WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:50:02" NOTE recognizability:0.877

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.072$ This is Martin who you're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}02.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04.940$ hear from today Co administrate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:04.940 \dashrightarrow 00:00:08.219$ direct the yes Yale Educator series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:08.219 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.746$ So we're so excited to have you as

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:11.746 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.200$ you know with the combination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.792$ educator development series that

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

00:00:16.792 --> 00:00:19.136 are ideally appealing and useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:19.136 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.256$ for people whether you're teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

00:00:21.256 --> 00:00:23.796 in the clinical setting or the pre,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}00{:}23.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.704$ pre clerkship clinical setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}00{:}27.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.990$ And Andreas today has a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}00{:}30.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.575$ one that's not only I think this

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:33.575 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.800$ is probably applicable to any,

 $00:00:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.048$ any faculty or resident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:36.048 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.920$ So we're great that you're here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.232$ It has to do with professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:40.232 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.062$ development skill of scientific or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:44.062 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.917$ other scholarship writing of abstracts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

00:00:46.920 --> 00:00:49.128 So I'm just going to briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

00:00:49.128 --> 00:00:50.232 introduce Andreas Martin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.200$ for those who may not know him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}00{:}52.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.596$ but he's the Riva Ariela Rico,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

00:00:55.600 --> 00:00:56.948 pardon, no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}00{:}56.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.644$ professor of child psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:00:59.644 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.240$ at the Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}01{:}03.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.508$ And he is also the medical director

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:05.508 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.052$ of the Children's Psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}01{:}07.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.352$ In patient Service at the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}01{:}09.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.400$ New Haven Children's Hospital.

 $00:01:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.168$ And he's been part of the Center for

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}01{:}16.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.836$ Medical Education for a long time and has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

00:01:21.840 --> 00:01:23.712 I think it was maybe just three years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.104$ Andreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

00:01:24.104 --> 00:01:26.666 you can tell me I'm wrong about

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:26.666 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.376$ when you ended up finishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:28.376 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.812$ your doctoral degree in medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:30.812 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.476$ education from the Netherlands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

00:01:33.480 --> 00:01:35.824 He's also the Editor in Chief and chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:35.824 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.575$ of the Journal of the American Academy

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

00:01:38.575 --> 00:01:40.675 of Child at Adolescent Psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.592$ Or was until 2017.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00{:}01{:}43.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.505$ And I'm really excited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:45.505 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.875$ I've been enjoying learning from him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.093$ So we're all going to be excited to be

 $00:01:51.093 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.880$ better abstract writers 50 minutes from now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.400$ Andreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705278281

 $00:01:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.320$ turning it over to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868408206923077

 $00:01:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.972$ Amen. Thank you, Dana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868408206923077

00:01:58.972 --> 00:02:02.680 And it's such a joy working with with Dana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868408206923077

 $00:02:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.160$ So to get CME credits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868408206923077

 $00{:}02{:}06.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.296$ please go ahead and text that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868408206923077

 $00:02:08.296 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.720$ number to that phone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868408206923077

 $00{:}02{:}09.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.670$ We're also going to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.868408206923077

 $00:02:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.518$ it in the in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906008614117647

 $00{:}02{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.198$ OK. And the rest of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.906008614117647

 $00:02:16.198 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.923$ that says that I don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.906008614117647

00:02:17.923 --> 00:02:19.199 any conflicts of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906008614117647

00:02:19.200 --> 00:02:20.736 I'm not paid by abstracts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.906008614117647

 $00:02:20.736 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.320$ America to give this talk, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00:02:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.250$ OK, So what are the learning

 $00:02:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.520$ objectives today? First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00{:}02{:}28.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.040$ and this might seem strange at first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00:02:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.290$ but I hope that by the end of today

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00:02:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.864$ you will be able to differentiate an

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00:02:35.864 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.040$ abstract as a summary of a paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00:02:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.385$ which I would argue is a bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00:02:40.385 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.720$ thing from seeing a paper as

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00:02:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.572$ an as an expanded abstract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00{:}02{:}44.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.639$ which I would argue is a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90663622125

 $00:02:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.280$ Hopefully this will become clear. Next

NOTE Confidence: 0.91266190375

 $00:02:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.655$ the commandment to all of us abstract

NOTE Confidence: 0.91266190375

00:02:56.655 --> 00:02:58.604 writers finding ways of shedding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91266190375

 $00{:}02{:}58.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.600$ of of shedding the fluff and becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.91266190375

 $00:03:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.440$ understated in our writing abstracts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.234$ Finally, to be sure to incorporate

00:03:10.234 --> 00:03:13.640 basic elements of writing and PGH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.638$ here stands, I'll describe it further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.160$ Stands for problem gap hook,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.932$ which is a strong heuristic to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:21.932 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.320$ writing including that and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

00:03:25.320 --> 00:03:28.240 include elements of epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

00:03:28.240 --> 00:03:31.144 problem, population, exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:31.144 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.072$ comparison, outcome, and time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00{:}03{:}34.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.040$ And this is not just for epidemiology papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.120$ it's for the great majority of papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.064$ and something that's near and dear to my

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

00:03:43.064 --> 00:03:44.959 heart and my current research I write.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

00:03:44.960 --> 00:03:47.440 I do mostly qualitative research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.826$ so there are a couple of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:48.826 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.014$ that are slightly different when

NOTE Confidence: 0.941615948333333

 $00:03:50.014 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.399$ it comes to qualitative research,

 $00:03:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.400$ and I'll point those out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969726106

00:03:54.680 --> 00:03:56.939 I love this quote from Pascal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969726106

00:03:56.939 --> 00:03:59.213 17th century, who said I'm sorry

NOTE Confidence: 0.969726106

00:03:59.213 --> 00:04:02.076 that I wrote you such a long letter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969726106

 $00:04:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.600$ but I didn't have time to write a short one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969726106

 $00:04:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.838$ and there's great wisdom in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969726106

 $00:04:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.280$ Writing short is really hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969726106

 $00{:}04{:}10.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.000$ Another 17th century genius, Doctor Seuss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969726106

 $00{:}04{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.040$ said that short is better than length

NOTE Confidence: 0.969726106

 $00:04:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.679$ and they were all on to something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

00:04:23.720 --> 00:04:26.744 Now let let's get into this philosophical

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:04:26.744 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.717$ 17th century quill on hand mindset and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}04{:}29.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.400$ going to ask you to do a mind experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}04{:}32.400 \to 00{:}04{:}35.235$ a thought experiment as we move along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:04:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.712$ And that is, think of your last

00:04:38.712 --> 00:04:41.250 abstract that you wrote, imagined,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:04:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.875$ or are imagining right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:04:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.140$ or revisited or wrote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:04:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.438$ It'll be helpful to have a template

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:04:47.438 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.478$ in your mind of an abstract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:04:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.594$ So if you have written a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

00:04:51.600 --> 00:04:52.720 you'll have many of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

00:04:52.720 --> 00:04:54.772 If you are just getting started

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:04:54.772 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.644$ and writing them, that's fine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:04:56.644 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.598$ you can just follow that mental sketch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}04{:}59.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.636$ I think that if you do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.475$ you will get the most out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

00:05:03.475 --> 00:05:05.600 our next minutes together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.912$ The outline for the talk today is 3 parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.270$ 1st, why bother?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.220$ You know what's a big whoop Dee Doo with

00:05:14.220 --> 00:05:17.440 abstracts and why does it matter anyway?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}05{:}17.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.127$ The second one is the content of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

00:05:19.127 --> 00:05:20.829 an abstract and this is where we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

00:05:20.829 --> 00:05:22.520 going to spend most of our time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.160$ What is the anatomy and the Physiology of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.476$ an abstract and how can we optimize it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579 00:05:29.480 --> 00:05:30.164 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:30.164 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.370$ we're going to close by a review of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}05{:}33.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.114$ dozen tips to write a compelling abstract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}05{:}36.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.210$ We will have covered most of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.400$ by the time that we get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.184$ OK, so context. Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:46.184 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.076$ Why bothering?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.608$ Why does it matter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:48.608 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.196$ Let me show you an X-ray of your brain

 $00:05:52.196 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.996$ and mind and how we read papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}05{:}55.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.917$ So this is how not to read a paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.928$ But of course it's how we all usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:05:59.928 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.520$ read papers and this is what happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:06:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.676$ So this here is a paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:06:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.920$ Doesn't matter the content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:06:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.760$ but as soon as you open the paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:06:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.816$ your brain does this magical thing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

00:06:11.816 --> 00:06:15.395 which everything goes Gray and you stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

00:06:15.395 --> 00:06:18.544 paying attention because it's too scary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579 00:06:18.544 --> 00:06:19.568 detailed. NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579 00:06:19.568 --> 00:06:22.640 It's no good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}06{:}22.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.596$ Instead you go to the introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}06{:}24.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.496$ which in essence is a glorified

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:06:26.496 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.760$ letter to the editor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:06:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.504$ So already someone is trying to brainwash

 $00:06:30.504 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.117$ you to tell you how wonderful their work is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}06{:}34.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.038$ Then when it comes to the methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:06:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.384$ you simply skip those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:06:38.384 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.556$ They're impenetrable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}06{:}39.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.040$ They have some Greek letters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00{:}06{:}43.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.353$ You don't know what a log linear model is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579

 $00:06:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.158$ You don't care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862228952631579 00:06:46.158 --> 00:06:46.956 You skip it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:06:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.693$ The result's the same thing. You skip it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

00:06:51.693 --> 00:06:53.319 You know the tables are busy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

00:06:53.320 --> 00:06:56.040 the figures are hard to make sense of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

00:06:56.040 --> 00:06:57.438 so you're skipping most of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:06:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.378$ And you get to your discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00{:}06{:}59.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.467$ and read parts of it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

00:07:01.467 --> 00:07:03.313 it's in English, not in Greek.

