you're in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.088$  And they just talk much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}12{:}43.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.240$  broadly non Aristotelian virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:12:44.288 --> 00:12:45.680 ethicists about dispositions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.865$  motives and motions and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:47.865 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.840$  of how they shape the virtues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.796$  There's also kind of virtue theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.624$  So there's a lot of kind of overlap

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:12:54.624 --> 00:12:56.370 now and people are talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:12:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.218$  Kantian virtue ethics and sort of

 $00:12:58.273 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.920$  consequentialist virtue ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:12:59.920 --> 00:13:01.775 And Sidwick like they're kind of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:01.775 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.652$  all these lines between these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:03.652 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.698$  branches of normative ethics are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:13:05.698 --> 00:13:07.396 blurry to begin with but are kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:07.396 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.764$  of getting blurrier and people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:08.764 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.428$  sort of just want to just like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}13{:}10.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.239$  people call virtue theory going on now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}13{:}12.240 \to 00{:}13{:}13.840$  So sort of everything else that's out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.320$  Again, not interested necessarily in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.732$  how the virtues relate to a good life or

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:18.732 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.797$  developing kind of a robust account of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00{:}13{:}20.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.966$  but just thinking more generally in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:13:22.966 --> 00:13:25.040 psychological terms about virtues and biases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.136$  social theory,

00:13:26.136 --> 00:13:27.232 moral psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:27.232 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.876$  stuff like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:13:28.880 --> 00:13:30.784 This is a very quick and shallow overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:13:30.784 --> 00:13:33.238 of a lot of complex stuff that's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.256$  but it's all to kind of help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:35.256 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.435$  understand where did this come

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:13:36.435 --> 00:13:38.085 from and how do we kind of get

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

 $00:13:38.085 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.880$  to thinking about virtues in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867832979230769

00:13:39.880 --> 00:13:41.192 healthcare and medical practice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75125091875

 $00:13:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.200$  If you've ever taken any classes on

NOTE Confidence: 0.75125091875

 $00{:}13{:}45.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.520$ virtue, I think you've probably seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.75125091875

 $00:13:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.840$  something like this kind of list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:13:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.132$  It's just kind of a way of for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:13:52.132 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.478$  to kind of map out the virtues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:13:53.480 --> 00:13:54.590 Aristotle in particular was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:13:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.117$  interested in this idea of the golden

00:13:56.117 --> 00:13:57.239 me that you couldn't just say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00{:}13{:}57.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.296$  be honest, you had to really kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:00.296 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.855$  balance or think about honesty through

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:01.855 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.399$  like the situation that you were in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:03.400 --> 00:14:04.480 And like, man, I could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.055$  I could really kind of be almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:06.055 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.630$  too honest here and and be kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.865$  of rude and callous, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:08.865 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.175$  Or I could not have enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:11.175 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.944$  honesty and just be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:12.944 --> 00:14:13.982 definitely withholding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:13.982 --> 00:14:14.841 relevant information. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00{:}14{:}14.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.129$  So it was all about kind of balancing

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:16.129 --> 00:14:17.439 and hitting the target of the virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:17.440 --> 00:14:18.436 showing the right amount of the

00:14:18.436 --> 00:14:19.619 right thing to the right person in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:19.619 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.753$  the right way in the right place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.440$  in the right time. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.756$  That was practically listen for him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.162$  So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00{:}14{:}25.162 --> 00{:}14{:}26.368$ you'll often see a list like

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:26.368 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.240$  this where it's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:27.240 --> 00:14:29.158 here's the target of the virtue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00{:}14{:}29.158 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}14{:}31.240$  then kind of thinking about excess

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:31.240 --> 00:14:32.812 or deficiency of that quality and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00{:}14{:}32.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34.440$  where that would kind of lead us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.096$  I don't know that all virtues

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:36.096 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.520$  fit into something like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.092$  So we might justice as one, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:40.092 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.688$  being a just person we typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00{:}14{:}41.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.352$  think of as being a being a virtue.

00:14:43.352 --> 00:14:44.640 You can't really have too much justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:44.640 --> 00:14:44.813 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:44.813 --> 00:14:45.678 This doesn't kind of fit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.190$  I think necessarily into its

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:47.190 --> 00:14:48.398 deficiency or excess model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.398$  but it can be a helpful way of kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:50.398 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.563$  of thinking about different virtues

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:52.563 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.439$  and their corresponding biases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.280$  So we don't talk about virtue a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

00:14:56.280 --> 00:14:57.672 We don't talk about bias very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:57.672 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.403$  much as well in in healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.86927127

 $00:14:59.403 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.519$  and healthcare ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917846083333333

 $00:15:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.839$  Why virtue ethics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667

 $00{:}15{:}07.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.166$  These are some reasons why I find

NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667

00:15:09.166 --> 00:15:11.584 it attractive as a way of kind of

 $00:15:11.584 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.044$  framing and thinking about moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667

 $00:15:13.109 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.837$  problems or ethical problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667

 $00:15:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.646$  I think this idea of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882649695416667

 $00:15:16.646 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.120$  just focusing on developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:20.200 --> 00:15:22.750 I just think like sometimes, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:22.750 --> 00:15:24.355 I work as a clinical ethicist, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:24.355 --> 00:15:25.720 There's so many things in the hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.472$  in our healthcare system

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:26.472 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.600$  that are out of our control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:27.600 --> 00:15:28.839 Often I'm like, what do I control?

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:28.840 --> 00:15:30.392 I control me, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:30.392 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.318$  And kind of really focusing on who do I

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00{:}15{:}33.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.996$  want to be when I walk into the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.148$  How do I want to carry myself

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:37.148 --> 00:15:38.238 what I want to care about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00{:}15{:}38.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.656$  So for me, I think just focusing on

00:15:40.656 --> 00:15:42.199 cultivating certain kinds of habits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00{:}15{:}42.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.904$  good habits and sensitivity to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:43.904 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.562$  range of of situations, that feels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:45.562 --> 00:15:47.128 I think sometimes a little more

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:47.128 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.239$  achievable for me at least.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.960$  So This is why I like it as a theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

00:15:51.960 --> 00:15:53.120 role ethics and role modelling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.768$  As I say here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00{:}15{:}53.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.740$ it's just a really common way

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:54.782 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.000$  for us to think about doing good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.300$  So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.760$  we kind of look at people in our lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.480$  How are they doing it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.476$  What do I like about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:15:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.442$  What do I not what seems like a good

 $00:16:01.442 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.640$  kind of admirable way of doing something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:16:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.991$  It's just a common way for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.678288848

 $00:16:04.991 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.840$  to think about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.355$  I think this is just a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:09.355 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.720$  broad whether we like it or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:10.720 --> 00:16:11.494 Virtue, ethics, virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:11.494 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.784$  language is just part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:12.784 --> 00:16:14.034 the moral landscape, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:14.034 --> 00:16:15.438 It's part of our you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.928$  assumptions when we interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00{:}16{:}16.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.160$  with other people in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:19.160 --> 00:16:21.960 And this is all to say, not to say at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00{:}16{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.755$  that consequences and and duties

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:23.755 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.120$  or or rules do not matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:26.120 --> 00:16:28.958 So, and very much a pluralist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.270$  I'm not arguing that virtue

 $00:16:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.178$  ethics is the one right way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:32.178 --> 00:16:33.310 thinking about ethical conflicts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:33.310 --> 00:16:35.080 particularly in patient care at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:35.080 --> 00:16:37.000 but just encourage you to think it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.678$  another tool to have in your toolbox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857 00:16:38.680 --> 00:16:38.940 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:38.940 --> 00:16:41.280 To kind of whip out and think things through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00:16:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.708$  Which of course \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:42.708 --> 00:16:44.136 philosophers won't like very

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

 $00{:}16{:}44.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.878$  much because they're much more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720461207142857

00:16:45.880 --> 00:16:46.720 I think, kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00{:}16{:}49.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.503$  sensitive to some of the theoretical

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00{:}16{:}51.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.188$  conflicts and the meta ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00:16:53.188 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.716$  conflicts between these theories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00:16:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.917$  I think when we work in healthcare,

 $00:16:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.342$  we get comfortable with that messiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00{:}16{:}57.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.535$  and we're quite comfortable kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

00:16:58.535 --> 00:16:59.560 of just drawing upon different

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00:16:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.920$  things that feel good in the moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.644469479375

 $00:17:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.600$  Right. Big fan of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.553$  OK, so these are these are the I I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:07.553 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.557$  think they're devastating critiques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.024$  but these are the critiques of virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:10.024 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.438$  that are commonly levelled against it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.440$  There's probably some more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}17{:}14.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.622$  Most people, particularly those of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:15.622 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.927$  who work in very practical settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:16.927 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.349$  and have sort of practical ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:18.349 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.600$  issues that we have to navigate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:17:19.600 --> 00:17:21.460 think, you can't just say, be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.960$  that's not action guiding at all.

 $00:17:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.400$  Be a good person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.258$  Like, I want you to tell me what

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:26.258 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.192$  to do in concrete terms, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:28.192 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.688$  So this is kind of one big critique

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:30.688 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.037$  that's levelled against virtue ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:17:33.040 --> 00:17:34.176 Virtue ethicists have tried

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:34.176 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.880$  to respond to this by saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.040$  well something a maxim like do the most good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}17{:}42.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.716$  that's like create the best consequence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:43.716 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.237$  that's also you've got to get much

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}17{:}45.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.412$  more concrete and specific, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:46.412 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.428$  So that's just to say that other nominee

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}17{:}48.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.769$  theories also struggle with being

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:49.769 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.578$  action guiding when it gets messy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:17:51.578 --> 00:17:53.162 kind of you have to really dig into

 $00:17:53.162 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.949$  into the into the specifics of this the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:54.949 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.920$  case or the situation that you're in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:17:56.920 --> 00:17:59.080 And virtue of the system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:17:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.632$  well actually like it is quite action guiding

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:01.632 --> 00:18:04.528 to think about something like tell the truth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80266518428571400:18:04.528 --> 00:18:04.864 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}04.864 --> 00{:}18{:}05.200 \ \mathrm{Like},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.762$  like a lot of virtues do translate

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}07.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.999$  pretty directly into action guidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.728$  you know, be brave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:10.728 --> 00:18:12.062 Obviously we have to think through what

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:12.062 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.274$  that means in a particular situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.732$  But virtue ethics has kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}14.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.985$  said they're actually not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:15.985 --> 00:18:17.407 much less action guiding than any

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:17.407 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.919$  other branch of normative ethics.

00:18:18.920 --> 00:18:22.196 Roslyn Hursthouse talks about the rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80266518428571400:18:22.200 --> 00:18:22.824 virtue rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}22.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.696$  and how they can produce a

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:24.696 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.200$  theory of right action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714 00:18:26.200 --> 00:18:26.395 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:26.395 --> 00:18:27.565 it's tricky because I think virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:27.565 --> 00:18:28.991 ethics is just sort of thinking if

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:28.991 --> 00:18:29.996 you're focusing on right action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}30.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.362$  you're maybe not looking at things

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:31.362 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.998$  in the way that we want you to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}33.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.560$  but they sort of tried to respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00:18:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.240$  that by creating kind of the rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}38.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.105$  mapping out virtues and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

 $00{:}18{:}40.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42.100$  of reframing them in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802665184285714

00:18:42.100 --> 00:18:43.396 more concrete action guidance.

00:18:45.520 --> 00:18:48.280 The next critique is just saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

 $00:18:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.680$  like the right thing to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

 $00:18:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.000$  what a virtuous agent would do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

00:18:51.000 --> 00:18:52.160 That's just kind of circular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

00:18:52.160 --> 00:18:52.958 right? Like, haha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

00:18:52.958 --> 00:18:54.554 we've got you now virtual emphasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932514158333333

00:18:54.560 --> 00:18:55.760 It's too circular, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:18:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.008$  I think it's kind of a problem that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:00.008 \dashrightarrow 00:19:01.171$  virtue ethicists created for themselves by

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:01.171 --> 00:19:02.868 trying to come up with a theory of right

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}02.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.332$  action and then linking it back to virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:04.332 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.880$  And they did kind of get into this loop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.016$  So there's some sort of attempts to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:08.016 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.477$  out of that in the theoretical debates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:10.480 --> 00:19:12.216 Again, I think virtue ethicists kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:12.216 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.930$  say like it's not necessarily and less

 $00:19:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.612$  circular than saying the white thing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:15.612 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.117$  do is to maximize the best consequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:17.120 --> 00:19:19.118 Like, there's sort of a circularity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:19.120 --> 00:19:20.600 I think, in a lot about ethical theories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.728$  big meta ethical debate behind that going on

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:23.728 --> 00:19:26.240 that I don't feel qualified to weigh in on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:26.240 --> 00:19:27.170 And I think that's kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.040$  not our focus for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.595$  But this is another sort of charge

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:30.595 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.640$  that's levelled against virtue ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:32.640 --> 00:19:34.016 What about conflicting virtues?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:34.016 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.786$  What do we do when kind of being honest and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}37.786 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}19{:}39.836$  being compassionate come into conflict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333 00:19:39.840 --> 00:19:40.059 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:40.059 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.030$  What are we going to do if we kind

 $00:19:42.088 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.798$  of have to balance these things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.697$  The same goes for kind of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:45.697 --> 00:19:46.239 different outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.039$  different consequences that we have to weigh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333 00:19:48.040 --> 00:19:48.535 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}19{:}48.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.515$  Or different duties sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:50.515 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.000$  come into conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:52.000 --> 00:19:53.164 So I think virtue ethicists would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566973503333333

 $00:19:53.164 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.348$  bite that bullet and say, Yep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:54.348 --> 00:19:55.716 sometimes you have to make trade-offs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333 00:19:55.720 --> 00:19:55.972 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:55.972 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.736$  You have to do that complex ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:19:57.736 --> 00:19:59.488 work of weighing and balancing

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:19:59.488 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.080$  different relevant virtues or

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:20:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.274$  or ethical considerations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333 00:20:02.280 --> 00:20:02.708 Again,

 $00:20:02.708 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.276$  that's not a problem that's unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00{:}20{:}05.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.772$  to virtue ethics. Pretenders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:20:06.772 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.256$  What are we going to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:20:08.256 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.680$  moral pretenders?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:20:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.584$  These kind of fakes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:20:09.584 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.940$  these phonies out there who sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:20:10.991 --> 00:20:12.479 of look like they're being virtuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:20:12.479 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.772$  but actually are quite terrible

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:20:13.772 --> 00:20:14.796 people on the inside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

 $00:20:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.552$  how do we know anyone's ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333

00:20:16.552 --> 00:20:17.720 actually really acting virtuously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856697350333333 00:20:17.720 --> 00:20:18.120 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00{:}20{:}20.640 --> 00{:}20{:}21.038$  That's true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:21.038 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.431$  We never really know kind of what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:22.431 --> 00:20:23.880 going on inside someone's head, right?

 $00:20:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.000$  Or what's motivating them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00{:}20{:}25.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.956$  I think that's certainly a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:26.960 --> 00:20:28.190 We could have someone who's doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:28.190 --> 00:20:29.612 great people, good in the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:29.612 --> 00:20:30.333 But \*\*\*\*\*\* utilitarian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:30.333 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.037$  he was just a bit of a rotten

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:32.037 --> 00:20:33.211 human on the inside, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:33.211 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.084$  That's true again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:34.084 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.957$  just true generally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714 00:20:34.960 --> 00:20:36.840 I think. NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.250$  I think there's a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.453$  psychological point in there about

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:39.453 --> 00:20:40.755 if you're going through the motions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:40.760 --> 00:20:42.328 do you start to identify with them

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:42.328 --> 00:20:44.382 and sort of feel different ways about

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:44.382 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.037$  different things that you're doing?

