WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:58:26" NOTE recognizability:0.866

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.680 Let's go. And we're being recorded, Sir.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:00:03.680 --> 00:00:07.090 Thank you, Dana. Thank you all for

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:07.090 \dashrightarrow 00:00:10.640$ joining us at this Friday noon time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:00:10.640 --> 00:00:15.236 We recognize some some repeat participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.870$ which is heart warming and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.140$ wonderful and some new faces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.240$ which is also lovely. Today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.120$ we're presenting as part of the Yes series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:00:25.120 --> 00:00:27.320 Yes with an exclamation point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.220$ which is a Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:00:29.220 --> 00:00:31.595 the Yale Medical Educator Series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.288$ And this is a brainchild of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:34.288 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.424$ partner in crime, Dana and I,

 $00:00:36.424 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.720$ and this is this is the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.876$ year that we have done it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.480$ So we are really eager to know what works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.440$ what you want more of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.680$ what you want less of as we optimize it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.440$ The Yes series is loosely

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.200$ divided into 3 topical areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.946$ One is preclinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:54.946 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.932$ one is clinical bedside and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:57.932 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.576$ other is more scholarly, academic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:00:59.576 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.600$ But all of them have two things in common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.640$ One, they're meant to be very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:01:04.640 --> 00:01:07.760 very practical, very, very practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.045$ And what's the second thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:09.045 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.073$ they have in common?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:01:10.080 --> 00:01:10.971 I don't know.

00:01:10.971 --> 00:01:11.268 They're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:11.268 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.440$ they're fun and we have wonderful speakers

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.582$ and today is certainly no exception

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:01:15.582 --> 00:01:17.637 because backed by popular demand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:01:17.640 --> 00:01:18.296 Dana Dunn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:01:18.296 --> 00:01:20.920 please tell us who is our speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.120$ Yes, happy to see everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.028$ backed by popular demand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:25.028 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.120$ I'm so excited to see on screen my

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00{:}01{:}29.120 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.920$ friend and expert educator Katie

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00{:}01{:}31.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.778$ Gillison who was here after going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00{:}01{:}34.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.199$ Med school at University of Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:01:37.200 --> 00:01:39.984 She was here as a Med PEDs resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:39.984 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.958$ and then did a two year general

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:43.958 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.502$ medicine fellowship with Donna

 $00:01:45.502 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.679$ Windish during which time she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00{:}01{:}47.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.274$ enrolled in the Master's program

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.104$ at the NOW Center for Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:01:51.104 --> 00:01:53.254 Education and got her master's and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:53.254 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.056$ is real expert and shooting Uprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:56.056 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.037$ star in the world of assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:01:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.695$ We were happy for her but sad for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:02:01.695 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.226$ when she got scooted away when she

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00{:}02{:}04.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.884$ got the the position as the inaugural

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:02:06.884 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.551$ program director for a new Meds PEDs

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00{:}02{:}09.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.560$ program that has been approved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:02:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.555$ that she's going to head up as

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:02:13.555 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.600$ the new program director at Emory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:02:15.600 --> 00:02:16.896 But thanks to Zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:02:16.896 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.516$ it's like she never left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00{:}02{:}18.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.494$ except she's got kind of a plant

 $00:02:20.494 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.425$ that looks like it thrives at

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:02:22.425 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.120$ warmer climbs in the background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:02:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.800$ So she's going to talk to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:02:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.200$ about bias and assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

00:02:27.200 --> 00:02:27.712 So Katie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3606443475

 $00:02:27.712 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.480$ take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

00:02:29.360 --> 00:02:32.185 Thanks Dana. It's really exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:02:32.185 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.432$ to see some familiar faces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:02:35.432 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.760$ some familiar names.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00{:}02{:}37.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.552$ I feel like I've never really left

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

00:02:39.552 --> 00:02:40.878 Yale because I'm still involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:02:40.878 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.635$ in a number of things at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:02:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.080$ I still edit the Yale office based medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

00:02:46.080 --> 00:02:48.152 I'm excited to talk with you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:02:48.152 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.554$ about a a factor of assessment that

 $00:02:50.554 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.525$ I think has been getting a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

00:02:53.525 --> 00:02:55.743 attention in recent years and I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:02:55.743 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.458$ be excited to hear perspectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:02:57.458 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.600$ and thoughts as we go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:02:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.118$ I did this session last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.640$ but I'm really open to feedback and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.584$ you know helping this session to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:05.584 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.960$ grow and change as as time goes on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00{:}03{:}07.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.165$ Mostly today we're going to be sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

00:03:10.165 --> 00:03:12.655 of just having some thought experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00{:}03{:}12.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.235$ experiments and just being a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:15.235 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.240$ more actively conscious of the bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.316$ that exists in the assessment space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

00:03:19.320 --> 00:03:21.606 And my hope is that I can offer some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

00:03:21.606 --> 00:03:23.869 new insights and some new food for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:23.869 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.218$ thought for those of you who work

00:03:26.218 --> 00:03:29.412 with learners or who are in charge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:29.412 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.160$ assessment in whatever space you work in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.744$ So one of the things I'll just alert

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:34.744 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.359$ you to just to be aware of is later

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00{:}03{:}37.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.271$ in the session I'm going to be asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:39.271 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.032$ you to pull up an evaluation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:41.032 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.680$ you've done in the recent past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.198$ So that could be in Med,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.035$ hub or whatever interface that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:46.035 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.560$ you currently use as an educator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.443$ But ideally it should be a written

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00:03:50.443 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.269$ assessment of a learner that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93390645875

 $00{:}03{:}52.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.235$ work with directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

 $00:03:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.680$ So let's start with a bit of a Riddle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

 $00:03:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.965$ just to start to start

 $00:04:00.965 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.793$ thinking about these themes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

 $00{:}04{:}02.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.002$ So the Riddle is a father and son are in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

 $00{:}04{:}06.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08.599$ horrible car crash that kills the father.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

 $00:04:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.784$ The son is rushed to the hospital and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

 $00:04:10.784 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.397$ just as he's about to go under the knife,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

00:04:13.400 --> 00:04:16.280 the surgeon says I can't operate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

 $00:04:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.360$ This boy is my son.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

00:04:18.360 --> 00:04:22.400 Explain So you can pop in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9587989

00:04:22.400 --> 00:04:24.638 Why? How? How could this be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:04:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.798$ Joseph has seen this Riddle before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}04{:}38.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.128$ OK, yes, we I think this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:04:41.128 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.239$ common one that we see come up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:04:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.268$ When they first did a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:04:45.268 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.360$ on this in college students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:04:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.880$ only 14% of the students guessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:04:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.279$ that the surgeon was the mom.

 $00:04:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.056$ Other guesses that came up was

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:04:54.056 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.178$ that the father who died in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}04{:}56.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.918$ car crash was actually a priest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:04:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.600$ or maybe it was a same sex couple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:04:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.958$ There was a number of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.940$ but very few people statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

00:05:03.940 --> 00:05:05.728 speaking actually guessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:05.728 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.759$ that the surgeon was a woman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.536$ which I think just exemplifies just

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}05{:}12.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.480$ straightforward bias that often

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.489$ for myself as a woman in medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}05{:}17.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.720$ have encountered many times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}05{:}18.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.424$ I've often been assumed to be a resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}05{:}21.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.035$ or often been assumed to be a nurse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.240$ even after I introduced

 $00:05:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.440$ myself as a physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.079$ So this is something that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

00:05:29.079 --> 00:05:31.261 in our everyday practice today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:31.261 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.284$ For the session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:32.284 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.773$ I would like to just talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

00:05:34.773 --> 00:05:37.356 the impact of bias on learners and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:37.356 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.586$ also systems and how that impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:39.586 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.716$ our learners in the long term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}05{:}41.720 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}05{:}43.188$ Identify some different sources

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:05:43.188 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.801$ and types of bias that we often

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}05{:}45.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.516$ see in the learning space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

00:05:47.520 --> 00:05:48.381 And I want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

00:05:48.381 --> 00:05:50.390 I hope and and expect that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

00:05:50.461 --> 00:05:52.423 session will allow you to reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

00:05:52.423 --> 00:05:54.964 a little bit on your own practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}05{:}54.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.286$ of assessing learners and how bias

 $00:05:57.286 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.240$ could be entering into that practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.800$ So what is unconscious bias?

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.076$ It is our natural people preferences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.948$ As humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:08.948 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.552$ our brains are hard wired to

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:11.552 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.279$ categorize and group things together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.600$ It is an unconscious process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

00:06:16.600 --> 00:06:18.298 It just is an adaptive thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:18.298 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.028$ that our brain does because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:20.028 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.115$ have to process so many bits of

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}06{:}22.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.359$ information throughout the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

00:06:23.360 --> 00:06:24.677 Sights, sounds, smells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}06{:}24.677 --> 00{:}06{:}25.116 \ thoughts,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:25.116 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.440$ all the things that we combine together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.995$ Our brain is hard wired to bucket

 $00:06:30.995 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.840$ things together into categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}06{:}32.840 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.525$ and those categories can often

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:35.525 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.673$ introduce things of bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.205$ It is sort of our own predisposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:40.205 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.835$ towards certain things that are familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.480$ that that our brain naturally does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.225$ And this can impact us a lot and impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:49.225 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.797$ us as clinicians if we practice in

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}06{:}51.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.159$ taking care of patients and it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:54.159 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.959$ impact our perception of learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.472$ It can impact the way we

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00{:}06{:}57.472 --> 00{:}06{:}58.480$ think of their knowledge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.576$ their ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920305605

 $00:06:59.576 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.316$ their readiness for independent practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.157$ I think this can be a hard thing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:07.157 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.028$ to embrace because it it forces us to

 $00:07:11.028 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.756$ actually recognize that there are things

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:13.756 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.360$ that we naturally do that are against

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:16.431 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.119$ what we think is perhaps morally right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.466$ And I think most of us have the assumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00{:}07{:}21.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.030$ of ourselves that I'm fair, I'm unbiased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

00:07:24.030 --> 00:07:27.005 I really do try to treat all my trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:27.005 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.000$ the same way, if at all possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00{:}07{:}31.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.460$ But part of that is that our brain sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:34.542 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.916$ protects itself from the reality of bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.480$ You know, what we think societally and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.037$ we think personally is morally correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.812$ Our brain kind of refuses to recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00{:}07{:}45.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.516$ that belief that conflicts with what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:48.516 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.012$ think is good or right and society also

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:52.012 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.960$ what society thinks is good or right.

