WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"01:05:56"
- NOTE recognizability:0.849
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893191
- 00:02:24.410 --> 00:02:27.700 Hey Jonathan, can you hear us? Let
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85281416111111
- 00:02:27.700 --> 00:02:28.960 me introduce you to two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85281416111111
- $00:02:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.968$ people you've never seen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85281416111111
- 00:02:29.970 --> 00:02:31.820 One is Doctor Jayme Mcpartland.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85281416111111
- 00:02:31.820 --> 00:02:33.142 Dr Taking what do you want to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85281416111111
- $00:02:33.142 \rightarrow 00:02:34.060$ start talking with our speaker?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852814161111111
- $00:02:34.060 \rightarrow 00:02:36.084$ We haven't let people into the room yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- 00:02:38.540 --> 00:02:41.020 Hey Jonathan, do you want to have a really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:02:41.020 \rightarrow 00:02:45.230$ publicly broadcast ketchup session?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:02:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.180$ Sounds great. Good, you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- 00:02:50.180 --> 00:02:51.560 I had to admit I didn't notice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:02:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.685$ telling your side just now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00{:}02{:}52.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.970$ By the way, Jamie, Jamie eye contact.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

- $00:02:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.885$ Yeah, that's correct.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- 00:02:57.885 --> 00:02:59.105 I didn't notice it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- 00:02:59.110 --> 00:03:02.300 Said Duke University is that yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00{:}03{:}02{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04{.}190$ I mean he he doesn't know in autism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:03:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.590$ We don't make eye contact.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- 00:03:05.590 --> 00:03:08.040 Normally developing people would make typing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- 00:03:08.040 --> 00:03:08.910 Yeah, I know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:03:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.120$ but as an autism eye contact specialist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:03:11.120 \dashrightarrow 00:03:13.424$ we've ruled that eye contact being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:03:13.424 \rightarrow 00:03:14.960$ meaningful during zoom settings.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- 00:03:14.960 --> 00:03:16.298 So it's like saying I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- 00:03:16.300 --> 00:03:17.925 in fact I can communicate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:03:17.925 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.900$ effectively like this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:03:18.900 \rightarrow 00:03:20.250$ And then when it's time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234
- $00:03:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.314$ so when did you make the move?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446732866666667
- $00:03:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.660$ September 1st, so

 $00:03:23.670 \dashrightarrow 00:03:25.678$ it's brand new. Congratulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900383066666667

00:03:25.690 --> 00:03:28.580 very exciting. Are you physically there? Yeah

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

 $00:03:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.096$ yeah yeah I came down with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

 $00:03:31.096 \rightarrow 00:03:33.424$ family over the summer so we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

 $00:03:33.424 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.880$ get our kids set up at school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

00:03:35.880 --> 00:03:37.774 Yeah yeah thanks yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

 $00:03:37.774 \rightarrow 00:03:39.380$ And I'm I'm really excited about being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

 $00:03:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.448$ It's been really great so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.817362745

00:03:41.140 --> 00:03:42.736 that is is really yeah I

NOTE Confidence: 0.817362745

 $00:03:42.736 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.460$ want to hear all about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817362745

00:03:44.460 --> 00:03:45.785 Yeah for sure not broadcasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.817362745

 $00{:}03{:}45.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.490$ to the entire Child Study Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97949517

 $00:03:48.530 \rightarrow 00:03:51.720$ right? How are your kids doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790921223333333

 $00{:}03{:}51{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55{.}560$ I'm good that great school is in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790921223333333

 $00{:}03{:}55{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}750$ They're happy, started high school in,

 $00:03:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.690$ started high school this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790921223333333

00:03:58.690 --> 00:04:01.758 So so far, so good. Now you did you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790921223333333

00:04:01.758 --> 00:04:05.020 Are you still on CPD? Yes I am I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93709229

00:04:05.180 --> 00:04:06.419 I'm done. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81192046

 $00:04:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.248$ know you graduated. You will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81192046

 $00:04:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.360$ You will be greatly missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907771194545455

 $00:04:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.838$ I will enjoy the break but

NOTE Confidence: 0.907771194545455

 $00:04:12.838 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.830$ I will miss it too, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775194766

00:04:16.270 --> 00:04:19.510 Oh, you're you finished up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775194766

 $00:04:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.155$ You were you were on the Zoom study

NOTE Confidence: 0.775194766

 $00{:}04{:}22.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.565$ section for one or two sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720800048

 $00:04:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.080$ Is that right? Yeah, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88708730125

 $00:04:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.877$ For the time being, we're continuing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.88708730125

00:04:27.877 --> 00:04:30.397 zoom, which is, I find, a bit painful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625

 $00:04:31.040 \rightarrow 00:04:33.410$ It is it makes for a very long day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625

00:04:33.410 --> 00:04:35.518 Yeah, yeah, I thought you're gonna

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625
- $00:04:35.518 \rightarrow 00:04:37.291$ say my timing was good because they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625
- $00:04:37.291 \rightarrow 00:04:38.816$ did away with continuous submission.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625
- 00:04:38.820 --> 00:04:40.290 So I actually meant to thread
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625
- $00:04:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.780$ the needle in terms of period
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706404016
- $00:04:41.790 \dashrightarrow 00:04:43.080$ of time for continuous submission.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910050278181818
- $00{:}04{:}45{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46{.}852$ I I didn't know that they got
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910050278181818
- $00:04:46.852 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.710$ rid of continuous emission.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85796992
- 00:04:48.100 --> 00:04:49.696 If I if I'm not mistaken,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85796992
- 00:04:49.700 --> 00:04:51.550 I thought they changed the policy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862715706
- 00:04:52.650 --> 00:04:55.470 OK, I didn't really thought. But
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7756736325
- $00{:}04{:}55{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}696$ now I'm going to inquire about some protocol.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7756736325
- $00{:}04{:}57{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}176$ So what Andres, what's the what's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7756736325
- $00:04:59.176 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.929$ the is when we want to start?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.619038413333333
- $00:05:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.869$ Where's your schooling?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6375469
- $00:05:46.660 \rightarrow 00:05:47.310$ Kids.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70134735

- $00:05:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.580$ The people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82347906
- $00{:}05{:}56{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57{.}588$ They can only hear it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9349607
- $00{:}06{:}03.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.910$ Sure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.773254823333333
- $00:06:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.840$ Remember the test. Unless.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48675525
- 00:06:21.520 --> 00:06:22.350 OK, silly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5347798
- 00:06:25.140 --> 00:06:25.410 Epic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79999044
- $00{:}06{:}27{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28{.}970$ And I can see your cat.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.780722062222222
- $00:06:31.290 \rightarrow 00:06:32.973$ Do you want me to start letting people in?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457
- $00{:}06{:}35{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38{.}490$ While he's talking just because,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457
- 00:06:38.490 --> 00:06:42.802 well, who is wow? Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457
- $00{:}06{:}42.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.255$ just because critics are perfect
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457
- $00{:}06{:}45.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.280$ is over there with translating,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457
- 00:06:47.280 --> 00:06:48.260 but right now we're going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457
- $00:06:48.260 \rightarrow 00:06:49.920$ to start with it. Yes, OK?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79548198
- $00{:}06{:}53{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54{.}400$ Yeah, it's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899253055
- $00:07:05.230 \rightarrow 00:07:07.180$ Very sophisticated plan for managing

- NOTE Confidence: 0.899253055
- $00{:}07{:}07{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}130$ your question and answer session.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899253055
- 00:07:09.130 --> 00:07:10.614 I promised that I will screw it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899253055
- 00:07:10.614 --> 00:07:12.500 up and so I apologize in advance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6930787675
- $00{:}07{:}14.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.518$ Make room for Kyle.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8296549
- 00:07:19.130 --> 00:07:19.580 Sharp
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9001707
- $00{:}07{:}21.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.590$ so did people type their questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9001707
- $00:07:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.120$ into the chat function today?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9001707
- $00:07:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.776$ They will get to ask and they will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9001707
- $00:07:28.776 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.330$ be able to ask in person. Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85953856
- $00:07:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.760$ That's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8600879
- 00:07:43.750 --> 00:07:46.688 Rights. Oh, because I asked.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7747644
- $00{:}08{:}01.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.350$ Introduction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9177995
- $00{:}08{:}22.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.670$ Yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8331322225
- 00:08:34.930 --> 00:08:37.350 I think we're good. Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667
- $00:08:37.360 \rightarrow 00:08:40.708$ everyone. Welcome to today's grand rounds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

00:08:40.710 --> 00:08:41.670 My name is Mike Crowley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

 $00{:}08{:}41.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.914$ I'm a member of our Grand rounds committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

00:08:43.914 --> 00:08:46.206 Last week we heard from Carol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

00:08:46.210 --> 00:08:48.094 I just want to remind everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

00:08:48.094 --> 00:08:49.595 before I introduce Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52{.}053$ Mcpartland that I that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

 $00:08:52.053 \rightarrow 00:08:53.458$ compassionate care grand rounds next

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

 $00:08:53.458 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.474$ week and the title of that grand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

 $00{:}08{:}55{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}879$ rounds is refractory providers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

 $00{:}08{:}56{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58{.}896$ systems come together to care for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

 $00:08:58.896 \rightarrow 00:09:00.622$ severely depressed and suicidal youth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

 $00:09:00.622 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.386$ So out further ado.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284583866666667

 $00:09:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.260$ Doctor mcpartlin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.474$ Hey welcome everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:09.474 \rightarrow 00:09:13.005$ Today it's my pleasure to introduce Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:13.005 --> 00:09:15.663 Jonathan Posner is a child and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:09:15.663 \dashrightarrow 00:09:16.716$ adolescent psychiatrist and

 $00:09:16.716 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.815$ vice chair for research in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:18.815 --> 00:09:20.405 Department of Psychiatry at Duke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}20{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23{.}164$ which is news hot off the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:23.164 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.470$ presses as of September 1st.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}25{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27{.}555$ He directs pediatric brain imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:27.555 \dashrightarrow 00:09:29.223$ laboratory that has maintained

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}29{.}223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30{.}641$ consistent NIH funding since

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:30.641 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.216$ founding over ten years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.820$ His research focuses on neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}33.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.420$ development with an emphasis on

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:35.473 --> 00:09:37.253 imaging approaches to studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:37.253 \dashrightarrow 00:09:39.033$ neurobiological correlates of mental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.668$ Illness and cognitive development

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:41.668 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.856$ is Pi on 312 N family based

 $00:09:44.856 \rightarrow 00:09:46.072$ studies aimed at understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:46.072 --> 00:09:47.598 the influence of family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:47.600 \rightarrow 00:09:49.064$ Psychosocial adversity in prenatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}49.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.260$ exposures on the development of neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:51.313 \rightarrow 00:09:53.368$ circuits involved in executive functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.630$ In emotion regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:54.630 --> 00:09:56.414 You know, having reviewed the CD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:56.414 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.594$ he's a piece of many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}57{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00{.}148$ many more grants than that he's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:00.148 \rightarrow 00:10:01.240$ extremely productive researcher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}298$ His work has been published in leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:03.298 --> 00:10:04.750 journals including JAMA Psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:04.750 --> 00:10:06.121 John Pediatrics, Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:06.121 --> 00:10:08.406 Lance Psychiatry in The Lancet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:08.410 --> 00:10:09.034 He's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:09.034 \rightarrow 00:10:11.530$ A very engaged mentor and educator and has

- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:11.598 \rightarrow 00:10:14.160$ served mentor to many new junior faculty,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:14.160 \rightarrow 00:10:14.596$ postdocs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:14.596 \rightarrow 00:10:18.540$ and psychic residents who've done well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.272$ Came to know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- 00:10:19.272 --> 00:10:19.516 gentlemen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00{:}10{:}19{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21{.}920$ through our mutual serving on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.940$ a study section,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.140$ childhood safe theology and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.240$ developmental disorders,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.235$ and one of the things that I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:28.235 \rightarrow 00:10:29.770$ recognized by Jonathan isn't in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00{:}10{:}29.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.768$ addition to having deep technical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00{:}10{:}31.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.316$ knowledge of his methods.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- 00:10:33.320 --> 00:10:35.552 He also has very strong clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
- $00:10:35.552 \rightarrow 00:10:37.860$ sense and is extremely thoughtful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:39.491 --> 00:10:41.103 hearing what he has to say because
NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
00:10:41.103 --> 00:10:42.393 I I think it's gonna actually
NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
00:10:42.445 --> 00:10:44.626 even be more rewarding with his
NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
00:10:44.626 --> 00:10:45.958 comments during study section.
NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
00:10:45.960 --> 00:10:47.458 If you can believe it or not,
NOTE Confidence: 0.684049
00:10:47.460 --> 00:10:48.825 and he's going to be talking about.
NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:37.860 --> 00:10:39.491 And so I'm really looking forward to