 $00:20:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.776$  I think it's a bullet that Birch

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:47.776 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.719$  worth is just kind of kind of bite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:49.720 --> 00:20:50.815 And there's some really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:50.815 --> 00:20:52.342 work in kind of social and moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:52.342 --> 00:20:53.307 psychology coming out about kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:53.307 --> 00:20:54.760 of do we actually have virtues?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.440$  What do they look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:20:55.440 --> 00:20:57.732 How do they manifest in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:20:57.732 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.800$  people in different situations as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00{:}20{:}59.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01.240$  Again, I'm going to go too far into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:21:01.240 --> 00:21:02.072 But I don't think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714 00:21:02.072 --> 00:21:02.280 again, NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

00:21:02.280 --> 00:21:03.705 this is a unique problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.819106014285714

 $00:21:03.705 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.560$  for virtue ethicists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:08.280 --> 00:21:09.064 Yeah, I don't know.

 $00:21:09.064 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.240$  We never really know what's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

 $00:21:10.278 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.640$  on in someone else's head, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

 $00:21:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.772$  Just like this idea, that virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:13.772 --> 00:21:14.836 it's just so demanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

 $00:21:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.716$  trying to be good all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:16.720 --> 00:21:18.478 Like, who has time for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:18.480 --> 00:21:21.240 It's training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

 $00:21:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.600$  Well, maybe it should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:23.600 --> 00:21:24.880 And I think virtual

NOTE Confidence: 0.898067008

00:21:24.880 --> 00:21:25.840 ethicists are certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:21:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.544$  aware of this critique and very quick to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:21:33.544 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.308$  acknowledge that yes, it takes practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:35.308 --> 00:21:37.240 You're going to get it wrong sometimes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:21:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.560$  and there's a lot of people do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:39.560 --> 00:21:41.876 So I'm thinking about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:41.880 --> 00:21:43.600 Jack, Jack, I'm sure you've

 $00:21:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.320$  done things where you're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:45.320 --> 00:21:46.398 we would not say you weren't virtuous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:46.400 --> 00:21:47.740 but you're like, I made some mistakes, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:21:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.280$  That doesn't not make you a virtuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:49.280 --> 00:21:53.264 No mistakes, OK, you know what I mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:21:53.264 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.232$  They would say like this times 2 where

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:56.232 --> 00:21:59.324 it's like we're not going to call someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:21:59.324 --> 00:22:01.521 a vicious person overall because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00{:}22{:}01.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.474$  perhaps made a mistake or didn't get it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:22:03.480 --> 00:22:04.320 you know, didn't get it right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

00:22:04.320 --> 00:22:05.508 In that particular situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:22:05.508 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.399$  it's about practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:22:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.576$  It's about cultivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:22:07.576 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.144$  It's about this accumulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.901251863333333

 $00:22:09.144 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.160$  of habits and dispositions.

 $00:22:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.764$  See, I think virtuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:15.764 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.528$  There's another bullet that

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:16.528 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.553$  they would bite and say, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:17.553 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.518$  it is demanding, It's hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.040$  It may not be perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.570$  Aristol had sort of a framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}21.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.223$  where he talked about things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:23.223 --> 00:22:24.957 sort of almost like impotent virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}24.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.150$  where it's like you kind of know

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.237$  what the right thing to do is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}27.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.360$  But you have this weakness of will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:28.360 --> 00:22:29.634 You can't always kind of get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:29.640 --> 00:22:31.897 And again, kind of just practicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}31.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.906$  allowing yourself to get it wrong sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:33.906 --> 00:22:35.714 and sort of working on building that up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:35.720 --> 00:22:37.736 building habits that help you to get

 $00:22:37.736 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.400$  there if it comes up again in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.560$  And then the situation isn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.576$  which is a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:43.576 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.920$  of a contemporary critique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:44.920 --> 00:22:46.954 The Situationists are folks who ran

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:46.954 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.742$  some experiments in social psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:48.742 --> 00:22:50.230 that they thought demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.430$  that there's no such thing as

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.116$  sort of a stable character trait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}22{:}54.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.115$  They sort of came up with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:22:56.115 --> 00:22:58.168 experiments that they felt showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:22:58.168 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.378$  people don't have virtues right

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00{:}23{:}00.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.800$  by manipulating situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.236$  That goes out the window real quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.785$  Situations have a tremendous amount

 $00:23:06.785 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.760$  of influence on how we behave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:08.760 --> 00:23:10.320 And someone who you know beforehand was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.400$  I'm a really honest person would

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:11.400 --> 00:23:12.695 straight up lie if we just fixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:12.695 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.757$  the situation in a certain way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.704$  And they thought that when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:15.704 --> 00:23:17.959 no such thing as virtues right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.934$  The methods and the design of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:19.934 --> 00:23:21.800 studies have been heavily critiqued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:21.800 --> 00:23:23.600 And virtue ethicists also, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.718$  took very admirably a lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.781$  a lot of what they did find on board

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:27.781 --> 00:23:30.351 and have been doing a better job of

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:30.351 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.080$  partnering with empirical researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.415$  with social scientists and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:33.415 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.779$  sort of come up with a lot of their

 $00:23:35.779 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.231$  own experiments as a response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:37.284 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.846$  the situation of studies that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:38.846 --> 00:23:39.824 Sure, actually, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:39.824 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.454$  by nudging situations we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:41.454 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.168$  cultivate virtue or make people more

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:43.168 --> 00:23:44.800 inclined to move in certain ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:44.800 --> 00:23:45.600 And actually that's kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:45.600 --> 00:23:46.425 grist to our mill, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:46.425 --> 00:23:47.720 Like we have to think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

00:23:47.720 --> 00:23:48.496 virtues in an environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.740656406923077

 $00:23:48.496 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.600$  way and how we sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:23:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.328$  how we kind of the architects of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:23:54.328 --> 00:23:56.392 spaces that we're in and how to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:23:56.392 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.050$  of promote and encourage those kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:23:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.675$  of qualities and their development.

00:23:59.680 --> 00:24:01.108 So they've just, again, kind of taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:01.108 --> 00:24:02.560 that on board and responded to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.840$  moving through these really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.800$  really quickly again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.072$  But these are sort of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:06.072 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.920$  of the main critiques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.837$  I think there's teeth to a lot of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}08.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.184$  I think virtue ethicists have done a

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}10.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.840$  good job of trying to respond to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:11.840 --> 00:24:13.094 And again, if you're sitting here

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}13.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.539$  listening to this and you're like virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:14.539 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.520$  ethics is just not for me, that's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.040$  Take take it or leave it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.180$  There's some really cool work

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:20.180 --> 00:24:21.320 just really quickly going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.054$  some sort of overlap between virtue

 $00:24:23.054 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.919$  ethics and all of these areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.340$  Care ethics, feminist ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.053$  African and indigenous bioethics who

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:30.053 --> 00:24:32.104 talk a lot about relationships to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:32.104 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.279$  of land and sort of practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.684$  And this is not to say any of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:35.684 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.117$  things are derivative of virtual ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.337$  They're not their ethical theories

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:39.337 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.422$  and really important areas of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:40.422 --> 00:24:41.560 study in their own right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.312$  but just that there's some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:43.312 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.760$  cool and interesting synergies here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:44.760 --> 00:24:45.612 Philosophy of emotions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:45.612 --> 00:24:47.316 lots of really cool overlap there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:24:47.320 --> 00:24:48.370 As I said,

00:24:48.370 --> 00:24:50.120 moral and social social psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}24{:}50.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.154$  Lots of cool collaboration and partnership

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:52.154 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.080$  going on between virtue ethicists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.940$  virtue theorists and folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.800$  working in those areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.260$  And then professional and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:24:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.355$  institutional ethics too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:25:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.136$  There's sort of some debates going

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

00:25:02.136 --> 00:25:04.374 on about at the moment around whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:25:04.374 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.428$  or not organizations have sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}25{:}06.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.510$  a collective psyche and whether it

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}25{:}08.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.720$  makes sense to talk about things

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}25{:}10.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.560$  like institutional virtues and vices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00{:}25{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.513$  We're not going to wade into that that area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608

 $00:25:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.680$  but it's up there in case you're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821517608 00:25:17.680 --> 00:25:18.072 OK,

00:25:18.072 --> 00:25:19.640 virtual ethics and healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765371991111111

 $00:25:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.942$  Has anyone in here ever read

NOTE Confidence: 0.765371991111111

00:25:24.942 --> 00:25:25.713 their professional code?

NOTE Confidence: 0.765371991111111

 $00:25:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.480$  We've got it. OK good couple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765371991111111

00:25:28.480 --> 00:25:30.360 Couple. James has gone like, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:25:33.240 --> 00:25:35.232 Has anyone here, did anyone here

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:25:35.232 --> 00:25:37.600 take the Hippocratic Oath? Yes. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.583$  So we just asked that references

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:40.583 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.881$  to certain kinds of virtues is

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00{:}25{:}42.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.359$  really common in professional oaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.692$  professional codes of ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:25:46.692 --> 00:25:47.358 Throughout history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00{:}25{:}47.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.000$  it's always sort of been embedded in there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.050$  this sort of virtue language

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.280$  and virtue framing.

 $00:25:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.240$  Also being a good doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.200$  or being a good nurse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.300$  being a good ethicist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.675$  being a good speech pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:25:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.758$  These are all sort of I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:25:57.760 --> 00:25:59.748 common ways that we think about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:25:59.748 --> 00:26:01.426 we're approaching our roles and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:26:01.426 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.239$  we're doing the work that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00{:}26{:}03.240 \to 00{:}26{:}05.538$  Frequently cited virtue sort of cutting

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:26:05.538 --> 00:26:07.840 across all fields of healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:26:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.360$  all disciplines, all kind of trainings

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:26:10.360 --> 00:26:12.344 include things like compassion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:26:12.344 --> 00:26:14.888 empathy, benevolence, competence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

00:26:14.888 --> 00:26:17.960 trustworthiness, humility, patience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681579782

 $00:26:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.000$  all of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.008$  Sorry, this is probably not super

 $00:26:24.008 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.940$  easy to read, but this is a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}26{:}25.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.000$  bit from the American Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.040$  Association's Code of Ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.880$  So I did bold them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.678$  but you can't really see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:26:31.680 --> 00:26:34.080 But talks about physicians being

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:26:34.080 --> 00:26:36.480 dedicated to providing competent medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:36.549 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.719$  care with compassion and respect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:26:38.720 --> 00:26:43.436 being honest, respect for the law,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.126$  avoiding things that are contrary to

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:45.126 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.879$  the best interests of the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.976$  It's as a physician to support shall support

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}26{:}48.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.917$  access to medical care for all people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:26:50.920 --> 00:26:52.880 We might frame that as something like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:26:52.880 --> 00:26:54.435 you know, them being committed

 $00:26:54.435 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.440$  to justice or fairness or equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}26{:}56.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.904$  So again, you can kind of see there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:26:58.904 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.039$  some virtue terms embedded in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.850$  The nursing association

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.200$  code of ethics is wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:27:03.200 --> 00:27:04.016 So again, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:04.016 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.920$  it's a little bit hard to read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:27:05.920 --> 00:27:07.531 But again, compassion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

00:27:07.531 --> 00:27:09.679 respect the inherent dignity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}27{:}09.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.836$  work and unique attributes of every person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.740$  So being very person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00{:}27{:}13.740 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}27{:}15.640$  patient oriented and sensitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.680$  being accountable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951097403333333

 $00:27:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.720$  being responsible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:27:19.920 --> 00:27:22.740 being collaborative, there's all kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.080$  of virtue terms embedded in here,

 $00:27:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.360$  conferences certainly in there as

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:27:29.360 --> 00:27:31.440 well-being advocate, working to

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.456$  promote health and safety all in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:34.456 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.032$  And these are just examples of sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:27:37.032 --> 00:27:39.307 how it crops up in our professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:39.307 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.357$  language and framing of our roles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:27:41.360 --> 00:27:43.736 So I was talking to Doctor Mercurio before

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}43.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.801$  we started and I asked what would you

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:45.801 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.317$  want me to talk about virtual ethics today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:27:48.320 --> 00:27:49.352 And you said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}49.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.520$  we talk a lot about principles and rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.352$  These are sort of a lot of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00{:}27{:}53.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.749$  concepts and sort of frameworks

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:27:54.749 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.513$  that we use in healthcare ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:27:56.520 --> 00:27:59.140 You know, we talk about respect for autonomy,

 $00:27:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.240$  we talk about an efficence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:28:01.240 --> 00:28:02.356 normal epicence justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:28:02.356 --> 00:28:04.960 we talk about right to bodily integrity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

00:28:04.960 --> 00:28:06.280 right to access to healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.880$  things like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.354$  These are all really, really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:08.354 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.039$  But as I said earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.480$  we're often pluralists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546 00:28:11.480 --> 00:28:12.440 It's messy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.917$  We draw on lots of different things

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:13.917 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.559$  to help us think through really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.332$  really concrete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812732805454546

 $00:28:16.332 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.876$  really real practical problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22.720$  in healthcare. We often disagree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:22.720 --> 00:28:23.550 I think that's probably true

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.640$  of a lot of other areas.

 $00:28:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.380$  Our disagreements probably have a certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.561$  kind of flavour to them and certain sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:28.561 --> 00:28:30.400 of sort of effect on certain groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:30.400 --> 00:28:32.116 There's often uncertainty in our practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.768$  I think that's becoming more and more true

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:35.768 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.260$  with technological advancements and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.360$  of the political climate that we're in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:40.360 --> 00:28:42.262 As I said, Healthcare is usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:42.262 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.966$  pluralistic and we often adopt

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:43.966 --> 00:28:45.478 A process oriented approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:45.480 --> 00:28:47.478 We don't have to agree on values or outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.397$  but we can agree on sort of how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00{:}28{:}49.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.488$  get there and what sorts of processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:51.488 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.320$  we employ to resolve conflicts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

 $00:28:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.448$  So that's just to say we reason a lot on a

00:28:56.448 --> 00:28:59.680 case by case basis and we principles, rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:28:59.680 --> 00:29:04.053 rights and virtues I think are all very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88394203

00:29:04.053 --> 00:29:06.439 very important and it's messy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.496$  applications. So I was sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:13.496 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.656$  sitting back thinking like what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:14.656 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.357$  what do I want you to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:16.357 --> 00:29:17.840 take away from today lots of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:17.840 --> 00:29:19.688 But I think virtual ethics really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}19.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.238$  interested in not just in in what

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:21.238 --> 00:29:23.097 we do or what we end up doing or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}23.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.597$  producing or the effect of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.040$  but how we do it, how do we get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.600$  As I said, for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:27.600 --> 00:29:30.078 I always think about how how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:30.080 --> 00:29:31.640 what am I carrying into the room today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.040$  How do I want to approach a relationship

 $00:29:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.920$  or a conflict or a conversation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:37.920 --> 00:29:40.040 How, how am I going to do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:40.040 --> 00:29:42.008 That's just very, very important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:42.008 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.480$  us to think about ethically as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.048$  So as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:45.048 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.758$  I was going to do a case and then I go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

00:29:46.760 --> 00:29:47.435 no, just kidding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00{:}29{:}47.435 \to 00{:}29{:}49.400$  I'm going to give you some stories instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79176982

 $00:29:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.560$  Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842971379090909

 $00:29:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.243$  I'm going to put you on the spot for

NOTE Confidence: 0.842971379090909

 $00:29:55.243 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.205$  a second. What's sort of a common

NOTE Confidence: 0.842971379090909

 $00:29:57.205 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.799$  conflict that comes up in the NICU?

