WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:01:15" NOTE recognizability:0.796

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.906553975

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.840$  It just feels good

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:00:01.990 --> 00:00:03.826 to see all these people here in this room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00{:}00{:}03.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06.562$  and it feels even better to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:06.562 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.910$  opportunity to introduce Doctor Adam Naples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:00:09.910 --> 00:00:11.597 So I have known Adam and worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:11.597 \dashrightarrow 00:00:13.500$  closely with Adam for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00{:}00{:}13.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}16.674$  Adam is a is a long-term denizen

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:00:16.674 --> 00:00:19.110 of the Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:00:19.110 --> 00:00:21.252 He through a kind of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:21.252 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.323$  and circuitous path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00{:}00{:}22.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.940$  Adam started out as a musician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00{:}00{:}24.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.480$  was admitted to the Berkeley School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.503$  Music and was there and got exposed to music

 $00:00:28.503 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.195$  therapy and found the psychology part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:30.195 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.698$  Of the music therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.749$  maybe more interesting than the music.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:33.749 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.014$  So he transferred to Cornell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.960$  got his degree in psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00{:}00{:}36.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.866$  came to Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:00:37.866 --> 00:00:39.678 got his PhD in cognitive science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.110$  and has been here in various

NOTE Confidence: 0.9258571922222222

00:00:41.110 --> 00:00:43.780 ways until he was promoted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:00:43.780 --> 00:00:46.160 assistant professor this past July.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9258571922222222

 $00{:}00{:}46.160 \to 00{:}00{:}50.164$  As one might infer from making the pivot

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:50.164 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.584$  from guitar to statistical genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9258571922222222

 $00{:}00{:}52.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.860$  Adam is a person who is good

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:00:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.140$  at a lot of different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:00:58.140 --> 00:00:59.850 Adam is very good at statistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00{:}00{:}59.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.176$  he's knowledge about. Genetics.

 $00:01:01.176 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.040$  He's knowledge about neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:01:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.858$  cognitive science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:01:03.858 --> 00:01:07.130 And I like to say if if anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:01:07.213 --> 00:01:09.379 operates using electricity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:01:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.900$  Adam understands it better than you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:01:11.900 --> 00:01:15.178 can make it work better than anybody else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:01:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.602$  He is wildly creative in the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:01:17.602 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.540$  that you'll hear about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:01:19.540 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.380$  He has built experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:01:21.380 --> 00:01:22.760 he's built machines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

00:01:22.760 --> 00:01:24.380 he's built labs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00{:}01{:}24.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.588$  and he's equivalently creative

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00{:}01{:}25.588 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}00{:}01{:}27.098$  in terms of his ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9258571922222222

 $00:01:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.180$  And so you're going to hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.925857192222222

 $00:01:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.090$  very creative ideas as well.

 $00:01:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.900$  Adam is

NOTE Confidence: 0.712745093333333

 $00:01:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.190$  a he's a a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:01:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.800$  Prolific collaborator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

00:01:35.800 --> 00:01:39.240 He is known in in our field internationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:01:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.990$  for his expertise specifically in

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

00:01:40.990 --> 00:01:43.615 things like eye tracking and EEG which

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

00:01:43.615 --> 00:01:46.400 both these electricity and he Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

00:01:46.400 --> 00:01:48.920 yeah, I'm going to be quiet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

00:01:48.920 --> 00:01:51.413 I want to just highlight one thing it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

00:01:51.420 --> 00:01:53.778 it is such a it's a such a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00{:}01{:}53.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.860$  achievement for Adam to be here as

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:01:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.738$  an assistant professor and it's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00{:}01{:}57.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.670$  such a great achievement for Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:01:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.525$  because as we recognize one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00{:}02{:}01.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02.796$  challenges of the academic system

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:02:02.796 \dashrightarrow 00:02:05.470$  is being as collaborative as Adam.

 $00{:}02{:}05.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.810$  Can in weird ways be attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00{:}02{:}07.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.370$  with the promotional process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:02:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.870$  And so Adam being here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:02:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.870$  an achievement for Adam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:02:11.870 \dashrightarrow 00:02:13.508$  and it's also an achievement for Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:02:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.272$  And really embracing Team Science is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:02:15.272 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.970$  what we we know that we need to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00{:}02{:}17.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.524$  And with that, I give you Adam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:02:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.490$  who is my closest colleague and my very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845271328

 $00:02:22.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.720$  very dear friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:02:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.998$  Thank you, Jamie, that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:02:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.112$  Is a lots to follow. It's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:02:47.112 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.867$  It is. As Jamie mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:02:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.900$  I've been here for years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:02:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.350$  it's it's pretty amazing to be

 $00:02:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.150$  giving this talk right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:02:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.636$  I've seen a lot of very, I've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:03:00.636 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.380$  a lot of amazing talks in this room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:03:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.475$  For maybe the past like 18 years or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:03:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.880$  and I'm just going to disable some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851941065

 $00:03:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.468$  these distracting things over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

 $00:03:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.680$  So as you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

00:03:15.680 --> 00:03:18.140 I'm a cognitive psychologist by training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

 $00{:}03{:}18.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.805$  My research is really focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

 $00:03:19.805 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.470$  on using measurement and methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

00:03:21.525 --> 00:03:23.457 from cognitive psychology to

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

 $00:03:23.457 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.906$  understand individual differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

00:03:24.910 --> 00:03:26.116 And today I'm going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

 $00{:}03{:}26.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.093$  to you about a lot of our work

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

 $00:03:28.093 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.217$  studying biomarkers and autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

 $00:03:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.560$  And so if anything's unclear,

 $00:03:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.506$  ask me a question and I'll try

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

 $00:03:32.506 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.542$  to make it more clear. And then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798551391

 $00:03:34.542 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.879$  All right, you can see my mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79855139100:03:35.880 --> 00:03:36.430 Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850434006

 $00:03:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.608$  So just a quick overview, just I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.850434006

 $00{:}03{:}40.608 \to 00{:}03{:}42.106$  going to tell you what biomarkers are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850434006

00:03:42.110 --> 00:03:43.808 why they're important because we hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.850434006

 $00:03:43.808 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.016$  this word a lot and I use it a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850434006

 $00{:}03{:}46.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.686$  but it's always good to be reminded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:03:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.426$  I'm going to talk to you about large

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:03:52.426 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.402$  scale biomarker research and autism that

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00{:}03{:}54.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.230$  have done a lot and a lot of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

00:03:56.230 --> 00:03:56.920 All the work I need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:03:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.590$  talk to you about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:03:57.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.574$  I've done with Jamie as a we've called

 $00:04:00.574 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.590$  collaborated very closely for years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:04:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.918$  Then I'm going to talk about some new

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:04:04.918 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.065$  experiments that we've designed to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:04:07.065 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.950$  more inclusive for traditionally very

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:04:08.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.787$  underserved group of kids with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

00:04:10.790 --> 00:04:12.596 And then finally I'm going to end

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:04:12.596 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.575$  with some novel biomarkers that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

00:04:14.575 --> 00:04:16.485 exploring to study social cognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:04:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.226$  but so, so what's a biomarker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:04:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.160$  It's a defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00{:}04{:}20.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.090$  Characteristic that's measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:04:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.860$  as an indicator of a process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877711795384615

 $00:04:22.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.228$  a pathogenic process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.774$  You can look at this definition for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:04:27.774 --> 00:04:29.149 while and think of all kinds of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.369$  but biomarkers are things like heart rate,

00:04:31.370 --> 00:04:33.586 blood glucose, blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:33.586 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.248$  their routine measurements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.690$  they're reliable measurements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.610$  and their objective measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:04:38.610 --> 00:04:40.199 So when you go to Quest Diagnostics

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:40.199 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.489$  and they take their blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:04:41.490 --> 00:04:43.330 they take your blood or someone else's blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.709$  and you get back to that e-mail

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:04:44.709 --> 00:04:46.432 with hundreds of numbers, and all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:46.432 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.901$  those things are biomarkers, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:47.901 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.960$  And some of them are better or worse for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.976$  Different kinds of decisions you might make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:04:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.148$  And that's that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:04:55.148 --> 00:04:56.316 why we need biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}04{:}56.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.994$  because we need to make decisions

 $00:04:57.994 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.540$  about all kinds of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}04{:}59.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.448$  We need to make decisions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:01.448 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.640$  who gets an early intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.748$  And these are psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:04.748 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.133$  biomarkers I'm talking about here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:05:06.140 --> 00:05:09.976 But it's not just for psychiatric biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.668$  We need biomarkers for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:05:11.668 --> 00:05:12.934 more accurate diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.980$  We need them for treatment selection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.398$  We need them for individualized treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.688$  and we need them so we can understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}05{:}19.688 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}05{:}21.242$  how treatments are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:21.242 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.787$  evaluate how well they're going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:22.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.927$  So now these are some of these decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:05:26.930 --> 00:05:28.865 That's sort of an abstract way of saying it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:28.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.518$  But we might think,

00:05:29.518 --> 00:05:31.165 like if you have a kid who's three years

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:05:31.165 --> 00:05:32.649 old and they're learning how to read,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:05:32.650 --> 00:05:33.259 you might think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:33.259 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.621$  do I want to put that kid in an early

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:35.621 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.809$  reading intervention right now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}05{:}36.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.340$  Right. That's an expensive process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.418$  That's there's limited accessibility to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.862$  And is that going to help your

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:42.862 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.199$  kid become a better reader?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.650$  If you're worried your kids at risk, maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:05:47.650 --> 00:05:49.030 Or you might wonder, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:05:49.030 --> 00:05:50.200 well, you know, somebody said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}05{:}50.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.466$  my kids not sitting still enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}05{:}51.470 --> 00{:}05{:}52.920$  should I give that kid?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:05:52.920 --> 00:05:54.980 A stimulant medication for that,

 $00:05:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.020$  and that's a decision that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}05{:}57.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.652$  many parents struggle with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:05:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.644$  many people struggle with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:05:59.644 --> 00:06:01.840 and it would be really great if we had good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:06:01.840 --> 00:06:03.319 solid, objective measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}06{:}03.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.277$  to help us make those decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.318$  They can even be things like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:06:07.320 --> 00:06:08.360 should I eat cake?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}06{:}08.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.268$  Right, for people with diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:06:10.268 --> 00:06:11.916 there's Thanksgiving comes along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.336$  they're measuring their blood glucose level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.607$  And then the third cake comes out

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:14.607 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.431$  and they think, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:06:15.431 --> 00:06:17.117 should I have this third cake?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.316$  Let me check where I'm at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.640$  and I'm going to give you an example

 $00:06:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.979$  of a very concrete biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}06{:}21.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.828$  and I'm going to come back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:23.828 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.620$  it repeatedly here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:06:24.620 --> 00:06:25.469 It's heart rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}06{:}25.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.450$  And I like heart rate because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:27.517 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.539$  think probably half the people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:06:29.539 --> 00:06:31.567 this room are measuring their heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:31.567 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.427$  rate right now on their watch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.940$  And it's a. It's it's ubiquitous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.450$  It's so ubiquitous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.640$  You don't think about it as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:38.683 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.309$  biomarker anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00{:}06{:}39.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41.030$  We just think of it like it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:41.030 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.820$  measurement and it's such a good and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.125$  reliable measurement that people use

00:06:44.179 --> 00:06:46.278 it for all kinds of things that people, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:46.278 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.434$  you know, initial studies of heart rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

00:06:48.440 --> 00:06:51.168 which are in the prehistory at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845615545

 $00:06:51.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.778$  probably never conceived of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:06:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.218$  Things about heart rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:06:56.218 --> 00:06:57.420 Well, it's routine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:06:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.430$  I measure my heart rate every second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.810$  I think on my wrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.602$  It's reliable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:02.602 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.582$  My heart rate is under

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00{:}07{:}04.582 --> 00{:}07{:}05.770$  the same circumstances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.170$  the same as it is the day before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.893$  and it's subjective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00{:}07{:}08.893 \to 00{:}07{:}10.890$  So it's signing a light through my wrist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:07:10.890 --> 00:07:12.830 it's measuring the blood reflectance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.390$  doing some math to those signals,

 $00:07:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.267$  and then coming back with a number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.275$  That's not to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:19.275 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.879$  that process is perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.476$  but it always gives you the