 $00:10:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.470$ Kind of depression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:50.470 --> 00:10:51.290 antidepressant exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:51.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.002$ and how that influences

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:53.002 --> 00:10:53.858 neurodevelopmental trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:53.860 --> 00:10:55.060 Thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}10{:}55{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55{.}360$ Jonathan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7606064

00:10:57.160 --> 00:10:58.990 Thank you so much Jamie. UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:10:58.990 \rightarrow 00:11:01.830$ really appreciate that introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:01.830 \rightarrow 00:11:03.729$ And I just wanted to mention that it's a.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- 00:11:03.730 --> 00:11:05.614 It's such an honor to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00{:}11{:}05{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}689$ presenting to you all as a child,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- 00:11:07.690 --> 00:11:11.101 not a lesson psychiatrist I I never did any
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- 00:11:11.101 --> 00:11:14.786 of my own training at at Yale Child study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00:11:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.638$ but so many of the the mentors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- 00:11:16.638 --> 00:11:18.727 who taught me so much about child
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- 00:11:18.727 --> 00:11:20.569 psychiatry all grew up at Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00:11:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.688$ so the Child Study Center is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- 00:11:22.688 --> 00:11:24.928 always loom very large in my mind,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00:11:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.820$ so the real treat to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00{:}11{:}26.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.570$ presenting to you all today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00{:}11{:}28.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.217$ Uhm, so I'm going to be talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00:11:32.217 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.732$ today about the safety of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00{:}11{:}35{.}732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38{.}724$ antidepressant use during pregnancy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00:11:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.026$ And specifically the use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:41.026 \rightarrow 00:11:42.748$ of SSRI antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:42.750 --> 00:11:45.278 Uhm, and this is a topic that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:45.278 --> 00:11:47.728 I really find quite interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}11{:}47.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.720$ because on the one hand it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.460$ a question that we want very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}11{:}52{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54{.}469$ very badly to know the answer to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:54.470 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:11:56.577 We want to know whether we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:56.577 \rightarrow 00:11:58.220$ safely prescribe these medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}11{:}58{.}220$ --> $00{:}12{:}00{.}495$ And yet we are somewhat hamstrung in NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}12{:}00{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}337$ how we how we approach this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.445$ because the most rigorous methodology NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:05.445 \rightarrow 00:12:08.913$ that we would have to answer this would

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}12{:}08{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12{.}821$ be a randomized clinical trial and for both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:12.821 \rightarrow 00:12:13.408$ Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:13.408 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.169$ and pragmatic regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.306$ It would be very,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00:12:16.306 \rightarrow 00:12:18.800$ very difficult to use that methodology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00:12:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.510$ so we're left in this situation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00{:}12{:}20{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22{.}810$ where we want a definitive answer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00:12:22.810 \rightarrow 00:12:26.130$ And yet our our approach is somewhat limited.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00{:}12{:}26{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28{.}210$ I'm going to be talking to you today
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00{:}12{:}28{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30{.}265$ about how we're trying to tackle this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295
- $00:12:30.265 \rightarrow 00:12:32.480$ problem in lieu of those limitations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714
- $00:12:36.360 \rightarrow 00:12:39.335$ Uh, so some some disclosures to mention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714
- $00{:}12{:}39{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41{.}782$ So I have received research support
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714
- $00:12:41.782 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.120$ from Shire which is now part of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714
- $00{:}12{:}45{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47{.}562$ Cada Avino mix and Innovation sciences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714
- $00{:}12{:}47.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.768$ But none of that research support
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714
- $00{:}12{:}50.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.506$ was related to the data that I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714
- $00{:}12{:}52{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}107$ gonna be presenting to you all today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:12:56.480 \rightarrow 00:12:59.396$ Uhm, so uh before getting started,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

- $00{:}12{:}59{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03{.}593$ uhm, I first wanted to discuss two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:03.593 \rightarrow 00:13:07.670$ foundational concepts that really guide my.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- 00:13:07.670 --> 00:13:10.730 And the first is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- 00:13:10.730 --> 00:13:12.566 centrality of development,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:12.570 \rightarrow 00:13:17.407$ and I was essentially axiomatic that most,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.870$ if not all mental illness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00{:}13{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.366$ Has it had development to origins
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:23.366 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.579$ or or to put that another way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- 00:13:26.580 --> 00:13:29.468 that I think if we really want to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:29.468 \rightarrow 00:13:31.619$ understand the etiology of psych.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:31.620 \rightarrow 00:13:34.460$ We have to understand development,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.832$ but development is of course difficult.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- 00:13:37.832 --> 00:13:40.648 Not only now are we are we trying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:40.648 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.580$ to understand this incredibly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.580$ complex organ in the human brain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:45.580 \rightarrow 00:13:48.779$ but when we take a developmental perspective,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:48.780 \rightarrow 00:13:51.734$ we're now chasing after a moving target.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062
- $00:13:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.738$ As the brand grows and matures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727
- 00:13:56.660 00:13:58.520 The second principle that guides
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727
- $00:13:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.640$ my work is the importance of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727
- $00{:}14{:}00{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}298$ It's all of our approaches to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727
- $00:14:03.298 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.070$ understanding the brain and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727
- $00{:}14{:}05{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07{.}639$ mental illness have limitations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727
- $00:14:07.640 \rightarrow 00:14:11.420$ Whether it be preclinical models for example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717979953333333
- 00:14:16.610 --> 00:14:18.380 Relation based research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717979953333333
- $00:14:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.150$ epidemiology that have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333
- $00:14:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.542$ Clinical samples may have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333
- $00:14:25.542 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.038$ limited generalizability.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333
- $00{:}14{:}27.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.488$ But when translational science
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333
- $00:14:28.488 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.660$ is really working at its best,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333
- $00{:}14{:}30.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.500$ we're able to triangulate across
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

00:14:33.500 --> 00:14:35.824 these domains, and that's when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:35.824 \rightarrow 00:14:37.600$ think we can really make progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.150$ and my hope is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.548$ By the end of this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.538$ I've convinced you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00{:}14{:}44{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46{.}634$ That the story of prenatal SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:46.634 \rightarrow 00:14:48.860$ exposure is 1 where this trend?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:14:54.920 \rightarrow 00:14:58.007$ By giving you some background on depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}14{:}58{.}007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}815$ during pregnancy to provide some context

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}160$ for why antidepressant use during

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}15{:}03{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05{.}857$ pregnancy is such an important topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}15{:}05{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}665$ So to begin, UM, depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:15:08.665 --> 00:15:10.257 during pregnancy common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:10.257 \rightarrow 00:15:12.592$ it's estimated that anywhere from

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:15:12.592 --> 00:15:16.455 10 to 20% of women will experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:15:16.455 --> 00:15:18.210 depression during pregnancy,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00{:}15{:}18{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21{.}050$ and then there are a host of associated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00:15:21.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.190$ risks that go along with that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00{:}15{:}23.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.392$ So, first and foremost is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00:15:25.392 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.860$ depressed and anxious mood.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00:15:26.860 \rightarrow 00:15:29.380$ But the suffering of the depression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00{:}15{:}29{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}269$ experience by the by the individual.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00{:}15{:}32{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}643$ But then there are a host of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00:15:34.643 \rightarrow 00:15:35.660$ other potential complications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00:15:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.660$ So one is that, UM,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00:15:37.660 \rightarrow 00:15:39.800$ prenatal depression is associated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00:15:39.800 \rightarrow 00:15:41.940$ with worse prenatal care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00:15:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.280$ poor nutrition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- 00:15:44.280 --> 00:15:46.440 An increased risk for substance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00{:}15{:}46{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47{.}736$ abuse and suicide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667
- $00{:}15{:}47.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.205$ There's also concerns about premature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:50.205 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.670$ delivery and low birth weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:52.670 \rightarrow 00:15:56.258$ A another growing concern is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:56.258 \rightarrow 00:15:58.436$ prenatal depression may increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:58.436 \rightarrow 00:16:01.364$ stress hormones and have trickle down

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:16:01.364 \rightarrow 00:16:04.757$ effects on the fetus by altering

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}16{:}04.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.506$ the intrauterine environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:16:06.510 - 00:16:08.764 And then last but certainly not least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:16:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.636$ is that prenatal depression is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:16:10.636 --> 00:16:13.310 a set up for postpartum depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:16:13.310 \rightarrow 00:16:16.274$ which can have negative effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}16{:}16{.}274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18{.}645$ the parent infant interaction with

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:16:18.645 \rightarrow 00:16:20.620$ downstream effects on neural development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.660$ So if a pregnant woman develops

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.162$ depression and discuss his

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:28.162 \rightarrow 00:16:29.498$ treatment with her doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:29.500 \rightarrow 00:16:31.530$ it's really important to keep

- NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746
- $00:16:31.530 \rightarrow 00:16:33.560$ in mind that this decision,

 $00:16:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.796$ the treatment decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:34.796 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.856$ is really a balancing act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}36{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39{.}182$ The the physician and the pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}39{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41{.}857$ woman are trying on the one hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:16:41.857 --> 00:16:43.963 to weigh the negative effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}43.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.685$ the depression while at the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}46.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.980$ time considering potential risks of

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}48.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.979$ prenatal antidepressant exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:16:49.979 --> 00:16:52.310 And when I say enter the present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:16:52.310 --> 00:16:54.285 Oh sure, I'm referring primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:16:54.285 --> 00:16:55.470 to SSRI exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}606$ and I'll show you later that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}58.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.940$ primary medication class that's used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.544$ Uhm, and the SSRI.

 $00:17:02.544 \rightarrow 00:17:06.000$ Exposure to the fetus really is not trivial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:17:06.000 --> 00:17:07.533 These medications readily

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:17:07.533 --> 00:17:09.577 pass through the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}17{:}09{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12{.}905$ and it's estimated that their levels in

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:17:12.905 --> 00:17:15.483 fetal circulation are anywhere from 70

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}17{:}15{.}483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}908$ to 80% of that of the maternal levels. NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:17:19.910 --> 00:17:23.837 Safety concerns have come up over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}17{:}23.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.328$ years with a variety of FDA warnings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:17:28.330 --> 00:17:30.035 But most of these concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:30.035 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.740$ have been put to rest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}17{:}31{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33{.}804$ or at least these concerns have

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}17{:}33{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35{.}611$ seemed far less significant than

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37{.}456$ the risk of untreated depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00{:}17{:}39{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43{.}308$ And as these safety concerns have subsided,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.490$ prenatal SSRI use in EU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.270$ S has steadily increased.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368
- $00:17:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.356$ So what I'm showing here is the

 $00{:}17{:}50{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52{.}430$ percentage of pregnant women taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00{:}17{:}52{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54{.}612$ an antidepressant in EU. S. Overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:54.612 \rightarrow 00:17:57.209$ Then you can see this steady increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.922$ The top line here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00{:}17{:}58{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01{.}062$ Is any antidepressant and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:01.062 \rightarrow 00:18:03.360$ next line down is of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:03.360 \dashrightarrow 00:18:04.992$ The percentage of SSR eyes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00{:}18{:}04{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07{.}439$ so you can see that the Lions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:07.439 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.159$ sharing enter depressant prescribed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:09.159 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.940$ during pregnancy are indeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

00:18:10.940 --> 00:18:14.120 SSRI, enter the presence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.480$ And so we're now at a point where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

00:18:17.480 --> 00:18:20.736 SSR eyes are used by anywhere from 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:20.736 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.860$ to 8% of pregnant women in the US,

 $00{:}18{:}23.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.458$ and that translates to anywhere from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.280$ 160 to 320,000 babies born each year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00{:}18{:}31{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31{.}990$ In EU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:31.990 \rightarrow 00:18:34.670$ S who have been prenatally exposed to SSRI's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:18:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.050$ So now that I've I've given you

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00{:}18{:}41.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}43.077$ some of the clinical context

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

00:18:43.077 --> 00:18:45.717 regarding SSRI use during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00{:}18{:}45.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.024$ I want to shift to the second part

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:18:48.024 \rightarrow 00:18:50.888$ of my talk where we move from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:18:50.888 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.372$ clinical setting to the bench or

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00{:}18{:}53{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55{.}850$ do basic neuroscience research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:18:55.850 \rightarrow 00:18:59.450$ starting in the early 2000s Neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}189$ Neuro scientists started trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:02.189 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.118$ use preclinical models to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:05.118 \rightarrow 00:19:08.253$ how SSR eyes are effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:08.260 \rightarrow 00:19:11.410$ And at the most proxamol level,