 $00:07:03.313 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.070$ But in some ways it's not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:05.134 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.582$ right thing because shouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:06.582 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.754$ you be making your own decision?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

00:07:08.760 --> 00:07:11.920 And shouldn't you be reading your own paper?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.719$ So that's how we usually read papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:13.720 \dashrightarrow 00:07:18.436$ But this is a key thing related to today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

00:07:18.440 --> 00:07:19.760 Oh, and the disclosures, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

00:07:19.760 --> 00:07:22.076 why should I care about disclosures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00{:}07{:}22.080 \to 00{:}07{:}25.160$ But this is what we can do and usually do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.966$ And that's what the abstract is

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00{:}07{:}26.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.839$ the most powerful part of a paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.860$ It's overwhelmingly the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.880$ part that is read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

00:07:32.880 --> 00:07:35.358 It's a part in which you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:35.358 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.200$ connect with your audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

00:07:37.200 --> 00:07:40.959 And even though it is absolutely critical,

 $00:07:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.790$ all too commonly we just leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00{:}07{:}42.790 --> 00{:}07{:}45.237$ it as the very last thing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.170$ It's an afterthought and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.100$ don't really pay the attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.819077248

 $00:07:49.173 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.438$ that it that it deserved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:07:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.270$ So let's get into what

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:07:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.604$ is it that can help us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:07:58.604 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.760$ So the first thing is that whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

00:08:00.829 --> 00:08:02.470 else you do, don't leave your

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00{:}08{:}02.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.920$ abstract as as an after thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.678$ Don't leave it to the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.920$ The corollary of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00{:}08{:}08.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.520$ get to your abstract first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

00:08:11.520 --> 00:08:14.916 and even as you're moving along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

00:08:14.920 --> 00:08:16.278 and if you have a scientific idea,

 $00:08:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.792$ try to think of what this

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:17.792 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.800$ abstract would be like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.132$ It doesn't have to be all 250 words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:21.132 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.775$ it can just be a couple of lines that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

00:08:24.775 --> 00:08:27.640 start putting shape into your paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

00:08:27.640 --> 00:08:30.200 So why should you bother?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.256$ Well, these are some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:31.256 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.160$ functions that an abstract does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00{:}08{:}32.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.157$ It per suades someone to read your paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:36.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.645$ We're going to have another

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

00:08:37.645 --> 00:08:38.833 Yes session in January.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

00:08:38.840 --> 00:08:41.180 Looking at posters and a poster

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.480$ really is a glorified abstract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.676$ and they serve the same function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

00:08:45.680 --> 00:08:48.515 You want to persuade someone to connect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.656$ to engage scientifically

 $00:08:50.656 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.611$ with you in an abstract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:52.611 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.673$ You also want to cover the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

00:08:53.673 --> 00:08:54.677 high points of your study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.864$ You don't want to be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00{:}08{:}56.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.320$ encyclopedia and cover everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:08:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.440$ You want your abstract to help you be

NOTE Confidence: 0.94902806

 $00:09:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.520$ findable in computerized databases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93180358

 $00:09:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.680$ At a more cognitive level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93180358

00:09:09.680 --> 00:09:11.700 an abstract really helps you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93180358

00:09:11.700 --> 00:09:13.316 clarify your own thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93180358

 $00{:}09{:}13.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.558$ because if you can't abstract it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93180358

00:09:15.560 --> 00:09:17.920 you really can't write it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93180358

 $00:09:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.120$ It goes back to Pascal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93180358

 $00:09:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.040$ If you think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.874$ that you can write a big paper

 $00:09:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.190$ without being able to summarize

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00{:}09{:}26.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.238$ that there's something wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.080$ So the the tightness of it is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.690$ very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:29.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.825$ And the last point that often gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00{:}09{:}31.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.359$ for gotten is that you become a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

00:09:33.359 --> 00:09:34.840 better critic of your own work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.864$ but you also become a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:37.864 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.880$ reviewer of others work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.477$ Many of us don't love to review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.072$ but it's part of belonging to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:45.072 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.800$ a scientific community where

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.080$ you do review and especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

00:09:49.080 --> 00:09:51.280 after you have been published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:09:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.200$ enjoy the toils and share the toils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532 00:09:56.200 --> 00:09:56.630 right.

00:09:56.630 --> 00:10:00.244 So you you have to give back in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:10:00.244 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.660$ academia and to do so as a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:10:02.746 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.234$ reviewer will really be helpful and help you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00{:}10{:}06.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.925$ If you're interested in becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

00:10:07.925 --> 00:10:09.273 part of a journal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

00:10:09.280 --> 00:10:10.764 if you want to be part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

00:10:10.764 --> 00:10:11.400 a program committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:10:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.852$ if you want to become more

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

00:10:12.852 --> 00:10:14.240 actively involved in your society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:10:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.620$ etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92860532

 $00:10:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.000$ etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426623

 $00:10:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.248$ who cares? Well, there's this dude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426623

 $00:10:23.248 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.820$ Who cares? So this is most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426623

 $00{:}10{:}25.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.160$ us coming with our pencil head

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426623

 $00:10:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.080$ as supplicants to an editor.

 $00:10:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.652$ Please take my paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426623

00:10:31.652 --> 00:10:34.408 And if you haven't been rejected by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426623

00:10:34.408 --> 00:10:36.200 a journal, it only means one thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426623

 $00:10:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.820$ It means that you haven't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426623

00:10:37.820 --> 00:10:38.640 submitting enough, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:10:40.680 --> 00:10:42.720 Rejection is part of the game,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:10:42.720 --> 00:10:44.687 but over time, you want the signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:10:44.687 --> 00:10:46.399 to noise ratio to get better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:10:46.400 --> 00:10:48.440 You want to be approved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:10:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.636$ accepted more and more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00{:}10{:}49.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.725$ and an abstract is going to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00{:}10{:}51.725 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}10{:}53.442$ you so that Mister Erase your Head

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:10:53.442 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.279$ doesn't send you to the garbage bin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00{:}10{:}55.280 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}} 00{:}10{:}56.250$ Once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:10:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.675$ this point is absolutely critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:10:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.240$ I was an editor in chief for 10

 $00:11:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.852$ years and the only thing that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:03.852 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.280$ have editors read is abstracts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.736$ It's only at a much later date stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:11:08.736 --> 00:11:11.435 of the game that we start getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:11.435 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.440$ really into the paper itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:11:13.440 --> 00:11:15.160 So people will spend months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:11:15.160 --> 00:11:16.955 years in the beautiful discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:16.955 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.360$ and the guts of the results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:11:19.360 --> 00:11:22.379 as he should, but once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00{:}11{:}22.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.228$ leave the abstract as an after thought and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:11:25.228 --> 00:11:27.756 do themselves a big disservice in that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.426$ The other people who care a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00{:}11{:}29.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.239$ about this are medical conferences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:11:31.240 --> 00:11:33.408 Whatever your professional society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:33.408 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.034$ wherever you go,

00:11:35.040 --> 00:11:36.280 if you want to present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:11:36.280 --> 00:11:37.918 if you want your work work to be shown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.450$ you will need to write an

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.039$ abstract and put it up there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.154$ And the principles are exactly the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:11:43.160 --> 00:11:45.920 You want to touch someone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

00:11:45.920 --> 00:11:47.840 You want to connect with someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.330$ through those 250 words so that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.947287543333334

 $00:11:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.880$ can see how important the work is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92032834555556

00:11:55.680 --> 00:12:00.720 OK, so let's move on to the second part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92032834555556

 $00:12:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.135$ the content and what I'm calling the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92032834555556

00:12:03.135 --> 00:12:06.000 Anatomy of the Physiology of an Abstract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870411211666667

00:12:08.160 --> 00:12:10.116 So let's start with a title,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870411211666667

 $00:12:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.120$ and I'll call this entitlement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870411211666667

 $00:12:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.278$ the mini lives of the title.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870411211666667

 $00{:}12{:}14.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.372$ So I have an example here of a little

 $00:12:17.372 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.080$ paper that I wrote that I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.870411211666667

 $00:12:19.142 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.118$ share with you at the end of today

NOTE Confidence: 0.870411211666667

 $00:12:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.038$ in which I struggle for a while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870411211666667

 $00:12:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.435$ While as to the title of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870411211666667