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00{:}30{:}00.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}02.878$  A common conflict that comes up

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00:30:02.878 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.040$  with the Nick Do is a child, Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00:30:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.176$  A child who is terribly sick and

 $00:30:10.176 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.929$  ventilator dependent and has reached

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00:30:11.929 \dashrightarrow 00:30:13.910$  the point where many members of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00:30:13.972 \dashrightarrow 00:30:15.802$  staff are concerned that the prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.443729833636364

 $00:30:15.802 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.920$  is very poor and ongoing care is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5160938825

 $00:30:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.200$  more cool than kind. And the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5160938825

 $00:30:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.720$  conflict between the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.809846616666667

 $00:30:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.358$  between the staff and the parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809846616666667

 $00:30:24.360 \dashrightarrow 00:30:25.908$  where the parents want to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.809846616666667

00:30:25.908 --> 00:30:27.519 all efforts and the staff feels

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.880$  what's going on with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}29.880 --> 00{:}30{:}30.876$  So just for the folks on line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.064$  in case they couldn't hear that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}33.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.870$  classic kind of conflict between

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}34.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37.045$  clinicians and parents about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:37.045 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.755$  appropriateness of continuing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

00:30:38.755 --> 00:30:40.490 sort of invasive life prolonging

 $00:30:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.142$  interventions for a very, very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:42.142 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.940$  very sick baby in the neck. You.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.260$  Yes, that's sort of exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.024$  the kind of long case that I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

00:30:47.024 --> 00:30:48.504 written up that I thought we'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:48.504 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.638$  work through systematically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

00:30:49.640 --> 00:30:51.400 But I thought this is sort of typical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.234$  I think of a lot of disagreements

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:30:54.234 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.358$  and cases where there's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}56.358 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}30{:}58.239$  bit of uncertainty in healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

00:30:58.240 --> 00:30:59.520 And I was thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}30{:}59.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.868$ my colleague Ros and I ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}31{:}01.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.034$  writing a paper about virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:31:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.100$  as it relates to the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

00:31:07.100 --> 00:31:09.245 role in decisions for children,

 $00:31:09.245 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.495$  published that last year precisely because

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00{:}31{:}11.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13.716$  of these kinds of classic conflicts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:31:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.557$  Right. And we talked a lot about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449248274

 $00:31:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.760$  sorry, this is my first story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.684$  We talked a lot about walking as

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00{:}31{:}26.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.980$  the ethicists getting consulted

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:27.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.000$  in these kinds of cases after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:31:30.000 --> 00:31:32.282 you know, weeks to months of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00{:}31{:}32.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.039$  clinicians meeting with the parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.325$  sort of talking to them

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00{:}31{:}35.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.353$  about the child's prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.331$  making recommendations about

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:38.331 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.959$  discontinuing some things potentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:31:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.624$  And as the ethicist, this is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:42.624 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.079$  funny thing that happens sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.368$  You know, you'll get consulted on the case

00:31:46.368 --> 00:31:48.676 and you'll talk to say that the attending,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00{:}31{:}48.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.318$  the neonatologist who consulted you or

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00{:}31{:}50.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52.039$  the nurse practitioner that consulted you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.300$  And they'll say this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.875$  this family loves their kid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:31:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.200$  but they're just not getting where we're at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:31:58.200 --> 00:31:59.928 And we need your help kind of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:31:59.928 --> 00:32:01.800 them to understand that there's this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:32:01.800 --> 00:32:04.600 this isn't going anywhere, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:32:04.600 --> 00:32:06.280 It's just hurting the kid at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.480$  the baby at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:32:08.480 --> 00:32:10.454 And you're going kind of sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:32:10.454 --> 00:32:12.484 I'll feel kind of nervous just

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:12.484 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.068$  because of some of the things the team

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:15.133 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.572$  has said about the parents being,

00:32:16.572 --> 00:32:18.768 you know, kind of kind of hard headed

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:32:18.768 --> 00:32:20.226 and not really in denial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:20.226 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.398$  not really receiving information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.665$  And I'll leave a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:22.665 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.677$  with the parents thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.182$  wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:32:24.182 --> 00:32:26.692 those what amazing parents they

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:26.692 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.454$  are just an amazing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00{:}32{:}28.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.544$  overwhelming sense of just love

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:30.544 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.320$  and dedication to their kid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00{:}32{:}32.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34.648$  And so sort of coming out of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

00:32:34.648 --> 00:32:37.004 kinds of consults got me thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:37.004 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.087$  virtue ethics a lot because they think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107467566666667

 $00:32:39.087 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.767$  we don't necessarily need to agree

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:40.767 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.797$  on what the right thing to do is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910746756666667

 $00:32:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.880$  and perhaps we will never agree on that.

00:32:45.880 --> 00:32:47.836 We don't necessarily have to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:32:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.584$  what the babies, what survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

00:32:52.584 --> 00:32:54.540 would look like, what their quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:32:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.920$  of life is going to look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:32:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.537$  what their odds of kind of making

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:32:58.537 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.198$  it out of the NICU will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:33:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.132$  We don't necessarily have to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}02.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.219$  that there's always going to be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

00:33:04.219 --> 00:33:05.941 element of uncertainty in a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}05.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.796$  the the situations that we're in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:33:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.720$  But what we can do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.048$  I think is sort of take a breath

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}12.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.200$  and and recognize and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:33:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.209$  of validate the really admirable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:33:17.209 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.907$  qualities that both sort of the

00:33:18.907 --> 00:33:20.379 clinical team and parents bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00{:}33{:}20.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.799$  to these kinds of disagreements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:33:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.876$  And so for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

00:33:22.876 --> 00:33:24.221 kind of thinking about parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:33:24.221 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.403$  virtues and sometimes working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8392527

 $00:33:25.403 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.833$  with the team to reframe

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.984$  these kinds of conflicts to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:30.984 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.576$  say to my, to my clinical colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}32.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.039$  like, hey, I did talk with the family

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

00:33:34.039 --> 00:33:35.515 and what I heard was that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

00:33:35.515 --> 00:33:37.153 just trying to be good parents and

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:37.153 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.398$  that's really driving their decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:38.398 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.885$  and why they feel like they cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}40.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43.360$  discontinue things at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.424$  Have you talked to them about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:44.424 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.477$  it means to be a good parent?

 $00:33:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.629$  Sort of framing things around the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}47.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.478$  role and thinking that through has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.796$  at least for me and my practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.460$  been a helpful way of sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}33{:}53.460 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}33{:}54.600$  approaching these disagreements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

00:33:54.600 --> 00:33:57.048 And you don't have to kind of move one

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

00:33:57.048 --> 00:33:58.280 party to the other's point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.568$  but we can get a little bit closer

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:33:59.568 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.840$  to a shared middle I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.748$  So that's one way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:01.748 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.450$  And again not I don't walk into

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}03.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.172$  the room thinking what would virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}05.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.999$  ethics say about this disagreement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.520$  But kind of thinking often post talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.390$  about like what were the things I

 $00:34:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.087$  heard and how are they reflective of

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}13.087 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}34{:}15.047$  what people think it means to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:15.107 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.673$  good parent or a good neonatologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:16.673 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.512$  or a good nurse in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:18.512 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.360$  And how can we recognize and validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:20.416 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.874$  those things so that we build

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:21.874 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.320$  a little bit more rapport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.264$  So that is certainly one kind of case

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:25.264 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.712$  where I think that this thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

00:34:27.712 --> 00:34:30.160 virtues and particularly role based virtues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}30.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.383$  what it means as I said to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

00:34:32.383 --> 00:34:34.560 good parent and good Commission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.108$  good social work and good healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}36.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.454$  administrator or whoever is involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00:34:37.454 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.050$  in the console thinking that through

NOTE Confidence: 0.758493921

 $00{:}34{:}39.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40.356$  those different role based virtue

 $00:34:40.356 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.959$  lenses has been really helpful for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.576$  Anyone in the room ever had

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:47.576 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.060$  sort of a conflict with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.440$  colleague about patient care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.640$  Yeah, we've got some nods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.864$  Yeah, not surprised. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00:34:54.864 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.600$  So sometimes I think about this too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00{:}34{:}56.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.751$  So you know we'll get again a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882300289285714

 $00{:}34{:}58.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.480$  consult that we'll get sometimes is

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.686$  maybe a consult from a nurse or from

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:06.686 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.688$  a resident or sort of a specialist

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}35{:}08.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.353$  consultant who's like I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}35{:}10.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.913$  what we're doing to this patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:35:11.920 --> 00:35:13.840 like everyone's pulling their

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.760$  care in different directions.

00:35:15.760 --> 00:35:16.576 We need you to come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:16.576 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.440$  and sort of help us sort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.400$  sort it through and get on

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.320$  the same page about things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.280$  So this is sort of another role

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.530$  based case where I really think

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:24.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.810$  that again we may not ever think

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.186$  or agree upon what the absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:28.186 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.074$  best thing to do is for a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.958$  There may be uncertainty about outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:35:31.960 --> 00:35:33.472 We may not know what's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:33.472 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.360$  happen if we do X versus one we do Y.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}35{:}35.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37.544$  So again there might be sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:37.544 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.906$  disagreement or uncertainty at

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:38.906 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.462$  the epistemic level about sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}35{:}41.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.040$  predicted outcomes about what's

 $00:35:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.480$  important for this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.352$  But what we can do is sort of again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.595$  adopt that role based lens and recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.119$  why there's a little bit of tension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714 00:35:52.120 --> 00:35:52.372 right, NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:52.372 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.632$  why we're kind of pulling

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:35:53.632 --> 00:35:54.640 things in different directions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714 00:35:54.640 --> 00:35:55.050 So again, NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}35{:}55.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.485$  sort of just piercing things out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:35:56.485 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.915$  everyone sort of wearing a different hat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:35:57.920 --> 00:36:00.080 And there's some different commitments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714 00:36:00.080 --> 00:36:00.413 different, NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}36{:}00.413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.745$  different virtues that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:01.745 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.745$  are sort of carrying in based

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:03.745 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.437$  on their role to that situation.

 $00:36:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.576$  I think it has helped me in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00{:}36{:}07.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.316$  kinds of cases as well to sort of find

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:10.316 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.260$  some shared ground and just try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:12.260 --> 00:36:14.319 like take a breath and just be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.559$  you don't have to like this person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.820$  But we can kind of respect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.896$  They're trying to be a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:17.896 --> 00:36:18.880 nurse or they're trying to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:18.880 --> 00:36:19.920 you know, a good clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:19.920 --> 00:36:21.240 a good, a good cardiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714 00:36:21.240 --> 00:36:21.686 a good, NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

00:36:21.686 --> 00:36:22.132 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:22.132 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.470$  whoever's in the room involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849025664285714

 $00:36:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.876$  in the conflict in the consult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.572$  One more story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:29.572 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.160$  How am I going for time? OK, perfect.

 $00:36:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.780$  So I was in Melbourne in September

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.608$  for a conference on pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:39.608 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.360$  bioethics with Lynn and the team

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

00:36:41.417 --> 00:36:42.877 at Royal Children's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:42.880 \dashrightarrow 00:36:45.330$  And the theme of the conference was

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.462$  innovation. So we spent 2 1/2 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.91722292888889

 $00:36:47.462 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.004$  talking a lot about these amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}36{:}50.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.336$  technological advances in healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}36{:}52.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55.504$  You know, cut like cutting edge

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:36:55.504 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.845$  surgical techniques, devices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

00:36:56.845 --> 00:37:01.040 We talked a lot about AI, machine learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.195$  just kind of the brave new world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}37{:}02.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.785$  just kind of everything that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00{:}37{:}03.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.053$  pulling healthcare in certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37:05.053 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.039$  directions at the moment,

 $00:37:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.048$  where funding is going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37:07.048 \dashrightarrow 00:37:08.560$  what we're what we're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.520$  what we seem to value overall within

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.680$  clinical practice and research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.748$  And it's really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917222928888889

 $00:37:14.748 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.839$  Things started to happen on the second day

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.998$  during the Q&A for the sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

00:37:21.000 --> 00:37:25.316 People, much to my delight and surprise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

00:37:25.320 --> 00:37:26.775 really started drawing upon virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:26.775 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.684$  terms to talk about their roles

NOTE Confidence: 0.9080547233333333

 $00:37:28.684 \dashrightarrow 00:37:30.276$  and their professional obligations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.156$  And it was just sort of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:32.156 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.291$  really interesting natural thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:33.291 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.929$  that sort of happened with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:34.929 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.440$  particular group that we had there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:36.440 \dashrightarrow 00:37:37.651$  And I was talking to my colleague

 $00:37:37.651 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.940$  John Massey, and we all come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.244$  This is so interesting, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

00:37:40.244 --> 00:37:42.792 why are we drawing upon virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:42.792 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.506$  so much in the context of this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:45.506 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.728$  broad philosophical and practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:46.728 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.800$  discussion about what is innovation and like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.480$  is it good, is it bad?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.238$  People were really latching onto well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.160$  well my role is this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00{:}37{:}54.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57.290$  I feel like I have to do this and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00{:}37{:}57.381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.160$  know people I think were looking for

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:37:59.160 \dashrightarrow 00:38:00.621$  something to grasp onto that felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

00:38:00.621 --> 00:38:01.983 a little more tangible just given

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00{:}38{:}01.983 \to 00{:}38{:}03.599$  how much uncertainty and how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

00:38:03.599 --> 00:38:04.994 we're kind of pushing boundaries

 $00:38:04.994 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.160$  around what's possible in healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:38:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.435$  And we just found that really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908054723333333

 $00:38:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.917$  And So what I've been thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.320$  is why are we so drawn to it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.400$  When are we drawn to it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

00:38:17.400 --> 00:38:19.152 Who is drawn to this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:19.152 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.320$  of virtue based framing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

00:38:20.320 --> 00:38:23.274 And and I think as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.900$  where it sort of feels like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.545$  more solid ground and where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:26.545 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.063$  feels like it has more value

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:28.063 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.641$  is often when we're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

00:38:29.641 --> 00:38:30.985 about roles and relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:30.985 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.484$  that kind of interpersonal bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

 $00:38:32.484 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.178$  What are the qualities I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998306925

00:38:34.178 --> 00:38:35.319 approach that interaction with

 $00:38:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.745$  and particularly when those roles

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:38:40.745 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.720$  and relationships potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:38:42.720 --> 00:38:45.000 create different epistemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:38:45.000 --> 00:38:48.040 normative or practical commitments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:38:48.040 --> 00:38:49.258 So again, recognizing we may not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:38:49.258 --> 00:38:50.598 on the same page about something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:38:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.616$  but what I can control is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00{:}38{:}52.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54.880$  how I approach this interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:38:54.880 --> 00:38:56.236 As I said, when there's disagreement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:38:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.360$  when there's uncertainty about things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:38:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.320$  I think that is a time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:39:00.320 --> 00:39:01.160 virtue ethics really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:01.160 \dashrightarrow 00:39:02.360$  I think it always adds something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00{:}39{:}02.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04.719$  But the less certain things are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.078$  the more prone we are to disagreement.

 $00:39:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.816$  I think that's really a time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:39:07.816 --> 00:39:09.560 it can help us because again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:39:09.560 --> 00:39:10.858 you have control over you, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

00:39:10.858 --> 00:39:12.664 That's kind of the thing that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:12.664 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.404$  can control when you approach and

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:14.404 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.348$  by cultivating those in a personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:16.348 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.920$  sort of skills and virtues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.440$  I think I've seen it be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.432$  powerful in different settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.730$  And it was really interesting at

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00{:}39{:}23.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}24.802$  this conference how much people

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:24.802 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.130$  were drawn to it when we were sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.835$  of struggling with like what are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:27.835 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.831$  doing as healthcare practitioners

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.104$  and researchers at the moment doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:31.104 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.892$  all this cool stuff. But like, why?

 $00:39:32.892 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.356$  What is it we care about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.747$  How are we kind of thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00{:}39{:}36.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38.520$  the the professional roles that

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.560$  we have and who they're helping?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749488265714286

 $00:39:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.360$  I'm going to whiz through this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:39:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.351$  The other thing I just whizzed through

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:39:46.351 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.591$  was just some research that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:39:47.591 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.026$  doing at the moment on responses to

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:39{:}49.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.246$  suffering and sort of carrying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:39:50.246 \dashrightarrow 00:39:51.664$  work and moral and social psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00{:}39{:}51.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}53.536$  What actually happens in our brains

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:39:53.536 \dashrightarrow 00:39:55.361$  when we when we witness someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:39:55.361 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.479$  suffering with some of the virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

00:39:57.479 --> 00:39:59.199 theory on virtues like compassion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:39:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.880$  mercy, pity, things like that.

00:40:00.880 --> 00:40:02.000 So putting them in conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800970539

 $00:40:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.840$  it's going to be really cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

 $00:40:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.928$  We're at 5:45. I'm going to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

 $00:40:07.928 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.705$  wrap it up there with just these

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

 $00{:}40{:}09.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.521$  are sort of questions that I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

00:40:11.521 --> 00:40:12.955 for you all coming into tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845069371785714

 $00{:}40{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.759$  I was really sort of thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.798$  as I said, where we're at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.438$  I know we've got some trainees in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

00:40:19.440 --> 00:40:20.875 How do you think about your role?