 $00:19:11.410 \rightarrow 00:19:14.240$ we have a pretty good sense of how they work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.916$ so you have your presynaptic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

00:19:16.916 --> 00:19:18.715 postsynaptic neurons and SSRI's

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:18.715 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.990$ block the transporter that reabsorb

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00{:}19{:}20{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23{.}269$ seroton in from the synaptic cleft

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:23.269 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.829$ from the synaptic cleft.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.614$ So one approach that was used

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:29.614 \rightarrow 00:19:32.882$ early on to try to understand how

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

00:19:32.882 --> 00:19:36.037 SSRI's work was the transporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00{:}19{:}36{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38{.}500$ knockout mouse and the idea here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.714$ And this was work done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

00:19:40.714 --> 00:19:43.100 large part by J Gingrich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00{:}19{:}43.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.992$ The idea here was that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47{.}920$ SSRI lock the transporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00{:}19{:}47{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50{.}920$ then simply removing the transporter

 $00{:}19{:}50{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53{.}674$ should mimic those SSRI effects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:53.674 \rightarrow 00:19:55.941$ essentially creating a highly resilient

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:55.941 \rightarrow 00:19:58.765$ mouse or or a type of mighty mouse. NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.270$ And what's quite interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}03{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06{.}159$ that's not at all what was found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

00:20:06.160 --> 00:20:09.254 Uhm, instead of a less anxious mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}09{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.620$ the knockout mouse actually displays more

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:12.620 \rightarrow 00:20:16.316$ anxious like behavior and an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:16.316 \rightarrow 00:20:20.320$ that is using the latency to feed paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.525$ So what you see here is a mouse in

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:22.525 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.730$ a cage with a tasty food pellet

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.669$ in the middle of the cage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}26.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.194$ and the investigators measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:28.194 \rightarrow 00:20:30.970$ how long it takes for the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:30.970 \rightarrow 00:20:33.265$ To get up the courage to go into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}33{.}265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35{.}289$ middle of the cage and and eat the

 $00{:}20{:}35{.}289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37{.}850$ treat and so first you see the latency

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.769$ to feed in the the wild type mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}40.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.875$ Uhm and then come with a mouse have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:43.875 \rightarrow 00:20:46.117$ pretreated with the necessary you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.164$ a decrease in the latency to feed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}48.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.690$ So in other words the mouse gets up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:50.690 \rightarrow 00:20:52.677$ courage sooner and it goes into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:52.677 \rightarrow 00:20:55.029$ middle of the cage and eats the pellet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}55{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57{.}767$ Uhm, but with the knock out mouse you

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:57.767 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.320$ actually see this increased latency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

00:21:00.320 --> 00:21:01.128 So surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}21{:}01{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03{.}148$ it actually takes that in-house,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}21{:}03{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.696$ longer to get up the courage to go and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}21{:}06.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.754$ explore the middle of the of the cage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00{:}21{:}12.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.300$ Uhm? And I realized that I'm being

 $00:21:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.528$ a bit loose in my my language here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:18.530 --> 00:21:19.804 When I when I say I'm out

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:19.804 \rightarrow 00:21:20.760$ getting up the courage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00{:}21{:}20.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.418$ Obviously I'm not meeting that literally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:23.420 \rightarrow 00:21:26.508$ What I mean really is anxious like behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00{:}21{:}26{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28{.}838$ Uhm? And so this finding has

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:28.838 --> 00:21:30.002 actually been replicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:30.010 --> 00:21:32.684 Now many, many times what I'm showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00{:}21{:}32{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}480$ the slides here on the left these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00{:}21{:}37{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39{.}466$ Brain slices that are staying for

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00{:}21{:}39{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41{.}196$ this for the seroton in transporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:41.196 --> 00:21:43.940 and the wild type you see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00{:}21{:}43{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45{.}495$ transporter is relatively ubiquitous

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:45.495 --> 00:21:47.415 across the the mouse brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.460$ and then on the slide on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.180$ it's entirely absent, so the the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582
- $00:21:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.740$ knockout process does indeed do it.

 $00:21:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.320$ It's supposed to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:54.320 \rightarrow 00:21:59.190$ And then, in terms of behavioral phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:59.190 \rightarrow 00:22:00.620$ What I described previously was

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:22:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.050$ to increase latency to feed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:22:02.050 \rightarrow 00:22:04.165$ but there's also increased anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00{:}22{:}04.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.130$ like behaviors on an open field test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:22:07.130 \rightarrow 00:22:09.370$ there's increased stress responsivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:22:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.050$ increased social avoidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:22:11.050 --> 00:22:12.499 and increased sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:22:12.499 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.914$ to alcohol and to cocaine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}18.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.124$ Now, this finding of increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}21{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23{.}929$ anxiety and depressive like behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}23{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27{.}218$ is somewhat paradoxical because if

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:22:27.220 --> 00:22:29.440 SSRI's or disabling the transporter,

 $00:22:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.450$ then why should it be that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}31{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32{.}790$ removing the transporter should

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:32.855 \rightarrow 00:22:36.020$ actually have the opposite effect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}36{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39{.}684$ And to answer this is where having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:22:39.684 --> 00:22:42.890 developmental perspective is so so critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.240$ So if we think of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.646$ the knockout mouse is having the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:22:46.646 --> 00:22:48.720 transporter removed from the gecko,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}48.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.728$ so there's increased seroton in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}50.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.934$ signaling from conception all

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:52.934 \rightarrow 00:22:55.206$ the way through development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:55.210 \rightarrow 00:22:56.230$ And what the FINA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.250$ The behavioral phenotype that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:57.250 \rightarrow 00:22:58.914$ we see is increased, anxious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}58{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00{.}930$ and depressive like behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:23:00.930 \rightarrow 00:23:03.260$ The story is quite different

 $00:23:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.240$ with an SSRI treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:23:07.240 --> 00:23:09.319 A mouse where you expose the mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}23{:}09{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11.752$ to an SSRI later in development

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:23:11.752 \rightarrow 00:23:14.197$ and therefore only have increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:23:14.197 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.182$ seroton in signaling on a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}23{:}16.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.048$ more mature brain and see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:23:18.048 \rightarrow 00:23:19.470$ different behavioral phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00:23:22.570 \rightarrow 00:23:26.182$ So this, UM, this sort of developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}26.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29.340$ insight LED Mark answer Key and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}840$ others to conduct a really elegant

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00:23:31.840 \rightarrow 00:23:33.910$ series of experiments where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36{.}553$ asked what would happen if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}36{.}553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38{.}903$ block the seroton in transporter over

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}38{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41{.}167$ distinct periods during development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00:23:41.170 \rightarrow 00:23:45.022$ And so using an SSRI in this case Prozac,

 $00{:}23{:}45{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47{.}406$ they blocked the transporter in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00:23:47.406 \rightarrow 00:23:48.990$ at different developmental stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}48{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51{.}210$ and then probed the anxious and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}51{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}690$ depressive like behaviors in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}477$ these mice during a dulthood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58{.}946$ And what they found was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00{:}23{:}58{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}887$ if they blocked the transporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00{:}24{:}00{.}887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03{.}515$ during the adult period during post

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00{:}24{:}03{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06{.}390$ day to post Natal Day 90 to 190,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00{:}24{:}06{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}994$ they did not see this increased in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}200$ anxious or depressed like behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

00:24:11.200 --> 00:24:15.268 Similarly, post data 21 to 41.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00{:}24{:}15{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18{.}189$ And it was only in this relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

00:24:18.189 --> 00:24:21.435 narrow window window that they saw that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00{:}24{:}21{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23{.}825$ adult phenotypes increased anxiety from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00:24:23.825 \rightarrow 00:24:27.520$ post Natal Day two to post Natal Day 11.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486
- $00:24:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.696$ So. Uhm? If we now translate that

 $00{:}24{:}37.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40.004$ period into the human analogue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

00:24:40.004 --> 00:24:42.680 That period of post Natal Day

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

 $00:24:42.762 \rightarrow 00:24:45.240$ two through post Natal Day 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

 $00:24:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.368$ translates into the third

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

 $00:24:47.368 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.496$ trimester gestation in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

 $00:24:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.920$ So the prenatal period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

 $00{:}24{:}54{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56{.}614$ Mark and others then went on to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

 $00{:}24{:}56.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.344$ that this early increase in seroton in

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

 $00:24:59.344 \rightarrow 00:25:01.384$ signaling caused abnormalities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

00:25:01.384 --> 00:25:03.680 morphology and electrophysiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

 $00{:}25{:}03.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.384$ and the informit cortex as well as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

 $00{:}25{:}08{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10{.}250$ Alterations in the ater learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9142392752

 $00{:}25{:}15{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17{.}460$ So now, uhm. The question that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9142392752

 $00{:}25{:}17.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.701$ need to ask is why should seroton in

 $00:25:20.701 \rightarrow 00:25:23.381$ signaling have such different effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9142392752

 $00:25:23.381 \rightarrow 00:25:26.188$ depending on the stage and development?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00{:}25{:}29{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}774$ It occurs the answer to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:31.774 \rightarrow 00:25:34.280$ question is still somewhat unknown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.170$ but it likely relates to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.856$ functional role of serotonin changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93630627727272727

 $00{:}25{:}37.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39.696$ over the course of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.055$ So in adulthood, serotonin acts

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:42.055 \rightarrow 00:25:43.939$ like a canonical neurotransmitter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00{:}25{:}43{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46{.}202$ but during the fetal period seroton in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00{:}25{:}46{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49{.}635$ seems to act more like growth factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:49.635 \rightarrow 00:25:51.486$ influencing neuronal proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.360$ migration as well as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:54.360 \rightarrow 00:26:00.079$ organization of early neurons and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.890$ My favorite example of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.048$ comes from Pat Leavitt's lab,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00:26:04.048 \rightarrow 00:26:07.252$ where they found that the actual
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00{:}26{:}07{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10{.}722$ direction of axonal growth and can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00:26:10.722 \rightarrow 00:26:13.034$ actually be reversed depending
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00:26:13.034 \rightarrow 00:26:15.620$ on serotonin concentrations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00:26:15.620 \rightarrow 00:26:17.760$ The mechanisms underlying how serotonin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00{:}26{:}17.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.472$ function changes over development
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00:26:19.472 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.353$ are still being worked out and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00:26:21.353 \rightarrow 00:26:23.359$ not within the scope of this talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00{:}26{:}23.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.904$ but there is a lot of really interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00:26:25.904 \rightarrow 00:26:27.730$ work being done in this area,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- 00:26:27.730 --> 00:26:28.882 and I provide a reference here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727
- $00{:}26{:}28{.}882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30{.}130$ for those who are interested.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00{:}26{:}34{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37{.}130$ So I now want to take you to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00:26:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.320$ the next part of the talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00:26:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.080$ so we've gone from the clinic where we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}26{:}42.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.919$ talked about the increase in the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:26:44.919 \rightarrow 00:26:48.244$ SSR eyes during pregnancy to the bench,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}26{:}48{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50{.}584$ where we learned that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:26:50.584 \rightarrow 00:26:53.618$ least in a preclinical model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}26{:}53.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.536$ Prenatal exposure to accessorize seemed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:26:56.536 \rightarrow 00:27:00.080$ have long lasting our development effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:00.080 \rightarrow 00:27:01.990$ but the question now is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:01.990 \rightarrow 00:27:04.916$ does this have any relevance to humans?

