WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:57:32.1300000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.632$ Today, let me tell you what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

 $00:00:02.632 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.049$ have in store for next week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

 $00:00:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.738$ Another one that I'm very excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

 $00{:}00{:}07.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.534$ Next week we're going to have our

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

 $00{:}00{:}11.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.930$ next compassionate care routes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

00:00:13.930 --> 00:00:16.289 And we're going to be talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

 $00{:}00{:}16.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.314$ I don't have the title in front of me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

00:00:19.320 --> 00:00:22.253 just coined it, but I think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

 $00{:}00{:}22.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.660$ goes something along the lines of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

00:00:24.660 --> 00:00:26.590 Actually, do you have that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797

 $00:00:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.520$ the title on hand row?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

00:00:31.840 --> 00:00:33.058 While Roe looks for the title,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.836$ I can tell you that it's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:34.836 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.716$ be about the work that we're doing.

 $00:00:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.360$ On eating disorders up on the on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.532$ pediatric floors where we have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:41.532 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.337$ absolutely overwhelmed by the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

00:00:43.337 --> 00:00:45.947 of such presentations we usually have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.894$ you know one or two every so often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.740$ But as you will hear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.960$ we've been literally flooded and overwhelmed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.174$ and we're trying to make sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:55.174 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.650$ of what that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.051$ and so we're going to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00{:}00{:}59.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.518$ compassionate care rounds with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00{:}01{:}00.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02.388$ the clinicians from all stripes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00{:}01{:}02.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.400$ of clinicians who have worked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:01:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.424$ we're going to talk about a specific case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

00:01:07.430 --> 00:01:10.020 But more broadly about what this means,

 $00:01:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.130$ so it's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058

 $00:01:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.610$ to be very special,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058

 $00:01:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.830$ and the title is too little.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058

00:01:14.830 --> 00:01:16.118 Eating too many cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058

00:01:16.118 --> 00:01:17.084 microdroplets of trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058

00:01:17.084 --> 00:01:18.050 toward national rehabilitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058

00:01:18.095 --> 00:01:19.269 nutritional rehabilitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824

00:01:20.180 --> 00:01:23.228 Yeah, can you say it one

NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824

 $00:01:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.751$ more time slowly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824

00:01:24.751 --> 00:01:26.779 I'm Mr too little.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824

 $00{:}01{:}26.780 --> 00{:}01{:}28.812$ Eating too many cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824

00:01:28.812 --> 00:01:30.336 Microdroplets of trust?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824

 $00{:}01{:}30.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.858$ Tord nutritional rehabilitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:32.820 --> 00:01:34.520 And there you have it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:01:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.900$ and we're going to thank you, Rob.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:01:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.280$ And we're going to have a real,

 $00:01:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.980$ wonderful multidisciplinary cast for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:01:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.644$ So, so that's next week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:42.644 --> 00:01:44.772 But this week, you know I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:44.772 --> 00:01:46.760 to introduce our wonderful speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:46.760 --> 00:01:48.800 but Rob Liberal, just like ARM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:48.800 --> 00:01:50.840 wrestled me, tackled me in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:01:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.560$ and he said, no, you're not doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:01:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.580$ I'm doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:54.580 --> 00:01:56.280 So I said, OK Liberal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:01:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.660$ if that's how you wanna do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:58.660 --> 00:02:00.360 But you know, kidding aside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.658$ I am so grateful to Rob.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:02:02.660 --> 00:02:05.484 Who who really has just like I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:05.484 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.342$ telling that Carol has been our paragon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:08.342 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.389$ of timeliness and and always being there,

00:02:11.390 --> 00:02:13.846 I would say that Rob has been our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}13.846 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}02{:}16.559$ paragon of identifying important topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.332$ Wonderful speakers today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:18.332 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.547$ the second of three wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:20.547 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.071$ speakers the the first one that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:02:23.071 --> 00:02:24.890 had with Reverend Amanda right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.860$ I'm still seeing it in my in my mind's eye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:02:28.860 --> 00:02:30.955 It was an incredible talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:30.955 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.050$ and today by Charles he.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.970$ Permitted me to call him Charles, not doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}35.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.160$ Cente
io will not be an exception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.568$ We're in for a real treat and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:40.568 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.351$ will let my good friend rob the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}43.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.456$ master of making this connection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.015$ Tell us about Doctor sent a place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:48.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.380$ Rob

 $00:02:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.020$ thank you. Doctor Martin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:02:50.020 --> 00:02:53.289 The Yale Child Study Center is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:02:53.289 --> 00:02:55.485 special place I know this because

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:02:55.485 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.050$ last week Doctor Martin and Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:02:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.684$ Fernandez helped us bear witness to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.934$ that rich and fruitful tradition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:02.934 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.400$ making the world a better place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.136$ By honoring the legacy of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}03{:}08.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.570$ dear and beloved Doctor Cohen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.986$ Who through a series of lectures honored him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:03:13.990 --> 00:03:17.343 And today it is my privilege to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:17.343 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.594$ introduce a very special person in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}03{:}20.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.008$ this very special virtual place who

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:24.008 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.756$ has 1 foot in academia and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:27.756 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.092$ foot on the ground in the community,

 $00:03:31.092 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.110$ making the world a better place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.126$ And his name is the doctor Charles Centrio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.778$ Today, Doctor Santio was shared with us a

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:03:41.778 --> 00:03:44.899 lecture entitled understanding and reducing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:44.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.660$ Racial inequality for COVID-19 vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:03:47.660 --> 00:03:50.210 Doctor Sentio is an assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.430$ professor at the Rutgers School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.770$ Communication and Information in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.695$ the Department of Library Science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:03:58.700 --> 00:04:03.916 He is also currently the Martin Luther King.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.975$ Visiting professor and scholar at

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:04:06.975 --> 00:04:09.419 Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.846$ His research focuses on improving chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:12.846 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.140$ disease outcomes for underserved populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.165$ He is particularly interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:19.165 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.585$ using both existing technology

 $00:04:21.585 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.689$ such as smartphones and tablets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.206$ and emerging technologies such as machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:28.206 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.420$ learning to improve health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.340$ He earned his PhD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.733$ In health informatics from the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:04:36.733 --> 00:04:40.149 Michigan and while in pursuit of that degree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.730$ he also earned a master's degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.700$ in social work from University of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}04{:}45.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.830$ Michigan and later acquired a

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}04{:}47.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.740$ clinical license and social work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.190$ He also received an MBA from U of M Ann.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:04:56.190 --> 00:04:57.336 Prior to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}04{:}57.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.010$ a BA in mathematics and computer science

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:00.087 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.867$ at Central Connecticut State University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.090$ Clearly Doctor Santio is a

 $00:05:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.978$ musical intellectual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.696$ He has an incredible gift to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:08.696 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.956$ able to synthesize and assimilate

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:05:10.956 --> 00:05:13.986 diverse bodies of knowledge in novel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.738$ tangible and meaningful ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:05:15.738 --> 00:05:18.893 He is the author of numerous articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:18.893 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.605$ and currently has a book chapter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.220$ Under review in their Rutlidge Handbook

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.444$ of Health Communication on the topic of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:27.444 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.328$ community based health interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:05:29.330 --> 00:05:31.600 Will you please help me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.870$ Welcome Doctor Charlson TL to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}05{:}33.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.140$ the Yale Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}05{:}38.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.620$ Thank you, thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.610$ I appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.260$ Thanks for the warm introduction.

00:05:43.260 --> 00:05:44.920 Both Rosemary and Razan Rob,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:05:44.920 --> 00:05:46.084 I certainly appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:05:46.084 --> 00:05:48.560 Thank you also for the an opportunity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.045$ at least virtually any way to return

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:51.045 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.187$ home to Connecticut where I grew up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:05:53.190 --> 00:05:54.954 I was born in Hartford and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:54.954 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.623$ grew up in Manchester before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}05{:}56.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.149$ venturing off to two other places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.162$ So thank you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:00.162 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.547$ I'd like to start the talk by just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:02.547 --> 00:06:04.155 grounding our understanding or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:04.155 --> 00:06:05.763 developing a common understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}05.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.884$ as I like to say for what health informatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.816$ Is there are various definitions out there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:11.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.238$ This is 1 by Chuck Friedman

00:06:14.238 --> 00:06:16.787 who's a professor that I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}16.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.917$ at Michigan very commonly used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:18.920 --> 00:06:19.600 So basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.300$ by definition it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.970$ understand that health informatics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.770$ is definitionally interdisciplinary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.182$ and what it really involves are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:27.182 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.790$ science domains or traditionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:28.862 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.550$ kind of science domains as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.230$ application domains coming together

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}33.230 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}06{:}35.550$ to support scientific inquiry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.570$ problem solving, and that is about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:38.570 --> 00:06:40.274 So science domains include

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:40.274 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.830$ information science where I come from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:42.830 --> 00:06:45.578 In terms of my doctoral training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.456$ computer science,

 $00:06:46.456 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.646$ where my undergraduate training was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}48.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.529$ in along with various other sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}51.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.904$ cognitive science, communication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:52.904 --> 00:06:54.278 science, organizational science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.196$ decision sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:55.196 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.486$ and then application domains health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.318$ Obviously for this population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:59.318 --> 00:07:00.689 includes community health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:00.690 --> 00:07:02.064 Health Communication, health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:02.064 --> 00:07:03.896 behavior, clinical care delivery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.099$ bio medical informatics or bio medical study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:07.100 \dashrightarrow 00:07:10.764$ as well as social work and public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:10.770 --> 00:07:14.538 And I picked these domains because my works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.249$ Tends to touch each of these areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.940$ so combining the application domain

