WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:14:24"

NOTE recognizability:0.899

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9014073

00:00:03.760 --> 00:00:05.390 OK. We're going to go ahead

NOTE Confidence: 0.9014073

 $00:00:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.438$ and get started to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:06.440 \rightarrow 00:00:08.717$ Thank you so much for coming for joining us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:08.720 \rightarrow 00:00:11.940$ And for those who are dialed in on Zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:11.940 \rightarrow 00:00:14.452$ just a couple of quick housekeeping issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00:00:14.452 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.445$ We have a terrific schedule for 2024,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}00{:}18.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.155$ which is available on the website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

00:00:20.160 --> 00:00:22.022 Just if you just go biomedical ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

00:00:22.022 --> 00:00:23.400 at Yale, you'll find yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00{:}00{:}23.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.620$ you'll find pretty easily to get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:25.620 \rightarrow 00:00:27.090$ the program for Biomedical Ethics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}00{:}27.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.915$ you'll see the schedule for the spring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:28.920 \rightarrow 00:00:30.344$ which looks very exciting.

 $00:00:30.344 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.480$ But we wanted to finish off

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}00{:}32.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.280$ 2023 on a high note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.864$ And so I want to tell you a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00:00:35.864 \rightarrow 00:00:37.480$ about our speaker for this evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

00:00:37.480 --> 00:00:40.040 I just not too long ago met Steve

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}00{:}40.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.203$ Schiff and was so excited to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}00{:}42.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.022$ able to kind of bring him into our

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00:00:44.022 \rightarrow 00:00:45.584$ bioethics community because, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00{:}00{:}45{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47{.}440$ it's Yale's a pretty big place and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:47.485 \rightarrow 00:00:49.357$ people around here that if you don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}00{:}49{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51{.}600$ And I see that, I see some student

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:51.600 \rightarrow 00:00:53.718$ bioethics leaders among us as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:53.720 \rightarrow 00:00:55.386$ So when you're sometimes looking for members

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

00:00:55.386 --> 00:00:57.077 of the faculty to address to students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:57.080 \rightarrow 00:00:59.600$ it's good to get to know these guys too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:00:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.154$ Steve Schiff is a professor and

 $00{:}01{:}01{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03{.}119$ vice chair for Global Health in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

00:01:03.119 --> 00:01:04.877 Department of Neurosurgery here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

00:01:04.880 --> 00:01:06.196 But I should say he is professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.560$ but as of relatively recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}01{:}08.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.144$ he's also in fact the Harvey and Kate

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

00:01:11.144 --> 00:01:12.800 Cushing Professor of Neurosurgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:12.800 \rightarrow 00:01:16.128$ which is which is as they say, not nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00:01:16.128 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.592$ So this is a very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:17.592 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.080$ endowed professorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:18.080 \rightarrow 00:01:20.544$ So congratulations for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}01{:}20{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21{.}160$ Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}01{:}21{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23{.}090$ He's a pediatric neurosurgeon with

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}01{:}23.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.634$ interest in neural engineering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00{:}01{:}24.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.699$ Sustainable health engineering

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:25.699 \rightarrow 00:01:26.758$ and global health.

00:01:26.760 --> 00:01:28.960 He received his NIH Directors

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}01{:}28{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31{.}020$ Pioneer and Transformative Awards

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

00:01:31.020 --> 00:01:33.620 in 2015 and 2018 respectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00:01:33.620 \rightarrow 00:01:37.093$ The support enabled him to lead the

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:37.093 \rightarrow 00:01:39.481$ recent discovery and characterization

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:39.481 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.131$ of neonatal panabesiliosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

00:01:41.131 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:01:44.288 a new disease syndrome that is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00{:}01{:}44.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.542$ important 'cause of infant sepsis

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}01{:}46.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}48.677$ and hydrocephalus in East Africa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}01{:}48.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.060$ And that's a conversation you

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}01{:}50{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52{.}000$ and I need to have afterwards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

00:01:52.000 --> 00:01:53.110 maybe at dinner because I need

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.080$ to learn more about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00{:}01{:}54.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.240$ I don't know nothing about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.260$ He's taught neuro ethics at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:01:57.260 \rightarrow 00:01:58.480$ undergraduate and graduate levels

- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- $00:01:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.469$ and is deeply involved in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- $00{:}02{:}00{.}469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{.}124$ ethics of ancestral genomics in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- 00:02:02.124 --> 00:02:03.879 predictive personalized public health,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- $00:02:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.888$ which is what we're going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- $00:02:04.888 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.392$ hear about to night,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- $00:02:05.400 \dashrightarrow 00:02:08.840$ specifically those efforts in Africa.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- 00:02:08.840 --> 00:02:10.965 Steve is largely a product
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778
- 00:02:10.965 --> 00:02:12.240 of Duke University.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- 00:02:12.240 --> 00:02:13.492 He received his MD,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- 00:02:13.492 --> 00:02:15.440 did his surgery residency there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- $00:02:15.440 \rightarrow 00:02:17.200$ his neurosurgery residency there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- 00:02:17.200 --> 00:02:19.312 also received a PhD in Physiology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778
- $00{:}02{:}19{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22{.}040$ and then went to CHOP to train
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- $00{:}02{:}22{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23{.}480$ in pediatric neurosurgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778
- 00:02:23.480 --> 00:02:24.792 So Professor Steve Schiff,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.960637557777778

 $00:02:24.792 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.432$ we're so pleased you're here

NOTE Confidence: 0.96063755777778

 $00{:}02{:}26{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28{.}318$ and please join me in welcoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:32.600 \rightarrow 00:02:34.115$ most of you guys kind of know the drill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.224$ So just to remind you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:35.224 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.399$ those who are on the call,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.360$ there'll be a talk of about 45 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.160$ maybe a little bit longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.540$ a little bit less depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00{:}02{:}40{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41{.}920$ on how our speakers feeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00{:}02{:}41{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43{.}278$ And then I'll moderate AQ and A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00{:}02{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.160$ So you'll have a chance after the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

00:02:45.160 --> 00:02:46.798 And those who are on Zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00{:}02{:}46.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.940$ put your questions in through

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

00:02:47.940 --> 00:02:49.080 the Q& A function on Zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51{.}299$ if you would, and then we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:51.299 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.759$ a conversation that'll take us.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272
- $00:02:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.360$ And but at at 6:30 at the latest,

 $00:02:56.360 \rightarrow 00:02:57.320$ I will close it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.683$ So you don't have to wonder how

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:58.683 \rightarrow 00:02:59.922$ long you're going to be or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:02:59.922 \rightarrow 00:03:01.252$ if the questions are really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00{:}03{:}01{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02{.}344$ and the conversation's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:03:02.344 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.280$ We will stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:03:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.386$ So we'll be done at 6:30 to set you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

00:03:05.386 --> 00:03:07.346 free to go and and read, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:03:07.346 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.984$ some neurosurgery texts all night or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:03:08.984 \rightarrow 00:03:11.000$ whatever it is that you're inclined to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

00:03:11.000 --> 00:03:11.651 And for now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

00:03:11.651 --> 00:03:12.953 I turn it over to Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

00:03:12.960 --> 00:03:13.560 Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783272

 $00:03:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.860$ Sir.

- $00:03:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.160$ Thanks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7049948525
- 00:03:14.160 --> 00:03:17.370 very much, Martin. And thanks to everyone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7049948525
- 00:03:17.370 -> 00:03:21.040 for the invitation. Here, let me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6551715
- 00:03:24.640 --> 00:03:24.840 Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9411906
- $00{:}03{:}42.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.760$ So
- NOTE Confidence: 0.957564417777778
- $00:03:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.837$ let me tell you a bit of a story
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911135655
- $00:03:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.200$ about some of the work that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911135655
- $00:03:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.720$ we've been doing and is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784633592
- $00:03:56.000 \rightarrow 00:03:58.280$ people is this the microphone? I need?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7607138
- $00:04:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.880$ No. Do I this thing?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.351140155
- $00:04:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.360$ That's right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.34064259
- 00:04:37.240 --> 00:04:37.480 All right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00{:}04{:}40{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42{.}720$ that should work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:04:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.576$ So I put an outline together of of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:04:45.576 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.396$ what I'll talk to you about to night
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:04:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.172$ and let's start off with the global

- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:04:53.172 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.346$ code of conduct for research in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:04:57.346 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.954$ Research resource Poor Settings.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00{:}05{:}00{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04{.}754$ And this is a a consensus document
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:05:04.754 \rightarrow 00:05:07.976$ that's been worked on for several
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- 00:05:07.976 --> 00:05:10.580 years and it really highlights
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00{:}05{:}10.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.096$ some of the differences that ought
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:05:14.096 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.035$ to be considered in working in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:05:18.035 \rightarrow 00:05:20.960$ countries in the developing world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:05:20.960 \rightarrow 00:05:23.300$ This year they changed the title
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:05:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.200$ of this to say the Trust Code.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:05:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.008$ I think that's an effort to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00{:}05{:}29{.}008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31{.}640$ hide what it's about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:05:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.840$ Perhaps the European Parliament has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00{:}05{:}34.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.160$ adopted this as its ethics for how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333
- $00:05:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.206$ European countries ought to conduct
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:05:42.206 \rightarrow 00:05:45.436$ research in the developing world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:05:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.160$ And there are two overarching principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:05:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.400$ The 1st is that you need to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:05:54.400 \rightarrow 00:05:56.920$ local community partnerships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:05:56.920 \dashrightarrow 00:06:00.875$ There's been a long history of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}06{:}00{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05{.}600$ has been termed colonial science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

00:06:05.600 --> 00:06:08.396 We sit in institutions like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:08.400 \rightarrow 00:06:10.840$ dream of wonderful research projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.240$ and go out and find a place where

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.160$ we can carry those projects out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:16.160 \rightarrow 00:06:18.160$ publish papers and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

00:06:18.160 - 00:06:20.160 very little benefit locally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.125$ So that's the second major principle is

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:24.125 \dashrightarrow 00:06:27.800$ that there should be local social value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}06{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.280$ So and that's a key difference to what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:33.280 \rightarrow 00:06:36.236$ typically do in an institution like Yale.

 $00:06:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.408$ We have people here with very

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}06{:}39{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40{.}992$ successful academic careers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:41.000 \rightarrow 00:06:45.320$ We value the creation of knowledge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:45.320 \rightarrow 00:06:47.920$ but we have with all due respect we

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.373$ have people here who work on number

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54{.}220$ theory that if you did that in rural

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

00:06:54.220 --> 00:06:57.360 Africa it might be very interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:06:57.360 \dashrightarrow 00:06:59.864$ but it doesn't improve the lives or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02{.}798$ health of the people that you've worked with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

00:07:02.800 --> 00:07:06.280 And so curiosity based research

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:07:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.580$ is something that these global

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}07{:}09.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.288$ codes advocate that we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}07{:}12.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.480$ do in vulnerable populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}07{:}14.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.520$ So you add general and local value to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}07{:}20.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.946$ how we value science and interesting delay.

00:07:24.946 --> 00:07:28.678 So I am a pediatric neurosurgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00{:}07{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.368$ and the most common indication for

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:07:31.368 \rightarrow 00:07:33.813$ operating on the brain of children

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

00:07:33.813 - > 00:07:35.878 is that they have hydrocephalus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:07:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.976$ they build up fluid and we do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

00:07:38.976 --> 00:07:42.239 variety of different kinds of surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:07:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.276$ to relieve pressure on the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:07:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.740$ The vast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:07:46.740 \rightarrow 00:07:51.120$ vast majority of children with hydrocephalus

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:07:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.078$ are in the the developing world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:07:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.182$ The largest concentration of cases is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:07:58.182 \dashrightarrow 00:08:01.024$ sub-Saharan Africa and the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:08:01.024 \dashrightarrow 00:08:04.600$ cause is infection earlier in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:08:04.600 \rightarrow 00:08:06.880$ This is very different from what we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

00:08:06.880 --> 00:08:09.319 in the US or in Europe or Australia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965408213333333

 $00:08:09.320 \rightarrow 00:08:11.264$ where hydrocephalus following infections

 $00:08:11.264 \rightarrow 00:08:15.439$ is not at all of that common anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:20.760 \dashrightarrow 00:08:24.048$ I have had the privilege for the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:24.048 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.339$ 16 years of collaborating with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:27.339 \dashrightarrow 00:08:30.314$ small hospital in eastern Uganda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

00:08:30.320 --> 00:08:33.000 It's the Cure Children's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36{.}288$ It specializes in neurological

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:36.288 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.754$ surgery of children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:38.760 \rightarrow 00:08:41.160$ Forty of their hydrocephalus cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:41.160 \rightarrow 00:08:45.160$ which are their most common need for surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.104 40\%$ are post infectious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:48.104 \rightarrow 00:08:51.558 30\%$ are children born with spina bifida,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00{:}08{:}51{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52{.}919$ an open spine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:52.919 \dashrightarrow 00:08:55.637$ They're also called neural tube defects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:55.640 \dashrightarrow 00:08:58.840$ so we have to surgically close their back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:08:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.920$ Once their back is closed,

 $00:09:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.685 2/3$ or more of those children will

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:09:03.685 \rightarrow 00:09:08.040$ need to be treated for hydrocephalus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:09:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.560$ And this delay here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:09:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.272$ malnutrition is a pervasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:09:15.272 \rightarrow 00:09:18.400$ comorbidity for all of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00{:}09{:}18{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21{.}172$ And I'll get back to that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.939958194210526

 $00:09:21.172 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.600$ little later on in the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

00:09:27.440 --> 00:09:29.444 Most infectious hydrocephalus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00{:}09{:}29{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32{.}116$ as I'm describing here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:09:32.120 \rightarrow 00:09:35.440$ if you were to look inside the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:09:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.800$ you'd see with a scope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:09:37.800 \rightarrow 00:09:40.760$ very small pustules lining what

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:09:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.720$ is called the appendimal surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:09:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.756$ of the ventricles in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:09:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.268$ These are small postules

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:09:48.268 \rightarrow 00:09:50.153$ with space in between them.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039
- $00:09:50.160 \rightarrow 00:09:52.758$ They don't tend to become confluent.

