WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:07:23" NOTE recognizability:0.830

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.608199006666667

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:04.704 To get started. Remind you of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.608199006666667

 $00{:}00{:}04.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06.530$ presentation next week and that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.608199006666667

 $00:00:06.530 \dashrightarrow 00:00:08.185$ by Elizabeth Peacock Chambers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.608199006666667

00:00:08.185 --> 00:00:10.645 her talk will be parents in recovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.608199006666667

00:00:10.645 --> 00:00:12.256 from substance use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.608199006666667

 $00:00:12.256 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.053$ adaptation, implementation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.608199006666667

 $00:00:13.053 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.594$ research of mothering from the inside out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.370$ And now I'd like to introduce my

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

00:00:20.370 --> 00:00:22.272 dear colleague and friend, and friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

00:00:22.272 --> 00:00:25.338 of the center, Dr Pasco Fearon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00{:}00{:}25.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.094$ I've known and collaborated with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:28.094 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.319$ Doctor Fearon for probably close to 15 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.798$ and it seems like just yesterday.

 $00:00:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.920$ So Pasco is recently the professor of Family

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:37.920 \dashrightarrow 00:00:41.620$ research at the University of Cambridge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

00:00:41.620 --> 00:00:44.760 Because, you know, hello.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.788$ He specializes in understanding early life

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:46.788 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.760$ determinants of healthy child development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

00:00:48.760 --> 00:00:50.560 His work focuses particularly on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.550$ role of child parent relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

00:00:52.550 --> 00:00:54.030 including attachment and caregiving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.880$ the interactions between social and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.056$ genetic processes, and early development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:00:58.056 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.356$ He leads the children of the 2020 birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

00:01:01.356 --> 00:01:04.180 Cohort study in England and corrects the UK

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:01:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.680$ wide early life Cohort feasibility study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:01:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.515$ He also conducts extensive research

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

00:01:08.515 --> 00:01:09.983 predominantly with parents and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

00:01:09.983 --> 00:01:11.785 young children in both the UK and

00:01:11.785 --> 00:01:13.629 in low and middle income countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00{:}01{:}13.630 \to 00{:}01{:}16.020$ leveraging and nurturing care framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00:01:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.410$ to promote child developmental outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

00:01:18.410 --> 00:01:19.900 So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90466447

 $00{:}01{:}19.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.640$ I give you doctor Pasco Ophira.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5980545

 $00:01:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.210$ Mike.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900587945

 $00:01:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.004$ Thanks. Thanks very much. Just make

NOTE Confidence: 0.900587945

 $00:01:32.004 \dashrightarrow 00:01:34.293$ sure I have the tech working here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80855696

 $00:01:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.260$ Seems to be working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.17765436

 $00:01:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.920$ That.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:01:44.780 --> 00:01:46.957 And do you see what I see?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:01:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.296$ Yes, you do. Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:01:49.296 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.260$ So thank you Mike for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:01:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.409$ for that introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:01:52.409 --> 00:01:54.955 Yeah, this is, I don't know,

 $00:01:54.955 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.745$ I guess this is pretty it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}01{:}57.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.132$ becoming a significant for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:01:59.132 --> 00:02:00.596 that you're starting to come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:00.596 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.237$ and reconnect with each other as

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:02.237 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.587$ an academic and clinical community

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:03.631 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.957$ here at the CHILD Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.724$ For me, I mean it really is a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}02{:}06.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.623$ one because I had a long association

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:08.623 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.394$ with the CHILD Study Center through

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:10.394 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.254$ Linda and through my control calendar

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}02{:}12.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.720$ and and arissa and Lois and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.778$ That's been a pretty much a constant

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:16.778 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.880$ for me in the last 15 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.120$ And although it's always been

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.112$ a little bit intermittent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.128$ it's it to me it's been unshakeable and

 $00:02:24.128 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.942$ a source of inspiration and wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}02{:}26.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.906$ collaborations and and fun and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:02:29.989 --> 00:02:32.497 creativity and it's just for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.580$ it's wonderful to be back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.896$ So thank you to the organizing committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:35.896 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.840$ of the grand rounds for making this happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:02:38.840 --> 00:02:40.254 Really, it's been too long and I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286 00:02:40.260 --> 00:02:40.636 I'm so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:40.636 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.140$ so glad to be here with you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.034$ So I'm going to be talking about attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:45.034 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.330$ Theory and and research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.434$ And I'm going to try to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:49.434 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.960$ obviously impossible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.736$ which is to summarize the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:51.736 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.670 30$ or more years of attachment

 $00:02:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.050$ research and try to tell you pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:02:56.050 --> 00:02:58.730 much where we stand on some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:02:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.242$ the major theoretical propositions

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:00.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.645$ of attachment theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:01.645 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.034$ And my big mission is, I guess, twofold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286 00:03:06.034 --> 00:03:07.927 One is to. NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.526$ Give you a pretty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}03{:}09.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.122$ pretty selective Fearon Esque

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}03{:}11.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.790$ synthesis of of where I think the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:13.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.590$ whether the literature stands what

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}03{:}15.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.946$ we know and what we don't know yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.533$ I unashamedly say that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}03{:}20.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}23.089$ fear on esque selective review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:03:23.090 --> 00:03:24.114 I can't cover everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:03:24.114 --> 00:03:25.918 but I'll try to impart what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}03{:}25.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.409$ feel is my sort of modest amount

00:03:27.409 --> 00:03:28.754 of wisdom that I've accumulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:28.754 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.494$ at having kind of studied this

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:30.494 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.450$ subject for for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.968$ And then the second bit is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.590$ is really a kind of a call to arms to to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286 $00:03:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.272$ to to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:37.272 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.659$ Get as many of you interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}03{:}39.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.023$ this topic as possible to engage

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:42.023 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.800$ with it and to think about where

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.187$ it needs to go because my that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:45.187 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.547$ the take home at the end of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:46.596 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.996$ will be well we've kind of figured

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}03{:}47.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.788$ a few things out and that's that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:03:49.788 --> 00:03:50.976 doesn't happen often right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}03{:}50.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.457$ But but I think there are some

00:03:52.457 --> 00:03:54.053 things we kind of know and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:54.053 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.827$ that's the that's the thing in itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:55.827 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.557$ within the very young psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:57.557 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.941$ sciences broadly conceived that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:03:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.950$ there are some things that seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:04:01.950 --> 00:04:03.642 reasonably settled but there's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:04:03.642 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.840$ 1000 miles to go before we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:04:05.904 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.039$ understand the full phenomenon of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:04:08.040 --> 00:04:10.236 Attachment and I guess even more

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:04:10.236 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.511$ importantly how we translate that into

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00{:}04{:}12.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.999$ interventions and social programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:04:13.999 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.878$ that really make a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:04:15.878 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.822$ for families in this country and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

 $00:04:17.822 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.668$ and in the rest of the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:04:19.668 --> 00:04:20.970 So that's so that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841926595714286

00:04:20.970 --> 00:04:21.926 my my aim is,

 $00:04:21.926 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.390$ is in this talk is is not ambitious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:04:24.390 --> 00:04:26.280 but what I would like you know us to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:04:26.328 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.948$ about is something really ambitious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:04:27.950 --> 00:04:30.047 which is the future of this field and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:04:30.047 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.376$ the connected fields which are interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:04:32.376 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.788$ in the importance of early experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:04:34.790 --> 00:04:37.020 the importance of relationships in

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00{:}04{:}37.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.804$ human development and thriving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:04:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.818$ And how we can use those kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:04:40.818 --> 00:04:43.120 ideas to support families because I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:04:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.700$ of course Cambridge professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:04:44.700 --> 00:04:46.484 of Family Research. And that's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00{:}04{:}46.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.563$ that's and that's what's on the job

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:04:48.563 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.269$ description is that's what I got to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:04:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.424$ OK. So to get us going, oops,

 $00:04:53.424 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.268$ the the wheel of death appears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:04:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.620$ Oh, no, don't do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:04:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.058$ Hmm, that is not good. So no, it's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:03.058 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.826$ Well there we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:05:03.830 --> 00:05:05.726 It was just, it was just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.644$ it was just thinking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:07.644 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.921$ over the top thing that I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:05:09.921 --> 00:05:11.787 said and how it could possibly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:05:11.790 --> 00:05:12.447 Before I begin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:12.447 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.980$ I have a really an amazing group

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00{:}05{:}14.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.015$ of collaborators who've helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:15.015 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.491$ me do all of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:05:16.500 --> 00:05:17.208 And they're just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:05:17.208 --> 00:05:17.680 they're they're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.192$ they're pictured here on the screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00{:}05{:}19.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.637$ actually won't go through all the

00:05:20.637 --> 00:05:22.016 names but some of them you'll know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.990$ some of you may not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.800$ But but perhaps another really

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.909$ important message that comes out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:26.909 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.789$ the work that I've done so far is

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:28.789 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.759$ that it's all about collaboration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.908$ I mean, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:31.908 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.630$ I don't think I've achieved nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:33.691 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.737$ except with some support from a mazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:35.737 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.575$ people and and these people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:38.575 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.070$ particular I'm incredibly indebted to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.527$ So I wanted to start in a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.174$ in a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:05:44.174 --> 00:05:46.310 in a relatively kind of not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.610$ into the science at all.

00:05:47.610 --> 00:05:49.602 I kind of want to start by getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:05:49.602 --> 00:05:51.420 us fixated on what is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.368$ thing called attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.870$ I've had lots of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:53.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.770$ wonderful conversations with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.957$ with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:54.957 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.892$ with colleagues at the center

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:05:55.892 --> 00:05:57.169 already over the last couple of days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.250$ And one of the things I've just keeps

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:05:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.272$ coming up for me is we often run

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00{:}06{:}01.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.470$ the risk in in a cademic sciences of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:03.538 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.956$ losing touch with our core phenomena.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:06:05.960 --> 00:06:06.965 And it's really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:06.965 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.975$ really important that we don't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:08.975 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.830$ that every time we measure something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.070$ We abstract it and we lose something

00:06:13.070 --> 00:06:14.480 really profoundly important that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.880$ is is in the essence of that phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:06:16.880 --> 00:06:18.860 And so I always try to say to my students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:06:18.860 --> 00:06:19.788 go back to observation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:06:19.788 --> 00:06:21.180 remember what it is your study

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:21.225 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.300$ and get to know children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.520$ look at how they work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.380$ don't just rely on the textbooks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.084$ You're in an unusual science where

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:27.084 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.320$ you can just kind of get your raw

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.576$ material all the time, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00{:}06{:}30.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.872$ I think about relations with all

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:31.872 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.273$ of you and that's that's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:06:33.273 --> 00:06:34.911 data of a form and we shouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:34.956 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.780$ lose sight of that.

 $00:06:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.572$ So I'm going to look at attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00{:}06{:}37.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39.715$ and I just want to start by sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:39.715 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.430$ of reminding you what it is so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.979$ Here's a video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

00:06:41.979 --> 00:06:43.260 I'm going to start with a species

NOTE Confidence: 0.825815709333333

 $00:06:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.780$ that's not our own. This is this is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733741085

 $00:06:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.786$ Ohh I wonder how this sounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.733741085

 $00:06:49.786 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.650$ gonna work here actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828115690526316

 $00{:}06{:}53.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.770$ It may not matter, but if you if

NOTE Confidence: 0.828115690526316

00:06:54.770 --> 00:06:56.166 you're if you know a clever way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828115690526316

00:06:56.166 --> 00:06:59.310 making the sound work. Ohh should

NOTE Confidence: 0.69801399

 $00:06:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.150$ I have more videos with

NOTE Confidence: 0.672169185125

 $00:07:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.194$ yeah OK OK shouldn't take long I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672169185125

 $00:07:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.727$ So share and then where's the ohh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672169185125

00:07:08.730 --> 00:07:10.495 I see? Brilliant. Thank you, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672169185125

 $00:07:10.495 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.070$ OK, yeah, that sounds good.

00:07:12.070 --> 00:07:15.510 Actually, it's kind of cute. Oh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86836147

 $00{:}07{:}18.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.763$ Multitasking. OK, so here's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.86836147

00:07:22.763 --> 00:07:24.247 example of attachment behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86836147

 $00:07:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.858$ or something pretty similar to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

00:07:30.970 --> 00:07:33.122 Still coming through here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:07:33.122 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.736$ It doesn't matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:07:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.990$ Microphones too close to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:07:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.310$ speakers on you. OK, it's fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

00:07:38.310 --> 00:07:39.916 It doesn't matter, it's fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00{:}07{:}39.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.940$ So this is a little a little lamb.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:07:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.316$ He's been reared by humans and it's come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:07:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.544$ It's it's that big moment in this little

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00{:}07{:}49.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51.870$ lambs life where he's got to go out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:07:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.077$ fend for himself and be a member of of his,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:07:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.060$ his, his sheep community.

 $00:07:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.515$ And you can see how enthusiastic he

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:07:59.515 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.280$ is with that idea with this video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:08:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.315$ Off he goes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:08:03.315 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.040$ Is placed there and attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:08:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.099$ is has something to say about

NOTE Confidence: 0.75948192

 $00:08:07.099 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.043$ how this is going to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:16.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.326$ And he's called sprouty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00{:}08{:}17.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.316$ I don't know. I don't find that

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:19.316 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.930$ very cute that he's called sprouty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.520$ And so sprouty is clearly developed some

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.354$ fairly significant and profound attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:25.354 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.986$ to this caregiver who is not even of

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.108$ the same species as he accused him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

00:08:30.110 --> 00:08:31.586 Which tells you something very interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.682$ right, about the neurobiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:32.682 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.047$ of this because this is,

00:08:34.050 --> 00:08:35.930 this is something highly preprogrammed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.922$ clearly. But there's a massive amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00{:}08{:}37.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.905$ of learning going on because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:39.905 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.281$ no way that humans were much of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

00:08:42.290 --> 00:08:44.040 Evolutionary history of sheep, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.645241432857143

 $00:08:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.086$ Not much going back at least.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:08:49.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.630$ In in modern societies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:08:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.785$ Here's another example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:08:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.366$ This is actually currently my favorite video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00{:}08{:}54.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.426$ This and this doesn't have sound

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:08:55.426 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.854$ so we don't even have to feel like

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:08:56.854 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.886$ we're missing out on the sand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00{:}08{:}57.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00.142$ But this is an elephant telling friends

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:09:00.142 \dashrightarrow 00:09:02.190$ recently that I was in in Kenya recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

00:09:02.190 --> 00:09:04.310 This is not my own home video by the way.