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:07:22.476 --> 00:07:24.229 same output for the same input,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.245$  and that way it's objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:26.245 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.454$  and very reliable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.161$  And so now that we have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:29.161 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.400$  biomarker that's on my wrist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.820$  we can use it for all kinds of fun things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.580$  So well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.240$  we can use it for early intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00{:}07{:}36.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.312$  And you can see there that little

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:38.312 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.167$  blue line by resting heart rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:07:40.167 --> 00:07:42.191 goes from 55 to 80 in a day,

 $00:07:42.191 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.253$  which is a little bit unusual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.910$  And that's when I got my

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:07:44.910 --> 00:07:46.112 second COVID booster right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:46.112 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.972$  The heart rate predicted the

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:47.972 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.559$  onset of a pretty prolonged and

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00{:}07{:}50.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.607$  exciting experience dealing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:52.607 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.120$  that heart rate used in many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:07:54.120 --> 00:07:55.821 many diagnostic tests if you've been to

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:55.821 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.097$  a doctor and they've checked your heart rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.736$  That's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:07:59.736 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.190$  They follow it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:08:02.190 --> 00:08:03.990 I'm running out of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00{:}08{:}03.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.544$  so it's also used for treatment selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:08:05.544 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.850$  so I can go for a run on my watch and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:08:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.584$  suggests you know what you should do today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:08:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.574$  Based on my heart rate over

00:08:10.574 --> 00:08:11.520 the past couple of months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00{:}08{:}11.520 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}08{:}13.767$  you should go for a 27 minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:08:13.767 --> 00:08:15.571 run at 11-5 minute mile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

 $00:08:15.571 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.506$  which is not very impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922 00:08:17.510 --> 00:08:17.747 No, NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:08:17.747 --> 00:08:19.406 I don't have to follow this advice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:08:19.410 --> 00:08:21.426 but it's at least it's giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922

00:08:21.426 --> 00:08:22.770 me an individualized treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.674047922 00:08:22.770 --> 00:08:23.360 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:25.480 --> 00:08:27.896 And then it evaluates how well I did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00:08:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.804$  and that treatment too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:28.804 --> 00:08:30.460 So if I fail to follow that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00{:}08{:}30.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.436$  it uses the language of an NIH grant

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00{:}08{:}32.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.686$  reviewer and it tells me that my my

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:34.686 --> 00:08:35.818 training status was unproductive.

 $00:08:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.712$  So this is, I think, kind of a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:38.712 --> 00:08:40.599 motivating example of once you get really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:40.600 --> 00:08:42.304 really good, inexpensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00:08:42.304 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.576$  reliable and effective measurements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00:08:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.220$  then they might not be used for whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00:08:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.916$  you thought they were going to be used for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:49.920 --> 00:08:51.180 But now they're tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:51.180 --> 00:08:51.810 they're screwdrivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:51.810 --> 00:08:52.338 they're hammers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00:08:52.338 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.186$  And so people can use them for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00:08:54.186 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.400$  whatever their imaginations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:55.400 --> 00:08:58.748 Guide them to their hypothesis generating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:08:58.750 --> 00:09:00.556 but we're not talking about heart rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00:09:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.856$  we're talking about autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

 $00:09:01.856 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.709$  So I'm going to get to that quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82523476875

00:09:04.710 --> 00:09:05.416 All right,

00:09:05.416 --> 00:09:06.828 so talk about autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00{:}09{:}09{:}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.899$  I think the first time I saw this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:09:11.899 --> 00:09:14.516 was in this room maybe 20 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.110$  Was diagnosed early in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:09:16.110 --> 00:09:18.348 It's heterogeneous syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:18.348 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.386$  It's described as defined by

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:09:21.386 --> 00:09:22.978 persistent challenges in social

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:22.978 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.570$  communication and social interaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.790$  restricted repetitive patterns of behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:26.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.668$  sensory sensitivities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:09:27.668 --> 00:09:30.302 What's common among all diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:30.302 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.256$  autism is that they're associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00{:}09{:}33.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.766$  challenges and reciprocal social behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00{:}09{:}35.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.884$  But the mechanisms for autism are unknown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.800$  and our current current tools

 $00:09:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.328$  for assessment are subjective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.114$  Report. They're subjective report

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:43.114 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.898$  from a person who's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294 00:09:44.900 --> 00:09:45.324 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:45.324 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.596$  reporting on themselves from a parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:09:46.600 --> 00:09:47.885 reporting on their child or

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:47.885 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.913$  caregiver on their child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.630$  someone interacting with the person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.376$  And it's not to say these

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:09:52.376 --> 00:09:53.540 aren't very good measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00{:}09{:}53.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.345$  but they're still not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:55.345 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.852$  the same thing as the same exact

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:09:57.852 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.372$  output for the same input that we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:00.443 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.809$  from shining a light for your wrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.016$  Umm. And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:06.016 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.780$  With that heterogeneity,

00:10:07.780 --> 00:10:09.460 with that unknown mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.980$  there's there's many theories of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:12.047 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.868$  the underlying causal deficits are in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:10:14.870 --> 00:10:16.154 And I'm going to really briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:16.154 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.420$  go over a couple of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.680$  And the point here is to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.287$  you an idea of the breadth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00{:}10{:}20.287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.562$  how these theories hit different

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:21.562 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.913$  levels of abstraction rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00{:}10{:}22.913 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.491$  they go into detail about anyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:10:24.500 --> 00:10:26.810 because that would be hours and hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.300$  that would be a dissertation defense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00{:}10{:}29.300 \to 00{:}10{:}31.030$  so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00{:}10{:}31.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.706$  I could talk about social motivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.494$  predictive coding.

 $00:10:34.494 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.846$  These are different levels of analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.668$  just regulated arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:38.668 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.304$  And the imbalance or imbalance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:42.304 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.498$  excitation and inhibition in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.892$  The social motivation which

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:46.892 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.632$  has a strong history here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.335$  Both Jamie and Cara published on it

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:51.335 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.168$  together here and at other universities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294 00:10:54.170 --> 00:10:54.483 Briefly, NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:54.483 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.048$  and I'm terrified to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:10:56.048 --> 00:10:58.089 about it in front of you guys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:10:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.862$  we can describe this as reduced motivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:11:00.862 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.687$  to interact and attend to other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:11:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.166$  And this starts early in development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:11:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.480$  And so the cascading consequences of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:11:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.467$  are you pay less attention to other people,

00:11:10.470 --> 00:11:12.250 you interact with people less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:11:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.986$  and so you learn less about other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:11:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.342$  And it's harder to develop sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:11:16.342 --> 00:11:18.145 social mastery and expertise about

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:11:18.145 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.317$  other people if that's not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

00:11:20.317 --> 00:11:22.629 world that you're interacting with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:11:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.250$  So this is really, really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00:11:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.610$  Primarily inspired by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859844898235294

 $00{:}11{:}25.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.970$  social symptoms of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.770$  So. Secondly, I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.195$  talk about what's called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:34.195 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.627$  predictive coding theory of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.446$  Predictive coding is a if you Google this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.598$  you get a lot of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:38.598 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.630$  don't have autism to do with it.

00:11:39.630 --> 00:11:41.870 But the idea is that in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.210$  the brain has worked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.885$  Brain works by continuously generating

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:44.885 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.300$  predictions about the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.950$  and these are implicit, not explicit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.630$  Like I'm going to make a prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

00:11:49.630 --> 00:11:51.109 about what's going to happen today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00{:}11{:}51.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53.450$  These are things like low level

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00{:}11{:}53.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.910$  sensory input all of the way up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.150$  and most of these predictions are wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:11:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.244$  And so we learn about the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.682$  Based on how we deal with the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:03.682 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.658$  of wrongness of these predictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.530$  and there's some evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.405$  people autism learn from those

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00{:}12{:}09.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.530$  prediction errors differently.

00:12:10.530 --> 00:12:13.500 And similarly to social motivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:13.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.780$  this is happening early in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.804$  development and it cascades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

00:12:15.810 --> 00:12:17.380 So starting from, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

00:12:17.380 --> 00:12:19.669 very young age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.022$  They're learning about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

00:12:21.022 --> 00:12:21.698 environment differently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.212$  but in this case it's not specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:23.212 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.860$  to social things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.060$  It's more kind of kind of about everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.444$  And the a lot of the inspiration for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:29.444 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.769$  these theories comes from the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

00:12:31.769 --> 00:12:33.434 sensory symptoms that people often

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00{:}12{:}33.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35.066$  report increased sensitivity sounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:35.066 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.141$  or people will also report that some

 $00:12:38.141 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.746$  kids have decreased sensitivity to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857067

 $00:12:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.208$  2 sounds to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:12:45.280 --> 00:12:48.016 All right. I just got a high heart rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:12:48.016 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.706$  alert from my walk and so it's a I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:12:50.706 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.690$  to take that off before it calls 911.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:12:56.690 --> 00:13:00.140 So that that's predictive coding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:13:00.140 --> 00:13:01.355 not necessarily social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:13:01.360 --> 00:13:02.660 still about learning and learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00{:}13{:}02.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03.700$  differently about the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:13:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.041$  And you'll see that most of the time we

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00{:}13{:}05.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.478$  were talking about anything developmental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:13:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.060$  it's about learning about the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:13:09.060 --> 00:13:10.392 Alright. Now dysregulated arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:13:10.392 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.882$  I've put these references here at the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:13:12.882 --> 00:13:14.855 just to show that in 1961 they're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:13:14.855 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.920$  the same experiments that we're doing now.

 $00:13:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.050$  So we think about arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00{:}13{:}18.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.395$  we're talking about these overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:13:19.395 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.740$  states of brain and body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:13:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.876$  We think and describing this sort of the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:13:24.876 --> 00:13:26.580 people describe or things like sweating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:13:26.580 --> 00:13:28.940 high heart rates, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:13:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.560$  behavioral activation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:13:29.560 --> 00:13:30.800 you can't sit still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:13:30.800 --> 00:13:31.216 Alternatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

00:13:31.216 --> 00:13:32.464 insensitivity, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:13:32.464 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.544$  you're not sensitive to sounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077

 $00:13:34.544 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.509$  or external stimuli or sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858379246923077 00:13:36.510 --> 00:13:37.050 you're. NOTE Confidence: 0.787306760555556

 $00:13:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.538$  It just less sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787306760555556

00:13:41.538 --> 00:13:44.756 So at the extreme ends we have sleep

 $00:13:44.756 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.186$  for arousals like the low end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787306760555556

 $00:13:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.140$  And this there is evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.787306760555556

00:13:49.140 --> 00:13:51.449 these are always are typical in ASD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787306760555556

 $00:13:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.394$  primarily from physiological research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787306760555556

 $00:13:53.394 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.310$  So disregulated Physiology and heart rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787306760555556

00:13:56.310 --> 00:14:00.350 sleep, sweating, pupil diameter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787306760555556

00:14:00.350 --> 00:14:02.330 sound sensitivity, sound insensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787306760555556

 $00:14:02.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.310$  pain sensitive pain insensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.185$  And then lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:08.185 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.375$  there's this theory of that autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.218$  These symptoms emerge from an imbalance

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:13.218 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.088$  of excitation and inhibition in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:14:16.090 --> 00:14:18.706 And the attractive thing about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:18.706 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.619$  theory about many of these is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.085$  A dysregulation of excitation in the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:25.085 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.488$  brain is going to impact everything.