 $00:07:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.166$ and the science you get domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}21.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.046$ informatics so health informatics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.800$ biomedical informatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.800$ So that's just a way for you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:26.876 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.210$ sort of decyfer when you hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:29.210 --> 00:07:31.180 health informatics and that term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.496$ this is the generally accepted definition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.880$ but the bottom line is that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}35.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.528$ are the users of health information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.895$ so health informatics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}39.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.080$ no matter where you are in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}43.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.803$ of the type of work that you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.197$ Ultimately points to that people, humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:48.197 --> 00:07:50.682 We interact with information and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:50.682 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.107$ that information can exert influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:53.107 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.955$ on us as well as we exert influence

 $00:07:55.955 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.095$ on it as we produce it OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:58.100 --> 00:07:58.484 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:58.484 --> 00:08:00.020 common understanding what's HealthEquity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:08:00.020 --> 00:08:02.484 I'll go this one through this one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:02.484 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.240$ a little bit quicker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:08:04.240 --> 00:08:06.082 I'm I'm assuming that we probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:08:06.082 --> 00:08:08.849 have more of a baseline understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.965$ but basically it's about assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:10.965 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.080$ the differences in health status

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:13.144 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.262$ between groups of people and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}15.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.068$ groups can be defined by race or ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:08:18.070 --> 00:08:20.368 That's a common sort of grouping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}20.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.058$ and the grouping that I probably deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:23.058 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.362$ with the most, but also importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:25.362 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.570$ immigration status, disability,

00:08:26.570 --> 00:08:28.330 gender, sexual orientation, geography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}28.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.730$ And assets and I used the term Alice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}30.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.011$ now which stands for asset limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:33.011 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.627$ income constrained an employed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:08:34.627 --> 00:08:37.164 SES is a common sort of bucket

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:37.164 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.824$ for that or similar bucket,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.990$ but Alice is a term that I see used more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:42.076 \dashrightarrow 00:08:45.478$ and more in the term I use now differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.880$ What does that mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:08:46.880 --> 00:08:47.230 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}47.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.634$ differences include the extent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:49.634 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.437$ Of a disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.132$ As well as impact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:53.132 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.670$ so I don't want to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:55.779 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.099$ us through FB 101 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:08:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.984$ but basically the prevalence

 $00:08:59.984 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.265$ is the proportion of cases in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}03.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.688$ population at a time, so incidences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}05.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.544$ incidents conveys information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:07.544 --> 00:09:10.089 the risk of contracting a disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}10.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.680$ whereas prevalence indicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.800$ how widespread it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:13.800 --> 00:09:16.278 And in the context of COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.360$ that's extremely relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:17.360 --> 00:09:20.306 I think we've all heard some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}20.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.466$ terms released concepts as we've looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:22.466 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.977$ at the Daily News on COVID updates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:24.980 --> 00:09:27.269 Now just a little bit about equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}27.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.268$ I could cite many different examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:29.268 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.210$ and I'll cite some later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:31.210 --> 00:09:34.153 But for those of us who work in equity,

 $00:09:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.800$ and I've been working in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.770$ equity for about 15 years now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}37.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.378$ Milwaukee is a city that's often used in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:40.378 --> 00:09:42.028 illustrating HealthEquity or health inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.670$ It's a fairly segregated city

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.310$ with a large black population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.622 40\%$ in the city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:46.622 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.735$ and then 17% in Metro Milwaukee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:48.735 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.360$ And we don't quite understand why there

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:51.429 --> 00:09:53.715 are some various theories out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.480$ but the point is that when you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.682$ HealthEquity numbers illustrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:57.682 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.927$ of a larger larger phenomenon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:59.930 --> 00:10:04.306 you see Milwaukee quoted quite a bit so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.320$ Infant mortality across the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:10:06.320 --> 00:10:09.168 for blacks is more than twice that of whites.

 $00:10:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.878$ That's been the case for over a decade now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:10:12.880 --> 00:10:13.618 And in Milwaukee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:13.618 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.356$ as I've mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.870$ low SES households are three

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.986$ and a half times more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:17.986 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.869$ die in the first year of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.360$ So these sort of dramatic indicators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:10:22.360 --> 00:10:23.126 again persistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:10:23.126 --> 00:10:25.041 This is before COVID became

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:25.041 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.930$ part of our binocular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.658$ These are persistent inequities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:28.658 \dashrightarrow 00:10:31.706$ and Milwaukee is a good place to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}10{:}31.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.974$ sort of illustrate these this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.640$ These facts and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.715$ These have been the case.

 $00:10:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.528$ As I mentioned for over a decade now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469466600:10:42.530 --> 00:10:42.980 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.130$ I want to also emphasize that Health Equity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.769$ is a persistent human rights issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}10{:}49.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.899$ I need to assert that because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:52.899 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.909$ not universal agreement on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.160$ I read a few years ago when I was delving

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:58.246 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.814$ more into policy that we fund our priorities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:01.820 --> 00:11:03.468 I'll say that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:03.468 --> 00:11:05.940 we fund our priorities and HealthEquity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:06.013 --> 00:11:08.158 is not necessarily something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:08.158 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.445$ has been funded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.420$ I'm going to put a quote up here and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:12.501 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.399$ we were in the room I'd ask for hands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:15.400 --> 00:11:17.896 but I want to read this to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}11{:}17.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.616$ We are concerned about the constant

 $00:11:19.616 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.453$ use of federal funds to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}11{:}21.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.058$ this most notorious expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:23.058 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.099$ segregation of all forms of inequality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:25.100 --> 00:11:26.804 Injustice in health is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:26.804 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.677$ shocking and the most inhuman because

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:28.677 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.723$ it often results in physical death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.786$ The quote more of the quote I see

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:32.786 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.833$ no alternative to direct action and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:34.833 --> 00:11:36.678 creative nonviolence to create awareness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:36.680 --> 00:11:38.008 to create, to create,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:38.008 --> 00:11:39.668 not creative nonviolence to raise

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:39.668 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.418$ the conscious of the nation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.410$ Sorry bout that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.390$ I stepped over the last part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:44.390 --> 00:11:46.605 Does anyone know who famously

 $00:11:46.605 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.820$ said that in a speech?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}11{:}48.820 --> 00{:}11{:}50.760$ I'm gonna move on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:50.760 --> 00:11:54.288 That's Doctor Martin Luther King Junior 1965.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:54.290 --> 00:11:55.674 Annual meeting of Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:55.674 --> 00:11:57.058 Committee for Human Rights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:57.060 --> 00:11:59.142 So this notion of HealthEquity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:59.142 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.947$ it exists going to get more to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:12:01.947 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.627$ later and that it's important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:12:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.722$ has been around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:12:04.722 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.270$ This is not a new thing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}12{:}07.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.992$ I want to make sure that we understand that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:11.000 --> 00:12:12.860 So when I say persistent inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.410$ I'm not talking about COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}12{:}14.410 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.890$ I'm certainly not even talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.752$ about the last decade or two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:17.752 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.367$ when this work has proliferated.

 $00:12:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.894$ We've known about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}12{:}20.894 \to 00{:}12{:}22.799$ inequities for quite some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.488$ Now let's jump into the causes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:25.490 --> 00:12:27.458 As you might imagine, summer unclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.110$ This is an interesting question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:29.110 --> 00:12:30.682 What causes health inequities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:30.682 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.647$ It seems may seem innocuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:32.650 --> 00:12:34.874 But part of what makes it complex is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:34.874 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.467$ that it brings together many academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:37.467 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.927$ disciplines and many different theories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.079$ many different approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:41.079 --> 00:12:43.760 During my PhD study in Information Science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}12{:}43.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}46.434$ I was exposed as you might imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:46.440 --> 00:12:47.972 to several different academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:47.972 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.270$ disciplines that are new to me.

 $00:12:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.741$ As Rob mentioned, I was a mathematics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:52.741 --> 00:12:54.480 and computer science undergrad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.350$ so my pathway to social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.690$ science was was long and Anan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.073$ Winding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:59.073 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.456$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:59.456 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.754$ given my description of health informatics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.178$ that's probably to be expected in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}13{:}04.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05.790$ terms of the interdisciplinarity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:05.790 --> 00:13:06.384 Of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}13{:}06.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.869$ so my interest in Health Equity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:07.869 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.255$ was enhanced by my exposure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:10.255 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.686$ developmental psychology literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.772$ This is literature that I'm sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:13.772 --> 00:13:16.489 many in the crowd are familiar with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.710$ specifically through one of my professors,

00:13:18.710 --> 00:13:21.654 Daniel Keating, who I mentioned by name here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.604$ One because he does wonderful work and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:24.610 --> 00:13:27.186 he's just an excellent professor in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:27.190 --> 00:13:29.290 I'm using his work here to explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.636$ or try to answer the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:31.636 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.468$ about what causes inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:33.470 --> 00:13:34.910 Again, persistent inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:34.910 --> 00:13:39.310 As I continue to to identify the root causes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:39.310 --> 00:13:41.902 I find myself even reading more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:41.902 --> 00:13:43.633 literature and sociology, psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:43.633 --> 00:13:45.798 even history to understand context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:45.800 --> 00:13:47.965 So it's important if we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:47.965 --> 00:13:50.130 going to ask this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.728$ we have to embrace different disciplines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.458$ different people, different literature.