 $00:09:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.998$ I wish it was, but check this out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:09:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.676$ Here's a here's a little toddler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:09:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.024$ I would say elephant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:09:12.024 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.368$ And what's he doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

00:09:14.370 --> 00:09:16.022 Chasing the birds, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:09:16.022 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.087$ What do human toddlers do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:09:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.250$ They chase the birds, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790315814285714

 $00:09:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.540$ They're going to find this amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.270$ Isn't that kind of amazing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:09:30.270 --> 00:09:31.250 Now I think you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:31.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.616$ I defy anybody to, apart from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:33.616 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.930$ presence of a very large trunk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.219$ to to see any differences there really

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:38.219 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.328$ between the behavior that we saw there

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:40.328 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.667$ and the behavior you see every day in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:42.667 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.707$ parks and playgrounds in natural settings.

 $00:09:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.398$ That that this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:47.398 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.334$ only isomorphic really with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:49.334 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.130$ behavior that we see in in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.626$ but it's also the stuff of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:09:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.566$ So many moments in everyday life that matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:09:57.566 --> 00:10:00.123 for children and matter for families, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:00.123 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.747$ So this is not like a a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:01.747 --> 00:10:03.219 side project niche topic here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.362$ This is like the fundamentals of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:05.362 --> 00:10:07.689 much of what happens with young

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:07.689 --> 00:10:09.759 children and their parents play,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.306$ by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00{:}10{:}10{:}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.216$ because it's a really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:11.216 --> 00:10:11.980 part of play here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:11.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.638$ He's really enjoying chasing the birds.

 $00:10:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.395$ It's just that it goes a bit wrong and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:16.395 --> 00:10:18.208 he hurts himself and then suddenly

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:18.208 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.092$ players switched off and something else

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:20.092 --> 00:10:21.954 is happening and that's what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:21.954 --> 00:10:24.100 attachment and you can see proximity seeking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.086$ highly organized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:25.086 --> 00:10:27.058 Very automatic, very smooth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:27.060 --> 00:10:29.048 happening kind of effortlessly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:29.048 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.539$ And the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.030$ the the young elephant seeks his

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00{:}10{:}33.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36.247$ carer and makes contact and he feels better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.090$ I'm pretty sure they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.930$ have the video for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:37.930 --> 00:10:39.685 that he's about to go back and play with

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:39.685 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.636$ the birds more and probably fall over again

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:41.636 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.476$ and she'll have to be there to help him.

 $00:10:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.840$ This is so I find it very fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.821$ And I think we forget sometimes just

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:47.821 --> 00:10:49.504 how profoundly rooted in our biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:49.504 --> 00:10:51.317 this kind of pattern of behavior must,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:51.320 --> 00:10:52.144 must be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:52.144 --> 00:10:54.616 because it's in almost all mammals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.106$ let alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:10:55.106 --> 00:10:55.592 Prime Minister,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:55.592 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.743$ the other thing I think is really neat and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:57.743 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.904$ I just watch it for that reason I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:10:59.904 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.028$ watch it again with you is look at the mum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:11:02.028 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.500 I was,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:11:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.310$ I was looking at the baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

00:11:03.310 --> 00:11:03.850 I don't know about you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:11:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.697$ but if you look at the mom,

 $00:11:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.764$ she's got one eye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871354522

 $00:11:06.764 \dashrightarrow 00:11:09.424$ I think it's only on one side of her head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.890$ But she's looking there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.109$ Now there's something really cool about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.987$ She was already moving before he fell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:11:19.990 --> 00:11:21.938 Did you notice that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.512$ This is a good mom, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:23.512 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.040$ She's like. Very attentive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:11:26.040 --> 00:11:27.000 I think she like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.680$ and I joked that she I can already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.130$ I can almost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.180$ If you're going to have like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:11:30.219 --> 00:11:31.207 caption competition for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.436$ it's like you're gonna hurt yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.080$ I don't. I'm not going to say I told you so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.100$ Look, she sees.

00:11:38.100 --> 00:11:40.740 She's like ohh, she can tell he's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00{:}11{:}40.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.440$ taking that corner too fast, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:11:43.440 --> 00:11:46.140 and my anthropomorphizing too much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.324$ maybe, but maybe not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:11:47.324 --> 00:11:49.100 There's a there's she knows a

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:11:49.161 --> 00:11:51.016 lot about what's happening here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.072$ and she's paying attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:52.072 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.387$ She's doing other things too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.540$ but there's a level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:11:54.540 --> 00:11:55.460 attention that she's paying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00{:}11{:}55.460 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}11{:}57.446$ which is about making sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:11:57.446 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.620$ he's safe and being ready to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00{:}11{:}59.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.481$ be there and initiate care giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:12:01.481 --> 00:12:04.163 when when it's when it's required.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.870$ In this case, it was.

 $00:12:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.288$ So that's that's attachment in animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.110$ Here's a couple of examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:11.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.566$ of attachment in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.850$ So here's a video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:12:13.850 --> 00:12:15.754 This would maybe actually, do you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:15.754 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.690$ This is better without the sound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.050$ because with the sound is

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.866$ actually too emotional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:12:18.870 --> 00:12:20.600 I'll probably get choked up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.160$ but this is a kid whose dad has

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00{:}12{:}22.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.416$ been deployed in the military

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

00:12:23.416 --> 00:12:24.621 for quite a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.410$ He's not seen his dad for six months or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:29.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.866$ And he's playing football.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:30.866 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.958$ He's playing soccer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.540$ And he just suddenly realizes,

 $00{:}12{:}33.540 --> 00{:}12{:}33.936$ Oh my God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808569925

 $00:12:33.936 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.464$ this is my dad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786263575

 $00:12:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.520$ Look at the speed you could move.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80918358666666700:12:45.300 --> 00:12:46.188 Yeah, and it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:12:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.070$ You see how long he hangs on it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:12:51.070 --> 00:12:53.776 I mean, this is, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:12:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.860$ There's a lot of something going on in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:12:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.512$ in that, in that interaction, actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:12:57.512 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.210$ What you can't hear in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:12:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.478$ video is the mom is take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}13{:}00.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.803$ You can hear her because she's recording

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}13{:}01.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03.356$ it and the mom is surprised and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}13{:}03.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.773$ actually kind of laughs at one point

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:04.773 --> 00:13:06.665 because she's like, what's the matter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:06.665 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.325$ It's just your dad.

 $00:13:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.870$ Which is an interesting thing in itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:09.870 --> 00:13:11.380 I think adults forget how

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.588$ profound this stuff is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:12.590 --> 00:13:14.488 But for that kid, he, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:14.488 --> 00:13:17.259 like really was so happy to see his dad

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}13{:}17.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.401$ and needed a major recharge in kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}13{:}19.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.077$ of and really reconnect with his dad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.832$ And that's like really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:23.832 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.146$ really powerful stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:25.150 --> 00:13:27.265 And again, so sort of going back to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}13{:}27.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.907$ I said at the beginning and not so long ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:29.910 --> 00:13:30.894 if you don't want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:30.894 --> 00:13:32.370 you know this thing about not

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:32.429 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.557$ losing touch with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.877$ Powerful feelings around some of this stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.428$ the powerful kind of extent to

00:13:37.428 --> 00:13:39.120 which it's rooted in our biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.591$ and which is rooted within our the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:41.591 --> 00:13:43.649 way that societies are organized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:43.650 --> 00:13:45.550 Easy to lose sight of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.370$ maybe good for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.643$ Good for all of us to spend a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:48.643 --> 00:13:49.963 occasions watching on YouTube

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:49.963 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.531$ reminding ourselves this this stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:13:51.531 --> 00:13:53.103 is really powerful if you work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.801$ And some of you I'm sure do work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}13{:}55.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.320$ children who have been in the care system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}13{:}58.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.853$ We've all been very disturbed and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:13:59.853 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.271$ worried about kids who've been separated

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:01.271 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.705$ from their parents at the border,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.350$ for example.

 $00:14:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.990$ This is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:14:03.990 --> 00:14:04.630 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.530$ those kinds of experiences are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.050$ challenging the powerful emotions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.389$ and the powerful biology of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}14{:}10.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.905$ kind of attachment experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:14:11.910 --> 00:14:13.570 And again it kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:14:13.570 --> 00:14:14.050 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.250$ society sometimes encourages us not

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:15.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.780$ to think too much about that but

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.401$ when you see what was that kid was

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:14:18.401 --> 00:14:19.691 going through in what was unsure

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:14:19.691 --> 00:14:20.698 really well functioning family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:14:20.698 --> 00:14:22.402 everything was basically OK and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:22.402 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.012$ was totally fine with his mum had

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:14:24.012 --> 00:14:25.310 just been right from the start,

 $00:14:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.810$ you know, under the best circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}14{:}26.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.826$ That was still like a really profound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.606$ Reconnection with this, with his dad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.930$ So we're talking about really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.400$ really important stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.488$ And and yeah, this is in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:14:35.488 --> 00:14:36.930 I suppose it's I I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}14{:}36.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.642$ I I suppose I would the reason partly

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:38.642 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.830$ why I'm going on about this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}14{:}40.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41.970$ sometimes attachment research is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:42.023 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.859$ seen as a little bit of a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:43.859 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.326$ backwater or a niche subject like niche.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.150$ This is like we breathe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.030$ we walk around, we have attachments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.390$ There's not a lot else that we do.

 $00:14:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.580$ I mean we do a few other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.252$ We have jobs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:55.252 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.596$ But if you think about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:56.596 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.937$ goes on in human societies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:14:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.459$ Mike and I were talking about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}14{:}59.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.259$ You just decide you want to go and observe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:15:02.260 --> 00:15:02.864 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:15:02.864 --> 00:15:04.374 imagine you're an alien landing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:15:04.374 --> 00:15:05.700 from a different planet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

00:15:05.700 --> 00:15:07.884 and you want to describe how do these

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:15:07.884 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.779$ humans kind of organize themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00{:}15{:}09.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.935$ One of the most striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.88201142

 $00:15:10.935 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.090$ things you'll see is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

00:15:12.145 --> 00:15:13.554 they would say, I believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:13.554 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.696$ is that there are powerful attachments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:15.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.010$ people that have these strong

 $00:15:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.058$ connections to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

00:15:18.060 --> 00:15:19.122 They're emotional connections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:19.122 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.937$ and they seem to be really important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:21.937 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.145$ they go to enormous lengths to kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

00:15:24.150 --> 00:15:25.470 Look after those and maintain them

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.990$ and they really care for their young

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.550$ as well and things like this, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.572$ So. So it's a, it's a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00{:}15{:}30.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.609$ it's a reminder of just how significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:32.609 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.549$ these experiences are for children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00{:}15{:}34.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.982$ And if we're in the business of trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:36.982 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.709$ to understand human development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00{:}15{:}38.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.168$ attachment is one of the things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.346$ not the only by any means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

00:15:41.350 --> 00:15:42.806 but one of the things that's right there.

00:15:42.810 --> 00:15:44.706 Central is one of the phenomena

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:44.706 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.990$ we have to kind of understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.222$ And and then the second reason is that I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.430$ as I said, I think we tend to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

00:15:51.430 --> 00:15:52.084 I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

00:15:52.084 --> 00:15:53.174 maybe it's because it's clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.782$ some of this stuff is quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:54.782 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.583$ troubling for ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.550$ If we see it working with children

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:15:57.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.838$ who've experienced pretty tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00{:}15{:}58.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.410$ attachment traumas for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.909$ that it's hard to process that ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.390$ And so you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.678$ We don't always want to think too

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

00:16:06.678 --> 00:16:07.881 much about just how powerful that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00{:}16{:}07.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.105$ stuff is because it's you know,

00:16:09.110 --> 00:16:11.246 it's it's it's hard but it's but that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:11.246 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.830$ actually that's the reality of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.750$ human business that we're in folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

00:16:14.750 --> 00:16:16.086 OK I know you know that I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:16.086 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.345$ to skip this one actually because

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:17.345 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.665$ it does depend on the sound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.012$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:19.012 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.750$ But here's a here's a human that's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00{:}16{:}21.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.450$ the strange situation procedure which

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00{:}16{:}23.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.400$ is the kind of the quintessential tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.579$ that people have used to say the attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.528$ And again just quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.706$ It's not that wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00:16:31.706 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.335$ Just to remind us what that looks like here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

00:16:34.335 --> 00:16:36.000 You kind of would could do with the sound,

00:16:36.000 --> 00:16:37.407 but you can see he's he's distressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869582768461539

 $00{:}16{:}37.410 --> 00{:}16{:}38.330$ his mum's popped out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795347242857143

 $00:16:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.300$ He wouldn't accept comfort from a stranger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795347242857143

00:16:44.300 --> 00:16:47.529 A very nice stranger, no doubt. And his mom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745591306666667

00:16:49.920 --> 00:16:52.968 And boom, very quickly he settles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:16:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.795$ He really cuddles in there

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:16:56.795 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.160$ and he's a little bit extra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:16:58.160 --> 00:16:59.539 There was a moment when Mom thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:16:59.539 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.058$ maybe this is what we've done there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:17:01.060 --> 00:17:02.048 And he was like, no, no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00{:}17{:}02.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.826$ we're not quite done, little bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:04.826 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.638$ a little bit more contact required.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:17:06.640 --> 00:17:09.520 And again, so I think to me this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.385$ this behavior is incredibly rich

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:17:11.385 --> 00:17:13.960 and it's dynamic and it's organized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.472$ it's patterned.