 $00:14:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.740$  And autism is tremendously heterogeneous and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}14{:}30.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.200$  their symptoms that emerge that are sensory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:14:33.200 --> 00:14:35.020 their cognition, their motor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.295$  which I haven't talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.992$  There's also an increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:14:38.992 --> 00:14:41.107 occurrence of seizures and autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:14:41.110 --> 00:14:44.617 And so. That's the we call that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:44.617 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.210$  the EI balance just for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.278$  So lots and lots of theories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.232$  They're hitting at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:49.232 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.946$  levels of abstraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.086$  But, but I'm a cognitive psychologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}14{:}52.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.728$  And so when I was an undergrad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.495$  somebody gave me this book

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:54.495 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.390$  and they said to read it,

00:14:55.390 --> 00:14:57.238 and I couldn't get too far

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:14:57.238 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.475$  and it was too hard for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:14:59.475 --> 00:15:01.485 But all those theories are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:15:01.485 --> 00:15:03.170 really mutually exclusive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.509$  And this book came out and it's about vision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.314$  It's not about autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}15{:}07.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.098$  But it gave sort of a rubric for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:09.098 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.946$  thinking about lots and lots of theories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}15{:}10.950 --> 00{:}15{:}12.231$  And if the idea is that, look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:15:12.231 --> 00:15:14.097 we can break down any information

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:15:14.097 --> 00:15:15.752 processing system and into how

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}15{:}15.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.080$  it's approaching its problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.858$  there's a computational level which is just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.874$  What's the problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:15:19.874 --> 00:15:21.226 It's trying to solve?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.450$  There's the algorithmic level,

 $00:15:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.570$  which is the the individual steps that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}15{:}24.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26.434$  you have to take to solve this problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:15:26.440 --> 00:15:28.316 And anyone who is in a cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:28.316 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.850$  psychology class in the late 90s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.090$  there's lots and lots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.330$  boxes and arrows for lots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.622$  you know these these super complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}15{:}34.622 \to 00{:}15{:}37.240$  models that did chess and cooking and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:15:37.240 --> 00:15:39.280 you know flight path organization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}15{:}39.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.708$  said this is how the brain works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}15{:}41.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.205$  And then there's the implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00:15:43.205 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.042$  level and that's what what's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

 $00{:}15{:}45.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.764$  doing the computing in a computer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:15:46.770 --> 00:15:49.115 it's silicon chips and a brain, it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86725499

00:15:49.115 --> 00:15:49.620 Green.

00:15:52.740 --> 00:15:55.404 So I feel like I think about these things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00{:}15{:}55.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.003$  and I said, can we align them in kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:15:57.003 --> 00:15:58.819 of a meta theory because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:15:58.819 --> 00:16:00.095 not necessarily mutually exclusive?

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.340$  We can think about social motivation as sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:16:02.340 --> 00:16:04.259 of addressing this computational problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.490$  right? We want to make friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.659$  We want to learn about other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.508$  What are the steps we go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:08.508 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.960$  to do that predictive coding is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00{:}16{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.200$  You know, really fits in sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.892$  this algorithmic level and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00{:}16{:}16.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.216$  aligned with these sort of low level

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00{:}16{:}19.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.695$  information processing demands where

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:20.695 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.222$  we deploy our eye movements and seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.247$  how we learn from different kinds of

 $00:16:26.247 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.289$  statistical regularities in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.186$  And then from the implementation level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.320$  we have excitation and EI and

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.360$  we have just regulated arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.976$  Cells firing you know too much or body

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:37.976 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.139$  state and brain state up or down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222 00:16:41.140 --> 00:16:41.660 Right. NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:16:41.660 --> 00:16:44.780 So these theories generate a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:16:44.780 --> 00:16:45.820 of hypotheses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:16:45.820 --> 00:16:47.852 but what we don't know and so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:47.852 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.652$  was briefly went through this but

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:16:49.652 --> 00:16:51.494 you know hundreds of papers on

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:16:51.560 --> 00:16:52.980 on many of these topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:16:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.625$  There's a lot of research in autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:16:54.625 --> 00:16:56.280 as I'm sure you are all aware,

00:16:56.280 --> 00:16:58.440 but we we need to kind of know which

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00{:}16{:}58.440 \operatorname{{--}}{>} 00{:}17{:}00.788$  ones work before we put it on our wrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.050$  And even then it's not perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.500$  It's almost called the police.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.970$  So I'm going to talk about biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.786$  not the police,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:05.786 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.146$  just just 911 in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.150$  And ASD, I'm going to talk to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.046$  about the tools that we use here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222 00:17:11.050 --> 00:17:11.822 All right. NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:17:11.822 --> 00:17:14.524 So EG what's EG EEG is let

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:14.524 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.327$  me know if I stray too far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:17:17.330 --> 00:17:19.675 It's the sum of the ongoing cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:17:19.675 --> 00:17:21.603 activity recorded of the scalp

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:21.603 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.748$  reflects the excitatory and inhibitory

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:23.748 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.750$  postsynaptic activity in the cortex.

 $00:17:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.647$  Way we measure it is we take

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:27.647 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.558$  this soft spongy cap with little

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:29.558 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.934$  electrodes in the wires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:17:30.940 --> 00:17:32.740 You put it on your head and we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.595$  those little electrodes with a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:34.595 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.195$  expensive amplifier to amplify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:36.253 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.753$  very faint electrical signals generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00{:}17{:}37.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.642$  by your brain into a recording.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:39.642 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.934$  And the recording looks like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.064$  So each one of these wiggly

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:44.064 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.480$  lines is the voltage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.378$  Measure your scalp, and this is amplitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00{:}17{:}48.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.156$  So how loud, how big the voltage is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:17:50.160 --> 00:17:50.988 And this is time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:50.988 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.023$  and this is in milliseconds.

 $00:17:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.934$  This is really, really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:52.934 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.366$  really, really, really fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:54.366 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.778$  A lot of lines means we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:56.778 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.708$  using lots of electrodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:17:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.447$  And we can learn a lot of things from

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:18:00.447 --> 00:18:02.394 this ongoing EG even when people are just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.470$  when they're not doing anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:04.470 \dashrightarrow 00:18:06.882$  One way is we can look at the relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:06.882 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.739$  contribution of the different frequencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.548$  So the fast wiggles and the slow wiggles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00{:}18{:}11.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.290$  And what's really interesting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.030$  that the excitatory and inhibitory

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00{:}18{:}15.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.666$  neurotransmitters in the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:18:16.666 --> 00:18:18.250 have different time constants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.206$  which means that the really lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:20.206 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.893$  wiggle at different speeds for

 $00:18:21.893 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.849$  excitation and they do for inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

00:18:23.850 --> 00:18:24.806 So, and I apologize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:24.806 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.570$  I think the language of wiggly lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.570$  So there's people here who are like serious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.385$  EG computational researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:30.385 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.200$  and they're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222 00:18:32.200 --> 00:18:32.686 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:32.686 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.415$  throwing their coffee

NOTE Confidence: 0.738576222222222

 $00:18:33.415 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.630$  on the floor angrily and

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:18:34.673 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.060$  leading. But this is still we need to agree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:18:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.157$  this is still just a lot of wiggly lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:18:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.160$  Lots of them. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:18:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.408$  Sitting and doing nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00{:}18{:}43.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.550$  It's like a great experiment because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:18:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.270$  can use it to measure the I balance,

 $00:18:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.995$  and this is what's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:18:48.995 --> 00:18:50.375 the power spectral density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:18:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.299$  So what it's measuring on the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:18:53.299 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.090$  are the volume of the amplitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:18:56.090 --> 00:18:58.637 I'm using my hand again of the slow wiggles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:18:58.640 --> 00:19:01.464 and over here the fast wiggles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:01.464 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.784$  this relative activity across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:19:03.784 --> 00:19:05.916 frequencies indexes this balance, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:19:05.916 --> 00:19:07.020 Like how much excitation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:19:07.020 --> 00:19:08.720 how much inhibition you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00{:}19{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.082$  Shallower slopes, yes, shallower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:12.082 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.366$  Groups are more excitation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.730$  steeper slopes are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.430$  inhibition and this also changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.327$  So this is a pretty reliable effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00{:}19{:}19.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.813$  if you give people different kinds

 $00:19:21.813 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.427$  of pharmacological interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:19:23.430 --> 00:19:25.098 So if you give people Ambien,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.648$  well actually goes the other way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.030$  so it gets much steeper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:19:28.030 --> 00:19:29.548 So if people are giving Ambien,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:19:29.550 --> 00:19:30.218 given benzodiazepine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:30.218 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.888$  you see these inhibitions really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.330$  really ramp up. Also this happens in sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.370$  So that's one way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.000$  We can look at the EEG 2nd way and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.456$  again the computational neuroscientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.561$  You think this is too basic our

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00{:}19{:}46.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48.210$  event related potentials so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.250$  This is where we average the EEG activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.977$  around repeated presentations of an event.

 $00:19:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.198$  Like we show you pictures of face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.936$  we show you pictures of a house,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.818$  and then we average the activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.598$  And so these little chunks of

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:19:59.598 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.240$  those activity of these wiggles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:02.240 --> 00:20:03.482 And what that does is it gets rid of

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:03.482 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.608$  the wiggles that don't have anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:04.608 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.080$  to do with what you're interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:06.080 --> 00:20:06.833 And it accentuates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:06.833 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.339$  it amplifies the wiggles that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00{:}20{:}08.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.071$  do care about and you get what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:10.071 --> 00:20:11.520 called an event related potential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.900$  which has relatively few wiggles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:13.900 --> 00:20:15.556 But those wiggles end up having

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:15.556 --> 00:20:16.996 names and they're important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00{:}20{:}16.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.470$  learning about brain activity.

 $00:20:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:22:110$  And down here time this is in milliseconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.970$  So this is really really fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:23.970 --> 00:20:26.258 So I want to highlight this is like

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:26.258 --> 00:20:28.600 that's a feature about EEG and ERP's

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.570$  that is not captured in many other. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:32.570 --> 00:20:34.028 Somebody put something in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:34.030 --> 00:20:37.500 I'm going to try not to be distracted by it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:20:37.500 --> 00:20:39.892 And we're going to talk to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:39.892 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.849$  now probably the most important ERP

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:41.849 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.820$  for this talk, which is the N 170.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.964$  So the N 170 and it's in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:48.964 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.929$  red circle right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00{:}20{:}50.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53.858$  When we show people faces compared to almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:20:53.858 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.496$  any other visual object in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818 00:20:56.500 --> 00:20:57.040 Almost. NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818 00:20:57.040 --> 00:21:00.280 You get this much more negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00{:}21{:}00.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03.000$  Even the negative ones we call peaks much

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.517$  earlier peak to faces than anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.640$  We called the N 170.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.648$  This is 170 milliseconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:08.648 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.656$  This is really fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818 00:21:10.660 --> 00:21:11.322 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:11.322 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.618$  We other kinds of measures that are slower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

00:21:16.620 --> 00:21:17.630 Are reflecting some different kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.131$  of thing like if you hit a button

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:19.131 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.886$  when you see a face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.968$  that's like what you saw the face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00{:}21{:}20.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.205$  But then decision process has

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:22.205 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.237$  worked in this is at the level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:24.237 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.225$  like action right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761197408181818

 $00:21:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.090$  This is what is probably,

 $00:21:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.446$  we think subserving how we interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:28.446 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.890$  with people in the world, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.850$  When you look around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.248$  every time I see a face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.234$  that part of brain is sort of firing

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:34.234 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.454$  up and saying up face something bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:36.454 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.780$  right there and it's it's meaningful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.306$  Umm. So it's a selective to faces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}21{:}44.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.380$  It's really very early, which I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}21{:}46.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.450$  keep saying it's sensitive to context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.698$  So if I tell you that like I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:49.698 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.082$  going to show you a bunch of faces

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}21{:}51.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.290$  of people who are judging you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}21{:}52.290 --> 00{:}21{:}53.382$  judging how you dress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

00:21:53.382 --> 00:21:55.020 In fact was a recent study,

 $00:21:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.568$  you're 170 is different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}21{:}56.568 {\:{\text{--}}}{\:{\text{>}}}\ 00{:}21{:}58.503$  it's sensitive to gaze too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:58.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.450$  So if gays changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:21:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.150$  when you look at a person's face

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.230$  and they look away, you get in 170.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.610$  So movements of eyes seem to be really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.150$  really important for understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

00:22:07.150 --> 00:22:09.460 this really early brain activity which

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}22{:}09.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.467$  is meaningful and social interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.994$  Guys are important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:12.994 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.026$  Can they move fast?