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.500$ And to answer this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}27{:}06{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10{.}326$ we're going to move from the bench to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:10.326 \rightarrow 00:27:14.430$ population studies or epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:27:14.430 --> 00:27:17.877 So I'm going to take you on a trip

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}27{:}17.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.607$ across the Atlantic to Finland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:27:20.610 --> 00:27:23.394 And Finland is really an extraordinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:27:23.394 --> 00:27:25.690 place to do epidemiologic work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:25.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.778$ because their health system
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- 00:27:27.778 --> 00:27:29.866 tracks their health system,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00:27:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.118$ has a national registry where they can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00:27:33.118 \rightarrow 00:27:36.547$ track all citizens from from birth forward,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00:27:36.550 \rightarrow 00:27:39.755$ allowing investigators such like Andre
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00{:}27{:}39{.}755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43{.}110$ surrender to look at the effects
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00:27:43.110 \rightarrow 00:27:46.570$ of exposures at a population level.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- 00:27:46.570 --> 00:27:49.600 So using this finish register registry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00{:}27{:}49.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.270$ Andre and his colleagues were able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00:27:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.450$ to identify 60,000 infants who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00:27:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.306$ were born between 1996 to 2010.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00:27:57.306 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.438$ And then they stratified that sample
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- 00:28:00.438 --> 00:28:02.976 into 33,000 who were born to healthy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00{:}28{:}02{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04{.}050$ nondepressed mothers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00{:}28{:}04.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.725$ 10,000 born to mothers with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333
- $00{:}28{:}06{.}725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09{.}340$ a diagnosis of depression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:28:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.892$ And then another 17,000 born to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}28{:}12.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.125$ mothers who had a psychiatric illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:28:15.125 --> 00:28:17.450 and used an SSRI during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.170$ And here's what they what

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.880$ they found and this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.280$ I realize it's a. It's a bit busy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00{:}28{:}25{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}220$ so let me walk you through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.284$ So what we're looking at here

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:28:30.284 --> 00:28:32.010 are four different outcomes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:32.010 \rightarrow 00:28:33.720$ the children and the top left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.104$ The outcome is depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00{:}28{:}35{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}180$ and these are the percentage of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:28:37.241 --> 00:28:39.149 children who develop depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.094$ and these are the ages of the children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.766$ So as you move from from birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:42.766 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.813$ all the way up to 14 and you

- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00:28:44.813 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.088$ see there's this increase in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00:28:46.146 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.790$ the prevalence of depression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00{:}28{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.006$ The top line has the infants
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00:28:51.006 \rightarrow 00:28:53.470$ who were exposed prenatally to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00{:}28{:}53{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56{.}445$ SSRI's and you can see that that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00{:}28{:}56{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58{.}491$ group is significantly higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00:28:58.491 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.046$ than all the other groups.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00:29:01.050 \rightarrow 00:29:04.115$ The other groups that they looked at were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00{:}29{:}04.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07.580$ infants who were exposed to a maternal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- 00:29:07.580 --> 00:29:09.989 psychiatric illness during pregnancy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00:29:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.554$ but with no medication.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00{:}29{:}11.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.006$ You see that in blue and yellow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00:29:15.006 \rightarrow 00:29:18.746$ you have mothers who discontinued.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00{:}29{:}18.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.370$ SSRI before becoming pregnant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894
- $00{:}29{:}23{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26{.}394$ Uhm and and then the black.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:26.400 \rightarrow 00:29:29.838$ You have a healthy control group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00{:}29{:}29{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.107$ So there's a few things to note about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.275$ so one is that the effects surprisingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:36.275 \rightarrow 00:29:37.950$ seems to be somewhat specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00{:}29{:}37{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40{.}225$ and that we see the effects of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:29:40.230 --> 00:29:42.477 On depression outcome but we don't see

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:42.477 \rightarrow 00:29:44.530$ those effects for anxiety disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:29:44.530 --> 00:29:45.961 autism or ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:45.961 \rightarrow 00:29:49.300$ We don't see separation across the groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.487$ And also the stratification that they use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00{:}29{:}54.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57.268$ Controls for the the presence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:57.268 \rightarrow 00:29:59.750$ psychiatric illness in the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00{:}29{:}59{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03{.}978$ So it's unlikely to be attributable to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:30:03.980 \dashrightarrow 00:30:05.780$ Prenatal psychiatric illness alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}08{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11{.}375$ Uhm? So this finish epidemiologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

00:30:11.375 - 00:30:14.000 study is consistent with the

 $00:30:14.093 \rightarrow 00:30:17.399$ preclinical findings that I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:17.400 \dashrightarrow 00:30:19.818$ But there really are some critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}19.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.845$ limitations that are that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:21.845 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.580$ important to be mindful of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

00:30:23.580 --> 00:30:25.056 First and foremost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:25.056 \rightarrow 00:30:28.008$ there's a problem of what's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:28.008 \rightarrow 00:30:30.930$ surveillance bias and what that refers to is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:30.930 \dashrightarrow 00:30:33.858$ The idea that if they if a pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}33.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.411$ woman developed depression goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:35.411 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.649$ to her doctor and decides to

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:37.649 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.619$ take an anti depressant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}39{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40{.}268$ That woman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:40.268 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.536$ when she becomes a mother may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}42.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.487$ more likely to notice depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}44{.}487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46{.}827$ and her offspring and bring her

00:30:46.895 --> 00:30:50.770 offspring to see a a physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52{.}936$ rather than relative to a woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:52.936 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.834$ who who's depressed but does

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:54.834 \rightarrow 00:30:56.358$ not seek SSRI treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:30:59.280 \dashrightarrow 00:31:03.046$ The second issue is post Natal factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:31:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.342$ So the finish study really did

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

00:31:05.342 --> 00:31:07.450 not address post Natal issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:31:07.450 \rightarrow 00:31:09.000$ so it's possible, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:31:09.000 \rightarrow 00:31:11.622$ that the SSRI exposed group also

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

00:31:11.622 --> 00:31:13.370 experienced more negative post

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00{:}31{:}13.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.153$ data exposures and these post Natal

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:31:16.153 \rightarrow 00:31:18.396$ exposures were really driving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00{:}31{:}18{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20{.}944$ outcomes rather than the SSRI per say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.610$ And then the third limitation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}25.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.494$ and this is really a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:27.494 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.520$ one in a really difficult.

 $00{:}31{:}29{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30{.}580$ It's called one to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}30{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33{.}448$ This is called confounding by indication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}33{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35{.}664$ and this is an issue that I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:35.664 \rightarrow 00:31:37.535$ continue to refer to later in the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}37{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39{.}448$ This compound refers to the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}39{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}237$ that there could be something

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:41.237 \rightarrow 00:31:43.025$ systematically different about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

00:31:43.025 --> 00:31:45.940 mothers who took SSRI during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.059$ So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:47.059 \rightarrow 00:31:49.297$ there might be some reason why

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}49{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51{.}358$ those mothers were prescribed

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}51{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53{.}430$ SSRI's versus the mothers who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:53.430 \rightarrow 00:31:55.540$ depression and were not prescribed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}55{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56{.}500$ Perhaps, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}56{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58{.}420$ they had a more severe depression,

 $00:31:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.585$ and that's really what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}59{.}585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00{.}284$ driving the finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.034$ So to try to address these

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:07.034 \rightarrow 00:32:08.478$ limitations, I'm going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:08.478 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.079$ I'm going to take you back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00{:}32{:}11.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.017$ clinic to a clinic based study

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:13.017 \rightarrow 00:32:14.934$ that that we recently completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:14.934 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.745$ where we tried to address at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00{:}32{:}17.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19.617$ these first two limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.254$ So this is a an infant MRI study

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00{:}32{:}26{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29{.}266$ that we completed at New York

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

00:32:29.266 --> 00:32:31.347 Presbyterian Hospital where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:31.347 \rightarrow 00:32:34.448$ recruited pregnant women from the OBGY

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:34.448 \rightarrow 00:32:38.216$ and clinics at New York Presbyterian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.485$ We then conducted prenatal diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:41.485 \rightarrow 00:32:44.750$ and medication assessments and anywhere

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685
- $00:32:44.843 \rightarrow 00:32:47.895$ from 19 weeks to 39 weeks gestation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685
- $00{:}32{:}47{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49{.}815$ And then we stratified the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685
- 00:32:49.815 00:32:51.347 women into three groups.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685
- $00:32:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.384$ So we had our healthy control
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685
- $00:32:53.384 \rightarrow 00:32:55.300$ group with no psychiatric illness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685
- 00:32:55.300 --> 00:32:57.844 Are group of women who developed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685
- $00:32:57.844 \dashrightarrow 00:32:59.540$ who are experienced depression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685
- $00:32:59.614 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.152$ during pregnancy but did not take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685
- $00{:}33{:}02{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05{.}286$ medication and and then our SSRI group?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159
- $00{:}33{:}07.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11.783$ We then obtained MRI scans on their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159
- $00:33:11.783 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.310$ babies at about 3 1/2 weeks of age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159
- $00{:}33{:}15{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17{.}995$ These were non sedated infants
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159
- $00:33:17.995 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.143$ naturally put to sleep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159
- $00{:}33{:}20.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.365$ And we covariate for intersex
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159
- 00:33:23.365 00:33:27.040 agents can birth weight and any
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

00:33:27.040 --> 00:33:30.008 post Natal depressive symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:30.010 \rightarrow 00:33:32.887$ So the strength of doing MRI scanning

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:32.887 \dashrightarrow 00:33:36.836$ so early in life is that it limits the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:36.836 \rightarrow 00:33:39.510$ possibility of post Natal exposures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:39.510 \rightarrow 00:33:41.554$ So we're essentially phenotyping

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:41.554 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.940$ the brain prior to the infant

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:43.940 \rightarrow 00:33:45.640$ having many post Natal exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.530$ by virtue of the young age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00{:}33{:}51{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53{.}190$ And this is work that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

00:33:53.190 - > 00:33:54.470 spearheaded by Claudia Lugo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:33:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.170$ Condo lesson juchau that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

00:33:57.170 - 00:34:00.410 published in JAMA Pediatrics in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.840$ So what do we find?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:02.840 \dashrightarrow 00:34:05.290$ So there's a couple findings that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:05.290 \rightarrow 00:34:08.219$ I want to draw your attention to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.492$ Using structural MRI and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718
- $00:34:10.492 \rightarrow 00:34:13.332$ looking across the whole brain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718
- $00:34:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.480$ we found that the prenatally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718
- $00:34:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.364$ exposed babies had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- $00:34:21.080 \rightarrow 00:34:23.100$ Really two important findings.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- $00{:}34{:}23.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25.206$ One was an increase in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- $00:34:25.206 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.576$ volume of the right amygdala,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- $00{:}34{:}26{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28{.}316$ and that was above and beyond what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- 00:34:28.316 00:34:30.538 we saw in the depressed only group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- $00:34:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.780$ and the healthy controls.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- $00{:}34{:}32.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.006$ And then similarly we saw a volume
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- $00:34:35.006 \dashrightarrow 00:34:36.979$ increase in the right amygdala,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- 00:34:36.980 --> 00:34:39.368 again in the SSRI group above,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- $00:34:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.104$ and beyond what we saw in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333
- $00{:}34{:}41.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.260$ our two comparison groups.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273
- $00{:}34{:}45{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48{.}573$ We then looked at a diffusion tractography
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:34:48.573 \rightarrow 00:34:51.560$ to look at white matter connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:34:51.560 \rightarrow 00:34:55.179$ and again here we looked across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:34:55.267 \rightarrow 00:34:57.451$ whole brain and unbiased approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00{:}34{:}57{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}984$ And and we found that there were four

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00{:}35{:}00{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03{.}674$ white matter connections that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00{:}35{:}03.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.740$ increased differentially in the SSRI group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:06.740 \dashrightarrow 00:35:11.942$ And what was most striking and that is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00{:}35{:}11{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15{.}394$ The similar to the structural MRI findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:15.394 \rightarrow 00:35:18.107$ we found increased connectivity between

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:18.107 \rightarrow 00:35:21.344$ the right amygdala and the right insula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:21.344 \dashrightarrow 00:35:23.192$ and that's displayed here in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:23.192 \dashrightarrow 00:35:25.126$ violin plot again in the SSRI group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:25.130 \dashrightarrow 00:35:27.335$ but not in our two comparison groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.546$ So while these findings are consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:32.546 \rightarrow 00:35:35.063$ with what we would expect from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:35.063 \rightarrow 00:35:37.157$ preclinical data that I showed you,

 $00:35:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.965$ as well as the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

00:35:38.965 --> 00:35:40.409 based study in Finland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:40.410 \rightarrow 00:35:43.750$ they're not without important limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:43.750 \rightarrow 00:35:44.906$ So first and foremost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:44.906 \dashrightarrow 00:35:46.640$ our sample size was quite small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.167$ We only had 16 babies who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:49.167 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.942$ prenatally exposed tests, or I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:50.942 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.018$ Second, we still haven't addressed this

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:53.018 \rightarrow 00:35:55.449$ issue of confounding by indication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:55.450 \rightarrow 00:35:56.350$ which again I'm going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

00:35:56.350 --> 00:35:58.712 I'm going to come back to 3rd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00{:}35{:}58{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}164$ We had no in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.690$ We had no behavioral follow-ups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00{:}36{:}01.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.518$ and we really don't know the behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00{:}36{:}04{.}518$ --> $00{:}36{:}06{.}700$ significance of our MRI findings.