 $00:12:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.240$ I initially thought he started with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:12:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.572$ I initially thought that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

00:12:33.572 --> 00:12:37.037 Abstractification was a great title,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:12:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.426$ but of course that's not even

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:12:38.426 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.320$ a word in the English language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:12:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.839$ It's a little bit or a lot too cute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:12:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.359$ and it really doesn't tell me anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:12:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.160$ So I like the word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912463455555556

 $00{:}12{:}47.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.960$ just like I like the play on entitlement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

00:12:50.960 --> 00:12:54.159 But it didn't really give me enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:12:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.244$ so I continued polishing

 $00:12:55.244 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.599$ it and thinking about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00{:}12{:}56.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.148$ And then I came to this abstracted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

00:12:59.148 --> 00:13:01.288 Otherwise no one is likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:13:01.288 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.880$ to read or find your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:13:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.476$ So that's a little bit better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

00:13:05.480 --> 00:13:07.680 It's still a little cute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:13:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.450$ but at least it tells me

NOTE Confidence: 0.91246345555556

 $00:13:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.320$ what the paper may be about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:13:13.920 --> 00:13:15.060 The paper that I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.200$ to show share with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.480$ This is the the title I settled on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.940$ which is Abstract art.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.130$ 12 tips to help you write

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:22.207 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.878$ an effective abstract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.208$ You can already get a sense that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:13:25.208 --> 00:13:26.758 was not written for a scholarly journal,

00:13:26.760 --> 00:13:31.030 it was more for some magazine type

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00{:}13{:}31.030 \to 00{:}13{:}33.838$ publication, but it tells you what it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:13:33.840 --> 00:13:36.000 It's a little cute abstract art,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.680$ but not over the top cute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.288$ And each of the the words

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:39.288 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.039$ here is doing some word work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.480$ telling you what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.700$ Now, if I wanted to take this

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:13:44.700 --> 00:13:46.520 article into an academic journal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.120$ it would look perhaps something

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.720$ a little bit like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.012$ Abstract quality predictors of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00{:}13{:}52.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.731$ preliminary article rejection

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:13:53.731 --> 00:13:56.786 in the scholarly journal, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:13:56.786 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.760$ So you see different levels of this

00:14:00.760 --> 00:14:03.320 and at least the way my brain works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.066$ I typically go through some iteration

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:14:05.066 --> 00:14:07.248 of this couple of words, I Polish them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:07.248 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.986$ I know more and more and more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:08.986 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.480$ by the time I have a title,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:14:12.480 --> 00:14:13.752 one of the hardest pieces of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:13.752 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.600$ work has been done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:14:14.600 --> 00:14:16.959 Because once I knew abstract R12 tips,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00{:}14{:}16.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.694$ then that put A-frame on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:18.694 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.879$ paper and it was easy from them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.180$ Now you will notice that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.280$ put the colon in green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00{:}14{:}27.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.640$ I highlighted that colon and I did that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.800$ on purpose because the colon is your friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.160$ Just remember that the colon is your friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:14:39.160 --> 00:14:42.172 A good title pretty much always

 $00:14:42.172 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.319$ has two parts divided by a colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00{:}14{:}45.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46.862$ There is something that gives us

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:46.862 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.720$ a hint of what this is about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.124$ That's on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:50.124 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.198$ and a whole bunch of specifiers on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:14:53.200 --> 00:14:54.558 So let me give you an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556 00:14:54.560 --> 00:14:55.010 Dana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:14:55.010 --> 00:14:56.360 my dear friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.610$ is a specialist in sexually

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

00:14:58.610 --> 00:14:59.800 transmitted diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:14:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.680$ and let me just write a

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:15:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.440$ hypothetical paper in that domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00{:}15{:}04.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.360$ I know nothing about the topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811452556

 $00:15:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.600$ but we might call it

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

00:15:11.400 --> 00:15:14.984 chlamydia trachomatis in italics,

00:15:14.984 --> 00:15:20.796 right colon a randomized cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:20.796 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.044$ controlled trial of community

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:24.044 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.870$ based services in something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00{:}15{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.990$ So I already know that it's about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

00:15:29.990 --> 00:15:32.599 particular bug called chlamydia on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.238$ but on the right, I already have a sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:34.238 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.878$ that it's a randomized controlled trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.880$ That's good, but it's not of a medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.640$ Maybe it's a some psychosocial intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.286$ I'm intrigued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

00:15:41.286 --> 00:15:44.360 I already want to go and do that there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.520$ Dana, are you intrigued in reading my paper?

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.088$ Yeah. See,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00{:}15{:}48.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.600$ so that the colon is a is an interesting way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.160$ And if you look at articles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

00:15:53.160 --> 00:15:56.429 most of the times it's there on the right,

 $00:15:56.429 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.767$ you can see things like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

00:15:57.767 --> 00:15:58.800 randomized controlled trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:15:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.200$ a systematic review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:16:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.420$ a case control study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:16:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.640$ a qualitative qualitative report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

00:16:05.640 --> 00:16:08.610 It tells you something You usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:16:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.200$ don't want to start with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:16:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.256$ You don't want to start with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:16:12.256 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.760$ cluster randomized controlled trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00{:}16{:}13.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.040$ because there's a million of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:16:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.560$ You want to start with chlamydia trachomatis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00{:}16{:}18.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.355$ because that already tells who

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00{:}16{:}20.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.400$ your readership is going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766346204

 $00:16:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.360$ So don't forget the lowly colon in the type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929389785

 $00:16:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.880$ Then we get to the real

 $00:16:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.080$ guts of the abstract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929389785

 $00{:}16{:}32.080 \to 00{:}16{:}33.340$ And here they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929389785

 $00:16:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.600$ You know them well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929389785

00:16:34.600 --> 00:16:36.208 they're your friends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929389785

00:16:36.208 --> 00:16:37.280 objectives, methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929389785

 $00:16:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.038$ results and conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:16:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.880$ And and we're going to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:16:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.100$ as you can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:16:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.930$ spending time talking about the methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

00:16:47.986 --> 00:16:50.154 that has all of these things about design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:16:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.988$ setting, participants, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:16:50.988 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.920$ So this is what an abstract is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:16:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.640$ You know that very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:16:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.076$ Now let's look at how that relates

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

00:16:57.076 --> 00:16:59.199 to the Physiology of an abstract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:16:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.600$ So the objective is what you set out to do.

 $00:17:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.316$ The methods are the how and what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.560$ what you actually did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.844$ The results are the thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:09.844 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.354$ you did that you found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.760$ And the conclusions are a recap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.880$ brief recap and no more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.918$ We're going to get to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.560$ There's a great desire to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00{:}17{:}20.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.085$ start really tapping on your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:22.085 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.840$ keyboard and put a whole lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.478$ at the end of the conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00:17:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.440$ But that's not necessarily not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9506982

 $00{:}17{:}28.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.640$ necessary and usually not good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827367661666667

 $00:17:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.440$ Well, let's start with the objective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827367661666667

 $00:17:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.750$ It's better to have one

 $00:17:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.798$ single objective than two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827367661666667

 $00{:}17{:}37.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.600$ Sometimes you can have two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827367661666667

00:17:39.600 --> 00:17:41.968 This is not a place yet to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.827367661666667

 $00:17:41.968 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.324$ it in a hypothesis language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827367661666667

 $00:17:44.324 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.676$ It's an objective statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

00:17:48.840 --> 00:17:49.971 Avoid throat clearing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

00:17:49.971 --> 00:17:52.233 That is such a common problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:17:52.233 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.572$ that I saw as an editor that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00{:}17{:}54.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.937$ people started with chlamydia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:17:55.937 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.439$ Trachomatis is a venereal disease that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00{:}17{:}58.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.999$ has been known to mankind for ever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00{:}18{:}01.000 \to 00{:}18{:}03.160$ It is treated with what, I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00{:}18{:}03.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.120$ penicillin with antibiotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

00:18:04.120 --> 00:18:06.118 And it gets that I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

00:18:06.120 --> 00:18:08.360 Everybody knows that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.078$ so don't clear your throat in.