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.037$  What kinds of qualities do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.750$  healthcare practitioners need to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.786$  this particular moment in history with

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

00:40:27.786 --> 00:40:29.436 sort of everything that's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.496$  all of these conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:31.496 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.038$  questioning medical expertise,

 $00:40:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.920$  authority of clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.198$  what sorts of traits feel really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

00:40:37.200 --> 00:40:38.520 really valuable to you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

00:40:38.520 --> 00:40:40.920 So it's even something you think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

00:40:40.920 --> 00:40:41.612 How do you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

00:40:41.612 --> 00:40:43.202 how do you all see virtue ethics applying

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

00:40:43.202 --> 00:40:44.467 to your personal or professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:44.467 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.080$  life if you think that they do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

00:40:46.080 --> 00:40:49.604 And what value do you think it has, if any?

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:49.604 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.114$  What bugs you about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00{:}40{:}51.120 \to 00{:}40{:}52.794$  These are sort of some questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:52.794 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.830$  that I had coming into it that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00{:}40{:}54.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.475$  would love to hear your thoughts on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707729603333333

 $00:40:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.400$  I can leave that up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

 $00:41:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.883$  Here's my info. Please feel free to

00:41:01.883 --> 00:41:03.397 reach out if you have any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

 $00:41:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.480$  I work mostly in pediatric Biomedics as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

 $00:41:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.848$  So if you have questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

00:41:06.848 --> 00:41:07.760 decision making for kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

00:41:07.760 --> 00:41:09.520 super happy to chat about that, about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

00:41:09.520 --> 00:41:10.920 about pretty much anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902081557142857

00:41:10.920 --> 00:41:12.920 So thank you all so much. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.38384374

 $00:41:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.559$  leave that out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.080$  That's great. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.320$  Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00{:}41{:}21.320 \longrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.396$  An announcement I need to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:23.396 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.499$  that Karen reminds me before we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:25.499 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.400$  get any further for CMA, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.270$  And it is this for the folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.320$  on Zoom should have this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

 $00:41:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.624$  But for the folks in the room to get

00:41:35.624 --> 00:41:37.663 your continuing education credit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86199502875

00:41:37.663 --> 00:41:40.680 this talk you it's the number is

NOTE Confidence: 0.790740403

 $00:41:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.676$  203-442-9435. A lot of folks that yell

NOTE Confidence: 0.790740403

00:41:45.676 --> 00:41:46.920 know this number. It's in your phone

NOTE Confidence: 0.949194054285714

 $00:41:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.239$  203-442-9435. And the code you text is

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:41:56.720 --> 00:41:58.320 40965 40965. And if you didn't get that

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:41:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.258$  if some way at the end of the talk you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}00.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01.995$  come see me and I'll send you that again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}02.000 --> 00{:}42{:}04.118$  Or you can ask Karen. She has that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}04.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08.160$  This was wonderful. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.476$  I'm going to take the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.860$  the moderator's prerogative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}11.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.760$  I've got 1 mic here. I'm going to ask you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}14.760 --> 00{:}42{:}16.240$  You've got one as well. Terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.476$  OK. So when we go around your talk,

00:42:19.480 --> 00:42:22.000 if you'd be so kind as to raise your hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.760$  and I'll indicate who's going to speak next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:42:23.760 --> 00:42:24.800 and Karen will bring him, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.040$  wait till the mic gets to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.720$  so that everybody can hear you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.569$  including the folks who are online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:30.569 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.032$  I want to start by answering what

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}42{:}32.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34.139$  do I think one of the most valuable

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:42:34.139 --> 00:42:34.958 traits or dispositions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:42:34.960 --> 00:42:36.466 Because I was thinking of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:36.466 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.802$  and it might not be one of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:42:38.802 --> 00:42:40.340 particularly for my student pals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:42:40.340 --> 00:42:41.931 were in the room because, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:42:41.931 --> 00:42:43.216 everybody's going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:43.216 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.876$  kindness and honesty and all that stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429 00:42:44.880 --> 00:42:46.220 Yeah. Yeah.

 $00:42:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.890$  Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.635$  So And I think those things

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:48.635 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.280$  are horribly important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.348$  but I have to say that as someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:52.348 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.918$  who works with physicians and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:42:53.918 --> 00:42:55.480 for years supervised physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.496$  what I found to be the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:57.496 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.340$  valuable trait or the trait that

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:42:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.230$  was most dangerous when it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.400$  missing was reliability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:43:03.400 --> 00:43:04.860 And you would touch on trustworthiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.920$  and we'll call it the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}43{:}06.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08.462$  When I say to someone did

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:08.462 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.008$  you do X and they say yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:43:10.008 --> 00:43:11.880 I did X and then I find out

 $00:43:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.200$  afterwards that they didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.640$  That's a huge problem in

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.792$  the practice of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.456$  The reliability and trustworthiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:18.456 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.630$  is is that's a what's the word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.380$  I'm thinking of a deal breaker when

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:23.429 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.919$  that's not really really solid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.285$  So that's just my throw in for

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:26.285 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.670$  the for the students and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.920$  younger folks in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.694$  But past that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:29.694 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.500$  I actually want to turn it over

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:43:31.557 --> 00:43:33.486 to others who may have answers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:43:33.486 --> 00:43:34.470 Professor Moore's questions or

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.080$  other things they want to ask her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00{:}43{:}36.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.310$  But let's start before we start

 $00:43:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.759$  asking her the really hard questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.080$  let's try and answer some of her questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:43:42.080 --> 00:43:43.240 Can you bring the mic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.240$  Do you have the mic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

 $00:43:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.794$  So can you bring it up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.810073078571429

00:43:46.800 --> 00:43:47.720 Mark to start us off?

NOTE Confidence: 0.70839965

 $00:43:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.866$  Are you comfortable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.70839965

 $00{:}43{:}52.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.384$  Do you want me to grab your chair?

NOTE Confidence: 0.70839965

 $00:43:54.384 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.638$  Are you good? You're welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70839965

 $00:43:55.638 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.000$  I'm just sitting at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857

 $00:43:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.091$  table. I'm good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857

 $00{:}43{:}59.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00.786$  Thank you for an excellent talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857

 $00:44:00.786 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.640$  So I'll, I'll start with the middle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857

 $00:44:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.480$  the middle question. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.464778047142857

 $00:44:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.560$  So I was a Co chair of the adult bioethics

00:44:07.560 --> 00:44:09.896 committee for several years

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

 $00{:}44{:}09.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.190$  and one thing that struck me

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

 $00:44:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.745$  is that I was used to wonder

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

 $00:44:13.745 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.680$  why did this case come to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

 $00:44:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.890$  And and I I regret looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.970298444

00:44:18.890 --> 00:44:20.919 back that you know I I took

NOTE Confidence: 0.78332127625

 $00:44:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.545$  sort of a principalist approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.78332127625

 $00:44:22.545 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.720$  to most of the challenges that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78332127625

 $00:44:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.360$  were brought to our our table. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

00:44:27.360 --> 00:44:30.608 I think that what I'm seeing now

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00{:}44{:}30.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32.680$  particularly this evening is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00:44:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.380$  the the absence of virtue actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00:44:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.146$  might have contributed to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

00:44:38.146 --> 00:44:39.720 problem coming up in the 1st place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00:44:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.430$  So not that virtue was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00:44:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.898$  to offer easy solutions,

 $00:44:43.898 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.959$  but that the lack of honesty

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617352

 $00:44:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.160$  or the lack of integrity

NOTE Confidence: 0.966061758

 $00:44:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.880$  or the lack of kindness

NOTE Confidence: 0.837988116666667

 $00:44:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.556$  is actually what caused a dissolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.837988116666667

 $00:44:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.880$  of the relationship between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837988116666667

 $00:44:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.279$  patients and the and the physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.837988116666667

 $00:44:58.279 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.520$  and the and the healthcare team. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837988116666667

 $00:45:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.320$  And so the lesson was, if you almost think

NOTE Confidence: 0.872517555714286

00:45:02.320 --> 00:45:04.679 about it in like a preventive way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872517555714286

 $00:45:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.533$  right, if if we acted virtuously, maybe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872517555714286

 $00:45:08.533 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.160$  maybe the dilemmas that come to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.967603405

 $00:45:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.120$  would never have happened in the first place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}14.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.448$  Yeah, I've got like a million things going

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:16.448 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.960$  through my head with a comment like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.720$  I also think it's an interesting question.

00:45:19.720 --> 00:45:22.024 Why? Why this case like something I'm like

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:22.024 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.716$  that you could have got that case weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:23.716 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.320$  ago and it wouldn't have come like it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.102$  Interesting trends and questions I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:27.102 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.425$  in there about when that particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:45:29.425 --> 00:45:32.275 mechanism of the committee gets triggered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.456$  I feel sometimes a little bit wary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}35.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38.502$  A lot wary about talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:45:38.502 --> 00:45:40.539 vices or kind of thinking about my

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:40.539 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.120$  colleagues or myself being like man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.800$  I just I was unkind or like how do how do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.161$  What I'm asking is kind of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:47.161 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.607$  do we balance not being overly

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}45{:}48.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.880$  judgmental with people or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:45:49.880 --> 00:45:51.357 I would never say in a meeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:45:51.360 --> 00:45:55.222 man that person was like I might

 $00:45:55.222 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.720$  think it but like how do we kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.190 \text{ I wouldn't}.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:45:58.190 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.070$  I would hate for us to have sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:00.128 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.096$  of a virtue ethics lens on a virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:02.096 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.246$  ethics hat on and kind of come out

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:04.246 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.544$  of something thinking like well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:05.544 --> 00:46:07.112 it's just about kind of pointing at

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:07.112 --> 00:46:08.448 people who whack really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:08.448 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.848$  qualities And like again that

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}09.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.318$  doesn't kind of resolve anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:11.320 --> 00:46:13.056 But what I think your comment gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:13.056 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.648$  us to is the importance of creating

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00{:}46{:}15.648 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}46{:}17.436$  environments and workplace cultures

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.400$  that help us to be kind or help us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.320$  have humility or earn our mistakes.

00:46:21.320 --> 00:46:22.316 Or if we didn't do something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.120$  just be like, I did not do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:24.120 --> 00:46:25.398 I will do it next time or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

 $00:46:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.937$  how do we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:25.937 --> 00:46:27.011 I think there's a really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.748752315

00:46:27.011 --> 00:46:27.720 point in there about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00:46:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.818$  why someone wasn't able to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00:46:31.818 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.108$  possess or kind of demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}33.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.800$  those things in their interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

00:46:34.800 --> 00:46:36.075 And I think that's particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}36.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.688$  important for us to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

00:46:37.688 --> 00:46:39.434 what kind of ethical climate of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}39.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40.614$  institutions and healthcare practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}40.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.354$  and training at the moment as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00:46:42.354 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.439$  I don't get any sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90303572

 $00{:}46{:}43.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}44.476$  Sorry, I feel like I'm battling yell.

00:46:44.480 --> 00:46:46.320 But yeah, that's yeah, it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:46:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.400$  a lot of sense. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

00:46:47.400 --> 00:46:48.198 you know I think you're right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:46:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.012$  It's not. It's not that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:46:50.012 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.220$  would condemn somebody, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:46:51.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.480$  But I think that a lot of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:46:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.366$  feel really lost in a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:46:55.366 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.198$  healthcare institution like ours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

00:46:57.200 --> 00:47:00.984 And the teams change and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:47:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.184$  mistakes happen and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:47:03.184 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.400$  wonder who's in charge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:47:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.786$  And and so there are all sorts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00{:}47{:}06.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.284$  of problems that lead to loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:47:09.284 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.095$  trust that I I think that somehow if

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:47:12.095 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.780$  we inculcated this notion like what

 $00:47:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.292$  what does the patient really need

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

00:47:15.292 --> 00:47:17.434 from us before a problem arises might

NOTE Confidence: 0.811267501666667

 $00:47:17.434 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.119$  actually keep that from happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00:47:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.432$  Yeah. These little missed

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00:47:20.432 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.040$  opportunities to show a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00:47:22.091 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.483$  of kindness or spend some extra

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

00:47:23.483 --> 00:47:24.740 time or double check something

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00:47:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.120$  to be really meticulous or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

 $00:47:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.874$  Yeah, absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781281586153846

00:47:26.874 --> 00:47:28.880 Thank you for that comment. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:47:29.600 --> 00:47:31.497 let's hear from James. Oh, Jack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:47:31.497 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.233$  Looks like Jack's geared up to say something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:47:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.440$  I've. I've got the mic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00{:}47{:}36.440 \to 00{:}47{:}38.548$  You have the talking stick, Dr. Hughes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:47:38.548 --> 00:47:40.886 Ordinarily I'd say never mind but since

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00{:}47{:}40.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.080$  you were named as as one of the most.

 $00:47:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.795$  And by the way, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00{:}47{:}45.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.816$  I'll go ahead and say this because because

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:47:47.816 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.695$  God would strike me down if it wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:47:49.695 --> 00:47:52.960 true that that's what I was going to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00{:}47{:}52.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.372$  So Mark and I are of like mind on

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:47:55.372 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.664$  this though I can't for the life of me

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:47:56.664 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.560$  remember why I was going to say it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:47:57.560 --> 00:47:59.136 But but that's what I was going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:47:59.136 --> 00:48:00.756 say that not I'm talking about Jack

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:48:00.756 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.400$  being the person that you would admire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:48:02.400 --> 00:48:02.798 All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:48:02.798 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.191$  So because of that talk to Hughes

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00{:}48{:}04.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.675$  land the next question and then we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:48:05.675 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.280$  going to hear from James in the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:48:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.800$  Go ahead, please.

 $00:48:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.096$  With regard to the to the virtues

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

 $00:48:12.096 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.440$  what I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:48:13.440 --> 00:48:16.800 and this is building off what Mark said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.605969306

00:48:16.800 --> 00:48:18.480 I I think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8970808175

00:48:19.560 --> 00:48:20.560 I don't know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.510$  to define whether to define this

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.199$  as a virtue or as a skill or both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.517$  And that is the ability to communicate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.202$  Because I think so often that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:30.202 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.266$  the the problem that leads to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00{:}48{:}33.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.636$  mistrust and the and the sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:35.636 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.400$  of of loss and bewilderment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00{:}48{:}38.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.240$  results in the ethics consultation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00{:}48{:}41.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.600$  So but I can. I can see it as a skill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

00:48:44.600 --> 00:48:47.953 but they it does require a virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:47.953 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.828$  of patience and humility and and a

 $00:48:51.828 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.676$  willingness to listen in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00{:}48{:}53.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.039$  get to the place where you learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

00:48:56.039 --> 00:48:58.560 that skill and can apply that skill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924873806

 $00:48:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.760$  So that's simply an addendum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865

 $00:49:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.318$  Hear from James then. I'm hoping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865

00:49:09.320 --> 00:49:10.320 Oh, thank you very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865

00:49:10.320 --> 00:49:11.804 because I I wanted to hear from

NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865

 $00{:}49{:}11.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.920$  some medical students after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865

 $00{:}49{:}12.920 \to 00{:}49{:}15.545$  But we're working our way down

NOTE Confidence: 0.769458865

00:49:15.545 --> 00:49:16.360 to the medical students. We'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.278$  talk to a house officer now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.200$  and then eventually we'll get to you guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00{:}49{:}19.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.112$  Yeah, attendings and residents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00{:}49{:}20.112 --> 00{:}49{:}21.480$  And you guys will be next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.285$  Yeah, so Bry already outed me as

 $00:49:25.285 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.520$  somebody sympathetic to her 'cause here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.736$  So hopefully the room will understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:29.736 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.080$  I am teeing her up for a knockout punch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.480$  Not being critical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.476$  a common critique I've often heard of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.781$  Specifically, the Aristotelian

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:39.781 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.383$  framing of virtue ethics is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:44.383 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.915$  it is a self defeating framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:47.915 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.395$  Because if we think of virtue as this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.260$  you know, classic undergraduate golden

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00{:}49{:}54.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57.120$  mean between excess and deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.655$  then shouldn't virtue itself also

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:49:59.655 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.190$  be something that it's possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:02.277 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.837$  to have too much of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

00:50:03.840 --> 00:50:05.248 And in my mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:05.248 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.360$  I don't think that's the case.