 $00{:}09{:}52.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.826$ There's a variety of things that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:09:55.826 \rightarrow 00:09:58.439$ we could discuss regarding that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:09:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.895$ but we see this throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

00:10:00.895 --> 00:10:01.877 sub-Saharan Africa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04{.}400$ The sites that are able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:10:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.080$ operate on these children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

00:10:06.080 --> 00:10:08.006 The first thing I saw walking

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00{:}10{:}08.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.039$ into an operating room in Vietnam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00{:}10{:}10{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13{.}400$ it's exactly the same phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:10:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.200$ We're not in Haiti right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

00:10:15.200 --> 00:10:17.530 but my colleagues who've operated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:10:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.366$ in Haiti have seen again the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:10:20.366 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.038$ same type of syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00{:}10{:}22.040$ --> $00{:}10{:}24.020$ It's completely uncharacterized.

 $00:10:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.640$ And so much of the work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:10:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.476$ I'll show you today is an effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

 $00:10:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.000$ to learn more about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255039

00:10:35.000 --> 00:10:38.840 So I visit this site in 2007 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

 $00{:}10{:}42.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.880$ in discussing with their physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

 $00{:}10{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.183$ I I was very impressed with just how hard NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

 $00:10:48.183 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.797$ it is to do technical neurosurgery in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

 $00:10:51.797 \rightarrow 00:10:55.239$ setting where the resources are not ample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

 $00{:}10{:}55{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00{.}077$ And I actually asked the medical director,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

 $00:11:00.080 \rightarrow 00:11:03.400$ all right, what's the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

00:11:03.400 --> 00:11:06.720 important problem you can't solve?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

 $00:11:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.060$ And he answered nicely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

00:11:08.060 --> 00:11:09.400 It was Ben Wharf,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

00:11:09.400 --> 00:11:11.920 who's now on faculty at Harvard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

00:11:11.920 --> 00:11:14.632 And And Ben said, well, why don't you

NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188

 $00:11:14.632 \rightarrow 00:11:16.480$ figure out what makes these kids sick?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.944101188
- 00:11:16.480 --> 00:11:19.240 And I thought, how hard can that be?

 $00:11:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.064 2023$ was really the year that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00:11:24.064 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.377$ we finished up with the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00:11:26.377 \rightarrow 00:11:28.717$ set of answers to that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

00:11:28.720 --> 00:11:31.120 So it's been a long journey

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00:11:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.904$ here and we finally needed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00:11:35.904 \rightarrow 00:11:39.840$ resources of some major NIH support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}500$ It's interesting to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00{:}11{:}41{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44{.}889$ it was a struggle to get NIH to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00:11:44.889 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.325$ support this because it was felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00{:}11{:}47{.}325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50{.}160$ to have no feedback and impact on

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00{:}11{:}50{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}800$ the health of the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93491203

 $00{:}11{:}52.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.120$ Excuse me, Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62180591875

 $00{:}11{:}55{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}240$ Yeah, definitely the weak link of the crowd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62180591875

 $00{:}11{:}57{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59{.}112$ So for give you that I would move that and

- $00:11:59.560 \rightarrow 00:12:02.000$ I have to phone up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- 00:12:02.000 --> 00:12:06.440 Yeah, I can also just talk into this thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00{:}12{:}06{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09{.}320$ I just hate standing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00:12:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.198$ Is that any better? It is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00{:}12{:}12{.}198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.851$ All right, So we got enough resources
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00{:}12{:}14.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.539$ from NIH to put together the kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00:12:17.539 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.960$ of study you'd want to do here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- 00:12:19.960 --> 00:12:22.160 Get very high quality samples,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- 00:12:22.160 --> 00:12:24.520 put together a cryogenic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00{:}12{:}24.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.880$ infrastructure that didn't exist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00{:}12{:}26.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.240$ Get thousands of samples safely shipped,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00:12:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.052$ frozen to the US And in recent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00:12:36.052 \rightarrow 00:12:38.480$ years I'll describe what we found.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00:12:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.904$ But in the last several years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00:12:40.904 \rightarrow 00:12:42.929$ there've been multiple cases that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.49159659
- $00:12:42.929 \rightarrow 00:12:44.957$ are identical seen in the US.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00:12:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.232$ What do you do when you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- 00:12:51.232 --> 00:12:52.640 can't grow an Organism?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00:12:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.396$ This is a brutally difficult set of strains
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00:12:57.396 \rightarrow 00:13:02.040$ to get to grow in culture it turned out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00{:}13{:}02{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}560$ And so if you don't know what causes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- 00:13:04.560 --> 00:13:06.600 infection, you can do several things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00:13:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.820$ All bacteria have genes that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00:13:08.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.040$ are only present in bacteria,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00:13:11.040 \rightarrow 00:13:14.080$ so you can amplify them and sequence them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00:13:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.593$ And we have large databases so you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00{:}13{:}16.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.397$ can tell what at least the genus is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00{:}13{:}19{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22{.}622$ if not the species of of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00{:}13{:}22.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.233$ an uncultured bacteria.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00{:}13{:}24{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}515$ We confirm this with things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058
- $00{:}13{:}27{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31{.}344$ like RNA seq or PCR targeted to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058

 $00:13:31.344 \rightarrow 00:13:33.674$ what we think we've found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058

 $00{:}13{:}33{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35{.}392$ RNAC is also critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058

00:13:35.392 --> 00:13:37.960 There's no one gene in viruses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058

 $00:13:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.590$ so if you want to look for an

NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058

 $00{:}13{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.740$ unbiased survey for what viruses

NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058

 $00:13:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.935$ might be infecting someone or

NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058

 $00:13:44.935 \rightarrow 00:13:46.955$ what parasites might be present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968520058

00:13:46.960 --> 00:13:49.880 then you're dealing with RNAC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:13:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.662$ By 2020, we'd teamed up with people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

00:13:59.662 --> 00:14:03.736 both from Penn State and from Columbia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}14{:}03.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.379$ University and found that there was only one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:14:07.379 \rightarrow 00:14:10.080$ Organism that dominated these infections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

00:14:10.080 --> 00:14:11.484 It's an unusual one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

00:14:11.484 --> 00:14:14.430 It was a painy bacillus, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}14{:}14{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18{.}595$ Greek or Latin for almost a bacillus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}14{:}18.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.220$ It formed a highly neurotropic and

 $00{:}14{:}22{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24{.}120$ destructive infection of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27{.}480$ You can see one of the images of one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}14{:}27{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31{.}236$ infant brains here with calcified abscesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:14:31.240 \rightarrow 00:14:34.280$ We did manage to get some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:14:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.280$ of these strains to grow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}14{:}37{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40{.}568$ figuring out when these children got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:14:40.568 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.490$ sick and how this apparent infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:14:44.490 \rightarrow 00:14:48.840$ might have related to previous disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}14{:}48{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}356$ We just finally put together and reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}14{:}54{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}472$ 3 simultaneous linked case control trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:14:58.472 \rightarrow 00:15:01.016$ This is 1400 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}15{:}01{.}016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}080$ thousands of samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

00:15:03.080 --> 00:15:10.272 over 2500 qPCR reactions to confirm what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}15{:}10.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.840$ had found and this involved a maternal trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}15{:}14.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.790$ Many infants catch infections during

 $00:15:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.568$ the process of birth or there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:15:21.568 \rightarrow 00:15:24.480$ are also congenital infections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}15{:}24.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.518$ In the US we screened all mothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:15:27.518 \rightarrow 00:15:30.792$ before at the time of delivery for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}15{:}30.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.536$ one Organism which used to cause the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}15{:}33{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35{.}959$ most trouble in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}15{:}35{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38{.}753$ We found no evidence of this Organism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:15:38.753 \rightarrow 00:15:41.999$ in any of the maternal specimens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

00:15:42.000 --> 00:15:44.933 Vaginal, placental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:15:44.933 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.598$ maternal blood or cord blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:15:47.600 \rightarrow 00:15:50.757$ Not likely it's coming from the mothers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:15:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.630$ We looked at 800 neonatal sepsis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:15:54.630 \rightarrow 00:15:57.998$ cases in several sites in the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

00:15:58.000 --> 00:16:00.640 and 400 cases of hydrocephalus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:16:00.640 \dashrightarrow 00:16:05.720$ half of whom had a history of infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:16:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.940$ Almost half of the infants under three

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00{:}16{:}08{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13{.}200$ months of age that came to us were still
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- 00:16:13.200 --> 00:16:16.560 PCR positive to this one Organism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00{:}16{:}16{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20{.}515$ and 6% of the neonates with sepsis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00{:}16{:}20{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23{.}621$ And we actually were able to capture
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00{:}16{:}23.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.475$ some true linkage cases where we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00{:}16{:}26.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.600$ could capture the Organism within a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- 00:16:29.600 --> 00:16:33.380 week of birth with clinical sepsis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00:16:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.360$ treat the sepsis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00{:}16{:}35{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}832$ appear to clear it from the periphery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00:16:38.832 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.320$ of the infant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- 00:16:40.320 --> 00:16:42.480 Child's not febrile feeding well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- 00:16:42.480 --> 00:16:45.040 no longer lethargic, goes home,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00{:}16{:}45{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48{.}767$ but comes back a month or two later with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00{:}16{:}48.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.449$ continued infection in the brain and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667
- $00:16:51.449 \rightarrow 00:16:55.240$ with the head enlarging from hydrocephalus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:16:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.224$ So what did we just do over 20 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

00:17:00.224 --> 00:17:02.528 Frederickson Relman talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:17:02.528 \rightarrow 00:17:06.880$ the possibility of doing a sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:17:06.880 \rightarrow 00:17:10.656$ based identification of pathogens and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}17{:}10.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.200$ proof that these molecules showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}17{:}14.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.400$ that an Organism caused an infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

00:17:18.400 --> 00:17:19.852 Robert Koch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00:17:19.852 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.602$ about 150 years ago had postulates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}17{:}23.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.549$ that said to prove an infection you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}17{:}26{.}549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29{.}134$ also got the culture and Organism you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}17{:}29{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32.640$ have to be able to infect an animal with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122445466666667

 $00{:}17{:}32.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.640$ We've just violated all of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:17:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.468$ those strictures. Now here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

00:17:40.468 --> 00:17:43.560 if I'm standing in front of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:17:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.025$ you all will think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:17:46.025 \rightarrow 00:17:47.997$ cutting edge and clever.

00:17:48.000 --> 00:17:50.472 But I didn't quite see covenants

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:17:50.472 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.120$ that in other settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:17:52.120 \rightarrow 00:17:55.402$ I just violated all the fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:17:55.402 \rightarrow 00:17:58.216$ principles that good physicians were

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:17:58.216 \rightarrow 00:18:01.438$ taught by their highly respected mentors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}18{:}01{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04{.}650$ Working in other societies and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:04.650 \rightarrow 00:18:07.238$ other settings is often challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:07.238 \dashrightarrow 00:18:11.256$ in ways that I can never predict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:11.256 \rightarrow 00:18:13.680$ Well, now the So what question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

00:18:13.680 --> 00:18:15.786 I just spent millions of dollars

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}18{:}15.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18{.}440$ of our tax good tax payers money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:18.440 \rightarrow 00:18:22.355$ It took years to finish all of this analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}18{:}22{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25{.}120$ We're still working on some pieces

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}18{:}25{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29{.}352$ of it and microbial genomic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}18{:}29{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34{.}600$ is very expensive and it's slow and

 $00:18:34.600 \rightarrow 00:18:38.638$ none of the scales very readily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:38.640 \rightarrow 00:18:40.960$ And these are medical emergencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:40.960 \rightarrow 00:18:43.528$ These children come in and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:43.528 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.462$ are at serious risk of dying and

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}18{:}46{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49{.}234$ we need to make decisions quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.292$ So I'll walk you through what

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

00:18:51.292 --> 00:18:53.600 I like to call predictive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:53.600 \rightarrow 00:18:57.158$ personalized public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:18:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.728$ So what does that mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}200$ We've done a lot of observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}19{:}02{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05{.}932$ We've done a lot of surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:19:05.932 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.798$ of these infections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:19:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.960$ And I'd like to be able to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:19:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.757$ a mother two questions which

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:19:11.757 \rightarrow 00:19:14.115$ they always know the answer to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:19:14.120 \rightarrow 00:19:15.876$ Where are you from?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:19:15.876 --> 00:19:19.080 And when did the infant get sick?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:19:19.080 --> 00:19:22.592 I'd like to use our prior knowledge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:19:22.592 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.754$ and experience then to say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:19:25.754 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.171$ well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:19:26.171 \rightarrow 00:19:28.673$ what are the most likely organisms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:19:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.848$ and most important point of care
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:19:31.848 \rightarrow 00:19:33.960$ of the available antibiotics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:19:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.795$ what are the best ones to pick?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:19:36.800 --> 00:19:39.380 Now if we're looking at post
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:19:39.380 --> 00:19:40.240 infectious hydrocephalus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:19:40.240 \rightarrow 00:19:42.634$ which is how I backed into this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00{:}19{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.996$ that's not the problem.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:19:43.996 --> 00:19:46.400 If you want to cut this off,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:19:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.364$ you have to address the much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00{:}19{:}49{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52{.}080$ broader issue of neonatal sepsis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

