WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:59:11" NOTE recognizability:0.880

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654121

 $00:00:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.200$  Good afternoon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00{:}00{:}07.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10.004$  Okay. Next week we have a special

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:00:10.004 --> 00:00:12.200 speaker coming from Drexel University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:00:12.200 --> 00:00:15.464 Adam Bemporado, who is the author of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:00:15.464 --> 00:00:17.672 recent book called A Minor Revolution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00{:}00{:}17.680 \to 00{:}00{:}19.140$  Wonderful book about why we

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00:00:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.600$  should be investing in children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00{:}00{:}20.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.371$  So if you are not sure whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:00:22.371 --> 00:00:23.920 investing in children is a good idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00:00:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.401$  come next week. Further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00:00:26.401 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.754$  if you don't think it's good after today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00{:}00{:}28.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.264$  you're going to change your

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:00:30.264 --> 00:00:31.350 mind because today's talking,

 $00:00:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.310$  among many other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00{:}00{:}32.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.590$  is about investing in children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00{:}00{:}34.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.847$  So it's a double honor for me today to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00:00:38.847 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.190$  introduce today's lecture and lecturer

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00:00:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.863$  and my what a what a pairing we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:00:44.870 --> 00:00:47.468 So today is the Donald Cohen

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:00:47.468 --> 00:00:50.082 Memorial Lecture as we sit here

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:00:50.082 --> 00:00:52.090 in the Donald Cohen Auditorium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93706594666666700:00:52.090 --> 00:00:53.350 many of us. NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:00:53.350 --> 00:00:57.209 Got to know Donald as a great scholar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00:00:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.255$  leader in the in many fields developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

00:01:00.255 --> 00:01:02.194 psychopathology and autism particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00{:}01{:}02.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.190$  relevant today and and also as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00:01:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.370$  incredible mentor to many of us,

$$\begin{split} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.937065946666667 \\ & 00:01:07.370 --> 00:01:08.240 \text{ Linda Mays,} \end{split}$$

NOTE Confidence: 0.937065946666667

 $00:01:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.850$  Andres Martin and our speaker included.

 $00:01:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.270$  So there's the Donald Cohen angle to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:01:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.580$  today's talk and then of course there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:01:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.139$  the Ami Clinton angle to today's talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:01:22.140 --> 00:01:24.540 Many of us know and yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:01:24.540 --> 00:01:28.060 love Ami Klin and have for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:01:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.822$  Ami was here between 1989 and 2010,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:01:32.822 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.321$  so he had a long run here at the Child

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:01:36.321 --> 00:01:39.180 Study Center and then 12 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:01:39.180 --> 00:01:43.506 Alas for us and oh fortune for Atlanta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00{:}01{:}43.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.000$  he was recruited as the founding director

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:01:47.000 --> 00:01:50.114 of the Market Center for Autism, which is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:01:50.114 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.949$  Just an unbelievable creation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00{:}01{:}52.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.918$  you're going to be hearing about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:01:56.920 --> 00:02:00.720 Ami is originally from Brazil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:02:00.720 --> 00:02:03.848 His schooling was both in Brazil than in

 $00:02:03.848 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.038$  Israel and ultimately his PhD in London.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:02:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.095$  When we were reminiscing paying

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:02:11.095 --> 00:02:14.416 his debt for his PhD studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:02:14.416 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.066$  he worked as a delivery boy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:02:18.066 --> 00:02:20.090 a motorcycle through London.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:02:20.090 --> 00:02:22.370 And I think that the back of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:02:22.370 --> 00:02:25.030 thing read anything, anywhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:02:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.090$  anytime, anything to any to anywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00{:}02{:}29.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.044$  And thus a great career was born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:02:32.050 --> 00:02:34.890 When Donald saw this spectacle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:02:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.680$  he said you are not going to endanger that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:02:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.245$  brain of yours with or without a helmet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:02:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.429$  This must stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:02:41.429 --> 00:02:45.235 And Donald brought on me to New Haven and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:02:45.235 --> 00:02:49.050 a great, great, great career was born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:02:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.242$  And I'll.

 $00{:}02{:}51.242 --> 00{:}02{:}53.707$  I'll let Ami tell things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00{:}02{:}53.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.763$  but I want to just add one thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:02:55.770 --> 00:02:58.330 Someone said, and I'm not sure who did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:02:58.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.218$  but someone said that beauty is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:03:01.218 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.330$  the reflection of truth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:03:03.330 --> 00:03:06.050 Bob King, do you know who said that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

00:03:06.050 --> 00:03:08.650 Well, OK, so Bob King doesn't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:03:08.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.370$  so it's unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:03:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.650$  But someone said that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:03:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.650$  Let me repeat that beauty

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:03:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.790$  is the reflection of truth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00:03:15.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.310$  And when I've seen Ami's studies and papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94629164

 $00{:}03{:}19.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.350$  this is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283295

 $00:03:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.910$  His science is beautiful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.628$  So his science is so beautiful.

 $00:03:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.708$  You want to frame it in your living room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.950$  You want to eat it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.542$  It's delicious. It's beautiful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:31.542 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.814$  But it's not just beautiful to the eye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:34.814 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.390$  It engenders deep truths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.790$  deep truths that through all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.674$  incredible titles and positions

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

00:03:41.674 --> 00:03:44.500 and platform that Ami now has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

00:03:44.500 --> 00:03:46.420 He is taking to the city of Atlanta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.040$  to the state of Georgia

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.660$  and indeed to the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.658$  And we are all the more fortunate for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00{:}03{:}51.660 --> 00{:}03{:}53.496$  So Ami via Manila, La Casa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.340$  Welcome back home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917892243333333

 $00:03:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.180$  Love having you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:04:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.590$  Andres. Thank you.

00:04:06.590 --> 00:04:09.350 This is, this is home away from home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.110$  Actually, this is, this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:11.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.945$  this is the home I grew up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:04:13.950 --> 00:04:14.760 certainly intellectually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:04:14.760 --> 00:04:16.785 Thank you for just very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.340$  very generous introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.338$  The fact is that I owe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:04:21.340 --> 00:04:23.500 I owe my my, my intellectual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.739$  my professional career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:24.739 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.806$  my clinical career to everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:26.806 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.871$  that happened between those years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:28.871 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.050$  that you've mentioned and some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.101$  those giants that I was influenced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:33.165 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.258$  are sitting right now in this room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:04:35.260 --> 00:04:36.976 And so thank you, thank you,

00:04:36.980 --> 00:04:38.496 thank you, thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:38.496 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.770$  thank you for everything that you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:40.838 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.136$  done so for me being in this hall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:04:45.140 --> 00:04:48.178 Is Needless to say, is very emotional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.400$  There are some very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.620$  people that influence my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.396$  You mentioned Donald.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:52.396 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.580$  Donald, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}04{:}53.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.884$  I was the only dispatch writer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:04:55.884 --> 00:04:58.763 in London with a PhD and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}04{:}58.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.858$  meeting him at Swiss Cottage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.875$  he went beyond the way that I was dressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:03.875 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.240$  and obviously did thanks to the larger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.271$  So she's hot and you could see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:05:08.271 --> 00:05:09.546 maybe there was some potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:09.546 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.179$  and that I could come here.

 $00:05:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.950$  But he has been present in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.130$  our lives every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.810$  And I cannot thank him for all that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.490$  he's done on my behalf of the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.034$  There are others that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:24.034 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.730$  like to briefly remember.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:05:25.730 --> 00:05:28.928 Dom and Sarah. They adopted me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}05{:}28.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.382$  They held my American wedding in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}05{:}33.382 \to 00{:}05{:}35.244$  their house and they cooked for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.898$  And I miss them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}05{:}36.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.958$  I miss them really badly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}05{:}38.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.228$  And then there are the folks that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:05:41.228 --> 00:05:43.456 I work for a long, long, long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:05:43.456 --> 00:05:45.752 long period of time in the trenches

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:45.752 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.758$  and I need to thank them too.

 $00:05:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.632$  Fred is not here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:49.632 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.036$  but I owe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.945$  I owe tremendous thanks to Fred for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:05:53.945 --> 00:05:57.900 allowing me to share 20 years of his

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:05:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.320$  clinical life and that has been tremendous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.740$  And then Warren Jones

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.160$  somebody that I've been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.680$  Collaborating with for the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.524$  2223 years right here at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:06:11.524 --> 00:06:14.317 CDU 1st and everything that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}06{:}14.317 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}06{:}17.117$  going to present to you today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:06:17.117 --> 00:06:20.398 a cocreation with Warren Chance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.602$  OK Disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:21.602 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.006$  This presentation includes research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:06:24.006 --> 00:06:25.809 related to investigational

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:06:25.809 --> 00:06:27.340 device development.