00:13:54.458 --> 00:13:56.988 That's important now, the answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:56.988 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.830$ What are they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:58.830 --> 00:14:01.738 They lie in two camps, essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:01.738 --> 00:14:03.532 historically and contemporarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:03.532 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.326$ nature versus nurture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:05.330 --> 00:14:07.254 We're probably very familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:07.254 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.697$ with the concepts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:08.700 --> 00:14:10.792 Are certain groups biologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}14{:}10.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12.884$ or genetically predisposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:12.884 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.650$ the risk of certain conditions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:15.650 --> 00:14:17.039 And their progression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:17.039 --> 00:14:19.354 Or do social factors play

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:19.354 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.610$ more of a role nurture?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:21.610 --> 00:14:22.820 For the Pearly early part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:22.820 --> 00:14:23.546 I told you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}14{:}23.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.240$ I've been reading a lot of history,

 $00:14:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.692$ so for the early part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:26.692 --> 00:14:27.418 our country's history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:27.420 --> 00:14:29.570 nature was the prevailing belief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.705$ The science was influenced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:31.705 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.840$ the sentiments of the scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.980$ This is still the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:35.980 --> 00:14:37.844 The psychologist Charles Thomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:37.844 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.143$ in 1985 published a paper in part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:41.143 --> 00:14:44.083 that said quote science is a human

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:44.083 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.398$ activity which is hardly neutral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:46.400 --> 00:14:47.501 Objective or impartial?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:47.501 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.336$ So it's important that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:49.336 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.260$ we talk about the science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:51.260 --> 00:14:54.244 some of us imply the purity of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:54.250 --> 00:14:56.404 It's important for us to understand

 $00:14:56.404 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.682$ that there are human beings behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:14:58.682 --> 00:15:00.607 the collection of that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.480$ The analysis of that data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}02.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.350$ the interpretation of that analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.220$ and the dissemination of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.090$ After all, as an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}08.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.795$ using enslaved Africans as free

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:09.795 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.318$ labor was in large part fueled by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}12.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.586$ the notion that certain groups were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:14.586 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.770$ genetically and biologically inferior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}16.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.960$ I'll expound upon Dubois his work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.907$ in this area in a couple of slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.740$ but back to Keaton cheating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.960$ He found he and others find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.440$ that nature and nurture

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

00:15:27.515 --> 00:15:29.615 both play a role in outcomes,

 $00:15:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.396$ so individual differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00{:}15{:}31.396 --> 00{:}15{:}32.580 \ {\rm Cognitive, \ behavioral,}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:15:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.300$ physical and mental health are patterned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:15:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.160$ by socioeconomic circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:15:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.242$ And that health outcomes are influenced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00{:}15{:}40.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.150$ by many inter related factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

00:15:42.150 --> 00:15:44.328 So things like poor health status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

00:15:44.330 --> 00:15:47.105 disease risk, risk factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:15:47.105 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.880$ limited access to health care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00{:}15{:}49.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.232$ Social determinants of health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:15:51.232 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.255$ Stoc. Hi, I'm going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:15:53.255 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.940$ about those quite a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:15:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.020$ Those are primarily the drivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:15:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.234$ Now let's talk a bit about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:16:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.145$ Here how do we get from social?

 $00:16:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.605$ Factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00{:}16{:}05.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.970$ To outcomes, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:16:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.700$ an innocuous question on the surface,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:16:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.044$ but there's a lot going on underneath here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:16:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.788$ OK. This arrow from social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:16:19.788 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.079$ circumstances to outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00{:}16{:}23.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.432$ Is about predicting outcomes based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:16:25.432 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.019$ social economic status or Sep sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00{:}16{:}28.019 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}29.927$ called social economic position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:16:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.100$ So let me go and review this circle a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}16{:}35.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.308$ I'm going to spend just a slide on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:16:37.308 --> 00:16:38.800 this circle, 'cause it's it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:16:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.228$ gets at the heart of the answer to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}16{:}41.228 \to 00{:}16{:}42.828$ question about what causes inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:16:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.760$ the interplay of nature and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:16:44.760 --> 00:16:47.279 nurture both of them play a role.

00:16:47.280 --> 00:16:50.088 But the key questions again are what what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}16{:}50.088 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}16{:}52.279$ social predictors matter more than others?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:16:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.556$ And how do these predictors interact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:16:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.690$ Do they relate to different outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}16{:}57.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59.110$ and what developed mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:16:59.172 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.520$ might explain this arrow?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.168$ Those of us who work with pathways clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:03.168 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.470$ pathways were really concerned with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.294$ How do we tie factors to two outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.649$ What's the pathway?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:10.650 \dashrightarrow 00:17:13.618$ The short answer is we don't know really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.952$ They're hard to separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}14.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.416$ You can imagine that it's really hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:17.416 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.024$ to isolate someone's socioeconomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:19.024 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.034$ position from where they live.

 $00:17:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.890$ These social determinants are interplayed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}22.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.510$ They're almost hopelessly connected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}24.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.330$ and I don't mean hopeless as there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.570$ no hope to do something about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.312$ I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:30.312 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.280$ hopeless in terms of trying to segment them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}33.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.140$ Segment them are very difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.550$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.830$ what we do know what has been demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.127$ is that as a whole social circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.771$ Have a gradient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:17:43.771 --> 00:17:46.506 Here this is represented by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:46.506 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.920$ the arrow to outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:17:48.920 --> 00:17:51.076 Lower levels of socioeconomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:51.076 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.771$ status and social economic position

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:53.771 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.452$ uniformly are associated with lower

 $00:17:56.452 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.037$ levels of virtually any measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}59.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.710$ developmental health outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.810$ and its most frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.135$ a linear relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.204$ So these factors, demographics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:18:09.204 --> 00:18:11.880 socioeconomic, residential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.908$ Actually can predict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:18:13.908 --> 00:18:16.010 Physical, mental, cognitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.010$ and social competence is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.475$ So the answer is nature and nurture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}18{:}22.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.960$ but more specifically social factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.440$ social determinants of health actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.920$ show linear relationships to outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103243

 $00{:}18{:}32.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33.856$ Now let's go to COVID for a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:18:36.010 --> 00:18:38.714 What's causing the COVID

 $00:18:38.714 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.094$ inequities that we see well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}18{:}42.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.221$ For people like me who have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:18:44.221 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.972$ working in HealthEquity for over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:18:45.972 --> 00:18:47.792 decade and there have been people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:18:47.849 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.801$ have been doing this a lot longer than

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:18:49.801 --> 00:18:52.288 I have before I learned how to spell

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:18:52.288 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.739$ PhD point to these social factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:18:54.740 --> 00:18:58.800 So wouldn't it follow that social factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}18{:}58.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.510$ are also exerting influence on COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:02.510 --> 00:19:04.654 specifically risk of infection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:04.654 \dashrightarrow 00:19:07.870$ Risk of hospitalization and mortality risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:07.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.523$ Those are the three kind of markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:10.523 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.921$ that have been used since the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}19{:}12.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15.285$ beginning of the pandemic in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:15.285 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.956$ of the work that I've looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.895$ Again, social factors for over

00:19:19.895 --> 00:19:21.443 100 years social factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}19{:}21.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.670$ not genetic factors as was first

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.022$ speculated have been described as primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:26.022 --> 00:19:28.037 causal factors of health disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.484$ and we also find them in COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:31.490 --> 00:19:33.722 Risk of COVID infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:33.722 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.396$ hospitalization and death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:35.400 \dashrightarrow 00:19:40.544$ Just some more in terms of Health Equity data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.110$ African American and Native American

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}19{:}43.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.158$ individuals have shorter life

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:45.158 --> 00:19:47.806 spans and more illness than whites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.746$ Hispanic immigrants initially tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}19{:}49.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52.166$ to have relatively healthy profiles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.590$ but with increasing lengths of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:54.590 --> 00:19:57.010 stay in the United States,

 $00:19:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.430$ their health tends to decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.850$ That's also true of immigrants

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}20{:}01.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.270$ from African and Caribbean nations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.840$ Now, in terms of COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:08.840 --> 00:20:10.832 And think COVID social factors COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:10.832 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.139$ social factors by the end of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.059$ I hope that we're making that association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:16.060 --> 00:20:18.348 Let's look at the Bronx, New York City.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:18.348 --> 00:20:20.656 Rob knows about the Bronx, don't you rob?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:20.656 --> 00:20:23.132 Familiar, the Bronx had the has the lowest

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:23.132 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.708$ levels of income and education and highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:25.708 --> 00:20:27.724 proportion of black and Hispanic persons

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:27.724 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.200$ of any of the boroughs of New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:30.200 --> 00:20:32.475 It also had the highest rate of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:32.475 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.810$ COVID hospitalizations and deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.546$ This is data as of September.

00:20:37.550 --> 00:20:39.522 In contrast, Manhattan primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}39.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.494$ predominantly white most affluent

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:41.494 --> 00:20:43.898 borough of New York City by far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.300$ had the lowest rates of hospitalizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.550$ and deaths related to COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}48.550 \to 00{:}20{:}51.412$ Although it had the highest population

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:51.412 --> 00:20:54.910 density of any New York City borough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.577$ Again, this is data as of September.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:57.580 --> 00:21:00.280 New York City reflects other

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.732$ major US centers. For example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}21{:}02.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.812$ Disproportionate death rates of black

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:04.812 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.983$ persons in Chicago are concentrated in four

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}21{:}07.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.027$ majority black neighborhoods, so we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:11.027 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.321$ Almost as data was being collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:14.321 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.619$ around COVID racial disparities.