00:19:52.080 --> 00:19:55.630 And neonatal sepsis is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

00:19:55.630 --> 00:19:59.180 still responsible worldwide for well

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}19{:}59{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}837$ over half a million deaths a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:20:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.240$ It's probably closer to 3/4

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}20{:}05{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07{.}160$ of a million deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}20{:}07{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09{.}092$ That's triple, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:20:09.092 \rightarrow 00:20:13.600$ the number of deaths of any age for malaria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:20:13.600 \rightarrow 00:20:17.254$ It's comparable to the number of global

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}20{:}17.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.800$ deaths from tuber culosis of any age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

00:20:19.800 --> 00:20:23.985 And I actually had the privilege

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}20{:}23{.}985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26{.}920$ of testifying to Congress on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}20{:}26{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}630$ And the congressmen and women on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:20:30.630 \rightarrow 00:20:32.728$ committee are really rather surprised

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:20:32.728 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.080$ because they haven't heard about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

00:20:35.080 --> 00:20:37.280 And in my testimony,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:20:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.444$ I suggested to them that the reason is

- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:20:41.444 \rightarrow 00:20:44.390$ that newborn infants dying in developing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:20:44.476 \rightarrow 00:20:47.638$ countries have had no political voice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:20:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.464$ If you look at the most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:20:49.464 --> 00:20:50.680 recent studies I listed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:20:50.680 --> 00:20:52.075 a Cambodian study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:20:52.075 --> 00:20:56.000 and Nisa is a huge South Asian study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:20:56.000 \rightarrow 00:20:58.600$ These are beautifully done studies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:20:58.600 00:21:01.420 on neonatal sepsis and they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:21:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.570$ able to recover an apparent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:21:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.360$ pathogen in 2% of their cases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:21:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.625 2\%$ why test at some point it's the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:21:14.625 \rightarrow 00:21:17.920$ traditional way we have gone about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:21:17.920 --> 00:21:21.105 Identifying pathogens is an abysmal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- 00:21:21.105 --> 00:21:24.930 failure in newborn infants for reasons
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818
- $00:21:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.158$ that I'm also happy to discuss.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:21:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.696$ But the loss of life here really demands

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00{:}21{:}30.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.024$ that we do something very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.948201688181818

 $00:21:33.024 \rightarrow 00:21:35.480$ from what we've done for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93314224

00:21:37.680 --> 00:21:41.660 So to try to conceptualize of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93314224

 $00:21:41.660 \rightarrow 00:21:43.800$ what would be an improvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}21{:}46{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}700$ we've put all 60,000 villages in Uganda and

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}21{:}52{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}56{.}760$ fuse them onto the satellite climate grids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

00:21:56.760 --> 00:21:58.476 That's useful for a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}21{:}58{.}476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}960$ reasons that I'll get into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00:21:59.960 \rightarrow 00:22:03.960$ but it's also especially useful if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}03{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06{.}960$ want to look at environmental effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00:22:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.490$ This particular disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}08{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11{.}040$ has a huge rainfall effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00:22:11.040 \rightarrow 00:22:13.880$ In terms of when these infants get sick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}13.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.792$ the neonatal warts fill up as the rains

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00:22:16.792 \rightarrow 00:22:20.399$ come in and empty out during the dry season.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792
- $00:22:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.280$ Here are 4000 mapped cases.

00:22:23.280 --> 00:22:25.160 Now, I don't have microbiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

00:22:25.160 --> 00:22:27.040 on 20 years of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00:22:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.680$ but these are 4000 mapped cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00:22:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.690$ See my mouse?

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00:22:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.175$ Yeah, of these infections

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00:22:34.175 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.200$ and look at the clustering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}37{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40{.}333$ So here's Lake Victoria and

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}40{.}333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42{.}598$ there are huge enormous swamps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}42.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.918$ papyrus swamps along the northern bank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}45{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48{.}896$ The Nile starts here in the middle of

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}48.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.676$ this cluster and goes N to Lake Choga.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

00:22:52.680 --> 00:22:53.970 Again, large swampy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}53{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56{.}550$ wet areas on the southern and

NOTE Confidence: 0.952033792

 $00{:}22{:}56{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59{.}313$ northern banks of Choga and here in

 $00:22:59.313 \rightarrow 00:23:01.800$ this North Central region of Uganda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631109588571429

00:23:11.240 --> 00:23:13.676 Let's see if it's unhappy with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631109588571429

 $00:23:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.160$ I'm very unhappy with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88708675

00:23:25.720 --> 00:23:26.200 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8638652125

00:23:29.280 --> 00:23:30.920 yeah, it's happy again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928121042272727

 $00:23:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.439$ What about genomics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928121042272727

 $00{:}23{:}34{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}330$ So do I need to know something

NOTE Confidence: 0.928121042272727

 $00{:}23{:}37{.}413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40{.}472$ about the hosts as I'm mapping the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928121042272727

 $00:23:40.472 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.759$ location and timing of disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00:23:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.120$ In case you missed it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}23{:}46{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48{.}040$ the answer has to be yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00:23:48.040 \rightarrow 00:23:51.590$ Every infectious disease that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}23{:}51{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}791$ contract as humans is actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}23{:}54{.}791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57{.}290$ product of both the genomics of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}23{:}57{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}544$ Organism that give the Organism its

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00:24:00.544 \rightarrow 00:24:03.117$ characteristics and your genetic

 $00:24:03.117 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.833$ differences that both determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

00:24:05.833 --> 00:24:09.160 whether you contract an infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00:24:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.078$ and how you do from an infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

00:24:11.080 --> 00:24:12.940 Just think of COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}24{:}12{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15{.}712$ there were many people who appeared

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

00:24:15.712 --> 00:24:18.448 to be asymptomatic or perhaps never

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}24{:}18{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21{.}532$ got sick and I have two friends of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}24{:}21{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24{.}810$ mine who died within two days or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}995$ of contracting the viral infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00:24:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.504$ So the the current thinking is

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35{.}400$ that we need to dual sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}24{:}35{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39{.}200$ the the genetics of bacteria or

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}24{:}39{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43{.}031$ virus es as well as host to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}24{:}43.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.689$ get a comprehensive picture of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.950907988

 $00{:}24{:}45.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.958$ to deal with infectious disease.

 $00{:}24{:}53{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56{.}417$ So what to do? I I show up with all of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.908760840526316

 $00:24:56.417 \rightarrow 00:24:59.000$ colleagues that we work with in Ambala,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908760840526316

00:24:59.000 --> 00:25:01.680 Uganda, and I propose this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908760840526316

 $00:25:01.680 \rightarrow 00:25:05.040$ And there is an awful lot of pushback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908760840526316

 $00:25:05.040 \rightarrow 00:25:07.440$ What are the concerns? First, privacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908760840526316

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}742$ How are we going to do genomic sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.908760840526316

 $00:25:11.742 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.714$ of hosts and protect the individuals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908760840526316

 $00:25:15.720 \rightarrow 00:25:18.600$ Second, Yeah, I get this one a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908760840526316

 $00:25:18.600 \rightarrow 00:25:20.520$ What about paternity testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306

 $00:25:22.640 \rightarrow 00:25:25.920$ We could, if we wished, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306

 $00:25:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.720$ determine who the parents are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306

 $00:25:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.240$ if we had three samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306

00:25:30.240 --> 00:25:33.312 And lastly, in a society that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306

 $00:25:33.312 \rightarrow 00:25:35.360$ dealt with authoritarian regimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306

 $00:25:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.516$ in the past like Idi Amin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306

 $00:25:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.262$ there's concern for safety if the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00{:}25{:}40{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43{.}184$ government has access or the public
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00{:}25{:}43.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.714$ has access to genetic information.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:25:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.080$ So we wrote a very comprehensive document.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:25:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.485$ It's eight single space pages
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:25:51.485 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.857$ of text going through all of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:25:54.857 \rightarrow 00:25:57.042$ issues we thought were important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:25:57.042 \rightarrow 00:26:00.198$ and how we might approach them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:26:00.200 \rightarrow 00:26:02.620$ We would obviously not publish
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:26:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.556$ any names of patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00{:}26{:}04{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06{.}360$ We'd use the birth dates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:26:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.800$ but not publish them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00{:}26{:}07{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10{.}626$ We would use the village precise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:26:10.626 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.000$ locations but not publish that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- 00:26:13.000 --> 00:26:15.534 And I'll show you how we will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:26:15.534 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.856$ go about Geo masking and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306

- $00:26:17.856 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.196$ care that we offered that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:26:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.146$ So you can't re identify by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00{:}26{:}22.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.476$ knowing where someone came from.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00:26:25.480 \rightarrow 00:26:28.564$ The genomes would not be individually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00{:}26{:}28{.}564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30{.}172$ sequenced and deposited.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850425306
- $00{:}26{:}30{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32{.}356$ And then I thought,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}26{:}34{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36{.}120$ I'm an amateur at this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:26:36.120 \rightarrow 00:26:38.600$ I need to run this by a pro.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}26{:}38.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.000$ So I had come to meet Judy Illis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:26:41.000 \rightarrow 00:26:42.880$ a very well known ethicist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:26:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.764$ She is in Canada.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:26:44.764 \rightarrow 00:26:47.590$ She has an extensive experience working
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:26:47.675 \rightarrow 00:26:50.320$ with the Canadian First Peoples,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}26{:}50{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53{.}320$ the native population in Northern Canada.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:26:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.916$ So I forwarded this to Judy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:26:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.919$ She was great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:26:55.919 \rightarrow 00:26:57.584$ She went through every paragraph
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}26{:}57{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00{.}020$ and she comes back and says you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- 00:27:00.020 --> 00:27:01.720 may be working with populations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:27:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.850$ but you have to figure out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:27:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.040$ a way to return results.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}27{:}06.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.750$ All right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}27{:}06{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08{.}880$ So I approached colleagues in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}27{:}08.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.502$ government and we worked out that if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}27{:}11.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.240$ we had findings that were important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:27:14.240 \rightarrow 00:27:17.960$ for various peoples within the country,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:27:17.960 \rightarrow 00:27:21.200$ that we'd work with government agencies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}27{:}21{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24{.}720$ to return those results properly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}27{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.296$ And then of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00{:}27{:}26.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.660$ we went to all the institutions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838
- $00:27:28.739 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.439$ to get their approval.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00:27:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.760$ The local IRB ethics boards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00:27:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.820$ the US Ethics board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00:27:34.820 \rightarrow 00:27:36.880$ the government oversight board

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00:27:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.598$ in Uganda for human research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00{:}27{:}39{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42{.}390$ And I floated it by NIH as well to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00{:}27{:}42{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45{.}465$ make sure that all of the stakeholders

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00{:}27{:}45.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.479$ on the compliance side had a chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00:27:48.479 \rightarrow 00:27:51.153$ to give us feedback and prove this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00:27:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.800$ And if you look at those little grid squares,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58{.}272$ I looked for the ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00{:}27{:}58{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}280$ didn't have much population in

NOTE Confidence: 0.767402838

 $00:28:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.720$ the country of Uganda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

00:28:05.520 --> 00:28:07.158 country of Uganda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00:28:07.158 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.434$ you see these sparsely populated areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00:28:10.440 \rightarrow 00:28:13.436$ So some of these are game parks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00:28:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.458$ More elephants and lions live there

 $00{:}28{:}16.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.240$ than humans for obvious reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00{:}28{:}19{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22{.}565$ There's also a large arid region in

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00:28:22.565 \rightarrow 00:28:26.095$ the northeast that has a sparsely

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

00:28:26.095 --> 00:28:27.640 populated nomadic population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00:28:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.830$ and we're going to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00{:}28{:}28{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30{.}320$ back to that in a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00:28:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.378$ And we we in part of our proposal

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00{:}28{:}34{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}044$ is that we would not map any points

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00{:}28{:}37{.}044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}951$ on any of the maps we're doing from

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

 $00{:}28{:}39{.}951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43{.}528$ any place with less than 1000 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.879926412222222

00:28:43.528 --> 00:28:47.360 in 120 square kilometer grid space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

00:28:49.680 --> 00:28:54.968 In the US, we don't map zip codes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00{:}28{:}54{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58{.}216$ with less than 20,000 people for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

00:28:58.216 --> 00:29:02.440 same reason in Uganda we picked 1000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00{:}29{:}02{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07{.}392$ we picked a larger square mile area and NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00:29:07.392 \rightarrow 00:29:10.920$ then we actually jitter the points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00{:}29{:}10{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14{.}430$ So the points I just showed you on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00{:}29{:}14.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.005$ map aren't actually the exact location

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00:29:18.005 \rightarrow 00:29:20.880$ where those villages are located.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00{:}29{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.421$ Here's a little picture of a colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00{:}29{:}23{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26{.}103$ of mine and a local resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

00:29:26.103 --> 00:29:28.371 hiking on a footpath over hills

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00:29:28.449 \rightarrow 00:29:30.675$ trying to find a village cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00{:}29{:}30.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.447$ where one of these infants is located.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00:29:33.447 \rightarrow 00:29:37.634$ We think and all the people we've had review