00:17:14.472 --> 00:17:16.264 Bobby actually did a brilliant job of

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:16.264 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.158$ kind of describing this sort of behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:17:18.160 --> 00:17:19.740 Why is it organized in the way that it is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:17:19.740 --> 00:17:20.994 What's his purpose?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:20.994 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.666$ What's his evolutionary function?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.988$ What's it sort of psychological function?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:17:25.990 --> 00:17:29.206 But we've we've moved like painfully

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00{:}17{:}29.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.140$ slowly in actually furthering

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.658$ the science of of that, of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:33.658 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.871$ that sort of really interesting complex and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:36.871 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.976$ highly conserved pattern of of behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.419$ So there's just so much more work to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00{:}17{:}42.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.762$ And and I believe that clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:45.762 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.927$ and behavioral neuroscientists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:47.927 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.875$ anthropologists and psychologists all

 $00:17:49.875 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.823$ have really important contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:51.823 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.317$ to trying to understand this stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:17:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.210$ And we don't do and there's not a lot enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:17:56.210 --> 00:17:57.694 Crossover work with animals by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00{:}17{:}57.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.372$ I mean it's it's so obvious that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:17:59.372 --> 00:18:01.095 would this was a very natural and easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:01.095 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.898$ which we struggle so in so many occasions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:18:02.900 --> 00:18:06.855 right to have animal models of something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.642$ Here we have like the perfect

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:08.642 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.830$ animal model or something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00{:}18{:}09.830 --> 00{:}18{:}11.590$ It is because it's almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.350$ certainly exactly the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.030$ Well you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.710$ with various caveats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:16.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.690$ So there's a lot we could do that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.550$ haven't done yet in terms of studying

 $00:18:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.210$ the the neurobiology of attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.136$ OK, so that's, that's my,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:18:24.140 --> 00:18:25.449 that's my little advert at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:18:25.450 --> 00:18:27.256 to get you confused by studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:18:27.256 --> 00:18:29.448 attachment and to think of it as as like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:29.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.210$ fundamental to human behavioral sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:18:32.210 --> 00:18:33.330 Not a niche subject,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.730$ not some branch of psychoanalysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.588$ like by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.546$ I came to studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:18:36.546 --> 00:18:38.356 Question because I I was a biologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:38.356 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.575$ and doing work on behavioral ecology of

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:40.575 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.625$ animals and so that that's partly why

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:42.625 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.332$ there's so many animals in this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:18:44.332 --> 00:18:46.225 I came at it and it was like I looked

00:18:46.225 --> 00:18:47.961 at psychology and thought a lot of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00{:}18{:}48.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.818$ stuff doesn't make a lot of sense to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.212$ And then I saw attachment research

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:51.212 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.420$ thought well that makes sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.044$ that's evolutionary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364 00:18:53.044 --> 00:18:53.668 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:53.668 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.862$ plausible and I can see a function

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:55.862 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.440$ there and I can see how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:18:57.440 --> 00:18:59.095 you know the underlying neurobiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:18:59.095 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.343$ of affect and behavior and so on

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00{:}19{:}01.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.957$ would would actually fit with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:19:02.960 --> 00:19:04.178 So it was my first experience of

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:19:04.178 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.191$ a of a sort of a serious bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:19:06.191 --> 00:19:07.431 psychology that looked really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:19:07.431 --> 00:19:08.823 informed from the point of view

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:19:08.823 --> 00:19:10.868 of somebody who came at this from

 $00:19:10.868 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.890$ a from a kind of developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:19:12.962 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.278$ evolutionary biology perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364 00:19:15.280 --> 00:19:15.475 Yeah. NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:19:15.475 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.230$ So and So what I'm going to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:19:17.284 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.922$ rest of this talk is just take

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

00:19:18.922 --> 00:19:20.299 you through where I think we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:19:20.299 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.334$ got on three of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:19:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.753$ the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00{:}19{:}21.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.644$ the sort of main propositions of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:19:24.644 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.600$ theory that that that sits around

NOTE Confidence: 0.775403813636364

 $00:19:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.300$ this phenomenon that we call attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.541$ The first is that so the theory of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:32.541 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.119$ individual differences in attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.454$ Uh behavior in young children that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:36.454 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.059$ I'm sure you're familiar with that

00:19:39.059 --> 00:19:41.011 those very individual differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:41.011 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.963$ are in environmentally caused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.474$ That's that's a that's a that's a theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.160$ That's a hypothesis and we'll look

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:46.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.008$ at how convincing is that evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}19{:}48.008 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}19{:}49.688$ because there's lots of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:49.688 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.668$ behavior we just saw in that video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:19:51.670 --> 00:19:53.272 But is that is that you know if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:19:53.272 --> 00:19:55.030 saw children behaving doing that in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.900$ different way are we sure that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:19:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.508$ something to do with the environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}19{:}58.508 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}20{:}00.375$ and their caregiving and not something

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:00.375 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.826$ to do with them and their genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:02.826 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.214$ dispositions and temperaments and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.396$ The second really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:06.396 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.160$ sort of assertion of of of,

 $00:20:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.512$ of the theory around this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:10.512 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.211$ attachment styles or patterns are

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:12.211 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.388$ laid down in early life and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:14.388 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.389$ they are very stable over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.577$ And they kind of you carry them with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.582$ even when you're no longer around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:20:20.582 --> 00:20:22.434 people directly with the people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}20{:}22.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.004$ whom you develop those attachments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}20{:}24.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.596$ so that they're stable over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.224$ They're internalized as internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:20:28.224 --> 00:20:29.036 working models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}20{:}29.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.025$ these kind of cognitive affective

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}20{:}31.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.010$ structures that are thought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:33.075 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.000$ sort of organize your behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.796$ With respect to attachment,

00:20:36.796 --> 00:20:40.297 those are presumed to sort of stay with

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:20:40.297 --> 00:20:43.193 you and they help and make sense of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:20:43.200 --> 00:20:45.680 The tendency we believe to be the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.400$ or some people believe to be the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.640$ that attachment is quite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:20:49.640 --> 00:20:51.320 Consistent over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:20:51.320 --> 00:20:52.496 And not only that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:52.496 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.260$ but it might be transmitted from

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:54.322 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.877$ one generation to the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:20:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.680$ So we'll look at the evidence for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}20{:}57.680 \rightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.048$ And then finally I guess it really if

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:00.048 --> 00:21:01.610 you're interested in mental health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:01.610 --> 00:21:03.698 which I think all of us here are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.578$ then the final question that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:05.578 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.688$ really important and is in the session

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:07.688 --> 00:21:10.139 again of of many people working in this area,

00:21:10.140 --> 00:21:11.748 that attachment is a really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:11.748 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.551$ part of the puzzle for understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:13.551 --> 00:21:15.591 children's well-being in their in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:15.591 --> 00:21:17.586 mental health and then we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:17.586 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.320$ review the evidence from that too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:20.320 --> 00:21:20.728 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:20.728 --> 00:21:23.176 so let's look at the environment

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.871986748461538 \\ & 00:21:23.176 --> 00:21:24.400 \text{ first of all.} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}21{:}24.400 --> 00{:}21{:}24.697 \ \mathrm{Umm}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:24.697 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.073$ So many of you would probably be aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:27.073 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.299$ that there's a there has been many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.500$ many studies over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}21{:}30.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.045$ starting really with Mary Ainsworth

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:32.045 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.355$ seminal work that she began in Uganda and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:34.355 --> 00:21:36.400 then and then took to Baltimore in the US,

 $00:21:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.760$ where she was trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.576$ understand attachment behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.225$ And she was also trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:40.225 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.839$ the home based experiences that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:41.839 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.834$ help her to understand why some children

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:43.886 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.334$ seem to show this sort of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:45.334 --> 00:21:47.292 call a secure pattern of attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:47.292 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.570$ and some children don't and in 1997.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}21{:}51.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.324$ Dewolf and Van Eisenhorn collected a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:21:54.324 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.516$ lot of the evidence that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00{:}21{:}57.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59.690$ produced to date that had assessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:21:59.690 --> 00:22:01.190 some measure of caregiving behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:22:01.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.326$ and in particular this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:22:03.326 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.750$ construct of sensitivity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:22:04.810 --> 00:22:06.590 Mary Ainsworth had identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:22:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.856$ She believed that that was the

 $00:22:07.856 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.250$ sort of the key ingredient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538 00:22:09.250 --> 00:22:10.465 if you like, NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

00:22:10.465 --> 00:22:11.680 patterns of parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.871986748461538

 $00:22:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.300$ behavior where the parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:22:13.369 --> 00:22:14.809 is highly responsive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.313$ a little bit like the elephant, actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:22:16.313 --> 00:22:18.428 I mean, it's funnily enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00{:}22{:}18.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.984$ Ainsworth will definitely have coded that

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:22:19.984 --> 00:22:21.570 quite highly because she talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00{:}22{:}21.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.244$ You know attentive level of awareness

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:23.244 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.273$ is like the first point the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:25.273 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.995$ piece of the puzzle of sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.106$ Does the parents sort of have a a nascent

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:29.106 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.262$ sense of not you know where is my child

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:22:31.262 --> 00:22:32.796 that's that's an important that not

 $00:22:32.796 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.230$ all families you know do that so well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.329$ But not just where is my child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.758$ Where are they at right now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.858$ Are they happy? Are they sad?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:22:39.860 --> 00:22:41.120 What just happened? How are they feeling?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.928$ What are they thinking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:41.928 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.736$ What are they into?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:22:42.740 --> 00:22:44.154 And this doesn't need to be like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:22:44.160 --> 00:22:45.200 and usually it isn't like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.421$ heavy cognitive work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:46.421 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.456$ This is just a effortless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.780$ subtle, basic level of awareness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:22:50.780 --> 00:22:51.338 Like that elephant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:51.338 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.640$ they had one eye on the chart

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:22:52.678 --> 00:22:53.928 whilst also doing something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00{:}22{:}53.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.350$ That's awareness is important.

 $00:22:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.898$ And then there's a kind of they may

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:57.898 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.570$ be aware of the signal of some kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:22:59.622 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.239$ that may be important to the baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.780$ Are they then responding in some way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.055$ Is that, is that response fairly timely?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:23:05.060 --> 00:23:05.648 And most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:05.648 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.390$ does it seem to be kind of fitting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00{:}23{:}07.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.878$ Well, with what the baby seemed to need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:23:08.880 --> 00:23:10.300 So if the baby's tired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.412$ the parents sort of seems to know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:12.412 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.296$ responds and coaxes the baby to sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.600$ If the baby is distressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:23:15.600 --> 00:23:16.380 and hurt themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.843$ they kind of quickly get that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:17.843 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.161$ provide a response that's soothing and

 $00:23:19.161 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.680$ helpful in that context and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00{:}23{:}20.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.332$ And that's the kind of what Mary

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

00:23:22.332 --> 00:23:23.998 Ainsworth is really getting at when she,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.004$ she mentioned sensitive caregiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:27.004 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.184$ and in 1997,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806499608461538

 $00:23:29.184 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.228$ the the correlation across multiple studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:23:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.528$ Between sensitive caregiving and

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:23:37.528 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.696$ attachment security versus insecurity

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00{:}23{:}39.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.940$ was you know robustly non zero and

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

00:23:42.940 --> 00:23:45.180 clearly significant and consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00{:}23{:}45.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.420$ largely across these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:23:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.800$ It was also pretty small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:23:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.264$ So you can see the correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:23:50.264 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.665$ there is a correlation of .22.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:23:51.665 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.090$ So it's kind of like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:23:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.618$ Glass is half empty or maybe it's half

00:23:55.618 --> 00:23:57.246 half full, depends how you look at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00{:}23{:}57.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.010$ So yes, clearly an association there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00{:}24{:}00.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.666$ but it's not a not a huge association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.280$ And there's been a lot of kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.578$ of heartache and puzzling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:05.578 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.252$ thinking about this like what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

00:24:07.252 --> 00:24:09.430 we missing and and that's not sold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.744$ we'll come back to this and for a while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.311$ But actually there's still a lot to

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:12.311 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.824$ do in terms of actually understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:13.824 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.198$ what are the kind of it, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:16.198 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.774$ assuming they are environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00{:}24{:}17.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.922$ and caregiving drivers of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:19.922 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.946$ individual differences in attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.994$ We've still got quite a long

 $00:24:22.994 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.059$ way to pin all of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00{:}24{:}24.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.366 \ {\rm Yeah}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:24.366 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.508$ And that's really important because so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

00:24:26.508 --> 00:24:29.090 of our intervention work is based on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.178$ We just recently completed a A

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

00:24:31.178 --> 00:24:33.719 kind of grand update of the Van

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:33.719 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.204$ Heisendong and Venison Dawn study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:35.204 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.669$ They at the time there were one that was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

00:24:37.670 --> 00:24:39.014 I mean it's really small if you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

00:24:39.014 --> 00:24:40.449 about it was a really important paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

00:24:40.450 --> 00:24:43.202 but it was only 1666 participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:43.202 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.938$ all pulled from lots of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:45.938 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.590$ studies at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.694$ We now managed to find 159 studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:50.694 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.075$ that had had assessed this 21,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:24:54.075 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.735$ 483 different participants included

 $00:24:55.735 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.659$ in this kind of much more recent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00{:}24{:}58.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01.187$ Major update of the evidence based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00{:}25{:}01.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.798$ the relationship between caregiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.769140716428572

 $00:25:02.798 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.128$ and attachment security and security.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.754$ It would have been more fun if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:08.754 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.052$ answer was like and it's totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:10.052 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.940$ different folks but it's not I mean it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.548$ really quite the evidence has remained

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}25{:}13.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.080$ very consistent actually since then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:25:15.080 --> 00:25:17.406 So the correlation is now it's crept

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:17.406 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.772$ up a little bit which is interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:19.772 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.170$ to about .26 but you can see it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}25{:}22.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.030$ so it's it remains highly robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.764$ I think that's probably important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:25.764 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.690$ you to to to to hold on to because

 $00:25:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.416$ there are many phenomena that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:30.416 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.278$ study where the 1st 10 studies seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:32.278 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.116$ to be wonderful and then you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:34.116 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.776$ it's called the winners curse and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:35.776 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.480$ then you know actually affects sizes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.545$ Just decline and decline and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:39.545 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.738$ almost see them heading towards the 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.966$ This is a phenomenon that is true