 $00:21:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.246$ So let's go back a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.750$ I told you I've been reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.230$ a little bit about history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.802$ I'm going to take you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:23.802 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.210$ a little bit of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:25.210 --> 00:21:26.550 It's important to understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}21{:}26.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.190$ racial health inequity did not start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:28.190 --> 00:21:28.621 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:28.621 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.776$ nowhere that end with COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:30.780 --> 00:21:33.314 But when did racial inequity emerging health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}21{:}33.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.498$ Well, the answer is pretty clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.585$ Racial differences in health are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:37.585 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.491$ present at the very inception of health

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}21{:}40.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.109$ records being kept in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:43.110 --> 00:21:47.223 Up until an through the Civil War in 1861,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.294$ physicians debated.

 $00:21:48.294 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.954$ Physicians these are trained individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:21:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.804$ Whether the already well known racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:53.804 --> 00:21:56.400 disparities were due to biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:56.400 --> 00:21:58.325 Because blacks were inferior or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}21{:}58.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.250$ social factors because many blacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:00.308 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.348$ were enslaved and lived in society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:02.350 --> 00:22:03.838 Steeped in white supremacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:03.838 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.326$ steeped in white supremacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.287$ At the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:06.287 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.201$ the debate was rooted in politics

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:08.201 --> 00:22:10.160 as anti slavery physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.020$ which included the first generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:12.020 --> 00:22:13.136 of black doctors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.737$ argued that social factors were the drivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.798$ These factors at the time defined

00:22:17.798 --> 00:22:20.583 the need for cheap labor and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:20.583 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.375$ conditions were what determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}22.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.520$ both the progression of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:24.520 --> 00:22:26.290 And health status of enslaved blacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:26.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.266$ in the South and impoverished blacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:28.266 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.434$ in the north. This work was captured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}31.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.498$ This biological versus social

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:33.498 --> 00:22:36.698 debate was captured by Dubois and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}36.698 \to 00{:}22{:}39.298$ his Seminole work the Philadelphia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:39.300 --> 00:22:42.300 Now Dubois was a trained sociologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:42.300 --> 00:22:45.975 I think of him as the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:45.975 --> 00:22:48.899 HealthEquity scholar in our country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.100$ And he's also deeply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.000$ deeply into epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:51.000 --> 00:22:52.800 If I could talk to him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.800$ I'd love to talk to him about his epic work.

 $00:22:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.382$ 'cause that's really what this is about as a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}59.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.360$ I read this book before I learned how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:23:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.990$ spell PhD and then I reread it after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:23:04.990 --> 00:23:06.808 And it's a book about epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:23:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.114$ Essentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:23:07.114 --> 00:23:09.546 Now why am I bringing it up here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:23:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.764$ He can't rasted the biological point

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:23:11.764 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.529$ of view and his observation that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:23:14.530 --> 00:23:16.974 Uh, that social factors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:23:16.974 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.640$ Were in the vastly different conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}23{:}20.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.656$ were at play, and I'm putting up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:23:23.656 --> 00:23:26.170 US knows that this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

00:23:26.272 --> 00:23:28.912 I submit that Dubois is

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

00:23:28.912 --> 00:23:31.024 important to public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}23{:}31.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.928$ United States ice snow is to to

 $00:23:33.928 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.049$ public health around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}23{:}36.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.558$ This is the famous water spicket

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:38.558 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.230$ that London physicians know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.149$ I identified was the cause of cholera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.546$ This kind of launched modern epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

00:23:46.550 --> 00:23:49.147 And I think that this work the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:49.147 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.035$ Philadelphia is approach is as

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:51.035 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.159$ important in terms of racial equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

00:23:53.160 --> 00:23:57.598 both in the United States and beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.590$ So Dubois argued that social

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}00.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.982$ advancements and vastly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:02.982 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.647$ living conditions were the causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:05.647 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.611$ of the known 1899 known racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

00:24:08.701 --> 00:24:11.389 differences in health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.030$ Now one more on Dubois.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.326$ Before I leave what he pointed out,

00:24:15.330 --> 00:24:17.409 I pulled this quote from the Philadelphia

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}17.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.590$ and I think it's really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:19.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.934$ I alluded to this earlier when I talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

00:24:21.934 --> 00:24:24.177 about we fund our priorities again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.784$ we fund our priorities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:25.784 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.789$ The most difficult social problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:27.789 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.340$ in the matter of health is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.153$ peculiar attitude of the nation

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:32.153 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.610$ towards the well being of the race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}34.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.479$ There have been few other cases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

00:24:36.479 --> 00:24:38.043 the history of civilized peoples

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:38.043 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.167$ where human suffering has been viewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}40.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.129$ with such peculiar in difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9073276

00:24:44.470 --> 00:24:48.676 1899 Let's go back to social determinants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9073276

 $00{:}24{:}48.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.608$ I want to ground us in a common

 $00{:}24{:}50.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.410$ understanding as I did for health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9073276

 $00:24:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.670$ informatics and for Health Equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:24:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.116$ And we see here what Dubois observed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.304$ Social advancement vastly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:02.304 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.488$ different living conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

00:25:04.490 --> 00:25:06.266 Stop one moment Sir.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:06.266 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.982$ OK, there are five central

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:08.982 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.254$ social determinants of health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}11.260 \to 00{:}25{:}12.772$ Economic stability, education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

00:25:12.772 --> 00:25:14.788 social and community context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

00:25:14.790 --> 00:25:16.802 health and health care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:16.802 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.814$ neighborhood and built environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.515$ And this. Diagram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:21.515 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.490$ From the Institute of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.110$ Helps articulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.000$ Illustrate their interplay there all.

 $00{:}25{:}31.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.938$ They all can play a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}32.940 --> 00{:}25{:}34.620$ And some can play the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.578$ of role more than others for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:36.578 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.110$ group for certain groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.048$ But the point is in trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:40.048 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.340$ to isolate causal factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.698$ This is perhaps what makes pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:43.698 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.617$ so difficult to definitively describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

00:25:45.617 --> 00:25:47.873 is that they are all at play and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}47.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.396$ they can be a play at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

00:25:50.396 --> 00:25:51.844 times for different individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}51.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53.570$ So these are social determinants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00:25:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.315$ And these determinants have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00:25:59.315 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.415$ articulated for various groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00:26:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.998$ I've mentioned black.

 $00:26:02.998 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.680$ I've mentioned Latin ex but also Haitian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00:26:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.784$ Afro Cuban Trinidad Ian

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00:26:08.784 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.888$ Appalachian Poor Asian Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

00:26:10.890 --> 00:26:12.468 older adults, immigrants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

00:26:12.468 --> 00:26:14.046 individuals with disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00:26:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.102$ Native Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00:26:15.102 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.258$ It goes on prisoners as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.176$ Social determinants of health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}22.176 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.750$ of health are not like gravity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}24.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.840$ They're not some natural law

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.930$ that just sort of happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.818$ They are the drivers and the result of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:31.818 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.158$ institutions that create and sustain them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.510$ They are populated these institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.317$ by individuals who either actively make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:39.317 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.054$ decisions to maintain an equity or perhaps.

 $00:26:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.540$ More commonly, they passively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}43.540 {\: -->\:} 00{:}26{:}45.760$ maintain an equity by simply looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:45.824 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.707$ the other way by not caring quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:47.707 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.420$ enough for the least of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.820$ Back to Dubois is quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.680$ When I first started started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:26:53.680 --> 00:26:54.796 studying health inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.230$ I thought the healthcare system was broken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.140$ Maybe not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.870$ Perhaps like the criminal justice system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.294$ it's working as intended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:03.294 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.877$ Deanna Hoskins has seen the senior policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}27{:}05.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.169$ advisor for the Department of Justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.500$ Bureau of Justice Assistance is noted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.586$ The criminal justice system is not broken.

 $00:27:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.775$ It is operating just as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:27:15.775 --> 00:27:17.523 it's designed to operate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.076$ The cost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:18.076 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.714$ perhaps some of you are familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:19.714 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.998$ with some of this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}27{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.058$ The cost of imprisonment in jail in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:23.058 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.770$ the past 20 years has grown as a faster

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.138$ rate than any other state budget item.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.685$ It costs about \$80.00 a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:29.685 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.230$ day to house an inmate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.446$ And the United States spends in excess of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:33.446 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.629$ \$68 billion a year on corrections federal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.491$ state and local.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:27:36.491 --> 00:27:38.849 I point this out because we should never

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:38.849 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.858$ assume that we're all united in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:40.858 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.958$ desire to eliminate racial inequity.