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00:29:37.634 \rightarrow 00:29:40.916$ this think that that's adequate protection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00:29:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.760$ the way we design this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00:29:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.434$ so that we can publish maps

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495140866666667

 $00:29:44.434 \rightarrow 00:29:46.320$ and show you the clustering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}29{:}52{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56{.}640$ We know a lot about ancient migrations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:29:56.640 \rightarrow 00:29:59.034$ many parts of the world and of of course,

 $00{:}29{:}59{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01{.}864$ in Africa. This is an old map of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:30:01.864 --> 00:30:03.800 Jared Diamond who wrote Guns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:30:03.800 --> 00:30:08.160 Germs and Steel in Science 20 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:08.160 \rightarrow 00:30:11.989$ And Uganda is right sitting wrapped around

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}30{:}11{.}989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}15{.}279$ the northern part of Lake Victoria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:15.280 \dashrightarrow 00:30:19.052$ Here and in that part of Central

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:19.052 \dashrightarrow 00:30:21.479$ Africa there are two main migrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}30{:}21.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.840$ The Bantu expansion started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:30:23.840 --> 00:30:26.904 around 5000 years ago, we think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:26.904 \rightarrow 00:30:29.016$ and these people came up from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:30:29.016 --> 00:30:30.878 the southern parts of Uganda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:30:30.880 --> 00:30:32.772 of
of sub-Saharan Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}30{:}32{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36{.}640$ and also came in from the southwest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}30{:}36{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38{.}472$ Several thousand years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:38.472 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.762$ there's a migration of Nilo

 $00:30:40.762 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.838$ Saharan people from the north.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.080$ They come down, they literally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.400$ seem to meet at the Nile River,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.720$ which is a natural barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.958$ If you look at the clustering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:30:54.960 \rightarrow 00:30:58.800$ the simplest way of clustering genomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}30{:}58.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.790$ each of us has millions of differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:31:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.473$ at the single base pair level

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}31{:}06{.}473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10{.}199$ between our genomes if we sequenced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:31:10.200 \rightarrow 00:31:12.600$ up all of us sitting in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}31{:}12.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.910$ But if you look at the simplest

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:31:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.360$ way of doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:31:16.360 --> 00:31:20.080 just using a cluster technique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}31{:}20.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.480$ principal components to look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}31{:}22{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26{.}020$ how many groups could you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:31:26.020 \rightarrow 00:31:29.560$ statistically have in your data.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00{:}31{:}29{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32{.}240$ This data gives you 4.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00{:}31{:}32{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35{.}396$ There's a northern group in blue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00{:}31{:}35{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37{.}840$ There's a southern and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00:31:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.280$ southwestern group in red.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00:31:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.842$ There's a little bit of complexity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00:31:42.842 \rightarrow 00:31:45.160$ here along the Kenyan border.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00{:}31{:}45{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47{.}680$ We know that there's a Western
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00:31:47.680 \rightarrow 00:31:50.388$ migration from the Swahili coast that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00{:}31{:}50{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}758$ been identified in recent years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00:31:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.276$ but basically we pull out the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00:31:56.276 \rightarrow 00:31:58.613$ ancient migration patterns trivially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00{:}31{:}58.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.478$ from doing the simplest version.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00:32:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.920$ This isn't even admixture analysis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00{:}32{:}04{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08{.}470$ The simplest version of clustering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725
- $00:32:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.600$ changes between chinos.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}32{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.384$ So we've done a little more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:13.384 \rightarrow 00:32:15.240$ sophisticated effort at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:32:15.240 --> 00:32:19.360 We've fit these points to a smooth model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.360$ but importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.860$ we're looking at the proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:22.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.360$ of those groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:32:24.360 --> 00:32:28.035 Because none of us are pure mixtures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.215$ we vary in our proportions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:32:31.215 --> 00:32:33.120 between our ancestries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}32{:}33{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35{.}973$ and what we find is that there are two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:35.973 \rightarrow 00:32:38.479$ groups that are very closely related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.360$ Those are Bantu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:41.360 \rightarrow 00:32:43.677$ and then there's one Nilo Saharan group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:43.680 \rightarrow 00:32:47.600$ The Nilo Saharan group is the largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}32{:}47.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}50.148$ distance difference distance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:50.148 \rightarrow 00:32:53.718$ those two Bantu groups present.

 $00:32:53.720 \dashrightarrow 00:32:56.920$ This all makes an awful lot of sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}32{:}56{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59{.}535$ Jared Diamond was reasonably correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:32:59.535 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.680$ in his estimates at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:02.680 \rightarrow 00:33:06.160$ Without the genomic information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.064$ I'm also going to briefly introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:09.064 \rightarrow 00:33:12.320$ the second group of hydrocephalus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.918$ These are children with spina bifida.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.140$ They're born with what looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}33{:}17{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18{.}916$ like an open spine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.120$ This is a strongly genetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:33:22.120 --> 00:33:23.400 influenced malformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}33{:}23{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26{.}914$ but it's a gene by environment disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}33{:}26{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29{.}824$ We know that we can prevent most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}33{:}29{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32{.}568$ this with adequate folate fortification

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}33{:}32{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}793$ of food or preconception vitamins.

 $00{:}33{:}35{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}274$ The rates in the US and in most countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:39.274 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.065$ that fortify food is quite low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:42.065 \rightarrow 00:33:44.675$ about one per 2000 live births.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:44.680 \rightarrow 00:33:47.476$ The rate in Uganda is many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.984$ many multiples of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}33{:}48{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52{.}154$ I hope within the year we start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}33{:}52.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.465$ actually nail down the true incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00{:}33{:}54{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56{.}899$ 'cause we have to know that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

00:33:56.899 --> 00:33:58.693 we're going to be more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596710725

 $00:33:58.693 \dashrightarrow 00:34:00.120$ about controlling the disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824183065

 $00{:}34{:}03{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08{.}616$ If we take that an cestral map and we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.824183065

 $00{:}34{:}08.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11.920$ at other covariants such as poverty index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824183065

 $00{:}34{:}11{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14{.}865$ the two covariants that most

NOTE Confidence: 0.824183065

00:34:14.865 --> 00:34:17.810 associate with our mapping of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824183065

 $00{:}34{:}17{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21{.}953$ spina bifida risk our poverty and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824183065

 $00:34:21.953 \rightarrow 00:34:25.037$ independently, nilo Saharan ancestry.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- 00:34:27.080 --> 00:34:29.160 As I tell my colleagues,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- 00:34:29.160 --> 00:34:32.002 this may not be true, but it's such
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:34:32.002 \rightarrow 00:34:34.966$ an important finding to perhaps have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:34:34.966 \rightarrow 00:34:37.640$ stumbled into that if it's not true,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}34{:}37{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40{.}680$ we need to demonstrate that it's not true.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- 00:34:40.680 00:34:44.620 If it is true, you all need to be asking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:34:44.723 \rightarrow 00:34:48.556$ us what are the specific pathways and,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:34:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.790$ for instance, the metabolism of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:34:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.160$ folate or insert another gene products
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:34:55.160 \rightarrow 00:34:57.880$ that actually help tissues close,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:34:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.200$ like the neural tube,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:35:00.200 \rightarrow 00:35:03.399$ and how might they be differently varied?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}35{:}03{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06{.}310$ In people who migrated from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}35{:}06{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08{.}638$ northern parts of Africa,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}35{:}08.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.415$ the World Health Organization a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:11.415 \rightarrow 00:35:14.445$ few months ago passed a resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}35{:}14.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.175$ that all member states needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:17.175 \dashrightarrow 00:35:19.670$ for tify or supplement folate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:19.670 \rightarrow 00:35:22.115$ prevent these neural tube defects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

00:35:22.120 --> 00:35:22.559 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}35{:}22{.}559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25{.}632$ they left it up to each member

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:25.632 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.843$ state to figure out how to do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:28.843 \rightarrow 00:35:31.199$ because the context is extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}35{:}31{.}199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33{.}518$ different between societies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.824$ If I fortify wheat in a country

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:36.824 \rightarrow 00:35:39.280$ where people don't eat wheat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

00:35:39.280 --> 00:35:42.305 I'm not doing anything that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}35{:}42{.}305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44{.}120$ that's helpful here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.760$ So how do you do community engagement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:48.760 \rightarrow 00:35:52.477$ how do you handle the politics involved?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:35:52.480 \dashrightarrow 00:35:55.222$ I usually didn't think I needed

- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:35:55.222 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.593$ to touch politics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}35{:}56{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58{.}476$ I mean, I know something about medicine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- 00:35:58.480 --> 00:36:01.360 I'm a pretty good amateur scientist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:36:01.360 \rightarrow 00:36:06.440$ I just went to a talk by John and Kangasong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}36{:}06{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09{.}422$ John was the former head of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}36{:}09{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12{.}533$ African CDC until we made him AUS
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}36{:}12.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.752$ Ambassador at large from the US State
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:36:15.752 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.620$ Department to deal with global health
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:36:18.714 \rightarrow 00:36:21.759$ security and diplomacy over health.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:36:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.497$ And one of the things he emphasized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:36:24.497 \rightarrow 00:36:27.440$ to us is that if you can't manage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}36{:}27{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30{.}462$ to bring the decision makers and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}36{:}30{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32{.}474$ political infrastructure to bear,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- 00:36:32.480 --> 00:36:35.567 you can't affect the impact that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:36:35.567 \dashrightarrow 00:36:38.960$ need for any public health problem.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:36:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.620$ Just look at the disaster that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}36{:}41.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.212$ created in our own society over

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}36{:}44{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46{.}954$ our public health efforts for COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:36:46.960 \rightarrow 00:36:52.184$ vaccination masking. What a mess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

00:36:52.184 --> 00:36:53.400 During COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:36:53.400 \rightarrow 00:36:57.453$ my colleagues and I created a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:36:57.453 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.184$ detailed model to try to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}37{:}01{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04{.}314$ within the continent of Africa

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}37{:}04{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06{.}976$ and track COVID cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

00:37:06.976 --> 00:37:08.952 Actually, it worked pretty well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

00:37:08.952 --> 00:37:09.280 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:37:09.280 \rightarrow 00:37:13.170$ we could get pretty good estimates one

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:37:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.680$ week and actually two weeks ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:37:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.404$ And we work with what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:37:20.404 \rightarrow 00:37:22.000$ national planning authority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:37:22.000 \rightarrow 00:37:25.000$ These are the folks that do the financial,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:37:25.000 \rightarrow 00:37:27.008$ agricultural and health planning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:37:27.008 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.518$ for the country of Uganda.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:37:29.520 \dashrightarrow 00:37:33.576$ And we trained with one of their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}37{:}33{.}576$ --> $00{:}37{:}35{.}760$ data scientists to do an excellent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:37:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.005$ job of of working with this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- 00:37:40.005 --> 00:37:41.353 model and doing predictions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:37:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.828$ scenario predictions of how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- 00:37:43.828 --> 00:37:46.913 policy change would affect COVID.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}37{:}46{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47{.}830$ Abraham Mullen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- 00:37:47.830 --> 00:37:51.015 Guzi is a manager of technology and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:37:51.015 \rightarrow 00:37:54.040$ innovation for the country at two ministries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:37:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.265$ Joseph Muvwala is one of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}37{:}56.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57.600$ their lead economists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00:37:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.824$ And they're actually here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042
- $00{:}38{:}00{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03{.}264$ these are screen shots on national
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:38:03.264 \rightarrow 00:38:05.293$ television as they're explaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

00:38:05.293 --> 00:38:08.952 to their society why this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:38:08.952 \dashrightarrow 00:38:12.240$ way they can manage the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

00:38:12.240 --> 00:38:15.900 At a cabinet meeting their president thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:38:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.900$ yeah that's exactly what we ought

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:38:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.548$ to be doing and they ran with

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:38:22.548 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.233$ this model 3 scenarios 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:38:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.571$ Continued lockdown 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}38{:}27{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31{.}584$ Taking all restrictions away and

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:38:31.584 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.200$ one the intermediate course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00{:}38{:}35{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37{.}520$ And I I was there at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965469042

 $00:38:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.880$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599650366666667

 $00:38:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.960$ predictions on the potential effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599650366666667

 $00{:}38{:}41{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43{.}912$ of the different interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965036666667

 $00:38:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.920$ on the likely outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599650366666667

 $00:38:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.890$ The model analyzes a number

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8599650366666667
- 00:38:48.890 --> 00:38:50.200 of factors, including

00:38:52.400 --> 00:38:55.718 I don't think I'll ever see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.883947941428571

 $00:38:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.606$ a head of state explaining our

NOTE Confidence: 0.883947941428571

 $00:38:58.606 \dashrightarrow 00:39:00.902$ computational modeling that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.883947941428571

 $00{:}39{:}00{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04{.}220$ here in the lab to an entire nation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883947941428571

 $00:39:04.220 \dashrightarrow 00:39:08.296$ But he uses this to justify changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.883947941428571

 $00:39:08.296 \rightarrow 00:39:11.126$ his mind because partially restrict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883947941428571

00:39:11.126 --> 00:39:13.736 partially coming out of lockdown

NOTE Confidence: 0.883947941428571

 $00{:}39{:}13.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}16.697$ appeared to be safe and fortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.883947941428571

 $00:39:16.697 \rightarrow 00:39:18.992$ the predictions were pretty good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96012634631579

 $00:39:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.740$ So we had a fair amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96012634631579

 $00{:}39{:}23.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.197$ credibility after that with some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96012634631579