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:43.966 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.669$ across many branches of science and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:45.669 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.525$ but in the in and in the case of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}25{:}48.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.105$ sensitivity to attachment question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.120$ we're not really seeing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.450$ It's pretty consistent and has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}25{:}54.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.658$ stable over time with much larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:25:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.148$ with a much larger evidence base.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.120$ The other thing by the way just to point out,

00:26:02.120 --> 00:26:03.700 sorry to go back throughout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.116$ quite a lot of what I'm describing here

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:06.116 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.685$ as somebody who does quite a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:08.685 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.303$ synthetic synthesis work not just in

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:10.303 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.070$ the attachment field but in other fields too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:26:12.070 --> 00:26:14.352 The thing that I really appreciate about

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:26:14.352 --> 00:26:16.880 attachment theory and research is that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.750$ do measure things reasonably consistently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:26:18.750 --> 00:26:20.748 Like when I do a study of mental health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:26:20.750 --> 00:26:23.420 like 25 different measures of depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.110$ like, oh great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:24.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.570$ thanks guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}26{:}24.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.545$ That's not made my job much easier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.210$ That's true for many fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.410$ But what attachment has done

 $00:26:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.950$ really well is on the whole,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}26{:}31.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.876$ measure things in a pretty consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:26:33.876 --> 00:26:36.190 way and to have fairly centralized

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:36.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.126$ trainings and coding systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.258$ So when you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}26{:}39.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40.950$ and that makes the metro analyst

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.138$ jobs so much easier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:26:42.140 --> 00:26:43.484 And it's not just about making

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}26{:}43.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.156$ my life easier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:26:44.160 --> 00:26:46.806 It's also about having a corpus of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}26{:}46.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.346$ evidence that is reasonably possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:26:49.346 --> 00:26:52.862 to synthesize and make draw reasonably

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:52.862 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.213$ you know logical conclusions from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:26:55.213 --> 00:26:57.950 Because there's just a level of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:26:58.014 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.598$ measuring the same thing in the same way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.220$ I don't know why that's been hard for so

00:27:02.220 --> 00:27:03.960 I've called for the psychological sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.304$ but it remains a major problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:05.304 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.560$ the welcome trust in the UK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:27:06.560 --> 00:27:08.646 I think NIH might be doing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:27:08.646 --> 00:27:11.070 similar in the US is trying to sort that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.398$ out by really demanding that people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:27:13.400 --> 00:27:14.842 You have an extremely good reason not

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}27{:}14.842 \to 00{:}27{:}16.082$ to measure depression with the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

00:27:16.082 --> 00:27:17.246 measure that everyone else is using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.844$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}27{:}17.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.032$ and I really fully support that anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:20.032 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.444$ So that's just a little diversion

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.623$ in that review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:23.623 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.943$ There are quite a number of sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:26.943 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.889$ of interesting.

00:27:28.890 --> 00:27:30.696 Side stories about variation in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00{:}27{:}30.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32.301$ the strength of the association

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:32.301 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.371$ that that we observe in studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:34.371 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.080$ of sensitivity and caregiving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.400$ I'm just going to pull out a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.068$ that I think are particularly important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.618$ The first is that if you look at there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870657532666667

 $00:27:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.090$ there are enough studies of dads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00{:}27{:}42.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.190$ Now dads are terribly neglected in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:27:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.150$ this field and many other fields,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:27:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.715$ but we now do have a good number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:27:49.715 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.858$ studies of dads and the caregiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

00:27:51.858 --> 00:27:54.041 quality of of parental care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:27:54.041 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.996$ it predicts the attachment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:27:55.996 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.074$ that that has to that child just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:27:58.074 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.738$ As well as it does with moms

 $00:27:59.738 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.226$ and you can see those those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.050$ those are just the effect sizes there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.703$ and they're exactly the same for moms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:04.703 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.489$ and dads across quite a lot of studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00{:}28{:}06.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.206$ So caregiving matters and it's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:08.206 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.854$ and it's there's nothing about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:09.854 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.222$ parents gender here that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:11.222 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.017$ see in terms of that relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.000$ That's I think pretty important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00{:}28{:}15.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.128$ You can also see this is mostly a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

00:28:17.128 --> 00:28:19.585 a bunch of slides about how things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:19.585 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.327$ don't matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00{:}28{:}20.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22.052$ So the other interesting thing is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00{:}28{:}22.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.224$ if you look at the different subtypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

00:28:24.224 --> 00:28:25.949 of attachment security or insecurity,

00:28:25.950 --> 00:28:27.707 again doesn't seem to matter too much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.280$ The effect sizes are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.850$ more or less the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.530$ There's some numerical differences there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.960$ Maybe if if we had an even larger samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

00:28:34.960 --> 00:28:37.330 and less heterogeneity across studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.974$ perhaps we'd see that the resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

00:28:38.974 --> 00:28:40.681 group has a slightly smaller effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:40.681 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.367$ size that I could believe that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00{:}28{:}42.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.722$ but at the moment we have to conclude

NOTE Confidence: 0.9188919

 $00:28:43.722 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.788$ that it's basically the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

00:28:46.890 --> 00:28:48.726 And then what really comes through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

00:28:48.730 --> 00:28:49.326 interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:28:49.326 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.412$ is that there's quite a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

00:28:51.412 --> 00:28:52.864 methodological factors that are explaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:28:52.864 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.790$ some of the variability and effect size.

 $00:28:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.678$ So studies that use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00{:}28{:}58.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.845$ Whom based assessment of attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00{:}29{:}00.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.895$ produces a large the produce a larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:03.895 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.925$ effect size for the sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:05.925 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.996$ to attachment association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

00:29:06.996 --> 00:29:08.788 If you just go this is I

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:08.788 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.159$ think this is really cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

00:29:10.160 --> 00:29:12.435 It's so obvious but it's it's easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:12.435 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.808$ again to neglect that if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

00:29:14.808 --> 00:29:16.800 at the studies that reported higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:16.864 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.680$ rates of interrater reliability

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.950$ on the assessment of attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00{:}29{:}20.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.770$ You get a stronger association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00{:}29{:}22.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.682$ Psychometric theory told us

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:23.682 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.140$ that would be the case, right?

00:29:25.140 --> 00:29:27.000 But but actually we it's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00{:}29{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.573$ convenient sometimes to wish that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:28.573 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.048$ that weren't weren't the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.514$ But it is to effect sizes on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:31.514 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.845$ of the variabilities just because

NOTE Confidence: 0.888910983333333

 $00:29:32.845 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.675$ we're not measuring it very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:29:36.770 --> 00:29:37.922 And and finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:29:37.922 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.650$ it looks like there's some variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}29{:}39.706 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41.582$ in the type of assessment of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:29:41.582 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.926$ sensitivity that that makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}29{:}42.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.627$ a difference and it does appear to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:29:44.627 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.258$ be the case that the longer the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:29:47.258 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.329$ assessment the more predictive it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:29:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.510$ Wow, look at huge revelation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:29:51.510 --> 00:29:53.168 I mean of course it makes sense, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:29:53.168 --> 00:29:55.316 I mean you're trying to make

 $00:29:55.316 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.390$ inferences about things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:29:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.840$ patterns of care that are likely you

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:29:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.464$ know to be important only when they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:02.464 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.959$ characteristic of the everyday regular

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:05.040 \dashrightarrow 00:30:07.650$ long run experience that the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.379$ And we use a 5 minute observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:09.379 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.474$ to try and make all of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}30{:}11.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.766$ enormous leaps of inference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.000$ That's that's a that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.230$ big ask for our tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.170$ And the longer they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.148$ the more in depth they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.110$ It looks like the more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}30{:}18.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.588$ the more predictable the these

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:20.588 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.240$ kind of relationships become.

00:30:22.240 --> 00:30:23.220 So again, no surprise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}30{:}23.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.690$ but I think it just emphasizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:24.743 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.911$ some really important things

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:30:25.911 --> 00:30:27.371 about methodology and I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:27.371 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.099$ to come back to that methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:30:29.100 --> 00:30:30.700 measurement quality super important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.279$ I'll come back to that in a little while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.513$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:30:33.513 --> 00:30:35.144 So that's that's the first part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:35.144 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.424$ the question on, on environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:36.424 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.984$ So that the association is

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:37.984 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.920$ really clearly there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.960$ Some of the facts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.910$ some of the lower associations that we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.041$ it's probably methodological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:30:43.041 --> 00:30:44.926 There's also probably not a

 $00{:}30{:}44.926 \to 00{:}30{:}46.080$ massive association either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.984$ So there's quite a bit more to learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:47.984 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.232$ in terms of how to properly measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:50.232 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.839$ the parental behaviors involved and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:51.839 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.512$ And whether we're even in the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:53.512 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.142$ ballpark for the kinds of behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:55.142 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.792$ that are relevant even that's probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}30{:}56.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.610$ something we need to be thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:30:58.610 \dashrightarrow 00:31:00.930$ But even if this is pure association studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667 00:31:00.930 --> 00:31:01.276 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}31{:}01.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03.698$ So you all know that the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:03.698 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.216$ counter argument is that, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}31{:}05.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.646$ this is just like epiphenomenal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:06.650 --> 00:31:07.642 It's not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:07.642 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.890 \text{ yes},$

 $00:31:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.234$ this sort of correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:09.234 --> 00:31:10.578 patterns of parental behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:10.580 --> 00:31:11.715 but that's because they're responding

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:11.715 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.130$ to what the child is doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.663$ Maybe this is just a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:14.663 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.588$ what we call a gene environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:16.588 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.565$ correlation and that it's not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:18.565 --> 00:31:19.825 a truly environmental process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667 00:31:19.830 --> 00:31:20.306 right, NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:20.306 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.782$ so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}31{:}20.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23.162$ Genetics is something that until

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:23.162 --> 00:31:24.993 relatively recently hadn't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:24.993 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.324$ ruled out as a as a possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:27.324 --> 00:31:29.388 explanation for the variability and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:29.388 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.598$ attachment behavior that we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.414$ And attachment theory does make this

 $00:31:34.414 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.280$ really kind of brave prediction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:37.280 --> 00:31:39.002 Brave in the sense that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:39.002 --> 00:31:40.762 who studies Psych 101 or whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:40.762 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.147$ and knows that every behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:42.147 --> 00:31:44.154 you care to mention is strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:44.154 --> 00:31:45.542 influenced by genetics, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:45.542 --> 00:31:47.376 That's I make this kind of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:47.376 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.597$ slightly tired joke now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:31:48.597 --> 00:31:50.088 I never set this on the exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.586$ because the answer is always 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.390$ 50% heritability is pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.830$ true for almost everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00{:}31{:}55.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57.840$ So attachment stands out as

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.850$ saying not in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:31:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.835$ In this case, it's 100% environment,

 $00:32:01.835 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.505$ which is a pretty brave and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:32:04.505 \dashrightarrow 00:32:06.596$ strong prediction given what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:32:06.596 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.642$ know about so many other domains

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:32:08.642 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.423$ of human behavioral difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:32:10.423 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.421$ So it becomes pretty interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

00:32:12.421 --> 00:32:14.544 think about what would what if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.862230991666667

 $00:32:14.544 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.140$ were to subject attachment to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:32:16.192 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.524$ kind of methodological interrogation

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:17.524 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.862$ that we do other domains of development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:32:19.862 --> 00:32:22.518 like for example, using a twin study. What?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

00:32:22.518 --> 00:32:25.142 How does that look and Can you imagine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:32:25.150 --> 00:32:26.526 I always thought it would be kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:32:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.699$ I didn't think about this at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:27.699 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.030$ time when we were doing this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:32:29.030 --> 00:32:30.864 but but subsequently I thought about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.278$ But what would it have looked like if

00:32:33.278 --> 00:32:35.211 identical twins just behaved in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}32{:}35.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.119$ incredibly similar way in the strange

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:32:37.179 --> 00:32:39.507 situation and non identical twins didn't?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.965$ And that would be incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:32:40.965 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.547$ interesting right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.530$ And surprising and and challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:32:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.510$ for the for the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.526$ But it wasn't really tested until a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:32:47.526 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.856$ of us back in the early 2000s started

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:49.856 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.816$ started looking at that directly using

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00{:}32{:}51.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.988$ that kind of powerful twin methodology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.999$ And the short answer to that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:55.999 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.046$ that his this is this is showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:32:58.046 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.698$ you the proportion of variability

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:32:59.698 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.111$ from that first study we did with

 $00:33:02.111 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.176$ twins at 12 months that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}33{:}04.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.129$ explained by genes and environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

00:33:06.130 --> 00:33:08.110 And I make the lame joke that the pink

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.907$ bar is the bit that's to do with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.770$ Essex there is no people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:33:11.770 --> 00:33:12.040 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.930$ So we found that that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.972$ we couldn't see any sign of genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:33:15.972 --> 00:33:17.422 influence on attachment and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

00:33:17.483 --> 00:33:19.193 really were behaving quite similarly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00{:}33{:}19.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.903$ in the strange situation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.960$ respect to the same caregiver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:33:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.454$ But identical twins were doing it

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:24.454 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.038$ to exactly the same extent that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

00:33:26.038 --> 00:33:27.658 non identical twins were doing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.760$ which is a kind of hallmark

00:33:29.760 --> 00:33:31.160 characteristic of something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:31.219 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.968$ is environmentally determined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:33:32.970 --> 00:33:34.510 And it's particularly determined by

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.730$ what we call the shared environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

00:33:36.730 --> 00:33:38.221 And I'm sure you kind of know

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:38.221 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.250$ all this terminology already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:33:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.095$ but the shared environment makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:33:41.095 --> 00:33:43.414 particular sense in the context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}33{:}43.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.054$ attachment research because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}33{:}45.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.104$ assuming that there's a consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00{:}33{:}47.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.571$ disposition that a caregiver has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}33{:}49.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.376$ being sensitive or less sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}33{:}52.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.970$ And and that's what's driving these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:33:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.030$ individual differences in attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:33:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.970$ And if that's your theory,

 $00:33:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.399$ then you'd expect to see a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}33{:}58.399 \to 00{:}33{:}59.910$ strong common environment effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:33:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.382$ which again the other thing everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:02.382 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.030$ remembers about behavioral genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:04.093 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.228$ site 101 is that there aren't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:34:06.228 --> 00:34:07.580 any strong shared environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.226$ effects in most areas of behavior and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:10.226 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.430$ attachment from the study we did back

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:34:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.609$ then and some other since really seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:34:14.609 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.305$ to be an important exception to that rule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00{:}34{:}17.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.130$ just as attachment theory had predicted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.514$ This was nice replication

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:21.514 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.360$ by Rose Mccraley in 2008.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.200$ The larger scale shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.500$ very much the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

00:34:27.500 --> 00:34:28.200 So oh,

00:34:28.200 --> 00:34:31.659 and also actually if you if you look at the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}34{:}31.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33.781$ if you try to analyze the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:33.781 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.359$ behavior at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.848$ you also find that the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:34:36.848 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.209$ behavior shows very little sign

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:34:38.209 --> 00:34:39.477 of gene environment correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:34:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.280$ So it doesn't look as if the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.575$ behavior is kind of influenced

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

00:34:42.575 --> 00:34:43.627 by the child's genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.478$ And it's lots of common environment in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:34:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.530$ And that common environment correlates

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}34{:}48.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.170$ with the common environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00{:}34{:}50.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}51.709$ variability we see in attachment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84638868

 $00:34:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.356$ which all ties up pretty elegantly with

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

 $00:34:54.356 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.320$ what attachment theorists had had predicted.