 $00:27:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.920$ I never assumed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.349$ This is uphill. It's a noble fight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.530$ But there's a slope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.915$ To that line?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:27:53.920 --> 00:27:55.376 OK, social determinants of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:27:55.376 --> 00:27:56.468 health impact everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:27:56.470 --> 00:27:58.290 but not everyone equally important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}27{:}58.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01.730$ point here. It can impact all groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:01.730 --> 00:28:04.130 But children in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:04.130 --> 00:28:05.930 are especially vulnerable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:05.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.750$ Why well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.905$ Askeaton, another seven have taught

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}10.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.060$ me in terms of developmental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}13.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.384$ Children are especially vulnerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:14.384 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.377$ because the physical,

 $00:28:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.708$ social and emotional capabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:16.708 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.368$ that develop early in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.694$ which many of you are well steep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:20.700 --> 00:28:22.360 provide a foundation for life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.550$ course health and well being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:25.550 --> 00:28:26.729 As I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}26.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.301$ developmental scientists have settled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:28.301 --> 00:28:30.829 on the nature versus nurture debate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:30.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.210$ both in concert matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.780$ But how they interact with the course

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}34.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.354$ of development is still being described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.924$ What we do know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}38.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.270$ as I mentioned with Keating's work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:41.270 --> 00:28:42.626 But important nature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:42.626 --> 00:28:44.434 nurture interactions occur during

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:44.434 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.528$ early childhood development that set

 $00:28:46.528 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.238$ a course throughout someone's life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:28:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.868$ I'd like to also point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:28:54.868 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.004$ systematic racism and colorism colorism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:28:57.004 --> 00:28:59.080 prejudice or discrimination against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}28{:}59.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.550$ individuals with dark skin tone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.875$ Among people of the same ethnic group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.465$ Are types of determinants which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:29:06.465 --> 00:29:08.050 do not impact groups equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.952$ So while social determinants impact all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:09.952 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.486$ of us, they don't impact us all equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:29:12.490 --> 00:29:13.444 Much like Kovit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:13.444 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.352$ I remember early in the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}15.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.239$ where COVID is affecting us all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.148$ We're all being affected by kovid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.101$ That's largely true,

00:29:20.101 --> 00:29:22.320 but it's not affecting us all equally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.434$ and that was brought home to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:24.434 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.439$ more than at any other time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.293$ When I was freaked out like the rest of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:29.293 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.175$ us have even walking out the door and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:32.175 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.657$ going to the grocery store was an adventure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.810$ And anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:29:37.810 --> 00:29:39.844 Yet when I talk on the phone with one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}39.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42.058$ of a friend I've had since 7th grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:29:42.060 --> 00:29:43.140 Ann, I said you're out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.598$ what are you doing out? And it hit me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:45.598 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.820$ He's a mailman. He delivers the mail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:29:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.610$ He didn't have a choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00{:}29{:}51.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.727$ He was essential. And the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:29:54.727 --> 00:29:56.890 that we walked by in grocery stores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:29:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.186$ stocking shelves, essential workers.

 $00:29:58.186 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.881$ So we have to keep in mind that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:00.881 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.611$ this pandemic and these determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:02.611 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.269$ don't affect us all equal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.540$ And that's important for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.310$ to keep in mind me include.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:30:07.310 --> 00:30:08.921 OK, structural inequities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:30:08.921 --> 00:30:12.143 I've mentioned that what are they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.638$ Well, they're important 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:14.638 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.126$ they undergird these drivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.815$ They are the personal interpersonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:30:19.815 --> 00:30:22.780 institution and systematic drivers excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.836$ such as racism, sexism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00{:}30{:}24.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26.344$ classism, ableism, xenophobia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:26.344 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.841$ homophobia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:30:26.841 --> 00:30:29.326 that make those identities salient

 $00:30:29.326 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.976$ to the fair distribution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:31.976 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.088$ health opportunities and outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:30:36.330 \dashrightarrow 00:30:39.759$ I want to point out here that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:30:39.759 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.167$ distinction in the American narrative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:30:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.098$ As you might imagine in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

00:30:45.098 --> 00:30:47.050 summer after Memorial Day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:30:47.050 \dashrightarrow 00:30:49.858$ I was asked to talk to different groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00{:}30{:}49.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52.879$ in ways that I hadn't before about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:30:52.879 \dashrightarrow 00:30:55.575$ racial justice, and I'm not a race

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:30:55.575 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.140$ researcher by training or by identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00{:}30{:}58.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.410$ However, I do research and equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.910$ I'm a person of color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.738$ therefore I bring myself to my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.820$ So. What I so I did some homework

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:08.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.480$ so more reading of history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

00:31:11.480 --> 00:31:13.210 which has been extremely illuminated,

 $00:31:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.330$ instructed from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.262$ And I uncovered that there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:17.262 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.796$ prevailing and Eric American narrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:18.796 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.740$ that draws a sharp line between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:20.740 \dashrightarrow 00:31:22.720$ the United States past and present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.154$ So within 60s and 70s marking a crucial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:31:28.154 --> 00:31:31.547 before and after moment in that narrative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.750$ the narrative is search asserts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.951$ that until the 1950s, U.S.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:35.951 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.156$ history was shaped by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:38.156 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.920$ impacts of past slavery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.243$ American Indian removal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:41.243 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.448$ lack of rights for women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}31{:}43.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.655$ Jim Crow segregation periods of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:45.655 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.419$ nativists restrictions on immigration,

 $00:31:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.066$ and waves of mass deportation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}31{:}50.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51.391$ Hispanic immigrants, eugenics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:31:51.391 --> 00:31:53.596 the internment of Japanese Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:31:53.600 --> 00:31:55.547 Chinese exclusion policies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:55.547 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.792$ The criminalization of homosexual acts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:31:58.792 --> 00:32:02.865 and more so think 50s pre 50s this past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.680$ And then after the 1950s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.592$ We had this rush to the present

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}32{:}08.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.810$ civil rights women's liberation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:10.810 --> 00:32:13.140 gay rights, disability rights movements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.490$ and their aftermaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:14.490 --> 00:32:17.190 May have contributed or be contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.618$ to this narrative that social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.200$ political, and cultural institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:21.200 --> 00:32:23.175 have made progress towards equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:23.180 --> 00:32:25.160 diversity and inclusion.

 $00:32:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.800$ DI it's a thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.695$ Highlights include the Civil Rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:29.695 --> 00:32:32.299 Act of 64 Voting Rights Act of 65,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:32.300 --> 00:32:34.349 Fair Housing Act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:34.350 \dashrightarrow 00:32:37.740$ Title 9 American with Disabilities Act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.550$ Even the Affordable Care Act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:40.550 --> 00:32:43.358 And most recently, the Supreme Court

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:43.358 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.950$ case that legalized marriage equality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.932$ United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:46.932 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.896$ Yes, that was progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}32{:}48.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}50.352$ That is progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:50.352 --> 00:32:50.836 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}32{:}50.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.740$ when we look at remaining persistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:53.822 --> 00:32:55.360 structural inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.690$ I'd like us to reconsider

 $00:32:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.554$ that before after narrative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:59.560 \dashrightarrow 00:33:03.192$ even after what I call this past summer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:33:03.192 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.190$ of radical racial reconciliation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:33:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.353$ How much of that energy will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:33:08.353 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.345$ sustained and how will we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:33:10.345 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.015$ what indicators might we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:33:12.015 --> 00:33:14.009 'cause from a health standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:33:14.010 --> 00:33:17.209 I'm still looking for evidence of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:33:17.210 --> 00:33:18.470 Sharp distinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991497

00:33:23.780 --> 00:33:25.244 Persistent racial inequity suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991497

 $00:33:25.244 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.780$ that the distinction is not so good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:33:30.280 --> 00:33:31.550 OK, causes of racial inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}33{:}31.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.434$ I alluded to this a little earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.756$ For kovit or similar to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:33:36.756 --> 00:33:38.300 causes of persistent inequity

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:38.368 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.400$ for common chronic conditions.

 $00:33:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.956$ So I cited some data earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:33:42.956 --> 00:33:44.234 about racial inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.914$ and we see that the structural social

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:46.914 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.089$ factors exert have exerted are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:49.089 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.479$ exerting their influence on COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.180$ Again, risk of infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.455$ hospitalization and death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.016$ and that shouldn't shock us right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}33{:}57.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59.708$ Wouldn't it wouldn't be surprising if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:59.708 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.560$ found that somehow these structural factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}02.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04.970$ which are by definition structural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}04.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.730$ Wouldn't be exerting an influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}06.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.846$ on covert outcomes for me early

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:08.846 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.855$ in the pandemic and I'll talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:10.855 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.924$ little bit more about where my work

 $00:34:12.924 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.061$ is today and where it's headed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}15.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.546$ I saw a crisis room. Because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}19.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21.498$ structural factors are structural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.468$ So what we found in some early data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.067$ This is a Banga is a wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:27.067 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.180$ mentor of mine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.664$ He's at the university.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:29.664 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.777$ He's at NYU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.635$ School of Medicine does some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:32.635 --> 00:34:34.490 wonderful work in population health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}34.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.170$ Published in JAMA in September.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.165$ Addressing some of it questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:39.165 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.362$ about genetic predisposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:40.362 --> 00:34:42.040 predisposition to COVID infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.476$ they ask that was out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}44.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46.100$ reasonable questions to ask.

 $00:34:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.125$ But are people of color

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:48.125 --> 00:34:48.935 genetically predisposed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.436$ The questions were asked and answered

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:51.436 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.820$ by Gbenga and others that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.800$ social factors like greater proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:55.800 --> 00:34:58.280 of essential jobs like my friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:58.280 --> 00:35:01.094 my lifelong friend who delivers the mail

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:35:01.094 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.560$ continues to deliver the mail everyday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:35:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.980$ Never missed a day of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:35:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.978$ Number of people in the household.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}35{:}08.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.650$ Multi generations in the household,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:35:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.765$ the things that we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:35:12.765 --> 00:35:14.880 predispose you to COVID risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:35:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.568$ Also exert their influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:35:16.568 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.678$ disproportionately on people of color.