 $00:39:26.197 \dashrightarrow 00:39:28.675$ the decision makers in the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96012634631579

 $00{:}39{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.972$ And we've been talking with them

NOTE Confidence: 0.96012634631579

 $00:39:30.972 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.680$ and they've asked how can we partner NOTE Confidence: 0.96012634631579

00:39:33.680 - 00:39:36.689 with them to address a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.96012634631579

00:39:36.689 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:39:39.460 and stubbornly resistant rate of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96012634631579

 $00:39:39.460 \rightarrow 00:39:41.760$ neonatal mortality in the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920701307857143

 $00:39:43.920 \rightarrow 00:39:47.021$ We began weekly meetings by Zoom here

NOTE Confidence: 0.920701307857143

 $00{:}39{:}47.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.590$ from Yale and then several trips over

NOTE Confidence: 0.920701307857143

 $00:39:50.590 \dashrightarrow 00:39:53.818$ to gather the stakeholders together in NOTE Confidence: 0.920701307857143

 $00{:}39{:}53{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}57{.}040$ person and go over what we could do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920701307857143

 $00{:}39{:}57.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00.918$ And I wanted to focus on Preventable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920701307857143

00:40:00.920 --> 00:40:02.800 Causes of neonatal death

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00{:}40{:}05{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09{.}036$ what we just closed the deal on two weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:09.036 \rightarrow 00:40:11.477$ ago is the agreement to do the following.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.680$ It'll be led by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

00:40:13.680 --> 00:40:15.000 National Planning Authority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:15.000 \rightarrow 00:40:17.394$ The Ministry of Health is on board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

00:40:17.400 --> 00:40:19.375 Their Ministry of Science and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:19.375 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.955$ Technology is on board.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239
- 00:40:20.960 --> 00:40:23.340 The Kingdom of Usoga,

 $00:40:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.720$ which is shown here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.678$ which may have the highest rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00{:}40{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.560$ of both neural tube defects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.280$ neonatal sepsis in the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.800$ is on board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:36.800 \rightarrow 00:40:39.692$ We're going to keep the specimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

00:40:39.692 --> 00:40:41.620 in the Ugandan biorepository

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.890$ that NIH had originally built as

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

00:40:43.890 --> 00:40:46.599 part of the H3 Africa program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00{:}40{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.160$ and we're going to try to do as

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00{:}40{:}49{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52{.}140$ much of the sequencing as possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.396$ using in country scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850572239

 $00:40:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.080$ What's the community here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00:41:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.358$ It's a question.

 $00:41:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.340$ It's a complex question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

00:41:06.340 --> 00:41:09.320 Here's what the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

00:41:09.320 --> 00:41:12.080 partnership probably looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00:41:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.040$ It involves midwives and nurses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

00:41:16.040 --> 00:41:18.854 It involves mothers who are advocates

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00:41:18.854 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.440$ for children with this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00:41:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.118$ Ruth here is a national organizer

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00:41:25.120 \rightarrow 00:41:28.560$ for advocacy for spina bifida.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

00:41:28.560 --> 00:41:31.698 Lydia is on the National Nursing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00:41:31.698 \rightarrow 00:41:34.277$ Council helping direct policy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00{:}41{:}34{.}277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38{.}000$ nursing and mid midwives in the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00:41:38.000 \rightarrow 00:41:41.764$ This man is one of the lead faculty members

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

00:41:41.764 --> 00:41:46.678 at the National University for Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00:41:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.240$ This individual is in charge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00:41:51.240 \rightarrow 00:41:53.840$ health policy for their planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667

 $00{:}41{:}53{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56{.}534$ Abraham I showed you this individual

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667
- $00:41:56.534 \rightarrow 00:42:00.360$ is both one of their lead economists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667
- $00{:}42{:}00{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04{.}560$ He's also the Prime Minister of Musoga.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667
- 00:42:04.560 --> 00:42:07.444 I had a delightful couple of hours
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667
- $00:42:07.444 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.584$ with their Minister of Science.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667
- 00:42:10.584 --> 00:42:14.304 Monica Musonero is a brilliant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667
- 00:42:14.304 --> 00:42:14.736 microbiologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7223947266666667
- $00:42:14.736 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.896$ She's fascinated by all the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722394726666667
- $00:42:16.896 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.919$ things that we've been doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00{:}42{:}20.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.900$ This is the Minister of Health for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- 00:42:23.900 --> 00:42:26.915 the Kingdom of Busoga and on board
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:42:26.915 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.225$ as well is the Commissioner for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- 00:42:29.225 --> 00:42:31.660 Child Health throughout Uganda,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:42:31.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.960$ the nation state of Uganda.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00{:}42{:}34{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38{.}362$ Pamela and Babassi is a protege
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:42:38.362 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.050$ of Frances Fukuyama and she
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:42:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.200$ is a renowned urban planner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}42{:}43.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.741$ She is the chairwoman of their national

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:42:46.741 --> 00:42:50.532 planning and on February 11th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:42:50.532 \rightarrow 00:42:53.252$ the Chaya Bazinga Day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:42:53.252 \rightarrow 00:42:57.354$ the King of Busoga will be rolling

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:42:57.354 \rightarrow 00:43:01.240$ out this project for neural tube

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:43:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.240$ defects and neonatal sepsis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:43:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.678$ the Kingdom Takes a Village.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:43:07.680 --> 00:43:12.200 Malnutrition effects absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:43:12.200 --> 00:43:15.160 everything I just discussed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}43{:}15{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19{.}437$ and stunting is the most obvious physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:43:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.872$ manifestation of severe malnutrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:43:21.872 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.912$ and undernutrition in early childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:43:24.920 --> 00:43:25.431 Worldwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:43:25.431 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.008$ there are about 1/4 of a billion

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}43{:}29.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}31.668$ children who are malnourished to

 $00:43:31.668 \rightarrow 00:43:34.353$ the point that they're stunted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:43:34.360 --> 00:43:36.244 And across Uganda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:43:36.244 \rightarrow 00:43:40.640$ the current estimated stunting rate is 30%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:43:40.640 \rightarrow 00:43:43.015$ That's not the worst society

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:43:43.015 --> 00:43:44.440 in sub-Saharan Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}43{:}44{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47{.}716$ but these are pretty shocking numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}43{:}47.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48.956$ And take a look at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:43:48.960 \rightarrow 00:43:52.266$ So this is probably the currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:43:52.266 --> 00:43:56.620 best stunt study I know of on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:43:56.620 --> 00:44:00.520 genetics of infant and child growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:00.520 \rightarrow 00:44:04.594$ Look where they pull their populations from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:44:04.600 --> 00:44:07.400 Yeah, it's from Western Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}44{:}07{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09{.}880$ the United States, and Australia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}44{:}09{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12{.}918$ I show this to my African colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.600$ and they're appalled.

00:44:14.600 --> 00:44:18.434 But there's a serious lack of diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:44:18.434 --> 00:44:21.536 in our analysis of even fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:21.536 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.040$ features of human existence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.415$ like growth from diverse people

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}44{:}27{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29{.}440$ around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:44:29.440 --> 00:44:34.160 Here's 6000 children surveyed in Uganda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}44{:}34{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36{.}736$ They start off around the median for

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:36.736 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.368$ size at birth, and within 24 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}44{:}40{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44{.}156$ 30% of them are stunted in height.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.240$ Look at the fallacy that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.500$ this brings you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.020$ So I'm going to circle that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.240$ NE province in Uganda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.228$ The Karamajung lived there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:56.228 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.719$ These are tall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:44:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.806$ melodic people.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:44:58.806 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.521$ They're related closely to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00{:}45{:}01{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04{.}600$ Maasai across the border in Kenya.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:45:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.440$ If you look at height,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00{:}45{:}07{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10{.}080$ there's a little area that they look stunted.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:45:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.240$ But for the most part,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:45:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.750$ they're stunting free and it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:45:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.154$ totally misleading.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- 00:45:17.160 --> 00:45:19.155 If you look at weight for height,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:45:19.160 \rightarrow 00:45:21.920$ they're starving.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:45:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.252$ Fallacy is that if you use the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:45:25.252 \rightarrow 00:45:26.680$ wrong growth curves,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00{:}45{:}26{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30{.}915$ very tall people will look average in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:45:30.915 \rightarrow 00:45:34.144$ height if they're seriously malnourished.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00{:}45{:}34{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34{.}800$ Now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00{:}45{:}34{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38{.}112$ the African peoples are the most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:45:38.112 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.320$ diverse genetically on earth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

00:45:40.320 --> 00:45:42.679 and if you look at recent studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:45:42.680 \rightarrow 00:45:46.000$ this is a gorgeous set of papers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:45:46.000 \rightarrow 00:45:49.275$ Gurdasani has done over the last few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}45{:}49{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52{.}086$ Take a look at the following These

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}45{:}52.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.116$ are people from West Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:45:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.220$ Yoruba and Igbo peoples from

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}45{:}57{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59{.}080$ Ghana from Nigeria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:45:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.866$ Take a look at this simple plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:46:01.866 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.410$ of admixture based off of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}46{:}04{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06{.}098$ single nucleotide changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}46{:}06{.}098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}039$ we all have between our genomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00{:}46{:}09{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12{.}267$ Now look at the East African peoples

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:46:12.267 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.884$ and the South African peoples

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:46:14.884 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.647$ like the Khosan or the Zulu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091

 $00:46:17.647 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.741$ They have nothing at all like

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:46:19.741 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.837$ the West African group do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- 00:46:21.840 --> 00:46:23.544 They They're very different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:46:23.544 \rightarrow 00:46:25.674$ and they've had many thousands
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:46:25.674 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.919$ of years of differentiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:46:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.782$ Now look with how the World
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- 00:46:30.782 --> 00:46:32.213 Health Organization provides
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:46:32.213 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.679$ the worldwide growth curves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:46:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.710$ They took a survey of wealthy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:46:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.386$ children in the capital of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- 00:46:40.386 --> 00:46:42.598 Ghana in one neighborhood,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857277130909091
- $00:46:42.600 \rightarrow 00:46:45.720$ measured their heights and weights.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714
- $00:46:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.492$ Then they took children from other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714
- 00:46:48.492 --> 00:46:50.490 continents, Brazil, India, Norway,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714
- $00{:}46{:}50{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54{.}884$ Oman, the US and they created the World
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714
- $00{:}46{:}54.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.800$ Health Organization growth curves,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714

 $00:46:57.800 \rightarrow 00:46:58.940$ They don't actually apply

NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714

 $00:46:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.920$ to any child on Earth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714

 $00:47:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.240$ So there's a really amazing malnutrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714

 $00:47:06.240 \rightarrow 00:47:10.172$ unit at the Jinja Children's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714

 $00{:}47{:}10.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.276$ This is Harriet Nembuya.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714

 $00{:}47{:}12.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.140$ I've been to this unit several

NOTE Confidence: 0.966056454285714

 $00{:}47{:}14.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.760$ times and I haven't found

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:47:17.800 \rightarrow 00:47:23.596$ a better malnutrition unit in my travels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}47{:}23.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.120$ Which brings us to a brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}47{:}26.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.640$ discussion of on growth and form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:47:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.434$ Now they teach this in that school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:47:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.715$ Well, they didn't do my day either,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:47:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.274$ but if you're bored one night

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:47:36.274 \rightarrow 00:47:37.720$ wandering around the medical library,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:47:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.988$ you might find this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:47:38.988 \rightarrow 00:47:41.737$ It probably hasn't been checked out a lot CRC

00:47:41.737 --> 00:47:44.988 Wentworth Thompson wrote this book in 1917.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}47{:}44{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47{.}132$ It's a little hard to read 'cause he

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

00:47:47.132 --> 00:47:49.238 knew of several different languages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:47:49.240 \rightarrow 00:47:52.632$ and he sprinkled them in like just

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}47{:}52.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.616$ 'cause he could, as he wrote it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}47{:}54.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.088$ But he looked at the growth and form

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}47{:}57.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58.998$ of many different animal species,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:47:59.000 \rightarrow 00:48:00.408$ including humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}00{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}024$ Take a look at what brains do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}05{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07{.}810$ Your brains do most of their growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:48:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.919$ in the first three years of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}09{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11{.}640$ but your body keeps growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}11.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.696$ So allometry is the study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}15.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17.724$ these proportional differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:48:17.724 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.920$ between organ systems,

 $00:48:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.916$ and it has a really bad history

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}22.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.682$ associated with it in terms of what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}26.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28.479$ called biological determinism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

00:48:28.480 --> 00:48:30.046 I used to think this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:48:30.046 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.520$ one of my favorite books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

00:48:31.520 --> 00:48:33.512 Stephen J Gould,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:48:33.512 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.754$ the Harvard biologist's book

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:48:35.754 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.039$ on the Mismeasure of Man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}38{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39{.}560$ and I used to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:48:39.560 \rightarrow 00:48:43.440$ used to be required reading in my laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}43{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45{.}960$ He looks at what Paul Broca,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

00:48:45.960 --> 00:48:49.376 the neurologist in 150 years ago who

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:48:49.376 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.600$ named the speech area of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}52.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55.360$ And Broca said that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}48{:}55{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56{.}740$ remarkable relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:48:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.594$ the development of intelligence

- NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253
- $00:48:58.594 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.234$ and the volume of the brain.