 $00:18:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.520$ In my area of autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.551$ so many articles are autism is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:16.551 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.288$ neurodevelopmental disorder first described

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:18.288 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.616$ by Leo O'Connor in 1943 as like reject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:21.616 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.031$ Enough, I know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

00:18:23.031 --> 00:18:25.658 So so lead with what you know with

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:25.658 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.274$ what is new what what is that hook

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.960$ and and think that you're writing

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

00:18:29.960 --> 00:18:32.038 a haiku here you want to be very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263 00:18:32.040 --> 00:18:32.784 very brief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:32.784 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.760$ but not so brief as to be obscure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.760$ You need to be aware of who your target is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00{:}18{:}37.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.638$ So the example that I gave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

00:18:39.640 --> 00:18:41.968 if I'm writing for about chlamydia

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:41.968 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.531$ to specialists in the area is going

00:18:44.531 --> 00:18:47.592 to be different than if I pitch it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

00:18:47.592 --> 00:18:49.862 internal medicine folks who are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.826664302105263

 $00:18:49.862 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.278$ sexually transmitted disease specialists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967432546923077

00:18:53.760 --> 00:18:56.616 OK, these three letters I have come

NOTE Confidence: 0.967432546923077

00:18:56.616 --> 00:18:59.184 to love and I hope you you take

NOTE Confidence: 0.967432546923077

 $00{:}18{:}59.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.720$ them with you and get to love them

NOTE Confidence: 0.928836376666667

 $00:19:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.220$ because they are helpful not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.928836376666667

 $00:19:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.599$ for an objective or an introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928836376666667

 $00{:}19{:}07.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.575$ but they're critically helpful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.928836376666667

00:19:09.575 --> 00:19:11.520 writing a paper. The introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928836376666667

00:19:11.520 --> 00:19:13.800 the first part of a paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928836376666667

 $00:19:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.720$ so that the PGH as a reminder is a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928836376666667

 $00:19:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.160$ So what is known and why it matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722467

00:19:23.600 --> 00:19:26.120 Chlamydia has X&
amp; Y consequences and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722467

00:19:26.120 --> 00:19:28.640 it responds to A&B antibiotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932828969

 $00:19:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.680$ It has a significant toll on the population

00:19:34.680 --> 00:19:36.960 measured by X&Y. That's a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

00:19:39.640 --> 00:19:42.550 What's missing, However, we know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:19:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.554$ 40% of chlamydia does not respond and

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:19:45.554 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.960$ we need novel treatments to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:19:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.956$ So that's the gap, what is missing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:19:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.597$ And then the hook what you're doing about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:19:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.540$ So approaches to treat chlamydia have

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00{:}19{:}56.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.079$ been largely based on novel antibiotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00{:}20{:}00.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.824$ In addition, our work has expanded

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:20:03.824 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.696$ non pharmacological interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

00:20:05.696 --> 00:20:08.609 such as community, blah blah blah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00{:}20{:}08.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.424$ So suddenly I'm interested because

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00{:}20{:}10.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.068$ it's not the the same old same

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

00:20:13.068 --> 00:20:15.153 old so problem gap hook.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

00:20:15.160 --> 00:20:16.040 If you think about this,

00:20:16.040 --> 00:20:17.980 whenever you write that first

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:20:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.920$ few paragraphs in a paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:20:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.344$ it's very, very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:20:21.344 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.224$ Our brains are primed in this way because

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:20:24.224 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.576$ it's a little bit of a scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:20:26.576 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.476$ story and and we are social animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:20:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.587$ we're storytelling animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958700797142857

 $00:20:30.587 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.560$ So this is a way of following that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:20:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.585$ Your research question should flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

00:20:37.585 --> 00:20:40.491 very naturally out of this and in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00{:}20{:}40.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.363$ the hook and the research question

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:20:42.363 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.674$ can become a little bit of a blend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00{:}20{:}44.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.448$ This is what we did in this cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:20:47.448 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.880$ randomized community controls trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

00:20:49.880 --> 00:20:54.440 So PGH, problem gap, gap hook,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:20:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.260$ the 2nd and I think I'm only

 $00:20:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.039$ going to give you 2 acronyms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:20:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.518$ but this one should be known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.458$ This is the the better known I think is PICO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:04.458 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.392$ And this all of epidemiology can

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:07.392 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.800$ be summarized really to PICO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.200$ So the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

00:21:12.200 --> 00:21:14.920 the exposure or the intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.836$ the comparison or control when applicable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.600$ Sometimes there are no control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.688$ Oops, the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:22.688 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.835$ And time so again and we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:25.835 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.593$ we didn't rehearse this, Dana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00{:}21{:}27.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.417$ but I'm just going here so the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:30.417 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.594$ is going to be you know 15 to 20 year old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.013$ transitionally age youth

00:21:35.013 --> 00:21:37.839 in the city of New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00{:}21{:}37.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39.962$ That might be our population and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:39.962 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.496$ the exposure is going to be those

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

00:21:42.496 --> 00:21:45.158 who had sex without protection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947512454814815

 $00:21:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.554$ and the comparison will be those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:21:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.035$ did not have it did have protection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:21:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.118$ However, we want to define it to condom use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

00:21:57.120 --> 00:21:59.856 For example, our outcome was positivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:21:59.856 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.246$ under the serological tests, I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:02.246 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.510$ And the time is that we did this

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00{:}22{:}04.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.716$ over the course of five months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.640$ So those are the key elements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

00:22:08.640 --> 00:22:09.954 And even if you just put

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:09.954 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.320$ those words or those concepts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

00:22:11.320 --> 00:22:12.916 it's already starting to take shape.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.168$ You don't need to write an abstract on

00:22:15.168 --> 00:22:17.700 day one with full sentences and worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.648$ about the beauty of your prose and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:20.648 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.196$ elegance of your Times New Romance font.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.200$ Now you just need to put down the main ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

00:22:26.200 --> 00:22:29.399 Sometimes we get stuck around those things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

00:22:29.400 --> 00:22:30.960 so let's give an example. Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

00:22:30.960 --> 00:22:32.880 this is from my field in child psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.725$ so children 8 to 12 years of age at

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:36.725 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.395$ of parents with bipolar one disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.280$ So these were children at risk, by the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00{:}22{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.152$ Make things easy on your reader.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

00:22:45.152 --> 00:22:46.280 So if you're going to define

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:46.324 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.439$ something that we don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

00:22:47.440 --> 00:22:50.205 like at Risk or an acronym like

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:50.205 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.308$ AR that we don't know, define it.

 $00:22:53.308 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.278$ Name it the first time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143 00:22:55.280 --> 00:22:55.544 Otherwise, NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:55.544 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.864$ we spend time just looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.739770162857143

 $00:22:56.864 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.920$ and looking and looking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755301825714286

 $00:23:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.634$ The comparison here is of parents without

NOTE Confidence: 0.755301825714286

00:23:04.640 --> 00:23:07.640 any psychiatric disorder, healthy controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.984$ and what was done here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

00:23:13.984 --> 00:23:15.520 diagnostic assessment with MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.580$ The amygdala was measured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.080$ the volumes of the amygdala and this was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.358$ at baseline and then a two years follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.316$ So these are just the elements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

00:23:25.320 --> 00:23:27.172 This is not an abstract, but this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

00:23:27.172 --> 00:23:31.224 this is the guts of an abstract by the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:31.224 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.360$ I underlined were evaluated and were used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.005$ If it didn't give you a little

00:23:36.005 --> 00:23:37.679 bit of a conniption fit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00{:}23{:}37.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39.156$ it gives me a conniption fit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.960$ So hopefully it will to you as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

00:23:41.960 --> 00:23:43.060 I'm originally from Mexico,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00{:}23{:}43.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.332$ so English is my second, second language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:45.332 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.184$ So this was not something that came naturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:48.184 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.478$ to me because we don't have it in Spanish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.524$ But in English we have this thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:52.524 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.216$ called the active tense and the pass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:55.216 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.560$ the active voice and the passive voice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:23:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.512$ And it's remarkable how many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

00:24:01.512 --> 00:24:04.138 use the passive voice, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:04.138 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.783$ were evaluated were used as

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00{:}24{:}05.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.156$ opposed to we evaluated, we used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:08.156 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.522$ you know, there always needs to be

 $00:24:10.522 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.078$ an agent when there's an action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

00:24:13.080 --> 00:24:15.600 So who is it that did what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.995$ So be mindful of your own use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.318$ if any, of the passive voice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.517$ And then we come to the result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.192$ which is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

00:24:24.192 --> 00:24:24.640 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.088$ the the main event that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:27.088 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.720$ been all waiting for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.877$ And some of the tips there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:31.877 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.880$ to be lean and numerical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.955$ You avoid descriptions without numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:36.955 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.560$ which sound a little bit fluffy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924155146

 $00:24:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.000$ I'll give a couple of examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:24:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.240$ and to be selective once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:24:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.680$ lead with your strong point

 $00:24:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.632$ with your main questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00{:}24{:}49.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.656$ Tie things as much as possible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:24:51.656 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.600$ your objective to research question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:24:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.040$ And then if you need to put a

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

00:24:55.040 --> 00:24:56.438 negative finding, by all means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:24:56.438 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.052$ by all means, But don't start there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:24:59.052 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.439$ Start strong and then go to the excuses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:25:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.920$ Sometimes folks wanting to be so

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00{:}25{:}05.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.584$ pristine in their scientific ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:25:08.584 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.992$ they put everything to the point that

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:25:10.992 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.955$ even in an abstract they bore us to tears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

00:25:13.960 --> 00:25:16.040 And when you bore someone that's not good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

00:25:16.040 --> 00:25:18.800 they won't read your paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:25:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.600$ Not every single result needs to be exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:25:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.480$ Not everything needs to have a big P value.