00:34:58.320 --> 00:34:58.911 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846388868

00:34:58.911 --> 00:35:00.290 kind of I suppose in hindsight a

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:35:00.335 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.450$ bit of kind of miraculous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00{:}35{:}01.450 --> 00{:}35{:}03.088$ It could have been a bit earth

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:03.088 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.639$ shattering at this point like Ohh

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:04.639 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.974$ Fearon and his colleagues are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:05.974 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.420$ just ruined attachment theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

00:35:07.420 --> 00:35:09.576 And, you know, it would have been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.738$ it would have been a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:10.738 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.317$ major challenge right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:35:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.841$ if we found that that were not was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:12.841 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.347$ the case and it could easily happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.920$ And that's there aren't many

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00{:}35{:}15.920 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}35{:}17.870$ experiments you can do in psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:35:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.110$ where the answer could be quite as

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.234$ clear cut and pretty kind of earth

 $00:35:22.234 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.240$ shattering in terms of a treasured

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.140$ hypothesis within within the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.009$ But in this case that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:29.009 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.659$ didn't happen and actually the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:30.659 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.644$ evidence is pretty consistent with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:32.644 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.280$ predictions of attachment theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

00:35:34.280 --> 00:35:36.485 Oh. Why did I put that twice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00{:}35{:}36.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37.382$ Oh no, it's fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:37.382 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.294$ The other thing to mention is that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

00:35:39.294 --> 00:35:41.107 shouldn't have been much of a surprise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

00:35:41.110 --> 00:35:42.124 I don't think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:35:42.124 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.814$ because actually it was built

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00{:}35{:}43.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.749$ on fairly strong foundations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666 00:35:45.750 --> 00:35:46.276 The the, NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:35:46.276 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.117$ the most important is actually that there

00:35:48.117 --> 00:35:49.931 was quite a lot of evidence already

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

00:35:49.931 --> 00:35:52.150 that if you studied the attachment behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:35:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.590$ a child had to add mum and then to a dad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.310$ they were often completely different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.158$ like secure with one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:57.158 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.006$ insecure with the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:35:58.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.868$ and vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

00:35:58.868 --> 00:36:00.584 That's already quite a clue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00{:}36{:}00.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.885$ there's something that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:01.885 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.811$ a kind of adaptation to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00{:}36{:}03.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.520$ particular caregiver that's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

00:36:05.520 --> 00:36:07.501 It's not a sort of cross situationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:07.501 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.165$ stable pattern of behavior that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

00:36:09.165 --> 00:36:11.342 might expect if it was some sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:36:11.342 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.758$ genetic or temperamental factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.326$ So there's already quite a strong

 $00:36:14.326 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.770$ clue from the from evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:36:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.138$ with mothers and fathers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:17.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.212$ There was also some evidence coming from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

00:36:19.212 --> 00:36:20.899 studies of children in foster care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.300$ where of course there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.700$ genetic link between the foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:23.753 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.300$ carer and the child and the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666600:36:25.300 --> 00:36:25.984 the child's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666 00:36:25.984 --> 00:36:26.668 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00{:}36{:}26.668 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}36{:}28.720$ attachment to that foster carer was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:28.783 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.950$ predictable from characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.284$ of the parent and again gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:32.284 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.918$ you the adoptive parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.756$ which gives you another pretty strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.372$ Tell you this is really quite an

 $00:36:39.372 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.920$ environmentally determined thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

00:36:40.920 --> 00:36:41.290 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

00:36:41.290 --> 00:36:43.510 So actually the evidence is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:43.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.980$ really consistent with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.895$ I'm pretty convinced even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:45.895 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.197$ I'd love to see a much bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

00:36:47.197 --> 00:36:48.401 study and I'm going to come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:36:48.401 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.460$ to that in a little while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

00:36:49.460 --> 00:36:51.500 But I'm pretty convinced that the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.048$ that attachment theory was on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00{:}36{:}53.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55.189$ money in terms of this being a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:55.189 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.589$ particularly and very interestingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:36:56.589 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.959$ highly plastic early behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:36:57.959 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.835$ system that learns a lot and adapts

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:36:59.835 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.020$ to the caregiving environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:37:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.744$ That seems to be, you know,

 $00:37:02.744 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.854$ the evidence is pretty good

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:37:03.854 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.040$ on that I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:37:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.444$ but what about later in development

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:37:06.444 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.612$ because we mentioned at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

 $00:37:07.612 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.527$ beginning this is supposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:37:08.527 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.119$ be kind of a construct that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:37:10.119 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.484$ consistent right across the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:37:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.363$ And certainly it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00{:}37{:}12.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}14.109$ it's relevant right across the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:37:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.230$ So what about in other stages of development?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839055424666666

00:37:16.230 --> 00:37:18.558 So relatively recently we decided to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:37:18.558 \dashrightarrow 00:37:21.530$ do this kind of whole thing again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00{:}37{:}21.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23.250$ But look at a dolescence attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00{:}37{:}23.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.626$ simulation to their parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83905542466666

 $00:37:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.040$ We used a tool called the

00:37:26.040 --> 00:37:26.745 Child Attachment Interview,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:37:26.750 --> 00:37:28.115 which many of you here I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:28.115 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.070$ would be familiar with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.330$ It's a different kind of tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.880$ It's it's not looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:37:31.880 --> 00:37:32.810 live attachment behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:37:32.810 --> 00:37:34.665 it's looking at patterns of speech really

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00{:}37{:}34.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.327$ and narrative in a in an interview.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.510$ So different kind of thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.617$ but in theory supposed to be measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:37:39.617 --> 00:37:41.657 attachment we did in a big twin study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:37:41.660 --> 00:37:43.706 Um, relatively back, at least for

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:43.706 \dashrightarrow 00:37:45.870$ this kind of intensive measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.718$ 551 same-sex twin pairs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.344$ And you know, and we were very

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:51.344 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.910$ careful about the methodology here.

 $00:37:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.758$ So there's no way that they could

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00{:}37{:}54.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.872$ have been any kind of crosstalk

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:56.872 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.135$ between these measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:58.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.328$ And we did the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:37:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.268$ We just tested whether this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:01.268 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.254$ going to show a similar pattern of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:04.254 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.414$ very strong environmental influence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.364$ And very strong influence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:38:08.364 --> 00:38:10.794 particularly of the shared environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:10.794 \dashrightarrow 00:38:12.407$ and so as not to keep you waiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.706$ This is what we found if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:38:14.706 --> 00:38:16.810 don't know this study already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.930$ This time, the pink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00{:}38{:}18.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21.252$ Portion of the pie chart

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:21.252 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.716$ was the shared environment.

 $00:38:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.092$ It was absolutely zero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:38:24.092 --> 00:38:26.150 We saw no evidence of shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:38:26.219 --> 00:38:27.704 environmental influence on

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:27.704 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.179$ attachment in adolescence at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.755$ Look, this is just tried my effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:38:31.755 --> 00:38:33.736 to prove to you that I'm, I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:38:33.736 --> 00:38:36.200 I'm, I'm, I just go with the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:38:36.200 --> 00:38:38.480 This was definitely not my hypothesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.235$ but this, the data is really clear on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:38:40.240 --> 00:38:41.728 From this particular study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:38:41.728 --> 00:38:44.768 we saw about 40% of the variance

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:44.768 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.348$ was accounted for by genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.740$ And the remainder was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.574$ non shared environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.664$ So this was like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:50.664 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.290$ A little bit of a shock,

 $00{:}38{:}52.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.355$ in a way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:53.355 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.840$ It certainly does not suggest that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:55.919 \dashrightarrow 00:38:59.029$ determinants of attachment in a dolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:38:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.130$ Are the same as the determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:01.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.018$ of attachment in infancy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:03.018 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.458$ that's a pretty important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:05.458 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.703$ so far not understood process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00{:}39{:}07.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.047$ But to me the evidence is pretty clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:10.050 \dashrightarrow 00:39:12.102$ It's it's the one big study on this right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00{:}39{:}12.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14.550$ So we're definitely needs replicating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.785$ You could definitely think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:39:15.785 --> 00:39:17.020 other measures that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00{:}39{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.410$ used to assess attachment in

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00{:}39{:}18.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19.490$ different ways and adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.542$ But from the data we got so far it

 $00:39:21.542 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.945$ looks to me like there's an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00{:}39{:}23.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.357$ shift that potentially happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:25.417 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.181$ between infancy and adolescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:27.181 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.945$ where the adolescence genetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.001$ Kind of dispositions starts to play a

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

00:39:31.001 --> 00:39:32.626 much more important role in attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.816706530833333

 $00:39:32.626 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.710$ of that age than it did in infancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

00:39:36.930 --> 00:39:39.440 Oh, I've just more or less said that the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:39:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.960$ the. Now the. I suppose the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

00:39:40.960 --> 00:39:41.835 and I put it to you actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:39:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.604$ the question is why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

00:39:42.604 --> 00:39:43.750 Like how do we understand this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

00:39:43.750 --> 00:39:46.330 Like, what's what's going on developmentally?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:39:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.429$ We don't know the answer to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

00:39:47.430 --> 00:39:51.077 And clearly, but I think the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:39:51.077 \dashrightarrow 00:39:53.904$ plausible explanation is that over time.

 $00:39:53.904 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.346$ The child's dispositions start to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00{:}39{:}56.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.568$ impact on parent child interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

00:39:58.568 --> 00:40:00.803 in significant ways and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:40:00.803 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.295$ again if you think if I went to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:40:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.364$ you know, Joe Bloggs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

00:40:04.364 --> 00:40:06.619 She's a very British way of putting it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

00:40:06.620 --> 00:40:07.388 but somebody, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:40:07.388 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.348$ random guy on the street,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:40:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.040$ what's is there an American

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:40:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.392$ version of Joe Bloggs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00:40:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.450$ It's like audio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

00:40:12.450 --> 00:40:14.000 You do say, Joe Bloggs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75257324625

 $00{:}40{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.500$ Oh great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.370$ If I asked Joe Bloggs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:40:18.370 --> 00:40:20.176 he would say, well yeah obviously,

 $00:40:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.950$ you know teenagers are totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.720$ different proposition to a baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.510$ A teenager will give you a hell of a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.396$ more you know to think about than a baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.996$ And and the power is totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:28.996 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.407$ different and there's lots more

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:40:30.407 --> 00:40:32.153 that the teenager brings to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:40:32.153 --> 00:40:33.984 interactions than with the baby where

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:33.984 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.499$ actually the baby brings something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.432$ But there's there's a there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:40:37.432 --> 00:40:39.032 hugely different kind of level

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:39.032 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.803$ of dependency and and in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:40:40.803 --> 00:40:42.748 sense a lack of relative agency

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:42.748 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.473$ in those interactions compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:44.473 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.828$ an adolescence where it's huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:46.828 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.065$ Alright, so I suspect that what's

 $00:40:49.065 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.800$ happening is that there's overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:50.867 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.867$ developed what we call gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:40:52.867 --> 00:40:54.067 environment correlation really

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:40:54.067 --> 00:40:56.395 starts to embed itself in parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:40:56.395 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.220$ child interactions which then starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:40:58.220 --> 00:41:01.374 to of course impact on their young

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:01.374 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.930$ persons attachments to those parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.610$ From that point of view it is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.033$ intended to mean that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:07.033 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.785$ genes and they go straight into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:08.785 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.145$ attachment system in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:41:10.150 --> 00:41:12.172 It's that it's which is possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:12.172 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.881$ by the way but but from this point

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:14.881 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.670$ of view what I'm arguing is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:41:16.670 --> 00:41:18.345 Probably what's happening is that

 $00:41:18.345 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.685$ it's that environmental experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:19.685 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.400$ that matter for your feelings of

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.003$ attachment to the people around you

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:23.003 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.550$ start to be influenced by how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.440$ manage your interactions with other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.600$ If your tendency is to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:41:28.665 --> 00:41:30.320 hostile and angry and upset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.520$ or to go out and do crazy

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.520$ things with your friends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.230$ and then your parents get

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.070$ upset with you and so on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.034$ these sorts of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:41:36.034 --> 00:41:37.239 which may be partly genetic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.118$ it will impact on your relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:41:39.120 --> 00:41:40.600 They may get upset with you and angry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.836$ That can then affect how secure

 $00:41:41.836 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.118$ you feel with them and so on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00{:}41{:}43.120 \to 00{:}41{:}45.192$ and that so you and your personality

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:41:45.192 --> 00:41:47.000 starts to shape in a much more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:41:47.000 --> 00:41:48.350 Positive way your attachment relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.539$ than it would have done if you were a baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.440$ I think that's pretty plausible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.840$ We do have a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:41:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.839$ evidence in support of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00{:}41{:}55.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59.128$ So just recently my PhD student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00{:}41{:}59.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01.202$ Andrea Danesi, which is not there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:42:01.202 --> 00:42:02.699 another Andrea Denesha by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.568$ but it's not the same one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.943$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:05.943 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.554$ but they're both fantastic and both Italian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.864$ And when we, when we looked at sensitivity,

00:42:10.870 --> 00:42:11.686 I mentioned this earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:11.686 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.430$ we found that it was like very strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:42:13.430 --> 00:42:14.846 If you just look at the parenting behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.127$ it's just you don't see any sign of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:17.127 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.106$ child's genes in the variation in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.550$ If you look at adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.410$ in adolescence, we coded like, Oh my God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.390$ this is a huge amount of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.310$ by the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.950$ More than 1000 observations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00{:}42{:}28.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.134$ adolescent parent interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.597$ Then what you find is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

00:42:33.597 --> 00:42:35.019 sensitivity apparently of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00{:}42{:}35.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36.524$ caregiver has a strong kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:36.524 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.610$ of imprint on it of the child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.480$ the adolescence genetics.