00:36:06.700 --> 00:36:08.944 And then, third importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

00:36:08.944 --> 00:36:11.749 there were really striking demographic

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:11.749 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.739$ differences across our samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.540$ So if we looked at the SSRI group

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00{:}36{:}16{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19{.}547$ versus the depressed but no SSRI group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:19.550 \rightarrow 00:36:23.588$ the SSRI group was significantly wealthier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:23.590 \rightarrow 00:36:25.806$ We can't know for sure why that happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:25.810 \rightarrow 00:36:29.464$ but we assume it relates to access to care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}101$ and we of course tried to control

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

00:36:31.101 -> 00:36:32.509 for this and our analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00{:}36{:}32{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34{.}028$ But when the when the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:34.028 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.787$ is that stark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.086$ there's a limit to what you can control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

00:36:37.090 --> 00:36:38.500 Or just statistically?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:36:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.560$ OK. So this brings me to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:36:45.560 --> 00:36:48.156 our current ongoing study which

00:36:48.156 --> 00:36:50.644 were conducting in Sherbrooke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:36:50.650 \dashrightarrow 00:36:54.066$ QC and this is a collaborative project

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:36:54.066 \rightarrow 00:36:57.309$ that we're doing with Larissa Taxor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:36:57.310 \rightarrow 00:36:58.996$ who's a professor at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:36:58.996 --> 00:37:00.520 of Sherbrooke and Adi Talati,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02{.}850$ who is an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.248$ at Columbia University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.482$ And the first question that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}06{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08{.}331$ always get when presenting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:08.331 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.333$ work is why are we doing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:10.333 \rightarrow 00:37:12.639$ study in Sherbrooke and in Quebec?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}12.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.734$ And there's a few reasons for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}15.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.100$ One is that as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}19{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21{.}550$ Canada has universal health care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:21.550 \dashrightarrow 00:37:24.014$ so that issue that I described before NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

51

 $00{:}37{:}24.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.813$ having the demographic differences that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}25.813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.533$ we think we're related to access to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:28.540 \dashrightarrow 00:37:30.276$ We're hoping that by doing this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:30.276 \dashrightarrow 00:37:32.419$ in an area with universal healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}32{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}34{.}712$ that should no longer be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:34.712 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.780$ issue in our follow-up study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.670$ The second Sherbrooke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.560$ being in Quebec,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:37:40.560 --> 00:37:43.255 is Quebec is the only French speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}43.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.722$ province in Canada and as a result

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}45{.}722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48{.}314$ people who are born in Quebec tend to NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:37:48.314 --> 00:37:50.624 stay in Quebec and for anyone who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}50{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53{.}590$ ever done birth cohort brief research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.885$ you really don't want people

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:37:54.885 --> 00:37:56.768 moving out of area and makes it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:37:56.768 --> 00:37:58.370 much much harder to do followups,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00:37:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.942$ so doing this type of study
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00{:}37{:}59{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}850$ in in Quebec is advantageous,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00{:}38{:}01{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04{.}185$ and our collaborator lyrics attacks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00:38:04.185 \dashrightarrow 00:38:07.340$ are Rana prior birth cohort study and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00:38:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.956$ At 90% retention up into adolescence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00:38:09.960 \dashrightarrow 00:38:12.190$ which is really remarkable for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00:38:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.974$ that type of study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00:38:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.315$ And then third,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- 00:38:15.315 --> 00:38:17.095 although Sherbrooke is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- 00:38:17.095 --> 00:38:18.430 relatively small city,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00:38:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.320$ it has about 200,000 people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00{:}38{:}20{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22{.}310$ It's the tertiary Center for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00:38:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.902$ all of eastern Quebec,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00{:}38{:}23{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25{.}345$ so their volume of deliveries
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384
- $00:38:25.345 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.493$ is actually quite high.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:38:26.500 --> 00:38:29.048 They get about 2000 deliveries per year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00{:}38{:}32{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34{.}634$ So in this new study we are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:38:34.634 \rightarrow 00:38:37.015$ to be recruiting women during

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:38:37.015 \rightarrow 00:38:39.825$ the first trimester of pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:38:39.830 \dashrightarrow 00:38:42.260$ following them over the course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:38:42.260 \dashrightarrow 00:38:44.424$ gestation while tracking their depressive NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00{:}38{:}44{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46{.}799$ symptoms and their medication use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:38:46.800 \rightarrow 00:38:48.780$ Will then be scanning their babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00{:}38{:}48{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51{.}432$ with MRI at about one month of age

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00{:}38{:}51{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53{.}334$ and then continuing to follow the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00{:}38{:}53{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56{.}510$ babies for the 1st 24 months of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00{:}38{:}56{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}030$ And there's really three aims

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:38:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.550$ that we're trying to tackle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:39:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.028$ The first is can we replicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

00:39:04.028 --> 00:39:06.350 our prior infant MRI studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:39:06.350 \rightarrow 00:39:09.290$ regarding the amygdala and insula?

 $00:39:09.290 \rightarrow 00:39:11.858$ Uhm, the second ummites determine whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:39:11.858 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.160$ there are any behavioral effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:39:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.652$ So we'll be doing will be looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:39:16.652 \rightarrow 00:39:18.513$ at behavioral effects related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

00:39:18.513 - > 00:39:20.323 emotion regulation in the babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:39:20.323 \rightarrow 00:39:22.389$ at 12 months and 24 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:39:22.390 \rightarrow 00:39:24.290$ testing whether there's any effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

00:39:24.290 --> 00:39:26.190 of SSRI on those behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00{:}39{:}26.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.812$ and whether that relates to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

00:39:28.812 --> 00:39:31.799 MRI findings and then third,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:39:31.799 \rightarrow 00:39:36.342$ will be testing for post Natal modifyers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:39:36.342 \rightarrow 00:39:39.800$ So, for example, does the parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00:39:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.260$ Infant interaction during the foot needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.91068664944445

 $00{:}39{:}42.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44.500$ period does that alter our outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:39:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.786$ So I want to return again to this

 $00:39:50.786 \rightarrow 00:39:53.879$ issue of confounding by indication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:39:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.160$ because this is an issue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:39:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.120$ we really struggled with in

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:39:58.120 \rightarrow 00:39:59.940$ trying to design this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:39:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.560$ Uhm, and the you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:01.560 \rightarrow 00:40:05.448$ the only way to fully address this confound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.971$ If through randomization

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:06.971 --> 00:40:10.013 randomizing or a group of depressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}10.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.367$ women to either SSRI or SIBO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.794$ But we we felt that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:14.794 \rightarrow 00:40:16.410$ would not be feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}16{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18{.}811$ and the ethics of that would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}18.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.820$ would be somewhat questionable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:20.820 --> 00:40:23.135 So in lieu of randomization, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:23.135 \rightarrow 00:40:25.560$ we're trying to carefully phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:25.560 \rightarrow 00:40:29.280$ the the nature of the depression and

00:40:29.280 --> 00:40:32.100 the SSRI use throughout gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:32.100 \rightarrow 00:40:34.865$ so we will be through remote tracking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:34.870 - > 00:40:36.865 will be tracking the pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:36.865 - 00:40:38.461 woman's mood symptoms every

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}38{.}461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{.}698$ two weeks throughout gestation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:40.700 \rightarrow 00:40:42.520$ Beginning in the first trimester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:42.520 \rightarrow 00:40:45.922$ and will also be quantifying SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:45.922 \rightarrow 00:40:48.190$ exposure through pharmacy records.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:48.190 --> 00:40:49.878 So I want to give you an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:49.878 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.488$ of how we're thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.824$ That's so if you take this

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}53.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.380$ case as one example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}55{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57{.}256$ if you have a a pregnant woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}57.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.690$ during the first trimester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}58.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.018$ her level of depressive symptoms are

 $00:41:01.018 \rightarrow 00:41:03.730$ low and she's not taking an SSRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:41:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.510$ Then during the second trimester

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:41:05.510 \rightarrow 00:41:06.934$ her depressive symptoms increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:41:06.940 --> 00:41:07.324 Still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:41:07.324 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.092$ no SSRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}08.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.780$ And then during the surgery master she

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:41:10.860 \rightarrow 00:41:13.122$ has high depressive symptoms and no

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}13.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.920$ SSRI that will be one case example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}15{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18{.}160$ And then you might have another pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:41:18.160 \rightarrow 00:41:20.698$ woman woman wear during the first trimester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}20.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.758$ She has both high levels of depressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}22.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.983$ symptoms and is taking a high dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}24{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26{.}870$ an SSRI during the second trimester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.900$ The depressive symptoms remain high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:41:28.900 \rightarrow 00:41:31.692$ SSR eyes drop a bit third trimester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:41:31.692 --> 00:41:33.316 Her depressive symptoms drop

- NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077
- $00:41:33.316 \rightarrow 00:41:35.539$ and her SSRI use goes up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00{:}41{:}37{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40{.}514$ What we can do with with that level
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00:41:40.514 \rightarrow 00:41:42.632$ of granularity then is essentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00{:}41{:}42.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44.444$ create individualized areas under
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00:41:44.444 \rightarrow 00:41:47.191$ the curve to quantify the degree
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00{:}41{:}47.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.386$ of exposure to depressive symptoms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00:41:49.386 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.310$ that that the fetus has as well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00{:}41{:}52{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55{.}310$ as the degree of SSRI exposure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00{:}41{:}55{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58{.}061$ Uhm, and what we're hoping is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00:41:58.061 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.413$ this approach should minimize the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00{:}42{:}00{.}413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03{.}143$ likelihood that there are systematic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00{:}42{:}03.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.334$ differences in the maternal depression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00:42:05.334 \rightarrow 00:42:06.978$ across our different groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00{:}42{:}06{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08{.}612$ or to the extent that there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598
- $00:42:08.612 \rightarrow 00:42:09.428$ are systematic differences,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00:42:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.074$ will be able to quantify those

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00{:}42{:}11.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.460$ differences and account for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:42:17.610 --> 00:42:21.215 So this is a an R1 funded study

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:21.215 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.565$ that we launched in 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}42{:}23.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.520$ In our original plan was to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.033$ recruitment of about 350 women NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:30.033 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.980$ for the first 2.5 years of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.195$ study and then have our final

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}42{:}35.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.500$ assessments four to five years later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}42{:}37{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39{.}168$ That timeline has unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:39.168 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.419$ been significantly altered

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:40.419 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.558$ due to COVID where we were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}42{:}42{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44{.}348$ We were shut down for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:44.348 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.540$ significant period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:45.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.572$ but our overall strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:48.572 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.846$ Remains the same.

 $00{:}42{:}50{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53{.}202$ And another point that I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:42:53.202 --> 00:42:56.197 make is that our our hope and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}42{:}56{.}197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}58{.}519$ expectation from this study is not NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:58.519 \rightarrow 00:43:00.940$ that will find that prenatal SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.230$ use is harmful or on the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:04.326 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.296$ hand that it's entirely benign,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}07{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09{.}750$ but rather that our study can aid

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}09{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11{.}821$ women and clinicians when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}11.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.191$ making decisions about whether to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:14.191 \rightarrow 00:43:16.330$ use antidepressants during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.718$ So currently that decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:17.718 --> 00:43:19.106 as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:19.110 --> 00:43:21.675 really is a balancing act

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:21.675 --> 00:43:23.727 between various risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:23.730 --> 00:43:25.420 but we really aren't clear

 $00:43:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.602$ about what those risks are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}27.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}29.286$ what those ramifications are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:29.290 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:43:32.230 The decisions being made simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.170$ with far too many unknowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}35{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37{.}684$ And we think that whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}37{.}684$ --> $00{:}43{:}39{.}360$ not we find neurodevelopmental NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:39.438 --> 00:43:41.891 effects of prenatal SSRI use these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:41.891 \rightarrow 00:43:44.657$ results will be helpful either way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:44.660 --> 00:43:45.575 So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}45{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48{.}109$ if we have find that the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:48.109 \rightarrow 00:43:50.765$ the SSRI really are minimal on the offspring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}50.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.695$ this will allow clinicians to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}52.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.235$ more confidently prescribe SSR

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:54.235 \rightarrow 00:43:56.189$ eyes and will allow pregnant women

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}56{.}189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58{.}509$ to use them with with much less

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:58.509 \rightarrow 00:44:00.009$ anxiety about their effects.