00:07:55.960 --> 00:07:57.480 But like I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

00:07:57.480 --> 00:07:59.932 biases are just evolutionary

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:07:59.932 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.158$ adaptive behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.716$ We make many, many conscious and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:03.716 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.994$ unconscious decisions daily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.760$ I think for those of us who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.295$ clinicians or those those of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:08.295 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.600$ working in the learning space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.240$ we are constantly making decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.118$ under a lot of time pressures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00{:}08{:}14.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.832$ under a lot of informational pressures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:16.832 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.704$ cognitive burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

00:08:17.704 --> 00:08:20.781 And so our brain can only functionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:20.781 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.917$ deal with so much information at one time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.876$ And so we use these categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.073$ these systems of thinking to allow

 $00:08:29.073 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.638$ us to make cognitive leaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.280$ And in those cognitive leaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.639$ bias can often enter into those decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.178$ So for those of you who are interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:37.178 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.959$ or have learned about clinical reasoning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.048$ one of the things we talk a lot about

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

00:08:42.048 --> 00:08:44.360 in clinical reasoning is system one

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00{:}08{:}44.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.360$ and system two thought processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

00:08:46.360 --> 00:08:49.790 So system one is our fast intuitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

00:08:49.790 --> 00:08:52.575 emotional leaps of cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:52.575 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.642$ thought where a system conscious and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:08:56.642 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.147$ effortful thinking or think through

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

00:08:59.147 --> 00:09:01.120 things step by step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:09:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.620$ And those system one thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:09:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.453$ processes can be really where a

00:09:04.453 --> 00:09:06.097 lot of biases enter into clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00{:}09{:}06.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.995$ reasoning and the same can be true

NOTE Confidence: 0.911719884615385

 $00:09:07.995 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.519$ in the assessment space as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.912$ There are a lot of different ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:13.912 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.037$ bias can manifest in learning spaces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.920$ So affinity bias is one that comes up a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.566$ I as a, you know, Medpedes program

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:21.566 \dashrightarrow 00:09:23.830$ director like if I know I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:23.886 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.776$ have a student who's interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

00:09:25.776 --> 00:09:27.680 Medpedes working with me on service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00{:}09{:}27.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.135$ I'm immediately going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:29.135 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.590$ an affinity bias towards them

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:30.648 \dashrightarrow 00:09:32.280$ because I feel connected to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.550$ I feel like I want them to join into my

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

00:09:34.610 --> 00:09:38.236 tribe, as my program director calls it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.064$ perception bias.

 $00:09:40.064 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.800$ So stereotyping individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.355$ you know categorizing them in a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:45.355 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.100$ that doesn't necessarily align with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.075$ themselves as an individual person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.425$ Halo effects is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:52.425 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.480$ we see a lot in assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.318$ So just an underlying belief that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00{:}09{:}57.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.785$ somebody's has good traits and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:09:58.785 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.570$ therefore I like them and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:10:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.142$ likability is going to affect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:10:02.142 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.426$ way that I assess them rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:10:04.426 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.870$ objectively thinking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00{:}10{:}05.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.960$ behaviors that I saw in the work space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:10:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.848$ Or confirmation bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

00:10:09.848 --> 00:10:11.920 which I think for those of us,

 $00:10:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.448$ our clinicians see a lot where we kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

00:10:14.448 --> 00:10:16.962 of want to confirm our pre-existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

00:10:16.962 --> 00:10:19.227 notions about things and aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:10:19.227 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.675$ really able to see outside of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:10:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.504$ These are just some of the biases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:10:24.504 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.550$ can enter into the assessment space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00{:}10{:}26.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.560$ I'll talk about a couple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00:10:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.315$ examples to sort of cement things

NOTE Confidence: 0.853101294285714

 $00{:}10{:}30.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.595$ down in just a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:10:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.784$ The other things to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00{:}10{:}35.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.000$ think about in the bias spaces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:10:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.520$ ways that they manifest systemically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:10:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.276$ So learning environments have various cues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:10:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.076$ I think historically in medicine we

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:10:48.076 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.940$ have recruited certain subpopulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:10:50.013 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.743$ of people into our academic spaces and

 $00:10:52.743 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.694$ have become accustomed and communicating

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:10:54.694 --> 00:10:57.200 in certain types of way or teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:10:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.456$ in certain types of ways and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:10:59.456 --> 00:11:01.795 might not be applicable to all types

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:01.795 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.480$ of backgrounds that we encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.928$ Now that we are a little bit more diverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:05.928 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.500$ in our recruitment and thoughtful about

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.730$ our recruitment of individuals into

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:11:10.796 --> 00:11:13.396 medicine and other healthcare specialties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00{:}11{:}13.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.250$ we can also bake assessment the bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:11:17.250 --> 00:11:18.975 into our actual assessments which

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:11:18.975 --> 00:11:21.060 I'll show some examples of from

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00{:}11{:}21.060 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.034$ the literature in just a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.080$ And then when we do assessments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.588$ especially when we're doing

00:11:26.588 --> 00:11:27.719 competency based assessments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.615$ so assessing you know someone's

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:11:29.615 --> 00:11:32.016 ability to take care of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:32.016 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.196$ or to make complex decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.594$ we often bring ourselves to the table

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:36.594 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.993$ when we make those assessments as

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:38.993 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.585$ as supervisors or as assessors in

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:11:41.585 --> 00:11:43.799 clinical workspaces in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.550$ we bring our own preconceptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:11:45.550 --> 00:11:46.600 of what's right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.056$ what's wrong and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:48.056 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.876$ impose that on our learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.670$ And that's something that increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:11:51.670 --> 00:11:53.928 I've gotten interested in as somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:11:53.928 --> 00:11:55.983 who does research on entrustable

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:55.983 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.627$ professional activities where trust

 $00:11:57.689 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.239$ is the measure of assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:11:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.293$ So how much bias enters into that

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:12:03.293 --> 00:12:06.000 decision of trusting someone?

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.016$ So let's let's talk about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:12:08.016 --> 00:12:09.840 specific examples of bias in

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.520$ assessment in medical education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:12:11.520 --> 00:12:13.680 I think these are really highlights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.745$ There's a ton of literature out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00{:}12{:}15.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.589$ on bias that is very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:17.589 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.689$ and helps to reveal some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:19.756 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.876$ different ways that things manifest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00{:}12{:}21.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.784$ But I picked out a couple studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00{:}12{:}23.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.598$ that I thought revealed different

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00{:}12{:}25.598 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.592$ aspects of bias that I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:28.592 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.959$ were interesting and enlightening.

 $00:12:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.460$ So here's an example of how Halo

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.760$ effect can really change the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.560$ that we think about learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.240$ So this is a really well done study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.240$ It was published in academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.840$ medicine just last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.717$ It was done in family medicine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:46.717 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.639$ the investigators created 2 videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.660$ One was with a female standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.420$ resident and one was with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00{:}12{:}55.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56.800$ male standardized resident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:12:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.760$ The two videos were exactly the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:12:58.760 --> 00:13:01.568 They follow the exact same script

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:01.568 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.920$ and for each of those two videos

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.580$ they labeled each one as either

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.279$ above or below average for male and

00:13:10.279 --> 00:13:12.277 above and below average for female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00{:}13{:}12.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14.680$ They were the exact same video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.552$ They were just labeled as above

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:16.552 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.800$ average or below average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.120$ So they had faculty look at these videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:13:21.120 --> 00:13:22.572 They said, aha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:22.572 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.476$ this is a above average trainee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.594$ We want you to assess them on this form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.080$ So they had 70 faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.636$ Observers were randomized to one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:32.636 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.180$ the four videos, Above average male,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.799$ below average male, above average female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:13:37.799 --> 00:13:39.158 below average female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.160$ And they analyze the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:13:41.160 --> 00:13:44.240 And what they found was that just

00:13:44.240 --> 00:13:47.399 by putting the words below average

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.800$ on any of the videos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.220$ they were rated significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

00:13:50.220 --> 00:13:51.995 lower compared to above average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.575$ regardless of gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833109408333334

 $00:13:53.575 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.675$ So what that told

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00{:}13{:}55.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.520$ what the investigators concluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:13:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.658$ was that just one word above or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00{:}14{:}00.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02.940$ below was associated with systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.920$ differences in assessment score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.024$ And you might ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:06.024 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.680$ why is this applicable to my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

00:14:07.744 --> 00:14:09.919 learners and my learning environment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.152$ I think this is a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:12.152 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.640$ good lesson on labels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.000$ So using labels on learners,

 $00:14:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.550$ like a struggling learner or somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00{:}14{:}18.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.649$ who's stellar can actually impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:20.649 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.917$ the way that you feel about them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.480$ irregardless of other factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.820$ So labels become a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.520$ powerful factor in assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

00:14:29.520 --> 00:14:31.326 So something to really think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359456425

 $00:14:31.326 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.040$ when you're working with learners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:14:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.816$ Let's talk about gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:14:36.816 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.266$ There's actually a lot of studies on

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}14{:}39.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41.198$ the effect of gender on assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:14:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.585$ This was a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:14:42.585 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.794$ one and robust in the numbers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:14:44.794 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.318$ individuals that they analyzed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:14:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.896$ So they looked at emergency medicine

 $00:14:49.896 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.806$ residents across five programs and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:14:54.121 --> 00:14:55.988 and they were interested in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:14:55.988 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.360$ differences in narrative comments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:14:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.120$ comments based on gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:14:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.770$ So this was like 10,000 comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.995$ that they were looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.265$ When they analyzed these based

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:15:06.265 --> 00:15:08.077 on their narrative responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.607$ they found that men were more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}15{:}10.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.699$ to receive specific feedback feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:12.699 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.632$ based on their competence and to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:15.632 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.120$ rated above expected performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}15{:}18.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.280$ And this was irregardless of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.440$ faculty gender of the assessor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.256$ For women,