00:49:01.240 --> 00:49:04.756 Broca had some axes to grind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

00:49:04.760 --> 00:49:06.326 In addition to wanting to prove

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00{:}49{:}06{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07{.}948$ that the French brain is better

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744253

 $00:49:07.948 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.000$ than the German brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}49{:}11{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14{.}824$ He was pretty hell bent on showing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}49{:}14.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.160$ male brains were better than female brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:49:18.160 --> 00:49:20.360 So he did a fair amount of study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}49{:}20{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}23{.}540$ carefully done. Taking brains out at

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:49:23.540 \rightarrow 00:49:26.600$ autopsy and weighing them carefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}49{:}26.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.107$ he finds that male and female brains

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:49:30.107 --> 00:49:33.286 differ by about 200 grams. Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:49:33.286 --> 00:49:37.622 Gould knew about Thompson's work and Gould.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:49:37.622 --> 00:49:40.877 If my slide will advance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}49{:}40{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46{.}680$ Gould corrects for height and age and weight,

 $00:49:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.692$ and he finds that there's he

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}49{:}49{.}692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}52{.}280$ cuts that difference in half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}49{:}52{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54{.}177$ When I read this as a grad

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:49:54.177 \rightarrow 00:49:55.360$ student the first time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}49{:}55{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57{.}660$ I remembered that the figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:49:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.960$ was probably close to 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:49:59.960 - 00:50:02.480 When I reread this many years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:50:02.480 --> 00:50:05.138 I realized that Gould couldn't accept

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:50:05.138 --> 00:50:08.198 either his own or broke his data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.648$ so he just wrote that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:10.648 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.872$ difference wasn't there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:50:11.880 --> 00:50:13.512 I no longer recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:13.512 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.552$ the book to my students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.690$ We looked at over 1000 scans of

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:50:19.690 --> 00:50:23.118 normal North American children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}50{:}23.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.151$ and indeed there is a difference in

 $00:50:26.151 \rightarrow 00:50:29.006$ size between male and female brands

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31{.}462$ of normal children proportioned by

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:31.462 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.917$ the demographics of North America.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:33.920 \rightarrow 00:50:36.920$ Indeed, Roca's difference is right there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:36.920 \rightarrow 00:50:40.080$ and if you correct for height and weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.740$ you get ghoul's difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.400$ They were both right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:43.400 \rightarrow 00:50:45.458$ What they didn't have a chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}50{:}45{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}47{.}767$ to do without imaging is look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}50{:}47.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49.797$ the ratio of brain size to fluid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:50:49.800 --> 00:50:50.920 So you're all sitting here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.344$ it's about 6:00 and you're you

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:53.344 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.960$ have a good brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}50{:}54{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57{.}390$ So your brains are all at

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:50:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.656$ least 1400 grams or so,

 $00:50:59.656 \rightarrow 00:51:02.440$ and they're floating in a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}51{:}02{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05{.}417$ of 100 CCS of spinal fluid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:51:05.417 \dashrightarrow 00:51:08.159$ suspended in their little yellow sea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:51:08.160 \rightarrow 00:51:10.638$ Your brains are weighing about 50 grams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:51:10.640 \dashrightarrow 00:51:12.560$ And that's why you're kind of happy now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:51:12.560 \rightarrow 00:51:16.079$ ready to have a meal and go to sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:51:16.080 --> 00:51:18.438 Don't bang your head is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

00:51:18.438 --> 00:51:20.855 lesson because it's kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}51{:}20.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.399$ delicate situation up there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}51{:}22{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24{.}725$ But the universal featured that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}51{:}24.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.440$ they were all perhaps looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:51:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.800$ Is that this ratio, a brain to fluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:51:30.800 \rightarrow 00:51:32.324$ is very tightly controlled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:51:32.324 \rightarrow 00:51:35.080$ doesn't matter whether you're male or female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00{:}51{:}35{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36{.}480$ and it doesn't matter whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988

 $00:51:36.480 \rightarrow 00:51:37.600$ you're big or small.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988
- $00:51:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.480$ We don't understand it yet,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988
- $00{:}51{:}40{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43{.}660$ but we then created childhood growth
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988
- $00:51:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.692$ curves for normal childhood growth and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988
- $00:51:46.692 \rightarrow 00:51:49.680$ we use it in our studies in North America,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988
- $00{:}51{:}49{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52{.}767$ and we use it in our randomized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988
- $00{:}51{:}52{.}767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54{.}800$ clinical trials in Africa.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928998988
- $00:51:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.880$ Does it apply?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926268193333333
- $00:51:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.522$ So what about the rest of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926268193333333
- $00:52:00.522 \rightarrow 00:52:02.800$ world and its various peoples?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926268193333333
- $00{:}52{:}02{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04{.}910$ Do we need different growth
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926268193333333
- $00:52:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.598$ curves for their children?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00{:}52{:}08{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12{.}077$ And I will wrap up in a few minutes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:52:12.080 \rightarrow 00:52:15.152$ but most brains don't have access to imaging
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00{:}52{:}15{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18{.}196$ that we'd need to do brain growth curves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00{:}52{:}18{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}23{.}024$ MRI is about the lowest risk and most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:23.024 \rightarrow 00:52:26.319$ expensive technology we've ever created,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.020$ and the costs are around

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.640$ generating big fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:30.640 \rightarrow 00:52:33.195$ So they made the mistake of inviting

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:33.195 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.392$ me to give a plenary talk at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:52:36.392 --> 00:52:38.960 large worldwide meeting of MRI people

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:38.960 \rightarrow 00:52:42.439$ and I just told them my thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:42.440 \dashrightarrow 00:52:45.464$ I said well, we haven't developed an

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:45.464 \rightarrow 00:52:48.013$ approach to technology with a cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:48.013 \rightarrow 00:52:50.359$ to benefit guides our image quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}52{:}50{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53{.}545$ We failed in major ways in developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:52:53.545 \rightarrow 00:52:56.840$ imaging and we oversell to ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}52{:}56{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}278$ beautiful images that have no correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:53:00.278 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.444$ with patient outcome and we failed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:53:03.444 \rightarrow 00:53:06.280$ show the value of less expensive imagery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:53:06.280 \rightarrow 00:53:08.324$ I've actually got a lot of positive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:53:08.324 \rightarrow 00:53:10.560$ feedback from this talk in the years since,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00{:}53{:}10.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.599$ which I was quite pleased to see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:53:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.637$ this is an image from the 70s.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- 00:53:16.640 --> 00:53:19.790 My looked like it wasn't actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:53:19.790 \rightarrow 00:53:22.335$ the 1st hemorrhage in the brain that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00{:}53{:}22{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25{.}157$ I saw on ACT but it looked like this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:53:25.160 \dashrightarrow 00:53:27.560$ and it's a low resolution image.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:53:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.762$ Here's a modern day high resolution
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:53:29.762 \rightarrow 00:53:32.678$ MRI of the same kind of hemorrhage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00{:}53{:}32{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35{.}458$ Patient outcome won't be determined by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:53:35.458 \rightarrow 00:53:38.360$ how beautiful all those features are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- 00:53:38.360 --> 00:53:40.733 We need to know where the hemorrhage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:53:40.733 \rightarrow 00:53:43.302$ is and know where to do the surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00:53:43.302 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.759$ that we do to get it out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556
- $00{:}53{:}45{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49{.}332$ So in in recent years we've during the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:53:49.332 \rightarrow 00:53:52.162$ pandemic we got one of the first of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}53{:}52{.}162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54{.}574$ these portable low field units into

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}53{:}54{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57{.}120$ that same hospital in Eastern Uganda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:53:57.120 \rightarrow 00:53:59.997$ We're all wearing masks there that day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:00.000 \rightarrow 00:54:03.390$ And most recently this year we assembled

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.240$ an entirely new type of device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.216$ This is an MRI that can give very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:54:09.216 --> 00:54:09.960 high quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:54:09.960 - 00:54:13.720 So you can see in the image here on top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.958$ but it can be fully assembled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905337325555556

 $00:54:15.960 \rightarrow 00:54:16.630$ operated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}54{:}16.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.980$ maintained by the African engineers

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.320$ pictured here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:54:21.320 --> 00:54:23.156 The Dean of Engineering at Emberara,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:54:23.160 --> 00:54:24.270 Jonas Abungalach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.728$ got his PhD in my lap and this

 $00:54:28.728 \rightarrow 00:54:31.568$ assembly was covered by articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:31.568 \rightarrow 00:54:33.840$ and Science and Nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:33.840 \rightarrow 00:54:36.885$ Francis Shen has a very nice article

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:36.885 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.944$ out on the ethics of this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:54:39.944 --> 00:54:42.152 low field MRI as it gets distributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}54{:}42.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44.996$ around the world and his principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:54:45.000 --> 00:54:48.280 Of the ones I started the talk with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:54:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.456$ Local Community Partnerships and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:54:51.456 --> 00:54:53.838 Local Society Value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}54{:}53{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57{.}360$ let me end with one set of thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}54{:}57{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}240$ for discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:54:58.240 --> 00:54:58.756 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}54{:}58{.}756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02{.}368$ does Uganda now need a Bantu and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:02.368 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.880$ an Elotic growth curve?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}55{:}04.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07.160$ My colleagues in the country very

 $00:55:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.600$ much want to see us do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:55:09.600 --> 00:55:11.892 Would monitoring brain growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:55:11.892 --> 00:55:14.502 during renourishment help optimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:14.502 \rightarrow 00:55:18.157$ the recovery of these children?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.120$ I think that's a good thing to pursue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.000$ But I'm going to leave you all with NOTE Confidence: 0.905337325555556

 $00:55:24.000 \rightarrow 00:55:27.120$ a question. What shouldn't we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:55:27.120 --> 00:55:31.390 And I'd like to hear your thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.066$ on that in discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:33.066 \rightarrow 00:55:35.304$ Nobody does what I just showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:35.304 \rightarrow 00:55:36.839$ you on their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:36.840 \dashrightarrow 00:55:38.992$ An awful lot of people in an awful

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:38.992 \rightarrow 00:55:41.498$ lot of places have really poured their

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

00:55:41.498 --> 00:55:43.800 hearts and souls into this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00{:}55{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.422$ and really what I'm telling you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90533732555556

 $00:55:46.422 \rightarrow 00:55:48.170$ today is representing all

- NOTE Confidence: 0.947961916923077
- $00{:}55{:}48.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.736$ of their efforts. So thanks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947961916923077
- $00:55:50.736 \rightarrow 00:55:53.676$ everybody, and love to discuss.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.707907766
- $00{:}55{:}59{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00{.}840$ Would you like a chair? You've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.673345088571429
- $00:56:00.840 \rightarrow 00:56:01.876$ been standing up here for an hour.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.673345088571429
- 00:56:01.880 --> 00:56:04.342 You good? I'm good. OK, so I'm gonna.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.673345088571429
- 00:56:04.342 --> 00:56:05.797 I think Karen's gonna bring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.673345088571429
- $00{:}56{:}05{.}797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07{.}597$ me up in a in a minute.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.673345088571429
- $00:56:07.600 \rightarrow 00:56:09.026$ Some of the questions from Zoom.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.673345088571429
- $00{:}56{:}09{.}026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10{.}689$ In the meantime, we'll take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.673345088571429
- 00:56:10.689 --> 00:56:11.981 questions for Doctor Schiff
- NOTE Confidence: 0.673345088571429
- $00:56:11.981 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.639$ from the audience on this talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90500035
- $00{:}56{:}15{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19{.}199$ Yes, Sir. Wait one second. Actually.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90500035
- $00{:}56{:}19{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}20{.}559$ So let's focus on Zoom. If you hear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.665931597142857
- $00{:}56{:}21{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22{.}960$ you just wait. If you wait until
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76277219
- $00:56:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.080$ we get a microphone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833801730909091

- 00:56:28.840 --> 00:56:30.220 hold it up close,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833801730909091
- $00{:}56{:}30{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}32{.}760$ Thank you for your for the talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833801730909091
- $00:56:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.640$ You spoke earlier in your talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833801730909091
- $00:56:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.040$ about sort of the challenges of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- 00:56:38.640 --> 00:56:41.160 getting funding for your research.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:56:41.160 \rightarrow 00:56:43.120$ Could you give us a little more insight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:56:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.780$ into that justifying taking U.S.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00{:}56{:}47.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.168$ tax dollars to fund research in in Africa and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00{:}56{:}53{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59{.}598$ and you know how you justify that and so on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00{:}56{:}59{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}02{.}995$ So there's two parts to that answer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:57:03.000 \rightarrow 00:57:07.074$ First, to quote one of my colleagues here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:57:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.090$ if you can do something that's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- 00:57:10.090 --> 00:57:11.960 worthwhile, just be relentless.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- 00:57:11.960 --> 00:57:15.345 I mean, I've had reject many more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:57:15.345 \rightarrow 00:57:18.640$ grants rejected than I've ever received.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- 00:57:18.640 --> 00:57:22.360 But you know, learning how to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:57:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.652$ be compelling is important.