 $00:42:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.938$ So you know about 1/3 of the variability

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:41.938 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.134$ seemed to be attributable to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:44.134 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.094$ adolescence genes which is a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.779273358

 $00:42:46.094 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.977$ strong clue that this that the child's

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:42:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.445$ genes are kind of driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:42:49.445 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.617$ some of this interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:42:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.041$ And so you know as we said that's what

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}42{:}53.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.619$ we call gene environment correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:42:55.620 --> 00:42:57.228 We also found what Andrea did

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:42:57.228 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.669$ that if you if you do the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:42:59.669 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.977$ analysis you remember that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:43:00.980 --> 00:43:04.252 Before where you try and link the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}43{:}04.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06.004$ the, the sort of genes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:06.004 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.391$ environments of sensitivity and he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:07.391 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.980$ trying to link that to the genes

 $00:43:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.620$ and environments of attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.503$ Then he found that about 1/3 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:12.503 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.780$ the correlation that doesn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.984$ it's not easy to see that actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.030$ sorry about that but but about 1/3

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}43{:}17.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.831$ of the correlation between attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:18.831 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.876$ and sensitivity in adolescence seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:20.876 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.205$ to be attributable to common genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:43:23.205 --> 00:43:25.383 that are influencing both of those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.845$ which again really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:43:26.845 --> 00:43:29.270 I mean pretty strong cross-sectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.329$ evidence that genetics are playing

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:43:31.329 --> 00:43:33.507 quite an important role in driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:33.507 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.415$ caregiving interactions and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:35.415 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.395$ impacting on attachment adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444 00:43:37.400 --> 00:43:38.168 OK great.

 $00:43:38.168 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.088$ So that's that's the attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:40.088 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.856$ environment story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:43:40.860 --> 00:43:43.471 What it's just very briefly for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:43.471 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.826$ technical people in the crowd to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:45.826 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.920$ to just fly one little flag for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.160$ There's a pretty major caveat on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.152$ strong statement that I made about

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}43{:}52.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.777$ the absence of genetic influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:43:53.777 --> 00:43:55.725 on attachment in infancy which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:55.725 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.579$ this slightly dry looking slide that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:43:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.310$ shows you that the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.102$ between genetic effect sizes and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}44{:}03.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05.209$ the power to that you would need

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:05.209 --> 00:44:07.686 to or the sample size you'd need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:07.690 --> 00:44:09.544 To reliably detect a genetic and

00:44:09.544 --> 00:44:11.408 effect effect and you can basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:11.408 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.656$ see on this on this slide here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:13.716 --> 00:44:15.802 I have a decent chance of detecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:15.802 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.498$ a genetic effect of somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:17.498 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.291$ between .3 and .2 which is which

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:20.291 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.355$ is modest but but not at all tiny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}44{:}23.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.782$ You need pretty large samples and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:25.782 --> 00:44:27.864 quite quickly it you're needing more

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:27.864 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.859$ than 500 you might need 1000 or even more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:30.860 --> 00:44:32.916 So actually the studies we've done so far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}44{:}32.920 \to 00{:}44{:}34.288$ they're pretty pretty convincing

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:34.288 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.340$ at the highest level but they

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:36.396 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.828$ absolutely can't rule out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.224$ Some smaller genetic effects and it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:40.224 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.380$ be great if more work could be done on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:43.380 --> 00:44:43.931 OK,

 $00:44:43.931 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.237$ last two bits of the story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:47.240 --> 00:44:47.824 attachment continuity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:47.824 --> 00:44:49.868 So you remember I said that attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:49.868 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.582$ is believed to be very stable over

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:51.582 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.834$ time and that it's transmitted

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:52.834 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.556$ from one generation to the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.048$ So we're going to have a quick look

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:44:56.048 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.755$ at the first part of that story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:57.760 --> 00:44:59.885 Part A is about continuity

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:44:59.885 --> 00:45:01.160 over developmental time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:45:01.160 --> 00:45:03.374 So from infancy until we haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:03.374 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.350$ actually got as far as this guy on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}45{:}06.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.340$ right here yet in our longitudinal studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.995$ the the longest running studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:10.995 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.469$ have been about 30 to 35 years so.

00:45:13.470 --> 00:45:14.898 This is like hard work, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}45{:}14.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.322$ I mean, I did one of these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

00:45:16.322 --> 00:45:17.509 with my colleague Lynn Murray.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86887463444444400:45:17.510 --> 00:45:18.074 We followed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86887463444444

 $00:45:18.074 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.484$ We saw them as babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.306$ Now they're like, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:20.306 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.725$ the last time I saw them, 22,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:21.725 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.090$ that was a long wait for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86887463444444

 $00:45:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.819$ for that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:23.819 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.520$ So you can see this is quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00{:}45{:}25.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.683$ hard research to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:26.683 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.720$ But the NIH study that was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:28.785 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.780$ here in the US is probably the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868874634444444

 $00:45:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.635$ best data we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:45:31.698 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.150$ got really, on this at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:45:34.150 --> 00:45:36.688 So it's worked by calling Booth,

00:45:36.690 --> 00:45:37.563 Laforce, Glenn Roisman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:45:37.563 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.436$ people like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:45:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.195$ Ashley grow 819 of the babies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:45:42.195 --> 00:45:43.790 were seen in the strange situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:45:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.790$ Way back in the 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:45:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.608$ Recess for attachment in multiple ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:45:48.608 --> 00:45:50.509 in infancy and early childhood and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}45{:}50.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.417$ then they were assessed for attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}45{:}52.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.034$ using the adult Attachment interview

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:45:54.034 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.500$ at age 18 and they're really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}45{:}56.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58.810$ kind of strong pretty strong test

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:45:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.004$ of whether the stability over those

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}46{:}01.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.330$ that over that period of time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}46{:}03.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.290$ and this is what the study found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.042$ That's a tiny correlation.

 $00:46:08.042 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.106$ Absolutely microscopically small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}46{:}10.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.050$ That's the strange situation of 15 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:46:13.050 --> 00:46:16.242 The attachment queue set at age 24 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:46:16.242 --> 00:46:16.924 slightly better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:16.924 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.629$ a little bit more continuity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:46:18.630 --> 00:46:20.040 Still pretty weak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.920$ but there's something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.850$ They touched me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.710$ said sometimes people worry doesn't isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:46:24.710 --> 00:46:26.618 the cleanest measure of attachment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.948$ so it could be that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}46{:}28.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.920$ something else sneaking into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.288$ And then if you come and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:46:33.288 --> 00:46:35.339 modified strain situation at 36 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.595$ even less continuity up until

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:38.595 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.336$ age 18 and skip that one.

00:46:41.336 --> 00:46:43.490 I've also just put together all

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}46{:}43.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.720$ of the studies that I know of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.170$ This isn't the most formalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:46:47.170 --> 00:46:48.620 meta analysis I've ever done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:48.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.210$ but but these studies you normally

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.620$ know about because they're so few.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.036$ But these are all of the studies so

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:54.036 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.898$ far that I know of that have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}46{:}56.898 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}46{:}59.154$ really long term follow-ups over like

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:46:59.154 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.066$ 20 years or more from infancy to a dulthood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.030$ And you can cast your eye across that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.488$ and you can see that they're all pretty low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.828$ There are a couple of strange,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}47{:}06.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.262$ very, very high associations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:09.262 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.694$ But the the oops.

 $00:47:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.100$ Doesn't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.516$ Oh yeah, sorry, it's at the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:47:13.516 --> 00:47:15.392 You can see here it's, it's quite small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:15.392 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.096$ but the on the text here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:47:17.100 --> 00:47:20.484 the meta analytic correlation average is .09,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:47:20.484 --> 00:47:22.668 which is actually pretty similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:47:22.668 --> 00:47:25.720 what we saw in the Nic HD study itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:47:25.720 --> 00:47:27.856 If you take out one or two of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:27.856 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.980$ the strange looking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.590$ either the very high or the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}47{:}30.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.080$ low effect sizes out of this set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.522$ just because you think that might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:33.522 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.219$ throwing it off doesn't make a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:47:35.220 --> 00:47:36.810 It's still about point O 9,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.970$ something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.690$ So.

 $00:47:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.112$ The conclusion now I think really has

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}47{:}42.112 \longrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.862$ to be that continuity is the exception

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:44.862 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.835$ rather than the rule in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:47.835 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.427$ attachment over long stretches of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.530$ We know the shorter periods

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.630$ of time it's more stable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.544$ but long term continuity is low

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:54.544 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.730$ and there's a lot of change and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.149$ we don't know a lot about the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:47:59.149 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.104$ of processes that drive those

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}48{:}01.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.960$ the continuity and change it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:48:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.700$ You have to say also that

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}48{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06.299$ measurement error and noise of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}48{:}06.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.964$ course always looks like change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:48:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.146$ So that's and and this keeps coming up.

00:48:10.150 --> 00:48:11.872 It came up already that measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00{:}48{:}11.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.319$ error may be an important factor here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:48:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.538$ so bear that in mind too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:48:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.619$ It's still not going to push these

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

00:48:17.619 --> 00:48:19.600 correlations from .09 up to .6, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:48:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.760$ That's not going to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:48:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.852 \text{ I don't think so.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.816774042272727

 $00:48:21.852 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.490$ I think we have to conclude

NOTE Confidence: 0.9032437766666667

 $00{:}48{:}23.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.812$ that that proposition by attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00:48:26.812 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.174$ theory theorists that it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00{:}48{:}29.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.836$ you know, highly stable over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00:48:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.366$ is laid down in the first years

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00:48:32.366 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.839$ of life and doesn't change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9032437766666667

00:48:33.840 --> 00:48:34.602 It's just wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00:48:34.602 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.757$ That's not going to be as bold as

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00:48:36.757 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.659$ saying that's probably just not right.

 $00:48:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.936$ Could be wrong, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00:48:39.936 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.110$ That's how the data looks to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

00:48:42.110 --> 00:48:43.664 OK, and then, but that's a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00:48:43.664 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.464$ different question to the one about

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00:48:45.464 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.186$ intergenerational continuity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903243776666667

 $00:48:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.890$ So from parents to child. On.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

00:48:52.580 --> 00:48:54.900 As opposed to child, baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:48:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.092$ child to adult child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:48:57.092 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.736$ That makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00{:}48{:}58.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.402$ Marina Spanish and done did did

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:00.402 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.440$ again a great as he always does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.144$ a great master analysis of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00{:}49{:}04.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06.650$ field at the time that had studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.390$ this intergenerational question by

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

00:49:08.390 --> 00:49:10.593 measuring attachment in the adult

00:49:10.593 --> 00:49:12.257 using adult attachment interview,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00{:}49{:}12.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}13.758$ which some of you I'm sure will

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

00:49:13.758 --> 00:49:15.260 know won't go into the details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.934$ and seeing whether that helps you

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:16.934 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.698$ predict whether the baby will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00{:}49{:}18.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.178$ a secure and insecure attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.484$ Some of those studies like the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00{:}49{:}22.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.440$ GREAT study actually done in London

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00{:}49{:}24.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}26.414$ by Howard Miriam Steele and Peter

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

00:49:26.472 --> 00:49:28.480 Fonagy back in 1991 did those AI?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00{:}49{:}28.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.980$ Interviews before the baby was

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.876$ born during pregnancy, and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

00:49:31.876 --> 00:49:32.462 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:32.462 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.220$ followed the baby up when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:34.286 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.990$ were one year old and beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.200$ So the prediction was like,

00:49:37.200 --> 00:49:40.698 you know, before the baby existed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.280$ And he reviewed those studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.960$ have found that nine at the association was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

00:49:44.960 --> 00:49:47.534 well, actually as we look back on it now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.644$ enormous a correlation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:49.644 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.274$.47 is a huge association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.590$ He also found that caregiving helped us

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

00:49:54.590 --> 00:49:56.699 understand why that correlation exists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.354$ so to speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:57.354 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.662$ It kind of appeared to mediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:58.662 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.839$ some of that association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:49:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.317$ but only a small portion of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:50:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.934$ And there was quite a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

 $00:50:02.934 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.010$ that wasn't explained by

NOTE Confidence: 0.877500752

00:50:04.067 --> 00:50:05.787 assessments of sensitivity that.

 $00:50:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.194$ 35% seem to be kind of missing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00{:}50{:}09.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10.898$ You could find this association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:50:10.898 --> 00:50:12.700 the I and attachment of the child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:50:12.700 --> 00:50:14.335 but you just couldn't explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:14.335 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.613$ all of that by just measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:16.613 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.909$ sensitive caregiving and venison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.046$ Doing this great term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:20.046 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.466$ the transmission gap which kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:21.466 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.881$ of created this search for

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:22.881 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.495$ like what are we missing here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.076$ what are we still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:26.076 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.051$ what have we not understood about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:29.051 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.706$ behavioral causes of of attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:50:31.706 --> 00:50:33.830 differences and that continues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.015$ We updated this this meta analysis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:37.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.948$ 2016 because actually a huge amount

 $00:50:39.948 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.390$ of research that happened since

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.818$ venison dawns earlier paper and also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:46.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.720$ Quite a few of us, in fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.032$ This is, this is private, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:51.032 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.760$ No one knows this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.590$ This is not going anywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.098$ Put from the Internet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:50:56.098 --> 00:50:57.619 But the the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:50:57.619 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.731$ the the history of this paper is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:00.731 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.114$ there was a slightly rowdy dinner at SRCD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:04.120 --> 00:51:05.614 Myself, color shingle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:05.614 --> 00:51:06.610 Sherry Madigan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:06.610 \dashrightarrow 00:51:09.473$ some others I think where we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:09.473 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.417$ confessing that we had file draw studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.259$ Like, we had this study, we ran it.