 $00:15:23.256 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.112$ they were more likely to get comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:15:26.112 --> 00:15:29.044 about low skill levels and get get

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:29.044 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.695$ more comments about their quote UN

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:31.695 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.924$ quote confidence versus men who often

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:33.924 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.800$ when they were at a low skill level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.475$ they received comments that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:39.475 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.150$ associated with some actionable items

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:42.234 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.760$ that they could actually work on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:15:44.760 --> 00:15:45.208 Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:15:45.208 --> 00:15:47.000 the assessor gender actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.240$ had an impact as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:15:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.132$ so women faculty assessors were more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}15{:}53.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.012$ to rate residents as performing below level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}15{:}57.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01.520$ So women were stricter graders than men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.047$ Here's a schematic of their findings from

 $00:16:04.047 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.796$ this JAMA article that was published in 2022.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}16{:}06.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.008$ So you can see some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}16{:}09.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11.434$ things that favored men were

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:16:11.434 --> 00:16:13.156 comments about professionalism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:16:13.160 --> 00:16:14.729 critical thinking, trustworthiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:14.729 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.867$ whereas women were more associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:17.867 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.079$ comments about confidence and care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:16:20.080 --> 00:16:21.232 planning for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:16:21.232 --> 00:16:23.960 or adaptability, excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.315$ So there were really substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:26.315 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.151$ differences in the assessment language that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:29.151 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.599$ was used in describing these learners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.120$ and that can really have an impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.468$ on training. It can have impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:36.468 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.910$ The authors commented on things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}16{:}38.988 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}16{:}41.616$ eligibility for becoming a chief

00:16:41.616 --> 00:16:43.664 resident and other opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:43.664 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.200$ that came up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.447$ This is a different study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:16:47.447 --> 00:16:49.691 done in internal medicine for medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:49.691 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.397$ students that was published in Jgym.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.944$ They used a process called natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:54.944 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.188$ language processing where they looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:16:57.188 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.497$ at narrative comments together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}16{:}59.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.759$ they looked on two different axes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.610$ women and men and honors grades

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.035$ and past grades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.491$ And they found that women who received

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}17{:}09.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.616$ honors grades received words like wonderful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:17:13.616 --> 00:17:14.950 empathetic, delightful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.800$ whereas men got words more like relevant,

 $00:17:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.160$ active, certain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.834$ So those types of things came up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}17{:}23.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.224$ So 62% of words that differ by gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}17{:}28.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.744$ represented personal attributes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:30.744 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.432$ then they also looked at URM students

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

00:17:33.432 --> 00:17:35.947 versus non URM and the honors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:35.947 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.590$ pass schematic as well and personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.559$ attribute words were more common for URM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}17{:}41.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.920$ So things like lovely, kind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.220$ those types of things whereas

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00{:}17{:}46.220 {\: \hbox{\scriptsize -->}}\ 00{:}17{:}48.220$ competency based language, able,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.840 \text{ smart},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.560$ superb were more common with non

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.800$ URM students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.328$ So language differs quite a lot between

NOTE Confidence: 0.889868

 $00:17:57.328 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.800$ different categories of individual.

 $00:17:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.680$ So that's where bias gets introduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}18{:}04.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.448$ and the last thing I will talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:18:07.448 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.950$ is how bias can be folded into systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:18:10.950 --> 00:18:13.944 or programmatic aspects that can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:18:13.944 --> 00:18:16.599 impact not only learners individually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}18{:}16.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.078$ but it can impact their careers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:18:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.864$ So this is a really fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}18{:}21.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.088$ perspective piece that was put

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:18:24.088 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.820$ out by UCSF in 2018 and they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:18:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.190$ really curious about why they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:18:29.271 --> 00:18:31.869 seeing their URM students were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:18:31.869 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.405$ achieving awards at the same rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}18{:}34.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36.960$ as some of their non URM students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}18{:}36.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.864$ So they took it upon themselves to

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:18:38.864 --> 00:18:40.491 do almost a quality improvement

00:18:40.491 --> 00:18:42.729 study to understand a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:18:42.729 --> 00:18:44.837 more about why that was the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:18:44.840 --> 00:18:47.720 Why did they observe these differences?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:18:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.224$ So they looked at 4 cohorts of students

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:18:51.224 --> 00:18:54.169 at their institution and they found

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:18:54.169 --> 00:18:56.820 that if they looked over the course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:18:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.039$ all of the training from pre clerkship

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:18:59.039 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.836$ all the way to the end of training

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:01.836 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.290$ that there were differences in grading

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.440$ that consistently favored non URM students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.200$ And these differences were

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.520$ absolutely been minuscule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.560$ We're talking about on an average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.920$ you know on a one to five point scale an

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:16.009 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.858$ average score difference of 4.7 for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:19.858 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.200$ non URM and 4.4 for the URM students,

 $00:19:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.079$ so .3 difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:24.079 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.130$ I think any of us who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:19:26.202 --> 00:19:27.838 in an educational space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.312$ who fill out these types of forms would

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:30.312 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.763$ agree that a difference of .3 on a one

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:32.763 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.754$ to five scale means absolutely nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:34.754 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.088$ for the ability for that individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:37.088 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.724$ to care for patients and their ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}19{:}39.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.278$ to become a physician or a clinician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.635$ But despite the size being

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:44.635 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.519$ small of those differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.220$ the actual effect of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:19:49.220 --> 00:19:50.840 differences were huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.120$ So because of grading policies at the school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:19:54.120 --> 00:19:55.710 having a quota of certain number

 $00:19:55.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.439$ of honors grades at that school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:19:57.440 \dashrightarrow 00:20:00.992$ so you know 30% of the internal medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:00.992 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.240$ clerkship students could get honors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.075$ That meant that URM students

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:20:05.075 --> 00:20:07.364 received about as half as many

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:07.364 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.638$ honors grades as non URM students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:20:09.640 --> 00:20:11.500 And URM students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.360$ therefore cascading down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:13.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.508$ were three times less likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:20:15.508 --> 00:20:17.616 be selected for things like AOA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:17.616 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:304$ which is a big deal if you're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}304 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.013$ about applying into a competitive specialty

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:23.013 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.000$ like orthopedics or that type of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.513$ These types of wards can have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:20:28.513 --> 00:20:31.134 big impacts on your ability to match

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:31.134 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.330$ into your intended field or to

 $00:20:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.878$ match into competitive residencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.400$ So they called this their

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.322$ amplification cascades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:38.322 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.627$ So these tiny little differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:40.627 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.109$ that occurred over the course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:43.109 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.738$ their training resulted in very

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:20:45.738 --> 00:20:48.968 big differences in these individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:48.968 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.360$ selection selected for awards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:20:51.360 --> 00:20:54.181 They decided to take a really honest

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:20:54.181 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.402$ look at themselves and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:20:56.402 --> 00:20:58.747 pinpoint where they were seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}20{:}58.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01.009$ bias being introduced at various

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:21:01.009 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.960$ parts of the grading cascade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}21{:}02.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.000$ So they looked at the individual students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:21:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.166$ They looked at the cultural and

 $00:21:08.166 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.120$ structural aspects of the school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00{:}21{:}10.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.704$ And I think in particular for

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:21:11.704 --> 00:21:13.080 many of you attending here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:21:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.960$ the faculty and resident reader factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:21:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.159$ were they had faculty taken on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

00:21:19.160 --> 00:21:21.896 look at the way that they were doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:21:21.896 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.285$ assessments in the actual real workspaces

NOTE Confidence: 0.947573578518519

 $00:21:24.285 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.739$ and also the grading policies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

00:21:26.808 --> 00:21:29.405 were present. So it was a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

 $00:21:29.405 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.130$ fascinating paper in that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

 $00{:}21{:}31.208 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}21{:}33.274$ were able to make some definite

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

00:21:33.274 --> 00:21:35.844 changes in their grading policies

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

 $00:21:35.844 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.798$ in order to be less biased overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

 $00{:}21{:}39.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.320$ So I wanted to take a pause here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

00:21:43.320 --> 00:21:45.525 Does anyone have any clarifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

 $00:21:45.525 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.730$ questions or there's anything that

00:21:47.796 --> 00:21:49.900 came up in the chat that would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

 $00:21:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.400$ important to talk about before we

NOTE Confidence: 0.932615538181818

00:21:51.400 --> 00:21:53.240 take a little small group pause?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95352083

00:21:57.840 --> 00:22:01.116 Cool. Well, I'll do a quick poll.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810876453333333

 $00:22:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.760$ Oh, I don't see the poll.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810876453333333

 $00:22:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.336$ Here we go. So I'm going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810876453333333

 $00:22:09.336 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.080$ Can you guys see the poll now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810876453333333

 $00:22:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.880$ Yes. Oh, here

NOTE Confidence: 0.833177091428571

 $00{:}22{:}15.240 \to 00{:}22{:}18.117$ you can, because I can't see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833177091428571

00:22:18.120 --> 00:22:20.640 Let's try relaunch questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908420065

 $00:22:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.760$ There we go. Do you see it now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908420065

 $00{:}22{:}24.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.720$ All right. So the first question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908420065

 $00{:}22{:}26.720 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}22{:}29.056$ have you seen bias manifest in the assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.908420065

 $00:22:29.056 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.916$ of learners where you work or teach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

 $00:22:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.030$ OK Can you see the results of the fall?