00:57:24.652 --> 00:57:26.756 Building a track record,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:57:26.760 \rightarrow 00:57:29.160$ starting with some small pilots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

00:57:29.160 --> 00:57:32.436 None of us ever got major funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:57:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.838$ without starting off with small steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}57{:}36{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41.005$ The value of doing work on important

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}57{:}41.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45.590$ problems is that all of it benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

00:57:45.590 -> 00:57:46.920 healthcare everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

00:57:46.920 --> 00:57:49.720 Learning to diagnose an infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:57:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.840$ you can't grow in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}57{:}51{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}55{.}782$ Let's say a part of rural Africa is critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}57{:}55{.}782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58{.}799$ for the infections we can't diagnose here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:57:58.800 \rightarrow 00:58:02.000$ Walk on to our neonatal intensive care unit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}58{:}02.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04.080$ make friends with your favorite

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}58{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}06.160$ neonatologist and in a quiet

 $00:58:06.231 \rightarrow 00:58:08.520$ moment it might take half a meal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}58{:}08{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10{.}720$ In a quiet moment, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

00:58:10.720 --> 00:58:12.976 how many septic infants do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:12.976 \rightarrow 00:58:15.691$ never get a diagnosis on and you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}58{:}15{.}691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17{.}959$ be pretty shocked at that number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.552$ It's well over 50%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:19.552 \rightarrow 00:58:22.400$ and there's some good reasons for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:22.400 \rightarrow 00:58:25.400$ but you can apply that to adult sepsis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

00:58:25.400 --> 00:58:29.024 You can apply that to many cases of

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:29.024 \rightarrow 00:58:31.498$ syndromic disease where it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:31.498 \rightarrow 00:58:33.958$ obvious what causes a syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

00:58:33.960 --> 00:58:37.760 Sepsis, Meningitis, fever with rash,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.439$ flu like illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.215$ So these techniques are broadly

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

00:58:43.215 --> 00:58:46.212 needed in many, many settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:46.212 \rightarrow 00:58:47.544$ including here.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:58:47.544 \rightarrow 00:58:51.524$ We had to use them overseas,

 $00{:}58{:}51{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56{.}032$ but you could have developed all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:58:56.032 \rightarrow 00:58:59.278$ this with US based problems as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

00:58:59.280 --> 00:59:02.610 It turns out since we published

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:59:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.617$ the Penny Bacillus finding in 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00:59:05.617 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.992$ the 1st paper that we did that

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}59{:}08{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}11{.}344$ there have been six more recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

00:59:11.344 --> 00:59:14.520 reports of US cases same highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}59{:}14{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}16{.}800$ destructive Panibacillus infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}59{:}16.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}19.956$ Most of those children have died.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}59{:}19{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}24{.}160$ One or two went on to get hydrocephalus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}59{:}24.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}27.913$ We have no idea what the total number is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}59{:}27{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30{.}916$ but I just presented this to NIH

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}59{:}30{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}34{.}798$ and they were sort of a mazed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894

 $00{:}59{:}34{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37{.}635$ as we studied this disease that we

- 00:59:37.635 --> 00:59:40.040 didn't know anything about far away,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:59:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.160$ that it's also here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:59:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.840$ Now that we know about it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00{:}59{:}42.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}45.480$ I hope that answers your question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:59:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.252$ Was it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00{:}59{:}46.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}47.998$ I think it answers a number of questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- 00:59:48.000 00:59:50.638 because what was, was it here?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- 00:59:50.640 --> 00:59:53.720 Was it identified by by DNA sequencing?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956776894
- $00:59:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.840$ Was it identified by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641186624
- $00:59:55.000 \dashrightarrow 00:59:57.000$ by actually growth and culture? So
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- 00:59:57.000 00:59:59.996 most of the ones here grew out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- $01:00:00.000 \rightarrow 01:00:03.120$ we don't know the differences in the strains.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- $01{:}00{:}03.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}06.025$ And there's a number of things that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- 01:00:06.025 --> 01:00:09.400 I'd like to implement very quickly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- 01:00:09.400 --> 01:00:13.556 In our in our African work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- $01:00:13.556 \rightarrow 01:00:17.480$ I think we may be able to get an

- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- $01:00:17.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.000$ echo and mute that. There you go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- $01:00:21.000 \dashrightarrow 01:00:23.040$ Got some technology going on here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- 01:00:23.040 --> 01:00:26.240 All right. Did I do something wrong?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- 01:00:26.240 --> 01:00:29.092 No, it's OK. I was just something wrong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- 01:00:29.092 --> 01:00:31.640 All right, All right. Very good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.973836291428572
- 01:00:31.640 --> 01:00:34.399 Next question, please. Yes, Sir.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90662433
- 01:00:39.680 --> 01:00:40.640 Hi, Dad. So,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7063495
- 01:00:42.720 --> 01:00:44.318 OK, so I'll let him have it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72684672
- $01:00:44.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.080$ let him have it, Robert. Got it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72684672
- $01:00:46.080 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.160$ So this is a genuine question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90156045
- $01:00:47.160 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.080$ I actually haven't asked this before. So
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95436144
- $01{:}00{:}51{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}56{.}986$ you mentioned Stephen Gould and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95436144
- $01{:}00{:}56.986 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}01{:}01$ kind of the issues with results
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965611975
- $01{:}01{:}01{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}03{.}278$ that you don't like and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904454544
- $01{:}01{:}04{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06{.}520$ discounting those do you worry
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904454544

- $01:01:06.520 \rightarrow 01:01:08.800$ about the potential ramifications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904454544
- 01:01:08.800 --> 01:01:15.000 of like you mentioned the Nilus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904454544
- 01:01:15.000 --> 01:01:18.760 Saharan people had a potential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904454544
- $01:01:18.760 \rightarrow 01:01:21.560$ vulnerability to to his Penny Bacillus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904454544
- $01:01:21.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.520$ Do you do you worry about the ramifications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799353055
- $01:01:23.920 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.996$ of finding out that that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799353055
- $01:01:26.000 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.920$ indeed true or if you indeed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- $01{:}01{:}27{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}30{.}545$ need to use different growth curves for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- $01{:}01{:}30.545 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}33.400$ the not the Sahara and and Ponto people?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- $01:01:33.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.292$ Like especially in an area where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- $01{:}01{:}35{.}292 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38{.}240$ there's it's very ethnically diverse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- $01:01:38.240 \dashrightarrow 01:01:40.484$ and genetically diverse as you said.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- 01:01:40.484 --> 01:01:42.200 Do you worry about those kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- $01{:}01{:}42.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}44.210$ of differences especially in a,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- $01:01:44.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.640$ as you mentioned a colonial science context.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- $01:01:47.640 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.280$ Good question.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- 01:01:50.280 --> 01:01:51.760 Sometimes you raise these kids.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89928259125
- 01:01:51.760 --> 01:01:52.519 They do. OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864369516
- $01:01:54.760 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.040$ I think that it's important to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864369516
- $01:01:59.040 \rightarrow 01:02:01.560$ able to personalize our public health,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864369516
- $01:02:01.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.760$ But is everyone here recalls
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864369516
- $01:02:04.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.680$ recently. How we do that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839963366428571
- 01:02:08.960 01:02:10.493 critically determines whether
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839963366428571
- 01:02:10.493 --> 01:02:13.559 we're effective or we just turned
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839963366428571
- $01{:}02{:}13.559 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}16.237$ it into a political nightmare.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839963366428571
- $01{:}02{:}16.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}20.314$ In societies where the level of education
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839963366428571
- $01:02:20.320 \rightarrow 01:02:24.520$ is not what you would hope to have,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839963366428571
- $01{:}02{:}24.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}27.240$ the messaging becomes critical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839963366428571
- $01:02:27.240 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.700$ because people with can easily
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839963366428571
- 01:02:30.700 --> 01:02:33.102 perceive this as something's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839963366428571
- $01:02:33.102 \dashrightarrow 01:02:34.914$ wrong with them or create stigma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956332386363636

 $01:02:37.200 \rightarrow 01:02:39.505$ I can't create that messaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.956332386363636

 $01:02:39.505 \rightarrow 01:02:42.160$ as some person from the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956332386363636

 $01:02:42.160 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.587$ but my colleagues are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.956332386363636

 $01:02:46.587 \rightarrow 01:02:48.561$ have that burden who live there

NOTE Confidence: 0.956332386363636

 $01{:}02{:}48.561 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}50.880$ and understand the culture well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956332386363636

 $01{:}02{:}50{.}880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}55{.}395$ And my physician colleagues really want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.956332386363636

 $01:02:55.395 \rightarrow 01:02:59.000$ improve the medicine that they deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956332386363636

 $01{:}02{:}59{.}000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}02{.}112$ But I did offer a teaser to every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.956332386363636

 $01{:}03{:}02{.}112 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}04{.}959$ about what we would not want to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969330145833333

 $01{:}03{:}07{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}09{.}851$ I'm negotiating right now to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.969330145833333

 $01{:}03{:}09{.}851 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}12{.}359$ scanner for that malnutrition unit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969330145833333

 $01:03:12.360 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.200$ I think we'll probably show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.969330145833333

 $01{:}03{:}16.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}19.505$ with renourishment we can get brains

NOTE Confidence: 0.969330145833333

 $01:03:19.505 \rightarrow 01:03:22.920$ of these children to grow better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969330145833333

 $01:03:22.920 \rightarrow 01:03:25.560$ Do I want to personalize that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927866932307693

 $01:03:28.600 \rightarrow 01:03:31.834$ There's several questions I don't think

 $01:03:31.834 \rightarrow 01:03:35.479$ I'll ever explore that I could explore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927866932307693

 $01:03:35.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.238$ One is, is there a difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.927866932307693

 $01{:}03{:}38{.}238 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}41{.}336$ brain size and brain growth between

NOTE Confidence: 0.927866932307693

01:03:41.336 --> 01:03:44.600 Nilotic versus Bantu peoples?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912823294285714

01:03:46.720 --> 01:03:49.716 It's a nil hypothesis to say no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912823294285714

 $01:03:49.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.760$ every nothing's identical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912823294285714

 $01{:}03{:}54.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}56.660$ some body's going to have bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.912823294285714

 $01{:}03{:}56{.}660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00{.}360$ brains than the other person. And it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720585325

01:04:02.400 --> 01:04:04.725 whatever medical benefit you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720585325

 $01:04:04.725 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.664$ have from that, I think would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720585325

 $01:04:07.664 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.275$ far outweighed by the harms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720585325

 $01:04:10.275 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.650$ you potentially would create by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720585325

 $01:04:13.650 \rightarrow 01:04:17.280$ stigmatizing a society with no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720585325

01:04:17.280 --> 01:04:20.240 no realistic benefits. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}04{:}22{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}25{.}999$ it's a fine line and it's the judgement call.

01:04:26.000 --> 01:04:29.068 I have kids dying of infection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

01:04:29.068 --> 01:04:31.876 I could improve that death rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}04{:}31.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}34.799$ Then it's worth stepping into the arena,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

01:04:34.800 - 01:04:37.568 especially if we can figure out, I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}04{:}37{.}568 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}39{.}556$ what gives you a predilection from disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}04{:}39{.}560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}41{.}877$ Go look at Casanova. Love that name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}04{:}41{.}880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}44{.}477$ Look at Casanova's papers and his work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}04{:}44{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}46{.}420$ He summarizes it in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:04:46.420 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.720$ two papers in PNAS in 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}04{:}48.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}51.960$ What he finds is that you can have very

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:04:52.040 \rightarrow 01:04:55.640$ specific predilections to certain infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:04:55.640 \rightarrow 01:04:57.482$ like staph aureus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}04{:}57{.}482 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}00{.}552$ because you have an interleukin

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

01:05:00.552 --> 01:05:04.680 or a cytokine protein that helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:05:04.680 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.680$ you defend against infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

01:05:06.680 --> 01:05:08.320 And it's not quite right,

 $01:05:08.320 \rightarrow 01:05:11.204$ the same way that sicklers get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}05{:}11{.}204 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}13{.}413$ trouble from sickle cell disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:05:13.413 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.107$ because they have one base pair

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:05:16.107 \rightarrow 01:05:19.408$ different in their hemoglobin molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}05{:}19{.}408 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22{.}682$ So what that means is we ought

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:05:22.682 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.439$ to be able to treat it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

01:05:25.440 --> 01:05:31.878 We replace protein compounds in hemophilias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:05:31.880 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.513$ Would we treat someday a person with

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}05{:}35{.}513 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}39{.}560$ an infection from staph aureus with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:05:39.560 \rightarrow 01:05:43.280$ commonly defective protein by giving them

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

01:05:43.280 --> 01:05:48.474 a replacement protein as well as antibiotics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}05{:}48{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}50{.}160$ We don't do that today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:05:50.160 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.800$ but we're probably pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01{:}05{:}51{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}54{.}931$ close to being able to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889

 $01:05:54.931 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.260$ So it's judgment call, Robert.