 $00:50:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.845$  I don't think that it is possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:09.845 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.640$  to be too good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.327$  What would you say to people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

00:50:14.327 --> 00:50:16.159 would kind of levy that criticism?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

00:50:16.160 --> 00:50:16.800 Would you just say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

00:50:16.800 --> 00:50:17.670 that's just Aristotle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.120$  he's an he's an idiot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.920$  he's been dead for 2000 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831109561666667

 $00:50:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.680$  What would you say?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:50:23.160 --> 00:50:25.347 Yeah, I would. I would say I was thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:25.347 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.160$  about this earlier like it's kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.350$  like it's the self effacing in many ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.700$  Like do you want people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.480$  be doing the right thing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.280$  Because it's the right thing to do right.

 $00:50:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.320$  Like we want a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.920$  more like if is it Susan Wolf,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:50:38.920 --> 00:50:40.600 someone has a paper on moral Saints

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.370$  and how they just seem like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.870$  most like cringe people that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.700$  couldn't stand to be around. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.360$  This idea of just like too good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:50:48.360 --> 00:50:51.624 like take it down a notch kind of exemplar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:50:51.624 --> 00:50:53.544 right? Like there's it's good

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:53.544 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.302$  to be bad sometimes, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:55.302 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.078$  Or kind of to have him put imperfections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.040$  don't quote me on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:50:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.077$  But like to have imperfections or flaws,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68714069047619100:51:01.080 --> 00:51:01.322 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:01.322 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.835$  Like this is part of of who we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:03.835 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.035$  and how to kind of like balance that.

 $00:51:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.048$  I think it's valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}07.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.631$  I think if we were all kind of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:09.631 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.635$  virtuous robots that would be awful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.026$  I don't know where that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:14.026 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.704$  balance is exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:14.704 --> 00:51:16.392 I think it would be different for

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:16.392 --> 00:51:17.532 different people and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:17.532 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.957$  roles depending what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:18.960 --> 00:51:19.904 But yeah, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:19.904 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.320$  I think that's an important one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}21.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25.500$  And I am not an Aristotelian by any means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.800$  And I also, I'm a philosopher by training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.270$  But it's been a long time since

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:29.270 --> 00:51:30.800 I've dug into kind of where the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.060$  like,

 $00:51:31.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.880$  the theoretical debates around this are at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}32.880 \to 00{:}51{:}34.218$  So I don't actually know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:34.218 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.400$  there's any kind of good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.958$  I'm sure there are responses to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:37.958 --> 00:51:39.626 kind of worry from more contemporary

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:39.626 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.279$  virtue ethicists or virtue theorists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:41.280 --> 00:51:43.674 But yeah, I think it's an important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.446$  important one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191 00:51:44.446 --> 00:51:44.829 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:44.829 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.361$  Like imperfections and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}46.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.604$  getting it wrong and just having some

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00{:}51{:}48.604 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}51{:}50.718$  flaws is really important to the paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:50.718 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.955$  on moral Saints is really a fun one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191 00:51:52.960 --> 00:51:53.233 Yeah. NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

00:51:53.233 --> 00:51:54.598 If you would recommend it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687140690476191

 $00:51:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.800$  if

00:51:54.800 --> 00:51:56.951 you like. I won't name you when I quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:51:56.951 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.611$  this but I'm definitely storing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:51:58.611 --> 00:52:00.960 in a way it's good to be bad sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:00.960 --> 00:52:02.884 I mean I'll just say a noted bioethicist

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:02.884 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.936$  and we can leave it at that if you'd rather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:04.936 --> 00:52:06.480 If you want credit, I'll give it to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:06.480 --> 00:52:08.118 and by the way you say you haven't been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}08.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.744$  you've been in the in the clinical arena

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:09.744 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.708$  for a while and and we've talked about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:11.708 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.696$  and we were talking about this in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:13.696 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.432$  of our interests here etcetera that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:15.432 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.159$  actually run a clinical ethics fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19.380$  And also for the sake of I'm some of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:19.439 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.615$  folks know there's no doubt but for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:21.615 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.140$  who are less involved in pediatric ethics.

 $00:52:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.520$  So places like Melbourne this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.240$  this is one of the meccas for PDI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.927$  this the land of Lynn Gilliam and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}30.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32.519$  Trish Prentiss and so many others

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:32.519 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.157$  that's the place for pediatric ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00.52:34.160 \longrightarrow 00.52:35.600$  And in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:35.600 --> 00:52:37.424 places like Children's Mercy and Baylor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:37.424 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.359$  I mean this, this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:38.359 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.513$  these are so you've not been

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:40.513 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.712$  involved in those heavy, deep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}42.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}45.240$  pure philosophical conversations lately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.656$  You have in fact been steeped

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}52{:}46.656 \to 00{:}52{:}48.328$  in other areas that I think can

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:48.328 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.678$  be very helpful to this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:52:49.680 --> 00:52:51.120 Now I've got something online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.918$  but before we get to that,

00:52:51.920 --> 00:52:52.718 I want to hear from Caitlin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.954$  perhaps one or two other medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435 00:52:55.960 --> 00:52:56.822 OK, cool. NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:56.822 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.977$  So I actually wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:52:58.977 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.120$  address the third question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.040$  So in terms of value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.154$  when you were talking about virtue ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.300$  I actually found myself thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:53:07.300 --> 00:53:10.344 a lot of my classmates and myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}10.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13.848$  just all the mistakes we make

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:13.848 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.600$  as we're learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.100$  And I I find ourselves looking at each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.835$  judging each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:53:19.840 --> 00:53:22.870 even not by like what actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.455$  happened but the type of people we

 $00:53:25.455 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.371$  are and being like he really wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:27.371 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.673$  to be honest with the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.038$  but maybe he said too much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.216$  So I feel like it's a really easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:33.216 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.777$  way to approach ethics that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:35.777 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.235$  not necessarily taught.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.656$  One thing I see that can potentially I

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:39.656 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.089$  think this tough is I find that as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}42.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.516$  spend more and more time in the hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.760$  the consequences of our accidents

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00{:}53{:}46.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.914$  matter a lot more than our than what

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:49.914 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.290$  we are trying to do or like who

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:52.362 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.674$  we are trying to be as a person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

00:53:54.680 --> 00:53:58.480 So I feel like it can get conflated

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:53:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.226$  like what the right virtues are

NOTE Confidence: 0.901521259130435

 $00:54:00.226 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.959$  and what you should be doing.

 $00:54:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.195$  And as to answer the second part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.520$  the question what bothers me about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

00:54:10.520 --> 00:54:13.670 I think that when you were talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.358$  about the situationalist perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.195$  I thought that was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

00:54:17.195 --> 00:54:19.030 interesting because I thought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:19.102 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.120$  myself that for a situationalist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.240$  if you nudge a situation, any direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.320$  that changes the situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.400$  So my question became,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.662$  is someone still virtuous as long

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:30.662 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.137$  as they have the same reaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:33.137 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.795$  to the same situation every time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.680$  Do they possess the same virtues every time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

00:54:38.680 --> 00:54:41.080 And my one worry with that in the

00:54:41.080 --> 00:54:43.061 hospital is I have encountered so

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

00:54:43.061 --> 00:54:45.560 little that it feels like I have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

00:54:45.560 --> 00:54:47.588 figure out what my reaction would

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:47.588 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.745$  be first to everything before I

NOTE Confidence: 0.906769631428571

 $00:54:49.745 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.600$  can become some one who's virtuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931832645833333

 $00:54:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.456$  Excellent points and comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931832645833333

 $00:54:54.456 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.039$  Do you want me to say a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.57059665

 $00:54:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.839$  bit? Absolutely. Whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.57059665

 $00{:}54{:}56.839 \to 00{:}54{:}59.240$ you're feeling saying, yeah, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.839512443571429

00:55:04.200 --> 00:55:05.327 yeah, I'm thinking about your comment about

NOTE Confidence: 0.839512443571429

 $00{:}55{:}05.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06.560$  kind of your colleagues and just this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839512443571429

 $00:55:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.318$  again, this judginess worry, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

00:55:11.120 --> 00:55:11.904 And I mean, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

 $00:55:11.904 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.415$  it does like a big question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

00:55:13.415 --> 00:55:14.736 teachability in here, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

00:55:14.736 --> 00:55:17.460 Like, can we, like, teach people

 $00:55:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.160$  to be empathetic or or honest?

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

00:55:20.160 --> 00:55:21.679 And like, what does that look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

00:55:21.680 --> 00:55:23.000 You're not going to take an honesty class?

NOTE Confidence: 0.663356131428571

 $00:55:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.840$  Like that's kind of weird.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

00:55:25.880 --> 00:55:27.196 But yeah, again, I think role modeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00:55:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.120$  So for me that was a big one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00:55:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.560$  particularly as a clinical ethics fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00{:}55{:}30.560 \rightarrow 00{:}55{:}32.240$  Watching how my mentors did it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00{:}55{:}32.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34.655$  watching how attending other kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00{:}55{:}34.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37.813$  of clinicians in the space had had

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00.55:37.813 \longrightarrow 00.55:39.878$  conversations or practice these things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00:55:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.918$  really, really watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

00:55:40.918 --> 00:55:42.994 them and seeing it get done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00{:}55{:}43.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}44.920$  being done badly a lot of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

00:55:44.920 --> 00:55:46.927 You know, I think we often learn a lot

00:55:46.927 --> 00:55:48.420 more from that and then sometimes we

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00:55:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.964$  do when we see it done well, we just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00.55.49.964 \longrightarrow 00.55.50.958$  oh man, that was that was good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

00:55:50.960 --> 00:55:51.944 was a good conversation kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143

 $00:55:51.944 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.039$  mop on to the next thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729124042857143 00:55:53.040 --> 00:55:53.640 So I think NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:55:56.120 --> 00:55:57.724 not that you asked me for advice, but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:55:57.724 --> 00:55:59.820 you're doing that important work of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:55:59.877 --> 00:56:01.737 watching really closely and now thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:56:01.737 --> 00:56:04.280 about like what was it that happened there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.236$  what kind of was done well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:56:06.240 --> 00:56:09.678 how could I've done things differently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:56:09.680 --> 00:56:11.952 And I think to your point, like getting it

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:56:11.952 --> 00:56:14.160 wrong a lot that's going to happen, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:56:14.160 --> 00:56:17.040 And that is not necessarily a bad thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.836$  It's kind of, again,

 $00:56:17.836 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.412$  how we approach getting it wrong and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:19.412 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.920$  we kind of talk with each other about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00{:}56{:}20.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.034$  I'll be honest about what went wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.826$  Do we have enough respect for

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:23.826 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.679$  each other to kind of be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.440$  yeah, that was not great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.565$  And I can say that to you because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:56:27.565 --> 00:56:29.088 in this together kind of thing. So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

00:56:29.088 --> 00:56:31.200 I think this is all sounding very weird.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00{:}56{:}31.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}32.364$  But yes, I think you're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.781857482857143

 $00:56:32.364 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.440$  about all the right things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.999$  And then what bothers you about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}37.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38.120$  Yeah. So this idea that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.560$  like virtues, character traits

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.188$  should be fairly fixed, right?

00:56:41.188 --> 00:56:42.316 Like if you're an honest person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:56:42.320 --> 00:56:44.750 you should show that pretty consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.742$  across different situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:45.742 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.488$  But there's also this claim within

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:47.488 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.167$  burst reference that you have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}49.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.217$  very sensitive to the particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:56:50.263 --> 00:56:51.595 situation that's in front of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00.56.51.600 \longrightarrow 00.56.52.880$  So how you're honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.604$  like how much of it you sort of demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:55.604 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.520$  how what what particular language you use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}56{:}57.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58.912$  it depends on sort of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:58.912 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.840$  role in that particularity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:56:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.640$  It's going to come with experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:01.640 --> 00:57:04.780 I think I'll tell you too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.520$  as a clinical ethicist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.684$  I walk out of conversation sometimes

 $00:57:06.684 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.340$  and I'm like I did not handle that well

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.861$  or like I there was a really different

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:09.861 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.352$  way I could have asked that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:11.360 --> 00:57:13.416 And sometimes I'll go back and say, hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:13.416 --> 00:57:15.680 if I have a few more minutes of your time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571 00:57:15.680 --> 00:57:16.082 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:16.082 --> 00:57:17.288 you just realize like there's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:17.288 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.518$  really important I wanted to ask you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571 00:57:18.520 --> 00:57:18.930 So again, NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:18.930 --> 00:57:20.160 just like it's just it's practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

00:57:20.160 --> 00:57:21.390 I think, and giving yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:21.390 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.079$  permission to kind of get it wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00{:}57{:}23.080 \to 00{:}57{:}26.456$  Sometimes I think you're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:26.456 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.476$  about all the right things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956778971428571

 $00:57:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.400$  And I appreciate your comment.

00:57:29.480 --> 00:57:30.719 If I could just add 1 little

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:30.719 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.960$  thing to to to add to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.690$  which is to say it's not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.648$  virtuous or a goal to look back when

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

00:57:36.648 --> 00:57:38.160 you're 60 years old and saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

00:57:38.160 --> 00:57:39.468 man, I'm doing this exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

00:57:39.468 --> 00:57:41.198 same way I did it when I was 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

00:57:41.200 --> 00:57:42.744 You know, it's all right that you're a

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:42.744 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.519$  work in progress throughout your career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

00:57:44.520 --> 00:57:45.846 It's not your mission to always

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:45.846 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.960$  do things the same way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.836$  It's your mission to do your best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.598$  And that may be different in

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.142$  2024 than it was in 2023.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:52.142 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.048$  That's all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:53.048 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.954$  That's all right.