00:22:54.030 --> 00:22:56.183 I'm just. OK, perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

00:22:56.183 --> 00:23:01.230 So it looks like about 60% have said yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

00:23:01.230 --> 00:23:03.473 definitely 81 is unsure in which I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

00:23:03.473 --> 00:23:04.800 understand where that's coming from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

 $00:23:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.408$ where I'm not sure if what

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

 $00:23:06.408 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.840$ I saw was actually bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

00:23:07.840 --> 00:23:10.480 I don't want to label it as such,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

 $00:23:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.624$ but maybe and then it's about 6% said no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

 $00{:}23{:}14.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.788$ Now I'm going to give you an

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

00:23:17.788 --> 00:23:20.776 opportunity to share if you have ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

 $00:23:20.776 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.896$ seen assessment bias manifest in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

00:23:22.896 --> 00:23:25.760 your clinical learning environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

 $00:23:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.920$ how have you seen that occur?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90045553

 $00:23:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.558$ So let's see if I can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818986205

00:23:31.200 --> 00:23:32.676 Katie, can I ask a question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.818986205

 $00:23:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.360$ Yeah. So first of all, hi,

00:23:35.360 --> 00:23:38.625 nice to see you. Hey, so you're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818986205

 $00{:}23{:}38.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.755$ you're familiar with this and I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818986205

 $00:23:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.091$ I'm sure that everyone here has

NOTE Confidence: 0.818986205

 $00:23:43.091 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.557$ encountered this or thought about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818986205

 $00:23:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.359$ Would it be considered a form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818986205

 $00:23:47.359 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.880$ sort of systemic or institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.818986205

 $00:23:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.276$ bias if we consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.921547528333333

00:23:52.560 --> 00:23:54.036 grade inflate or I'm trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.921547528333333

 $00:23:54.036 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.758$ think of a more political way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.921547528333333

 $00:23:55.758 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.753$ say that if we if we give glowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.921547528333333

 $00:23:57.753 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.515$ sort of assessments across the board

NOTE Confidence: 0.921547528333333

00:23:59.515 --> 00:24:00.640 without really giving sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921547528333333

 $00:24:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.120$ you know what I mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.921547528333333

00:24:03.120 --> 00:24:05.388 Yeah, If we just if we're giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.921547528333333

 $00:24:05.388 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.368$ great assessments to the overwhelming

00:24:07.368 --> 00:24:09.320 majority of learners. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

00:24:09.320 --> 00:24:11.595 I like, that's such a complex question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

00:24:11.600 --> 00:24:13.280 Bennett, and I love that you ask it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

00:24:13.280 --> 00:24:15.704 'cause I think that's a national

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:15.704 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.870$ debate that's going on. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.795$ like what is the purpose of assessment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.260$ What is, what are we hoping to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.640$ accomplish by use of assessment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00{:}24{:}24.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.775$ And for me, like in the post

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:26.775 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.600$ purest sense of the, the answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.280$ it's to get the right information

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.775$ to the right people about

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:31.775 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.194$ how this individual's doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:33.194 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.279$ That includes the individual themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.996$ That includes the person leading the course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.328$ That includes the person

 $00:24:40.328 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.074$ overseeing their education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.397$ And I think there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:44.397 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.842$ different pressures that come

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:45.842 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.777$ into the conversation where we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:47.777 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.080$ talking about grades in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.370$ Like for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.520$ the medical school has a,

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.838496322857143 \\ & 00:24:53.520 --> 00:24:54.640 \text{ you know,} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.334$ like a invested desire to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:57.334 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.024$ sure that that individual gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:24:59.024 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.397$ to the next step of their career

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:25:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.800$ in the best fashion possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00{:}25{:}04.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.124$ And so and that individual wants

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

00:25:08.124 --> 00:25:10.215 to accomplish the things that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:25:10.215 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.719$ want to do in their career as well.

 $00:25:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.304$ So I think it's a form of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

00:25:16.304 --> 00:25:18.476 Halo bias that I think nationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00{:}25{:}18.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.390$ a lot of clerkship directors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

00:25:20.453 --> 00:25:22.781 discussing and certainly a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:25:22.781 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.333$ residency directors are discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:25:24.392 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.588$ about how useful is this information

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:25:26.588 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.920$ actually when we're looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:25:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.478$ you know, thousands of applications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

 $00:25:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.356$ So I'll leave it at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

00:25:32.360 --> 00:25:33.592 I don't know if you have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.838496322857143

00:25:33.592 --> 00:25:34.120 thoughts about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813397931538462

00:25:36.680 --> 00:25:38.648 Oh, I'll, I'll, I'll happily hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.813397931538462

00:25:38.648 --> 00:25:39.960 other people's questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813397931538462

 $00:25:40.013 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.600$ suggestions and contributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813397931538462

00:25:41.600 --> 00:25:44.240 It's, it's complex, as you say, Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728431

 $00:25:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.480$ Gary has a question.

 $00:25:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.320$ Yeah. Hey, Gary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86801138

00:25:47.520 --> 00:25:50.968 Hey Katie. I'm wondering if you know most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86801138

 $00:25:50.968 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.320$ most of those words were complimentary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86801138

00:25:53.320 --> 00:25:55.852 I'm wondering if people have looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.86801138

 $00:25:55.852 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.119$ at at critical language as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.86801138

 $00:25:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.556$ and and how that impacts bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

00:26:01.720 --> 00:26:04.624 Yeah, I mean the the gender study and

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:04.624 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.900$ emergency medicine that I mentioned before

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00{:}26{:}06.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.792$ did look at critical language and I just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

00:26:09.792 --> 00:26:11.808 I glossed over it a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:11.808 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.872$ bit which they, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

00:26:13.872 --> 00:26:16.568 if a a woman was underperforming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00{:}26{:}16.568 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}26{:}19.220$ they would talk more about confidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

00:26:19.296 --> 00:26:21.948 and they wouldn't use really necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:21.948 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.998$ specific language on what could be improved.

 $00:26:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.955$ Whereas male residents were more

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:26.955 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.472$ likely to receive like very specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:29.472 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.917$ competency based language on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00{:}26{:}31.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.873$ specifically they could improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.982$ There's a little bit of variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:36.982 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.651$ in especially around gender and

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:39.651 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.240$ language in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

00:26:41.240 --> 00:26:43.760 So some studies will show no difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00{:}26{:}43.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.192$ and it sort of depends on how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:46.192 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.479$ investigators are looking at the information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.838$ I just actually reviewed an article

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.220$ from the A/C GME that got accepted

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.644$ that they looked at standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:54.644 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.708$ videos and there was a huge difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:56.708 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.638$ in assessed scores and competencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:26:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.013$ So there's a little bit of variation

00:27:01.013 --> 00:27:02.720 in the literature out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:27:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.368$ but there is some negative like

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

00:27:05.368 --> 00:27:07.480 negative language is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:27:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.592$ with gender for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921688736

 $00:27:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.240$ Does that make sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.88564228

 $00:27:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.410$ and is that is that largely presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.88564228

 $00:27:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.920$ as as less specific versus more specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.88564228

 $00{:}27{:}17.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.439$ And that I I I think my question is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88564228

 $00{:}27{:}21.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.800$ ultimately is there almost a a rebound

NOTE Confidence: 0.88564228

 $00:27:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.123$ effect of some of the bias training

NOTE Confidence: 0.88564228

 $00:27:26.123 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.823$ that we're we're almost being overly

NOTE Confidence: 0.88564228

 $00{:}27{:}28.823 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.978$ cautious and not you know and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.88564228

 $00{:}27{:}30.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.377$ as productive as as we want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88564228

00:27:33.377 --> 00:27:35.120 with some of these learners. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

 $00:27:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.440$ that's a really interesting question.

 $00:27:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.156 \text{ I don't, I don't know if}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

00:27:40.156 --> 00:27:41.732 anyone has actually asked that

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

 $00:27:41.732 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.200$ question in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

 $00:27:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.492$ I will say the propensity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

 $00:27:45.492 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.397$ information that I've seen has

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

 $00:27:47.397 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.412$ been that women are more likely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

 $00{:}27{:}49.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.478$ for example, to receive feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

00:27:52.478 --> 00:27:54.836 on personal characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

 $00:27:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.320$ rather than actual actions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955285744

 $00:27:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.520$ Like you were kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.96297780555556

 $00:28:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.600$ that type of thing or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.96297780555556

 $00:28:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.040$ weren't confident enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96297780555556

 $00:28:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.414$ And as somebody who's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.962977805555556

00:28:10.414 --> 00:28:11.920 recipient of that type of feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96297780555556

00:28:11.920 --> 00:28:13.300 it's extremely frustrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.96297780555556

 $00:28:13.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.600$ because what does that mean?

00:28:15.600 --> 00:28:17.040 Like, objectively speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951827733333333

 $00:28:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.760$ any other questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

00:28:22.520 --> 00:28:24.038 Now, Katie, before we get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

00:28:24.038 --> 00:28:25.280 response back from question two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

 $00{:}28{:}25.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.440$ there was some in the chat that said that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

 $00:28:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.520$ they couldn't see the question anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

 $00:28:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.576$ Let me try. I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

 $00:28:31.576 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.312$ I did put it in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

 $00:28:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.720$ One person had responded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

00:28:35.720 --> 00:28:38.640 which we can, I can, I can relate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

 $00:28:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.296$ Unless you want to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821082640909091

 $00:28:40.296 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.560$ launch it again or just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672762334444444

 $00{:}28{:}41.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.080$ Yeah, I James, would you be willing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.672762334444444

 $00{:}28{:}45.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.116$ share, if you're if you're open to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.672762334444444

 $00:28:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.676$ about the experience that you had.