 $00:35:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.288$ So just as medical care alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.354$ Has not rat eradicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:29.354 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.418$ racial inequities in health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.710$ Medical care alone will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.826$ result in racial, ethnic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

00:35:35.826 --> 00:35:39.506 ethnic inequities for COVID inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.174$ So I, as I've been reading about vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:42.174 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.353$ rollouts and I'll talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:44.353 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.618$ about some of that data in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.835$ It occurred to me early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:47.835 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.050$ on that this is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.507$ Our bench scientists are going to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.450$ And God level yes, we need that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.018$ Should create a vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.688$ And they get. However.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:36:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.378$ We also need the social scientists

 $00:36:03.378 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.722$ to bring that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00{:}36{:}04.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.420$ Bench science genius to real.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.430$ OK, it's important to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.195$ I'd like to discuss some

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:13.195 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.340$ work that I'm I'm into now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.188$ So early in the pandemic I

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

00:36:17.188 --> 00:36:18.420 speculated that social determinants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}18.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.604$ which I have been reading about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:20.604 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.464$ studying and writing about for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:22.464 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.139$ several years at that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}24.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.345$ Combined. With people of color

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}27.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.909$ having high medical mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}29.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.989$ Which was an area that I hadn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:31.989 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.990$ done much work in specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.222$ Also, the proliferation of

 $00:36:37.222 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.646$ misinformation and disinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.269$ But it was vital to understand perceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.622$ Particularly a future vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

00:36:44.622 --> 00:36:47.833 This is back in the fall or actually this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:47.833 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.451$ summer was going to affect behavior because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.162$ Part of what I'm really interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}53.162 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>} 00{:}36{:}56.708$ is how do perceptions impact behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.890$ To what degree do they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.615$ Because they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844 00:37:00.615 --> 00:37:01.190 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}03.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.616$ Given this high level of mistrust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.520$ given all, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.088$ is an information scientist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

00:37:09.088 --> 00:37:11.440 I must point out that misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:11.506 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.618$ and disinformation or not the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.140$ They aren't synonyms, misinformation,

 $00:37:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.900$ wrong disinformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.662$ deliberately wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:16.662 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.186$ That's the new monik.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}18.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.546$ Just information deliberate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:19.546 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.806$ They are not the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.066$ Some people just don't know or some sources

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:24.066 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.540$ are not sure where as dis information is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:26.540 \dashrightarrow 00:37:28.887$ a deliberate attempt to decieve DDD got it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.066$ got the new mnemonic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:30.066 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.536$ That's the one I use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

00:37:31.540 --> 00:37:33.810 Use whatever one you wish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.110$ So in the early summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}36.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.495$ Largely due to the perceptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

00:37:38.495 --> 00:37:41.393 and beliefs I was hearing upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:41.393 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.949$ my network of people of color.

 $00:37:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.020$ About where this came from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}46.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.451$ Who was responsible?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

00:37:47.451 --> 00:37:50.313 What's going to happen when a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:50.313 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.389$ treatment or a vaccine comes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.070$ Exacerbated by miss and disinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}54.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.995$ Remember back to the glorious

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}55.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.344$ time last summer and last fall

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:58.344 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.794$ and we were hearing all kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}38{:}00.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02.968$ things about all kinds of topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.298$ Some did some misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}38{:}05.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}08.790$ I thought that we might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}38{:}08.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11.410$ a crisis looming because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.804$ Vaccines don't make us safe, vaccinations do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:14.804 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.116$ So we need the bench scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.566$ To help us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:19.566 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.940$ Do their work to develop the vaccines,

 $00:38:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.246$ but that's part of the journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.246$ What we're seeing now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:28.246 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.741$ Are where social determinants may

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:30.741 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.820$ exert their influence on vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.080$ Because vaccines don't make

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.025$ us safe vaccinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.684$ So a key tenant that I wanted to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}38{:}41.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43.622$ Incorporate into this work which turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:43.622 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.690$ into the COVID and race project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:38:45.690 --> 00:38:47.496 The sites up is that intragroup

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}38{:}47.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.350$ differences were important to investigate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:49.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.010$ Largely in I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:38:51.010 --> 00:38:52.680 bring myself to my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.336$ I was when I, when I was trained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.338$ I was trying to mix methods.

00:38:57.340 --> 00:38:59.010 I do mixed methods work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:59.010 \dashrightarrow 00:39:01.158$ but in my qualitative training I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:01.158 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.316$ remember a particular lesson where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}03.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04.961$ says the qualitative researcher goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:04.961 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.656$ in with an empty mind and an open mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.060$ but not an empty head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:39:10.060 --> 00:39:12.100 An open mind, but not an empty head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.660$ and that resonated with me 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}13.660 --> 00{:}39{:}15.160$ I bring myself to my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.180$ How can you not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.200$ As a social worker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.495$ I know that you bring yourself to your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.756$ You don't leave your experiences at the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:39:22.760 --> 00:39:24.748 Or before you get into your analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:24.748 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.459$ or before you start writing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.808$ it comes with you.

 $00:39:27.808 \dashrightarrow 00:39:30.385$ Embrace it so I knew that black

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}30.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32.750$ people of color were different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.326$ Rob and I may be people of color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.598$ but that might be we have similarities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39.210$ but we also have distinctions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.854$ and differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.560$ So intergroup relations were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.685$ important for me to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.962$ And there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}44.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}46.658$ Validated acculturation skills that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}46.658 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}$ have been around for a couple of decades

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:49.993 \dashrightarrow 00:39:51.593$ to measure intergroup difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:39:51.600 --> 00:39:53.910 Because if we're going to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.680$ an intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.924$ or if we're going to target

00:39:56.924 --> 00:39:58.920 a group that's at risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.784$ how do we possibly do that with just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}40{:}01.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04.519$ having all the Asian Americans in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:40:04.519 --> 00:40:08.670 group Latin X in another group, and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:40:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.490$ Blacks in another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:40:11.490 --> 00:40:14.070 So here's an opportunity to apply

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:40:14.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.638$ acculturation scales for me in my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:40:16.640 --> 00:40:16.987 Anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}40{:}16.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.069$ to to test the hypothesis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}40{:}19.069 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}40{:}20.110$ intergroup differences would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:40:20.165 --> 00:40:21.905 help target health information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:40:21.905 --> 00:40:23.645 interventions and address potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:40:23.645 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.350$ racial inequities in vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}40{:}25.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.518$ Again, at the time this was last May,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}40{:}28.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.285$ I was interested in a future vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}40{:}33.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.006$ Using mistrust and perceptions,

 $00:40:35.006 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.409$ we could measure that that's what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:40:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.922$ We ask questions and we try to measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:40:39.922 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.097$ and that's what we do in research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:40:42.100 --> 00:40:47.184 So what I did. Right in July, August,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:40:47.184 --> 00:40:51.241 when I started at MIT, I connected with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:40:51.241 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.650$ a behavioral economist at MIT, Dave ran.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:40:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.260$ Added some of his expertise given

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:40:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.486$ he does online surveys all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}00.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02.750$ time that measure perceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.128$ Again, this notion of interdisciplinary enter

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:06.128 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.208$ discipline work is extremely important if

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:09.208 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.649$ for in most cases I think I've heard of 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}12.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.158$ there's no traditional academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:15.158 --> 00:41:17.039 Center for HealthEquity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.572$ Health Equity, just like the virus doesn't

00:41:19.572 --> 00:41:21.890 care about your political leanings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.180$ Equity work doesn't care about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.012$ what your doctorate isn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.116$ Or where your academic home is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:29.120 --> 00:41:30.746 It's definitionally multidisciplinar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:30.746 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.998$ so we combine and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:33.998 --> 00:41:36.490 run various experiments on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:36.490 --> 00:41:39.650 We've established it, yes intragroup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}39.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41.254$ Racial perceptions do affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:41.254 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.056$ COVID perceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.182$ There's a strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:43.182 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.800$ This is preview now where where our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:45.878 --> 00:41:48.068 work hasn't been published yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:48.070 --> 00:41:50.224 We're submitting a research brief end

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:50.224 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.887$ of this week early next that shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}52.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}54.812$ that there's a strong correlation

 $00:41:54.812 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.808$ where less acculturated participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:56.808 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.698$ have more negative vaccine attitudes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.290$ And this relationship is fully mediated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.248$ by suspicion in the health care system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.615$ and that's something that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:04.615 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.980$ of us should care about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.170$ Those of us that touch patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}42{:}08.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.959$ or do work that eventually does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.776$ On the flip side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}42{:}12.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.046$ less acculturated participants are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:15.046 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.776$ likely also to have had themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:17.776 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.689$ family or friends hospitalised due to Kovit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}42{:}20.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.310$ So it reveals differential exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:24.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.690$ To harm from cobett

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:42:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.014$ OK, so moving forward we are going to

 $00:42:37.014 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.377$ run more experiments to try to refine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}42{:}42.377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}45.650$ finetune where perceptions connect to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:42:45.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.410$ Behavior, intended behavior and outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:42:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.267$ and this is work that holds potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:42:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.930$ I hope long after COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:42:55.930 --> 00:42:57.376 Or long after COVID is contained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:42:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.652$ let me say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:42:58.652 --> 00:43:00.915 Because I think that there's quite an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}43{:}00.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.924$ opportunity for us to get a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:02.924 --> 00:43:05.211 bit more refined and understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:05.211 --> 00:43:06.858 where perceptions influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:06.858 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.054$ behavior which influence outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.960$ So what we're doing now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:10.960 --> 00:43:12.860 we've applied for some funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.000$ internally at MIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.275$ We've structured a project that