00:51:14.259 --> 00:51:15.960 We didn't understand what happened was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00{:}51{:}16.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.959$ no association between the AI and attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:17.960 --> 00:51:18.734 And we didn't really know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:18.734 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.250$ to do with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:19.250 --> 00:51:20.600 And other people started saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:20.600 --> 00:51:21.758 yeah, I've had that problem too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:21.760 --> 00:51:23.028 And we thought we said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:23.028 --> 00:51:24.274 we have to do something about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:24.280 --> 00:51:26.560 let's, let's redo the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.240$ Meta analysis and see whether actually this

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.389$ this was a winner's curse kind of problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:30.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.834$ Maybe, maybe actually some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:31.834 --> 00:51:32.556 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.318$ maybe the the effect is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:34.318 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.170$ phenomenon is not as robust as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:36.170 --> 00:51:38.915 As we believed it to be and we were

00:51:38.915 --> 00:51:42.146 really keen to make sure that we got as

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:42.146 --> 00:51:44.390 much unpublished data as as we could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143 00:51:44.390 --> 00:51:45.738 so we set off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:51:45.738 --> 00:51:47.861 We had a fantastic, huge number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:47.861 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.146$ people involved in this enterprise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.600$ It became a brilliant collaboration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.370$ Called the cats the Cats Consortium

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.900$ and it's continued ever since,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.528$ which is really wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:51:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.035$ This is how much things have changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.175$ So in 1995 there were 19 studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:03.175 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.090$ on this subject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00{:}52{:}04.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.106$ By 2015 when we reviewed it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.710$ there were 95 studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:52:07.710 --> 00:52:08.910 So you know,

 $00:52:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.415$ just absolutely dwarfed the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:52:10.415 --> 00:52:12.279 data that existed when when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00{:}52{:}12.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.809$ first measure analysis was done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.120$ So the potential for the results to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.088$ completely wipe out what we thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:52:18.088 --> 00:52:19.924 was were true was fairly high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143 00:52:19.930 --> 00:52:22.350 I think. NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.226$ The other thing is that more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:24.226 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.145$ half of the literature we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:26.145 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.895$ able to get was unpublished.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00{:}52{:}27.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29.230$ Which was also pretty wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:52:29.230 --> 00:52:30.490 Actually, we were very lucky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:52:30.490 --> 00:52:32.188 but I suppose because it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:32.188 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.320$ a slightly kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:52:33.320 --> 00:52:35.259 You know, it's this funny small community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.340$ so you kind of know everybody.

 $00:52:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.580$ So it was possible for us to tap

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.776$ most people on the shoulder and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.700$ come on guys, you got me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

00:52:40.700 --> 00:52:42.250 You got any data you'd like to share with us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.587$ And people were really generous with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:43.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.630$ So we got lots of, we got lots of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.860$ Unpublished data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.456$ And this is basically the the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00:52:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.636$ To cut the Long story short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870783372857143

 $00{:}52{:}51.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.238$ we found that the association was

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:52:54.240 --> 00:52:55.419 nevertheless very robust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:52:55.419 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.910$ the correlation of .31,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:52:56.910 \dashrightarrow 00:52:59.974$ but it clearly come down a lot from .47.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:52:59.974 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.656$ I think in hindsight just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:02.656 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.738$ much more realistic effect size.

 $00:53:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.136$ Nevertheless, really quite robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:53:07.136 --> 00:53:09.563 So I remain pretty impressed by how

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:53:09.563 --> 00:53:11.213 consistent that is even though it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:53:11.213 --> 00:53:13.774 not the kind of what we might think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:13.774 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.350$ now as slightly implausible effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.402$ slices that we saw back in 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:53:18.402 --> 00:53:19.458 In 1995, Gosh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:53:19.458 --> 00:53:21.218 you realize I'm going overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.076$ so I'm just going to quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.410$ Skip ahead to outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.024$ then call it a day. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:53:27.024 --> 00:53:28.728 And this is actually a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:28.728 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.580$ but interesting story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.948$ So we also did a bunch of meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:31.948 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.748$ analysis on the association

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:33.748 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.008$ between attachment and outcomes.

 $00:53:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.410$ And started with externalizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.210$ outcomes like aggression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.505$ And what is the evidence for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.086$ Does that really stand out that children

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:53:44.086 --> 00:53:46.439 know insecure will be more aggressive?

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00{:}53{:}46.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.760$ These were the results again in a nutshell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:53:49.760 --> 00:53:51.780 clearly non 0 associations between

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00{:}53{:}51.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.800$ early attachment and in most

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:53.867 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.919$ cases later behavioral problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:53:55.920 --> 00:53:57.423 But you can see on the X axis there

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:57.423 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.757$ that the effect sizes are small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:53:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.596$ These are kind of standard deviations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00{:}54{:}00.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03.113$ So the largest effect we saw was

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00{:}54{:}03.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04.513$ for disorganized attachment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:04.513 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.091$ that's just a little bit over

 $00:54:06.091 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.079 1/3$ of the standard deviation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00{:}54{:}08.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.478$ So it's there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:09.478 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.876$ highly statistically significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.840$ but it's not a huge effect size and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.400$ can see for resistance and avoidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00.54:15.400 \longrightarrow 00.54:17.728$ it's really quite small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.520$ Again significant actually for avoidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.150$ but not but but pretty small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.766$ We also found quite a lot of methodological

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:24.766 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.329$ variables were important here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.982$ So well methodological and

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:28.982 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.308$ potentially developmental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:54:30.310 --> 00:54:31.444 So older children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:31.444 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.334$ if you set studied attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:33.334 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.528$ later you saw stronger effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.195$ If you used measures other

 $00:54:37.195 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.527$ than the strain situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.002$ you saw stronger effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:54:40.002 --> 00:54:42.624 If you use clinical groups or males,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:42.624 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.946$ you tended to see stronger effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.366$ So we took to conclude you know more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.725$ Approximately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:54:47.725 --> 00:54:49.855 That development might be important here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:49.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.715$ that things might consolidate over

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:51.715 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.570$ time and become more predictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.830$ Measurement issues are probably important

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.208$ and probably at risk status matters as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:54:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.370$ We switched to internalizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00{:}55{:}01.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03.570$ problems and this is what we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.250$ Some people said we'd find stronger effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.050$ but we didn't at all.

 $00.55.06.050 \longrightarrow 00.55.06.536$ It was really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:06.536 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.670$ you can see they're quite a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:07.715 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.987$ weaker if you put them side by side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00{:}55{:}08.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11.349$ It's really clear that those are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:11.349 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.170$ externalizing effects that we saw before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:55:13.170 --> 00:55:16.530 It's like double the size, more or less so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.080$ Conclusion #2 is really that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

00:55:19.080 --> 00:55:21.054 attachment does have some but very

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:21.054 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.838$ weak association with internal lens

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:22.838 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.498$ internalising outcomes in childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.980$ I have to say that's an important caveat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.855$ And then finally we ended

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:28.855 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.980$ this whole enterprise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.336$ Of the third of kind of back breaking meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:34.336 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.857$ analytic syntheses on social competence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.504$ And this is what we found

 $00:55:39.504 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.020$ now going in reverse order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333 00:55:41.020 --> 00:55:41.761 So if we, NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:41.761 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.996$ if we present them together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.915$ what we found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:43.915 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.135$ there's the internalizing effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:45.135 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.092$ sizes that we've seen just a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:47.092 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.580$ ago that was the smallest effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00:55:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.637$ When you layer on top of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674383463333333

 $00{:}55{:}49.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.420$ you see the externalizing outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:55:51.420 --> 00:55:52.720 They're quite a lot stronger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:55:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.340$ but again, not not huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:55:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.580$ And then if you look at social competence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00{:}55{:}56.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.052$ that was the strongest association we

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:55:59.052 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.664$ found across the three metro analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:56:01.664 --> 00:56:03.448 between insecurity of attachment

 $00:56:03.448 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.199$ and an outcome in in childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:56:06.200 --> 00:56:08.264 What's also important to point out is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:08.264 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.812$ the difference between social competence

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:09.812 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.774$ and externalizing outcomes is not huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.516$ I mean, they're in the same ballpark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:56:13.520 --> 00:56:15.401 So I wouldn't want to make too strong a

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:56:15.401 --> 00:56:17.387 claim that that's a a more powerful effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.950$ but it does say something about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:56:18.950 --> 00:56:20.318 I suspect it says something important

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:56:20.318 --> 00:56:21.490 about what attachment is doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:56:21.490 --> 00:56:23.390 because both externalizing problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.290$ aggression and social competence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.960$ they're very kind of socially

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.628$ mediated processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.206$ and it makes sense that attachment would

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:56:30.206 --> 00:56:32.611 be pretty important in those really

 $00:56:32.611 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.290$ important domains of children's functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00{:}56{:}36.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37.958$ So and then finally important coder

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:37.958 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.926$ on all of this so we we we there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:40.926 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.467$ an association between attachment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:42.467 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.165$ and behavioral problems but it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:44.165 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.230$ the be all and end all that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.751$ why there are definitely many other

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00{:}56{:}47.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}49.051$ factors that are important attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00{:}56{:}49.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}51.374$ is may be a part of the puzzle and most

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00{:}56{:}51.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52.609$ of this evidence is correlational

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

00:56:52.610 --> 00:56:54.298 at this stage but it it probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:54.298 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.909$ plays a there's a good chance it

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00{:}56{:}55.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58.025$ plays a role but it's it's part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:58.025 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.575$ a multi determined outcome surprise

NOTE Confidence: 0.757332378

 $00:56:59.575 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.040$ surprise right what what isn't.

 $00:57:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.275$ And importantly, all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00{:}57{:}05.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07.560$ studies have looked at one parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.862$ Now, if you have a secure attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:08.862 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.107$ with one parent and an insecure

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:57:10.107 --> 00:57:11.132 attachment with the other, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:11.132 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.428$ what's that going to do to your outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.002$ Right. It's such an obvious question

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:14.002 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.442$ that hadn't really been addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00{:}57{:}15.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.838$ properly and there's evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.388$ There's a really nice paper by

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00{:}57{:}18.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.470$ Kichefski and Kim in 2013 that looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:57:20.470 --> 00:57:22.820 at this and found that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:57:22.820 --> 00:57:24.620 if you had two insecure attachments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.050$ that was more strongly associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:57:26.050 --> 00:57:28.196 with an outcome than if you, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:28.196 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.564$ there was a kind of buffering

 $00:57:29.564 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.441$ process that's going on and our great

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00{:}57{:}31.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}33.523$ colleague or Dagan has just recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:57:33.530 --> 00:57:35.534 Done an independent individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:57:35.534 --> 00:57:37.538 participant database analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:37.538 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.021$ that those same sorts of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:40.021 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.597$ of about 1000 infants and more or

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:42.597 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.552$ less replicated what could chansky

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:44.552 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.590$ and Kim showed which is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00{:}57{:}46.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}48.050$ is some kind of buffering going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:48.050 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.570$ So when we think about the weak

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:57:50.570 --> 00:57:52.490 associations with attachment and outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.246$ we need to bear in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.200$ well that probably isn't surprising because

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:57:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.329$ kids have more than one attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:57:57.330 --> 00:58:00.108 Dads, grannies, childcare minders, who knows?

00:58:00.110 --> 00:58:01.220 I mean actually the other thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:58:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.761$ that we know for sure from a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:58:02.761 --> 00:58:03.895 of research is that children can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:58:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.845$ And multiple attachments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:58:04.845 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.420$ And we've barely scratched the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:58:06.420 --> 00:58:07.969 surface of really studying how all

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00{:}58{:}07.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09.672$ of that plays out in a complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:58:09.672 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.437$ developmental system like the family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00{:}58{:}11.440 \to 00{:}58{:}13.792$ And that's all work remaining for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:58:13.792 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.557$ guys to do hopefully in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

00:58:16.560 --> 00:58:17.622 And then I better stop. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81497582

 $00:58:17.622 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.910$ I'm sorry for getting a little bit over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79997218

 $00:58:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.870$ So much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900231

 $00:58:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.998$ So I I think we are just about at time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892463835

 $00:58:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.682$ but if anyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892463835

 $00:58:36.682 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.250$ So if anyone does need to leave,

 $00:58:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.486$ please feel free to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892463835

 $00{:}58{:}39.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}41.450$ If anyone wants to stay and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.892463835

 $00:58:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.730$ questions for Doctor Fearon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892463835

00:58:42.730 --> 00:58:43.835 please raise your hand and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892463835

 $00:58:43.835 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.280$ we'll take some questions now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:58:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.298$ Thank you. Very interesting and thorough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:58:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.798$ I have two questions. One is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:58:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.640$ You mentioned the personality with

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.668$ the adolescents, but I was curious

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:02.668 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.278$ about temperament with the infants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.978$ And you know, we recently had

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00{:}59{:}05.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08.400$ Nathan Fox here and he spoke a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.940$ about temperament as a moderator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.580$ So that's question number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.009$ And the second one is what's the

00:59:14.009 --> 00:59:17.700 latest news on oxytocin and it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00{:}59{:}17.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}21.250$ Oh yeah. And so yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.290$ so temperament is a temperament is

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

00:59:23.290 --> 00:59:25.912 a complex story because you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:25.912 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.976$ temperament itself is not is is multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:28.976 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.000$ determined so it has genetic roots but