 $00:28:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.198$ Yeah. It's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785534906

 $00{:}28{:}52.200 \to 00{:}28{:}54.332$ It's it's going it's going it's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.785534906

 $00:28:54.332 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.160$ back to my own training, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9741502

 $00:28:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.880$ sorry. It's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9693918825

 $00:29:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.505$ back to my own training

NOTE Confidence: 0.9693918825

 $00:29:02.505 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.240$ which is now 35 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9693918825

00:29:06.240 --> 00:29:08.264 I I I felt perhaps it was more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9693918825

 $00:29:08.264 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.800$ of a personality trait that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.948003586666667

 $00:29:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.560$ were looking for as opposed to clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.948003586666667

00:29:12.560 --> 00:29:15.200 skills or or or compassion traits. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.945019428

 $00:29:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.810$ don't know if anyone else had that

NOTE Confidence: 0.945019428

 $00:29:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.640$ experience during their own training years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88079840625

 $00:29:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.160$ Sounds like that first kind of bias Katie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88079840625

 $00:29:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.164$ that you were identifying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88079840625

00:29:24.164 --> 00:29:25.670 I can't remember its name or

NOTE Confidence: 0.88079840625

 $00{:}29{:}25.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.093$ they're looking for somebody who

00:29:27.093 --> 00:29:29.400 looks like that with respect. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

00:29:31.000 --> 00:29:33.436 it's it's, I think it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00:29:33.436 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.565$ fascinating the way that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

00:29:35.565 --> 00:29:37.715 group in medicine in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00:29:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.912$ you know like is was I attracted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

00:29:40.912 --> 00:29:44.089 to Med PEDs because I, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00:29:44.089 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.027$ like there was a affinity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00:29:46.027 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.849$ was generated around it or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

00:29:47.849 --> 00:29:49.991 is it because I was genuinely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00:29:50.066 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.020$ interested in the the field itself?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

00:29:52.020 --> 00:29:54.080 I like to think it's the latter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00:29:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.976$ but I do think that there's some very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00{:}29{:}56.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.434$ interesting cultural things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00:29:58.434 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.420$ happen in medicine that by assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00:30:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.837$ one way or the other or might even,

 $00:30:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.910$ for example, if you were interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

00:30:04.910 --> 00:30:06.680 in surgery and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00{:}30{:}06.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}30{:}08.840$ got this implicit discouragement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

00:30:08.840 --> 00:30:11.000 to enter into surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00:30:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.740$ how we're biasing our students

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

00:30:12.740 --> 00:30:14.480 away or towards certain things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

 $00{:}30{:}14.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.436$ Well, it may have been a projection

NOTE Confidence: 0.9279124575

00:30:16.440 --> 00:30:17.160 of the fact that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.875242222

 $00:30:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.440$ more interested in internal medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.875242222

 $00:30:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.040$ and eventually pursued internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.875242222

 $00:30:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.160$ medicine. So maybe that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.91640468

 $00:30:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.680$ reflected in my own behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91640468

 $00:30:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.160$ So that's fair. Totally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804447826363636

 $00:30:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.784$ All right, I'll see what we have for

NOTE Confidence: 0.804447826363636

 $00:30:29.784 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.320$ our responses here, which I cannot see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44578502

00:30:38.480 --> 00:30:41.349 Huh. I'm sorry guys. I can't see the

 $00:30:41.349 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.079$ written responses on the question too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44578502

 $00:30:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.200$ I know some people entered in their thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.504126186

 $00:30:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.760$ it might it shut down before I back to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.504126186

00:30:49.760 --> 00:30:54.200 Sorry, it it shut down before I could save.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955842815

 $00:30:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.628$ I'm sorry about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955842815

00:30:55.628 --> 00:30:57.050 Would anybody else be willing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.955842815

 $00:30:57.102 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.596$ share an experience that they had?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.560$ I just can I just add one thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.355$ I mean the Halo effect does strike me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

00:31:17.355 --> 00:31:19.425 Just having that evidence is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:19.425 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.648$ useful because I always remember as when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00{:}31{:}21.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.228$ I was a clerk ship director I used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00{:}31{:}24.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.160$ question why we wouldn't kind of feed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00{:}31{:}26.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.520$ forward information about the trainees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.068$ the students as they were going

 $00{:}31{:}30.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31.900$ from 1 clerkship to the another so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00{:}31{:}31.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.636$ that we'd be able to support them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00{:}31{:}33.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.458$ And the administration was concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:36.458 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.460$ that that would kind of poison the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:38.505 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.059$ well and that you want to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:40.059 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.319$ somebody have this fresh start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00{:}31{:}41.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.723$ And I I it's really nice to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:43.723 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.048$ evidence to support the fact that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:46.048 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.272$ was potentially a really good idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

00:31:48.272 --> 00:31:50.600 in a high stakes grading environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00{:}31{:}50.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52.259$ I think in a pass fail environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:52.259 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.112$ we would hope that we could just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:54.112 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.200$ continue to support them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.770$ But I could see the tension if it was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575

 $00:31:56.819 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.597$ higher stakes and somebody could be labeled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8915890575 00:31:58.600 --> 00:31:58.720 Yeah,

 $00:32:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.688$ I think that's such a double edged

NOTE Confidence: 0.850318491052631

 $00{:}32{:}02.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04.220$ sword conversation that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.850318491052631

 $00:32:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.918$ all educators need to have about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.206$ our. Often times our education systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:10.206 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.105$ are chopped up such that when a student

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:32:13.105 --> 00:32:15.193 enters into a new educational space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:32:15.200 --> 00:32:16.958 it's almost like they're starting over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.598$ Which I think could be a really great thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.090$ And that we're not biased towards or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.400$ against anything with this new learner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.458$ But it also could be a detrimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:25.458 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.730$ thing in that a learner might not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00{:}32{:}27.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.716$ have the ongoing support they need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:29.781 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.744$ to continue to build their skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:31.744 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.952$ with the support of a experienced

 $00:32:33.952 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.040$ educator or experienced clinician or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.115$ whatever field they're working in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.536$ So it can often be really challenging to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:40.536 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.539$ think about these types of things because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:42.539 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.158$ we do want to be fair to our learners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:32:45.160 --> 00:32:46.776 but we also want to make sure they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:32:46.776 --> 00:32:48.480 supported in the reaching the ultimate goal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.632$ which is to practice medicine or to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:51.632 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.398$ a medical librarian or to become a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:32:54.400 --> 00:32:57.020 you know, a physician's associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.640$ or whatever their goal is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:32:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.680$ So the question is how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.920$ How can we move forward?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:33:02.920 --> 00:33:05.352 I think this brought up a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:05.352 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.015$ really controversial and also just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00{:}33{:}07.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.675$ challenging things that we wrestle

00:33:08.675 --> 00:33:11.055 with that I don't think are just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00{:}33{:}11.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.159$ to disappear with a lot of training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:33:13.159 --> 00:33:15.157 They're they're going to be ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:33:15.157 --> 00:33:17.357 things that we have to be attentive to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:17.360 \dashrightarrow 00:33:19.656$ So number one is to just be aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:19.656 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.837$ that bias is part of the lexicon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.878$ Bias is part of our daily lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.440$ You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.000$ we used to really see bias as like bad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00{:}33{:}28.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.680$ that it's a aberrant, intentional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.560$ conscious thing that we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.598$ But in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:34.598 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.674$ we understand bias now as normative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:33:36.680 --> 00:33:37.640 It's unconscious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.520$ it's often simmering under the surface,

 $00{:}33{:}40.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.224$ and it's largely unintentional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:42.224 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.385$ I don't any of us go into encounters

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:45.385 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.951$ with our learners intending to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:47.951 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.076$ biased towards or against them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.680$ We want to be fair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.039$ And so we just have to recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:33:55.039 --> 00:33:57.818 that this is part of our hard wiring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:33:57.818 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.146$ and sometimes even though we go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:00.146 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.319$ interactions with the best of intentions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.120$ depending on what might be going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00{:}34{:}05.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.000$ you know whether it be a high stakes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.316$ situation or past patterns or those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00{:}34{:}10.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.710$ types of things that can result

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:12.791 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.155$ in actions that we don't intend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:34:15.160 --> 00:34:17.029 And just as another piece of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:34:17.029 --> 00:34:18.958 of that hard wiring in our brain,

 $00:34:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.168$ I think all of us when we're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:21.168 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.079$ at Block A and Block B we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.360$ we are looking at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.280$ They are definitely different colors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.530$ but when we actually line up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.839$ that they're the exact same color

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.736$ using these columns here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:32.736 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.158$ And Despite that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:34:34.160 --> 00:34:35.760 I still look at Block A and Block

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00{:}34{:}35.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.599$ B and I see two different colors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.838$ It's kind of like that phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:39.838 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.195$ with the dress online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:41.195 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.605$ that meme with the blue dress

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00{:}34{:}42.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43.640$ and the gold dress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.600$ No matter how many times

 $00:34:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.560$ I looked at that dress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00{:}34{:}45.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.443$ I could never see gold and yet I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:48.443 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.120$ knew people who saw it as as blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.800$ or as as gold themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.176$ So it's it can be really hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

00:34:55.176 --> 00:34:56.659 unweigh themselves of that hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714723645

 $00:34:56.659 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.759$ wiring of our brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:34:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.776$ So first of all, one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00{:}34{:}59.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01.901$ that can be helpful is just being

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:01.901 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.456$ conscious of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00{:}35{:}03.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.397$ make us more prone towards bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.928$ So stress, time constraints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

00:35:07.928 --> 00:35:11.088 doing multiple tasks at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:11.088 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.840$ same time need foreclosure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

00:35:12.840 --> 00:35:14.640 So I don't know about you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00{:}35{:}14.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.691$ but sometimes I get a little bit

00:35:16.691 --> 00:35:18.562 behind on my evaluations and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:18.562 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.235$ need to get them done to meet that

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:21.235 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.520$ deadline for that clerkship director.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.584$ So I need to do that evaluation as

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:25.584 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.404$ quickly as possible to get that closure fear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00{:}35{:}28.404 \to 00{:}35{:}31.720$ And I think Ben brought up that good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

00:35:31.720 --> 00:35:33.520 that good point of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.970$ like what is the impact of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.636$ learner if I were to evaluate them

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.080$ based on this rubric fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.096$ So these are all things that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:43.096 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.604$ of us no matter what our workspace

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

00:35:45.604 --> 00:35:47.056 is encounter all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:47.056 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.912$ So these are things that make us

NOTE Confidence: 0.955796318076923

 $00:35:48.912 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.438$ a little bit more prone to bias,

 $00:35:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.435$ more prone to make those cognitive leaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:35:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.448$ Can we control this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:35:56.448 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.856$ Well, it can't be totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:35:57.856 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.076$ trained out of our brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:35:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.370$ Just as we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.840$ those block A and Block B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.756$ it can be hard to escape that hard wiring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.308$ But we can try to be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}36{:}07.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.918$ intentional about our implicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:08.918 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.005$ attitudes and try our best to curb

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:12.005 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.439$ their effects on our assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:36:14.440 --> 00:36:16.712 One of my very good friends was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:16.712 \dashrightarrow 00:36:18.950$ telling me about a mental exercise she