 $00:44:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.404$ Alternatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}44{:}00{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03{.}162$ if we find that there are significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:44:03.162 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.692$ effects or significant concerns that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:44:05.692 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.224$ This will steer the field towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}44{:}08{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10{.}630$ towards other treatments for depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:44:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.766$ treatments such as psychotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:44:12.766 \rightarrow 00:44:14.902$ or non serotonin antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:44:17.900 --> 00:44:21.421 Before concluding, I also I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}21{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24{.}589$ briefly mention some of the methodological

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}24{.}589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}28{.}236$ challenges of doing infant MRI work as

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:28.325 \rightarrow 00:44:31.538$ this was quite relevant to our study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.596$ So up here on the left hand corner

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:44:34.596 --> 00:44:37.200 I'm showing you infant MRI scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:44:37.200 --> 00:44:39.744 T2 weighted MRI scans from the same child

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}39{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42{.}100$ when the child was three weeks old,

 $00{:}44{:}42.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.620$ and then again when the child was 16

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:44:44.620 --> 00:44:46.798 months old and what I want to point

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:44:46.798 --> 00:44:49.074 out is that this was the same MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}49{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51{.}306$ pulse sequence and yet you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}51{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53{.}652$ the contrast in the brain differs

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:53.652 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.451$ quite dramatically and the reason NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}55{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}810$ for that is that the water content

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}57{.}884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00{.}154$ of the brain changes substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}45{:}00{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02{.}424$ over the course of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}45{:}02{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05{.}952$ And that causes major challenges when

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:45:05.952 --> 00:45:08.921 doing infant MRI research because

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}45{:}08{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11{.}774$ most of our existing pipeline and

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:45:11.774 --> 00:45:13.684 approaches for doing MRI analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}45{:}13.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.870$ are based on a mature brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:45:15.870 --> 00:45:18.006 And so if you change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:45:18.006 --> 00:45:18.718 contrast dramatically,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519
- $00:45:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.104$ those approaches are going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519
- $00{:}45{:}20.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.834$ to become much less accurate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:45:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.918$ So this is one UM example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:45:26.920 \rightarrow 00:45:30.808$ where an existing pipeline in MRI
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:45:30.808 \rightarrow 00:45:34.402$ pipeline and automated software is used
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}45{:}34{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}180$ to segment the amygdala and infant brand.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:45:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.266$ So each one of these pictures is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}45{:}39{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40{.}832$ a different amygdala that's been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}45{:}40.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.960$ segmented from an infant MRI scan and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:45:42.960 \rightarrow 00:45:44.944$ what I want to draw your attention
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:45:44.944 \rightarrow 00:45:46.690$ to is that there's the overall
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:45:46.690 \rightarrow 00:45:48.670$ curvature does look like the amygdala,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}45{:}48.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.015$ but there's bumps and ridges in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}45{:}51.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.169$ that are clearly not representing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- 00:45:53.170 --> 00:45:56.260 Anatomy and are just in accuracies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00:45:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.320$ and in the processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00{:}45{:}58.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02.256$ So we are trying to leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00:46:02.256 \rightarrow 00:46:04.880$ artificial intelligence to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00:46:04.988 \rightarrow 00:46:07.280$ upon these techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00{:}46{:}07{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}680$ and so these are the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00:46:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.280$ from our AI approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

00:46:11.280 --> 00:46:13.020 Segmenting the amygdala

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

00:46:13.020 --> 00:46:15.920 in from infant MRI scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00:46:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.816$ and you can see it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00:46:17.816 \rightarrow 00:46:18.764$ certainly not perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00{:}46{:}18.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21.018$ but these types of bumps are are much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00:46:21.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.107$ much less common in in our segmentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00{:}46{:}24.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.640$ relative to the standard case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00:46:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.276$ Another huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

00:46:28.276 --> 00:46:30.730 Advantage of UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00:46:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.750$ that this artificial intelligence

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:46:32.750 \rightarrow 00:46:35.275$ approaches the the computational time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:46:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.535$ So segmenting a infant brand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- 00:46:37.535 --> 00:46:38.888 using standard software,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- 00:46:38.890 --> 00:46:42.895 it takes up to 8 hours per MRI scan,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- 00:46:42.900 --> 00:46:43.970 and if you're working with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}46{:}43.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.400$ you know large datasets that can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- 00:46:46.400 --> 00:46:48.020 be incredibly cumbersome for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:46:48.092 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.508$ artificial intelligence which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}46{:}49{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52{.}340$ can do the same operation about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:46:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.008$ literally about 10 seconds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}46{:}54.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.308$ We've also measured the accuracy of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}46{:}56{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59{.}194$ our AI AI approach against a gold
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}46{:}59{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01{.}750$ standard human tracing of the amygdala,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00{:}47{:}01.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.250$ and ours outperforms the standard techniques,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667
- $00:47:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.804$ and this is work that's being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

00:47:07.804 --> 00:47:09.728 spearheaded by Yun Wang and Claudia Lugo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8030220166666667

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10{.}220$ Can Dallas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

00:47:13.480 --> 00:47:17.160 Uhm? So in summary, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.106$ some of the lessons that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:20.106 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.640$ learned in doing this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

00:47:22.640 --> 00:47:25.270 I realize this is probably preaching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:25.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.678$ preaching to the choir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00{:}47{:}26.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.354$ but first is the the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:29.354 \rightarrow 00:47:31.774$ of development and not forgetting

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:31.774 \rightarrow 00:47:35.000$ that the infant brain is is not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00{:}47{:}35{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37{.}196$ is not an adult brain, only small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:37.196 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.254$ or that the Physiology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:39.254 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.294$ infant brain of the developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

00:47:41.294 --> 00:47:43.444 brain really can differ quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:43.444 \rightarrow 00:47:45.068$ substantially from the adult brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:47:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.286$ The second is the importance of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00:47:50.286 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.794$ translational research that all of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00{:}47{:}52.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.906$ our approaches have limitations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00{:}47{:}54{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58{.}094$ and what we really should be shooting for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00{:}47{:}58.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00.609$ is triangulation across those modalities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- 00:48:00.610 --> 00:48:02.518 Uhm, and speaking to that point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00{:}48{:}02{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}742$ I I want to conclude with a quote from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00{:}48{:}05{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08{.}246$ Michael Rutter who said it would be a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00:48:08.246 \rightarrow 00:48:10.137$ great mistake to see translation simply
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- 00:48:10.137 --> 00:48:12.790 in terms of applying at the bedside.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00:48:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.730$ The findings of basic science.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- 00:48:14.730 --> 00:48:17.089 Many of the pathways start with clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- 00:48:17.089 --> 00:48:19.584 studies and not with basic science and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00:48:19.584 \rightarrow 00:48:21.714$ an even greater proportion involve a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00{:}48{:}21.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.425$ complex iterative interplay between the two.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114
- $00{:}48{:}24{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25{.}865$ And it's that iterative interplay
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:48:25.865 \rightarrow 00:48:27.946$ that I think we're we're really after

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00{:}48{:}27.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}29.728$ in in many questions of psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:48:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.078$ but certainly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

00:48:31.078 --> 00:48:34.588 The safety of SS variety during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

 $00{:}48{:}36{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38{.}661$ So I want to acknowledge that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

 $00:48:38.661 \rightarrow 00:48:40.709$ people that have supported this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

00:48:40.710 --> 00:48:45.570 UM, NIH, UM, the Webster Foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

 $00:48:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.520$ several others, and really wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

 $00:48:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.888$ thank you for your time and attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

 $00:48:49.888 \rightarrow 00:48:51.898$ and happy to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781951

00:49:05.280 --> 00:49:06.500 Thank you Jonathan. I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781951

 $00:49:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.910$ know how it worked on zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.163$ but if you were here in real

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:09.163 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.258$ life people just clap for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.538$ I want you to know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.649$ No, thank you.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308
- $00:49:13.650 \rightarrow 00:49:16.100$ But really excellent and elegant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308
- 00:49:16.100 --> 00:49:19.150 program of research you described for me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308
- $00:49:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.896$ Really cool to see the kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308
- $00:49:20.896 \rightarrow 00:49:22.730$ of bringing together at the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308
- $00:49:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.430$ From everything from very basic kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308
- $00:49:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.216$ of animal work to stuff that's very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308
- $00:49:26.216 \rightarrow 00:49:29.160$ very relevant and applied one second
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308
- $00:49:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.496$ while we changed the view here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9052639
- 00:49:34.450 --> 00:49:38.570 Jonathan, could you stop sharing? Sure yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8612262
- 00:49:42.540 --> 00:49:44.390 He sent over a share. OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87108328
- 00:49:44.390 --> 00:49:44.918 here you go,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89606836
- $00:49:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.740$ Jonathan. There we go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96044344
- 00:49:49.410 --> 00:49:51.700 OK, so now my understanding is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96044344
- $00:49:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.620$ that people in the audience
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921597535333333
- $00{:}49{:}53.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.080$ can actually ask a question with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921597535333333

 $00:49:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.240$ their own mouths if they would like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921597535333333

00:49:58.240 --> 00:50:00.292 If they unmute, I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921597535333333

 $00:50:00.292 \rightarrow 00:50:01.989$ I don't know that anyone's unmuted yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921597535333333

 $00:50:01.989 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.419$ but I can go ahead and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916782215

 $00{:}50{:}03.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.930$ start with a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}06{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08{.}505$ so. I thought it was really cool to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}08{.}505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10{.}930$ to get an understanding of the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:10.930 \rightarrow 00:50:13.586$ of how SSR eyes could be affecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}13.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.986$ prenatal brain growth from the mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}15{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19{.}854$ and it's really cool to see the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.597$ that you saw in the the neonates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

00:50:22.600 --> 00:50:24.790 And I guess my questions are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:24.790 \rightarrow 00:50:26.325$ I mean, another thing interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

00:50:26.325 --> 00:50:27.860 is if I understood correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}27.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.558$ like the the mechanisms of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:29.558 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.690$ the authorized we're doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- 00:50:30.690 00:50:33.630 It's not simply like there's just and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00:50:33.630 \rightarrow 00:50:35.910$ there's too little, too little serotonin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- 00:50:35.910 --> 00:50:37.270 It's a different structure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00:50:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.554$ it's affecting around migration.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00:50:38.554 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.480$ So I guess my question is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00:50:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.096$ is do the mice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00:50:42.096 \rightarrow 00:50:45.670$ the differences you see in the mice brains.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00{:}50{:}45{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46{.}876$ I know that the structure is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- 00:50:46.876 --> 00:50:47.680 going to be different,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00{:}50{:}47.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49.600$ but are they at least consistent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00:50:49.600 \rightarrow 00:50:51.791$ with the differences that you see in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- 00:50:51.791 > 00:50:53.483 infant brains and an infant brains?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00{:}50{:}53{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55{.}338$ Is the pattern of kind of insula,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00{:}50{:}55{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57{.}248$ amygdala enlargement and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828
- $00:50:57.248 \rightarrow 00:50:58.520$ connectivity differences?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}58{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00{.}725$ Is that an established kind of neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:51:00.725 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.543$ phenotype for people with major

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}51{:}02{.}543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04{.}050$ depressive disorder in a dulthood?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:06.210 \rightarrow 00:51:07.660$ Those are great great questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.736$ Jamie. Thanks so much for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:09.740 --> 00:51:12.911 Yeah, you know I. I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}12{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15{.}319$ really fascinating to think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:15.320 \rightarrow 00:51:17.408$ The, UM, the Physiology of serotonin

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}17{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21{.}048$ or or it's a it's a fax on the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:21.048 --> 00:51:23.051 can differ so substantially depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}23.051$ --> $00{:}51{:}25.907$ on the developmental period that you're NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}25{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28{.}230$ you're looking at and you know one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}28{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29{.}859$ the things that I I didn't mention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.662$ Also is that expression of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}33.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36.038$ seroton in transporter also changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}36{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37{.}820$ substantially across development.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00:51:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.420$ So in the adult or mature brain the

 $00{:}51{:}40{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42{.}670$ expression is somewhat circumscribed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:42.670 \dashrightarrow 00:51:45.778$ whereas in the fetal and infant brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:45.780 \rightarrow 00:51:47.048$ It's it's rather ubiquitous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:47.048 --> 00:51:48.316 so it's it's expressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:48.320 \rightarrow 00:51:51.158$ although for the brain, and interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:51.160 --> 00:51:54.016 it's also it's not in the adult brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.205$ its expression is limited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:56.205 --> 00:51:57.516 serotine ergic neurons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.166$ which would,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}58{.}166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00{.}427$ which would make sense given its role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:52:00.430 --> 00:52:02.332 But in the fetal brain it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:02.332 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.119$ expressed in neurons that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:52:04.119 --> 00:52:05.939 don't actually release seroton
in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}05{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08{.}676$ Again, speaking to the more plausible