- 01:05:58.260 --> 01:05:59.936 And eventually,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889
- $01{:}05{:}59{.}936 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}02{.}520$ it all comes down, I think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889
- $01:06:02.520 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.000$ to benefits and risks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889
- $01:06:05.000 \longrightarrow 01:06:07.730$ And I do think as we get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889
- 01:06:07.730 --> 01:06:10.239 deeper into a genomic age,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889
- $01:06:10.240 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.626$ that we have to think pretty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.804416898888889
- $01:06:11.626 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.319$ carefully about that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- 01:06:15.720 --> 01:06:18.080 Could you, Steve, go back a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}06{:}18.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}20.255$ and and talk or mention you when you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}06{:}20.255 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}22.264$ talked about Gould's comments on Broca?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- 01:06:22.264 --> 01:06:24.073 Sort of like, I remember like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}06{:}24.073 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}25.600$ 7 things from medical school,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:06:25.600 \rightarrow 01:06:27.440$ but one of them was new problems
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- 01:06:27.440 --> 01:06:31.360 of Eclomisphere, Ghosh Broca.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- 01:06:31.360 --> 01:06:33.344 And I'm fascinated by Google saying,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:06:33.344 \rightarrow 01:06:34.320$ no, I don't accept that.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- 01:06:34.320 --> 01:06:35.160 So he just said, no,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- 01:06:35.160 --> 01:06:36.840 I don't even accept my own data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:06:36.840 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.500$ This is in in terms of where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:06:40.500 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.276$ science and bioethics meet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:06:42.280 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.892$ I've encountered this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:06:42.892 \rightarrow 01:06:44.116$ from time to time as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}06{:}44{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}45{.}944$ And I've I've coined the phrase as we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}06{:}45{.}944 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}48{.}063$ do this when I teach us don't fear the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}06{:}48.063 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}49.917$ data because I have heard people say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:06:49.920 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.680$ well here is the data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- 01:06:50.680 --> 01:06:52.280 but we're not going to talk about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:06:52.280 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.864$ it because it doesn't bring us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:06:54.864 \rightarrow 01:06:56.880$ to the conclusion we want, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}06{:}56{.}880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}58{.}428$ Do you think some of that has gone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}06{:}58{.}428 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}00{.}230$ on in terms of brain size and brain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625

- $01:07:00.230 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.902$ growth and is that could that possibly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}07{:}01{.}902 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}03{.}596$ could the fear of the data direct
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:07:03.596 \rightarrow 01:07:05.316$ how we move forward with the science?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01{:}07{:}05{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07{.}400$ Of course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- 01:07:07.400 --> 01:07:09.360 Should it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- $01:07:09.360 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.840$ No.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95312815625
- 01:07:10.840 --> 01:07:12.320 But
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831724311666667
- $01:07:12.320 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.318$ I'm torn with these things like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68721961
- $01:07:14.480 \longrightarrow 01:07:15.716$ some of your fan clubs going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01:07:16.440 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.918$ he's got a test to take tonight.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- 01:07:18.920 --> 01:07:25.272 But I I I worry a great deal the power of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01{:}07{:}25{.}272 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}29{.}480$ these technologies to uncover facts which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01:07:29.480 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.608$ we may not be able to handle. I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01{:}07{:}35{.}608 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}37{.}316$ look at the conflicts around the world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- 01:07:37.320 --> 01:07:39.200 It doesn't take much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- 01:07:39.200 --> 01:07:42.626 I think of Rwanda, in particular

- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01{:}07{:}42.626 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}46.632$ the Tuts is and the Hutu.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01:07:46.632 \rightarrow 01:07:49.368$ We're not even sure they were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01{:}07{:}49.368 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}51.839$ actually both ancestral people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01{:}07{:}51{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}56{.}406$ but politically they were set up in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01{:}07{:}56{.}406 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}59{.}227$ way that led to an enormous genocide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.874064342857143
- $01:07:59.227 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.838$ of a population within about 90 days.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778
- $01:08:05.520 \rightarrow 01:08:08.512$ I think we need to be very careful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778
- $01:08:08.512 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.553$ because we have tools which can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778
- $01{:}08{:}11{.}553 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13{.}757$ literally we aponize our science.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778
- $01{:}08{:}13.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}18.615$ And this wasn't obvious to me when I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778
- $01:08:18.615 \rightarrow 01:08:20.936$ started looking at things like the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778
- $01{:}08{:}20{.}936 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}23{.}384$ genomics of these children in Africa.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778
- 01:08:23.384 --> 01:08:26.680 But gradually I would sit with my colleagues,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778
- $01{:}08{:}26.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}28.703$ helps to spend a lot of time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778
- $01{:}08{:}28.703 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}30.160$ with your colleagues overseas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901302932777778

 $01{:}08{:}30{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}32{.}113$ And I sort of looked at them and said

NOTE Confidence: 0.901607438333333

 $01{:}08{:}34{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}35{.}778$ we can cause an awful lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.901607438333333

 $01:08:35.778 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.400$ of trouble if we do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901607438333333

 $01:08:37.400 \longrightarrow 01:08:38.912$ And we all sort of looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.901607438333333

 $01:08:38.912 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.280$ at each other and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901607438333333

 $01{:}08{:}40{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}42{.}240$ yeah, let's not do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

01:08:44.400 --> 01:08:46.884 I wish there was like the adult in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

 $01:08:46.884 \rightarrow 01:08:49.477$ room who would have said long ago to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

 $01{:}08{:}49{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}50{.}993$ do this, but don't do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

01:08:50.993 --> 01:08:52.758 But there isn't. It's us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

 $01{:}08{:}52{.}760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}55{.}880$ And I think these are burdens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

 $01:08:55.880 \dashrightarrow 01:08:59.640$ Gould really disappointed me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

 $01:08:59.640 \longrightarrow 01:09:01.800$ I've read so much of his work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

 $01{:}09{:}01{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}05{.}238$ It's He's such a brilliant scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

01:09:05.240 --> 01:09:08.756 If you read Edward O Wilson's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452

 $01:09:08.760 \rightarrow 01:09:10.443$ autobiography called Naturalist,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- 01:09:10.443 --> 01:09:13.248 you might worry a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- 01:09:13.248 --> 01:09:15.799 about how difficult Gould,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- 01:09:15.800 --> 01:09:17.600 in a professional environment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- $01:09:17.600 \rightarrow 01:09:21.199$ might have been to the people around him.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- $01:09:21.200 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.518$ But many of us are not easy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- 01:09:24.520 --> 01:09:27.826 And yeah, we do good work, I hope.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- 01:09:27.826 --> 01:09:30.198 But it's a trap.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- $01:09:30.200 \dashrightarrow 01:09:33.035$ It's a trap that Ghoul felled into.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- $01{:}09{:}33.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}37.996$ He was a very politically active person,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- $01:09:38.000 \rightarrow 01:09:40.208$ and he wasn't going to accept
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- $01:09:40.208 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.680$ that result for anything.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- $01{:}09{:}41.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}44.604$ When I read it with, I think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- $01:09:44.604 \longrightarrow 01:09:46.314$ a fair amount of care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92587452
- 01:09:46.320 --> 01:09:48.357 I didn't appreciate it as a student.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73443223
- $01:09:49.520 \longrightarrow 01:09:50.440$ You'd appreciate what? I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01:09:50.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.436$ didn't appreciate his bias as a student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01:09:53.440 \rightarrow 01:09:56.200$ It took took losing hair and being

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01:09:56.200 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.720$ in the business for a long time

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01:09:58.804 \rightarrow 01:10:01.526$ and to look at that and go, Oh no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01{:}10{:}01{.}526 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}03.647$ look what he did and then he

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

01:10:03.647 --> 01:10:06.564 wrote it all down. But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01{:}10{:}06.564 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}09.627$ we read these things for the message.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

01:10:09.627 --> 01:10:12.569 How many of us look at a scientific paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01{:}10{:}12{.}569 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}15{.}620$ and you know, you read the abstract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01{:}10{:}15{.}620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}18{.}163$ the first paragraph, the last paragraph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01{:}10{:}18{.}163 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}20{.}569$ Is there anything in between that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01{:}10{:}20.569 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}22.525$ worth your time before you move

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01:10:22.525 \rightarrow 01:10:24.798$ on to the next task of the day?

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

01:10:24.800 --> 01:10:29.424 But if I leave you any of you with a caution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01:10:29.424 \longrightarrow 01:10:32.226$ it's be careful, and be careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.949355624285714

 $01:10:32.226 \rightarrow 01:10:33.798$ what even the experts tell you.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8947362
- 01:10:37.760 --> 01:10:40.478 Other questions, things I can try to answer,

 $01:10:42.920 \longrightarrow 01:10:43.320$ Sir.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8005946866666667

01:10:46.800 --> 01:10:49.840 Thanks, Doctor Schiff. I'm curious, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.924004428333333

 $01:10:50.680 \rightarrow 01:10:52.000$ mean, you work with so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.973770735

01:10:54.560 --> 01:10:56.600 people from Uganda. Do you find like

NOTE Confidence: 0.81314307375

 $01:10:56.600 \rightarrow 01:10:57.980$ when when you're working

NOTE Confidence: 0.81314307375

 $01:10:57.980 \longrightarrow 01:10:59.360$ with your African colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81314307375

 $01:10:59.360 \longrightarrow 01:11:02.865$ you you have any like favorite

NOTE Confidence: 0.81314307375

 $01{:}11{:}02.865 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}04.568$ examples of ethical disagreement

NOTE Confidence: 0.81314307375

 $01{:}11{:}04{.}568 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}07{.}472$ where you just that are particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.81314307375

 $01:11:07.472 \longrightarrow 01:11:09.355$ intractable maybe that are grounded

NOTE Confidence: 0.81314307375

 $01{:}11{:}09{.}355 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}10{.}880$ in cultural differences or different

NOTE Confidence: 0.848425395

01:11:13.200 --> 01:11:15.400 just, you know, starting from

NOTE Confidence: 0.848425395

 $01{:}11{:}15{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}16{.}720$ different ethical premises?

NOTE Confidence: 0.848425395

 $01:11:16.720 \longrightarrow 01:11:18.960$ Does that make sense? Yeah,

- $01:11:21.880 \rightarrow 01:11:22.320$ I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- $01:11:25.760 \longrightarrow 01:11:28.140$ I'm a short white guy from the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- 01:11:28.140 --> 01:11:33.920 US and I didn't grow up overseas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- $01:11:33.920 \longrightarrow 01:11:38.120$ And over the years I've worked very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- 01:11:38.120 --> 01:11:43.656 closely with a lot of both physicians
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- $01{:}11{:}43.656 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}49.200$ and also with patients, families.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- $01:11:49.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.320$ And I think the one thing I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- $01:11:52.320 \longrightarrow 01:11:56.406$ come away with is there's a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- 01:11:56.406 --> 01:11:59.774 real universe have universality
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- $01:11:59.774 \rightarrow 01:12:03.560$ between doing medicine anywhere,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- $01:12:03.560 \longrightarrow 01:12:09.970$ and I find very much a lack of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- 01:12:09.970 --> 01:12:11.450 disagreement between myself
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81720996875
- $01{:}12{:}11{.}450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}14{.}120$ and the colleagues I work with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9042812106666667
- $01:12:16.600 \rightarrow 01:12:21.176$ Whereas we all sort of agree that certain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9042812106666667
- $01:12:21.176 \rightarrow 01:12:24.639$ science studies are way out of bounds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9042812106666667
- $01:12:24.640 \rightarrow 01:12:29.694$ And the other thing I noticed is that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9042812106666667
- $01{:}12{:}29.694 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}33.359$ mothers of sick infants are the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9042812106666667
- $01:12:33.359 \rightarrow 01:12:35.120$ everywhere they care deeply for.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7141379
- $01{:}12{:}37{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}37{.}840$ And
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841698894
- $01:12:40.520 \longrightarrow 01:12:43.625$ I I look at the women who bring their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841698894
- $01{:}12{:}43.625 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}46.119$ infants in and their willingness to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841698894
- $01:12:46.120 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.280$ let us try to enroll them in studies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841698894
- $01:12:50.280 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.200$ of their children's illnesses.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841698894
- $01:12:54.200 \rightarrow 01:12:59.840$ And I think most of the cultural differences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841698894
- 01:12:59.840 --> 01:13:03.440 just melt away over young infants.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841698894
- $01:13:03.440 \rightarrow 01:13:09.032$ It's probably why I can show you those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841698894
- 01:13:09.032 --> 01:13:12.319 examples of like government leadership,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947479154285714
- $01{:}13{:}14{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}17{.}168$ nursing leadership, child advocates,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947479154285714
- 01:13:17.168 --> 01:13:19.873 all in total agreement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947479154285714
- $01{:}13{:}19.873 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}22.838$ over certain things we do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947479154285714
- $01:13:22.840 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.808$ And it's probably why that list
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947479154285714

01:13:24.808 --> 01:13:26.480 of collaborators was so long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653048154

01:13:29.400 --> 01:13:33.040 Infants or a universal currency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.653048154

01:13:33.040 --> 01:13:36.626 And if I were studying, I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.653048154

01:13:36.626 --> 01:13:39.956 sexually transmitted disease of teenagers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218944444444

01:13:42.120 --> 01:13:45.102 I think it would be a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.92189444444444

 $01:13:45.102 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.998$ more difficult path to follow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959824315

01:13:52.040 --> 01:13:54.600 Thank you. Other questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815241396

 $01{:}13{:}57{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}59{.}232$ they look tired and hungry. Say again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815241396

 $01:13:59.232 \rightarrow 01:14:00.800$ They look tired and hungry. They look NOTE Confidence: 0.751079058

01:14:00.800 --> 01:14:01.920 tired and hungry. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751079058

01:14:01.920 --> 01:14:03.752 Well, we gave them a little some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.751079058

 $01{:}14{:}03.752 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}05.871$ that just wet their appetite both for

NOTE Confidence: 0.751079058

 $01:14:05.871 \longrightarrow 01:14:07.476$ more sandwiches and more knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751079058

 $01:14:07.480 \rightarrow 01:14:09.040$ This was a wonderful presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751079058

01:14:09.040 --> 01:14:10.685 Doctor Schiff, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751079058

01:14:10.685 --> 01:14:12.360 Thank you all for coming. Thanks

- NOTE Confidence: 0.512166913333333
- 01:14:15.640 --> 01:14:16.240 everybody. What's the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.669822918333333
- $01{:}14{:}16{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}17{.}638$ talk about? What's the talk about?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.30211407
- 01:14:19.760 --> 01:14:21.440 All right, we'll see you all in January. Have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.532537425
- $01:14:22.880 \longrightarrow 01:14:23.999$ a good holidays.