 $00:25:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.670$ Not everything needs to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

00:25:25.670 --> 00:25:26.400 positive finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333 00:25:26.400 --> 00:25:26.984 But again, NOTE Confidence: 0.891968908333333

 $00:25:26.984 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.320$ you don't need to put everything down either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954497264615385

 $00:25:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.489$ This is an example of using a

NOTE Confidence: 0.954497264615385

 $00:25:34.489 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.360$ lot of words and few numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954497264615385

 $00:25:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.025$ Again an example from my

NOTE Confidence: 0.954497264615385

00:25:39.025 --> 00:25:40.357 specialty of child psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954497264615385

 $00{:}25{:}40.360 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}25{:}42.610$ But you see external factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.954497264615385

 $00:25:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.960$ are more predictive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954497264615385

 $00{:}25{:}43.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.680$ Well, how much more predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:25:48.040 --> 00:25:50.158 specifically? Well, if you're so specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:25:50.160 --> 00:25:52.400 why aren't you being specific?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:25:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.410$ They're associated with higher how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:25:54.410 --> 00:25:56.488 higher and higher than what? And lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:25:56.488 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.120$ So when you see a lot of these,

00:25:58.120 --> 00:26:01.600 ER, words, resist that and start

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.040$ thinking of adding numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.760$ What kind of numbers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.236$ Well, there's a range of numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.040$ I would say that there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.720$ evolutionary ladder ladder to numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.155$ The the worst ones are the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:14.155 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.758$ that I was just talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.734$ The vague ones, the ones that try

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:17.734 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.758$ to convey were numbers with words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:26:19.760 --> 00:26:23.480 Smaller, larger, not terribly helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:26:23.480 --> 00:26:24.480 You can use them once in a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.000$ but don't rely too much on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00{:}26{:}27.000 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.910$ Sample size or ends and percentages

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00{:}26{:}29.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.440$ are OK P values are really good.

 $00:26:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.460$ We all love them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:33.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.812$ We all like them, but don't give by

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:26:35.812 --> 00:26:38.400 and large don't give naked P values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.536$ just the P value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:39.536 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.240$ Try to include it with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:41.313 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.318$ result of the statistical test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.114$ or even better with a confidence interval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.770$ The finding of the confidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.090$ interval because that tells

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.831$ the story of significance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:26:51.831 --> 00:26:53.516 of just how much significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.652$ So choose whatever works

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:26:55.652 --> 00:26:58.857 depending on on your research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:26:58.857 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.153$ But numbers are good to to a degree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:27:03.160 --> 00:27:05.806 You don't want to pepper things

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:27:05.806 --> 00:27:08.240 with too many numbers either.

 $00:27:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.880$ So by the time that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.640$ get to the conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.070$ the conclusion should really be

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:27:14.070 --> 00:27:16.120 self-evident by the time that you read it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.910$ that you read it in the in in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:27:20.910 --> 00:27:22.960 attempt at being a chlamydiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.955$ I would say that the conclusion might

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:27:24.955 --> 00:27:29.520 be you know I don't know community

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.120$ based treatments connected with

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.680$ healthcare centers are have a positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:27:36.680 --> 00:27:39.160 impact in the treatment of committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:27:39.160 --> 00:27:40.120 the prevention of chlamydia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.182$ something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:27:41.182 --> 00:27:42.598 It it's a statement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

00:27:42.600 --> 00:27:45.600 this thing actually does something,

 $00:27:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.880$ so it should be self-evident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.584$ He distills the take home message

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:50.584 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.668$ usually in one line, maybe 2 lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:52.668 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.880$ You don't need much more than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.360$ Numbers are not welcome here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00{:}27{:}56.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.800$ You know numbers aren't the result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.414$ So if you're putting a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:27:59.414 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.960$ in the conclusion take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958062775

 $00:28:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.120$ It doesn't belong there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

00:28:04.480 --> 00:28:06.840 Please don't pontificate or editorialize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.120$ It's a another big pool that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.640$ Let me tell you what it all means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

00:28:10.640 --> 00:28:12.124 Let me tell you why my negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:12.124 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.519$ findings are not really negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.273$ No, this is not a place to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.528$ And do not surprise.

00:28:18.528 --> 00:28:20.597 Oh, and by the way, everybody got pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.396$ No, don't, no, don't do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.770$ OK? Avoid truisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

00:28:26.770 --> 00:28:30.400 Another beloved, terrible thing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.325$ The findings indicate that cultural

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:32.325 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.800$ factors must be taking into account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.732$ Well, we we know that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:36.732 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.120$ doesn't really add anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.556$ It's it's a little bit of pablum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00{:}28{:}40.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44.328$ Helping adolescents to deal with safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

00:28:44.328 --> 00:28:47.256 social relations is important, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:47.256 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.000$ And then my unfavorite of all is this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.140$ Further research is needed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.206$ but we know that that's what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:54.206 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.994$ doing this It doesn't add anything.

 $00:28:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.960$ And you just lost four precious words there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:28:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.560$ so don't add obvious truisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00{:}29{:}03.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.331$ So I've I've been telling you like

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

00:29:05.331 --> 00:29:07.092 a schoolmarm, what you shouldn't do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:29:07.092 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.354$ But let me tell you some things

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:29:09.354 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.238$ that you might want to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823236236

 $00:29:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.599$ You should really dare to be understated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.582$ You take a stand to say what you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:18.582 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.597$ found because you worked hard for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

00:29:20.600 --> 00:29:24.938 So you know, oops, don't be vague about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:24.938 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.108$ Go for it and to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

00:29:27.108 --> 00:29:29.166 Less is more when it comes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:29.166 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.250$ the conclusion, so don't bother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:31.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.800$ We're reading all of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.612$ blah blah blah about a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

00:29:34.612 --> 00:29:36.340 large study study on obsessive

 $00:29:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.595$ compulsive disorder done in kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00{:}29{:}38.600 \to 00{:}29{:}40.598$ I put it here to show you that there's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

00:29:40.600 --> 00:29:43.276 you know, many numbers, many details.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.534$ It's one of these JAMA articles with

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:45.534 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.010$ lots and lots of details. But whoops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.200$ But what is really important here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.384$ Is this the conclusion?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:51.384 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.259$ So even if you don't are not a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00{:}29{:}54.259 \to 00{:}29{:}56.674$ psychiatrist and don't know all the numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00{:}29{:}56.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59.354$ we can all understand the children and

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:29:59.354 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.994$ adolescents with Obsessive Compulsive

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:30:00.994 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.604$ disorder should begin treatment with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:30:03.604 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.613$ combination of cognitive behavioral therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:30:05.613 \dashrightarrow 00:30:08.672$ plus an SSRI like Prozac or with CBT alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

00:30:08.672 --> 00:30:10.953 It's it's very clear, right?

00:30:10.953 --> 00:30:12.918 It it's taking a stand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

00:30:12.920 --> 00:30:14.879 it's not opining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:30:14.879 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.093$ It's very clean because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

00:30:17.093 --> 00:30:17.599 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:30:17.599 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.117$ these authors have earned it after

NOTE Confidence: 0.859806343125

 $00:30:19.117 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.398$ doing all of their hard work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:30:24.200 --> 00:30:27.399 I mentioned that I do qualitative work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:30:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.389$ so wanted to show you just a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00{:}30{:}29.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31.676$ of a difference with a qualitative study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:30:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.479$ This is a study that we published last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00{:}30{:}34.480 \to 00{:}30{:}37.312$ and look at that colon, Look at that colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:30:37.312 --> 00:30:40.120 So we have perceptions of racism in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:30:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.560$ children's psychiatric inpatient unit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:30:41.560 --> 00:30:44.486 That's the what and a qualitative study

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:30:44.486 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.120$ of entrenching and uprooting factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:30:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.680$ This is the the how or the detail.

 $00:30:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.816$ So what I want to show you is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:30:52.816 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.500$ in the abstract, just for a second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:30:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.480$ it's a qualitative study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:30:56.480 --> 00:30:59.126 So we're not going to have P values and

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:30:59.126 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.679$ numbers and all the things that I told you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.210$ but some simple similar principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:31:04.210 --> 00:31:07.160 apply of being orderly, of enumerating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00{:}31{:}07.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.380$ of making it easy on the reader

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.877$ even on as complex a paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.356$ So this is what we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:31:14.360 --> 00:31:16.484 We identified 2 processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:16.484 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.670$ entrenching factors that sustain or increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:19.748 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.036$ racism and they come in these three flavors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:31:23.040 --> 00:31:24.692 Predisposing factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:24.692 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.996$ precipitating factors and perpetuating.

 $00:31:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.240$ And the second one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.730$ uprooting factors that rectify or reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.520$ racism so they prevent they punctuate

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.040$ as something is happening in real time

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.540$ or they prohibit and you see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:31:41.540 --> 00:31:45.558 In this case we played with the letter P,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.464$ the alliteration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:46.464 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.628$ So we organized each of the elements

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00{:}31{:}49.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53.080$ into a 6P model along the temporal

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.880$ sequence around Sentinel racist events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.908$ And for each one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:56.908 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.193$ we looked at contributing factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.882$ emotional reactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:58.882 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.564$ behavioral responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:31:59.564 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.908$ So this is a very different kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:01.908 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.020$ of an abstract, right?