 $00:52:08.676 \rightarrow 00:52:11.778$ role of serotonin as a neurotrophic

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}11.778$ --> $00{:}52{:}14.758$ factor rather than a neurotransmitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.042$ Uhm, but to answer the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:18.042 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.230$ part of your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:52:20.230 --> 00:52:23.050 So I I guess I would say yes and no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:52:23.050 --> 00:52:24.850 UM, so certainly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:52:24.850 --> 00:52:25.450 UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:25.450 \rightarrow 00:52:28.450$ the the behavioral phenotype that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}28.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32.106$ they're seeing in the rodent models

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:32.106 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.739$ relate to emotion regulation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}34{.}739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36{.}552$ what we saw in our Internet MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:36.552 \dashrightarrow 00:52:38.580$ scans the effects and the amygdala.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:52:38.580 --> 00:52:39.638 The campus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:39.638 \rightarrow 00:52:41.754$ certainly those are heavily

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:41.754 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.870$ implicated in emotional responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:43.950 \rightarrow 00:52:46.602$ or emotional responses in how we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00{:}52{:}46.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49.030$ respond to various emotional stimuli.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00{:}52{:}49{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51{.}070$ So there's there's consistency.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00{:}52{:}51{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51{.}580$ There.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- 00:52:51.580 --> 00:52:52.028 Uhm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00{:}52{:}52{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53{.}372$ where there's less,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00{:}52{:}53{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55{.}612$ consistency is the the specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00:52:55.612 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.930$ brain substrates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00{:}52{:}56{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}288$ So in the rodent models the lion's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00{:}53{:}00{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02{.}172$ share the lion's share of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00:53:02.172 \rightarrow 00:53:04.111$ findings were hippocampal based in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00:53:04.111 \rightarrow 00:53:05.416$ at least in our hands.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00:53:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.428$ We we did not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00{:}53{:}06{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}07{.}940$ We did not see the effects
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854
- $00{:}53{:}08{.}001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09{.}229$ and that the campus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304
- 00:53:11.430 --> 00:53:12.992 But I you know I, I don't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00:53:12.992 \rightarrow 00:53:14.586$ I don't know that, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00{:}53{:}14{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16{.}874$ we should expect to see sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00{:}53{:}16.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.047$ one to one correspondence in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00{:}53{:}19.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21.700$ of in terms of neural substrates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00:53:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.528$ You know, I think the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00{:}53{:}24{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27{.}138$ analogous brain circuits are

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00:53:27.138 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.236$ involved is probably enough to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00:53:30.236 \rightarrow 00:53:33.280$ motivate further work in humans. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.754957276315789

 $00{:}53{:}33{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35{.}719$ what about babies to adults is like

NOTE Confidence: 0.754957276315789

 $00{:}53{:}35{.}719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38{.}262$ the insular amygdala and a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.754957276315789

 $00{:}53{:}38{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40{.}052$ depressive neural phenotype in adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00{:}53{:}41{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44{.}156$ Yeah, for sure, and I'm sorry that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00{:}53{:}44{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47{.}084$ I didn't mention that so alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:53:47.084 \rightarrow 00:53:49.789$ in connectivity between the amygdala

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:53:49.790 \dashrightarrow 00:53:52.785$ and insula have been implicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

00:53:52.785 --> 00:53:55.780 in anxiety disorders in adults,

 $00:53:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.920$ and have also been implicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:53:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.060$ in trait levels of anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

00:54:00.060 - 00:54:02.944 So not just the disorder per say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00{:}54{:}02{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04{.}898$ it's more implicated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:04.898 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.359$ anxiety than depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.438$ but I think probably trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:09.438 \rightarrow 00:54:11.490$ parse anxiety from depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:11.490 \rightarrow 00:54:13.750$ Particularly at that early stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.054$ it may be asking too much of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957484

 $00:54:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.698$ Thank you. Other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347991375

 $00:54:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.038$ questions, either in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347991375

 $00:54:20.038 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.366$ room or in cyberspace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

00:54:27.490 --> 00:54:28.726 I'll explain my position

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00{:}54{:}28.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.386$ and then I'm also curious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00{:}54{:}30{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32{.}295$ given that kind of breath.

00:54:32.295 --> 00:54:34.786 I guess you describe it as kind of civic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}145$ but the breadth of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:36.145 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.229$ disruptions that you see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.845$ It's interesting to me that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00{:}54{:}38{.}845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40{.}836$ the epidemiological effects you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:40.836 \rightarrow 00:54:43.670$ are really specific to depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

00:54:43.670 --> 00:54:45.070 which I guess isn't really a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:45.070 \rightarrow 00:54:47.620$ but that's just some striking to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00{:}54{:}47{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}100$ It is it is striking, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:54:52.100 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.140$ And and it is striking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:54:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.106$ And all honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:54:55.106 \dashrightarrow 00:54:57.959$ I don't quite know what to make of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

00:54:57.960 --> 00:54:59.230 I I certainly would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:54:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.500$ predicted that if there were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00{:}55{:}00{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03{.}158$ in effect on depression, you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:03.158 \rightarrow 00:55:06.269$ also see that affecting on anxiety II.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398
- $00:55:06.269 \rightarrow 00:55:09.203$ Suppose one possibility for that is

 $00{:}55{:}09{.}203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12.678$ that the anxiety effects were elevated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

00:55:12.680 --> 00:55:14.890 they just weren't elevated above

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.100$ and beyond the other groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

00:55:17.100 - 00:55:22.077 So it it may be that the because the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:22.080 \rightarrow 00:55:24.368$ The prenatal maternal illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

00:55:24.368 --> 00:55:26.656 is also increasing anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:26.660 \rightarrow 00:55:30.260$ We're not seeing a differential effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:30.260 \dashrightarrow 00:55:31.968$ And for whatever reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00{:}55{:}31{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33{.}676$ that differential effect is

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

00:55:33.676 - 00:55:35.714 only located in depression in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00{:}55{:}35{.}714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37{.}298$ you know, I realize that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:37.298 \dashrightarrow 00:55:39.830$ a very satisfying answer, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00{:}55{:}39{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41.600$ If others have thoughts on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:41.600 \dashrightarrow 00:55:43.510$ I would I would love to hear your views.

 $00:55:46.070 \dashrightarrow 00:55:48.415$ I'm less good at Andres than making

NOTE Confidence: 0.816405828888889

 $00{:}55{:}48.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.604$ vague threats to people on zoom

NOTE Confidence: 0.816405828888889

 $00:55:50.604 \rightarrow 00:55:52.459$ who aren't and drink questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816405828888889

 $00:55:52.460 \rightarrow 00:55:56.088$ and we've gotten in vivo question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816405828888889

00:55:56.090 - 00:55:57.458 But we've got to run the mic to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94892085

 $00:55:58.570 \dashrightarrow 00:55:58.920$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85835877

00:56:17.040 --> 00:56:20.120 Can you on me? Yeah, can someone on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.865155961818182

 $00:56:20.130 \rightarrow 00:56:22.110$ zoom give me a thumbs up if you can hear me

NOTE Confidence: 0.51753913

00:56:22.350 --> 00:56:25.264 Linda or Faye? Yes, I can hear you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51753913

 $00:56:25.264 \rightarrow 00:56:27.460$ I can hear you well understood you well

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

 $00:56:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.059$ and we can hear you as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

 $00:56:29.060 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.612$ So that's good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

 $00:56:29.612 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.348$ Hold on one second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

 $00:56:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.262$ We have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

 $00:56:31.262 \rightarrow 00:56:33.900$ It just say your name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:56:33.900 --> 00:56:35.226 Hi, I'm Cassie.

00:56:35.226 --> 00:56:38.320 I'm a postdoc or post graduate trainee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}38{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40{.}610$ UM and I've had two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:56:40.610 \rightarrow 00:56:42.038$ There's sort of half baked questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}42.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}44.680$ but one of the things I was thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}44.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46.725$ about is I was wondering like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}46.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.502$ kinds of sort of subjective self

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}48{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50{.}152$ report information you might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:56:50.152 \rightarrow 00:56:51.895$ getting from others during their

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}51.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.730$ pregnancies and thinking about like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}53{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}56{.}448$ What kind of I guess I've met anxiety they

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}56{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59{.}467$ might be having about being on an SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}59{.}467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01{.}298$ and potential developmental effects if

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:01.298 \rightarrow 00:57:05.444$ these are women who are of High SC accident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:57:05.450 --> 00:57:07.160 I don't know, just like putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:07.160 \rightarrow 00:57:08.870$ myself in that potential situation,

 $00:57:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.995$ I could imagine not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}10.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12.332$ be anxious in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}12.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.019$ but like having anxiety about my anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}14.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}15.652$ and knowing that that might have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}15.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17.110$ effect on my child's development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}17{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19{.}371$ So I was curious about what kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}19{.}371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21{.}094$ of subjective self report stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:21.094 \rightarrow 00:57:23.146$ you might be getting from moms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.047$ and then honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:24.047 \rightarrow 00:57:26.140$ my seeking questions is keeping me so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}26.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28.956$ I guess I'll just leave it at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}28.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29.450$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:57:29.460 --> 00:57:31.080 I, I think it's I think it's a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}57{:}31.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}32.616$ really great question and I and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}57{:}32{.}616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34{.}742$ I I think that also you know it

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:57:34.742 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.308$ speaks it Harkins back to this

 $00:57:36.371 \rightarrow 00:57:38.316$ issue of confounding by indication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:57:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.138$ So you know, if you have a a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}57{:}40{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}42{.}263$ of women who are depressed and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00:57:42.263 \rightarrow 00:57:43.852$ taking necessary versus a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}57{:}43.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45.556$ of women who are depressed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00:57:45.556 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.085$ taking this try is there some?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:57:47.085 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.435$ Is there some reason for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00{:}57{:}48{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50{.}888$ Is there some reason why one

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}57{:}50.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52.990$ group was prescribed and SSRI?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

00:57:52.990 --> 00:57:55.578 And uhm. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:57:55.578 \rightarrow 00:57:57.519$ really definitively answering

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}57{:}57{.}519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01{.}440$ that is is really difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}58{:}01{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04{.}457$ You know our our approach was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}58{:}04{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07{.}273$ enroll early on pregnancy so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:07.273 \rightarrow 00:58:10.605$ could start assessing from the get go

 $00:58:10.610 \rightarrow 00:58:12.495$ throughout the course of pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:12.495 \rightarrow 00:58:15.150$ and then to assess quite frequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00:58:15.150 \rightarrow 00:58:17.158$ so we'd have multiple data points so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00:58:17.158 \rightarrow 00:58:19.127$ could look at things like trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.260$ You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:20.260 \rightarrow 00:58:23.085$ changing the depression symptoms overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.382$ Uh, the differences across

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00:58:25.382 \rightarrow 00:58:26.528$ various trimesters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00:58:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.170$ which which likely have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.810$ effect on on fetal development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.802$ The the cost in doing that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:34.802 \rightarrow 00:58:37.207$ doing this very frequent assessments

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}58{:}37{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}41{.}710$ is that our we didn't want to send

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:41.710 \rightarrow 00:58:43.296$ women no extensive questionnaires

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:43.296 \rightarrow 00:58:45.288$ every two weeks to fill out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:45.290 \rightarrow 00:58:47.050$ We just didn't think that would be feasible.

 $00:58:47.050 \rightarrow 00:58:48.790$ That people understandably would get

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}58{:}48{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50{.}530$ frustrated and stop completing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}58{:}50{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}53{.}148$ So our our assessments are are somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00:58:53.148 \rightarrow 00:58:55.480$ cursory and that we're using that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}58{:}55{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}58{.}540$ The PHQ 9 and GAD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00{:}58{:}58{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00{.}493$ which is a I can't remember a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:00.493 \dashrightarrow 00:59:02.639$ seven or nine item questionnaire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

00:59:02.640 --> 00:59:04.860 Self Report questionnaire about anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.910$ And then we're also assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

 $00:59:06.910 \rightarrow 00:59:08.140$ any substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.784$ so we're assessing frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}59{:}09{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}12{.}250$ over a long period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}59{:}12.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}14.842$ but the type of granularity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:14.842 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.001$ you're talking about in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:17.001 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.736$ of the nature of the.