 $00:06:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.340$  Warren and myself are Invent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.540$  Inventors patent holders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.493$  This has been licensed to this company

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:06:32.493 --> 00:06:33.980 called Early Tech Diagnostics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.700$  which evaluates medical technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.850$  and hopefully provides revenue to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.217$  support treatment of children with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.225$  This external activity is monitored

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:42.225 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.700$  by the Dean at Emory University

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:44.700 \dashrightarrow 00:06:47.458$  School of Medicine and off we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}06{:}47.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.409$  Autism is a huge public health challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}06{:}52.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.002$  You'll know one in 36 is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:55.002 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.689$  common complex near developmental condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:06:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.534$  And I like to to think in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:00.534 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.989$  the fact that 95,000 children will

 $00:07:02.989 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.690$  be born this year who will have autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.906$  It's enormous societal cost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:08.906 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.230$  but most importantly an enormous economic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:12.316 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.006$  burden to the individual families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.241$  The good news is that early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:18.241 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.134$  diagnosis and early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:20.134 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.289$  Intervention and support can optimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:23.289 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.811$  outcomes that are going to make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:26.811 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.630$  difference in this children's lifetimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.070$  And here is the not so good thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}07{:}32.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.738$  Even though we've learned for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:33.738 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.598$  past 20 years and the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:35.598 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.318$  Academy of Pediatrics has recognizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:07:37.318 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.350$  at least from

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:07:38.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.108$  2007, the median age of diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:07:41.108 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.292$  autism in this country is still late around

 $00:07:44.292 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.835$  4:00 to 5:00 and a half, and even later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:07:47.835 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.135$  For low income families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:07:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.570$  for minority families, and to rural

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:07:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.100$  in families from rural communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:07:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.068$  And one of the things contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

00:07:57.068 --> 00:07:59.512 to this challenge is the fact that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:07:59.512 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.659$  don't have a lot of cautions in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:08:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.820$  We don't have a lot of Jamie's in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:08:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.896$  We don't have many extra clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

 $00:08:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.000$  So in the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128749285714

00:08:08.000 --> 00:08:10.500 Oh my God, so good to see you

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.224$  Kyle. What a pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}08{:}15.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.360$  I'm sorry, I just saw you sitting here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.840$  OK, good to see you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.565$  We don't have a sufficient

 $00:08:22.565 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.600$  number of clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.636$  Therefore, out there in the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.608$  families may wait years and years in

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:29.608 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.120$  order to see and to get a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.122$  And you need a diagnosis in most

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:34.122 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.079$  situations in order to access services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.914$  So autism is a public health challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:08:37.920 --> 00:08:40.356 is also a public health opportunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.870$  This is what we've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.839$  in the past 20 years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.680$  Autism is the most strongly genetic of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.039$  complex neuro developmental conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.797$  If I have a child of autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}08{:}50.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.400$  as you all know, my the younger child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.359$  one to two and five will also have autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:08:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.920$  But the problem is of course,

 $00:09:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.195$  that the genetics of autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:03.195 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.560$  is enormously complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.558$  There are hundreds and hundreds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:06.558 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.659$  genetic variants that have already been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.725$  Implicated in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:09:09.725 --> 00:09:12.210 And yet you don't go from genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:12.278 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.018$  to the symptoms of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}09{:}14.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17.814$  And here is the hypothesis is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:17.814 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.798$  genetic liability actually disrupts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:09:19.798 --> 00:09:20.696 And Kyle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}09{:}20.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.492$  you would recognize something

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:22.492 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.248$  that you've contributed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:09:24.248 --> 00:09:26.606 thank you very much for training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.290$  Me too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:09:28.290 --> 00:09:28.923 Genetics,

 $00:09:28.923 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.556$  actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:29.556 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.088$  that liability disrupts normative

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}09{:}32.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.620$  development and autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:34.706 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.030$  becomes really the results of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.246$  disruption of normative development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.874$  And for that I need to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:41.874 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.570$  from the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.601$  And the beginning is that babies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}09{:}45.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.249$  born in the state of utter fragility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:09:49.250 --> 00:09:51.086 If babies were left by themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}09{:}51.086 \to 00{:}09{:}52.004$  they would die.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:52.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.460$  So God or nature made sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.167$  this would not happen and and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:09:57.167 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.537$  babies when they are born anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:09:59.620 --> 00:10:02.086 in their surroundings that is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}10{:}02.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.330$  to sound like give us or smell or

 $00{:}10{:}05.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.240$  move or look or interact like give

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}10{:}08.240 \to 00{:}10{:}11.842$  us is going to command the attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:10:11.842 --> 00:10:15.559 of newborns and and biology such

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:10:15.559 --> 00:10:17.874 that everything happens in past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:10:17.880 --> 00:10:20.536 And so in the same way that babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:20.536 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.538$  are oriented to the caregivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:10:22.538 --> 00:10:24.768 caregivers of course are engaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:24.768 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.240$  with their babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:10:26.240 --> 00:10:29.915 And it's out of this mutually reinforcing

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:29.915 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.200$  choreography that brain moves forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.840$  And that's a very important concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.492$  In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}10{:}35.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.122$  these are the three concepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:10:37.122 --> 00:10:39.345 that I want to make sure these

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:39.345 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.864$  are coonesque set of concepts.

 $00:10:41.864 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.088$  They are Kyle Esque.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:10:44.090 --> 00:10:45.970 Or they are Sally Prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:45.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.610$  I don't know how do you do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:10:47.610 --> 00:10:47.897 Kyle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:10:47.897 --> 00:10:49.906 but all of these are concepts that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:49.906 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.866$  have been near and dear to most

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:51.866 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.770$  of us working with young children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.434$  In this building #1 babies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:56.434 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.210$  born to socially Orient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.894$  Second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:10:58.894 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.314$  what moves brain forward is

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:11:02.314 --> 00:11:04.366 reciprocal social interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:11:04.370 --> 00:11:06.080 Simply placing a child in front

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:11:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.830$  of television is not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:11:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.926$  make the child speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:11:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.282$  You need a somebody on the

 $00:11:11.282 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.320$  other side engaging that baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:11:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.433$  Reciprocal social interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:11:14.433 --> 00:11:16.822 And the third concept, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:11:16.822 --> 00:11:19.077 is the one of neuroplasticity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:11:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.473$  because this is the first two years of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:11:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.345$  is the period of maximal

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:11:25.345 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.637$  neuroplasticity for our lifetimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:11:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.752$  By the time that we celebrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:11:29.752 --> 00:11:31.160 the baby's 1st birthday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00:11:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.920$  that baby's brain has doubled and

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}11{:}33.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.760$  synaptic density has quadrupled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}11{:}35.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38.344$  Really beginning to reposit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

 $00{:}11{:}38.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.928$  Those early experiences that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2193877

00:11:40.928 --> 00:11:42.220 babies have NOTE Confidence: 0.9486397666666667

 $00:11:42.319 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.879$  from the first days and weeks of life.

00:11:45.880 --> 00:11:47.440 So in many different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}11{:}47.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.280$  how state is more than once to date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:11:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.311$  Brain structure and function is the physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:11:53.311 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.840$  instantiation of those early experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:11:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.796$  and we need to remember that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:11:57.800 --> 00:12:01.676 OK, So what about autism then?

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}12{:}01.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.319$  Kasha wrote at least two papers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}12{:}04.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.889$  I remember on parental concerns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486397666666667

00:12:06.890 --> 00:12:08.330 So parents are concerned about their

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.385$  children from very, very early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}12{:}10.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.954$  But it takes years for parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:12.954 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.306$  to access experts who will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:15.306 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.688$  able to diagnose the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486397666666667

 $00:12:17.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.594$  And that diagnosis is typically the

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:12:20.594 --> 00:12:23.160 ticket to early intervention, to early

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.050$  treatment in support of the families,

 $00:12:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.610$  the median age I already mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.650$  But the issue is this is an issue of access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.030$  There are not many child

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.410$  study centers in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.126$  In fact, there are not many

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:34.126 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.740$  specialized centers in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.619$  Remember, 95,000 children are

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}12{:}38.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.617$  born every year who have autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.902$  So this is the work that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}12{:}42.902 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.500$  been trying to sort of to advance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486397666666667

 $00{:}12{:}45.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.740$  We need to go, We don't want to replace,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:12:48.740 --> 00:12:50.093 As for clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:12:50.093 --> 00:12:51.897 people like even myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.844$  but we need to to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:53.844 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.140$  greater access to families,

 $00:12:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.890$  to high quality diagnostic services

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}12{:}56.890 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}12{:}59.340$  so that the children can be treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:12:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.300$  We need to use biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.250$  they need to be objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.420$  and quantitative dimension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.860$  They need to be standardized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.940$  They need to be cost efficient so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.100$  we can scale them and they need to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}13{:}11.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.012$  And we need to be able to capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:13.012 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.776$  the core features of the condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:14.776 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.618$  so that when we are devising

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:16.678 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.658$  treatment plants that we are focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:13:18.658 --> 00:13:20.660 on those things that are pivotal

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.360$  milestones for children who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.340$  going to push forward speech,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:13:24.340 --> 00:13:26.143 language and communication

 $00:13:26.143 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.148$  and cognition and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.198$  So we have Warren and I have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}13{:}31.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.888$  using this technology called eye

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:32.888 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.743$  tracking for quite some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.333$  But the concept that we try to measure is

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:37.333 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.990$  the concept of social visual engagement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.741$  which is basically the way we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:42.741 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.348$  at and learn about the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}13{:}45.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.560$  So imagine social visual engagement

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:13:47.560 --> 00:13:49.328 in babies will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}13{:}49.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52.130$  What they are looking at and learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.650$  about in their immediate social environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:13:55.650 --> 00:13:57.366 and here is just an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:13:57.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.446$  So you see that cross there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}13{:}59.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.940$  That cross is basically a toddler

 $00:14:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.466$  looking at this two toddlers

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00{:}14{:}04.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08.406$  interacting K and you can then measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:08.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.903$  120 times a second moment by moment

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:14:11.903 --> 00:14:14.848 how that toddler is hi waiting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.890$  the perception of that experience that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.110$  is happening right in front of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.790$  So it's a Co creation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.075$  That's why we talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:14:23.075 --> 00:14:23.989 transactional biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:14:23.990 --> 00:14:25.350 because what's being created

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.790$  is not only on the baby side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.788$  it's not only on the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:14:29.790 --> 00:14:32.445 it's actually the mutually reinforcing

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:32.445 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.610$  choreography between the two is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.686$  baby creates an experience that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:37.686 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.510$  what's happening in front of them,

 $00:14:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.678$  a transactional biomarker, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:41.678 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.220 \text{ So}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:14:42.220 --> 00:14:45.139 but one of the big sort of technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:14:45.139 --> 00:14:47.106 problems that we've sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

00:14:47.106 --> 00:14:48.924 encountered is how do you quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:48.924 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.395$  social visual engagement particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:50.395 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.015$  for groups of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:14:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.538$  And so we started this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93220288

 $00:14:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.780$  this is what we want and see if it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:14:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.116$  so this is the battle ground

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:15:01.116 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.336$  of brain development in tops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:12.500 \dashrightarrow 00:15:18.538$  I die for your thoughts. Here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}15{:}18.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.238$  This is how children learn about paying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:22.238 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.452$  attention to people's faces and facial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:24.452 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.300$  expressions and nonverbal gestures.