 $00:32:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.920$ There aren't the number findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.092$ there aren't the parentheses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:06.092 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.557$ there aren't the P values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:32:07.560 --> 00:32:11.344 But it's still very clearly organized

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:11.344 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.348$ and it flows from the interviews and

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:15.348 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.240$ the the thematic analysis and what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.640$ So just wanted to throw in

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

00:32:20.640 --> 00:32:22.240 a word about qualitative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.240$ So whatever else you do you remember.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.040$ I started with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.840$ So let me finish with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.836$ Whatever else you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00{:}32{:}28.836 \to 00{:}32{:}30.081$ do not leave your abstract

NOTE Confidence: 0.974474934285714

 $00:32:30.081 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.159$ as an afterthought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:32:34.000 --> 00:32:37.437 In fact, get to your abstract last.

 $00:32:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.032$ This is exactly the opposite of what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:32:39.032 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.504$ said in the beginning, but both are true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:32:41.504 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.640$ You want to get to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:32:43.729 --> 00:32:46.359 abstract at the very beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:32:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.360$ even before you really start writing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:32:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.850$ And you want to be polishing it as you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:32:51.912 --> 00:32:53.920 writing your paper, your presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:32:53.920 --> 00:32:56.120 your PowerPoint, whatever it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:32:56.120 --> 00:32:58.276 And the day before presenting your paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:32:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.874$ your PowerPoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:32:58.874 --> 00:33:00.359 or the day before submitting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.094$ you still want to be polishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:02.094 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.440$ it so that it is exactly right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.480$ so that it is exactly right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:33:06.480 --> 00:33:08.880 Most journals, most venues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:33:08.880 --> 00:33:12.520 look for abstracts of about 250 words,

 $00:33:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.760$ so it's not a lot of words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:33:14.760 --> 00:33:16.104 But again Pascal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:16.104 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.344$ you know writing brief is

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:18.344 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.798$ much harder than writing long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00{:}33{:}20.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.800$ I'm going to finish with and

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.550$ and I would love it, love it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.200$ love it if people had questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.080$ we'll have time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:33:27.080 --> 00:33:29.936 I'm going to add with 12 tips

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:29.936 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.494$ or summary points most of which

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:32.494 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.559$ I think we have covered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00{:}33{:}34.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.570$ So whatever else you do start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00{:}33{:}37.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40.238$ your abstract what I just mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00{:}33{:}40.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.104$ respect the abstract's demarcations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:42.104 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.720$ So in Rad you may be familiar.

 $00:33:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.864$ This is the introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00{:}33{:}47.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.963$ methods, results and discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:49.963 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.089$ So these are the typical elements

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:53.089 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.918$ of of an article.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.958$ And what I mean by the demarcations

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:33:57.958 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.400$ is you don't want one section

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:34:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.760$ bleeding into the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:34:01.760 --> 00:34:03.728 You don't want numbers in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:34:03.728 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.040$ discussion I mentioned that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

00:34:05.040 --> 00:34:07.452 But you don't want methods seeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00{:}34{:}07.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.400$ into the results or methods into you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963616044285714

 $00:34:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.880$ You want to keep each one very clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

00:34:17.000 --> 00:34:19.280 title. I gave you some pointers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00:34:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.174$ hopefully helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00:34:20.174 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.962$ This is often overlooked

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00:34:21.962 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.200$ and yet is really core.

 $00{:}34{:}24.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.558$ Really, really core.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00{:}34{:}26.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.892$ In fact, in a poster the abstract

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00:34:28.892 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.034$ may be the only thing that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00:34:31.034 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.500$ seen and and certainly when

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00{:}34{:}32.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.000$ you're looking at the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00:34:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.440$ the title can open the doors or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00:34:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.640$ So spending time with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80841676

 $00:34:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.600$ title is really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00:34:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.756$ An abstract is not a summarized article.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00{:}34{:}42.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.846$ So hopefully I've made my point that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00{:}34{:}44.846 \to 00{:}34{:}47.652$ you don't want to have your paper all

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00:34:47.652 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.705$ beautifully written and then try to distill

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00:34:50.705 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.560$ it or to cannibalize it into 250 words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00:34:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.788$ You you lost an opportunity there because

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00:34:58.788 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.836$ an article is an expanded abstract.

 $00:35:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.102$ If you start with those 250 words

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00:35:04.102 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.957$ with a solid bone structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00:35:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.778$ then you're going to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00:35:07.778 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.480$ to build a rich paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

00:35:09.480 --> 00:35:12.198 It's a small but really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.82910239

 $00:35:12.198 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.358$ difference in my, in my view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00:35:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.150$ follow the basic principles of epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00:35:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.479$ that are the pico that we talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00{:}35{:}21.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.508$ It's almost colored by number and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

00:35:23.508 --> 00:35:25.519 numbers and literally that's what I did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00{:}35{:}25.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.732$ colored by numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

00:35:26.732 --> 00:35:29.156 If you can think of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

00:35:29.160 --> 00:35:30.320 did you hit on everything?

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00{:}35{:}30.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.520$ You're going to be in

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00:35:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.280$ really much better shape.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00:35:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.800$ Include numbers when it's

 $00:35:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.320$ not a qualitative study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00:35:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.784$ although there's even some

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00:35:38.784 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.212$ numbers there in the right dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00{:}35{:}40.212 --> 00{:}35{:}42.000$ You know, don't overdo it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

 $00:35:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.880$ Don't shove numbers down our throat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571 00:35:44.880 --> 00:35:46.539 Just the key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803547756428571

00:35:46.539 --> 00:35:49.000 Take home numerical messages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827797617142857

 $00:35:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.404$ Shut the fluff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827797617142857

 $00:35:52.404 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.796$ Every every word counts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827797617142857

 $00:35:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.664$ You only have 250,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827797617142857

 $00:35:55.664 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.994$ so words must do work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827797617142857

 $00:35:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.860$ Use vigorous verbs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827797617142857

 $00{:}35{:}59.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.720$ Forget about adjectives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827797617142857

 $00:36:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.856$ Forget, forget.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827797617142857

 $00:36:02.856 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.560$ Forget about adverbs

 $00:36:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.960$ and put muscular words in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

 $00:36:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.160$ Be understated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

 $00:36:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.440$ A good abstract speaks for itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

 $00:36:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.170$ It doesn't need any fancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

 $00:36:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.520$ words to to do its job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

 $00:36:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.606$ Do not editorialize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

 $00:36:22.606 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.960$ This is not a New York Times editorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

00:36:25.960 --> 00:36:30.760 You need to be very direct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

00:36:30.760 --> 00:36:33.000 very objective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

 $00:36:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.972$ and not tell everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

00:36:34.972 --> 00:36:37.437 just how smart you are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

 $00:36:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.015$ even though you know we

NOTE Confidence: 0.959189375

 $00:36:39.015 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.560$ all have that desire

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:36:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.900$ typos. A single typo can

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:36:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.920$ just shoot your ship down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00{:}36{:}48.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.320$ So please, please, please, you know,

 $00:36:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.878$ make sure to look at typos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:36:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.919$ There are now several things beyond typos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:36:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.188$ So for example those of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:01.188 --> 00:37:03.911 who have not tried Grammarly or

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:03.911 --> 00:37:06.159 not familiar with Grammarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.016$ I highly recommended the Grammarly Free

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:09.016 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.199$ version will look at all your typos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.278$ It's like a word on steroids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.394$ It will find grammar and all those

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:17.394 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.920$ little things, so that's good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:19.920 --> 00:37:23.200 But Grammarly, the one that you pay for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.916$ not expensive at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:24.916 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.426$ That one also has a tool for, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:28.426 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.204$ plagiarism. And you say me, plagiarism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:31.204 --> 00:37:34.756 Who is he? I think he's talking to?

00:37:34.760 --> 00:37:36.956 But it's surprising that when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:36.956 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.066$ number of references that we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:39.066 --> 00:37:41.103 and that we cite things like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:41.103 --> 00:37:43.228 methods can be very difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:43.228 --> 00:37:44.993 write completely cleanly out of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.612$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:45.612 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.754$ So there's a certain amount of plagiarism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:47.760 --> 00:37:48.506 auto plagiarism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00{:}37{:}48.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.117$ that happens in the best of times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:51.120 --> 00:37:53.064 and it's good to see it in Grammarly

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:53.064 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.159$ to show it to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:54.160 \dashrightarrow 00:37:56.995$ And with some small changes you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

00:37:57.000 --> 00:37:58.960 get rid of that and prevent the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.784$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:37:59.784 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.844$ the horribleness of being said

NOTE Confidence: 0.866759755

 $00:38:01.844 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.680$ that you are plagiarizing.