00:43:16.275 --> 00:43:18.550 has two central objectives first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.710$ We want to with a larger population measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:21.710 --> 00:43:23.785 hasn't vaccine hesitancy and its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:23.785 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.860$ underlying perceptions and beliefs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:25.928 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.208$ order to develop messaging approaches to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:28.208 --> 00:43:30.798 help address the causes of his hesitancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:30.800 --> 00:43:33.320 it's no longer sufficient to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.275$ say that people of color have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:36.275 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.066$ higher mistrust therefore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.679$ I think that can be used as an excuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.880$ To shut down the efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.672$ To reach people and meet them where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:45.672 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.720$ they are and also understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.750$ influence of structural factors like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:49.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.745$ social determinants which may be

 $00:43:51.745 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.615$ presenting barriers to FactSet vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:53.620 --> 00:43:55.544 This is important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:55.544 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.987$ there's emerging research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.402$ That suggests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:59.402 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.305$ That intention to receive a COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:02.305 --> 00:44:04.441 vaccine may be actually higher among

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:04.441 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.709$ black Americans and other racial groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.828$ specifically white men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:08.830 --> 00:44:10.750 May perhaps some of you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.450$ seen some of this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.834$ This is a publish or it there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:14.834 --> 00:44:17.194 a poll that NPR help sponsor about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:17.194 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.980$ a week and a half ago that found

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.066$ that 73% of adult black Americans

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:22.066 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.160$ intended to get the vaccine when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:24.224 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.029$ it became available again when

 $00:44:26.029 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.834$ it became available to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:27.840 --> 00:44:31.866 Higher than white men who leaned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}44{:}31.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34.550$ conservative or a Republican.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.020$ So it's important to understand these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.570$ inequities and that would be an equity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:37.570 --> 00:44:39.150 and equity that we would want to address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:39.150 --> 00:44:42.960 or at least some of us would want to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.890$ So preliminary data suggests that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:46.890 --> 00:44:48.416 Social determinants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:48.416 --> 00:44:51.468 not just medical mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}44{:}51.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53.238$ May explain racial disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:53.238 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.564$ in vaccination rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:54.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.475$ so we will solicit feedback on efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}44{:}57.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.343$ made to get insight or to get to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:45:01.343 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.870$ vaccination to get further insights.

 $00:45:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.635$ So we're hopeful that these insights will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}45{:}06.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.447$ will will help us address vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:45:09.447 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.027$ disparities and just real quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:45:12.027 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.337$ here vaccination disparities by race

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:45:14.337 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.151$ are or reported all over the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:45:17.160 --> 00:45:19.818 LA had a particularly interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}45{:}19.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.380$ comprehensive view where, as of February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:45:22.380 --> 00:45:24.840 14th you see the dramatic differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}45{:}24.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27.519$ in race according to vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:45:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.420$ Actual vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}45{:}30.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.955$ Somewhat ironically, people of color

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:31.955 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.290$ are vaccinated at lower rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.140$ They are at highest risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.860$ OK, so in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.198$ what I would like to do is posit that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:42.198 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.062$ provider credibility may have a link to.

 $00:45:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.230$ Outcomes of behavior and outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}45{:}48.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.686$ I'm interested instead of or in addition to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:45:51.690 --> 00:45:52.929 measuring medical mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:52.929 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.994$ I'd like to also measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:45:54.994 --> 00:45:56.440 credibility of provider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.168$ team health care system

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:58.168 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.328$ to help define a pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:00.330 --> 00:46:01.626 perhaps more definitively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:01.626 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.354$ from credibility to health

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:03.354 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.650$ behavior to outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}04.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.744$ and that could provide us with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:07.744 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.971$ unique novel opportunity to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}09.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.413$ racial equity and cost of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.479$ I add cost of care here because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:15.479 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.710$ depending on the audience.

00:46:17.710 --> 00:46:19.520 As I alluded to earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.948$ addressing racial inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:20.948 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.852$ Does not necessarily hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:22.852 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.299$ the day when the argument,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.560$ but when you combine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.368$ human side of inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:29.370 --> 00:46:30.732 reducing unnecessary suffering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:30.732 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.548$ and the financial side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}32.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.566$ reducing things like avoidable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:34.566 --> 00:46:36.582 hospital utilization through Ed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}36.582 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}46{:}38.565$ visits an unplanned admissions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:38.565 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.253$ that tends to capture most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:41.253 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.420$ the audiences that I talked to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.460$ either wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.060$ So collecting data among vulnerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.035$ communities understand credibility to

 $00:46:49.035 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.464$ measure its effect on behavior and outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}51.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53.996$ We can then assign a credibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:53.996 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.102$ index to healthcare institutions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:56.102 --> 00:46:58.238 provider teams and start to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:58.238 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.082$ test or continue to test how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}01.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.790$ patient provider relationship may

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.865$ influence health behaviors and outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}04.865 \to 00{:}47{:}06.890$ We could start with vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.565$ but we could translate this to far

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:10.565 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.220$ beyond other health behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.735$ So medical mistrust I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:15.735 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.244$ posit is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}17.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.988$ but pathways are difficult to define.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:47:20.990 --> 00:47:23.160 And it actually points the finger outwards

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:47:23.160 --> 00:47:24.710 towards individuals and communities,

 $00:47:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.724$ suggesting that something

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:25.724 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.090$ needs to be done to fix them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.848$ We need to create an invention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:29.848 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.887$ to get their medical mistrust to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}31.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.495$ reduce their medical mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.516$ So they'll follow behaviors as recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.900$ I submit that a credibility is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.517$ of a inward look at the self to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}40.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.173$ try to figure out what we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}43.173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}45.297$ to be more kredible clinicians in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}45.297 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}47{:}47.292$ terms of their touching patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:47.292 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.332$ as well as health researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:49.332 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.078$ like myself to actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.920$ Understand what that what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.220$ behavior that might influence like

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}55.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.930$ participation in health research.

 $00:47:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.250$ OK, as I conclude here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.714$ There are paths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:03.714 --> 00:48:06.642 There are not pathways specific pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:06.642 --> 00:48:09.888 from perceptions like medical mistrust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.531$ discrimination, everyday racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:11.531 --> 00:48:13.719 perceived racism in healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.988$ Yes, there's a validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:16.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.655$ Measure for perceived

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:18.655 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.875$ racism in healthcare PRM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.410$ That always strikes me as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.340$ we have a measure for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:24.340 --> 00:48:27.268 Which suggests that it might be a thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00{:}48{:}27.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.120$ so we see that perceptions as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00{:}48{:}30.120 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}48{:}32.020$ perceptions get more negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:32.020 --> 00:48:33.890 Health behavior like seeking care,

 $00:48:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.003$ testing and screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00{:}48{:}35.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}36.493$ I check hemoglobin, A1C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:36.493 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.985$ check a cholesterol screens

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:37.985 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.850$ go down and then outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.480$ like patients that go down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00{:}48{:}42.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45.656$ And as and also behavior like drug use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:45.660 --> 00:48:46.848 misuse, cigarette smoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:46.848 --> 00:48:48.828 HIV risk behavior goes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.414$ as does blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:50.414 --> 00:48:51.569 risk inflammation, risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:51.569 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.287$ alcohol dependence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:52.287 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.800$ So we see that there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:54.873 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.769$ associations if not pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:56.770 --> 00:48:57.564 So credibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:57.564 --> 00:49:00.343 I would surmise is a novel way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.726$ a valuable way to assess the

 $00:49:02.726 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.914$ patient provider relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.716$ Because it focuses on the source

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:06.716 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.114$ of the mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.352$ Us and it provides insight to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:49:10.352 --> 00:49:12.453 refining some of these elusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:49:12.453 --> 00:49:15.153 pathways and insights on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:15.153 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.773$ perceptions influence behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.252$ With that, I'll pause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:49:18.252 --> 00:49:21.459 I'm going to keep this up that adipic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.020$ the integration of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.190$ various structural issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.140$ and I welcome your questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:49:26.140 --> 00:49:27.310 comments and rebuttals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

00:49:29.160 --> 00:49:31.302 The only rebuttal that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

00:49:31.302 --> 00:49:33.422 for you Doctor Centeio is that

00:49:33.422 --> 00:49:35.276 we want you again and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.956$ and again, and again and again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00{:}49{:}37.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40.074$ This has been so extraordinary, and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:40.074 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.188$ know there's going to be many questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

00:49:42.190 --> 00:49:45.210 I saw things popping up in the in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.191$ but I am so grateful and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:47.191 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.130$ have touch on so many things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.546$ I have questions but I I'll keep them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

00:49:51.550 --> 00:49:52.570 Let's start with Belinda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.562$ who had a couple of really hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00{:}49{:}54.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55.777$ hitting wonderful questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.080$ Belinda

NOTE Confidence: 0.84294593

 $00:49:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.138$ go for it. Yes, this was wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.84294593

 $00{:}49{:}58.138 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}00.308$ and I'm sorry it wasn't my camera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84294593

 $00:50:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.260$ My grandchildren are here this week. But we'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8880781

 $00:50:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.468$ like to see him.