 $00:15:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.838$  And and communication and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:28.838 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.071$  and and maybe calling somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:15:31.071 --> 00:15:33.376 else in conflict with such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.220$  I mean, you name it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.977$  This is what we want to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:15:35.980 --> 00:15:38.670 How does a child create?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}15{:}38.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.296$  An experience out of the simulator

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:15:40.296 --> 00:15:42.309 that I just put in front of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.828$  So this is what we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.910$  This is a frame of video,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.030$  the 30 frames per second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.870$  And then we basically measure a say a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.936$  2 year old one child looking at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:52.936 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.148$  frame of video at that moment in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.254$  And what you see there is an equation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:15:58.254 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.357$  and that equations basically shows the

 $00:16:00.357 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.625$  amount of visual resources that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:02.688 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.476$  toddler is dedicating to that point

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:04.476 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.166$  of the screen at that moment in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:16:07.166 --> 00:16:09.960 And that equation comes from the mapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.425$  of photoreceptive cells in in primate eye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:16:13.425 --> 00:16:16.964 OK, Now if you have 45 two year olds

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:16.964 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.015$  looking at that frame at that moment in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:19.015 \dashrightarrow 00:16:21.199$  time and they're looking at the same spot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}16{:}21.200 \longrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.020$  what you see is that the colors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.145$  are getting hotter, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}16{:}24.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.905$  And that means that there is a convergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}16{:}26.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.464$  of attention across all these children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}16{:}29.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.840 \ \mathrm{Remember},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.317$  there is a frame of video there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:16:31.320 --> 00:16:33.150 Now let's take a different

 $00:16:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.248$  kind of perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:16:34.250 --> 00:16:36.968 Let's take the bird's eye view of that frame,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.350$  and what you see in front of you now is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:39.418 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.050$  something that we've called a salience map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:16:42.050 --> 00:16:44.210 And what you see is that the hot color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.332$  like the Reds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:45.332 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.576$  means that more children are converging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:16:47.576 --> 00:16:49.798 attention on and the Blues you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}16{:}49.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.810$  see many children paying attention to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:16:51.810 --> 00:16:54.933 And now what you can do is to basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:54.933 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.110$  watch the same video that I showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:16:58.110 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.297$  before through the eyes of 45 two year olds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.692$  And you see that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:02.692 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.620$  changing all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:17:03.620 --> 00:17:05.940 Sometimes it is dispersed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.108$  but sometimes something really

00:17:07.108 --> 00:17:08.860 important happens in middle of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}17{:}08.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.481$  screen or in the side of the screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:10.481 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.139$  and they all going to focus on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.692$  And we were sort of fascinated by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:14.692 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.744$  fact that when we're doing this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}17{:}17.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.616$  with typically developing tod dlers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.330$  they would watch our videos and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.964$  80% of the time they'll be looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}17{:}22.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.717$  at the same spot at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}17{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.825$  And so these are what a wonderful child

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}17{:}28.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.800$  psychologist from Seattle One said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.920$  This is a hotspot of socialization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:17:33.920 --> 00:17:36.111 So Andy Meltzer decided to name it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:17:36.111 --> 00:17:38.346 this way because this is a momentary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:38.346 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.605$  thing that happens in front of the

00:17:40.605 --> 00:17:42.705 child that all children will focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:42.705 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.004$  on because they're all entrained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:17:45.004 --> 00:17:48.114 to that hotspot of socialization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.280$  something they need to learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.436$  In order to understand social interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

00:17:53.440 --> 00:17:55.240 OK, let's take a different perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.916$  Now let's bring in another axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:17:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.836$  And this axis here is the axis of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00{:}18{:}00.840 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.198$  And what do you see are lots of frames,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:18:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.560$  the frames of the videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:18:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.966$  Now let's take that salience map

NOTE Confidence: 0.9100634

 $00:18:06.966 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.570$  and let's carve it

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:08.656 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.565$  all the time. OK, And So what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:18:11.565 --> 00:18:14.004 have now is a space-time distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:14.004 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.724$  and when he gets red, when he gets hot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}18{:}17.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.387$  It means that this is something that

 $00:18:20.387 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.777$  we've called an attentional funnel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.465$  Basically children are looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:18:24.465 --> 00:18:26.790 different spots and that all of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:18:26.790 --> 00:18:27.962 sudden something important happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:27.962 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.136$  and there is a funneling of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:30.136 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.020$  attention of all of these children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:18:32.020 --> 00:18:35.095 And now what you can do is to watch this

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:35.095 \dashrightarrow 00:18:37.615$  video that I showed you through the funnel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:18:37.620 --> 00:18:42.135 It basically that funnel right here is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.028$  is is basically encircling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:44.028 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.860$  What is really important for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.796$  children as they are going through

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}18{:}49.796 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.058$  that particular video now let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:53.058 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.414$  just show you here as you see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:18:57.414 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.930$  Right here, they're not looking at

 $00:18:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.730$  the faces of those two toddlers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.956$  They are looking at something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:03.956 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.330$  is in between those two children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:19:06.330 --> 00:19:07.926 And that's the object that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:07.926 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.724$  are fighting over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}19{:}08.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.676$  Because all of those two year olds

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:10.676 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.872$  were able to grab the gay skew that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:12.872 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.770$  comes from one of the toddlers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:19:14.770 --> 00:19:17.766 and they are focusing on the object

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:17.766 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.050$  of shared attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.970$  And this is happening in

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.804$  intensive milliseconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:22.804 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.723$  OK, so keep that in mind now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.311$  This is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:27.311 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.666$  What we call a normative funnel of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:30.666 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.814$  attention as all of these typically

 $00:19:32.814 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.029$  developing 2 year olds are traversing

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:35.029 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.022$  that particular frame of video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:37.022 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.430$  OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:19:37.430 --> 00:19:41.560 and so now what you see are skin paths of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:41.669 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.325$  two year olds of autism traversing the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:45.325 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.660$  The same frame,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.868$  and this is what the typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}19{:}48.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.580$  developing children are focusing on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.452$  And this is what the children

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:19:52.452 --> 00:19:53.940 with autism are focusing on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:19:53.940 --> 00:19:57.460 So they are exposed to exactly the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:19:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.104$  the same stimuli, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:19:59.104 --> 00:20:00.337 those toddlers playing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.620$  but they Needless to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.400$  they are reconstructing internally

 $00:20:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.180$  a very different experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}20{:}07.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.268$  And what we found out is that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:10.268 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.395$  things happen hundreds and hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:12.395 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.370$  of time within 5 minutes of free

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:15.455 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.735$  viewing of a video like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:17.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.570$  To the extent that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:20:19.570 --> 00:20:21.180 measure that our our kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.156$  the ones with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}20{:}22.156 --> 00{:}20{:}23.620$  the two year olds of autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.435$  were diverging over 570 times from

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}20{:}27.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.860$  the experience of their peers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.974$  Now this was happening in 5 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.680$  They are not only diverging

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:20:33.680 --> 00:20:34.700 during our experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.328$  they are diverging in their lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.810$  And so if you extrapolate,

 $00{:}20{:}38.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.810$  imagine thousands of missed

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.810$  opportunities of social learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.570$  OK, in a few hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:46.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.910$  and really millions in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.250$  first two years of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.924$  So this is our conception of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.474$  If you miss thousands and thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}20{:}54.474 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.170$  and thousands of opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:56.243 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.530$  for social learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:20:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.210$  you will become socially disabled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:21:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.650$  OK, now remember that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}21{:}06.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.914$  These are the experiences that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}21{:}09.914 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.178$  children may be having on in their lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}21{:}13.178 --> 00{:}21{:}15.571$  And if we go by Joe Le Doux's

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:21:15.571 --> 00:21:17.965 sort of subtitle of a great book,

00:21:17.970 --> 00:21:20.287 that our brains become who we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}21{:}20.290 \to 00{:}21{:}23.195$  those early experiences are basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00{:}21{:}23.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.010$  becoming the brains of the children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:21:27.010 --> 00:21:29.537 That's the reason I meant that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:21:29.537 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.829$  brain becomes a physical instantiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:21:31.829 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.664$  fact of those early experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

 $00:21:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.720$  Now this biomarker social visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.922682092307692

00:21:36.720 --> 00:21:38.770 engagement has become very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}21{:}38.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.894$  to us. We needed to show first of all that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:21:40.894 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.108$  he was a biomarker and what we found out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}21{:}43.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.784$  This is work with our good friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:21:45.784 --> 00:21:47.622 John Constantino and just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:21:47.622 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.185$  So we did studies with twins, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:21:51.185 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.600$  And what we found out is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:21:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.237$  something as ephemeral as eye looking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:21:56.240 --> 00:21:59.840 You know, eyes are very important

 $00:21:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.440$  false mechanism of socialization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:22:01.440 --> 00:22:05.199 The eyes are not only the window to the soul,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.680$  they're the window to to the social brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.278$  So much so that the more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.040$  say an animal needs caregiving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.078$  the greater the contrast of the sclera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:22:18.080 --> 00:22:20.240 So, for example, primates have sclera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.720$  humans have most of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.000$  but a rodent like a chinchilla does not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.625$  That's not the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:22:25.625 --> 00:22:26.988 caregiving happens with rodents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:26.988 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.758$  So eyes are very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.160$  So just thinking in terms of eye looking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.464$  when we had twins that were basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:34.464 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.458$  watching videotapes in two separate rooms.