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091 00:22:15.030 --> 00:22:17.410 So Umm, NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.335$  now just briefly this is 1 slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:19.335 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.146$  and it's not nearly enough on

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

00:22:21.146 --> 00:22:23.288 EEG findings and ASD that are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:23.353 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.278$  all of them and but are relevant

 $00:22:25.278 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.214$  to this talk which is one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}22{:}27.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.370$  I guess I'll start with the 4th point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.794$  which is in general patterns of

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:32.794 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.410$  findings are heterogeneous and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:34.475 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.687$  that's the case in in many fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.510$  But the most consistent among

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.330$  inconsistent findings is is delayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}22{:}40.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.592$  in 170 that people with autism it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}22{:}42.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.659$  less efficient phase processing or less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.556$  Less fluent space processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:46.556 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.926$  There's also evidence that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00{:}22{:}48.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.266$  the profile that spectral profile

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:51.266 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.496$  is a typical in that it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.475$  It's the shape seems to be different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:22:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.960$  Specific features of how it's

00:22:58.960 --> 00:23:01.440 different can vary among studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:23:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.216$  All right, so there's EG now eye tracking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:23:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.015$  So eye tracking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:23:05.015 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.870$  and I think this is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:23:06.932 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.520$  actually really intimidating to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:23:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.902$  even eye track we're talking here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

 $00:23:10.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.179$  Ever take a video of your eye and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.832502840909091

00:23:12.179 --> 00:23:13.597 it to figure out where you're looking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00{:}23{:}15.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.580$  And you end up with a really long

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

00:23:17.580 --> 00:23:18.760 spreadsheet, just of coordinates

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00{:}23{:}18.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.360$  of where some one is looking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

00:23:20.360 --> 00:23:21.795 But it's really, really useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00{:}23{:}21.795 \to 00{:}23{:}23.527$  because with that information you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

00:23:23.527 --> 00:23:24.782 figure out where someone's looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:24.782 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.637$  at a social scene the faces are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.267$  You can also look to see how that attention

 $00:23:30.267 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.492$  unfolds over time. And as a bonus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:32.492 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.920$  you can look at pupil diameter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.238$  which is a nice measure of arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.180$  Eye tracking ASD again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.400$  In a nutshell. Wow. God, I spoiled it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

00:23:46.400 --> 00:23:47.474 General attenuated looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:47.474 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.548$  to social information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.765$  This is replicated across many, many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:50.765 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.240$  many studies with different stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.759$  Again, with any one of these papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

00:23:54.760 --> 00:23:56.470 I guarantee you can find another

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.945$  paper showing an opposite pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

00:23:57.945 --> 00:23:59.340 or a failure to replicate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:23:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.762$  but these are fairly consistent and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

00:24:01.762 --> 00:24:03.299 attenuated pupil constriction to light,

 $00:24:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.560$  and this goes back to the 60s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:24:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.816$  The idea is that arousal is too high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:24:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.038$  and so when you flash the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:24:08.038 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.850$  light in someone's eyes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:24:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.190$  there's more norepinephrine kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:24:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.865$  of going through the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857803316666667

 $00:24:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.286$  and so it just won't constrict a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

00:24:16.070 --> 00:24:21.810 So briefly. Want to point out that these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}24{:}21.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.690$  Findings target different levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:23.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.570$  abstraction but they reflect pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:25.629 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.729$  modest sample sizes and it's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:27.729 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.129$  heterogeneous patterns of finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.580$  So we got to find out which ones hold up

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:31.644 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.580$  and then I introduce you to the Autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.608$  Biomarkers Consortium for clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.536$  Jamie Mcpartland here is the principal

 $00:24:38.536 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.349$  investigator of this and the goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}24{:}40.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.994$  of this project is to test these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:41.994 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.684$  well evidenced biomarkers and A5

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:43.684 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.530$  slight clinical trial model using

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}24{:}45.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.170$  egg and I tracking because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.490$  inexpensive and practical like my watch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

00:24:50.490 --> 00:24:51.894 Targeting social community performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:51.894 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.298$  but not only that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.071$  so we have some other measures in there and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:24:56.071 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.368$  The characteristics of the kids are there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

00:24:59.370 --> 00:25:01.008 I'm going to talk to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:01.008 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.220$  some of the results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}25{:}02.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.730$  primarily in the context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.240$  the theories I talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.447$  So social motivation disregulated arousal and

 $00:25:08.447 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.896$  tell you about what the experiments were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}25{:}09.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.303$  So. I've talked a lot about the inland 70s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.190$  The first thing we had to do was

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.959$  just show kids a bunch of faces

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:15.959 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.700$  and just look at their address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.278$  70, but not like few kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.394$  We, as you saw two hundred 399 kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:23.394 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.851 \text{ Umm}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}25{:}23.851 \longrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.679$  there's evidence that's delayed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.493$  but we really want to know and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}25{:}27.493 \to 00{:}25{:}29.133$  escale like what the characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:29.133 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.749$  of this measurement are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}25{:}30.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.558$  And we think about this as sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}25{:}32.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.289$  measurement for indexing social innovation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.402$  And this is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:35.402 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.619$  this is the experiment that the kids see.

 $00:25:37.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.748$  So just so we can all sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}25{:}39.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41.929$  have some audience participation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.930$  Then with eye tracking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.430$  we looked at what's called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:44.484 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.828$  composite Ocular Motor Index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}25{:}45.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.720$  So again evidence that people thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.129$  some look less to social information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.858$  So we showed people different kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00{:}25{:}51.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53.843$  of dynamic and static scenes and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:53.843 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.649$  just measured how much people look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:55.703 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.726$  faces and then average that across that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:25:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.318$  So you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:26:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.450$  So these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:26:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.376$  Overlays here indicate sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82107267

 $00:26:04.376 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.440$  regions of interest for our analysis.

 $00:26:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.895$  Again, social interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

00:26:09.895 --> 00:26:12.120 Then we look at arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

00:26:12.120 --> 00:26:13.488 pupillary light response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:13.488 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.856$  Very simple experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.780$  We have this really intriguing little

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}26{:}16.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.982$  circle thing in the middle that sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:18.982 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.016$  of loops around the noise, nice noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:21.016 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.476$  And then for 66 milliseconds

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:22.476 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.160$  of white flash on the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.640$  All we're doing is we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}26{:}25.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.499$  measuring how fast your pupil can

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:27.499 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.099$  starts to constrict after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.260$  And there it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.950$  And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.675$  we love this experiment because

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:33.675 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.720$  no one has to do anything.

 $00:26:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.096$  We just show these little screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:38.096 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.862$  savers and for for two minutes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

00:26:40.862 --> 00:26:42.314 we just measure your resting EEG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.693$  So we can look at the slope

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

00:26:44.693 --> 00:26:47.151 of the resting EEG as a marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:47.151 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.185$  as an index of EI balance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.136$  So the bridge results on these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.694$  On these experiments SO1 participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:26:55.694 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.880$  with ASDF slower and 170 so on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}26{:}58.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.680$  This is our waveform ASE is in green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.750$  TD's in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.950$  You can see that the group of kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}27{:}07.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.725$  with autism is significantly later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.428$  All the results are I'm showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:11.428 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.526$  you will hold up even when we

00:27:13.526 --> 00:27:15.031 include age and cognitive ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

00:27:15.031 --> 00:27:17.109 as a covariance on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}27{:}17.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.374$  This is a sort of a stacked histogram

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:19.374 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.444$  of anyone 70 so you can see a SD

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:21.444 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.327$  on the left and TD on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.010$  We see this sort of longer term not

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

00:27:26.010 --> 00:27:28.325 relative a decent chunk of variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:28.325 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.683$  of kids with autism will reach

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:30.758 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.170$  show this slower and with 70s so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.710$  The Ocular motor index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.452$  There's a very large effect size that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:39.452 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.979$  kids with autism look less two faces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.484$  So this is again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:43.484 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.740$  controlling for age and cognitive ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:45.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.833$  And in line with what we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:47.833 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.302$  about social motivation is that

 $00{:}27{:}49.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.826$  the less you look at people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}27{:}50.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.060$  the less you learn about people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

00:27:52.060 --> 00:27:54.076 And so here's evidence for just

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

00:27:54.076 --> 00:27:56.080 less interest in looking at people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.960$  For the PLR, slower PLR construction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:27:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.834$  So this is a latency, I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:28:00.834 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.219$  it's a little delayed here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}28{:}02.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.474$  the latency of the PLR and autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}28{:}04.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.534$  and in typical development and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}28{:}06.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.928$  see that kids with autism have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

00:28:08.997 --> 00:28:10.595 slower constriction to pillar and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00:28:10.595 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.731$  think this is an index of increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.74732839375

 $00{:}28{:}12.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.060$  sympathetic noradrenergic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810969499230769

 $00:28:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.246$  And finally, the shallower EEG slope

NOTE Confidence: 0.810969499230769

 $00:28:18.246 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.829$  that we find in kids with autism,

00:28:20.830 --> 00:28:22.948 it's a little hard to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810969499230769

 $00{:}28{:}22.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.458$  but that green line is significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.810969499230769

 $00:28:25.458 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.550$  different than the blue line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810969499230769

 $00:28:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.454$  which shows us that we have more excitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.810969499230769

 $00:28:30.454 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.897$  relative to inhibition in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:28:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.808$  One nice interesting thing we found out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:28:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.673$  And we hope to find out was that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:28:40.673 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.450$  also this the slope and the shape of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:28:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.294$  the EEG's are tremendously reliable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:28:45.294 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.806$  So these shapes, this is just one

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00{:}28{:}48.806 \to 00{:}28{:}50.710$  person's power spectrum plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:28:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.977$  The blue are on one day and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:28:51.977 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.268$  the red are on another day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:28:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.710$  And these shapes are idiosyncratic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:28:55.710 --> 00:28:58.014 You can pick them apart and like put

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:28:58.014 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.146$  them together like it's a matching game.

 $00:29:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.494$  And so that's very just cool to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00{:}29{:}02.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.011$  but also lets us know that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:05.011 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.593$  this is like a functional of reliable

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:07.593 --> 00:29:09.214 index of functional. Activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:09.214 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.218$  So it's not like we're measuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.590$  We're going up to the kids and we're like up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.706$  Their head still has the weird

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:13.706 --> 00:29:14.949 bump on it two days later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.940$  like when they go to sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:15.940 --> 00:29:17.330 This whole, EG power spectrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:17.330 --> 00:29:19.316 like shifts over. They're asleep, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00{:}29{:}19.316 \rightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.980$  When they wake up, it's different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00{:}29{:}20.980 \to 00{:}29{:}23.710$  But when they're kind of at their daily idol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00{:}29{:}23.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.488$  every body has the same sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:26.488 --> 00:29:28.340 functional pattern of activity.

00:29:28.340 --> 00:29:28.625 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:28.625 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.190$  So what did we see there is that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:31.253 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.485$  evidence for reduced sodium motion by

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:33.485 --> 00:29:35.678 social motivation from the 170 and OI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.716$  increased arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:36.716 --> 00:29:37.752 increased excitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:37.752 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.342$  The different biomarkers are hitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:40.342 --> 00:29:42.228 different levels of abstraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:42.230 --> 00:29:44.600 so these aren't really mutually exclusive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

 $00:29:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.905$  but there's evidence for multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.822148324285714

00:29:45.905 --> 00:29:46.949 mechanisms to work here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:29:49.140 --> 00:29:51.750 OK. So what are our next steps with that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:29:51.750 --> 00:29:53.406 Well, right now we're working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:29:53.406 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.205$  replication and larger sample looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:29:55.205 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.825$  long-term stability of these biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:29:56.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.678$  So we've got kids back over,

 $00:29:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.776$  you know, past a year at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00{:}30{:}01.780 \to 00{:}30{:}03.908$  And a feasibility study in a younger age

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:03.908 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.844$  group and feasibility is really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:05.844 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.179$  for any kind of measure because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:08.179 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.041$  want to make sure that your biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:10.041 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.561$  can work in people who need it, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:30:12.561 --> 00:30:14.009 So if you want to do early intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.662$  then you want to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:30:15.662 --> 00:30:17.379 want to make sure that these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.590$  you can use these biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:30:18.590 --> 00:30:20.409 and a kid and a kid who's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.524$  you know, three or two years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00{:}30{:}22.530 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}30{:}25.866$  So in our process of putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:25.866 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.534$  these analysis together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.580$  we really dug into things like data quality,

00:30:30.580 --> 00:30:32.548 which I'm sure is riveting and I'm about

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:32.548 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.660$  to go into it more, but it's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.175$  And I'm going to talk to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:37.175 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.290$  data loss and biomarker measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.941$  So we had 399 kids, nine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:41.941 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.904$  399 kids came in for three visits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:44.904 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.416$  Each visit was two days each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.275$  That's a lot of people coming in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00{:}30{:}48.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.948$  It's a tremendous amount of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.360$  I'm looking at the clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:30:51.360 --> 00:30:52.770 right now who's seen probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:30:52.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.639$  Hundred of those kids nodding at me

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:30:54.639 --> 00:30:56.942 saying yes, I did all of that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:30:56.942 --> 00:30:58.776 it was a tremendous amount of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:30:58.780 --> 00:31:01.314 And our failure on the eye tracking

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:01.314 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.016$  end is that even though we got 399 kids in,

00:31:05.016 --> 00:31:08.194 we didn't get usable EEG data on or

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:08.194 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.920$  eye tracking data on those 399 kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:31:11.920 --> 00:31:14.678 So, and it turns out that this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:31:14.680 --> 00:31:16.836 this data aren't really missing by random,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667 00:31:16.840 --> 00:31:17.065 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:17.065 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.865$  The kids were the most impaired are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:18.865 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.636$  kids who were missing the most data on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00{:}31{:}20.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.554$  So we decided that we needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:22.554 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.650$  to quantify this a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:31:24.650 --> 00:31:26.110 And I'm going to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:31:26.110 --> 00:31:28.300 I'll skip through most of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.462$  This is just sort of you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:29.462 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.569$  how you get like how much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00{:}31{:}30.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.268$  how you add up your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.146$  But here's the the.