 $00:57:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.010$  This gentleman and this gentleman

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

 $00:57:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.060$  I've got a couple questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.748518040714286

00:57:56.094 --> 00:57:56.916 online I want to get to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

00:57:57.800 --> 00:58:00.000 Hi, thank you for talking to us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

 $00:58:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.422$  I'm curious how you respond to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

 $00{:}58{:}02.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04.860$  critique that virtual ethics is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

 $00:58:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.035$  a way of applying consequentialism

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

 $00:58:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.273$  and that like the reason we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

 $00{:}58{:}09.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}11.048$  some qualities virtues is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

00:58:11.048 --> 00:58:12.556 they have good consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

 $00:58:12.560 \dashrightarrow 00:58:14.840$  And you talked about like the golden mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

 $00:58:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.000$  And if not like consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

00:58:17.000 --> 00:58:17.804 how should we like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

 $00:58:17.804 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.010$  What are we drawing on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93669610875

00:58:19.063 --> 00:58:20.160 strike that balance? Yep,

 $00:58:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.640$  that's such an important question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89570068

00:58:21.640 --> 00:58:22.608 Hang on to the mic for a SEC

NOTE Confidence: 0.89570068

00:58:22.608 --> 00:58:23.400 because I want to ask you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89570068

 $00:58:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.835$  why does that feel important to you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89570068

00:58:24.840 --> 00:58:26.394 Why you? Why you kind of asking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71682966

00:58:28.840 --> 00:58:31.360 I guess I'm worried about people

NOTE Confidence: 0.834424625

 $00:58:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.438$  clinging to virtues when the consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834424625

 $00:58:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.036$  if they think further about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834424625

 $00.58:36.040 \longrightarrow 00.58:37.180$  would actually be better if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.834424625

 $00:58:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.240$  didn't cling to the virtue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

00:58:38.320 --> 00:58:40.960 Yeah. Yeah. Super important question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:58:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.634$  And I think, I think a very valid one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:58:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.600$  And as I said, I'm not here to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

00:58:44.657 --> 00:58:46.604 virtue ethics is it ethically it's the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:58:46.604 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.159$  all and be all like end of it kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

00:58:49.160 --> 00:58:50.726 And I think I hope no one took me

 $00:58:50.726 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.556$  as saying consequences don't matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:58:52.556 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.401$  because they matter tremendously, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

00:58:54.401 --> 00:58:57.277 And I was I think about this a lot in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:58:57.277 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.215$  context of pediatric bioethics where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:58:59.215 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.542$  we often experience disagreements between

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:01.542 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.634$  parents and clinicians and we always

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:03.634 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.120$  come back to what's the effect on the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00{:}59{:}05.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}07.416$  And I think that really is sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

00:59:07.416 --> 00:59:09.595 sort of an ethically decisive

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:09.595 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.780$  factor and it should be how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.760$  think of them as working together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780615546

 $00:59:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.680$  So I think we have to think about both. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00{:}59{:}22.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}59{:}25.886$  and I'm trying to kind of think

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:25.886 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.316$  about a situation in which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

00:59:27.320 --> 00:59:28.955 you know, feeling virtuous would

 $00:59:28.955 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.914$  come into conflict with what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:30.914 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.480$  felt was doing the right thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.455$  Those are the kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

00:59:33.455 --> 00:59:34.235 situations you're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.689$  it sounds like like kind of when

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

00:59:35.689 --> 00:59:36.960 they would come into conflict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.744$  we're like clinging on to the idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00:59:38.744 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.039$  being honest or compassionate would

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

 $00{:}59{:}40.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}41.908$  actually have nothing to do with kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333

00:59:41.962 --> 00:59:43.480 of a good consequence for someone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790242178333333 00:59:43.480 --> 00:59:43.720 Yeah. I NOTE Confidence: 0.777441023333333

00:59:43.720 --> 00:59:45.520 mean, I, I, I guess the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777441023333333

 $00:59:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.624$  like you talked about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777441023333333

 $00:59:46.624 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.004$  like trying to balance these

NOTE Confidence: 0.777441023333333

00:59:48.004 --> 00:59:50.360 virtues, right? Like being too

NOTE Confidence: 0.88194132

 $00{:}59{:}48.880 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}59{:}50.360$  honest or not honest enough, like

00:59:50.360 --> 00:59:52.360 if if not just looking to the consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8765618275

 $00:59:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.920$  what what else should we be drawing upon?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79251241

 $00:59:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.320$  Right. OK. Sorry. That's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79251241

 $00:59:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.400$  Yeah. Got your question now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01{:}00{:}02.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}03.920$  Yeah, that's a good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:03.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.236$  My answer is like, I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:05.240 --> 01:00:06.766 I think, you know, when I'm kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:06.766 --> 01:00:08.199 of weighing and balancing again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:08.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.936$  it's rare for me to have a situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:09.936 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.820$  where I'm directly like being honest and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:11.820 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.120$  being compassionate come into conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:13.120 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.570$  Like how will I choose between them. Like

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01{:}00{:}15.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17.320$  that's kind of not typically what happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:17.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.984$  But certainly thinking about consequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:20.984 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.120$  is something that weighing and balancing.

 $01:00:23.120 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.157$  I don't know that. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:25.160 --> 01:00:27.040 I was kind of going back and kind of reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:27.086 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.838$  a little bit of the virtue ethics theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:28.840 --> 01:00:30.359 I think it's fair that to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:30.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.172$  like, sometimes it does sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:32.172 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.078$  collapse into consequentialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:33.080 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.520$  I think that's fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:34.520 --> 01:00:36.102 Again, I'm not kind of a \*\*\*\*\*\* moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:36.102 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.194$  theorist that's going to be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:37.200 --> 01:00:40.040 oh, that's a catastrophe. Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:40.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.000$  I think they probably feed into each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:42.000 --> 01:00:42.760 Again, that's the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:42.760 --> 01:00:44.699 I think if we were overly focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

01:00:44.699 --> 01:00:46.119 on consequences in them only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:46.120 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.911$  that could lead us to kind of a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:47.911 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.960$  bad place too. So it's all in there.

01:00:49.960 --> 01:00:51.280 That was a very unsatisfactory answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01{:}00{:}51.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52.360$  but it's a very good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718 01:00:52.360 --> 01:00:52.760 Yeah. NOTE Confidence: 0.867308718

 $01:00:52.760 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.560$  Thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01:00:59.080 \dashrightarrow 01:01:00.760$  thing. I kind of struggle to grasp

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

01:01:00.760 --> 01:01:02.044 a little closer, if you would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

01:01:02.044 --> 01:01:03.172 The thing I kind of struggle

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01:01:03.172 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.159$  to grasp with virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

01:01:04.160 --> 01:01:06.800 Essex is who decides what a virtue is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01:01:06.800 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.432$  It's like, not like there's this omnival

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

01:01:08.432 --> 01:01:10.298 of being who like writes on every

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01:01:10.298 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.664$  single virtue and it's set in stone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

01:01:11.664 --> 01:01:12.760 It seems like it's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01:01:12.760 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.340$  like culturally defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01:01:14.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.260$  And I'm sure there's a bunch of overlap

 $01:01:17.260 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.711$  throughout time and through different

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

 $01:01:18.711 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.559$  cultures between what is a virtue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616495104

01:01:20.560 --> 01:01:22.873 But in those times where there is no overlap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927093078571429

 $01:01:23.000 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.520$  I could see that becoming an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927093078571429

01:01:25.520 --> 01:01:27.880 So I guess my question is, how

NOTE Confidence: 0.952405066

 $01:01:27.880 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.312$  do you reconcile the variances in cultures

NOTE Confidence: 0.952405066

01:01:31.312 --> 01:01:34.040 and like, who defines what virtue is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:01:34.120 --> 01:01:35.926 Yeah, yeah, this kind of worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01{:}01{:}35.926 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}37.706$  cultural or moral relativism, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:01:37.706 --> 01:01:39.836 Something that might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01{:}01{:}39.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41.716$  I'm thinking too even about different roles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:01:41.720 --> 01:01:42.960 So like the criminal good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:42.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.382$  the virtuous criminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:01:44.382 --> 01:01:46.278 defense lawyer might indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:46.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.432$  perhaps shall we say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:47.432 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.872$  lie for their clients sometimes.

 $01:01:48.880 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.560$  And that is a virtue professionally for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:50.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.040$  So even between roles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:01:52.040 --> 01:01:53.890 I think there's sometimes conflicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:01:53.890 --> 01:01:55.438 ideas of what a virtue is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:55.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.435$  and then across cultures potentially as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:57.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.760$  This is a really good and important question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:01:59.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.048$  but I certainly again also don't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01{:}02{:}03.048 \to 01{:}02{:}05.310$  satisfactory answer to I think unlike any

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:02:05.310 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.320$  kind of concern about moral relativism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:02:07.320 --> 01:02:08.720 I think we always, like you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:02:08.720 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.448$  look for common ground.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

01:02:10.448 --> 01:02:12.272 We look for how kind of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:02:12.272 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.200$  have evolved over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:02:13.200 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.560$  sort of trends historically as

NOTE Confidence: 0.813075420909091

 $01:02:14.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.920$  we've moved away from certain

 $01:02:15.969 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.237$  things towards other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860175554545455

 $01:02:20.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.086$  I'm trying to decide like how

NOTE Confidence: 0.860175554545455

 $01:02:22.086 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.240$  worried I feel about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967527514

01:02:26.800 --> 01:02:28.240 I think it would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:30.960 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.352$  like you said, very problematic for

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:32.352 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.280$  someone to be like this is the definitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:34.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.732$  list of virtues, and everyone now

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:35.732 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.196$  needs to work towards having them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:37.200 --> 01:02:39.648 It would be so weird and terrible, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:39.648 --> 01:02:41.312 And also, who would that person be like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:41.320 --> 01:02:43.278 There's a huge issue there about, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:43.278 --> 01:02:45.224 you know, what if they themselves were

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:45.224 --> 01:02:46.479 not particularly virtuous, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:46.479 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.196$  There's no idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:47.196 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.630$  This is a big meta ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:48.683 --> 01:02:50.118 point about what grounds them,

 $01:02:50.120 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.720$  what makes them virtues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:52.720 --> 01:02:53.875 I don't know how successful they've been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:53.880 --> 01:02:55.518 but virtue ethicists have sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:02:55.520 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.416$  tried to identify what counts as

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:57.416 --> 01:02:59.931 a virtue relative to a role or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

01:02:59.931 --> 01:03:01.719 relationship or some sort of concrete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:03:01.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.228$  like particular the situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.898968222941177

 $01:03:03.228 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.359$  that you're in

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

01:03:06.880 --> 01:03:07.465 recognizing. Again, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

01:03:07.465 --> 01:03:08.635 if you're in a different situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:08.640 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.296$  in a different place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:09.296 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.280$  it might not be a virtue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

01:03:10.280 --> 01:03:12.002 So that's sort of how they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

01:03:12.002 --> 01:03:13.720 tried to get out of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:13.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.800$  James, you were nodding vigorously.

01:03:14.800 --> 01:03:17.880 Did you want to add anything to that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01{:}03{:}17.880 \to 01{:}03{:}20.155$  No. OK Yeah, it's a good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:20.160 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.590$  I think it's a big meta ethical debate about

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:22.590 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.114$  what ground something that makes it a virtue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:25.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.260$  My, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

01:03:26.260 --> 01:03:27.400 was trained in philosophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:27.400 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.360$  but now I'm just very practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

01:03:28.360 --> 01:03:28.840 I'm like, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

01:03:28.840 --> 01:03:29.960 it depends on the situation we're in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667 01:03:29.960 --> 01:03:32.000 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7243436566666667

 $01:03:32.000 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.518$  And part of that is recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:33.518 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.872$  the social and cultural situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:34.872 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.930$  that we're in and kind of checking

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:36.930 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.399$  ourselves depending on that situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724343656666667

 $01:03:38.400 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.120$  Really good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857

 $01:03:39.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.235$  Let me let me ask you a couple of

 $01:03:41.235 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.480$  questions from the folks online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857

 $01:03:42.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.901$  If I do it, it seems that virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857

01:03:44.901 --> 01:03:47.560 can really inform shared decision making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857

 $01:03:47.560 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.200$  especially when outcomes are unclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857

 $01:03:50.200 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.080$  Can you speak to how these two are connected

NOTE Confidence: 0.866102847142857

01:03:54.080 --> 01:03:56.480 virtue ethics and shared decision making?

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:03:56.760 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.720$  Yeah. Yeah. And again this

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:03:58.720 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.135$  question I love just because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01{:}04{:}01.135 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}03.397$  the uncertainty point like I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01{:}04{:}03.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}04{:}720$  consequential think about consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:04.720 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.370$  Outcomes are so compelling when

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:06.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.784$  we know what they are, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

01:04:07.784 --> 01:04:08.704 That's that's when we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

01:04:08.704 --> 01:04:10.260 kind of really like, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:10.260 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.965$  we this matters and we really

 $01:04:11.965 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.503$  need to do the most good or kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:13.503 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.513$  of optimize things for folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

01:04:14.520 --> 01:04:15.955 Right Where I think as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

01:04:15.960 --> 01:04:17.670 virtue ethics really adds something

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:17.670 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.604$  as when we don't know and when we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:20.604 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.552$  focus on processes and relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

01:04:22.552 --> 01:04:24.160 how and communications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:24.160 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.840$  how we speak to each other, how we interact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874840037647059

 $01:04:27.840 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.639$  And I haven't seen a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

01:04:32.080 --> 01:04:33.632 discussion of the relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

 $01{:}04{:}33.632 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}35.572$  perhaps none between virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

 $01:04:35.572 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.629$  and shared decision making in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

 $01:04:37.629 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.237$  the literature within bioethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

01:04:39.240 --> 01:04:41.076 I don't think it's something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

01:04:41.076 --> 01:04:42.927 we talk about instead of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

01:04:42.927 --> 01:04:44.163 about frameworks for something

 $01:04:44.163 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.440$  like shared decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

01:04:45.440 --> 01:04:46.676 I don't think I've seen any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

01:04:46.680 --> 01:04:47.922 So it's something that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

01:04:47.922 --> 01:04:49.620 love to go away and think more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

 $01:04:49.620 \longrightarrow 01:04:50.995$  about and potentially write about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87221296555556

01:04:51.000 --> 01:04:51.160 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

01:04:51.160 --> 01:04:53.576 I'll say to my friend who wrote this

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

 $01:04:53.576 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.520$  question, and you know who you are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

01:04:56.520 --> 01:04:59.008 who's here at Yale and a very insightful

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

01:04:59.008 --> 01:05:00.879 member of the junior faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

 $01:05:00.880 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.760$  that there's a question that maybe we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

 $01:05:02.760 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.132$  explore maybe with our friend Brianna.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

01:05:05.132 --> 01:05:07.800 Another question, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

 $01:05:07.800 \dashrightarrow 01:05:09.361$  This is from a colleague at NYU

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

 $01:05:09.361 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.957$  who says thank you for your talk.

 $01:05:10.960 \longrightarrow 01:05:13.472$  How have you seen ethics and value work

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

 $01:05:13.472 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.799$  practiced or given attention differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.921384811111111

 $01:05:15.800 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.795$  here in the US and in Australia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:20.560 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.556$  Yeah. So I said something earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:21.556 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.658$  about this kind of the cultural

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01{:}05{:}22.658 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}23.876$  differences around what we talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:23.880 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.610$  particularly in kind of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:25.610 \longrightarrow 01:05:26.994$  education and professional ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

01:05:27.000 --> 01:05:29.678 I've, I've been thinking about this a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

01:05:29.680 --> 01:05:34.360 I'm like, I I when I when I moved to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:34.360 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.475$  when I moved to the US back in 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:36.480 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.944$  no one wanted to talk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

 $01:05:37.944 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.080$  me about patio ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767791332222222

01:05:39.080 --> 01:05:42.160 I was like, this is weird, except James

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

 $01:05:45.440 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.680$  and there was a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

01:05:46.680 --> 01:05:49.040 I think a lot more chatter about it,

 $01:05:49.040 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.162$  probably because I was in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

 $01{:}05{:}50.162 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}51.520$  bit of a bubble in Australia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

01:05:51.520 --> 01:05:53.038 I was working with virtue ethicists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

 $01:05:53.040 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.174$  right? Like that was kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

 $01:05:54.174 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.399$  what I was doing my PhD on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

01:05:55.400 --> 01:05:56.786 So I think I was just surrounded

NOTE Confidence: 0.799268582

 $01:05:56.786 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.720$  by like minded people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

01:05:59.760 --> 01:06:00.462 I think bioethicists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:00.462 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.986$  I think it's a bit of it is a is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:02.986 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.547$  kind of historical like who was working

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:04.547 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.325$  on what and where in a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:06.325 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.184$  time and how that how philosophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:08.184 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.200$  departments and sort of law department

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:10.257 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.709$  like certain departments involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

01:06:11.709 --> 01:06:13.887 in connection with kind of health

 $01:06:13.945 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.680$  and medical ethics in Australia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:15.680 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.165$  I think some of those people were

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:18.165 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.032$  sort of in those debates historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:20.032 \longrightarrow 01:06:21.760$  throughout time in a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

01:06:21.811 --> 01:06:23.449 perhaps they weren't here in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:23.449 \longrightarrow 01:06:25.279$  This is just me speculating wildly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

01:06:25.280 --> 01:06:26.800 So I could be completely wrong about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

01:06:26.800 --> 01:06:28.760 but I think it was just sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:28.760 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.629$  who was where and working on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.79893156

 $01:06:30.629 \longrightarrow 01:06:31.760$  at a particular time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01:06:34.840 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.928$  I was really, I was really shocked

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

01:06:38.928 --> 01:06:40.638 at this conference in September,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01:06:40.640 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.852$  how drawn to it at least people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8185237333333333

01:06:42.852 --> 01:06:44.719 working in pediatric settings were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

01:06:44.720 --> 01:06:45.917 I was surprised by, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01:06:45.920 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.040$  how much sort of virtue chatter there was.