00:22:37.460 --> 00:22:39.050 OK, identical twins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}22{:}39.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.700$  MZ twins and fraternal twins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.360$  DZ twins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.000$  What we found out is that social visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:22:45.076 --> 00:22:47.301 engagement is very strongly influenced

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:47.301 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.005$  by genetic variation with a heritability

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:50.005 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.866$  of over 90% in fact .91 in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:53.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.245$  And so the concordance rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:56.245 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.595$  for identical twins was .91,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:22:58.595 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.728$  for fraternal twins it was only .35,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}23{:}01.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.934$  and for just the age and sex

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:04.934 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.960$  match was only .15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.810$  so under strong genetic influence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:23:09.810 --> 00:23:10.776 And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:10.776 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.157$  those highly heritable assays were also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:14.157 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.090$  assays that were separating the children,

 $00:23:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.394$  the tablets of autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:23:18.394 --> 00:23:19.046 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.275$  the most efficiently from their

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:23:21.275 --> 00:23:23.055 typically developing peers in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}23{:}23.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.544$  one cohort and in another cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:25.544 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.722$  in a replication cohort now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:27.722 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.866$  What to us was remarkable is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:23:31.866 --> 00:23:33.924 that genetic control of social

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}23{:}33.924 \to 00{:}23{:}36.120$  visual engagement was not only on

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:36.185 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.420$  measures that were summary measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.260$  like I looking over a period of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.700$  that a child was actually watching a video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.052$  It was moment by moment to the extent

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}23{:}46.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.259$  that identical identical twins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:23:48.260 --> 00:23:50.306 they were more likely than fraternal

 $00:23:50.306 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.030$  twins to shift their eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.270$  At the same moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.510$  in the same direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.060$  onto the same semantic targets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:23:57.060 --> 00:23:59.830 microscales of 10s of milliseconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:23:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.350$  So we are really talking about two

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.430$  synapses from retina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.894$  So this is the extent to which

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}24{:}05.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.670$  God or nature decided,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:24:07.670 --> 00:24:09.866 going along with Kyle and Donald,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.639$  that we are born social beings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}24{:}12.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.782$  we are the product of our relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:15.782 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.488$  and nothing has shaped really nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:18.488 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.550$  has shaped the primate brain as much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.716$  As sociality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:24:22.716 --> 00:24:28.110 OK, so this is now what Warren did here.

 $00:24:28.110 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.850$  Even though the twins watched those

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.870$  videos in different in different rooms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:24:31.870 --> 00:24:34.095 Warren brought together their eve

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:34.095 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.320$  tracking signal which is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:36.394 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.866$  crosshair from 2 videos onto the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.774$  So this is now fraternal twins

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:41.774 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.226$  watching this video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:24:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.350$  You can see the concordance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}24{:}45.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.250$  Remember they are watching this

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:24:47.250 --> 00:24:48.350 video in different rooms

NOTE Confidence: 0.938306866666667

 $00:24:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.518$  and now. These are identical twins

NOTE Confidence: 0.938306866666667

 $00:24:52.518 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.949$  watching this video in different rooms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.120$  So an agreement of .91.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.999$  Well, how early does that assay begin

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:09.999 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.200$  to segregate children of autism from

00:25:13.200 --> 00:25:15.000 their typically developing peers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.078$  This is now in slow motion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.340$  a typically developing 5 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.600$  old looking at the caregiver

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.468$  falling in love with her eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.326$  And this is a 5 month old who

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}25{:}28.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.148$  was later diagnosed with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:31.150 --> 00:25:34.174 A very different way of looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:34.174 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.259$  at that speaking face, now over 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:39.260 --> 00:25:41.766 Cohorts, we follow children from the age

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}25{:}41.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.498$  of two months to the age of 24 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.650$  Densely sample collecting eye tracking

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.298$  data monthly until six months and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:49.298 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.018$  every three months thereafter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.452$  And what we are able to see is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:53.452 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.925$  that this in blue here is the

00:25:55.925 --> 00:25:58.439 growth chart of eye looking for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}25{:}58.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.377$  typically developing children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:26:00.380 --> 00:26:02.841 You see it goes up, down and up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:26:02.841 --> 00:26:04.803 up, OK and this goes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:04.803 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.767$  two months to 24 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.140$  For the children who are later

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:26:09.140 --> 00:26:11.490 diagnosed with autism over 3 cohorts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}26{:}11.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.709$  they start over here and it's free

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:13.709 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.810$  fall for the first two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.790$  So this is autism unfolding

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.250$  right in front of your eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}26{:}20.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.362$  We have differences in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}26{:}22.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.790$  six months that are predictive of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}26{:}24.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.686$  diagnostic classification as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:26.686 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.586$  as level of disability at the ages

 $00:26:29.586 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.763$  of 24 and 36 months experiences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.060$  the first six months of life. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.040$  Another thing that we found out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.916$  everything that we do with human infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.020$  we do with infant monkeys because

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:26:40.020 --> 00:26:41.870 they are an extraordinary platform

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.800$  for studying the social brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.450$  So with colleagues at the Emory

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:26:45.450 --> 00:26:46.000 Primate Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:26:46.000 --> 00:26:48.238 John Celine by Chevalier and Marsanches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}26{:}48.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.838$  what you see here is basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.186$  I'm looking in both human infants

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:26:53.186 --> 00:26:55.440 from 2 to 24 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.478$  and here you seen black infant monkeys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.440$  And you need to do a transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.720$  You need to divide by 4 because monkeys

00:27:02.720 --> 00:27:04.686 mature much more quickly, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}27{:}04.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.504$  But you see that the pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:06.504 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.840$  of I looking is very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.838$  It serves the same adaptive value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.240$  This assay is highly phylogenetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.920$  conserved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.984$  So that's the reason why we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}27{:}16.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.360$  excited about this biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:27:18.360 --> 00:27:20.600 But could we use it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.750$  To provide greater access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}27{:}22.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.040$  early diagnostic services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.396$  So this is what we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}27{:}25.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.560$  We took some of this lab and gosh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}27{:}27.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.960$  I might remember this lab well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.145$  And then we started building

 $00:27:31.145 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.330$  a prototype of something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:32.372 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.677$  would be more portable somebody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.904$  something that could actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:34.904 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.434$  be deployed in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:27:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.750$  And we ended up with with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}27{:}38.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.767$  prototype and here is what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:27:40.767 --> 00:27:42.039 looks like these days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87144408

 $00:27:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.340$  It's just like the car,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87144408

 $00:27:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.620$  it's just like the cars

NOTE Confidence: 0.80715597

 $00:27:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.499$  hanging in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911177349090909

 $00{:}27{:}56.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.284$  so it's entirely automated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911177349090909

 $00:27:58.284 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.020$  The whole idea is to make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.911177349090909

 $00:28:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.260$  as efficient as possible so a

NOTE Confidence: 0.911177349090909

 $00:28:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.420$  minimally trained technician can

NOTE Confidence: 0.911177349090909

00:28:06.511 --> 00:28:09.299 actually perform this procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911177349090909

 $00:28:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.453$  So this is what the the technician does

 $00:28:12.453 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.340$  while the child is inside watching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944827872727273

 $00:28:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.382$  This calibration is automated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944827872727273

 $00:28:19.382 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.370$  but this is what the child sees. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930190200:28:27.730 --> 00:28:27.810 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:28:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.998$  that's the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:28:32.998 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.278$  He did such a good job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:28:37.278 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.966$  So we built this prototype in

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:28:39.966 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.550$  order to conduct some studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:28:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.604$  and these studies would make use

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:28:45.604 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.640$  of this quantification procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:28:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.345$  Our follows of attention what

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:28:49.345 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.353$  we what we did is that we create

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}28{:}52.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.393$  large bodies of normative data

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:28:54.400 --> 00:28:56.390 for looking behavior and typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:28:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.380$  developing children as they watch

 $00:28:58.442 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.738$  all these things so that we would we

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}29{:}00.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.867$  could create this moment by moment

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:02.867 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.737$  normative benchmark against which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:29:04.737 --> 00:29:06.497 could compare individual children

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}29{:}06.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10.319$  And the the first aim of this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:29:10.319 --> 00:29:12.939 was to derive quantitative indices

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:12.939 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.680$  for the classification of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:29:15.680 --> 00:29:18.488 And so as you see here, the children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}29{:}18.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.208$  each individual child diverges from

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:29:21.208 --> 00:29:24.239 that normative benchmark hundreds of times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}29{:}24.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.160$  hundreds if not thousands of times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.519$  Within the context of this procedure is

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:29:28.519 --> 00:29:30.640 about between 8:00 and 12:00 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.496$  And so we would mind this thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:33.496 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.520$  of divergences, as it were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.520$  in order to create a.