00:31:34.146 --> 00:31:36.491 Important thing is this thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:31:36.491 --> 00:31:38.478 called valid data right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.279$  You need to have enough valid data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.604$  which is usable data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:41.604 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.590$  Data you can analyze to in

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00{:}31{:}43.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.600$  order to make a measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.600$  And if we compare groups on valid data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:31:49.600 --> 00:31:52.200 this is you don't have to look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.570$  just look at this column.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.508$  It's the P values for comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00{:}31{:}55.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57.613$  the groups on amount of valid

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:31:57.613 --> 00:31:59.478 data and it's always significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:31:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.055$  And kids with autism always

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:32:01.055 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.315$  have less valid data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:32:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.480$  And this continues even

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:32:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.180$  when you control for age,

 $00:32:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.658$  cognitive ability and data collection site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:32:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.820$  which is a ton of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:32:10.820 --> 00:32:12.722 It's like now we're just almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:32:12.722 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.544$  throwing things in the model to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

00:32:14.544 --> 00:32:16.512 try to make that P value go away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:32:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.474$  but we weren't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:32:17.474 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.064$  These are being valid measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:32:19.064 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.980$  to control for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893961846666667

 $00:32:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.408$  but it's still a big effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

 $00:32:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.792$  But I think you know many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

00:32:24.792 --> 00:32:26.120 you would have predicted that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

 $00:32:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.064$  So this maybe you would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

 $00{:}32{:}28.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.092$  predicted this too though which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

 $00{:}32{:}30.092 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32.108$  that in this sea of significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

 $00:32:32.108 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.982$  correlations it shows that the

00:32:33.982 --> 00:32:37.776 amount of valid data you have is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

 $00:32:37.776 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.607$  reflects clinical severity across

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

00:32:39.607 --> 00:32:42.610 all of the clinical measures we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

 $00:32:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.422$  The take home point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

 $00:32:43.422 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.080$  We lose the most data from the kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671384925

 $00:32:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.000$  We're the most impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

00:32:48.440 --> 00:32:50.295 And it's the rule, not the exception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

00:32:50.300 --> 00:32:52.412 so it's significantly correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00{:}32{:}52.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}54.524$  with their individual differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:32:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.916$  And then this one is, is even more fun,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00{:}32{:}56.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58.410$  which is that we're losing most

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:32:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.934$  data from those kids who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:32:59.934 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.480$  looking at least at the faces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.768$  So that's the thing that we think is

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:03.768 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.185$  the most important marker of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00{:}33{:}06.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.410$  characterization and it turns out.

 $00:33:08.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.356$  So the kids who are looking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:10.356 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.543$  least at the faces are the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

00:33:12.543 --> 00:33:14.475 we're losing the most data from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.404$  So the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

00:33:15.404 --> 00:33:16.790 how do you know that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:16.841 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.479$  looking the least at the faces if,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.184$  and that's kind of you take

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:20.184 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.320$  this out far enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.216$  you don't your your measurement precision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.170$  Is the worst in the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00{:}33{:}28.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.750$  you want it to be the best in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.631$  Um, it's like a this is kind of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00{:}33{:}32.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.370$  an ECG system that stops working

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00{:}33{:}34.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.060$  when your heart rate goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.100$  Right. It's really, really,

00:33:37.100 --> 00:33:38.400 really great when you're kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.838$  of like a sleep or if you're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.527$  you know, really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

00:33:40.527 --> 00:33:41.443 really healthy and you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:41.443 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.130$  running a triathlon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00{:}33{:}42.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.560$  But if you go in for a stress test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.060$  it just starts to fall apart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

00:33:46.060 --> 00:33:47.580 You think that's really when we want it,

$$\begin{split} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.797942474285714\\ & 00:33:47.580 --> 00:33:49.490 \text{ though.}\\ & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.797942474285714\\ & 00:33:49.490 --> 00:33:49.680 \text{ Alright.} \end{split}$$

 $00{:}33{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.200$  But also I want to I've just talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.560$  a lot about the missing data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.960$  This is not specific to the ABC TV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:33:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.170$  When I first started doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

00:33:56.170 --> 00:33:57.138 eye tracking research here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

00:33:57.140 --> 00:33:59.480 a very senior person came up to me and said,

 $00:33:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.139$  oh man, you lose so much data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.388$  Nobody ever tells you about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

00:34:02.390 --> 00:34:04.078 And they were just there sort of crestfallen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.840$  like they work so hard and so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.414$  kids are just like looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:07.414 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.340$  something in the corner of the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.915$  But we have so much data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:10.915 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.280$  we can really quantify it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.176$  Now usually this is a byline

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00{:}34{:}14.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.070$  like kids who looked less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.055 50\%$  of the screen were excluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:18.055 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.480$  from our analysis and it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00{:}34{:}19.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.480$  Maybe three or five kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.300$  So you can't really quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.797942474285714

 $00:34:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.120$  it in the same way,

 $00:34:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.600$  so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.910$  Umm. This is data loss from

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.900$  kids in the ACT 6 to 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.945$  Their IQ's are from 60 to 140

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:35.945 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.220$  and you know it's. Still really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:34:39.220 --> 00:34:41.700 really, really good data quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.695$  But we've thought about this a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.470$  And so a few years ago, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:34:45.470 --> 00:34:47.640 kind of working on this for more

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.324$  than a few years, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:49.324 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.859$  Jamie and I came up with the idea of like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.356$  how can we include these kids?

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.100$  Thank you. 60 to 140,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:34:56.100 --> 00:34:58.393 that's kind of not higher IQ's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:58.393 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.791$  but there's a lot of kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:34:59.791 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.039$  who still don't hit those,

 $00{:}35{:}01.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.290$  those targets, they're more impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.670$  And so how can we make these

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.690$  experiments like work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.580$  And how can this system operate

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00{:}35{:}08.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.845$  for kids who don't have an IQ of

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:10.845 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.807$  like 120 and are really motivated

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:12.807 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.090$  by \$100 in a Lego set coming in?

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.707$  And that we don't give Lego sets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:35:17.710 --> 00:35:19.026 And I want to make that clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:35:19.026 --> 00:35:19.990 because I'm being recorded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.172$  It was sort of there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:21.172 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.566$  illustrative moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.362$  but I do not want to that to

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:23.362 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.190$  sort of be false advertising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604 00:35:25.190 --> 00:35:25.393 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604 00:35:25.393 --> 00:35:27.220 So I got to think about a group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:27.276 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.467$  kids that's in significant need of study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.655$  kids with autism and intellectual

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:32.655 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.929$  disability and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.604$  So approximately 30% of kids with autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:36.604 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.410$  have significant intellectual disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.240$  They're very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.900$  very underrepresented in neuroscience

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00{:}35{:}40.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.154$  research and I would argue the

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:43.154 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.274$  reason why is that it's hard to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00{:}35{:}45.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47.212$  Usable brain data, and I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:47.212 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.277$  give you some numbers to come into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.424$  It's a real issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:35:51.424 --> 00:35:53.760 So I did some pub Med searching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.216$  From 2020 to now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:55.216 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.400$  molecular Autism is a great journal.

 $00:35:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.870$  Published 214 articles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:35:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.320$  Autism, which is another journal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.704$  published 193.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:36:02.704 --> 00:36:05.163 Autism Research published 140.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:05.163 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.078$  In that same time span,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:36:07.080 --> 00:36:09.664 if you go through all the papers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:09.664 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.033$  search for kids with IQ of less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:12.033 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.579$  60 who were in a study with an EEG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.234$  all the papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:15.234 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.750$  You know, this is not just those journals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:16.750 \dashrightarrow 00:36:19.750$  This is JCP and you just add up all the kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.129$  That's 66 kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:22.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.162$  That's 66 papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:23.162 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.206$  There's not a paper with 66 kids, 66 kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:27.206 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.478$  There's like that's crazy.

 $00:36:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.576$  This is this is 3 journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.390$  548 seven or eight 45147 articles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00{:}36{:}36{:}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37{.}930$  those are like the other review articles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.186$  Those are opinions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604 00:36:40.186 --> 00:36:40.938 but. NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.986$  It's it's still a tremendous amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:42.986 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.560$  of work to even just put those

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.118$  articles out there. Only 66 kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.560$  So, so what's happening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.217$  Well, it's really hard to get usable data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.512$  So people know this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:36:55.512 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.127$  it's hard and that's why

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:36:57.127 --> 00:36:58.920 there's probably only 60s kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:36:58.920 --> 00:37:01.060 So for characterization you need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:37:01.060 --> 00:37:02.356 you know, specialized staff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:37:02.356 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.697$  And Christine is a BC BA works

00:37:04.697 --> 00:37:06.677 with us and a psychologist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:37:06.677 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.470$  Julie are experts on this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:37:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.466$  the world and they're flexible

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:37:10.466 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.972$  with complex characterization

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:37:11.972 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.980$  situations and behavioral demands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:37:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.430$  The experiments need to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:37:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.870$  accommodate the participants needs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:37:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.574$  So a lot of experiments will say like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00{:}37{:}19.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.720$  It's still and press a button

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

 $00:37:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.700$  when you see a dog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:37:21.700 --> 00:37:24.325 If a kid doesn't have useful language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.41816604

00:37:24.330 --> 00:37:25.460 doesn't understand what you're saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00{:}37{:}25.460 --> 00{:}37{:}26.828$  can't read that on the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.586$  that it's not going to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.550$  And then the data are usually messier.

 $00:37:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.300$  And so just the analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:37:34.300 --> 00:37:35.610 And this is like the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:37:35.610 --> 00:37:37.530 the people who are in the back room with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.462$  you know, 50 computers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:38.462 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.246$  And like, we need to come up with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:40.246 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.877$  new kind of experimental pipeline in

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:41.877 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.893$  order to accommodate this data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:43.893 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.866$  these kids because they move so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00{:}37{:}45.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.470$  You typically need more people

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.320$  and your staff. But that's like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.720$  That's manageable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.820$  And another way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.076$  it's really hard for the families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:37:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.564$  like for any of you who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:37:57.564 --> 00:37:59.653 come to New Haven and tried to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:37:59.653 --> 00:38:00.700 park somewhere comfortably.

00:38:00.700 --> 00:38:02.296 Now imagine that you're doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.064$  and you have a child who's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:04.064 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.770$  an unfamiliar place who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:38:05.770 --> 00:38:07.105 difficulty difficulty navigating

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:07.105 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.440$  these unfamiliar situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00{:}38{:}08.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.576$  You take you're missing a day of school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.868$  You're trying to find a place for lunch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.089$  Then it's really hard for the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:15.089 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.549$  because they're going to some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.671$  because then they sit in front of

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:18.671 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.084$  this experiment that says press

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:20.084 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.876$  a button if you see a dog and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:38:21.937 --> 00:38:23.337 you know what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.050$  So. When I say usable data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.930$  that's going to be clear.

00:38:27.930 --> 00:38:29.379 These are nice wiggly lines up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:29.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.706$  That's what we want to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:30.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.945$  This is what happens when

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:31.945 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.933$  someone's moving their head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.070$  And that's like, they're just like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667 00:38:35.070 --> 00:38:35.560 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.030$  adjusting their neck and it's unusable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.815$  It's muscle activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:38.815 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.195$  This is most of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.460$  This is what happens when

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00{:}38{:}42.460 --> 00{:}38{:}43.720$ you're not you don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:38:43.720 --> 00:38:44.980 You have to sit still and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.820$  you're not sitting still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:45.820 \dashrightarrow 00:38:49.676$  and then you can't use this for anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:38:49.680 --> 00:38:51.900 The task demands of these experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.940$  following verbal or written instructions.