 $00:38:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.240$ And as I said, whatever else you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96433002375

 $00:38:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.680$ finish with your abstract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96433002375

 $00:38:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.360$ I'm going to ask Linda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96433002375

 $00:38:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.320$ if you don't mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96433002375

 $00:38:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.496$ Linda, these 12 tips are included

NOTE Confidence: 0.96433002375

 $00:38:16.496 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.776$ and elaborated in this short

NOTE Confidence: 0.96433002375

00:38:17.776 --> 00:38:19.480 little paper that I had mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96433002375

 $00:38:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.280$ the one with that title

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00:38:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.392$ and you know there you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00:38:25.392 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.480$ it if it is if it is helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00:38:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.702$ I think that with that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00:38:32.702 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.544$ can 01 more business thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00{:}38{:}34.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37.078$ The business thing is that it would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

00:38:37.078 --> 00:38:39.760 wonderful is as we open it up for comments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00:38:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.616$ if you could scan that code or Linda

 $00:38:42.616 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.022$ will also put the the code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00{:}38{:}45.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.146$ You could tell us you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00:38:47.146 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.321$ your thoughts about this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00:38:49.321 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.008$ It's the first time that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00:38:51.008 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.984$ it and we wanna be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

00:38:52.984 --> 00:38:57.714 So I think that I will pass it to Dana now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920231918461539

 $00:38:57.714 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.120$ Is that how it goes, Dana?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928888253333333

 $00:39:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.713$ Sure. Let's give them a little count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9288882533333333

 $00{:}39{:}02.713 --> 00{:}39{:}04.078$ The clock is counting down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92888253333333

00:39:04.080 --> 00:39:07.754 So we'll we'll I'll be quiet

NOTE Confidence: 0.928888253333333

 $00{:}39{:}07.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09.980$ just for a few seconds to let

NOTE Confidence: 0.928888253333333

 $00:39:10.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.960$ people and myself rate you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909111962631579

 $00:39:37.080 \dashrightarrow 00:39:38.940$ Thank you. We really do appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.909111962631579

 $00:39:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.766$ you letting us know what's useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.909111962631579

 $00:39:40.766 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.026$ to you or not useful to you as

NOTE Confidence: 0.909111962631579

 $00{:}39{:}43.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45.546$ we expand these sessions to be

 $00:39:45.546 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.476$ more relevant to more faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909111962631579

 $00:39:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.844$ So what What questions does anybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.909111962631579

 $00:39:49.844 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.946$ have or comments for Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.909111962631579

00:39:51.946 --> 00:39:53.840 Martin about abstract writing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.468$ I'll just start by saying I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:10.468 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.309$ your comment about a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

00:40:13.309 --> 00:40:15.247 things from from my standpoint of

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:15.247 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.498$ when we do educator development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:17.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.140$ I find that faculty were

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

00:40:19.140 --> 00:40:20.780 learning how to teach better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

00:40:20.780 --> 00:40:23.440 can learn a lot by observing teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.246$ By using kind of some best tips

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00{:}40{:}25.246 {\: -->\:} 00{:}40{:}26.906$ and practices in a rubric to

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00{:}40{:}26.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.556$ watch others because it makes you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.807$ your muscle memory of the optimal

 $00:40:30.807 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.769$ kind of best effective behaviors be

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:32.769 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.760$ so reinforced when you're doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.172$ So your corollary for for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:37.172 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.053$ to be thinking about this when

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

00:40:40.053 --> 00:40:41.838 you're reviewing abstracts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

00:40:41.840 --> 00:40:43.920 I think will not only make you feel like it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.551$ it's nice to guide your to

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:45.551 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.400$ be a more effective reviewer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

 $00:40:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.919$ but it'll strengthen your work as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970022004

00:40:48.920 --> 00:40:50.558 So I I really appreciate that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

 $00:40:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.600$ I don't know if you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

00:40:55.600 --> 00:40:57.520 chat Andres necessarily so I'm just

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

 $00{:}40{:}57.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.514$ going to read the people could

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

 $00:40:59.514 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.266$ also please feel free to raise

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

00:41:01.266 --> 00:41:03.157 your hand or just go off mic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

 $00:41:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.240$ But one person said I am currently

00:41:06.240 --> 00:41:08.943 first time guest editing a journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

 $00:41:08.943 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.746$ and this was right sized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

 $00:41:11.746 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.172$ So very much appreciated Diane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

00:41:15.172 --> 00:41:17.232 And then there's a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

 $00:41:17.232 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.947$ about any tips for English not

NOTE Confidence: 0.927124908888889

 $00:41:19.947 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.915$ as first language authors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268591575

 $00:41:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.860$ Yeah. Yeah, Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268591575

 $00:41:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.560$ Yeah. So 2 two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268591575

 $00:41:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.317$ And let let me maybe address both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268591575

 $00:41:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.972$ So, Diane, that's terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268591575

00:41:28.972 --> 00:41:30.584 I don't, I don't see you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268591575

 $00{:}41{:}30.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31.760$ but I know you're there somewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77107135

 $00{:}41{:}34.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36.836$ Yes. As a guest editor you're likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.77107135

 $00:41:36.836 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.045$ going to be seeing a lot of abstracts

NOTE Confidence: 0.77107135

 $00:41:40.045 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.205$ and your job in being selective

00:41:44.205 --> 00:41:46.400 about not accepting everything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77107135

00:41:46.400 --> 00:41:48.200 not your rejecting everything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:41:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.996$ the the the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:41:55.996 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.562$ the T tool kit T as in Tom the Kathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:41:59.562 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.968$ Kathy Deangelis was the Editor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

00:42:01.968 --> 00:42:04.176 Chief of JAMA and we we're good

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00{:}42{:}04.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.912$ friends and she gave me this tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:05.912 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.718$ tip that might be helpful to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.250$ She said that as an editor

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.480$ you need the following TS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00{:}42{:}10.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.838$ Let me see if I can remember them all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.080$ You need to be tough minded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.200$ You need to be thin, thick skinned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.960$ You need to be tender hearted

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.558$ and you got to be tenacious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.549$ And you know that served me well

00:42:28.549 --> 00:42:30.972 because you're going to get you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:30.972 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.116$ every time that someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.080$ every time that anyone of us submits

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

00:42:34.080 --> 00:42:35.600 something, we're giving our, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

00:42:35.600 --> 00:42:37.358 our little heart here and please,

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.196$ please take good care of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.916$ And rejection can be, can be hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00{:}42{:}41.920 \to 00{:}42{:}45.304$ And being in the other end of the spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00{:}42{:}45.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.020$ being the one rejecting can also be hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00:42:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.160$ But it's a great thing that you're an editor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333 00:42:51.160 --> 00:42:52.370 so welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

00:42:52.370 --> 00:42:56.405 And Angie is asking about tips for English,

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333

 $00{:}42{:}56.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}58.080$ not as a first language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618926960833333 00:42:58.080 --> 00:42:58.480 Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.944$ Yeah, So a couple of things.

 $00:43:04.944 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.440$ Probably the best thing is having

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:43:07.440 --> 00:43:09.456 and and we have it easy here, Angie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00{:}43{:}09.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.560$ you and I I know Angie in Full disclosure

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:43:13.560 --> 00:43:17.000 and English is not our first language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.640$ although we speak it reasonably well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:43:19.640 --> 00:43:21.690 But I would say that for anyone who is at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:43:21.745 --> 00:43:23.797 whatever level of proficiency of English,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:43:23.800 --> 00:43:26.080 if it's not their first language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00{:}43{:}26.080 --> 00{:}43{:}31.680$ having a reader who will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:43:31.680 --> 00:43:33.356 again tenderhearted but tough

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00{:}43{:}33.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.320$ minded in some way is very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.880$ So whether that is a friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.880$ a colleague, a family member,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00{:}43{:}39.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43.446$ family members or loved ones can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:43.446 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.876$ actually be interesting in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.390$ Can they understand what it

 $00:43:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.598$ is that you're saying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.112$ You know they may not understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:49.112 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.120$ the log linear model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:43:50.120 --> 00:43:51.264 You know, whatever, whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:51.264 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.191$ Monte Carlo, whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:43:52.191 --> 00:43:54.999 But can they understand the English of it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:43:55.000 --> 00:43:56.400 And. And I think that that's one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342 00:43:56.400 --> 00:43:56.647 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:56.647 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.376$ So having readers who are friendly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:43:58.376 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.558$ who are going to be honest with you is 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.960$ The second one is Grammarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.960$ which is very, very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00{:}44{:}03.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05.346$ I'm still trying to learn things

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:05.346 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.880$ in English that I didn't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.866$ like does the comma go inside

 $00:44:08.866 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.800$ of the quotation or outside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00{:}44{:}10.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13.203$ And when I mean there are so many strange

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:13.203 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.600$ things about the beautiful English language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:44:15.600 --> 00:44:17.280 And Grammarly, I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.997$ is most of the time really on the money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.500$ It's free, except for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00{:}44{:}23.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26.000$ plagiarism stuff that I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.317$ And I would say that for authors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:44:28.320 --> 00:44:30.348 something that you will see very

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:30.348 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.147$ often mentioned is these polishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:32.147 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.427$ services that you send your manuscript

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:34.427 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.759$ and they Polish and make it pretty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:44:36.760 --> 00:44:38.188 In my experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:44:38.188 --> 00:44:40.092 that's really expensive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

00:44:40.092 --> 00:44:42.359 they don't Polish so pretty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93076342

 $00:44:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.368$ but you know,

 $00:44:43.368 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.040$ it's there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:44:47.000 --> 00:44:48.236 I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:44:48.236 --> 00:44:51.120 I I think that even things like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:44:51.120 --> 00:44:52.560 you know, I'm not endorsing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:44:52.560 --> 00:44:55.650 but ChatGPT, which is in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:44:55.650 --> 00:44:57.888 mind after yesterday, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:44:57.888 --> 00:45:00.376 it can help Polish things, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

 $00:45:00.376 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.560$ take this and make it prettier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:45:02.560 --> 00:45:03.800 I haven't used it myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

 $00{:}45{:}03.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.040$ but I wouldn't be surprised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

 $00{:}45{:}05.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.490$ You know you take like a beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

 $00{:}45{:}07.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.620$ abstract in your field and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

 $00{:}45{:}09.620 \to 00{:}45{:}11.270$ your abstract that you're happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:45:11.270 --> 00:45:13.640 with and you go to ChatGPT and say

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

 $00:45:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.920$ take mine and do it in this form.