 $00:59:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.340$ Anxious feelings I think it's going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.896$ It's going to be difficult to to tease apart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111

00:59:23.900 --> 00:59:25.468 but I I think you I think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}59{:}25{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}26{.}529$ raised a great point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829588065555556

 $00{:}59{:}28{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}29{.}970$ Thanks Jonathan and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.829588065555556

 $00{:}59{:}29{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}31{.}106$ a question from Malia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829588065555556

00:59:31.110 -> 00:59:32.604 Hi, thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.829588065555556

 $00:59:32.604 \rightarrow 00:59:33.475$ this great presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829588065555556

00:59:33.475 --> 00:59:35.440 Such important work

NOTE Confidence: 0.838637573333333

 $00:59:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.688$ as we try to tease apart all day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838637573333333

 $00:59:37.688 \dashrightarrow 00:59:38.870$ probably some outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

 $00{:}59{:}40{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}42{.}336$ And I'm really excited to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

00:59:42.336 --> 00:59:44.031 your your longitudinal findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

 $00:59:44.031 \rightarrow 00:59:45.850$ because my understanding at least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

 $00:59:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.948$ is that sometimes you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

 $00:59:47.948 \dashrightarrow 00:59:48.973$ cross sectionally and infancy.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571
- $00:59:48.973 \rightarrow 00:59:51.220$ You may see some of these changes, but later

 $00:59:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.382$ on the differences are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.99242799

 $00:59:53.382 \rightarrow 00:59:55.790$ significant any longer, so I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.99242799

 $00:59:55.790 \rightarrow 00:59:57.018$ curious if you could comment a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.969354883333333

 $00{:}59{:}57{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}57{.}918$ bit about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862401806923077

 $00:59:58.380 \rightarrow 01:00:01.460$ and and additionally I was wondering in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862401806923077

 $01:00:01.460 \rightarrow 01:00:06.320$ your studies or other studies that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8114958475

 $01:00:06.330 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.458$ about or you present

NOTE Confidence: 0.891289912857143

01:00:07.470 --> 01:00:09.794 it if of course, not with mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891289912857143

 $01:00:09.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.928$ but with with. Humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891289912857143

 $01:00:13.930 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.945$ How do you also control for other

NOTE Confidence: 0.891289912857143

 $01{:}00{:}16.945 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}18.835$ the rapies that a lot of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.873414583333333

 $01:00:18.870 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.800$ mothers may be exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.956561023333333

 $01:00:21.060 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.136$ and thinking about the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96575722

 $01{:}00{:}23.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}26.570$ some of these non pharmacologic

- $01{:}00{:}26.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}28.510$ treatments also have effects on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96575722
- $01:00:28.510 \rightarrow 01:00:30.880$ brain morphology and connectivity?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- 01:00:32.240 --> 01:00:35.094 Yeah, you know, I think that the both really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01:00:35.094 \rightarrow 01:00:36.360$ really great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01{:}00{:}36{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}37{.}800$ So the first question about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01{:}00{:}37.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}39.819$ sort of the post Natal effect I.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01:00:39.820 \rightarrow 01:00:44.486$ I think that is hugely important and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- 01:00:44.486 --> 01:00:47.594 know, in a human longitudinal study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01:00:47.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.605$ It's you know, the postnatal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01:00:49.605 \rightarrow 01:00:51.209$ environment is really complicated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01{:}00{:}51{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52{.}967$ and so to be able to assess
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01:00:52.967 \rightarrow 01:00:53.960$ every aspect of it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01:00:53.960 \rightarrow 01:00:58.176$ Of course it's not not feasible, but we are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- 01:00:58.176 --> 01:00:59.796 We're trying to really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- 01:00:59.796 --> 01:01:01.228 get a comprehensive view,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428
- $01:01:01.230 \rightarrow 01:01:04.614$ and so the strategy that we're taking is

 $01:01:04.614 \rightarrow 01:01:07.618$ that they'll be four post Natal visits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:07.620 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.396$ Two of them will be in the home

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}09{.}396 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}11{.}334$ where will have researchers go and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:11.334 \rightarrow 01:01:13.129$ actually assess the home environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}13.130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}17.440$ and two of them will be in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:17.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.550$ There were quite, uhm, we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:20.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.518$ We think that's very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:21.518 --> 01:01:22.970 very important to have a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:23.019 --> 01:01:24.631 characterization of the parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:24.631 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.437$ infant interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:25.440 --> 01:01:27.464 So we're actually collaborating

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:27.464 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.808$ with accident investigator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}28.808 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}32.160$ who I believe has an affiliation with Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:32.160 --> 01:01:33.036 Ruth Feldman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}33.036 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}35.664$ who developed a coding scheme to

 $01:01:35.664 \rightarrow 01:01:38.149$ to code parent interactions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}38{.}149 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41{.}141$ will be assessing those at anywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:41.141 \rightarrow 01:01:43.847$ from two to three time points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:43.850 \rightarrow 01:01:48.114$ will also continue to assess the the mothers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}50.800$ For for psychiatric symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}50.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52.946$ postnatally so postpartum depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}52{.}946 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}55{.}767$ anxiety and we are also this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:55.767 - 01:01:58.018 going to be more of a challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}58{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}00{.}057$ but our goal is to also incorporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}02{:}00.057 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}02.074$ fathers into that assessment to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}02{:}02{.}074 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}04{.}246$ able to assess psychiatric symptoms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}02{:}04{.}246 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}06{.}207$ substance use in the father's as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:02:08.400 --> 01:02:11.895 You know, I I. I, I think that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:02:11.895 --> 01:02:14.215 doing our darndest to get a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:14.215 \rightarrow 01:02:16.375$ characterization of the postman environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:02:16.380 --> 01:02:18.627 but I I fully acknowledge that there's,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:18.630 \rightarrow 01:02:20.340$ you know, the environment complicated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01{:}02{:}20{.}340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}21{.}412$ and there's there's a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:21.412 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.020$ There's a limit to what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:23.083 \longrightarrow 01:02:24.398$ could do in that regard.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- 01:02:24.400 --> 01:02:25.877 But I I agree with your point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:25.880 \rightarrow 01:02:28.680$ That's it. Very well could be the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:28.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.335$ case that there are initial post
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:31.335 \rightarrow 01:02:34.154$ Natal effects that are fully moderated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:34.154 \rightarrow 01:02:37.136$ by the post Natal environment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- 01:02:37.140 --> 01:02:40.150 Uhm? And your second question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:40.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.287$ Other other treatments?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- 01:02:41.287 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:02:44.309 Yeah, I think that's I think that's a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- 01:02:44.309 --> 01:02:46.709 great point in our our short book study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01{:}02{:}46.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}49.420$ We will have information about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:49.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.504$ other treatments.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

- $01{:}02{:}50{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}53{.}720$ It won't be as granular as I might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- 01:02:53.720 --> 01:02:55.962 like it to be, so we'll know if,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:02:55.962 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.598$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01{:}02{:}56.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}59.225$ if a pregnant woman received
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01{:}02{:}59{.}225 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}00{.}800$ psychotherapy for depression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01{:}03{:}00{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}03{.}104$ but will have limited information about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:03.104 \rightarrow 01:03:05.689$ the nature of that psychotherapy and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:05.689 \rightarrow 01:03:08.144$ the duration of that psychotherapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01{:}03{:}08{.}150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}09{.}100$ in Sherbrooke.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:09.100 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.000$ The access to psychotherapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- 01:03:11.000 01:03:12.425 is relatively limited,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:12.430 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.924$ so we we don't think that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- 01:03:13.924 --> 01:03:15.310 going to be particularly common,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:15.310 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.880$ but certainly could be there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:17.880 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.995$ another way to look at that is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:21.000 \rightarrow 01:03:23.625$ You arguably there could be a direct

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:23.625 \rightarrow 01:03:26.860$ effect of the psychotherapy on the fetus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01{:}03{:}26.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}28.980$ but I think more likely it would be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:28.980 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.336$ an indirect effect through the mother
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:31.336 \rightarrow 01:03:33.410$ psychiatric symptoms, and so we will be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775
- $01:03:33.410 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.590$ We will be capturing us.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911648773333333
- $01{:}03{:}36{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}37{.}675$ And we have one last
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911648773333333
- $01:03:37.675 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.735$ question from the audience.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.949214
- 01:03:41.770 --> 01:03:42.502 Thank you Jonathan.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.949214
- $01:03:42.502 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.340$ Loved your talk. Just wonderful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.949214
- $01:03:44.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.044$ The question is in terms of getting a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.949214
- $01:03:48.044 \rightarrow 01:03:51.480$ cause and the impact of SSRI exposure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.949214
- 01:03:51.480 --> 01:03:53.237 I'm wondering do you have any more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.949214
- $01:03:53.237 \rightarrow 01:03:55.610$ granular data or senior Sherbrooke study
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909933132
- $01:03:55.790 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.130$ in terms of the dosages
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840535916
- $01:03:58.140 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.490$ that the moms are getting?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083567666666667

- $01:03:59.720 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.229$ Or maybe the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90349588
- $01{:}04{:}01{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}03{.}660$ timing of the doses that might be able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90349588
- $01{:}04{:}03.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}05.237$ to tell a little bit more about costs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:04:07.030 \rightarrow 01:04:08.584$ Yeah, absolutely so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- 01:04:08.584 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:04:11.408 So timing, I think it's going to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:04:11.408 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.942$ hard to to get at a. We will have. NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- 01:04:13.942 --> 01:04:16.000 We will have access to the medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01{:}04{:}16.064 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}18.054$ records and the pharmacy records
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- 01:04:18.054 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:04:20.044 so we'll know what's prescribed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01{:}04{:}20.050$ --> $01{:}04{:}22.200$ Although the dose prescribed and NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- 01:04:22.200 --> 01:04:24.940 we'll know what's what was filled.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- 01:04:24.940 --> 01:04:27.936 Uhm, and so we can we can,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:04:27.940 \rightarrow 01:04:30.873$ you know from that we can calculate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:04:30.873 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.130$ the net exposure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:04:32.130 \rightarrow 01:04:34.092$ Will know if the doctor prescribed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:04:34.092 \rightarrow 01:04:35.785$ it for morning intake versus

- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:04:35.785 \rightarrow 01:04:38.032$ evening and take the extent to which

 $01{:}04{:}38{.}032 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}39{.}910$ the patient follows that advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:39.910 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.529$ We won't be able to determine that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:43.530 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.715$ You know another thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:04:44.715 --> 01:04:45.663 I I should mention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01{:}04{:}45{.}670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}47{.}815$ which is somewhat tangential and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:04:47.815 --> 01:04:50.661 that's why I didn't bring it up

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:50.661 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.845$ before is that we will also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01{:}04{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}56.630$ very a very extensive biorepository,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:56.630 \rightarrow 01:04:59.395$ so act deliberately delivery will

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:59.395 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.607$ be collecting placenta cord.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:05:01.610 --> 01:05:03.865 Blood during pregnancy will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01{:}05{:}03.865 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}05.930$ maternal blood, which if we wanted to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:05:05.930 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.466$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01{:}05{:}06.466 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}08.074$ we could test for SSRI levels

- $01:05:08.074 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.490$ in the maternal blood.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01{:}05{:}09{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}10{.}690$ It would be one snapshot,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:05:10.690 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.951$ but it would be at least some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:05:12.951 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.380$ quantification of level.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01{:}05{:}14.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}16.756$ Uhm, and then we'll also be looking at,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:05:16.760 \dashrightarrow 01:05:19.294$ UM, some post Natal biospecimens as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01{:}05{:}19{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22{.}198$ Things like breast milk and how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334
- $01:05:22.200 \rightarrow 01:05:24.090$ there are potential transmissions there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942432285
- $01{:}05{:}27.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}28.711$ Alright, perfect timing and that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942432285
- $01:05:28.711 \longrightarrow 01:05:30.230$ we're at the top of the hour
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667
- $01{:}05{:}30{.}279 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31{.}845$ so every body can hear actually stand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667
- $01:05:31.845 \rightarrow 01:05:33.830$ up and walk like the old days work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667
- $01:05:33.830 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.490$ Just click, leave meeting and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667
- $01{:}05{:}35{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}37{.}195$ then enter your next meeting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667
- 01:05:37.195 --> 01:05:38.660 But Jonathan, thank you very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881811784285714
- $01:05:38.670 \rightarrow 01:05:41.260$ much for spending your party afternoon with

- NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032
- $01:05:41.270 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.476$ us as an outstanding talk and

 $01:05:42.476 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.640$ it's clear from the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

01:05:43.640 --> 01:05:44.916 everybody found it enjoyable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

 $01{:}05{:}44{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}46{.}270$ engaging, so and personally it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

01:05:46.270 --> 01:05:48.008 nice to see you and I'll look

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

01:05:48.008 --> 01:05:49.560 forward to seeing you as a real 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

 $01{:}05{:}49{.}560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}51{.}040$ dimensional movie sometime soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928824262

 $01:05:52.020 \rightarrow 01:05:52.980$ Wonderful, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928824262

01:05:52.980 --> 01:05:53.790 Really a pleasure.