00:38:53.940 --> 00:38:54.217 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:54.217 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.433$  I'm sitting still is like it's not trivial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.400$  but I keep repeating it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:38:57.400 --> 00:38:59.120 sustaining your attention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:38:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.840$  tolerating an unfamiliar set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.279$  And so our approach to all of this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:39:03.279 --> 00:39:05.496 instead of just saying it's really hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:39:05.500 --> 00:39:05.844 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:39:05.844 --> 00:39:06.360 tough it out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.945$  which I think would probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:07.945 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.896$  not be effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.214$  it was just to try to make it easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00{:}39{:}10.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12.512$  So we developed what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00{:}39{:}12.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14.532$  Pelican is the the probabilistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:14.532 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.276$  and active learning infrastructure

 $00:39:16.276 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.020$  for characterization neuro typing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:18.020 \dashrightarrow 00:39:19.934$  So it's an experimental system that

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:19.934 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.210$  reacts to participants movements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.980$  their eye movements, their attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.660$  It adaptively teaches participants to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.671$  attend to the experiment and monitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:39:26.671 --> 00:39:28.596 the data quality so it can adapt

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:28.596 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.220$  what they're seeing in real time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:30.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.825$  There's no explicit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667 00:39:31.825 --> 00:39:32.360 Instructions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:39:32.360 --> 00:39:34.500 And it's personalized reinforcers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:39:34.500 --> 00:39:36.400 And I'm going to show you just how it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:39:36.400 --> 00:39:39.200 So you come in, well, first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:39:39.200 --> 00:39:40.794 someone calls you on the phone, the parent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:40.794 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.383$  the child, the parent on the phone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.210$  And they say, you know,

 $00:39:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.314$  what movies does your son or daughter like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80347028666666700:39:45.320 --> 00:39:45.636 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

00:39:45.636 --> 00:39:47.920 And they say, oh, Bob the Builder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.280$  So maybe not anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.840$  But let's just say it's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.130$  really, really like Bob the Builder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.720$  They've all PBS VHS tapes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.048$  So they come in, we sit them down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.380$  we put this cap on their head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.232$  And that red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803470286666667

 $00:39:57.232 \dashrightarrow 00:39:59.220$  that red mist doesn't mean that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:39:59.279 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.029$  hot or smells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00{:}40{:}00.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01.420$  It means they're moving, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.709$  So they're they're moving and it's plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.201$  Because they start to move too much

 $00:40:05.201 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.198$  or they look away from the screen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00{:}40{:}07.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.508$  we're measuring this with cameras.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.463$  We're measuring this with a chair that

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:10.463 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.560$  kind of like monitors acceleration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.816$  We're measuring it with head movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:40:14.820 --> 00:40:16.056 So you start moving too much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:40:16.060 --> 00:40:18.356 Bob stops, all right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:40:18.356 --> 00:40:19.540 So you start moving a little bit less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:40:19.540 --> 00:40:21.168 Bob starts playing again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:21.168 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.868$  So the idea is that whenever you attend and

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:40:24.868 --> 00:40:27.698 sit Stiller than you were before, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:40:27.698 --> 00:40:29.504 Because having someone go from moving around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.177$  sitting completely still is a tall order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.940$  You get reinforced by this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.176$  Personalized reinforcer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:35.176 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.030$  Bob the Builder.

 $00:40:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.462$  So what this works out to be is

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00{:}40{:}38.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39.985$  we get attention in this word that

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00{:}40{:}39.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41.620$  I never know how to pronounce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462 00:40:41.620 --> 00:40:42.460 Quiescence, NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.820$  stillness without verbal instructions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462 00:40:45.820 --> 00:40:47.890 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:40:47.890 --> 00:40:49.962 Always positive reinforcement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00{:}40{:}49.962 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52.034$  then the personalized reinforcers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00{:}40{:}52.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.044$  Actually, this is much more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:54.044 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.979$  than we thought it would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:40:55.980 --> 00:40:57.876 So it's a very unfamiliar place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:40:57.880 --> 00:40:59.217 But you come in and something very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:40:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.476$  very familiar to you is happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.223$  And it turns out that there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:03.223 --> 00:41:04.531 way you can have one-size-fits-all.

00:41:04.531 --> 00:41:06.699 I mean, we have kids who love Moana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.437$  We have kids who love 80s action

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:09.437 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.280$  sort of sitcom dramas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.360$  These are all real.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:12.360 --> 00:41:13.852 You know, these are not participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:13.852 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.280$  but these are choices of participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.960$  And then we have the Chicago bus system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:17.960 --> 00:41:19.344 And we'd never know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:19.344 --> 00:41:21.074 We would have never picked

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:21.074 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.398$  these up on our own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00{:}41{:}22.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.602$  But it really helps to navigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:24.602 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.480$  the uncertainty of the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.220$  And then the last thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00{:}41{:}28.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.380$  that adaptive trial delivery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:29.380 --> 00:41:30.850 So we're watching how you're watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:30.850 --> 00:41:32.599 the computer because if we're watching it,

 $00:41:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.055$  we're too slow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:34.055 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.510$  we're too inattentive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:35.510 --> 00:41:37.082 We're monitoring if you're moving around

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:37.082 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.958$  when a face pops up on the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:38.960 --> 00:41:39.908 right, look for showing your face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462 00:41:39.910 --> 00:41:40.722 So if you are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:40.722 --> 00:41:42.536 we know that we're never going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:42.536 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.162$  able to measure effect brain activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:44.162 --> 00:41:45.710 effectively from that trial from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462 00:41:45.710 --> 00:41:46.652 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

00:41:46.652 --> 00:41:47.594 50 milliseconds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735601223538462

 $00:41:47.594 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.536$  20 milliseconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00{:}41{:}51.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53.024$  But the way European experiments typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:41:53.024 --> 00:41:55.782 work is we just show you like 100 faces

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:41:55.782 --> 00:41:57.707 or 200 faces and figure we're going

 $00:41:57.707 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.977$  to some of those are going to be OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00{:}41{:}59.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.476$  So those of you who have been in an ERP

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:42:02.476 --> 00:42:04.860 experiment in college to earn 20 or \$30,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.806$  you probably fell asleep in it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:06.806 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.459$  they're long and they're boring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:42:08.460 --> 00:42:12.157 But imagine if we knew. That you watched.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:12.157 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.760$  You were sitting still and looking when

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:42:13.802 --> 00:42:15.286 twenty faces popped up on the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.324$  Well, then we'd be done with that right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:42:17.330 --> 00:42:18.140 I'll show you some houses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.388$  Now we'll show you something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.132$  So we can actually make the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:22.132 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.412$  experiment shorter for these kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:24.412 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.124$  than what the standard is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:42:26.124 --> 00:42:28.582 Would they end up being much longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:28.582 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.826$  than they would normally be for,

 $00:42:30.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.914$  like a compliant kid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:31.914 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.380$  you know, with a higher IQ?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.588$  So this really reduces the burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:42:37.590 --> 00:42:39.318 And so I'm going to talk to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:39.318 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.937$  this is our twelve kids we've seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:42:40.940 --> 00:42:42.470 Unfortunately this was funded during

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:42:42.470 --> 00:42:45.019 there's a little bit of pandemic happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.582$  So we weren't in the lab as

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:47.582 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.870$  much as we'd hoped to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.190$  But as you can see from these numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.150$  this is a fairly impaired group of kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.950$  These are the averages are 100 for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:42:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.280$  numbers and these are not near there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.940$  The experiments we used we adopted 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.670$  from the ACT, so the faces task and

 $00:43:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.239$  170 faces and the static social scene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:43:10.240 --> 00:43:11.360 So we're just showing faces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.628$  we're showing scenes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.846$  And then the results, what do we get?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.242$  So run it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:43:17.242 --> 00:43:18.650 Oh God, I left it up there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.350$  So yes, but it's exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.250$  What these are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00{:}43{:}21.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22.750$  are these are little trajectories

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.950$  through the experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.018$  Each one of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:25.018 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.310$  this is time along the X axis here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.030$  And this is 1 kid on the top and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00{:}43{:}29.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30.862$  different kids trajectory on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:30.862 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.637$  bottom because the experiment adapts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.404$  So everybody sees different things in

 $00:43:34.404 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.819$  different orders at different times

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:35.819 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.331$  and you want some way to look back

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:37.331 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.837$  at that and see how things went.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.928$  These blue lines right here indicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:43:40.928 --> 00:43:42.985 when you're moving too much or

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:43:42.985 --> 00:43:44.797 you're not looking at the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.645$  and the lollipops indicate when

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00{:}43{:}46.645 \to 00{:}43{:}48.873$  the system was determined you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00{:}43{:}48.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.763$  sitting still enough that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:43:50.763 --> 00:43:52.679 move on with the experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.590$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:43:54.590 --> 00:43:55.580 On top kid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00{:}43{:}55.580 --> 00{:}43{:}58.449$  you can see sort of kid on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:43:58.449 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.769$  represented by the top line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.340$  Definitely had some periods of

 $00:44:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.270$  time where he's still learning the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00{:}44{:}04.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05.710$  contingencies of the experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.212$  but towards the end. Figured it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:09.212 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.876$  And we have a stable presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.816$  of the stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:44:12.816 --> 00:44:15.480 This kid at the bottom learned things really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:44:15.480 --> 00:44:16.455 really, really quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:16.455 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.730$  And what's cool is that so these

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:18.789 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.617$  different colored lollipops are

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:20.617 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.902$  different kinds of experimental trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.056$  We're all done with the lime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.750$  felt like the lime ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:44:25.750 --> 00:44:26.910 They've seen enough, good enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00:44:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.894$  good data so we didn't have to keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

00:44:28.894 --> 00:44:30.604 showing that again and we could focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.811816744666667

 $00{:}44{:}30.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32.648$  on just the ERP face and house tasks.

 $00:44:35.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.850$  And we can get measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}44{:}36.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40.603$  So this is a grand average ERP and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:44:40.603 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.846$  doesn't look as clean as 1 from 299 kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:44:43.846 --> 00:44:45.932 but from 12 kids we're getting sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:44:45.932 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.073$  of expected negative deflections that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:44:48.073 --> 00:44:50.458 are earlier for faces, for houses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:44:50.458 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.586$  And then if we compare these kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:44:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.865$  in the end, 170 latency

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}44{:}54.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}56.958$  against age matched age match,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:44:56.958 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.870$  kids with autism and controls from the ACT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:44:59.870 --> 00:45:02.990 we see this same pattern of extended latency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.260$  which is really, really exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.312$  The the, I guess the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}45{:}06.312 --> 00{:}45{:}07.650 \text{ really important part, it's,}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.820$  it's important that the 170 is later,

 $00:45:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.200$  but I think it's more important

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.800$  that we can measure it all at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:45:12.800 --> 00:45:14.669 Because now we can know it's later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:45:14.670 --> 00:45:15.336 but who knows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:15.336 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.890$  like there's all kinds of other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.885$  If we don't know that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:18.885 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.448$  now know we have this tool that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:21.448 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.084$  is like wildly applicable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.466$  And so in our next steps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.158$  we've just submitted this for an hour one

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:28.158 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.850$  ready to deploy it in a larger sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.080$  tighten up some parts that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.468$  still a little rough on the edges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:45:33.470 --> 00:45:34.336 eye tracking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:34.336 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.501$  calibration and then incorporate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:36.501 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.369$  other biomarker experiments for that.

 $00:45:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.634$  OK, this is going to be very fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.854$  but because I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:42.854 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.690$  Sorry, slow enemies like a heart rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.076$  So the heart rate rate changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:47.076 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.000$  in response to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.088$  When you go up steps it gets faster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.794$  When you go downstairs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:52.794 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.520$  it gets slower so and you can learn a

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.565$  lot about somebody's cardiac health

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}45{:}55.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57.026$  by putting them on a treadmill and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}45{:}57.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58.031$  then measuring their heart rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:45:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.344$  That's perhaps some of you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:00.344 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.880$  been in those situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.784$  So I'd argue that anyone 70 is also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.710$  Responsive to changes in the environment.