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

00:59:32.088 --> 00:59:35.196 it also has some environmental ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.504$ So it's a little bit unclear what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:38.504 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.738$ should expect with respect to temperament.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.670$ Having said that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

00:59:42.670 --> 00:59:45.327 the most recent work we've done on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:45.327 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.442$ suggests that the association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

00:59:47.442 --> 00:59:49.500 attachment temperament is is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.460$ is is well is weak to very weak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.364$ There is a there is one exception

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $00:59:55.364 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.817$ it seems to that which is resistant

 $00:59:57.817 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.175$ attachment we where we're seeing stronger

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:00.241 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.357$ correlations with with temperament.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:00:02.360 --> 01:00:06.016 So I think that's very interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:00:06.016 --> 01:00:08.788 it certainly is reason to to consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:08.788 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.816$ the possibility that temperament is

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01{:}00{:}10.816 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13.539$ playing more of a role in resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:13.609 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.179$ attachment than the other categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:16.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.716$ The the reason these problems are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:18.716 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.678$ hard is is how do we know what that means so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:00:21.680 --> 01:00:22.292 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:22.292 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.740$ a measure of temperament is asking a parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:24.740 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.720$ does your child cry inconsolably?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01{:}00{:}27.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}28.710$ You know, regularly across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:28.710 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.198$ course of the day and when we met,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:30.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.365$ when we measure it, ambivalent

01:00:32.365 --> 01:00:34.097 attachment or resistant attachment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:34.100 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.750$ we observe children who cry

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:36.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.340$ inconsolably in separation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:38.340 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.750$ reunion situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:39.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.201$ So it's hard to know who gets ownership

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:00:44.201 --> 01:00:46.350 of this construct right who how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:00:46.413 --> 01:00:48.604 we understand the the nature of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:48.604 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.590$ behavior that that we're describing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:50.590 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.336$ And and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:51.336 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.828$ So at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:52.830 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.175$ I'm kind of neutral about whether that

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:00:55.175 --> 01:00:57.529 means that attachment influences temperament,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:57.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.745$ temperament influences attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:00:58.745 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.770$ There's some third variable that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:01:00.770 --> 01:01:02.049 influencing better than I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:02.050 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.836$ I'm not sure.

 $01:01:02.836 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.030$ So I think that's a really interesting issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01{:}05.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}07.781$ The other thing is that the resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:07.781 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.387$ classification is usually the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:01:09.387 --> 01:01:11.529 smallest group within any one study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:11.530 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.833$ So our level of uncertainty about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:13.833 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.346$ causes of that is by far the greatest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:01:16.350 --> 01:01:17.205 I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:17.205 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.270$ of all of the sort of types of attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:20.270 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.790$ So for example, in our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:01:21.790 --> 01:01:22.450 Twin studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01{:}01{:}22.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}24.760$ we would never have had power to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:24.760 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.296$ specifically look at resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01{:}01{:}26.296 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}28.582$ attachment and know what the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:28.582 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.859$ contributions might be there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:29.860 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.996$ So I would absolutely not rule

 $01:01:31.996 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.420$ out the possibility that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01{:}01{:}33.420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}35.490$ That particular pattern of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:35.490 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.517$ of behaviour has more of a kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:01:38.517 --> 01:01:39.906 of temperamental underpinning to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:39.906 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.918$ it than the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:40.920 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.839$ We just don't know at this stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:01:43.840 --> 01:01:45.364 On the oxytocin, I think probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:45.364 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.779$ others are better placed than me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01{:}01{:}46.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}49.314$ I would I suspect to answer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:49.320 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.212$ that question there have not been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:01:52.212 --> 01:01:52.598 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:01:52.600 --> 01:01:54.697 obviously Ruth Feldman does a lot of the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:54.700 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.250$ the, the really. Right, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:01:57.250 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.882$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:01:57.882 --> 01:02:01.674 So it's such a big question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

01:02:01.680 --> 01:02:01.958 Yeah,

01:02:01.958 --> 01:02:02.514 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887500675

 $01:02:02.514 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.460$ maybe that's sort of more like another

NOTE Confidence: 0.783859015454545 01:02:04.521 --> 01:02:04.950 lecture. NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01:02:10.330 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.518$ I'll tell you what I would can I use

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01:02:11.518 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.868$ it as an excuse to say something else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

01:02:12.870 --> 01:02:16.616 which is that one thing that we do not study

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

01:02:16.616 --> 01:02:19.630 at all and it's so obviously important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01{:}02{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}21.562$ is how attachments develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

01:02:21.562 --> 01:02:24.150 I mean, we just don't do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

01:02:24.150 --> 01:02:26.888 Emerson and Schaefer back in 1964 did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

01:02:26.888 --> 01:02:28.330 They would look at a baby that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01:02:28.378 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.858$ didn't have an attachment, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01{:}02{:}29.858 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}31.874$ So you babies don't show selective attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01:02:31.874 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.507$ behavior when they're two months old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01:02:33.510 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.082$ It starts to emerge gradually and

 $01:02:35.082 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.640$ it's really clear by about six

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01:02:36.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.229$ or seven or eight months of age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

01:02:38.230 --> 01:02:40.470 And we know so little about what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

01:02:40.470 --> 01:02:42.348 yeah, I guess I've become even,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01:02:42.350 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.782$ but I think that probably those

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01:02:44.782 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.742$ the psychobiology of that emerging

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

01:02:46.742 --> 01:02:48.310 developmental process is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01:02:48.310 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.372$ really important and we've done so

NOTE Confidence: 0.825485058235294

 $01{:}02{:}49.372 --> 01{:}02{:}50.998$ little on that. But yeah, go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466327

01:02:54.280 --> 01:02:54.840 Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:02:57.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.420$ Yeah, exactly. So those, yeah, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:02:59.420 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.020$ And those that those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:01.020 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.547$ those questions have more has been just

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:03.547 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.036$ like put to sleep since 1960 and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:06.036 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.500$ really don't understand that at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:07.500 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.600$ I'm sure that's where oxytocin and you

 $01:03:09.600 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.050$ know the the sort of psychobiology of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:12.050 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.276$ care that the animal researchers study

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:14.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.840$ is probably really, really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:15.840 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.226$ But we don't even have the tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

01:03:17.226 --> 01:03:19.040 to do it that well, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:19.040 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.080$ the strange situation assumes that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:21.080 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.012$ is already an established attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:23.012 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.992$ and there aren't actually brilliant

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

01:03:24.992 --> 01:03:26.973 tools for studying it but, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

01:03:26.973 --> 01:03:28.604 That doesn't mean we can't come up

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:28.604 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.468$ with them, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:29.468 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.254$ We could definitely come up with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892079968

 $01:03:32.260 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.740$ Say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922766048333333

 $01{:}03{:}37.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}40.003$ I just wanted to say that having

NOTE Confidence: 0.922766048333333

01:03:40.003 --> 01:03:41.459 studied this for 40 years,

 $01:03:41.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.630$ this was a phenomenal talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.922766048333333

 $01:03:42.630 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.100$ and I thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922766048333333

01:03:44.100 --> 01:03:46.068 It was just a Tour de force and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922766048333333

 $01{:}03{:}46.068 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}48.308$ I learned so much, so thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881413487142857

 $01:03:51.090 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.500$ That means a lot coming from you. Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73281523

 $01:03:53.510 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.586$ Mr How do I follow that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73281523

 $01{:}03{:}55.590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}57.238$ You could say it was rubbish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01:04:00.140 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.608$ So my question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01:04:02.610 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.402$ He talked about a lack of continuity in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

01:04:05.402 --> 01:04:07.940 attachment from childhood to adulthood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01{:}04{:}07.940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}09.880$ and I'm wondering if it's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01:04:09.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.799$ issue of methods in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

01:04:11.799 --> 01:04:13.413 And I I think we've probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

01:04:13.413 --> 01:04:14.799 talked about this years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01:04:14.800 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.054$ but I just just cured to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01:04:17.054 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.620$ that the strange situation is

 $01:04:18.620 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.170$ really about reunions and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01:04:20.170 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.737$ AI is not about reunions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01:04:21.740 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.270$ And so if people looked at that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01:04:23.270 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.280$ are they looking at reunions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01{:}04{:}24.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}25.525$ and other context and trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291543625

 $01:04:25.525 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.470$ look at continuity there? Or

NOTE Confidence: 0.840511992666667

01:04:26.480 --> 01:04:29.432 yeah, I would, it would be so great

NOTE Confidence: 0.840511992666667

 $01:04:29.432 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.859$ if someone were to do that the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840511992666667

 $01:04:31.860 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.492$ The the methodology I I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.840511992666667

 $01:04:33.492 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.050$ this is like absolutely crucial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:04:37.300 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.488$ It's it's part, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:04:38.488 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.676$ It's partly about reunions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01{:}04{:}39.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}42.158$ It's also partly about just even

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:04:42.158 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.810$ more generally attachment behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:04:43.810 --> 01:04:45.666 So as as, as, as like I said,

 $01:04:45.670 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.091$ as someone who started off more in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:04:47.091 --> 01:04:48.850 the kind of behavioral ecology world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:04:48.850 --> 01:04:50.530 if I don't see well defined behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:04:50.530 --> 01:04:51.126 I'm nervous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:04:51.126 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.914$ Yeah, the adult attachment interview to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:04:52.914 --> 01:04:55.209 me is like a deeply interesting thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:04:55.210 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.800$ but I'm not sure what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:04:56.800 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.330$ behavior is that I'm seeing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:04:58.330 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.858$ And as you say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:04:59.858 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.386$ it certainly doesn't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01{:}05{:}01.386 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}03.366$ clear proximity seeking in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:03.366 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.449$ It there isn't a clear separation or union.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:06.450 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.424$ So we are in a sense, in my view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:09.424 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.852$ detached from some of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:10.852 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.501$ that give us confidence about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:12.501 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.350$ meaning and function of the behavior,

 $01:05:14.350 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.007$ which is where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:05:15.007 --> 01:05:16.870 A lot of the work that Bobby did

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:05:16.870 --> 01:05:18.514 inspired by people like Robert Hind

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:18.514 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.437$ who really knew how to do this stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:20.440 \dashrightarrow 01:05:22.120$ You know that's where they started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:05:22.120 --> 01:05:24.066 So I do think that's a genuine

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:24.066 \longrightarrow 01:05:26.134$ possibility that that the AI is

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:26.134 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.698$ measuring something different not

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01{:}05{:}27.698 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 01{:}05{:}29.268$ something unimportant because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:29.268 \longrightarrow 01:05:30.973$ you know it's something really

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01{:}05{:}30.973 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}33.139$ interesting about don't say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:05:33.140 --> 01:05:36.227 but it's but it's just may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01{:}05{:}36.227 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}38.220$ be attachment behavior itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:38.220 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.893$ And then the question is well how

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:05:39.893 --> 01:05:41.215 would you study separation and

 $01:05:41.215 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.883$ reunions in teenagers or in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:42.883 \longrightarrow 01:05:44.659$ although we saw a great video right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:44.660 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.284$ I mean that was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:46.284 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.214$ But sending their dads off to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:48.214 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.700$ deployed for six months is never

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:49.700 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.142$ going to get you past an IRB.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:51.150 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.244$ So the question is how to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

01:05:54.244 --> 01:05:56.309 good experimental handle on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77473718625

 $01:05:56.310 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.090$ On on isomorphism? Isomorphic behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.740631015

 $01:06:01.250 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.814$ With adults, you know you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.740631015

01:06:02.814 --> 01:06:04.050 in on the playground some kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.800092762222222

01:06:04.096 --> 01:06:05.720 have a hard time and then they hover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8000927622222222

 $01:06:05.720 \longrightarrow 01:06:07.486$ they don't go back in or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8000927622222222

 $01:06:07.486 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.854$ in a in a couples relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.800092762222222

 $01:06:08.854 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.247$ with things are not going well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800092762222222

 $01:06:10.250 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.340$ You know they make up or does it stay

01:06:12.350 --> 01:06:14.168 you know I think I think that's the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:14.168 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.304$ of territory that we need to be looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:06:16.310 --> 01:06:18.256 I completely agree. I don't know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:18.256 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.519$ you ever ever at Waters has done some

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:20.519 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.680$ nice coding of couple of interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:22.680 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.752$ Where he explicitly tries to codify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:24.752 \longrightarrow 01:06:26.739$ behavior as being attachment behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:26.740 \longrightarrow 01:06:29.218$ So you know you're feeling distressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01{:}06{:}29.220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31.080$ so do you. In what way do you kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:31.136 \longrightarrow 01:06:33.145$ reach out and try to create psychological

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:06:33.145 --> 01:06:35.117 proximity or it may even be physical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:35.120 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.880$ actually, of course with with your

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01{:}06{:}37.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}40.452$ partner and to me that's a that's a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:40.452 \longrightarrow 01:06:41.666$ approximation for explicit attachment

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:06:41.666 --> 01:06:44.186 behavior than what is happening in the AI,

01:06:44.190 --> 01:06:46.296 which is something much kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:06:46.296 --> 01:06:47.700 more complex and psychological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:47.700 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.895$ So I think I think there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:50.895 \longrightarrow 01:06:53.192$ potential there there and there have been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:06:53.192 --> 01:06:54.450 Some studies, not enough of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:54.450 \longrightarrow 01:06:57.644$ I think, to be able to sort of conclude

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:06:57.644 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.739$ that the continuity is higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:06:59.740 --> 01:07:01.216 If you measure it like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:07:01.220 --> 01:07:03.126 then if you measured it using AI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:07:03.126 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.704$ but that is a possibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01{:}07{:}04.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}06.162$ That has certainly been one study

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:07:06.162 --> 01:07:07.840 I can think of from Everett,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:07:07.840 \longrightarrow 01:07:09.358$ Wash this team that suggests that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:07:09.358 --> 01:07:10.900 reasonably high level of continuity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

 $01:07:10.900 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.250$ So I think that is an important way to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808962251666667

01:07:14.250 --> 01:07:16.010 Great. Well, we're out of time,

 $01{:}07{:}16.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}18.698$ so I'd like to thank you and appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.75182935

01:07:18.710 --> 01:07:19.640 a wonderful talk.