00:29:37.520 --> 00:29:39.160 A diagnostic classifier, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}29{:}39.160 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41.210$  And the diagnostic classifier is

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:29:41.210 --> 00:29:43.799 always going to be the reference standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.480$  the gold standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}29{:}45.480 \to 00{:}29{:}48.248$  So expert clinicians conducting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:29:48.248 --> 00:29:50.360 diagnosis independently of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.480$  from this experimental procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.239$  But we wanted to do a little more as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.068$  because for those of us who do

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:29:57.068 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.453$  those diagnostic evaluations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}29{:}58.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.318$  it's not only the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.988$  Autism is a huge spectrum is

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}30{:}02.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.203$  very critical for us to have at

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:05.203 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.595$  least three additional measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.252$  One is the level of autism or

 $00:30:08.252 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.827$  the level of social disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:30:09.827 --> 00:30:11.837 typically measure with the Ados,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.040$  the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.399$  as well as the level of verbal

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:16.399 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.835$  learning and nonverbal learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}30{:}17.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.327$  and that of course coming from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:20.327 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.319$  Mullen Early Scales of learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:22.320 \dashrightarrow 00:30:25.524$  We wanted to be able to derive that too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.210$  So we conducted 3 studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.530$  The first one packaged in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:29.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.446$  one we call the feasibility trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.825$  had a discovery sample and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:34.825 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.250$  a replication sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.486$  And then there was a pivotal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.870$  a national pivotal trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.369$  The goal we focus on 16 to

 $00{:}30{:}41.369 --> 00{:}30{:}42.777 \ 30 \ month \ old \ children,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:42.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.780$  primarily because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.220$  the the federal mandate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.660$  the Part C services,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.030$  the early division services that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.971$  most of the children don't access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:51.971 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.537$  because they don't get a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.380$  So we prioritize the age of 16 to 30 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:30:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.948$  We wanted to know if this

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}30{:}59.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.660$  would accurately assess autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}31{:}01.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.294$  Proxy in fact the diagnostic process

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}31{:}04.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.506$  executed by an expert clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}31{:}06.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09.530$  and we wanted to see the extent to

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.049$  which we could create those those

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:31:12.049 --> 00:31:14.394 measures of severity proxying anaidas

 $00:31:14.394 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.378$  and the two measures of the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.144$  The the discovery sample was over

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:22.144 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.444$  700 children primarily at Marcus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:25.444 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.574$  And the replication sample was

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.373$  370 toddlers and one was at Wash

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:31:31.373 --> 00:31:34.420 U with my friend John Constantino

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.905$  and the other part of it was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:31:37.905 --> 00:31:39.630 a community Health Center about

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:39.702 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.842$  50 miles north of of Atlanta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:41.842 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.730$  We wanted to we want to see does this

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}31{:}44.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.859$  work in the real world K not in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.108$  And then the second study was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00{:}31{:}51.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53.131$  pivotal trial national clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

 $00:31:53.131 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.944$  trial with 335 toddlers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74405676

00:31:54.944 --> 00:31:57.478 And we conducted that as Seattle Children's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:31:57.480 --> 00:32:00.051 Cincinnati Children's, UCSF,

00:32:00.051 --> 00:32:03.845 Rush Phoenix and Emery Ki.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:03.845 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.035$  Know this is a little small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.840$  but this is from the visibility study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.040$  This is the discovery data

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.800$  and the replication data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.668$  the clinical characterization sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:14.668 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.256$  And what you see here is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:18.256 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.720$  kids were around 21 to 24 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:20.720 \dashrightarrow 00:32:23.260$  They represented the full spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}32{:}23.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25.348$  Of social disability through the Atos

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:25.348 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.888$  and the full spectrum of verbal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:27.888 \dashrightarrow 00:32:29.693$  nonverbal ability using the Mullin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.100$  So some children were severely delayed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.279$  but some children are actually precocious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:34.279 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.793$  And this is the clinical characterization

00:32:36.793 --> 00:32:39.481 sample for the national trial And

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:39.481 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.986$  you see here the classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:41.986 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.700$  was autism versus not autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.776$  It was not autism versus typical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.136$  OK, so of the 185 toddlers

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:51.136 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.040$  with non autism diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.010$  87.6% had other non autistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:32:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.198$  developmental delays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:32:58.200 --> 00:33:01.320 only 13 kids had no diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.746$  And of the \$150.00 of Voltas and 42.7%

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}33{:}05.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.076$  did not have developmental delays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.952$  OK, so we had the full spectrum on

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}33{:}11.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.920$  both sides and here are the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.210$  Ignore the discovery study because

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.279$  this is where we develop our mode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.020$  This is where develop our.

 $00:33:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.700$  Our mathematical model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.500$  but in the replication sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.820$  the diagnostic classifier was able,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.850$  we're able to achieve over

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.436 \ 80\%$  of sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857 00:33:32.436 --> 00:33:33.024 specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:33.024 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.964$  positive predictive value and negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}33{:}35.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39.004$  predictive value and and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}33{:}39.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.008$  numbers were fairly replicated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:42.008 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.930$  national in the national clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.446$  What about our indices of severity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.738$  Remember that the ADAS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}33{:}49.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.050$  the higher the number on the ADAS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:33:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.619$  the more socially disabled you are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:33:54.619 --> 00:33:57.370 it's different in our eye tracking metric.

 $00:33:57.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.128$  That's why you have this direction here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:34:00.130 --> 00:34:01.430 But on the replication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:01.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.405$  say for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.828$  we're able to capture 72% of the variance

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:05.828 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.997$  of ADA scores in that 8 to 12 minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}34{:}09.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.910$  procedure and in the national clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.270$  About 74% of that variance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:34:17.270 --> 00:34:17.982 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:17.982 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.830$  so you remember takes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:34:20.830 --> 00:34:22.870 it takes a well trained person to do aid us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}34{:}22.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.590$  a well trained person to do the Mullen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.670$  It takes a long time to complete that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:34:26.670 --> 00:34:28.380 What about the verbal ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.748$  on the replication sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.502$  we're able to capture 58% of the variance

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:34.502 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.650$  and 65% of the variance of verbal

 $00:34:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.150$  ability and for nonverbal ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.412$  About 64% of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:44.412 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.079$  So we are very happy with those results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.240$  But we needed to make sure particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:34:50.240 --> 00:34:52.544 if you're dealing with FDA that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:52.544 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.560$  need to conduct studies that are called

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:34:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.168$  repeatability and reproducibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:34:56.168 --> 00:34:59.384 So you need to quantify measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:34:59.384 --> 00:35:00.640 precision, measurement error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}35{:}00.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.160$  So you need to put children through

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:35:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.537$  this ordeal which is the same child

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}35{:}05.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.894$  needs to complete the the procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}35{:}07.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.642$  three times on the same device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:35:10.650 --> 00:35:13.289 And then three times again across devices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:35:13.290 --> 00:35:14.138 OK, measure,

00:35:14.138 --> 00:35:16.715 these are the infamous RNR studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:35:16.715 --> 00:35:18.890 but the data are good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:35:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.306$  So that we could see that repeatability

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:35:22.306 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.270$  and representability variance was

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:35:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.377$  accounting for very little of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:35:26.377 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.226$  what we've got and most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:35:29.226 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.856$  variance really was due to between

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:35:31.856 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.262$  subject participant variance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:35:34.262 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.489$  So we are very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00:35:36.489 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.728$  very happy with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

 $00{:}35{:}37.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.078$  This low measurement error

NOTE Confidence: 0.876166207142857

00:35:39.078 --> 00:35:41.100 because it gives us more precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:35:41.161 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.101$  what we do. And if I combine all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:35:44.101 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.530$  studies together this is this is the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:35:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.610$  These are the sensitivity, specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

00:35:49.610 --> 00:35:52.310 PPV&PV and accuracy of the device

 $00:35:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.386$  right now and and here are the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:35:56.386 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.402$  amount of variance that they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

00:35:58.402 --> 00:36:00.130 capturing of social disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.524$  The aid us verbal ability to Mullen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.204$  nonverbal ability from the Mullen as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

00:36:05.210 --> 00:36:08.486 So how can I summarize this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.106$  Happy that we got high sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:11.106 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.324$  and specificity when comparing those

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:13.324 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.280$  eye tracking assays with expert

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.484$  clinician diagnosis with sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

00:36:21.484 --> 00:36:24.432 and specificity and PPV&NP V / 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:24.432 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.080$  And very happy that they are also capturing

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00{:}36{:}27.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.326$  large variances of those indices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.022$  Those reference standard indices

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:32.022 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.570$  of severity and the goal here is

 $00:36:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.770$  never to replace the clinician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.122$  The goal here is to free the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00{:}36{:}40.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.414$  clinician to spend more time with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:42.414 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.670$  parents so that we can do what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.170$  diagnostic process is supposed to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00{:}36{:}47.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.843$  Is only supposed to be a window to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.379$  So we need to make sure that clinicians have

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:52.379 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.966$  more time to spend time counseling a family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.209$  supporting the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:56.209 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.448$  and most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.784$  doing the care coordination so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:36:59.784 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.900$  we can translate diagnostic results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.790$  Into actual treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.200$  So the good news about this procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:08.305 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.780$  is that this was done under FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.764$  sort of rules for past 6-7 years

 $00:37:15.764 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.208$  and they cleared this device as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:19.208 \dashrightarrow 00:37:22.538$  clinical tool since June of last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.825$  So this is now a clinical tool which is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:26.825 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.270$  very, very exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.342$  The idea is to support a public

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:31.342 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.421$  health system that does not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:33.421 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.546$  enough extra clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00{:}37{:}34.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.558$  The idea is to deploy tools that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:37.558 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.230$  cost effective and will increase access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.170$  particularly for those families

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.625$  that are marginalized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

00:37:43.630 --> 00:37:45.982 particularly low income minority

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00{:}37{:}45.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.746$  and rural families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846 00:37:47.750 --> 00:37:48.225 Autism. NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

00:37:48.225 --> 00:37:52.025 Well, it's it's the same in most healthcare,

 $00:37:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.590$  but in autism in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:53.590 \dashrightarrow 00:37:57.130$  the health care disparities are stark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:37:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.762$  If you are a black child

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

00:37:58.762 --> 00:38:00.410 with autism in this country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.720$  you are at double the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.373$  intellectual disability than a white

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:04.373 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.167$  child with autism in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.084$  And that is so not because, believe me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:09.084 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.430$  we have a whole grant with our our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

00:38:12.430 --> 00:38:14.476 our colleagues at UCLA and Wash

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00{:}38{:}14.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.524$  U and what not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.078$  It's not the genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:17.078 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.400$  it's really the access is those

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:19.475 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.223$  early experiences that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:21.223 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.845$  families are being the pride of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00{:}38{:}23.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25.915$  So we need to solve this problem.