 $01:06:48.040 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.940$  So I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01:06:48.940 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.585$  I got me thinking about are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01:06:50.585 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.025$  are we kind of returning to

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01:06:52.025 \longrightarrow 01:06:53.889$  virtue ethics at the moment is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

01:06:53.889 --> 01:06:55.515 something that's going to come back?

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

01:06:55.520 --> 01:06:58.445 Sorry, I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

 $01:06:58.445 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.960$  That was a that was a babbly answer but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818523733333333

01:07:00.960 --> 01:07:01.120 But

NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666

 $01:07:01.120 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.096$  you think so. It it seems to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666

 $01:07:03.096 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.931$  what you're saying is that perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666

01:07:04.931 --> 01:07:06.857 those who pay attention to virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666

 $01{:}07{:}06.921 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}08.845$  ethics on a more academic or classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666

 $01{:}07{:}08.845 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}10.855$  level are we're more involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666

 $01:07:10.855 \longrightarrow 01:07:12.588$  medical ethics in Australia than

NOTE Confidence: 0.807334111666666

 $01:07:12.588 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.598$  the United States as things evolve.

01:07:14.600 --> 01:07:16.595 So yeah, I think the bioethics community

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:16.595 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.896$  is smaller and there are just more

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:18.896 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.631$  philosophers working on virtue ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:20.631 \longrightarrow 01:07:22.438$  connected to the bioethics community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:22.440 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.012$  And that means so that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

01:07:24.012 --> 01:07:25.698 things just get brought up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:25.698 \longrightarrow 01:07:26.770$  discussions there more readily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831517244583333

 $01:07:26.770 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.680$  more just more familiar with it. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.794672895714286

 $01:07:29.000 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.518$  more credit to the folks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.794672895714286

 $01:07:30.518 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.151$  Galveston who saw the wisdom of

NOTE Confidence: 0.794672895714286

 $01{:}07{:}32.151 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}34.292$  bringing you front and center in

NOTE Confidence: 0.794672895714286

 $01:07:34.292 \longrightarrow 01:07:37.440$  their conversations there. I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79928752125

 $01:07:39.600 \longrightarrow 01:07:41.520$  Yes, Jen And then the gentleman back there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950426892857143

 $01:07:47.400 \longrightarrow 01:07:50.557$  I'm wondering related to your second question

NOTE Confidence: 0.96288032

 $01:07:52.880 \longrightarrow 01:07:54.320$  and your prior mention of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96288032

 $01:07:54.320 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.198$  article you published last year,

 $01:07:56.200 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.078$  we have a lot of clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751951

 $01:07:58.080 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.360$  who are also parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:00.160 \longrightarrow 01:08:01.882$  and then we have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:01.882 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.637$  clinicians who need to do share

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:03.637 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.917$  decision making with parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:04.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:07.608$  And so as someone who is neither

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:08:07.608 --> 01:08:09.560 a clinician nor a parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}09.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}11.240$  I can't answer that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}11.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13.958$  But I was wondering if you'd be game to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:08:13.958 --> 01:08:16.906 speak a little bit more on what, if any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:08:16.906 --> 01:08:19.535 virtues you found sort of uniquely

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:19.535 \longrightarrow 01:08:22.600$  fitting in the professional space or

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}22.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}25.790$  in the parental space that might help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:25.790 \longrightarrow 01:08:28.335$  Those of us facilitating ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:28.335 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.810$  councils related to these kinds

01:08:30.810 --> 01:08:33.439 of disputes could again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}08{:}33.439 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}35.593$  look to the clinicians and appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:08:35.593 --> 01:08:37.669 what they're doing there and look

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:37.669 \longrightarrow 01:08:39.234$  to the parents and appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:39.240 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.762$  what they're doing in that space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:40.762 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.540$  But then also for the folks who

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:42.598 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.584$  do have and continue or anticipate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:44.584 \longrightarrow 01:08:47.000$  wearing both hats as both clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:47.000 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.200$  and parent to be able to think through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:50.200 \longrightarrow 01:08:52.320$  When I take my clinician hat off

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:52.320 \longrightarrow 01:08:54.160$  and put my parent hat on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:08:54.160 --> 01:08:57.240 this is part of the virtue set

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:08:57.240 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.960$  that I'm switching between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:08:58.960 --> 01:09:01.152 Or when I take off my parent hat

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:01.152 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.755$  and step into a clinical encounter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:03.755 \longrightarrow 01:09:06.595$  this is what I have to leave behind.

 $01:09:06.600 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.964$  And or are they entirely reconcilable

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:08.964 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.683$  so that you don't in fact need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:11.683 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.120$  take a hat off and sort of swap

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:14.120 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.936$  between distinct virtues in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:15.936 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.833$  space versus the other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:09:16.833 --> 01:09:18.700 Yeah, thanks for that question, Jen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:09:18.700 --> 01:09:20.520 Another great one for the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:20.520 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.480$  for the paper that we wrote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588 01:09:21.480 --> 01:09:21.978 we wrote, NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:21.978 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.721$  we really read a lot about just

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:23.721 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.799$  the parental role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01{:}09{:}24.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}27.992$  We were really focused on that and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:27.992 \dashrightarrow 01:09:30.040$  can tell you a little bit about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:30.040 \longrightarrow 01:09:32.084$  We were very careful in that paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:32.084 \longrightarrow 01:09:34.194$  not to try and present an account

 $01:09:34.194 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.617$  of like this is what a good parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:09:36.617 --> 01:09:38.204 is and these are the virtues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

01:09:38.204 --> 01:09:39.440 any good parent would possess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:39.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:40.856$  I would a not ever want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:40.856 \longrightarrow 01:09:42.570$  that and BA don't think it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.931693166470588

 $01:09:42.570 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.356$  be super constructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:09:46.720 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.760$  what what we found and what is out

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:09:48.760 \longrightarrow 01:09:50.660$  there in the literature and Chris

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01{:}09{:}50.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}52.480$  Fiedner and some some of his colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:09:52.480 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.000$  they've published some fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:09:54.000 \longrightarrow 01:09:56.280$  studies and what they've found is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:09:56.280 --> 01:09:57.336 different parents have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:09:57.336 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.920$  ideas about what good parenting is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:09:58.920 --> 01:10:02.210 But the construct of being a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:10:02.210 --> 01:10:04.240 parent and exercising whatever it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01{:}10{:}04.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}05.900$  qualities, whatever the content of

 $01:10:05.900 \longrightarrow 01:10:08.202$  that good parent belief is that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:08.202 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.954$  a very fixed construct that parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:10:09.960 --> 01:10:14.040 explicitly mention when you talk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:10:14.040 --> 01:10:15.895 them about their child being sick

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:10:15.895 --> 01:10:17.390 and and how they approach decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:17.390 \longrightarrow 01:10:18.998$  and what happens in the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:10:19.000 --> 01:10:21.256 So that construct of being a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:21.256 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.440$  good parent is action guiding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:10:23.440 --> 01:10:25.084 Different parents kind of plug in

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:25.084 \longrightarrow 01:10:26.180$  different different values and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:26.228 \longrightarrow 01:10:27.598$  beliefs and practices into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:27.600 \longrightarrow 01:10:29.760$  There are some pretty consistent areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01{:}10{:}29.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}31.328$  I think this gets back to a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:31.328 \longrightarrow 01:10:32.626$  fantastic question we had earlier about

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:32.626 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.916$  kind of cultural relatives and right,

 $01:10:33.920 \longrightarrow 01:10:35.612$  we would never want to kind of say this

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:35.612 \longrightarrow 01:10:37.596$  is the only right way to be a good parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

01:10:37.600 --> 01:10:38.775 It looks different in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01{:}10{:}38.775 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}39.715$  places with different families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692

 $01:10:39.720 \longrightarrow 01:10:43.680$  And that messiness I think is a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866789092307692 01:10:43.680 --> 01:10:43.840 What NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:47.160 --> 01:10:48.900 generally things like being

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:48.900 --> 01:10:50.640 accepting of your child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:50.640 --> 01:10:52.800 just love, being a loving parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:52.800 --> 01:10:54.288 being committed, committed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:54.288 --> 01:10:55.280 making sacrifices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:10:55.280 \longrightarrow 01:10:57.560$  Things like this are kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:10:57.560 \longrightarrow 01:10:59.080$  commonly sighted parental virtues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:10:59.080 --> 01:11:02.200 Being future oriented so sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:02.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.795$  balancing short term and long

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01{:}11{:}03.795 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}05.071$  term considerations about the

01:11:05.071 --> 01:11:06.680 child's interests and flourishing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:06.680 \longrightarrow 01:11:09.520$  When a child gets sick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:09.520 --> 01:11:11.760 good parent beliefs tend to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:11.760 --> 01:11:14.521 shift into sort of a handful of major

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:14.521 \longrightarrow 01:11:16.880$  themes or categories around being informed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:16.880 --> 01:11:19.040 So when a child's sick or in the hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:19.040 --> 01:11:20.636 one part of kind of good parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:20.636 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.561$  beliefs that's pretty consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:21.561 --> 01:11:23.137 is the idea like as a good parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:23.137 \longrightarrow 01:11:24.709$  I have to know as much as possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:24.709 --> 01:11:27.268 that was at least in the participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:27.268 \longrightarrow 01:11:29.328$  in these studies pretty consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01{:}11{:}29.328 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}31.316$  so and that would lead to certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:31.316 \longrightarrow 01:11:32.891$  information season behaviors that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:32.891 \longrightarrow 01:11:34.757$  think clinicians sometimes get frustrated by.

01:11:34.760 --> 01:11:35.882 These parents have gone home and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:35.882 --> 01:11:37.268 done all their own research, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:37.268 --> 01:11:38.876 But for parents, it was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:38.880 --> 01:11:40.352 if I'm not sitting up on my computer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:40.352 \longrightarrow 01:11:42.178$  at 3:00 AM doing a deep dive into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:42.178 \longrightarrow 01:11:43.880$  and reading these papers on my orange line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:43.880 \longrightarrow 01:11:44.405$  I understand it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:44.405 \longrightarrow 01:11:45.280$  I'm not a good parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:45.280 --> 01:11:46.755 I'm not doing everything possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:46.755 \longrightarrow 01:11:48.880$  to make myself informed and able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01{:}11{:}48.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}50.480$  approach decisions, feeling empowered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:50.480 --> 01:11:51.044 So that was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:51.044 --> 01:11:52.360 I think for us doing that reading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:11:52.360 --> 01:11:54.236 a really nice example of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:54.240 \longrightarrow 01:11:55.710$  clinician sees like this parent just

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01{:}11{:}55.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}57.573$  going off and kind of reading much

01:11:57.573 --> 01:11:59.235 stuff they don't know about getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:11:59.235 \longrightarrow 01:12:00.851$  frustrated sometimes when parents like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:12:00.851 \longrightarrow 01:12:02.039$  well, I rent this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:12:02.040 --> 01:12:02.535 And for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

 $01:12:02.535 \longrightarrow 01:12:03.525$  kind of looking at the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:12:03.525 --> 01:12:04.438 and listening to parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87407186125

01:12:04.440 --> 01:12:04.959 it was like NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:07.120 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.910$  I failed my kid if I'm not up and doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01{:}12{:}08.963 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}10.475$  this and pushing myself to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:10.475 \longrightarrow 01:12:12.278$  find as much information as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:12.280 --> 01:12:13.549 So it was just a nice moment of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:13.549 \longrightarrow 01:12:14.891$  we think of as a tension when actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01{:}12{:}14.891 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}16.408$  I think we have the same goal, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:16.408 --> 01:12:17.560 We're just approaching you

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:17.560 --> 01:12:20.239 wearing different hats,

 $01:12:20.240 \longrightarrow 01:12:24.664$  being informed there was some consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:24.664 --> 01:12:27.920 around the idea that a good parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:27.920 \longrightarrow 01:12:30.600$  still participates in care activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:30.600 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.010$  There was there's discussion out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:32.010 --> 01:12:33.973 of a good parent being someone that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:33.973 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.709$  of keeps the family unit together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:35.709 --> 01:12:37.319 functioning in some sort of normal way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:37.320 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.280$  whatever that looks like for that family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:39.280 \longrightarrow 01:12:40.540$  So this is just a few examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:40.540 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.598$  off the top of my head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01{:}12{:}41.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}43.328$  but it was really interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:43.328 \longrightarrow 01:12:44.936$  look at the empirical literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:44.936 --> 01:12:46.516 on good parent constructs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:46.520 \longrightarrow 01:12:48.440$  where the points of overlap were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783 01:12:48.440 --> 01:12:49.085 as I said, NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:49.085 --> 01:12:50.880 we weren't going to kind of present like,

 $01:12:50.880 \longrightarrow 01:12:51.820$  this is good parenting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

 $01:12:51.820 \longrightarrow 01:12:53.480$  I think good enough parenting is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783

01:12:53.480 --> 01:12:54.880 like kind of or just getting by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792230620434783 01:12:54.880 --> 01:12:55.320 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

01:12:57.560 --> 01:12:58.382 That was kind of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

 $01:12:58.382 \longrightarrow 01:12:59.080$  found about the parental law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

 $01:12:59.080 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.599 \text{ I don't, I don't know about clinicians.}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

 $01{:}13{:}00.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}02.758$  It's not something I've kind of read

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

01:13:02.758 --> 01:13:04.426 recently about kind of how clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

 $01:13:04.426 \longrightarrow 01:13:06.159$  think about virtues and balancing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

 $01{:}13{:}06.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}07.882$  And I think you've asked some important

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

 $01{:}13{:}07.882 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}09.832$  comment about what do we do when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

01:13:09.832 --> 01:13:11.223 wearing multiple different hats and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

 $01:13:11.223 \longrightarrow 01:13:12.736$  don't know that we can ever take off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

01:13:12.736 --> 01:13:14.920 I think about the clinician, ethicist.