00:46:07.710 --> 00:46:09.326 And we can learn a lot about somebody's

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}46{:}09.326 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}46{:}10.846$  brain from how it changes in response

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:10.846 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.450$  to different things in the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:46:12.450 --> 00:46:14.930 like such that I guess,

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.885016526 \\ & 00:46:14.930 --> 00:46:15.272 \text{ well,} \end{aligned}$ 

00:46:15.272 --> 00:46:18.008 what's what are the stairs for the Inman

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:18.008 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.010$  70 and I think it's social interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}46{:}21.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23.042$  Social behavior is interactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:23.042 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.074$  We do know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:46:25.080 --> 00:46:25.488 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}46{:}25.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.340$  I gave you the list of the laundry list

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.174$  of all of the of all the challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:29.174 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.629$  and symptoms of autism before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}46{:}30.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.278$  one of them wasn't sitting in a room

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:46:32.278 --> 00:46:34.163 alone and watching faces on a screen, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:46:34.163 --> 00:46:34.589 That's TV.

 $00:46:34.589 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.351$  And a lot of the kids who come

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:36.351 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.629$  in are really good at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:37.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.158$  A lot of us in this room are

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:39.158 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.210$  also really good at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.910$  But in social interactions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.610$  where we have these challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.826$  So if social interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:44.826 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.650$  are the stairs for the 170,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}46{:}46.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.900$  it would be great to throw EEG caps on

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.843$  all of you in here at a cost like 170,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:51.843 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.629$  That person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:46:53.630 --> 00:46:55.196 But it would be great if we could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00{:}46{:}55.196 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}46{:}57.022$  like a version of the stress test in our lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.870$  like a Stairmaster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526 00:46:57.870 --> 00:46:58.150 What?

 $00:46:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.830$  A Stairmaster for the end 170.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:46:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.888$  So how would we do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526 00:47:01.890 --> 00:47:02.212 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

00:47:02.212 --> 00:47:04.144 let's go back to predictive coding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:47:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.460$  So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:47:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.045$  evidence that prediction is different in ASD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:47:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.520$  But also remember the 170

NOTE Confidence: 0.885016526

 $00:47:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.990$  sensitive to changes in gays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:47:12.990 --> 00:47:14.853 And if I tell you that this face on

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:14.853 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.127$  the screen is like your friend or is

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00{:}47{:}17.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.892$  judging your clothes, it changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:18.892 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.598$  So the animal 70s also sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00{:}47{:}21.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.809$  to expectation and and context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:47:23.810 --> 00:47:25.928 So how do we build this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.872$  And 170 Stairmaster?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:26.872 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.018$  We can do that by trying to simulate the

 $00:47:30.018 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.664$  relevant parts of the social interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.026$  So how do we do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.263$  We have these very simple experiments where

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:36.263 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.178$  we use eye tracking and EEG simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.420$  Such that when you look at a face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.280$  there's a that's a fun animation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:47:44.280 --> 00:47:47.040 Right now, that's your eye movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.777$  When you look at the eyes of the face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.580$  the face looks back at you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.520$  So this is a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.536$  really subtle visual change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:52.536 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.298$  It's a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00{:}47{:}53.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.392$  really meaningful visual change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:54.392 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.077$  So if you're sitting on a bus and you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:47:57.077 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.350$  at somebody's face and this happens, this is.

 $00:48:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.578$  Meaningful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:00.578 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.490$  I don't know how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:48:01.490 --> 00:48:03.090 Depending on the bus context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.416$  it's going to mean who knows

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:04.416 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.490$  what kind of things is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.750$  but you shouldn't ignore it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.969$  So in our experiments we can control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:48:09.970 --> 00:48:10.848 control, predictability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:10.848 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.043$  to make eye contact either

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:48:13.043 --> 00:48:14.360 predictable or unpredictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00{:}48{:}14.360 --> 00{:}48{:}15.722$  We're going to show you the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:15.722 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.630$  designs of two experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.934$  So in the first one we made

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:18.934 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.050$  eye contact unpredictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.255$  These experiments are all a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.877$  Quirky because of the way they operate,

 $00:48:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.884$  but briefly, we showed people an

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:25.884 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.558$  arrow on a screen followed by a face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:48:28.560 --> 00:48:30.639 and if the arrow is pointing up or down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.977$  that queued them to look to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:31.977 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.399$  eyes or the mouth of the face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.056$  And then one of two things could happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.397$  The thing they look at could open.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.648$  So they could look at the eyes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:48:37.648 --> 00:48:38.937 the eyes open and make eye contact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.962$  or they could look at the eyes

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:39.962 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.640$  and the mouth opens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.060$  or they could look at the mouth in the mouth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.503$  So four things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:43.503 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.946$  4 outcomes there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:48:44.950 --> 00:48:46.180 What's important is you can't

 $00:48:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.410$  predict what's going to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.574$  You might get eye contact, you might not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:49.574 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.308$  It's 50% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.257$  This is our video of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:48:55.257 --> 00:48:57.135 So on the right you see gaze and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

00:48:57.135 --> 00:48:58.990 on the left you see the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:48:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.670$  washed out video of what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870114472

 $00:49:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.680$  participant is seeing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

00:49:03.780 --> 00:49:06.328 And I apologize for the iPhone quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

 $00:49:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.560$  the 2012 iPhone quality, but the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

 $00:49:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.440$  So that's our first one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

 $00:49:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.230$  So we're looking at eye

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

00:49:15.230 --> 00:49:16.304 contact to unpredictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

00:49:16.310 --> 00:49:18.710 Second, now we're making it predictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

 $00:49:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.766$  So crossair peers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

 $00:49:19.766 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.690$  You look to the eyes of the face.

00:49:22.690 --> 00:49:23.461 After you look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

 $00:49:23.461 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.489$  for about 500 milliseconds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

 $00:49:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.214$  the gaze will shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

00:49:25.214 --> 00:49:26.300 Now it's looking away from you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

00:49:26.300 --> 00:49:27.056 it's going to look at you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

 $00:49:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.780$  it's looking at you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

00:49:27.780 --> 00:49:29.050 it's going to look away from you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885819474285714

 $00:49:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.940$  Totally predictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52673995

 $00:49:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.060$  And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748141898

 $00:49:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.206$  I look at the mouth. Nothing happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748141898

00:49:41.206 --> 00:49:43.996 Yeah, it's visually really subtle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748141898

 $00:49:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.130$  but when you get looked at, you feel it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}49{:}48.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}52.884$  OK, we did experiment in two samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}49{:}52.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55.405$  Finally, able a dult with autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:49:55.405 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.914$  and theoretical controls.

 $00:49:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.395$  And we also measured continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}49{:}58.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59.575$  measures of symptom severity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:49:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.210$  so we have the cast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.970$  The SSS calibrated severity score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:50:06.970 --> 00:50:09.178 Back anxiety inventory. The gas flow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:50:09.180 --> 00:50:10.584 Glasgow Sensory inventory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:10.584 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.392$  sort of a measure of sensory

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:13.392 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.820$  hydrogen sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.053$  Here's our results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:50:16.053 --> 00:50:18.930 So when I I contact is unpredictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.490$  You actually get a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.050$  bigger and 170 in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.866$  No latency differences and across groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:25.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.882$  The difference between mouth

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:50:27.882 --> 00:50:30.397 movement and eye contact was

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:30.397 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.830$  associated with sensory sensitivity,

 $00:50:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.710$  autism severity and anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}50{:}35.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.310$  This is an unpredictable situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.880$  So and this is the N 170 here in Gray,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.180$  this darker colors ASD and the caramel STD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:47.180 \dashrightarrow 00:50:49.360$  When it's predictable eye contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.896$  We now see this later and

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:50.896 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.678$  170 that we see in the ACT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.458$  although the morphology is a bit different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:50:54.460 --> 00:50:55.768 there's no amplitude differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}50{:}55.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57.403$  between the groups and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:50:57.403 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.359$  also no correlation with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}50{:}59.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00.145$  clinical characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.390$  Those are our clinical characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.119$  and we're going to jump over those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.383$  But in summary,

 $00:51:04.383 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.488$  so when I contact unpredictable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}51{:}06.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08.572$  we get these bigger responses associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:08.572 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.500$  with anxiety and sensory symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:51:10.500 --> 00:51:11.984 but when it's predictable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:11.984 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.839$  we don't see any differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.030$  And we think this means that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.805$  You know real social interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:17.805 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.580$  are aren't perfectly predictable or

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}51{:}19.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.391$  unpredictable but how you integrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:21.391 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.791$  that information is different

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}51{:}22.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.320$  in autism and it's happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.190$  at less than 200 milliseconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}51{:}27.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.618$  It might not be faced specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:51:28.618 --> 00:51:30.711 but the way if you think when two

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:51:30.711 --> 00:51:32.061 people are interacting if one

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:51:32.061 --> 00:51:33.926 of them's jitter like is slower,

00:51:33.930 --> 00:51:35.175 think about when you're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:51:35.175 --> 00:51:36.748 to someone on zoom is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:36.748 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.434$  a great example and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:38.434 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.849$  like 200 milliseconds behind you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:51:39.850 --> 00:51:41.482 Just most of the time just give up

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:41.482 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.097$  just like look you put in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.196$  We'll talk later because that temporal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.300$  Asynchrony is just tanks the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.540$  social interaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.924$  so that might be sort of the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:52.924 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.850$  of things happening in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:51:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.980$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}51{:}56.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.924$  Our next steps were we're exploring

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}51{:}58.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01.014$  how shifts in Gaze might impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:01.014 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.216$  impact brain response and looking at

 $00:52:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.860$  emotional faces and brain response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.642$  Basically, different stairmasters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:06.642 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.206$  treadmills, tilt tables for the M70.

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.807789128571428 \\ & 00:52:10.210 --> 00:52:11.002 \text{ In summary,} \end{aligned}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:52:11.002 --> 00:52:12.982 we've got different theories hitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

00:52:12.982 --> 00:52:14.930 different levels of abstraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.088$  evidence for multiple systems being impacted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:19.090 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.601$  and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:19.601 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.645$  Promising biomarkers for more

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:21.645 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.060$  for many of them as well as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}52{:}25.060 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}52{:}26.716$  I think pretty good success in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}52{:}26.716 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}52{:}28.623$  measure in in measuring and designing

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:28.623 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.488$  experiments for an underserved group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428  $00:52:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.960$  Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.780$  And I think that I'll briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}52{:}33.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37.014$  just say that the research and

 $00:52:37.014 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.310$  progress that I'm going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00{:}52{:}39.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.459$  Jump over really fast is kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:41.459 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.759$  an integrating different levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.807789128571428

 $00:52:42.759 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.728$  of that analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00{:}52{:}45.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48.402$  But I just want to thank this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00:52:48.402 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.975$  like some of the people in the ACT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00:52:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.870$  It's a lot. I mean they're all

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00:52:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.268$  everybody's really really really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00:52:54.268 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.352$  in it somehow only these really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00{:}52{:}56.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58.680$  really really important people got on

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00:52:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.078$  this this slide and this is Jamie's lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00{:}53{:}01.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03.815$  and this is a I don't know if this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00{:}53{:}03.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.610$  every body's on here but it's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

00:53:05.610 --> 00:53:07.602 I think it's if you haven't you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00:53:07.602 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.148$  not on this list I apologize and then

 $00:53:10.148 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.618$  I just acknowledge that participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

00:53:11.618 --> 00:53:13.320 in the families and our support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867643786875

 $00:53:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.550$  So thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413794325

00:53:25.070 --> 00:53:27.355 All right. Should I turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413794325

 $00:53:27.355 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.810$  off the chair? Good. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.747404196842105

 $00:53:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.656$  you so much, Adam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747404196842105

 $00{:}53{:}31.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32.701$  And I'm actually the distractor

NOTE Confidence: 0.747404196842105

 $00:53:32.701 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.049$  in the middle of your talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.747404196842105

00:53:34.049 --> 00:53:34.925 was from Linda Drozdowicz,

NOTE Confidence: 0.747404196842105

00:53:34.930 --> 00:53:36.604 who was just complimenting you on

NOTE Confidence: 0.747404196842105

 $00{:}53{:}36.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38.260$  how accessible you were making EG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747404196842105

00:53:38.260 --> 00:53:39.575 So you're getting compliments

NOTE Confidence: 0.747404196842105

 $00{:}53{:}39.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41.285$  in the chat questions for Adam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00{:}53{:}50.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51.152$  Adam, that was really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:53:51.152 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.619$  I really learned a lot from you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:53:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.210$  As always, I appreciate that.