00:50:07.040 --> 00:50:10.750 There's a play. But I have a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}10.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.458$ about so as you were talking, Anne Anne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:13.458 --> 00:50:15.103 I've been doing some research

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:15.103 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.589$ and so forth for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.795$ I believe gentrification is a new form

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:18.795 --> 00:50:21.038 of redlining, if that makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:21.038 --> 00:50:23.810 Because once a community is cleaned out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}23.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.295$ you can't afford to go back into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.148$ For example, is my sons God brother bought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.432$ You know you St area in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:31.432 --> 00:50:34.137 60s and DC it got burned down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.597$ And so David bought a brownstone for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:36.597 --> 00:50:39.130 say 250 for DC goes for \$2,000,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:39.130 --> 00:50:41.969 or right about now is the tax rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.595$ So there's no way so the community

 $00:50:44.595 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.729$ has totally changed and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}46.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49.377$ part of that when we talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:49.377 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.699$ social factors are they also still?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.663$ Attached to racism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:52.663 --> 00:50:54.589 No, because it's a social construct

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:54.589 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.495$ that where we struggle struggle with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:56.495 --> 00:50:58.400 some things for people of color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:00.330 --> 00:51:01.666 Certainly yes, perceptions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}01.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.670$ part of of social determinants and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:03.729 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.477$ perceptions do influence behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.280$ Perceptions of maltreatment of discrimination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}07.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.530$ that I'm not being treated equally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.370$ which is a foundational sentiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}11.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14.673$ So that's one thing you don't have to wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.256$ You know this more than I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}17.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19.100$ I actually was reading about

 $00{:}51{:}19.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20.940$ babies and water babies know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.876$ And what do we have to teach them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:23.880 --> 00:51:26.058 And there's an innate sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:26.058 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.930$ justice that were born with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.154$ so I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:29.154 --> 00:51:31.468 Even if you don't know all about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:31.468 --> 00:51:34.261 Tuskegee or you don't know all about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:34.261 --> 00:51:36.880 Doctor Marion Sims and what he did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:36.880 --> 00:51:38.933 with Anna Kahran other slave girls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:38.933 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.054$ you have a sense for any quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:41.054 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.623$ and part of why those kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:43.623 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.139$ historical anecdotes are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}45.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47.375$ present is because the bias and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:47.375 --> 00:51:48.807 injustice is still present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83845884

 $00:51:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.306$ I'm trying to keep my answers short here.

 $00:51:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.508$ You are doing great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953

 $00{:}51{:}56.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59.185$ We really I I could listen to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953

 $00{:}51{:}59.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01.425$ for hours and I know that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953

 $00:52:01.425 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.839$ other people who have questions so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953

 $00:52:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.127$ Walter, I saw you active on the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953

00:52:07.130 --> 00:52:08.770 Amanda Detmer, Jose Pious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953

 $00:52:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.234$ Lilia Benoit, in no particular order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953

 $00:52:11.234 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.289$ But let's go for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:17.580 --> 00:52:19.215 OK, well they're being shy

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:19.215 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.523$ while they're being shy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.498$ I have a couple of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:22.500 --> 00:52:24.455 First of all, I loved, loved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:24.455 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.345$ loved your shout out to qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:26.345 --> 00:52:28.727 methods and to the importance of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.844$ and I think that you said something you said

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:31.844 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.284$ a couple I took a lot of notes by the way,

 $00:52:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.914$ but you said this is a bumper sticker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.560$ Vaccines don't make us safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.516$ Vaccinations do and that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:41.520 --> 00:52:43.788 you know, warp, speed it ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:43.788 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.070$ to this blessing of a vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:46.070 --> 00:52:48.646 But if we don't get it right through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.613$ knowing what hesitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:49.613 --> 00:52:50.897 and what people think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.832$ and we're going to find out

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:52.832 --> 00:52:53.798 through qualitative methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.726$ so, so thank you for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:55.730 --> 00:52:56.696 And and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00{:}52{:}56.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58.306$ maybe a inner baseball questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.314$ but you mentioned the group based

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:53:00.314 --> 00:53:02.170 medical mistrust scale in the PRM,

 $00:53:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.060$ the perceived racism and medical care

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00{:}53{:}04.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.027$ are those actual scales where their

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:53:06.027 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.965$ concepts because their scales on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:53:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.610$ Yeah, they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.510$ validated scales. Somehow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

00:53:09.510 --> 00:53:12.700 I have a collection in my in my notes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.410$ these scales that measure perceptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.420$ and these are validated scales much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.760$ like the acculturation skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

00:53:17.760 --> 00:53:21.989 I can email them to you, you wonder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.300$ Yeah, and and when I when I heard the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

00:53:24.358 --> 00:53:26.668 term warpspeed about vaccine development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.578$ I thought that's that's great cool

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

00:53:28.578 --> 00:53:31.232 warp speed that I thought oh I bet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:31.232 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.212$ social scientists weren't in the room

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:33.277 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.202$ when you when they met like that

 $00:53:35.202 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.614$ might not be good for all folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00{:}53{:}37.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40.849$ The fact that it's rushed right out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.250$ We need social too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85042155

 $00:53:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.220$ Absolutely. And you know we have a a social

NOTE Confidence: 0.85042155

 $00:53:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.870$ question from Justin Justin. Go for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00{:}53{:}48.860 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}53{:}50.460$ Thank you for that a mazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00:53:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.420$ talk you mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00:53:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.050$ Something about immigrants saying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00{:}53{:}53.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55.260$ as they stay in America over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00{:}53{:}55.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57.180$ it seems like their health outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00:53:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.460$ start to resemble individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00{:}53{:}58.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00.700$ I've been in America for who look

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00{:}54{:}00.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02.940$ like them for a long period time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00:54:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.860$ Can you speak more on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.907$ Yeah, I can talk about how when

00:54:08.907 --> 00:54:10.080 Caribbean immigrants specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.380$ this is when I just have the top of my head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}14.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16.697$ I'm doing some work with the OR

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}16.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.646$ proposing to work with the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}19.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.534$ Cancer Institute about prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:21.534 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.770$ cancer treatment and you find that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.666$ And this is this is found fairly consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:26.666 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.879$ in the literature that the rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}29.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.650$ prostate cancer for Caribbean immigrant men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.318$ 1st generation are lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}36.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39.069$ than subsequent generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.635$ So second generation immigrant health

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:54:40.635 --> 00:54:42.550 status is much worse than first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.762$ and it's like the Mexican or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:54:44.762 --> 00:54:46.026 Latin American paradox, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:46.026 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.238$ So you get here and then I'll

 $00:54:48.238 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.127$ get to why in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}50.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.658$ The short answer is we don't really know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.510$ but there are a couple of important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.706$ Sort of theories or or guesses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.655$ let's say so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:54:59.655 --> 00:55:02.769 Part of it is that to get here you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:02.769 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.660$ have to have a certain health status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.012$ So there's this notion that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:08.012 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.978$ people that are predisposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:09.978 --> 00:55:12.246 illness never make it to the shores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.514$ never make it here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:13.514 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.094$ so we're not getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}15.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16.639$ representative immigrant population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.568$ We're getting the people that make it here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:19.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.886$ and that's not representative,

 $00:55:20.886 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.860$ so that's part of an explicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}22.917 --> 00{:}55{:}23.959 \text{ explanatory factor}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.156$ But what one of my wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:26.156 --> 00:55:27.738 treasured mentors, James Jackson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:27.738 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.010$ who passed recently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:29.010 --> 00:55:31.962 When I was talking to him about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:31.962 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.005$ of these equity issues and he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:35.005 --> 00:55:38.050 finding that when when people got here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40.155$ their health status actually second

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:40.155 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.979$ generation was was lesser than what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.213$ Why do you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:44.213 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.857$ What could that be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.204$ We don't know yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:47.204 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.697$ but what he did cause me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}49.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}52.085$ think about what we discussed is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:52.085 --> 00:55:54.903 that the impact of what's called

 $00:55:54.903 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.955$ weathering in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}56.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.110$ The repeated sort of burdens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:59.110 --> 00:56:00.858 Trauma overtime actually wears

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:00.858 --> 00:56:03.043 on your your biological systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:03.050 --> 00:56:04.370 your immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.450$ your ability to sort of deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:07.541 --> 00:56:10.085 the the micro traumas of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}10.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}13.161$ through the day or viewing the OR

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:13.161 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.753$ actually the trial of the officer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}15.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18.370$ who kneeled on George Floyd's neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.010$ and murdered him like just that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.824$ Just think about what I was talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:23.824 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.074$ to a colleague last summer who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}27.074 \to 00{:}56{:}29.524$ appropriately aghast at that imagery

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:29.524 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.154$ that was flashed again and again and again.

00:56:33.160 --> 00:56:34.700 On our screens and and it happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.730$ to be a woman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.319$ a white woman who told me like

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:37.319 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.780$ that so terrible that's so true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.407$ And I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:39.407 --> 00:56:41.388 how do you think it makes me feel as

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:41.388 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.228$ the person who's been in the back of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:43.285 --> 00:56:45.293 a police car and spent the night in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:45.293 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.216$ jail because of over aggressive policing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:47.216 --> 00:56:49.830 So if you're traumatized or outraged by that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.410$ what might that do to people

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.130$ that look like me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.614$ Charter home articulation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}55.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57.846$ education and having a license and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:57.846 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.708$ registration isn't a protective factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:59.710 --> 00:57:01.936 I'm sorry under no no Charles.

 $00:57:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.059$ Let let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00:57:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.412$ let me do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

00:57:04.412 --> 00:57:07.519 What we're going to do in in Rosemary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00:57:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.496$ We're gonna keep recording for a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00:57:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.846$ We're going to close now formally

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00{:}57{:}12.846 \to 00{:}57{:}14.959$ after Robla Brill's idea to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00.57:14.960 \longrightarrow 00.57:17.361$ But if you are so kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

00:57:17.361 --> 00:57:19.050 Charles to stick around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00:57:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.556$ I know that some of us would

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00:57:21.556 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.939$ love to stay for the after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

00:57:23.940 --> 00:57:26.068 Party but I wanna I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00:57:26.068 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.020$ be mindful of peoples time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00:57:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.720$ This has been absolutely extraordinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.819103

 $00:57:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.130$ and I want to thank Rob Labrie.