 $00:38:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.980$  And with early identification

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00{:}38{:}27.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.555$  leading to effective early treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00{:}38{:}30.560 \longrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32.800$  we can change lifetime outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.173$  So the good news also is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:35.173 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.742$  this was the size of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:37.742 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.797$  is half a fridge okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.930$  This was not something that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

00:38:41.930 --> 00:38:43.680 be deployed, you know, very broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944898246153846

 $00:38:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.520$  So this is what it looks now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:38:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.200$  Oops. So this is what it looks now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:38:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.279$  So we were able to miniaturize that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:38:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.877$  So that's now in a tablet version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}38{:}55.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00.308$  So there is a built in eye tracker and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:00.308 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.290$  that tablet can be deployed anywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.780$  there is Internet connectivity because

 $00:39:05.853 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.241$  the technician can sit anywhere and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:08.241 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.118$  that can actually be shipped by a UPS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.992$  So very happy with the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:12.992 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.240$  that things are going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:39:14.240 --> 00:39:16.880 Now we're very interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.232$  in in tailoring those

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:18.232 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.922$  devices to context of use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:39:19.930 --> 00:39:23.115 So for those of you who sort of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:39:23.115 --> 00:39:25.185 know like boring stuff like positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:39:25.185 --> 00:39:26.747 predictive value and negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}39{:}26.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.858$  predictive value and Needless to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:28.858 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.610$  the moment that you sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:39:30.677 --> 00:39:32.809 maximize negative predictive value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.750$  you sort of minimize positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.690$  predictive value and vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.210$  And this is basically where our device is,

 $00:39:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.956$  is right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:40.956 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.448$  So for the context of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.726$  clinical context of use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:45.726 \dashrightarrow 00:39:48.090$  just like the clinical trial that we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}39{:}48.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.090$  these are all specialized clinics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.150$  For that then we have that, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.050$  sensitivity and specificity are both

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}39{:}56.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.456$  optimized and what the results is

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:39:58.456 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.330$  that we can actually make statements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.234$  very precise statements of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}40{:}03.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.614$  probabilistic statements of a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:40:05.614 --> 00:40:08.089 having autism or not having autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605 00:40:08.090 --> 00:40:09.970 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}40{:}09.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.884$  One thing that was a serendipitous

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:11.884 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.108$  kind of a thing we didn't expect

 $00:40:14.108 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.589$  that to happen is that in the sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}40{:}16.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.731$  for the national clinical trial what

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:40:18.731 --> 00:40:22.090 we found out is that if you go by

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}40{:}22.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.610$  clinician reference standard diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.362$  we found that they were both ethnic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:27.362 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.770$  and racial bias as you can see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.058$  when we went by the by the device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:40:35.060 --> 00:40:36.236 Diagnostic classifier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:36.236 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.764$  Both that racial and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:39.764 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.580$  and ethnicity bias disappeared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.100$  We don't know what that is due to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.572$  but this is something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:47.572 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.207$  certainly are going to to to focus on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:40:50.207 --> 00:40:51.950 But let me say something about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:52.005 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.266$  possibility of using this device in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:40:54.266 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.140$  context of population based screening.

 $00:40:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.625$  There pediatricians tell us all

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}40{:}57.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.942$  the time they don't want to tell a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:40:59.942 --> 00:41:01.496 family that your child has autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.418$  they want to tell a family your

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:03.418 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.020$  child does not have autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.351$  They that's what they want to say and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:07.351 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.739$  you maximize negative predictive value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.284$  So where we to use this device in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:13.284 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.418$  context of population based screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.660$  we might be able to make those kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:41:17.660 --> 00:41:20.166 of of of statements of probability

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:20.166 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.696$  including to be able to get to not

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:22.696 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.781$  over 99% of probability reassuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:41:25.781 --> 00:41:28.028 the pediatrician you can say to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:28.028 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.172$  family your child does not have autism

 $00:41:30.172 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.060$  basically come out of the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.084$  Let's focus on those who need our attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605 00:41:35.090 --> 00:41:35.544 OK, NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:35.544 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.176$  very quickly now we we have an NIH

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:39.176 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.549$  grant now that basically downwards

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:42.549 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.761$  extends the utilization of this device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}41{:}46.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50.872$  We are pairing with our college pediatricians

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:41:50.872 --> 00:41:53.866 pediatric practices at nine month old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00{:}41{:}53.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56.590$  nine month well well baby checkups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:41:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.641$  And so the baby goes and finishes

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:41:58.641 --> 00:42:00.428 the nine month well baby check

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:42:00.428 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.395$  up and then steps into our van

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:42:02.458 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.230$  and completes this procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

 $00:42:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.334$  We are now using it truly as

NOTE Confidence: 0.91446605

00:42:08.334 --> 00:42:10.470 a quantitative population

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.788$  based screening device.

 $00:42:13.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.946$  I want to say one final thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:15.946 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.248$  about something that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:42:17.248 --> 00:42:19.144 learned here which is you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.450$  Sally Province is to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.330$  Go and spend one year in that daycare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.830$  the hospital daycare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.270$  sit in the corner and do nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:42:31.270 --> 00:42:33.210 Observe, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.806$  So this is what we've been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}42{:}34.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38.500$  This is McDonald and Jim and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.540$  and all of you have taught me is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.964$  You want to be a walking

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}42{:}44.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.580$  laboratory of social engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.410$  You want to be somebody who

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.065$  can actually sense how much

 $00:42:50.065 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.895$  children are getting from this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.860$  But can we quantify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.300$  Donald is the one who said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:42:54.300 --> 00:42:56.058 I mean, this whole business of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:42:56.060 --> 00:42:57.458 you know, you're a good clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.452$  but can you elevate it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:42:59.452 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.700$  the plane of science?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.108$  And Donald is the one who's sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:43:03.108 --> 00:43:04.900 prompting us to do this kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:04.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.210$  So here is what life is like, K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:43:09.210 --> 00:43:11.850 Now this is what typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}43{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.490$  developing toddlers are looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.009$  This is what a child devotism is looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:43:18.010 --> 00:43:19.410 Let's look now at pointing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.094$  social monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:20.094 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.804$  joint detention being so important.

00:43:21.810 --> 00:43:24.239 OK, so this is what the typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}43{:}24.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.010$  developing children are looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.882$  And this is what one single

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:27.882 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.370$  child devotism is looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:43:29.370 --> 00:43:31.722 And here is now facial affect and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:31.722 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.006$  you see where most children are

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:34.006 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.220$  looking at and you see what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.630$  child devotism is looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:37.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.550$  And here is another one facial effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.839$  Can you see and this is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}43{:}43.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45.871$  the child devotism is looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:43:45.871 --> 00:43:47.833 and now we can basically there

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:47.833 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.865$  are hundreds and hundreds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}43{:}49.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.910$  those examples in our videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.898$  And So what we're trying to do now is

00:43:54.898 --> 00:43:58.306 to derive indices that are skill based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:43:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.355$  something that we can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:00.355 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.750$  give to the interventions and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.165$  well this is where the child is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.488$  In terms of processing social affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.474$  or facial gestures or or or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:44:11.474 --> 00:44:14.048 joint attention or or anything of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.288$  So one of the things that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:44:16.288 --> 00:44:18.680 trying to do now is to derive this

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:44:18.749 --> 00:44:21.074 quantitative indices of skill based

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:21.074 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.399$  milestones for treatment because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}44{:}23.471 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.911$  mean you guys know that one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:25.911 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.264$  things that people who work if a BA

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}44{:}28.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30.909$  and what not in early intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.734$  And treatment is that they spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:32.734 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.095$  a lot of time collecting a lot

 $00:44:35.095 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.237$  of data that is not standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}44{:}37.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}39.587$  that drives payers crazy and takes

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:44:39.587 --> 00:44:41.507 hours upon hours upon hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

00:44:41.510 --> 00:44:43.376 And so they need to document

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:43.376 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.350$  progress or not of the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.976$  This is time that they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:46.976 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.789$  not providing services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00:44:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.707$  So that's what we're trying to do as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96708925

 $00{:}44{:}49.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51.426$  We are trying to basically advise

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

00:44:53.550 --> 00:44:55.068 develop those quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

00:44:55.068 --> 00:45:00.090 indices of those important skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.330$  You know, what I've learned in the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.618$  20-30 years in fact working in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:06.618 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.650$  field is that autism is not a disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.010$  Autism is not a disease.

 $00:45:11.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.409$  Autism is a trait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00{:}45{:}12.410 --> 00{:}45{:}14.770$  Autism is a genetic trait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.250$  Autism is something that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.490$  or may not lead to disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.586$  And whether it does so depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:21.586 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.130$  very much on us if we want to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.486$  where those 95,000 babies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:27.486 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.180$  born every year who have autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00{:}45{:}30.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.979$  Where are they going to end up?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.690$  One of the largest treatment programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00{:}45{:}33.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36.373$  that we have at our center is treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:36.373 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.853$  for severe behavior challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.300$  Is a self injury, is a lovement, is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.900$  Is, Is aggression is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

00:45:41.900 --> 00:45:42.940 I mean you name it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00{:}45{:}42.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.828$  K We would like to put that program out

00:45:46.828 --> 00:45:48.830 of business because what I've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

00:45:48.830 --> 00:45:51.340 is that the greatest burdens of autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:51.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.780$  the intellectual disability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.220$  the languages ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.047$  and the severe behavior challenges are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:56.047 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.289$  part of the definition of this condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:45:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.490$  They are not inevitable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:46:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.690$  They are the results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:46:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.298$  particularly for some children

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:46:04.298 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.710$  whose access to any supports come

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:46:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.730$  only during their school years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:46:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.670$  So that's what we want and ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00{:}46{:}11.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.260$  we would like to change the narrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:46:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.274$  of this condition from one of disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:46:17.274 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.470$  to one of possibility and promise.