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}36{:}18.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21.058$ does in this respect about patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:36:21.058 --> 00:36:23.921 where every single day she and her

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:23.921 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.044$ residents would say something kind

 $00:36:26.044 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.089$ and positive about every patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}36{:}28.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.156$ on their service just to create an

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:36:31.156 --> 00:36:32.876 implicit attitude of positivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.656$ towards the patients Being objective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:36:36.656 --> 00:36:38.840 Honestly, self reflecting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.862$ recognizing that you will have biases

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:40.862 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.824$ and trying to pinpoint where those

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:42.824 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.749$ might be coming from and what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:36:44.749 --> 00:36:46.663 of implicit assumptions that you come

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:46.663 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.485$ to the table with can be really helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:36:49.485 --> 00:36:51.560 I would say external feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.999$ can also be extremely helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}36{:}54.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.450$ One of the exercises that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:36:56.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.975$ found personally helpful is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:36:57.975 --> 00:36:59.599 for letters of recommendation,

 $00:36:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.920$ having someone else read them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.080$ make sure that you're using language

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}37{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.829$ that actually encapsulates the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:05.829 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.607$ ability of the individual that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:08.607 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.237$ are writing that that letter For.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.552$ Some things that you can do to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:13.552 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.479$ to reduce bias and assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.935$ First and foremost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}37{:}16.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.875$ attending sessions like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:37:18.880 --> 00:37:21.350 Starting to recognize where you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.326$ making inferences about learners

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}37{:}23.326 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}37{:}24.841$ assumptions or categorizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}37{:}24.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.757$ learners into certain buckets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}37{:}26.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.848$ Starting to move your language into

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:28.848 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.240$ more behaviorally based language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.970$ I understand there was a session recently

 $00:37:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.700$ for YES where there was some talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}37{:}36.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39.358$ about creating a good written assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:37:39.360 --> 00:37:41.288 So avoiding personality focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:37:41.288 --> 00:37:44.180 language like somebody was kind and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:44.256 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.890$ instead focusing more on what specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:46.890 \dashrightarrow 00:37:49.680$ actions that they took to made them

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.400$ seem kind or made them seem in that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}37{:}53.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.977$ And then also focusing on how you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:56.977 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.359$ writing words using instruments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:37:59.359 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.624$ guides and actually reading through

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}38{:}01.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03.920$ what language you're expecting to rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:38:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.999$ or grade that person on can be very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}38{:}07.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.225$ So using those actual criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:38:09.225 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.362$ about what you physically observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:38:11.362 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.169$ in the workspace or in the learning

 $00:38:14.169 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.959$ space can be very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:38:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.319$ Using checklists can be very helpful too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:38:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.554$ to objectify things a little bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:38:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.161$ and then also for those of you who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:38:24.161 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.957$ course directors or clerkship directors More.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:38:26.960 --> 00:38:28.444 Observations from different faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00{:}38{:}28.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.299$ of different backgrounds can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

 $00:38:30.299 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.961$ be helpful in mitigating biases

NOTE Confidence: 0.93855632

00:38:31.961 --> 00:38:33.197 on a single learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:38:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.576$ individually. Just recognize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:38:38.576 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.518$ you have bias and give feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00{:}38{:}41.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.074$ You can review your assessments individually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:38:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.912$ so taking a step back from your assessments

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

00:38:46.912 --> 00:38:48.813 and just reading them specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:38:48.813 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.120$ only to try to detect bias in language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:38:52.120 \dashrightarrow 00:38:53.998$ Have a trusted colleague read them,

 $00:38:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.107$ or I'll introduce a tool on gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:38:56.107 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.412$ bias in just a second that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:38:58.412 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.264$ use to see to start to detect some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:01.264 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.680$ the language that you might be using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.546$ Practice constructive uncertainty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

00:39:05.546 --> 00:39:09.900 So really try to pause as you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

00:39:10.002 --> 00:39:10.989 making assessments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00{:}39{:}10.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.134$ observe yourself in action and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39{:}13.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.281$ more thoughtfully consider the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:15.281 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.116$ that you're seeing that situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.745$ And I'll give you a little acronym

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:19.745 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.855$ in the next slide to kind of think

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:22.855 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.800$ about embrace the awkwardness

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.880$ and discomfort of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.273$ Again, I think a lot of us feel uncomfortable

 $00:39:30.273 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.238$ recognizing that we do have biases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.312$ And so embracing that and recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:35.312 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.679$ that that is part of our hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

00:39:38.679 --> 00:39:41.121 wiring as humans and by recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:41.121 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.126$ where we have implicit assumptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:43.126 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.960$ and addressing those head on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.822$ that can be very helpful and engage

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:47.822 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.077$ with those who are different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.778$ hear their perspectives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:50.778 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.608$ be interested in their viewpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00{:}39{:}53.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}57.235$ and the way that they see the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.800$ I found this acronym to be really helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.679$ It's called pause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:39:59.679 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.701$ And this could be a way that you as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

00:40:02.701 --> 00:40:04.801 fill out those mud hub evaluations or

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:04.864 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.049$ filling out an intrusible professional

 $00:40:07.049 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.523$ activity or any assessment that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00{:}40{:}09.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.787$ do in your learning space could be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00{:}40{:}11.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.056$ great way to think about your learner

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:14.056 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.080$ as you're doing that assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286 00:40:16.080 --> 00:40:16.700 So 1st, NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00{:}40{:}16.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.560$ pay attention to what you're assessing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286 00:40:18.560 --> 00:40:19.402 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:19.402 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.349$ what is the objective activity or skill

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:22.349 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.396$ set that I'm trying to look at here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.716$ So what are the goals for

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

00:40:27.716 --> 00:40:28.874 this particular learner?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.475$ Acknowledge that you come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00{:}40{:}30.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.561$ the table with your own biases

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00{:}40{:}32.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.277$ and reactions and judgments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.116$ and really try to think about

 $00:40:36.116 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.960$ why you're seeing it that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.988$ And understand that maybe somebody might

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:39.988 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.438$ see it a different way than you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.240$ try to be as objective as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.333$ really be actionable in the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

00:40:47.333 --> 00:40:49.300 you provide that feedback to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00{:}40{:}49.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51.000$ learner and execute the assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.924840985714286

 $00:40:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.040$ in a way that minimizes bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89690404125

 $00:40:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.848$ So I wanted to share with you an

NOTE Confidence: 0.89690404125

 $00{:}40{:}57.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.996$ assessment that I did on on a learner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89690404125

 $00:41:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.995$ This is anonymized and this is person

NOTE Confidence: 0.89690404125

 $00:41:01.995 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.400$ that graduated many years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89690404125

 $00:41:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.740$ So I wanted to see if you guys could see

NOTE Confidence: 0.89690404125

00:41:07.740 --> 00:41:10.486 if there might be any biased language

NOTE Confidence: 0.89690404125

 $00:41:10.486 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.062$ in this individual's assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89690404125

 $00:41:12.062 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.408$ Would anyone be willing to volunteer

00:41:14.408 --> 00:41:16.717 to read this out loud to the group

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695824075

 $00:41:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.560$ I don't mind reading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889662305

 $00:41:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.400$ All right. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:41:24.920 --> 00:41:26.540 I very much enjoyed working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:41:26.540 --> 00:41:28.222 M They worked hard to ensure

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:41:28.222 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.302$ their patients were attended

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:41:29.302 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.398$ to and was a great team player.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00{:}41{:}31.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.786$ I appreciate the effort they went

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00{:}41{:}32.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34.439$ to and caring for their patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:41:34.440 --> 00:41:35.948 calling consults, pharmacies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00{:}41{:}35.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37.833$ doing other intern level tasks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:41:37.840 --> 00:41:39.430 They were very open to feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00{:}41{:}39.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40.902$ and actively worked to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:41:40.902 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.318$ their performance every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:41:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.558$ It was clear they heard and

 $00:41:44.558 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.677$ incorporated feedback consistently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:41:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.420$ They also worked very hard on

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:41:47.420 --> 00:41:49.070 improving their cardiac exam and by

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:41:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.610$ the end of our two weeks together

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:41:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.380$ was better able to identify and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:41:52.380 --> 00:41:53.880 describe the murmurs They heard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:41:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.866$ M could work on developing their

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:41:55.866 --> 00:41:57.190 differential diagnosis of common

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:41:57.249 --> 00:41:59.237 inpatient internal medicine complaints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:41:59.240 --> 00:42:00.640 GI bleed, tachycardia, angina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00{:}42{:}00.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.740$ Often their differentials were based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

00:42:02.796 --> 00:42:04.800 either previously established diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:42:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.718$ even in the setting of new data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:42:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.834$ or a limited list of possible alternatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:42:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.530$ Some of the assigned cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.89271524173913

 $00:42:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.882$ simulation online courses provided

 $00:42:11.882 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.920$ by the clerkship would be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513094285714

 $00:42:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.594$ All right. What do you guys think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513094285714

 $00:42:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.078$ Do you see any language in here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513094285714

 $00:42:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.158$ And this is not a loaded question at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513094285714

 $00:42:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.512$ Is this a unbiased?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513094285714

00:42:25.512 --> 00:42:28.588 Do you see some language in here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513094285714

00:42:28.588 --> 00:42:31.045 could potentially point to certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513094285714

 $00:42:31.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.520$ gender or other affinity biases?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497569075

 $00:42:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.226$ I think you expand on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497569075

 $00:42:43.226 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.879$ characterization as a great team player.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497569075

 $00:42:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.200$ But in the next sentence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497569075

 $00:42:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.768$ but maybe maybe also there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497569075

 $00{:}42{:}49.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51.960$ other ideas or emotions you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497569075

00:42:51.960 --> 00:42:54.480 experiencing when you typed that, that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752510802

 $00:42:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.800$ that, that biased that characterization.