00:45:16.920 --> 00:45:18.198 It would be an interesting experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:45:18.200 --> 00:45:19.880 I think that it would improve it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

00:45:19.880 --> 00:45:21.184 Obviously you would still

NOTE Confidence: 0.868567775

 $00:45:21.184 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.080$ need to to check it closely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78792317875

 $00:45:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.960$ And my last point, Angie is a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78792317875

 $00{:}45{:}28.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.730$ website that I've come to love

NOTE Confidence: 0.78792317875

 $00{:}45{:}30.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.119$ and use a lot called Deep Gram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758085632

 $00:45:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.160$ Although Deep Gram is more for

NOTE Confidence: 0.913977346666667

 $00{:}45{:}40.360 \to 00{:}45{:}41.708$ transcription than for writing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913977346666667

 $00:45:41.708 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.393$ which is what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913977346666667

00:45:43.400 --> 00:45:45.080 But Deep Gram can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.954449097272727

 $00:45:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.530$ capture text, capture voice into

NOTE Confidence: 0.954449097272727

 $00:45:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.800$ text in any number of languages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954449097272727

 $00:45:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.480$ I don't know like 30 languages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954449097272727

 $00:45:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.765$ And it's essentially free because

NOTE Confidence: 0.954449097272727

 $00{:}45{:}56.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.839$ most of these things are are very.

 $00:45:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.440$ Yeah, it's called deep where

NOTE Confidence: 0.954449097272727

 $00:46:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.064$ I am and you don't. Oops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954449097272727

 $00:46:06.064 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.320$ No, that's misspelled. I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

 $00:46:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.560$ Deep cramp. So as I oh, there it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

00:46:13.560 --> 00:46:14.885 Yeah. Dana, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

 $00:46:14.885 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.670 \text{ I do a lot of qualitative studies}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

 $00:46:16.725 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.075$ and you can put like long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

00:46:18.080 --> 00:46:20.786 long you know multiple hour long

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

 $00{:}46{:}20.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23.960$ interviews and within less than a

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

 $00:46:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.680$ minute it transcribes it beautifully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

 $00:46:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.600$ So I think that we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

 $00:46:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.080$ we're all learning about these tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

 $00{:}46{:}31.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.440$ So those would be some of my thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.56273771

 $00:46:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.838$ Thank you for, for that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57406205

00:46:36.840 --> 00:46:41.240 Another quick question. Yeah. So

00:46:41.240 --> 00:46:44.240 in deep Gram, if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.859550185714286

 $00{:}46{:}44.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.240$ analyzing qualitatively, can

NOTE Confidence: 0.6348203

00:46:49.640 --> 00:46:50.080 HIPAA

NOTE Confidence: 0.82469531

 $00:46:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.880$ that sort of language. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82469531

 $00:46:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.920$ not HIPAA compliant. OK. That's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48706618

 $00:46:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.640$ Yeah. Not HIPAA compliant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65924911875

00:46:58.600 --> 00:46:59.260 OK, sounds good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65924911875

 $00:46:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.680$ Just wanted to make sure. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797204616153846

 $00:47:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.312$ thank you. I don't, I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.797204616153846

 $00:47:02.312 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.407$ that the HIPAA police is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.797204616153846

 $00{:}47{:}03.407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.639$ to come and find you but better

NOTE Confidence: 0.797204616153846

00:47:04.678 --> 00:47:05.836 not mess with the HIPAA police.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790779034

 $00:47:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.600$ Yeah. No, especially if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.790779034

 $00{:}47{:}09.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.310$ if you're you know dealing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.790779034

 $00:47:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.333$ patients and and their. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790779034

00:47:14.333 --> 00:47:15.798 OK, sounds good. Thank you.

 $00:47:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.040$ Any other questions or

NOTE Confidence: 0.956281786666667

 $00:47:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.640$ experiences people want to share?

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00:47:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.984$ I know this is like such a common

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00:47:31.984 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.719$ activity for so many on the call,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00:47:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.145$ whether they're residents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00:47:35.145 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.520$ students or through the faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00:47:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.754$ So I really learned a lot, Andreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00:47:39.754 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.878$ I'm looking, I'm going to look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00:47:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.805$ go look back at my pending

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00{:}47{:}44.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.630$ abstracts and this will do vetail

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00:47:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.640$ nicely to your next session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00:47:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.236$ Do you have the slide about

NOTE Confidence: 0.952217511333333

 $00{:}47{:}50.236 --> 00{:}47{:}51.720$ the next sessions, Andreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822787896666667

00:47:54.160 --> 00:47:56.038 that will build build on this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.739300221428572

 $00:47:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.860$ Yeah, no, quite literally the

 $00:47:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.520$ the poster in some way really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.739300221428572

 $00:48:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.000$ just a glorified abstract. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.93455179625

 $00:48:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.500$ we have first Friday of the next year a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93455179625

 $00:48:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.000$ session on improving written feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93455179625

 $00:48:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.880$ We already had verbal feedback in the fall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93455179625

00:48:13.880 --> 00:48:16.916 and then Andreas's next session for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93455179625

 $00:48:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.472$ writing will be the week after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93455179625

00:48:21.472 --> 00:48:24.800 And we again, we have programming that'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.93455179625

00:48:24.800 --> 00:48:28.560 run through through April at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93455179625

 $00:48:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.392$ We got show you 3 * 3 at a time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93455179625

 $00{:}48{:}31.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.318$ I see Donna has her hand up as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818401052142857

00:48:34.000 --> 00:48:36.093 Yeah. And Donna, just one quick second

NOTE Confidence: 0.818401052142857

 $00:48:36.093 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.958$ just to piggyback on what you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818401052142857

 $00:48:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.040$ Dana, that the reason we really are

NOTE Confidence: 0.818401052142857

00:48:41.040 --> 00:48:43.063 putting pressure on you to fill your

NOTE Confidence: 0.818401052142857

 $00:48:43.063 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.897$ evaluations is that this is the first

 $00:48:44.897 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.680$ time that we do this cycle of yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818401052142857

 $00:48:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.696$ So things that you think could be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.818401052142857

00:48:48.696 --> 00:48:50.876 good or have not been good or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818401052142857

 $00:48:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.080$ we really want your feedback. But Donna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882800546666667

 $00:48:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.320$ sorry I missed the first part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882800546666667

 $00:48:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.276$ I was seeing patients this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882800546666667

00:48:58.280 --> 00:48:59.420 Just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882800546666667

 $00:48:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.725$ some hints that I've found helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882800546666667

00:49:01.725 --> 00:49:03.300 If you're submitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.882800546666667

00:49:03.300 --> 00:49:05.400 abstracts to an organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882800546666667

 $00{:}49{:}05.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07.703$ often times they tell you or they

NOTE Confidence: 0.882800546666667

 $00:49:07.703 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.941$ should tell you the rubric by

NOTE Confidence: 0.882800546666667

 $00{:}49{:}09.941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}13.320$ which they're grading abstracts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915337295

 $00:49:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.320$ And I find that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732704

 $00:49:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.595$ really helpful to know that in advance.

 $00:49:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.200$ So I know how to structure based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732704

 $00:49:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.800$ on what they're looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781041643333333

 $00:49:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.238$ That's a great point, great point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974315675

 $00:49:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.820$ Thank you. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974315675

 $00:49:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.520$ thanks to everyone for joining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974315675

 $00{:}49{:}34.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.145$ We really, really appreciate you

NOTE Confidence: 0.974315675

 $00:49:37.145 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.000$ Andreas and everyone's participation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974315675

 $00:49:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.640$ Hope you feel like this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.974315675

 $00{:}49{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}44.568$ useful and we'll hear from you if

NOTE Confidence: 0.974315675

00:49:44.568 --> 00:49:46.311 you did or you didn't hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.974315675

 $00:49:46.311 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.357$ and we'll see you next time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956221025

 $00:49:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.525$ Happy holidays and thanks for

NOTE Confidence: 0.956221025

 $00:49:50.525 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.280$ joining us guys. Bye bye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956221025

00:49:53.280 --> 00:49:55.080 Happy Holidays, Happy Holidays.