 $00:46:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.258$  These are all the different foundations

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

00:46:23.258 --> 00:46:25.188 that have been supporting over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

00:46:25.190 --> 00:46:27.584 the wonderful people who have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

 $00:46:27.584 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.910$  working in all those projects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958703166666667

00:46:29.910 --> 00:46:30.710 Doctor Martin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $00:46:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.532$  I assume that if people would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

00:46:44.532 --> 00:46:46.620 ask questions, I'm here. If we have you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:46:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.570$  assume correctly and if you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:46:48.570 --> 00:46:50.324 on Zoom, please let us know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:46:50.324 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.980$  And if you're in the crowd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:46:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.556$  hold on a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:46:53.556 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.379$  Doctor Crowley is got it started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:47:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.252$  Doctor Clan brings back memories

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:47:05.252 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.260$  and it was a great pleasure to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:47:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.695$  you head out of the park again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:47:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.299$  So I'm going to save some of

 $00:47:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.740$  my questions for later today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:47:13.740 --> 00:47:14.980 But my specific question

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:47:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.300$  is the Ados is a gold

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025933333333

 $00:47:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.018$  standard for assessment of autism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025933333333

 $00:47:20.018 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.500$  you're presenting these assessments to

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025933333333

 $00{:}47{:}22.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.460$  predict to that and I think you said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025933333333

 $00:47:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.705$  68% of the variance was accounted

NOTE Confidence: 0.957025933333333

00:47:26.705 --> 00:47:28.256 for and was that right and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:47:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.460$  I was thinking little over

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608066666667

 $00:47:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.162$  that, little over that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9436080666666667

 $00{:}47{:}30.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31.218$  what was it again, what was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:47:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.060$  747474? But what I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509459625

 $00{:}47{:}34.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36.478$  thinking was what if the autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509459625

 $00:47:36.478 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.105$  diagnosis is actually or that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509459625

 $00:47:39.105 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.390$  measure is actually imperfect and

 $00:47:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.030$  your measure actually accounts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509459625

 $00{:}47{:}43.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}45.086$  for variability in impairment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509459625

 $00:47:45.086 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.270$  functioning and long term things And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509459625

00:47:46.270 --> 00:47:47.657 do you have any data to speak to that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:47:48.460 --> 00:47:52.270 So Michael, I will have you speak of the FDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:47:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.670$  Whenever you are developing any

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:47:54.670 --> 00:47:57.136 medical device, any medical procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:47:57.136 --> 00:48:00.148 but certainly a medical device procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}48{:}00.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.349$  you need to emulate a reference standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.786$  You cannot be better than your reference

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}48{:}05.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.870$  standard because you're going to be wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:48:07.870 --> 00:48:09.690 You understand what I mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:48:09.690 --> 00:48:12.392 So for A, it doesn't matter if

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:48:12.392 --> 00:48:14.890 you're working in oncology or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.410$  in diabetes or in in cardiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.930$  if you are trying to develop a new procedure,

 $00:48:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.032$  you need to match the reference standard and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:23.032 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.710$  in this case the AIDOS is gold standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.285$  One thing that we never,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.595$  we never discusses the fact that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:29.595 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.450$  AIDOS was never approved by the FDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:48:31.450 --> 00:48:33.400 You understand that the sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.350$  and specificity of the AIDOS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.660$  Was actually calculated by by

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.970$  basically looking at the concordance

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}48{:}40.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.348$  between the clinician's diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.541$  and the ADAS when the clinician used

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:44.541 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.788$  the ADAS to make the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}48{:}46.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.678$  So I know it's a that's one thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:48.678 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.307$  that's that you need to consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:48:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.526$  And the second thing is that the ADAS

00:48:53.526 --> 00:48:57.470 is not perfect and so there is error

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}48{:}57.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.336$  measurement actually that it's quite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:48:59.336 --> 00:49:02.224 it's quite a bit and yet FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:02.224 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.898$  disregards this entirely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.620$  ADOS is the gold standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.416$  You better get close to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.748$  The the funny part and Kasha would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:49:10.748 --> 00:49:13.394 able to speak that to that more eloquently

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:13.394 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.460$  than I in our national clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.800$  You understand that 29.5%

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.900$  of all of our sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:22.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.777$  Remember this was a very complicated sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}49{:}25.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}28.538$  These are not kids with without diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:49:28.540 --> 00:49:30.700 They have autism or non autistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.570$  developmental delays of all kinds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.238 \ 29.5\%$  of the cases.

00:49:34.238 --> 00:49:35.970 The clinicians from UCSF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:49:35.970 --> 00:49:37.410 from Seattle Children's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:49:37.410 --> 00:49:38.872 Cincinnati Children's, Rush,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:49:38.872 --> 00:49:42.888 Emory and and and and And Phoenix had

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}49{:}42.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.570$  suboptimal confidence in their diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.244$  These are 16 to 30 month oldest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:49:48.250 --> 00:49:50.876 FDA says OK, no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}49{:}50.876 --> 00{:}49{:}53.410$  it should be 100% you should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:49:53.410 --> 00:49:56.050 You basically they assume the truth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:56.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.045$  but the ground truth in our field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.940$  I mean this is a behaviorally

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:49:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.998$  defined condition, so you have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:50:01.998 --> 00:50:03.426 Deal with those imperfections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:50:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.830$  But absolutely I my heart is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:50:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.430$  goes of your statements,

00:50:07.430 --> 00:50:10.870 but if you want to get it cleared by FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:50:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.710$  they wouldn't listen to what you just said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:50:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.630$  Adam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72090999

 $00:50:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.390$  Hey Yami, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452854

 $00:50:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.630$  was amazing as always.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452854

 $00:50:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.394$  A lot of questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452854

 $00:50:24.394 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.349$  but I'll stick with one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452854

 $00:50:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.390$  like very concrete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452854

 $00{:}50{:}27.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.006$  Can you talk a little bit about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452854

00:50:30.006 --> 00:50:31.668 curation process for the videos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452854

 $00{:}50{:}31.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.270$  for the standardized test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854872173333333

00:50:34.270 --> 00:50:36.790 the video stimuli? Yeah, But how

NOTE Confidence: 0.944278902

 $00:50:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.270$  you selected which ones and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944278902

 $00:50:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.750$  what you were looking for?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:50:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.030$  Well, we had several generations of videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:50:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.050$  I remembered that,

 $00:50:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.928$  sort of the one that we used in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00{:}50{:}48.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.038$  all of our experimental studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

00:50:51.040 --> 00:50:53.208 The behavior, genetic studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:50:53.208 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.834$  the early developments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00{:}50{:}54.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.916$  they they were either caregivers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:50:56.916 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.626$  we remember sort of a lot of actresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:50:59.626 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.516$  coming to the child study center

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.614$  sometimes from Calvin Hill that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:03.614 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.240$  come here and they record our videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.560$  The toddlers came from a preschool actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

00:51:11.560 --> 00:51:14.486 an after school program at Guilford Guilford,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

00:51:14.486 --> 00:51:15.538 Guilford Green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:15.538 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.220$  You probably remember that family as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.592$  They came from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:20.592 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.650$  but subsequently we became to enrich

 $00:51:22.718 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.873$  those particularly in terms of Guilford

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00{:}51{:}25.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.175$  is not very diverse and so we needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

00:51:28.175 --> 00:51:29.750 a little more of diversity in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:29.803 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.417$  videos and things of that nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.129$  But the funny part and if this is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:34.129 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.774$  you're driving at is that we have a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.699$  very large program in our center focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

00:51:39.699 --> 00:51:42.419 entirely on the Latino population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00{:}51{:}42.420 {\: \text{--}}{\:>} 00{:}51{:}44.370$  particularly non non-english

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.970$  speaking children and families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00{:}51{:}46.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.175$  And we also have large grants focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:49.175 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.730$  entirely on African Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.450$  I remember Atlanta is 40%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.562$  forty, 7% African American.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:54.562 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.690$  So we we have the only NIH genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:51:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.610$  grant genetics grants focused

 $00{:}52{:}00.610 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.530$  entirely on African Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00{:}52{:}02.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.390$  And what we're finding out is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:52:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.370$  number one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:52:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.310$  those essays are not biased by

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:52:09.408 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.849$  either language or race, ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:52:11.849 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.180$  And I think the idea is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

00:52:14.251 --> 00:52:16.676 probably we're tapping into assays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:52:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.486$  That the language that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00{:}52{:}18.486 \rightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.360$ stimuli are not very important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:52:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.715$  that are things that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:52:21.715 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.799$  a little more universal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:52:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.852$  but that's absolutely something

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00{:}52{:}23.852 \rightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.600$  that we're going to be working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94528524

 $00:52:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.360$  Were there also like certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253726666667

 $00:52:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.604$  kinds of sorry, were there also like

 $00:52:31.604 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.059$  specific kinds of social interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253726666667

00:52:33.059 --> 00:52:36.480 that you're hoping to capture?