 $00:43:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.390$ What what do you what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.82208057625

 $00{:}43{:}01.390 --> 00{:}43{:}04.480$ you thinking, Ben? Oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

 $00:43:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.920$ like maybe you liked the person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

 $00:43:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.996$ 'cause they had a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

00:43:08.000 --> 00:43:11.422 they had appropriate use of humor and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

 $00{:}43{:}11.422 \rightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.118$ made cookies and they were willing to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

00:43:15.120 --> 00:43:16.720 I don't know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

00:43:16.720 --> 00:43:18.958 they're willing to go pick up

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

 $00{:}43{:}18.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.320$ paperwork or something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

 $00:43:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.320$ I don't know. It's not the best example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

00:43:23.320 --> 00:43:24.568 It's just kind of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

 $00:43:24.568 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.400$ thing I landed upon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

 $00:43:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.896$ Yeah, the cookie bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90160277

00:43:26.896 --> 00:43:29.358 I I will say if somebody makes cookies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944367786666667

 $00:43:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.496$ I do. I do feel positive thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.944367786666667

 $00:43:32.496 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.120$ about them. Anyone else want to

 $00:43:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.640$ thank you so much Ben for reading. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.41195302

 $00:43:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.799$ would add, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

00:43:36.800 --> 00:43:38.192 know normally I would say I

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00:43:38.192 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.952$ don't see a lot of bias in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00:43:39.952 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.590$ looks like actually a pretty good

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00:43:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.080$ evaluation with some specific details.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00:43:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.320$ I think after what we spoke about

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00{:}43{:}45.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46.980$ I I'm just going to take a wild

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00{:}43{:}46.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48.840$ guess and say this was someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00:43:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.176$ evaluating a man because they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

00:43:51.176 --> 00:43:53.224 very specific as opposed to saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00:43:53.224 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.360$ like they lacked confidence or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00{:}43{:}55.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.301$ in a way I wonder about that but but

NOTE Confidence: 0.944045779

 $00{:}43{:}57.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59.720$ honestly I don't see a lot of bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70011026

 $00:44:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.760$ Great. I would

 $00:44:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.650$ I would say leading with

NOTE Confidence: 0.850029834444444

 $00:44:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.154$ with a subjective assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850029834444444

00:44:09.160 --> 00:44:11.220 I very much enjoyed working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.850029834444444

 $00:44:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.090$ so and so automatically sets up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850029834444444

 $00:44:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.159$ reader to interpret the the assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.850029834444444

 $00:44:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.758$ in a very specific way as opposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850029834444444

 $00:44:21.758 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.080$ leading with objective measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926037708333333

00:44:24.600 --> 00:44:26.478 I would wholeheartedly agree with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926037708333333

 $00{:}44{:}26.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}28.706$ and reading this again and again has

NOTE Confidence: 0.926037708333333

 $00:44:28.706 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.042$ made me realize that I start almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.926037708333333

 $00{:}44{:}31.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34.768$ all my evaluations that way, which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926037708333333

00:44:34.768 --> 00:44:37.304 like I did, enjoy working with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926037708333333

 $00:44:37.304 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.040$ But is that the most helpful thing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.926037708333333

 $00:44:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.559 \text{ I don't know}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.25263637

 $00:44:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.080$ OK, go ahead, Ben. I just noticed

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00:44:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.760$ one peculiar turn of phrase

 $00:44:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.148$ in the second sentence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00{:}44{:}48.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.230$ I appreciate the effort they went

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00:44:50.292 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.236$ to and caring for their patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00:44:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.758$ and that struck me as odd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

00:44:53.760 --> 00:44:58.425 like caring take is work rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00{:}44{:}58.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.215$ than saying I appreciate the care

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00:45:01.215 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.919$ they provided to their patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00:45:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.332$ So you know, that struck me as a little odd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

00:45:09.332 --> 00:45:11.376 And perhaps you're trying to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00:45:11.376 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.957$ this is not an empathetic patient person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00:45:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.230$ They have to work hard to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00:45:17.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.920$ that they cared perhaps and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93344946

 $00:45:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.920$ And and of one perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922442531538461

 $00:45:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.255$ Yeah, no, I think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.922442531538461

 $00:45:24.255 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.611$ a really thoughtful and

 $00:45:25.611 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.200$ interesting perspective for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922442531538461

 $00:45:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.000$ I hadn't even noticed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922442531538461

 $00:45:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.260$ See this is how external

NOTE Confidence: 0.922442531538461

00:45:30.260 --> 00:45:31.520 feedback can be quite helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

00:45:32.640 --> 00:45:35.195 Can I just point out that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:45:35.195 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.915$ another sort of tension in the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:45:37.915 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.764$ medical school and you know and course

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:45:40.764 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.540$ director domain which is that to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:45:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.160$ I think starting with a statement

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

00:45:45.160 --> 00:45:47.038 like I very much enjoyed working

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00{:}45{:}47.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.216$ with M and casting that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:45:49.216 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.944$ throwing out that Halo to begin

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:45:50.944 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.706$ with in a way that's kind of like I

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:45:54.706 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.022$ think it's helpful potentially in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:45:57.022 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.086$ departmental letter for example, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00{:}45{:}59.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.042$ I mean people are going quickly

00:46:01.042 --> 00:46:02.755 you know program directors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:46:02.755 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.759$ reading a lot of those letters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

00:46:04.760 --> 00:46:05.940 They get they're getting nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

00:46:05.940 --> 00:46:07.120 positive feelings at the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:46:07.166 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.236$ of each of these evaluations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

00:46:08.240 --> 00:46:09.360 They're getting good feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

00:46:09.360 --> 00:46:10.512 good feedback, good feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:46:10.512 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.400 \text{ I don't know}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:46:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.247$ I mean it's it's the the goal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00{:}46{:}14.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.101$ that letter is very different than

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:46:16.101 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.413$ the goal of the feedback here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:46:18.413 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.413$ yet we directly import the feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:46:20.413 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.674$ into that letter as an example of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:46:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.437$ you know, how the student was assessed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00:46:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.056$ So I'm not sure if that has more

 $00:46:26.056 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.997$ of a place in this conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920736342307692

 $00{:}46{:}28.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.160$ but it's just something that comes to mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:46:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.990$ No, I think that's just another

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:46:31.990 --> 00:46:34.653 example of that cascade we were talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:46:34.653 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.921$ about before about like how little

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:46:36.921 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.506$ things just feed together to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:46:39.506 --> 00:46:41.392 someone's course in their educational,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:46:41.392 --> 00:46:44.700 you know, trajectory, which is unfair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:46:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.010$ And there are so many dynamics there

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:46:47.010 --> 00:46:49.300 that it's it's just hard, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}46{:}49.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51.160$ for us as educators to navigate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:46:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.960$ It's also hard for us to even talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:46:53.960 --> 00:46:55.630 with students about it, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:46:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.555$ 'cause we want them to be successful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:46:57.560 --> 00:46:59.318 not only to achieve their goals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:46:59.320 --> 00:47:02.248 but also to achieve the goal of becoming

 $00:47:02.248 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.847$ the physician that they want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:04.847 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.920$ or whatever the educational goal is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.384$ And to me that that requires hard work

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:09.384 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.396$ and an honest reflection on your ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.232$ And I don't know if we always are

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:14.232 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.283$ doing our due diligence with that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:16.283 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.172$ students in that they're we're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:18.172 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.894$ actively reflecting on what are they

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}47{:}19.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.960$ able to do when work they actually grow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}47{:}22.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.384$ Instead we're writing things like I

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:47:25.384 --> 00:47:27.996 enjoyed working with them, which is good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:47:27.996 --> 00:47:30.320 it's good to enjoy working with someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.030$ but how can that help that person

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.652$ grow so great?

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:47:35.652 --> 00:47:37.836 These are excellent reflections.

 $00:47:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.102$ I ended up putting my evaluation into

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:47:41.102 --> 00:47:44.518 a gender bias calculator so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:47:44.520 --> 00:47:46.596 in the worksheet that I provided,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.000$ which should have been dropped in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.910$ You can find this gender bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}47{:}51.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.904$ calculator online and I found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:54.904 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.420$ the words working and effort were

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:47:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.920$ very female associated words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.557$ I had no idea that that was the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.040$ but it made me really think about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}48{:}06.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.317$ this was indeed a female trainee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.074$ It was a female medical student and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:12.074 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.250$ wondered why I was using Hard worker so

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:48:15.338 --> 00:48:18.136 much when actually they had achieved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:18.136 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.800$ as you pointed out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741 00:48:19.800 --> 00:48:20.280 Frederick,

 $00:48:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.160$ they had achieved good patient care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}48{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.689$ So it it just made me a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:48:25.689 --> 00:48:27.582 more thoughtful about the types

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:27.582 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.880$ of language that I I used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:48:29.880 --> 00:48:30.822 Moving forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:48:30.822 --> 00:48:34.119 I think this can be incredibly hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741 00:48:34.120 --> 00:48:34.434 though, NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:34.434 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.318$ because a good evaluation in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:36.318 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.280$ of itself takes a lot of thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.870$ And then to add another step of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}48{:}40.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.626$ making sure you're not gendered

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}48{:}42.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.702$ or biased in your assessment can

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}48{:}44.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46.798$ take another step of extra thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.360$ And that takes a lot of cognitive load.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.880$ So it can just take that extra,

 $00:48:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.594$ extra step,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:48:52.594 --> 00:48:54.736 but it's worthwhile and that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:54.736 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.873$ going to be able to get your learner the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:48:57.873 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.039$ information they need to be successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.100$ So I I wanted to, as a thought exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.560$ just take 5 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.916$ I think we have enough time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:49:05.920 --> 00:49:11.000 Yeah, 5 minutes. Pull up a recent evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}49{:}11.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.200$ I thought we could do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

00:49:12.200 --> 00:49:15.200 Just have you do it individually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741 00:49:15.200 --> 00:49:15.498 Ideally, NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:15.498 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.286$ pick something that has a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:17.286 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.840$ of words or narrative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.996$ You'll find the worksheet in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.848$ You can use the worksheet to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}49{:}22.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.080$ evaluate your written assessments.