01:13:14.920 --> 01:13:16.755 I'm, you know, sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

01:13:16.755 --> 01:13:18.345 Do you ever really stop being

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

01:13:18.345 --> 01:13:19.793 a physician even when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.616783755454545

01:13:19.793 --> 01:13:21.479 kind of in your ethics role?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:22.560 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.080$  No, I think. I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:24.080 --> 01:13:26.480 I think if I understand where you're going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:26.480 --> 01:13:27.558 I think I'd go there with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:27.560 \longrightarrow 01:13:29.360$  which is to say that that we are who we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01{:}13{:}29.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}33.725$  But I would say that I would say that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:33.725 \longrightarrow 01:13:36.360$  parents there may be more of an emphasis on

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01{:}13{:}36.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}38.720$  virtues and as physicians there may be more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:38.720 \longrightarrow 01:13:40.827$  This is a gross generalization and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:40.827 \longrightarrow 01:13:43.237$  of an emphasis on rights and obligations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:43.240 --> 01:13:44.130 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:44.130 --> 01:13:46.160 and so I mean one of the smartest things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01{:}13{:}46.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}48.078$  may be the smartest thing I ever heard

 $01{:}13{:}48.078 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}50.440$  of an ethics council was a room full

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01{:}13{:}50.440 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 01{:}13{:}52.640$  of physicians trying to figure out, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:52.640 \longrightarrow 01:13:54.400$  exactly what the right thing to do was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:54.400 \longrightarrow 01:13:55.500$  And we were weighing all

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:55.500 \longrightarrow 01:13:56.160$  these various principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:56.160 --> 01:13:58.356 And then a friend of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

01:13:58.360 --> 01:13:59.720 a woman named Glennis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:13:59.720 \longrightarrow 01:14:01.289$  who was a chaplain, said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:01.289 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.752$  why don't we just not worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:02.752 \longrightarrow 01:14:04.206$  that stuff and figure out what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:04.206 \longrightarrow 01:14:05.710$  most loving thing to do is and which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:05.710 \longrightarrow 01:14:06.880$  you know, hit some of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:06.880 \longrightarrow 01:14:07.370$  including myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:07.370 \longrightarrow 01:14:08.595$  like a ton of bricks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:08.600 \longrightarrow 01:14:10.178$  That is something one would hear

01:14:10.178 --> 01:14:12.108 more likely from a chaplain or from

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:12.108 \longrightarrow 01:14:13.758$  a parent than from a physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78192043

 $01:14:13.760 \longrightarrow 01:14:15.240$  But it is nevertheless insightful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165234175

 $01:14:15.240 \longrightarrow 01:14:15.840$  for all of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68144192

 $01:14:17.360 \longrightarrow 01:14:18.880$  We have this gentleman then, Jen upfront.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:19.480 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.496$  Hi, thank you for the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:14:22.496 --> 01:14:24.440 Amazing, super interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:14:24.440 --> 01:14:26.864 I'm wondering how you feel about

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:26.864 \longrightarrow 01:14:28.226$  like this characterization that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:14:28.226 --> 01:14:29.798 sort of like I'm understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:29.798 \longrightarrow 01:14:34.282$  I wonder if we can think of like the virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:14:34.282 --> 01:14:36.987 ethical approach differently from like

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:14:36.987 --> 01:14:40.359 the ontological or utilitarian approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01{:}14{:}40.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}44.173$  but more as a framework through

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:44.173 \longrightarrow 01:14:46.238$  which to do moral negotiations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:46.240 \longrightarrow 01:14:50.924$  So like I'm thinking a lot about like

 $01:14:50.924 \longrightarrow 01:14:53.730$  you you might have a certain set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01{:}14{:}53.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}56.499$  virtues in your head and you as pire

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:56.499 \longrightarrow 01:14:58.959$  to have actions that reflect those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:14:58.960 \longrightarrow 01:15:01.032$  And that's like where the room for

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:15:01.032 \longrightarrow 01:15:03.040$  negotiation between a doctor and a parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:15:03.040 \longrightarrow 01:15:05.152$  Or like that's where like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:15:05.152 --> 01:15:07.070 the parent is like, Oh no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:15:07.070 \longrightarrow 01:15:08.855$  I have this virtue and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01{:}15{:}08.855 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}10.799$  the action I should be taking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01{:}15{:}10.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}14.886$  And I wonder in if there is room in

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:15:14.886 \longrightarrow 01:15:18.622$  there to reason to show the like the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:15:18.622 \longrightarrow 01:15:21.542$  the set of options that exist for

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01{:}15{:}21.542 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}23.452$  the implementation of a certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:15:23.452 \longrightarrow 01:15:25.394$  virtue that taking perhaps a

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

01:15:25.394 --> 01:15:27.119 certain different action may not

01:15:27.119 --> 01:15:29.000 be not embodying that action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74648324

 $01:15:29.000 \longrightarrow 01:15:31.398$  that virtue in that moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:31.800 --> 01:15:34.176 Yeah, that's a wonderful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:34.176 \longrightarrow 01:15:35.958$  wonderful question again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:35.960 \longrightarrow 01:15:37.238$  And I think you're spot on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:37.240 \longrightarrow 01:15:39.562$  And I think as ethicists sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:39.562 \longrightarrow 01:15:42.639$  what our role to do is to normalize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:42.640 \longrightarrow 01:15:44.082$  I'm a little wary of this because

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:44.082 --> 01:15:45.539 I would never want to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:45.539 \longrightarrow 01:15:46.721$  think that was to convince or

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:46.773 --> 01:15:48.009 kind of shift someone to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:48.009 \longrightarrow 01:15:49.371$  idea of what being a good parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:49.371 --> 01:15:51.720 But sometimes they'll say, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01{:}15{:}51.720 --> 01{:}15{:}53.197$  you know, talking to parents will say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:53.200 \longrightarrow 01:15:55.440$  you know there's no wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:15:55.440 \longrightarrow 01:15:57.128$  there's no wrong decision.

01:15:57.128 --> 01:15:59.238 Regardless of what we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:15:59.240 --> 01:16:01.106 we recognize you were a fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:01.106 \longrightarrow 01:16:03.238$  parent that loves your child to death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:03.240 \longrightarrow 01:16:05.739$  Like so we'll do some of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:05.739 \longrightarrow 01:16:07.598$  normalizing of different options through

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:07.598 \longrightarrow 01:16:10.118$  that lens of being a good parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:16:10.120 --> 01:16:10.960 Like we'll kind of say like well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429 01:16:10.960 --> 01:16:11.368 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:11.368 \longrightarrow 01:16:13.000$  we we had a similar case maybe and

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:16:13.052 --> 01:16:14.676 not quite exactly the same but in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:14.676 \longrightarrow 01:16:16.387$  those parents we talked to them a

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:16.387 \longrightarrow 01:16:17.592$  lot and eventually decided that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01{:}16{:}17.592 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}19.088$  for them what was right and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01{:}16{:}19.088 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}20.629$  they could show the most love for

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:20.629 \longrightarrow 01:16:21.955$  their child was to choose this.

 $01:16:21.960 \longrightarrow 01:16:23.066$  And it might be a little different

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:23.066 \longrightarrow 01:16:24.318$  from say the family in front of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

 $01:16:24.320 \longrightarrow 01:16:24.959$  what they're considering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429 01:16:24.959 --> 01:16:25.598 So we will, NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:16:25.600 --> 01:16:28.850 I think often try and use that approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:16:28.850 --> 01:16:31.440 of sort of thinking about that role,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948544408571429

01:16:31.440 --> 01:16:32.080 thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:34.240 \longrightarrow 01:16:35.797$  what it means for them for someone to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

01:16:35.800 --> 01:16:37.438 I'm using a good parent example and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

01:16:37.438 --> 01:16:39.121 then kind of trying to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01{:}16{:}39.121 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}40.661$  some different options through that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:40.661 \longrightarrow 01:16:42.600$  just to say like these are all OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

01:16:42.600 --> 01:16:43.760 regardless of what you choose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:43.760 \longrightarrow 01:16:44.960$  you will not be any less

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:44.960 \longrightarrow 01:16:46.279$  of a parent in our eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:46.280 \longrightarrow 01:16:47.930$  And I think sometimes that

 $01:16:47.930 \longrightarrow 01:16:49.239$  can be really effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01{:}16{:}49.239 \to 01{:}16{:}51.153$  I'm thinking about that question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:51.153 \longrightarrow 01:16:52.791$  conversation with a question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

01:16:52.791 --> 01:16:54.356 shared decision making now too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978241

 $01:16:54.360 \longrightarrow 01:16:55.038$  and sort of NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

 $01:16:57.400 \longrightarrow 01:16:59.398$  like almost like epistemic virtues too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

 $01:16:59.400 \longrightarrow 01:17:01.374$  And sort of how we approach negotiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

 $01:17:01.374 \longrightarrow 01:17:03.498$  or conversations like how to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

 $01:17:03.498 \longrightarrow 01:17:05.038$  virtuously and thoughtfully and respectfully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

 $01:17:05.040 \longrightarrow 01:17:07.272$  I think that's all kind of linked as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

01:17:07.280 --> 01:17:09.149 How to again, not bludgeon people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

01:17:09.149 --> 01:17:12.020 that kind of negotiation, but how to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

 $01:17:12.020 \longrightarrow 01:17:14.495$  it sort of carefully and with humility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.627710381666667

01:17:14.495 --> 01:17:16.360 That's a great question. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80152105

01:17:17.760 --> 01:17:19.237 And the final question of the evening.

01:17:19.680 --> 01:17:22.464 Oh, no pressure. Make it good

NOTE Confidence: 0.662144856666667

01:17:22.464 --> 01:17:23.840 and then and then yeah, make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.691111197272727

 $01:17:24.080 \longrightarrow 01:17:25.150$  good. Respond to that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.691111197272727

 $01:17:25.150 \longrightarrow 01:17:26.440$  wrap up however you see fit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:26.720 \longrightarrow 01:17:29.520$  Better sing for my supper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:29.520 \longrightarrow 01:17:30.795$  Thank you for your really

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:30.795 --> 01:17:31.560 thought provoking talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:31.560 --> 01:17:34.116 I I'm going to end with a thought provoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:34.120 \longrightarrow 01:17:35.680$  hopefully a thought provoking question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:35.680 --> 01:17:37.360 Do you think that love,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:37.360 --> 01:17:41.120 since Mark brought it up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:41.120 --> 01:17:44.120 is fully compatible with virtue ethics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:44.120 \longrightarrow 01:17:45.280$  This is why I'm asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:45.280 \longrightarrow 01:17:46.740$  Because virtue ethics in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:46.740 --> 01:17:49.000 ways is very navel gazing, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:17:49.000 --> 01:17:53.573 Was I honest? Was I, you know, reliable?

01:17:53.573 --> 01:17:57.386 Was I? It's very me, me, me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:17:57.386 \longrightarrow 01:18:00.408$  Whereas I'm not sure the

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:18:00.408 \longrightarrow 01:18:03.480$  world needs more me and I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:18:03.480 --> 01:18:05.860 And so, you know, we might need love.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:18:05.860 \longrightarrow 01:18:07.240$  Is otherness right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:18:07.240 \longrightarrow 01:18:08.400$  Other centeredness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:18:08.400 \longrightarrow 01:18:09.360$  seeing the other person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:18:09.360 --> 01:18:11.056 recognizing the other person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:18:11.056 \longrightarrow 01:18:13.600$  uniting compassion with the other person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01{:}18{:}13.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}16.099$  And do you see a disconnect between

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:18:16.099 \longrightarrow 01:18:18.240$  virtue and the self focus with

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:18:18.240 \longrightarrow 01:18:20.472$  the need for otherness, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

01:18:20.472 --> 01:18:22.320 And unity. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:18:22.320 \longrightarrow 01:18:23.720$  That would be wonderful question to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766825764

 $01:18:23.720 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.088$  Thank you.

01:18:24.088 --> 01:18:24.640 I I don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:28.680 --> 01:18:30.237 I don't think I do see them as incompatible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:30.240 --> 01:18:32.064 But maybe I've drunk the pool

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:18:32.064 \longrightarrow 01:18:34.460$  aid and I need to rethink this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:18:34.460 \longrightarrow 01:18:37.400$  But I think about the virtues as

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:37.400 --> 01:18:39.600 so socially embedded. And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:39.600 --> 01:18:41.672 the reason why I think I I am always like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:41.672 --> 01:18:43.036 well, what do I control? It's me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9042252511111111

01:18:43.040 --> 01:18:46.554 That's kind of how I approach things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:46.560 --> 01:18:47.598 But that's always through the lens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9042252511111111

01:18:47.600 --> 01:18:49.160 like, who am I talking to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:49.160 --> 01:18:50.860 What is our relationship?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:50.860 --> 01:18:52.768 How am I considering them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9042252511111111

01:18:52.768 --> 01:18:53.600 What can I know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:53.600 --> 01:18:55.600 What can I not know about about them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:18:55.600 \longrightarrow 01:18:56.878$  the other kind of bad things.

 $01:18:56.880 \longrightarrow 01:18:58.830$  So I think it's definitely a

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:18:58.830 --> 01:19:01.119 risk and one that I'm aware of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:01.120 \longrightarrow 01:19:03.770$  but I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:03.770 \longrightarrow 01:19:04.520$  This may be a circular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111 01:19:04.520 --> 01:19:04.906 but yeah, NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:19:04.906 --> 01:19:06.450 I think like part of being virtuous is

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:19:06.492 --> 01:19:07.914 thinking about other people and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:07.914 \longrightarrow 01:19:09.871$  centering that in in how you think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01{:}19{:}09.871 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}11.076$  the virtues and your interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:19:11.080 --> 01:19:14.040 So I don't know if that gets us out of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111 01:19:14.040 --> 01:19:14.736 my body. NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:14.736 \longrightarrow 01:19:16.824$  Tyler Tate wrote a fantastic paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01{:}19{:}16.824 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}19.440$  about love that got published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:19:19.440 --> 01:19:21.490 the Hastings Center either early

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:21.490 \longrightarrow 01:19:23.320$  this year or late last year.

 $01:19:23.320 \longrightarrow 01:19:25.160$  But he talks about the ethic of love

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01{:}19{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}26.537$  within medicine and kind of talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:26.537 \longrightarrow 01:19:27.839$  about it through a virtue lens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:27.840 \longrightarrow 01:19:29.472$  So I can't answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:29.472 \longrightarrow 01:19:32.384$  but maybe go read Tyler's paper and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:32.384 \longrightarrow 01:19:34.240$  will have a more satisfactory answer for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:34.240 \longrightarrow 01:19:35.404$  But I think it's interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:35.404 \longrightarrow 01:19:36.999$  people kind of go a bit ick sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9042252511111111

 $01:19:37.000 \longrightarrow 01:19:38.632$  When we talk about love in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:19:38.632 --> 01:19:39.720 healthcare and decision making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9042252511111111

01:19:39.720 --> 01:19:40.680 I don't know, do people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:40.680 \longrightarrow 01:19:42.395$  we don't talk about love very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

 $01:19:42.400 \longrightarrow 01:19:43.660$  And I think there's the sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.9042252511111111

 $01:19:43.660 \longrightarrow 01:19:45.229$  that it's kind of weird like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904225251111111

01:19:45.229 --> 01:19:46.597 idea of loving your patient but

NOTE Confidence: 0.59221643

 $01:19:48.920 \longrightarrow 01:19:49.160$  yeah.

 $01:19:51.680 \longrightarrow 01:19:55.120$  Yeah. Yeah. Or or compassion or just. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826818206

 $01:19:55.120 \longrightarrow 01:19:56.268$  We're allowed to we're allowed to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.826818206

01:19:56.268 --> 01:19:57.439 compassion but we're not allowed to say yeah

NOTE Confidence: 0.460854134

01:19:57.440 --> 01:19:58.800 yeah. I think that's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.460854134

 $01:19:58.800 \longrightarrow 01:19:59.840$  really interesting now about

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

01:19:59.840 --> 01:20:01.610 but but parents parents will that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01:20:01.610 \longrightarrow 01:20:03.560$  that that to to get to Jen's question

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

01:20:03.560 --> 01:20:06.276 I think to your point is that and my

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01{:}20{:}06.276 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}07.666$  friend Glennis Chaplin Olshack when

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

01:20:07.666 --> 01:20:09.369 our point was that that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

01:20:09.369 --> 01:20:11.280 where we should be focused on again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

01:20:11.280 --> 01:20:13.130 Seth brings in a whole, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01{:}20{:}13.130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}14.720$  which we just touched on briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

01:20:14.720 --> 01:20:16.596 the whole feminist ethics approach to things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

 $01:20:16.600 \longrightarrow 01:20:19.570$  as well as in the importance

 $01:20:19.570 \longrightarrow 01:20:20.560$  of relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667

01:20:20.560 --> 01:20:21.918 Any final thoughts you have for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738356259166667 01:20:21.920 --> 01:20:22.480 my friend NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588

 $01:20:22.760 \longrightarrow 01:20:23.840$  that's just got me thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588

01:20:23.840 --> 01:20:25.152 about the psychology of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588

 $01{:}20{:}25.152 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}26.274$  how we approach things as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588

 $01:20:26.280 \longrightarrow 01:20:27.880$  I think that's all kind of in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588

01:20:27.880 --> 01:20:29.992 And I'm not just for an expert in

NOTE Confidence: 0.832053696470588

01:20:29.992 --> 01:20:31.120 psych methods, but I think yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24840991

 $01:20:34.640 \longrightarrow 01:20:34.960$  yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2663603

01:20:41.200 --> 01:20:43.480 yeah, I love that. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.506671746666667

 $01:20:45.520 \longrightarrow 01:20:48.518$  Well, we thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.506671746666667

 $01:20:48.520 \longrightarrow 01:20:49.240$  Yeah. Thank you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4417428

 $01{:}20{:}54.120 --> 01{:}20{:}54.640 \ \mathrm{Oh},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.6135788675

 $01:20:55.760 \longrightarrow 01:20:57.626$  the coveted, the coveted White cap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6135788675

 $01:20:57.626 \longrightarrow 01:20:59.480$  These are beautiful. All right.

 $01:20:59.480 \longrightarrow 01:21:01.540$  And some other yell swag for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6135788675

01:21:01.540 --> 01:21:02.438 Outstanding. Thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.6135788675

 $01:21:02.440 \longrightarrow 01:21:03.480$  much For excellent ease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.462624168333333

 $01{:}21{:}04.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}06.240$  Thank you so much. This was really good.