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:52:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.160$  To be truthful, no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:52:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.196$  We started by basically filming children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:52:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.772$  Well, we have caregivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

00:52:44.772 --> 00:52:46.344 Directed infant directed speech,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:52:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.300$  we have a whole program of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:52:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.116$  research focused on live.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00{:}52{:}50.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.448$  Live tracking for example

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:52:52.448 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.780$  is bidirectional tracking is

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00{:}52{:}54.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58.028$  caregiver in fant interaction live.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

00:52:58.030 --> 00:52:59.986 And so that's live of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:52:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.888$  but in terms of the videotapes that we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:53:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.740$  They were basically trying to get as

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

00:53:06.740 --> 00:53:11.134 varied as varied as sampling of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:53:11.134 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.289$  to ddler interactions as we could.

 $00:53:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.615$  It has to be developmentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

00:53:14.615 --> 00:53:15.410 appropriate of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

00:53:15.410 --> 00:53:17.774 But what I remember one thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00{:}53{:}17.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.027$  that I think Kyle once said we

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

00:53:20.027 --> 00:53:21.546 were sort of being trained on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:53:21.546 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.088$  on the Gazelle schedules and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:53:23.088 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.970$  was like gosh this looks so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:53:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.824$  you know that how interactive the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00{:}53{:}26.824 \rightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.597$ psychologist he looks so much like

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00{:}53{:}28.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30.517$  this test and that test and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:53:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.170$  you said something the effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

00:53:32.170 --> 00:53:33.355 Yeah, come on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:53:33.355 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.725$  Toddlers don't do that much right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

00:53:35.730 --> 00:53:37.130 So you're going to have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222

 $00:53:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.530$  of commonalities.

 $00:53:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.170$  We try to grab as much as possible with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

00:53:41.290 --> 00:53:42.115 Doctor Pruitt thinks

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:53:42.115 --> 00:53:43.765 toddlers do as much or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805072

00:53:46.690 --> 00:53:48.369 toddlers. They're the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805072

 $00:53:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.130$  It's the last time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:53:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.965$  The mind and body are good friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:53:51.970 --> 00:53:54.898 That's why we love them so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:53:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.418$  After that, the mind takes over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:53:56.418 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.700$  and it's downhill forever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914765035384616

 $00:53:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.583$  And we cannot free ourselves from our

NOTE Confidence: 0.914765035384616

 $00{:}54{:}00.583 \to 00{:}54{:}02.500$  mind the rest of our lives. Kyle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75139549

 $00:54:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.978$  I ami you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402535

00:54:07.220 --> 00:54:08.492 I've been I've been wondering

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402535

 $00:54:08.492 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.260$  for years how we let you go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:54:10.260 --> 00:54:11.856 Now I realize why you had to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.378$  so that you could do this.

00:54:13.380 --> 00:54:16.005 And this is such an amazing gift

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:16.005 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.830$  to clinicians particularly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.570$  The burden that you lift from

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:54:20.570 --> 00:54:22.603 Pediatrics to say so many parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:22.603 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.227$  worried about their children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.049$  there's something off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:25.049 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.683$  What is it? Are they autistic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:26.683 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.692$  To be able to say no liberates

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:54:28.692 --> 00:54:30.984 both the physician and the family

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}54{:}30.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.634$  and lets them get on their path

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:32.634 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.889$  so the pediatrician can pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:33.889 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.186$  attention to the ones that need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:54:35.190 --> 00:54:37.270 It's it's an amazing gift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}54{:}37.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39.776$  I'm struck by one of the small little

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:54:39.776 --> 00:54:42.110 quotes in your side that said you

 $00:54:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.537$  know that these these children create

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}54{:}44.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}47.618$  their own experience and to the parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:54:47.618 --> 00:54:50.530 They're not part of it and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.990$  a very bitter pill to swallow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.870$  to treat it as a trait and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:54:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.907$  say we can help you with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}54{:}56.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.102$  let's get started and let's get started

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:55:00.102 --> 00:55:03.430 together is such an immensely repairing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}55{:}03.430 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}55{:}04.963$  phenomenal interpersonal experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:55:04.963 --> 00:55:08.029 between the clinician and a parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.734$  as opposed to waiting for 3-4 five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}55{:}11.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}13.990$  The kid you know explodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.311$  kindergarten and say, wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:15.311 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.394$  there's something really

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:16.394 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.838$  wrong with this child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.424$  To have eliminated,

00:55:19.424 --> 00:55:20.480 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.100$  hundreds of thousands of negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.890$  experiences from ever happening with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.440$  your wonderful toy is quite a gift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:55:29.440 --> 00:55:31.520 And it's not just exciting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.320$  it's a real game changer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.184$  And I'm thinking of the institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:35.184 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.140$  that need to know about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:55:37.204 --> 00:55:39.393 yesterday so that it can wind up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:39.393 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.691$  the hands of people in the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:55:41.691 --> 00:55:43.953 and I mean in the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}55{:}43.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47.326$  Wi-Fi access remains in the issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}55{:}47.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48.644$  They'll have to get busy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:48.644 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.690$  their legislators to get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.826$  But this is worth the this is

00:55:52.826 --> 00:55:55.730 worth the candle. And I thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}55{:}55.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57.546$  And I remember Sally saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00{:}55{:}57.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.730$  we we do not understand autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:55:59.730 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.150$  It starts so early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:56:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.280$  We are completely at the wrong

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:56:03.353 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.192$  end of this dog. We have start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

00:56:05.192 --> 00:56:07.130 We have to start working with the head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:56:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.209$  not the tail. And you did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:56:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.530$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93162772

 $00:56:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.490$  Kyle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.810$  I must say this. I know I am in New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.300$  I know that I yell when I receive an e-mail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.852$  From a former patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:18.852 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.180$  whom I saw for 15 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.974$  every Tuesday that person was first seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.936$  He's my age. He was served.

 $00:56:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.428$  First seen at the Health Child Study Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:30.428 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.613$  at the age of 20 months by Sally and by Sam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.700$  And then Donald was involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:56:36.700 --> 00:56:38.896 I assume Jim must have involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:56:38.900 --> 00:56:40.937 I don't know if Bob was involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.628$  Eventually he ended up with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:56:43.630 --> 00:56:48.612 And he wrote to me the most heart warming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00{:}56{:}48.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.038$  I I'm talking about Tom and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:53.038 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.510$  what a distinguished family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00{:}56{:}54.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57.108$  Yale family. But my goodness me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.665$  But what I'm saying here is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:56:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.830$  This is just a toy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.060$  The concepts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00{:}57{:}04.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}05.540$  The concepts came from you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:57:05.540 --> 00:57:07.740 It came from Linda, it came from Jim,

 $00:57:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.820$  it came from Donald, it came from Fred.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.892$  And I mean this center to me is

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:13.892 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.845$  really a monument to the impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:17.845 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.820$  of relationships in one's life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.796$  When, when, when, when?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:22.796 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.266$  My my good friend Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:25.270 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.310$  Martin was editing that book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:57:27.310 --> 00:57:29.370 That book, Donald's book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00{:}57{:}29.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31.430$  was all about relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.506$  life being a correct collection thereof,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00.57:33.510 \longrightarrow 00.57:35.720$  to my mind, Brain is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636 00:57:35.720 --> 00:57:36.150 Exactly. NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445030303030

 $00:57:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.870$  That is the instantiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.160$  of those experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:57:39.160 --> 00:57:41.386 But let me speak just very briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:41.386 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.558$  substantively to one thing that you said,

 $00:57:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.715$  yes, they are creating that

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:57:45.715 --> 00:57:47.439 experience and their parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.210$  their children were born with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.760$  attenuated sense of the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.076$  So the parents are not what

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:53.076 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.234$  they are cocreating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00.57.54.240 \longrightarrow 00.57.55.539$  Having said that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00{:}57{:}55.539 \to 00{:}57{:}58.137$  we can engineer that environment to

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:57:58.137 \dashrightarrow 00:58:01.200$  empower families so that we can strengthen

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.760$  them mutuality that that that sense of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:58:05.760 --> 00:58:08.104 Typical connection and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:08.104 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.032$  and and engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00{:}58{:}10.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}13.040$  But here is what we found out because

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:58:13.111 --> 00:58:15.236 you work with that population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:58:15.240 --> 00:58:18.397 you know with teen moms and very,

 $00:58:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.915$  very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:18.915 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.430$  very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.005$  very low income mothers were

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:22.005 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.035$  extraordinarily fragile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.080$  They leaving situations of stress and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00{:}58{:}25.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}27.686$  gales when you are telling them that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:27.686 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.038$  something that they can't actually do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00.58:30.040 \longrightarrow 00.58:33.370$  meaning they can engage that baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

00:58:33.370 --> 00:58:36.350 And that engagement will promote

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.330$  optimal development in that child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00{:}58{:}39.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40.802$  The sense of empowerment

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00{:}58{:}40.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}42.642$  that one conveys is huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.690$  So nothing that I said today is new

NOTE Confidence: 0.927445636363636

 $00:58:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.049$  because it came from all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744563636363600:58:49.050 --> 00:58:49.370 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

 $00:58:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.210$  Is that the final word?

00:58:51.210 --> 00:58:52.530 That's such a beautiful final word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

 $00:58:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.490$  but there may be a final, final word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

 $00:58:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.888$  There's two questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

 $00:58:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.165$  There's more questions that I think we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

00:58:58.170 --> 00:58:59.640 I think we're going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

 $00:58:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.120$  respectful of your time and ever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

00:59:01.120 --> 00:59:03.080 And I mean you know your your

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

 $00:59:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.920$  love note to to the center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

 $00:59:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.756$  We feel it and we send it back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

 $00:59:06.756 \dashrightarrow 00:59:08.636$  come back again and again and again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936228182

 $00:59:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.080$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:59:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.000$  Thank you very much.