 $00:53:55.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.942$  Just a quick question about eye contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:53:56.942 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.914$  So I understand the difference of it

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:53:58.914 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.202$  being predictable or not predictable

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:54:00.202 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.910$  what the response is going to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:54:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.745$  but what about desirable or not desirable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

00:54:04.750 --> 00:54:07.679 In some people they like eye contact, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

00:54:07.679 --> 00:54:10.262 But I have some patience and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:54:10.262 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.989$  friends who really dislike eye contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:54:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.482$  And So what does that do to to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

00:54:16.482 --> 00:54:18.522 your data even more complicated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:54:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.150$  I'm, I was surprised at

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00:54:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.446$  how complicated it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

 $00{:}54{:}21.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.183$  But more complicated, you know, so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

00:54:24.183 --> 00:54:27.027 but that desirability back there, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.38272825

00:54:27.027 --> 00:54:28.966 some people like it and some don't.

 $00:54:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.410$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85739891

 $00{:}54{:}31.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36.490$  Should I talk into this? Is that OK so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85739891

 $00:54:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.696$  I guess so on one level like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85739891

00:54:39.700 --> 00:54:41.304 Things like desirability might

NOTE Confidence: 0.85739891

 $00:54:41.304 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.710$  be the kind of context I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.85739891

 $00:54:43.788 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.370$  talking about right today. They.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927531271111111

 $00:54:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.960$  Those are the kinds of factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.927531271111111

 $00:54:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.718$  are probably, I think probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927531271111111

 $00{:}54{:}53.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55.248$  So I think they're probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790150861764706

00:54:57.810 --> 00:54:59.652 Sort of charging up that piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.790150861764706

 $00{:}54{:}59.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}01.992$  of brain where the end 170 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.790150861764706

 $00:55:01.992 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.388$  being generated from really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790150861764706

 $00:55:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.322$  really early or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790150861764706

 $00:55:05.322 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.737$  So I don't know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80569804

 $00:55:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.460$  Like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680630815

00:55:12.800 --> 00:55:15.098 You know, the M70, it's this,

00:55:15.100 --> 00:55:17.638 it's this peak that can be larger or smaller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680630815

00:55:17.640 --> 00:55:20.016 earlier or later. So it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680630815

00:55:20.020 --> 00:55:22.796 There's only so many places it can go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680630815

 $00:55:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.436$  So I. I guess like that's as I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.680630815

00:55:26.436 --> 00:55:28.918 about this task, like the Stairmaster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680630815

00:55:28.918 --> 00:55:31.630 that might be like the tilt Table, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.680630815

 $00:55:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.870$  There might be other ways you can sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680630815

00:55:34.870 --> 00:55:38.769 Poken product. Thank you. Now

NOTE Confidence: 0.889657085714286

 $00:55:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.236$  you're making me get my steps in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889657085714286

 $00:55:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.750$  so my heart rate is going to be going up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:55:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.404$  I wonder about has there been any

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:55:47.404 --> 00:55:49.570 experiment or data comparing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:55:49.570 --> 00:55:51.938 I mean kids with autism in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:55:51.938 --> 00:55:53.886 their eye tracking data when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:55:53.886 --> 00:55:56.258 are or they are doing the experiment

00:55:56.258 --> 00:55:58.848 on screen versus in the real life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:55:58.850 --> 00:56:02.028 I mean as an in person experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.790$  Yeah, we did one here years and years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.545$  So. Here's the here's the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:11.545 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.610$  caveat to all of those experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:56:13.610 --> 00:56:16.250 So when I play you a movie on the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00.56:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.270$  it's the same movie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:56:17.270 --> 00:56:19.648 Every kid who comes in sees the same movie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:56:19.650 --> 00:56:21.486 When I put an eye tracker on your head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:56:21.490 --> 00:56:22.870 it looks like just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00{:}56{:}22.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}24.977$  now they can just look like glasses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.396$  But if I put an eye tracker on

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:27.396 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.517$  your head and I send you out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:56:29.520 --> 00:56:32.400 I who knows where, where you're going to go?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.940$  So there's this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454 00:56:35.940 --> 00:56:36.717 It you you,

00:56:36.717 --> 00:56:38.924 you can find that people might be less

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:38.924 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.898$  prone to engage in social interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:40.898 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.039$  and then they look less at faces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:56:43.040 --> 00:56:44.923 But probably what you want to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:44.923 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.966$  is for those people who weren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:46.966 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.534$  going to social interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.716$  what would they have done if they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:50.716 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.480$  in those socially charged situations

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.796$  that the other people went into?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:56:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.830$  So it's sort of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

00:56:57.830 --> 00:57:01.560 Long way of also saying I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.868913124545454

 $00:57:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.820$  know and it's complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00{:}57{:}05.420 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}57{:}07.025$  Methadol just also just in

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

00:57:07.025 --> 00:57:07.988 measurement and methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.703$  measurement and methodology.

 $00:57:09.703 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.129$  It's really hard to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

00:57:13.130 --> 00:57:14.895 And get really accurate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:14.895 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.170$  meaningful data for a single person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.980$  When you have a 50 kids or 20 kids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

00:57:19.035 --> 00:57:20.899 then you average it across all of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

00:57:20.900 --> 00:57:22.490 then you know, smooth it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:22.490 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.834$  they smooth it again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:23.834 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.110$  Then there's things come out of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.870$  But then at the individual level like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.990$  this issue of data loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.206$  again these are they start to creep in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.758$  people make their own experiments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00:57:34.758 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.055$  usually they're not the experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.70523650625

 $00{:}57{:}36.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37.609$  that you wanted them to be in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83801496

 $00{:}57{:}40.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41.200$  Mike.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814049212142857

 $00:57:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.464$  Adam loved your talk,

 $00:57:47.464 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.580$  great out of the park with all

NOTE Confidence: 0.814049212142857

 $00{:}57{:}49.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52.160$  the highly innovative approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814049212142857

00:57:52.160 --> 00:57:55.540 I've several questions. I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.879711234

 $00:57:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.530$  just ask the first one, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.866891256666667

00:57:55.540 --> 00:57:57.292 is, have you thought about with

NOTE Confidence: 0.866891256666667

 $00{:}57{:}57.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00.880$ your your new shaping paradigm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893656392

 $00:58:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.365$  do you think that it changes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893656392

 $00:58:03.365 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.090$  brains of these kids in any way where

NOTE Confidence: 0.864120732

00:58:05.100 --> 00:58:06.460 you might see that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.864120732

 $00:58:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.781$  inhibitory controls improved?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864120732

 $00{:}58{:}07.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09.287$  Do you see any applications of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864120732

 $00:58:09.287 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.570$  this beyond your experiment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00{:}58{:}13.630 --> 00{:}58{:}16.591$  So. Yes, I don't think in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

00:58:16.591 --> 00:58:18.370 sessions we're doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.224$  There's like measurements of that we're

 $00:58:20.224 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.211$  going to be measure like inhibitory

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:22.211 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.941$  control changing because the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:23.941 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.885$  of change that happens within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:25.885 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.866$  experiment is going to be driven by

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

00:58:27.870 --> 00:58:29.333 how far away they were from sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:29.333 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.858$  still at the beginning, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:30.858 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.490$  So you're sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.400$  It's like you're that baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.265$  is always going to be a predictor

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:37.265 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.025$  of your outcome measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.850$  And but yeah, and I think it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

00:58:40.850 --> 00:58:42.294 I think it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

00:58:42.294 --> 00:58:44.460 I do think it's a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:44.552 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.148$  kind of experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

00:58:46.150 --> 00:58:49.438 And I don't know there's like better or

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:49.438 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.730$  worse than kind of the traditional ones,

 $00:58:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.918$  but it's different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:53.918 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.690$  And I don't know that we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00.58:56.690 \longrightarrow 00.58:59.986$  exactly every way in which those

NOTE Confidence: 0.808575886363636

 $00:58:59.986 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.050$  differences might be important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80857588636363600:59:02.050 --> 00:59:02.700 Sometimes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

 $00:59:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.862$  and I've abandoned this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

 $00:59:03.862 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.302$  we would say to participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

 $00:59:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.462$  try not to blink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

 $00{:}59{:}06.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}07.902$  And you're layering your layering

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

 $00:59:07.902 \dashrightarrow 00:59:09.908$  on whatever your instructions are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

 $00:59:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.198$  You know, someone is has those on

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

 $00:59:11.198 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.340$  board while they're doing your task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

 $00:59:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.390$  You really don't know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

00:59:13.390 --> 00:59:14.110 much they're doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

00:59:14.110 --> 00:59:15.115 So it's some ways similar

 $00:59:15.115 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.919$  to what you're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822287083333333

00:59:15.920 --> 00:59:16.448 You're just doing it

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00:59:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.471$  implicitly. If, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

00:59:17.471 --> 00:59:19.830 I'll say it having been my own

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

00:59:19.902 --> 00:59:21.850 participant hundreds of times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

00:59:21.850 --> 00:59:23.810 it feels really different, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00:59:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.969$  This is responding faster than your N 170 is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

00:59:26.970 --> 00:59:29.450 So it feels, I mean it feels like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00{:}59{:}29.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}31.506$ you know, we've tied strings on to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00:59:31.506 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.369$  and they're hooked into the computer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00{:}59{:}33.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}35.780$  And that level of like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00:59:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.560$  That level of latency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00:59:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.310$  or lack of latency and perfect contingency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

00:59:44.310 --> 00:59:46.035 It's it's you have it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00:59:46.035 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.070$  very implicit learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00:59:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.006$  You don't think like oh I have to

00:59:49.006 --> 00:59:51.600 sit still like you just sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00:59:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.736$  it's a little bit for me I sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

00:59:54.736 --> 00:59:57.115 just freeze not freeze up that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

00:59:57.115 --> 00:59:59.191 stopped moving and I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.76932535

 $00{:}59{:}59.191 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}01.057$  about it explicitly so you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332465

01:00:01.070 --> 01:00:04.372 do you feel it. The reason why I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332465

01:00:04.372 --> 01:00:06.418 asking is because we've done some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332465

 $01:00:06.418 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.880$  stuff with biofeedback and we'd relax

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332465

 $01:00:08.880 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.680$  to keep a ball from when you relax,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332465

 $01:00:10.680 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.440$  a ball levitates. And with me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332465

01:00:12.440 --> 01:00:13.460 every time it would levitate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332465

 $01:00:13.460 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.972$  I get excited, then it would drop and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332465

01:00:15.972 --> 01:00:18.500 But I was thinking that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332465

 $01:00:18.500 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.290$  you could use this as a as an intervention in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8133715475

 $01:00:21.300 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.408$  some ways your approach.

 $01:00:23.410 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.410$  Yeah, sounds like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8133715475

01:00:25.410 --> 01:00:27.478 Yeah, yeah, definitely have kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8133715475

01:00:27.478 --> 01:00:28.980 When, like, their favorite movie comes on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8133715475

 $01:00:28.980 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.832$  they start getting excited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8133715475

 $01:00:29.832 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.512$  And then you're like, OK, this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8133715475

01:00:31.512 --> 01:00:33.430 And you feel bad and it's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8133715475

 $01:00:33.430 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.558$  oh man, what have you done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.16491112

 $01:00:37.770 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.510$  Wonderful. We're just about at time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01:00:39.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.924$  so we'll have a captive audience here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01:00:40.930 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.530$  I just like to remind you that we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01:00:42.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.285$  be back here in the Cohen for grand

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01:00:44.285 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.070$  rounds next week for Doctor Lisa Gallia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01:00:46.070 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.394$  And previously at UBC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01{:}00{:}47.394 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}50.109$  now recently appointed by Camp H in Toronto,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

01:00:50.110 --> 01:00:51.688 we'll be talking about the impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01:00:51.688 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.429$  of biological sex on brain health.

 $01:00:53.430 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.930$  So please join us for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01:00:54.930 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.490$  But just to thank Doctor Naples for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01:00:57.490 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.202$  wonderfully accessible deep dive into

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01{:}00{:}59.202 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}01{:}350$  biomarkers in a really important area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828551552857143

 $01:01:01.350 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.420$  So thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739999696666667

 $01:01:02.910 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.660$  Thank you, guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791224942

 $01:01:09.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.720$  Do I do something here?