 $00:49:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.439$ I dropped the link for the gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.680$ calculator in there and in about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.304$ we'll say 4 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741 00:49:31.304 --> 00:49:32.544 So at 12:55,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00{:}49{:}32.544 \rightarrow 00{:}49{:}35.512$ I'll just ask volunteers to share any

NOTE Confidence: 0.918163450740741

 $00:49:35.512 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.038$ reflections they had from that activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740838078333333

00:49:50.220 --> 00:49:51.936 I apologize. Katie, where's the worksheet?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95862573

 $00:49:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.505$ I'll drop it in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.95862573

 $00:49:58.505 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.298$ myself. Oh, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814538774444444

00:50:02.220 --> 00:50:04.730 And Linda put it in there a couple of oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8145387744444444

00:50:04.730 --> 00:50:08.660 oh, that was that one. Yeah. OK. Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927219266

00:52:39.400 --> 00:52:41.340 Nice. Thanks Ben for sharing

NOTE Confidence: 0.927219266

 $00:52:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.280$ your results in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927219266

 $00{:}52{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46.238$ I love your honest self reflection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927219266

 $00:52:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.160$ It looks like you have a nice balance

 $00:52:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.077$ of male and female gendered terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:52:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.277$ So I think you have a good question there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:52:55.280 --> 00:52:57.835 Ben, about like how do you interpret,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:52:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.342$ I think it's just mostly for you to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:53:00.342 --> 00:53:02.535 more attentive to the types of words

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:53:02.535 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.840$ that you're using in your assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:53:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.460$ If you are gearing more

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:53:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.080$ towards one side or the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:53:09.080 --> 00:53:10.880 really thinking about, OK, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:53:10.880 --> 00:53:14.520 if I'm using mostly female gendered terms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:53:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.758$ am I on a female trainee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:53:16.760 --> 00:53:19.492 Do I really need to think about whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:53:19.492 --> 00:53:21.816 or not I'm being objective in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:53:21.816 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.958$ assessment of their clinical ability?

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:53:23.960 --> 00:53:26.151 And So what I would suggest for

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00{:}53{:}26.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.832$ if you are noticing a skew on one

 $00:53:28.832 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.296$ side or the other is to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00{:}53{:}31.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.000$ the actual assessment form and look

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:53:33.064 --> 00:53:35.080 at the objective language that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:53:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.483$ recommended on that form and see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:53:37.483 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.469$ there's something that fits with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:53:39.469 --> 00:53:41.165 learner's ability in terms of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

00:53:41.165 --> 00:53:43.323 they can or they they're growing in to

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00:53:43.323 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.488$ see if you can reflect some of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.961801294444444

 $00{:}53{:}45.488 {\:\raisebox{--}{--}}{>}\ 00{:}53{:}47.438$ objective language in your assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.735383365

 $00:53:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.120$ E was execute an unbiased assessment. James,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413735

 $00:53:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.020$ any other thoughts about or

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413735

 $00:53:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.840$ reflections after doing that activity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413735

 $00{:}53{:}59.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.325$ I'm just putting the evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413735

00:54:01.325 --> 00:54:03.161 pull up just in case folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.901413735

 $00:54:03.161 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.877$ need to leave a little early.

 $00:54:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.676$ I, I, I have a comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00{:}54{:}12.680 --> 00{:}54{:}16.680$ Katie oh great to see you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00{:}54{:}16.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.086$ I I pulled out one of my evaluations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

00:54:19.086 --> 00:54:23.935 It was of a female trainee and I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:54:23.935 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.370$ realized I do the same thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00{:}54{:}25.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27.028$ you do which is I said oh this

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:54:27.028 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.638$ person is very pleasant that you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:54:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.474$ But I think I do that almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

00:54:30.480 --> 00:54:32.416 for everybody, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

00:54:32.416 --> 00:54:34.992 and I personally maybe I'm old school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00{:}54{:}35.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37.233$ think that's not bad because it gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:54:37.233 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.448$ color to the evaluation, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:54:39.448 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.156$ the whole bunch of just traits traits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:54:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.914$ traits can be a little dry in my opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:54:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.798$ But anyway, so this particular trainee I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

00:54:47.800 --> 00:54:49.720 I, I emphasize things like

00:54:49.720 --> 00:54:51.640 cooperation puts patients at ease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00{:}54{:}51.640 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}54{:}53.040$ you know, diffuse while you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

00:54:53.040 --> 00:54:54.468 you know volatile situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

00:54:54.468 --> 00:54:56.840 which this person was really good at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00{:}54{:}56.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58.919$ And I put it into your gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

00:54:58.919 --> 00:55:00.448 bias calculator and I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:55:00.448 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.443$ it's going to tell me I'm very

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00{:}55{:}02.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.576$ female biased and it was opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:55:04.576 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.960$ It said I used a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00{:}55{:}06.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}08.283$ male associated words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:55:08.283 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.370$ So it's like that wasn't my initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:55:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.040$ you know impression but anyway I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00{:}55{:}15.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}18.150$ I do think some of that emotionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.63257343

 $00:55:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.600$ based language if it's the only thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.54647257

 $00:55:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.000$ is is perhaps bias.

 $00:55:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.360$ But it does give color to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.731607755

 $00{:}55{:}27.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.040$ otherwise people just appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.731607755

 $00:55:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.999$ like they're all the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.593163257142857

 $00:55:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.960$ I I mean, I I don't know. That's

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00:55:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.785$ just. Yeah. And there's nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00:55:33.785 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.880$ wrong with that language at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

00:55:35.880 --> 00:55:38.540 I would, I think having a caring

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00:55:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.120$ physician is really nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

00:55:40.120 --> 00:55:41.968 You know, I think somebody who

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00:55:41.968 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.916$ shows that they care and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00{:}55{:}43.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.872$ compassion for another person is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

00:55:45.872 --> 00:55:47.918 good trait to having a physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00:55:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.635$ But if that's all the language that

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00:55:49.635 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.320$ is being used on one individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00:55:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.420$ that's where things become

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00:55:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.995$ a little problematic.

00:55:55.000 --> 00:55:57.048 But I I'm glad that you use strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182

 $00{:}55{:}57.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.117$ language to describe a female training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820955881818182 00:55:59.120 --> 00:55:59.600 That's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73263705

 $00:56:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.080$ Frederick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820836694

 $00:56:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.768$ I was interested in the pyramid, excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820836694

 $00:56:06.768 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.240$ that you shared in an earlier slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820836694

 $00:56:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.715$ It didn't have a reference

NOTE Confidence: 0.820836694

 $00:56:11.715 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.600$ associated with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820836694

00:56:12.600 --> 00:56:14.740 So is that something you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.820836694

 $00:56:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.958$ created yourself or is there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820836694

00:56:17.960 --> 00:56:19.352 you know, a publication we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.820836694

 $00:56:19.352 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.759$ read to learn more about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.921722985

 $00:56:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.480$ Which one are you referring to, Frederick?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57872391

 $00{:}56{:}24.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.518$ Way back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.796062059090909

 $00:56:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.146$ the beginning. Yeah, way back in

 $00:56:27.146 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.320$ the beginning where there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.74659351

 $00:56:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.439$ there was a there in in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74659351

 $00:56:30.439 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.239$ lower right corner of the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74659351

 $00:56:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.560$ There was a pyramid. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.738579756363636

 $00:56:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.028$ I would love to Google image

NOTE Confidence: 0.738579756363636

 $00.56.36.028 \longrightarrow 00.56.37.080$ search where I got that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738579756363636

 $00:56:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.636$ that that's not of my creation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738579756363636

00:56:39.640 --> 00:56:42.262 Oh, OK. I'll see if I can find the

NOTE Confidence: 0.738579756363636

 $00:56:42.262 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.758$ reference and I can share it with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86521939777778

 $00:56:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.680$ formerly known as the teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.865219397777778

 $00{:}56{:}47.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}49.480$ and Learning Center folks who can

NOTE Confidence: 0.865219397777778

 $00:56:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.600$ maybe send it out to the group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92517428

00:56:51.400 --> 00:56:53.080 Yeah, we can definitely send it out. Katie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909046902857143

00:56:54.000 --> 00:56:55.638 thank you very. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909046902857143

 $00:56:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.280$ It was, it was interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909046902857143

 $00:56:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.966$ but you'd need to sit with

 $00:56:59.966 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.046$ it a little bit to really to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909046902857143

 $00:57:02.046 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.476$ really process it. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

00:57:03.960 --> 00:57:07.398 Yeah, my pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.518$ Just in the interest of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

00:57:09.520 --> 00:57:12.593 And then I'll happy to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:12.593 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.920$ any additional thoughts people have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00.57:14.920 \longrightarrow 00.57:16.820$ There are some additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

00:57:16.820 --> 00:57:18.720 yes sessions coming up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.520$ I would love to attend both of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.104$ Getting published is definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:22.104 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.480$ something that we all enjoy doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.873$ So or to potentially attending that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00{:}57{:}26.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.030$ one with Doctor Martin and then Bill

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

00:57:30.110 --> 00:57:32.636 Coutreir who's one of the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

00:57:32.636 --> 00:57:34.326 at Vanderbilt and a wonderful

 $00:57:34.326 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.018$ educator is going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00{:}57{:}36.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37.717$ becoming a master adaptive learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.205$ I've attended this session at a national

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:40.205 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.400$ conference and it's incredibly useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.460$ So highly recommend any

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.440$ last remaining thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

00:57:48.440 --> 00:57:48.780 Thankfully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852894783333333

 $00:57:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.480$ we ended exactly on time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817927502

 $00:57:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.616$ Thank you, Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817927502

00:57:54.616 --> 00:57:55.432 Hopefully people will

NOTE Confidence: 0.817927502

 $00:57:55.432 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.520$ fill out the evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817927502

 $00:57:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.158$ Tell us what you find useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817927502

00:57:58.160 --> 00:58:00.425 We'll get this feedback to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817927502

00:58:00.425 --> 00:58:01.960 Katie and we'll see you 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.939995086666667

 $00:58:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.478$ weeks from now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973866425

 $00:58:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.120$ Thanks again for joining.

00:58:11.040 --> 00:58:14.330 Thank you and all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.973866425

 $00:58:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.400$ slides and the talks are on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.973866425

00:58:17.400 --> 00:58:20.600 Saved to the Center website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973866425

 $00:58:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.520$ People want to go back.